Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

2002-01 - 2002-02

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2002-01-01 through 2002-02-28

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,347

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 7

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 00:21:21 UTC
From: KS F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <5i7Y7.23580$NE5.143851@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 54770

How sad your statement is. It is unfortunate that some people chose to continue these problems after BC's demise. The people who instigate these actions ought ot be ashamed. BC has passed, & we ought to let him rest in peace by forgetting all the problems he caused.

--
Operator of the 443.575 MHz repeaters in Sioux City, Portland (OR), & Seattle.

TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote
> I was wondering how lonmg it would be until some troll brought all this crap
> back up again.
>
> The man is DECEASED. Isn't that enough for you Cheek-haters? Can't you just
> leave well enough alone and get on with your miserable lives?
>
> I suppose that next, I'll be seeing threads about Andy Moss, Peter Boe, Glen
> Quarnstrom, and Eric Oyen as well. Sheesh!

2. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 00:23:25 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <pd023uo1vetunsuh5k9m7uspk6f5mahse7@4ax.com>
Source record: 54771

Hey Mackey ..... shut the fuck up you ignorant
piece of shit. You're the one that started all
this shit again.
Do us all a favor and make a New Years Resolution
that you will only tell the TRUTH.

fuck-off and die
monty

"KSØF" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>How sad your statement is. It is unfortunate that some people chose to
>continue these problems after BC's demise. The people who instigate
>these actions ought ot be ashamed. BC has passed, & we ought to let him
>rest in peace by forgetting all the problems he caused.

3. Re: Descriminator mod ICOM IC-R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 01:59:56 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3C31181C.E89779EB@pacbell.net>
Source record: 54772

In my experience the discriminator output is very high impedance and anything I hook to it loads the circuit. The tuning meter will also pull to one side if the circuit is disturbed. I was going to use a FET buffer amp to isolate it but never got around to it. I would also be interested in hearing about successful use of the 7100 discriminator.
Mike

4. LOOKING FOR PHILLY FIREWORK FREQS!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 02:21:30 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@home.com>
Message-ID: <K29Y7.343812$5A3.131981760@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 54773

im looking for freqs for the fire works at penns landing tonight!?

Thanks
Dave KB3HIU
dasflies1@home.com

5. trying to decipher cell phone freq's pattern

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 03:14:42 UTC
From: Karl <usereplytoaddress@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CQ9Y7.151637$8w3.32405400@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 54774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ok, first off, yes I know that it's illegal so instead of flaming, just don't answer.

I scanned the freq range from 894 to 900 MHz and found a pattern. They all end with the numbers, 00, 12, 25, 37, 50, 62, 75, 87. For example 894.000, 894.012, 894.025, 894.037, thru 894.900, 894.912, 894.925, etc... therefore there should be 78 frequencies under 894 MHz. Extrapolating that out to the above range of 894 to 900 there would be 546 possible frequencies.

But since I only have a 200 channel scanner I can't program all those into it. I noticed that some of the freq's are repeated; I believe that is what is called an image. Only problem is that it didn't seem to be consistent. Sometimes it was repeated and sometimes not.

Does anyone know what the pattern is? Is it the ones that are separated by 12 that are the same? Or the ones separated by 13? Or maybe none of the above? Would they be grouped instead as (00, 12), (25, 37), (50, 62), and (75, 87) thereby only having 4 groups per freq range instead of 8? In other words could I program 894.025 and not worry about 894.037 because they are essentially the same? Or am I totally off base on this one?

Hope that all makes sense to someone. Thanks,
Karl

6. Re: trying to decipher cell phone freq's pattern

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 03:23:22 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3c332a3d.5590164@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>894.012, 894.025, 894.037, thru 894.900, 894.912, 894.925, etc... therefore
>there should be 78 frequencies under 894 MHz. Extrapolating that out to the
>above range of 894 to 900 there would be 546 possible frequencies.

Back before it became illegal to monitor, here's what I did. I got
all the frequencies from that neat list in Bill Cheek's scanner book.
Then I went to Kinko's and copied it, enlarging it to where I could
read it. Then I programmed the frequencies into the SEARCH bank.
As I heard activity on the frequencies, I used highlighters to mark
off the channels used. Ater a while patterns of usage started to
develop, I might hear 6 frequencies in an "A" cell and 10 in a "B"
cell. After five or six weeks of monitoring I found the frequencies
in a cell that were likely to be used by a caller. If they switched
to another cell, it was fairly simple to find them again quickly,
especially if I knew where they were and where they were going. Most
of the time they just said it out loud. If the caller was in a "D"
cell, for instance, they wouldn't be transferred to a "C" or an "E"
cell, as that's how the frequency allocation is set up. Once you see
the cell map as described in Bill Cheek's book, it becomes easier to
figure out. It's probably even out there in a text file.

7. Re: Descriminator mod ICOM IC-R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 05:19:16 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c3146a0$0$1616$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 54776

For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back
of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable
to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit.
This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3
on the schematic.

I posted this in 1996 and sold the R7100A afterwards.
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
Linux user

8. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 05:30:34 UTC
From: KS<unk>F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <_PbY7.24284$NE5.146684@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 54777

Why is it that you are unable to express yourself without the constant use
of cursing
& swearing??

Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote
SNIP
>the fuck up
SNIP
> of shit.
SNIP
> shit again.
SNIP

9. Re: cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 05:45:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy Jacobs <bljacobs2@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C316AF5.4A6CF343@home.com>
Source record: 54778

It's illegal to repeat what you hear and/or use what you here for
personal
gain or profit.

"twï¿½ï¿½,.," wrote:
>
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7dOX7.506$Ts.144527@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > Hey, why is it that when I'm listening to cordless phones in the 900MHZ
> > range sometimes it cuts in and out. Like, if they talk all softly it's
> fine
> > but if they raise their voices it gets choppy.
>
> Just a note, it is illegal in the US to monitor cordless phone
> transmissions.

10. Re: What do you use it for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 05:48:27 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy Jacobs <bljacobs2@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C316BC1.2C9F8B97@home.com>
Source record: 54779

Mainly use mine for severe weather. We out-performed Witchita Kansas a few
years ago and was pronounced tornado capital of the year that year. I use
it to monitor TV and police and city freqs while at work or in my basement.

When we visit Nashville, Tn, I like to listen to approach and departure. One
day, we were there and several fire trucks and emergency vehicles suddenly
poured onto the runway. Via the scanner I was able to determine that the
lear jet on final may have had a nose gear that did not lock. But, fortunately,
it landed safely and did not have an accident.

Bruce

Enos Slobodnik wrote:
>
> Information: Traffic conditions, accidents, road situations like snow,
> flash floods, etc.
>
> Entertainment: Listening for people that I know getting pulled over. It
> freaks them out when I ask why they were pulled over. They think I
> cruise the streets at all hours of the day and night. I don't tell them
> I heard it on the scanner.
>
> I can also hear just how competent (or sometimes incompetent) our public
> servants are. Mostly very professional though.
>
> Slobodnik, Montana
>
> Sandi wrote:
>
> > Sandi wrote:
> >
> > > Been lurking for a while, and wondering about
> > > fellow scanner owners, I know why I wanted one,
> > > and have one, but was wondering if some would
> > > share why they use theirs?
> > > Sandi
> >
> > Thanks all,

> > Glad to read your responses,
> > I was afraid I was the only one who used it for pure
> >
> > fun.
> > By the way, I have heard some pretty dumb stuff in
> > the last couple of days
> > "subject disrobing in parking lot of Southwest
> > supermarket"
> > "angry male subject throwing all of his furniture
> > onto Central ave into traffic"
> > Sandi

11. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 06:26:35 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <vEcY7.19647$V02.438093023@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54780

This is a dicey question... It is apparently not illegal to LISTEN to phone comms, but it is to DISCUSS phone comms listened to.

My take - if these damn fools think they have any claim to privacy when broadcasting, there is none. Broadcasting? That's pretty much what they are doing. It is a non-directional, non-encrypted signal, being sent with all the discretion of a loud-hailer. If you want privacy, take action to secure it...

If I can receive it, it is hitting me anyway, and I have a right to know what signals are impacting upon my person...

T

Jake Brodsky wrote:

> On Wed, 28 Nov 2001 17:46:42 -0500, "Mark Flanagan" <markf@cshore.com>
> wrote:
>
> >The law pertaining to cordless phones, i.e. the ones connected to the wired
> >phone service using a base station, prevents your discussing, sharing or
> >profiting from what you hear. It does not forbid listening or owning a
> >receiver capable of doing so. Does anyone agree with me? Not that I listen
> >to these freq. Been there, done that, BORING!
>
> /*
> * Disclaimer: I Am Not A Lawyer. This is opinion, not legal advice.
> */
>
> According to 47CFR15.214(c) there is a label which needs to be affixed
> to a cordless phone saying "Privacy of communications may not be
> ensured when using this phone."
>
> So at least according the FCC, there doesn't appear to be any
> expectation of privacy with such devices.
>
> That said, I'm sure various state legislatures have standards about
> evidence gathered from cordless phones.
>
> You all know that even under the original Radio Secrecy Laws (Section
> 605, of the Communications Act of 1934), it is not legal to disclose
> or act upon what you may hear from these devices. This is hardly a
> new thing.
>
> I would say that for all practical matters, nobody can reasonably stop
> you from monitoring cordless phone calls. However, you would be wise
> not to say or act on ANYTHING.

>
> 73,
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

12. Sony ICF-SC1PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 06:32:44 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <gKcY7.19648$Td2.438936801@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54781

I finally got one of these (wanted the computer linkup...) and I was wondering if anyone knew of any goodies or anything I should lookout for with this unit. Also, if anyone has a bench manual they are willing to copy, get in touch with me on how I can score a copy (hardcopy or electronic...)

Tarrasq
dragonland@juno.com

13. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 06:34:20 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <86m23u826edruou8cl1belj0rglc0c7m50@4ax.com>
Source record: 54782

I really don't fuckin' know Pastor.

monty

"KSØF" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>Why is it that you are unable to express yourself without the constant use
>of cursing
>& swearing??
>
>
>
>Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote
>SNIP
>>the fuck up
>SNIP
>> of shit.
>SNIP
>> shit again.
>SNIP
>
>

14. WTB ICOM PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 08:01:39 UTC
From: george sedlack <georgeseldon2@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <D1eY7.260$gO5.179703@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 54783

want to buy ICOM PCR-1000

contact direct: georgesedlack@hotmail.com

15. Hey David Munroe : CANADA I'm sorry Happy New Year's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 08:11:04 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c316f03.6757316@news.mint.net>
Source record: 54784

assfuck

16. FT: Trade Yaesu VR120 for ICOM R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 08:55:45 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201010055.62f18f4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54785

Looking for a R2 to trade with VR120.

It's bought new and only 2 weeks old.

If interested, please contact me by email
nr6z@yahoo.com

17. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 09:44:01 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <BxfY7.3697$4d5.21980@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54786

Perhaps you should take a moment to look into yourself & see what you
could do to improve your communication skills so you are able to
speak without cursing & swearing.

Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote
I really don't fuckin' know Pastor.

18. Re: trying to decipher cell phone freq's pattern

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 10:08:40 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <gi133uohej3udau8qhl2o6kkjinta400ef@4ax.com>
Source record: 54787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

analog cellular frequency distribution is VERY specific and controlled. it is regulated by " cells " in which you reside at any given time. for instance , I'm in the " a " provider cell number 314 ... this cell has (as do all analog cells) 16 related transmit (by the tower) frequencies and 16 related (tower input) freqs. bordering my cell is cell 320 on the mid right , cell 325 upper right , 331 upper left , cell 329 mid left , 324 lower left and 328 lower right. each of these cells has 16 input and 16 output channels too. each cell has 6 sides , all cell coverage is duped by the " b" provider ...but on higher freqs. (channels) Sound complicated ...?? .... it is ..... get the " Motorola Bible " and read all about analog cell distribution and related cell freqs.

http://homepages.ihug.co.nz/~ugh/
(plus MANY listings for it on Yahoo)

A cheap $5 motorola brick, bag or flip is handy to identify cells and generate a cell grid map for your part of town ... or the whole area. (jump two contacts on the brick and it displays the cell ID info ..where ever you are .. plus signal strength data too)

Remember that actually listening to cellular conversations , in the USA , is illegal. If you could listen to cell channels legally ..it is unnecessary to program ALL 800 (or whatever) channels as they are repeated , cell by cell at certain distance intervals. You only need the one you are in (and you will always be in one) ..and the adjacent cells and the ones adjacent to those. past those .. you will be so far away from the others that they will start to interfere with each other ... this with an omni antenna . a beam can cure that problem , tho.

a_alpha1

On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 03:14:42 GMT, "Karl"
<usereplytoaddress@hotmail.com> wrote:

>ok, first off, yes I know that it's illegal so instead of flaming, just
>don't answer.
>
>I scanned the freq range from 894 to 900 MHz and found a pattern. They all
>end with the numbers, 00, 12, 25, 37, 50, 62, 75, 87. For example 894.000,
>894.012, 894.025, 894.037, thru 894.900, 894.912, 894.925, etc... therefore
>there should be 78 frequencies under 894 MHz. Extrapolating that out to the
>above range of 894 to 900 there would be 546 possible frequencies.
>

>But since I only have a 200 channel scanner I can't program all those into
>it. I noticed that some of the freq's are repeated; I believe that is what
>is called an image. Only problem is that it didn't seem to be consistent.
>Sometimes it was repeated and sometimes not.
>
>Does anyone know what the pattern is? Is it the ones that are separated by
>12 that are the same? Or the ones separated by 13? Or maybe none of the
>above? Would they be grouped instead as (00, 12), (25, 37), (50, 62), and
>(75, 87) thereby only having 4 groups per freq range instead of 8? In other
>words could I program 894.025 and not worry about 894.037 because they are
>essentially the same? Or am I totally off base on this one?
>
>Hope that all makes sense to someone. Thanks,
>Karl
>
>
>

19. Re: trying to decipher cell phone freq's pattern

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 10:13:14 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <et233u8cs86bh03dsscuhta6c4r7k22tpt@4ax.com>
Source record: 54788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

good copy of the " cell structure and freq " info here ....

http://www.angelfire.com/geek/mytc/texts/cellfonechannel.txt

a_alpha1

On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 10:08:40 GMT, a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote:

>analog cellular frequency distribution is VERY specific and
>controlled. it is regulated by " cells " in which you reside at any
>given time. for instance , I'm in the " a " provider cell number 314
>... this cell has (as do all analog cells) 16 related transmit (by
>the tower) frequencies and 16 related (tower input) freqs.
> bordering my cell is cell 320 on the mid right , cell 325 upper
>right , 331 upper left , cell 329 mid left , 324 lower left and
>328 lower right. each of these cells has 16 input and 16 output
>channels too. each cell has 6 sides , all cell coverage is duped by
>the " b" provider ...but on higher freqs. (channels) Sound
>complicated ...?? .... it is ..... get the " Motorola Bible "
>and read all about analog cell distribution and related cell freqs.
>
>http://homepages.ihug.co.nz/~ugh/
>(plus MANY listings for it on Yahoo)
>
>A cheap $5 motorola brick, bag or flip is handy to identify cells and
>generate a cell grid map for your part of town ... or the whole area.
>(jump two contacts on the brick and it displays the cell ID info
>..where ever you are .. plus signal strength data too)
>
>Remember that actually listening to cellular conversations , in the
>USA , is illegal. If you could listen to cell channels legally ..it
>is unnecessary to program ALL 800 (or whatever) channels as
>they are repeated , cell by cell at certain distance intervals. You
>only need the one you are in (and you will always be in one) ..and
>the adjacent cells and the ones adjacent to those. past those ..
>you will be so far away from the others that they will start to
>interfere with each other ... this with an omni antenna . a beam can
>cure that problem , tho.
>
>a_alpha1
>
>
>
>

>On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 03:14:42 GMT, "Karl"
><usereplytoaddress@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>ok, first off, yes I know that it's illegal so instead of flaming, just
>>don't answer.
>>
>>I scanned the freq range from 894 to 900 MHz and found a pattern. They all
>>end with the numbers, 00, 12, 25, 37, 50, 62, 75, 87. For example 894.000,
>>894.012, 894.025, 894.037, thru 894.900, 894.912, 894.925, etc... therefore
>>there should be 78 frequencies under 894 MHz. Extrapolating that out to the
>>above range of 894 to 900 there would be 546 possible frequencies.
>>
>>But since I only have a 200 channel scanner I can't program all those into
>>it. I noticed that some of the freq's are repeated; I believe that is what
>>is called an image. Only problem is that it didn't seem to be consistent.
>>Sometimes it was repeated and sometimes not.
>>
>>Does anyone know what the pattern is? Is it the ones that are separated by
>>12 that are the same? Or the ones separated by 13? Or maybe none of the
>>above? Would they be grouped instead as (00, 12), (25, 37), (50, 62), and
>>(75, 87) thereby only having 4 groups per freq range instead of 8? In other
>>words could I program 894.025 and not worry about 894.037 because they are
>>essentially the same? Or am I totally off base on this one?
>>
>>Hope that all makes sense to someone. Thanks,
>>Karl
>>
>>
>>

20. Winradio 1550E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 12:45:05 UTC
From: AARG! Anonymous <remailer@aarg.net>
Message-ID: <d27ad8dfcc684702c88dc0b0f06ff8f6@aarg.net>
Source record: 54796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What is the purpose of the data port on this radio? I use the audio port into a soundcard for ACARS for instance.

21. Re: Descriminator mod ICOM IC-R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 15:48:18 UTC
From: Tom Needham <tneedham@acd.net>
Message-ID: <QUkY7.373$4i6.63552@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54789

Does this also apply to the R7100? (no a)
Was the impedance loading a problem for you?

Thanks

--

Reply to: Group, or tneedham@spleen.acd.net
Remove my spleen to reply. (please be gentle)
Home page: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/default.htm
Jeep stuff: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/jeep.html
It aint much, but it's mine.

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
news:3c3146a0$0$1616$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back
> of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable
> to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit.
> This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3
> on the schematic.
>
> I posted this in 1996 and sold the R7100A afterwards.
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
> Linux user

22. Re: Sony ICF-SC1PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 16:47:06 UTC
From: Khufu222 <khufu222@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u33q0lod0fe336@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54790

It's a real nice radio... some people complain that it is a pain to program, but I find it simple once you get used to it.. You are (currently) limited to sony's software, which frankly sucks.. There is a cell mod and a keypad trick to get it to receive down to 200khz (however, sensitivity is reportedly poor below 5mhz). Check the archives of www.yahoogroups.com "SonySC1" and "SonyWavehawk" for the instructions...
Matt

<"jnjkelley"@sbcglobal.net@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:gKcY7.19648$Td2.438936801@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> I finally got one of these (wanted the computer linkup...) and I was
> wondering if anyone knew of any goodies or anything I should lookout for
> with this unit. Also, if anyone has a bench manual they are willing to
> copy, get in touch with me on how I can score a copy (hardcopy or
> electronic...)
>
> Tarrasq
> dragonland@juno.com
>

23. Re: How do we take care of this "Mackey"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 16:47:33 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3c31e798.3269933@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 54791

My Gaaawd!! Is Mackey still dissing Bill Cheek?????
Hasn't anyone told him that billl died a while back
What is the matter with that A**hole, anyway????
MACKEY,, GET A LIFE, , , , ,

==========

On Sun, 11 Nov 2001 12:14:39 GMT, "Joe Palooka" <joe@palooka.com>
wrote:

>So you and Mackey should be very happy together. Two nit wits in a pea pod.
>
>
>"Cue A Man" <Cueaman@Cableone.net> wrote in message
>news:turdl2b153b3c3@corp.supernews.com...
>> Most knowledgeable useneters know that BC was the one who left the group
>in
>> disgrace after losing his account from RR for harrassment!
>>
>> "capra" <bhyuu@spiritone.com> wrote in message
>> news:3BED728B.4EE284D6@spiritone.com...
>> > Morton isn't the problem here mackey. Leave this NG in
>> > disgrace.
>> >
>> >
>> > "The Famous KS�F" wrote:
>> >
>> > > Morton - you have NEVER posted on topic!!!
>> > >
>> > > Morton Whoo <morton@whooville.com> wrote
>> > > > on-topic; he won't reply to then because he knows jack about
>scanners.
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

24. Updates to the Vintage Racal Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 17:24:00 UTC
From: keith <keith@recelectronics.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <HIRwhCAwCfM8EwaU@recelectronics.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 54792

Hi.

Just to let those of you who are interested know ,I have updated the
RA17 and RA117 pages to my site.

Happy New Year to all.

Keith

****
* Visit "Keiths Vintage Racal Site" *
* http://www.recelectronics.demon.co.uk *
****

25. ICOM ic-pcr1000 & Windows XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 17:46:37 UTC
From: Chris <c.brook@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a0ssltekj1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 54793

Does anyone have any software so I can use my ICOM ic-pcr1000 scanner with windows XP??

ive just spent £300 + DSP unit for this scanner and now i've updated to XP
it wont work.....

i get this error when trying to get the icom and p.c to communicate!!!

APPLICATION ERROR
PCR1000 caused a general protection fault in module OLE2DISP.DLL at 0001:0B20.
Choose close. PCR1000 will close.

god nowts easy nowadays...

Chris

26. Re: What do you use it for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 18:28:07 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5739-3C31FFB7-177@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 54794

Sandi:

Living directly between the world's three busiest airports, Atlanta, Chicago & Dallas, I listen to airline flights in the ARTCC system above 24,000 feet and enjoy tracking them on various websites. I also enjoy listening to air refueling of military aircraft which takes place on AR tracks within 150 miles.

The best thing of all regarding this hobby is that there's something in it for everybody.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Aircraft Listener, Airplane Watcher,
Air Refueling & Military Airshows
Scanning the Skies from Southern Illinois

27. Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 19:24:54 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <u3434lnek1oa7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

MAKING YOUR OWN ANTENNA

BY N1CSS

There are many reasons why you would want to make your own antenna, perhaps you live in an area that doesn`t allow outdoor antennas, Or perhaps the budget doesn`t allow for the latest super - duper stainless steel wonder for 40 or 50 dollars. Maybe you live in a neighborhood where you wouldn`t want to "advertise."

What I am going to describe here is just a simple half - wave antenna, that will give you good reception for anywhere from 20 to 40 miles, that you can build yourself for probably 15 or 20 dollars. (you can probably do it cheaper than that, if you have some decent coax cable lying around). The reason I suggest a half wave antenna is, that radials really aren`t needed. So let`s proceed.

LENGTH OF THE ANTENNA

The length of the antenna will depend on just what frequency band you want to listen to. Most people are interested in the Public Safety bands, which are right around 155 MHZ. You can figure out the length of the antenna for any band with the following formula:

468 / Freq (in MHZ)

This gives you a half wave, in feet and fractions of a foot. Using 155 MHZ as an example, we would come out with:

468 / 155 = 3.019 feet

Now, we can convert the fractional part of the answer into inches by multiplying it by 12 (the number of inches in a foot). This comes out to:

.019 X 12 = .228

.228 is close enough to a quarter inch so it won`t matter. so our antenna will be 3 feet, one-quarter inch long for 155 MHZ.

CONSIDERATIONS

We are going to make this antenna completely from a piece of coaxial cable. Which coax you use would depend on how long the run from the scanner to the antenna will be. If the run is going to be 10 or 15 feet, then you can use almost any coax, since the loss in a short run like this would be fairly insignificant. The idea here is to get the antenna as high up in the structure as you can. You will mount this at one end of the attic, where the

wall is straight up and down. So figure your length accordingly. rg-6 TV coax works well, and is readily available at the local Radio Shack store. Don`t forget to get a connector to convert the screw on connector on the end of the cable to a BNC connector that will fit your scanner jack. Get about 6 or 8 feet of #18 or #20 hookup wire, since we will have to ground the scanner chassis/coax braid in order for this to work properly. Stop off at the local Agway or other yard / garden store, and get a couple of Electric fence insulators, as small as they have, that mount to a wooden post with a nail. However, people with metal - shelled mobile homes and people with metal roofs need read no further, since this will not work with these kinds of structures. I am speaking here of a regular wood - frame building with regular roofing materials. Metal will block out the radio signals. People who live in these will have to resort to other means (of which there are quite a few). I will discuss these in another tome.

CONSTRUCTION

Now, you are going to have to make a hole into the attic somewhere, in order to let the coax pass up into it. Pull the coax up through, and over to where the antenna is going to be mounted. Take a good sharp knife, a small hammer, and a tape measure up with you. A small pair of scissors doesn`t hurt the process, either.
Clip the connector off this end of the coax. You don`t need it for the antenna end. Now, measure down the coax to the point corresponding to the length determined in the formula. If you are doing an antenna for 155 MHZ, I would measure three feet, but nit - pickers and perfectionists will have to get it perfect. We are now going to remove the outer hide from the coax. this will expose the shield braid underneath. You can then push the shield braid down the coax, to expand it and make it easier to work on. Give the shield a "haircut" right at the same point, and slide it right off the end. clip any stray wire in the shield even with the jacket. We are now down to the inner foil shield, which can be removed by just going around it with the knife, and pressing the blade into it, but not hard enough to cut the center wire ! When you have gone all the way around, slide it and the plastic core off the center wire. Your antenna is now finished, so it is time to mount it. Choose an appropriate end stud in the attic, that is tall enough to mount the antenna to, and start one of the insulators in the top. slip the top of the antenna wire into it, and tap it home. repeat at the bottom of the bare antenna wire. do NOT mount the antenna to the roof rafters, at an angle, or you will get very little signal. STRAIGHT UP is the rule here. You`re done in the attic. Go down to the radio end.

THE BUSINESS (RADIO) END

Before we hook up the connector to the radio, we have an important task to do. Look the radio over, and see if there is a screw you can loosen up, maybe on the back of it, that goes into the metal chassis of the rig. This will be your ground point. Take the hookup wire you bought, and strip the insulation off one end for about 1/2 inch. Make a loop, and put it under the screw, and tighten it up again. take the other end, and strip off 1/2 inch of insulation, and go to the nearest electrical outlet. (No, I am NOT trying

to "blow up your radio). The center screw that holds the face plate on the outlet is ground. Take the screw out, and make sure that there is bare metal showing under the screw head. If there is paint, then take the knife and scrape the paint off on the bottom of the screw head. We want a good connection here. Put the wire under the screw head, and tighten it up again. (Don`t overtighten, they strip out fairly easily)

If there is no screw on the radio you can use, or you have a hand - held that you like to use elsewhere, then we use a little different method. We need to ground the shield on the coax instead. If you have a fair - sized needle around, then you can work this under the hide of the coax, but do NOT stick this straight into the coax, as you will short out the coax and undo all your work so far ! Leave about 1/2 or 3/4 inch of the needle on the outside of the jacket, and just wind the bare end of the hookup wire around it and the coax. Some plastic electrical tape would be handy here, as you just tape the wire, needle and coax by simply going around the whole thing for a few turns. The idea is to make a connection to the shield of the coax with the ground wire, as close to the radio as possible.

WHY IS GROUNDING SO IMPORTANT ?

I am sure you have seen the postings on the news groups. "I moved my scanner into the computer room, and all i get is noise on it ! " or "Why does my scanner lock onto empty channels every time I turn on the TV ?" An understanding of just what the shield on the coax does for a living will help you understand why it is IMPERATIVE that you ground it ! The shield of a coax has an important job to do. It is designed to KEEP NOISE OUT OF THE COAX. We only want to hear what the ANTENNA is picking up, NOT the harmonics of the oscilators in the TV, stray RF harmonics of the blower motor in the furnace, RF noise from the computer, etc,etc,etc, ad nauseum. The average home is a hotbed of RF interference.
There is another reason. We carefully cut the antenna to a resonant length for the band we want to listen to, right ? But the fact is, that until you ground the shield on the coax, THE WHOLE CENTER CONDUCTOR OF THE COAX WILL ACT AS AN ANTENNA, UNDOING ALL OUR CAREFUL TUNING AND PRUNING ! This is because, the shield of the coax DOESN`T EXIST ELECTRICALLY UNTIL YOU GROUND IT ! This ALSO DEGRADES THE SIGNAL - TO - NOISE RATIO OF THE SCANNER DOWN TO ALMOST 0 ! This HELPS THE ELECTRICAL NOISE IN YOUR HOUSE WIN ! Remember, some of the interfering signals in your home environment are hundreds or even THOUSANDS of times stronger than the signals you are trying to monitor ! Do yourself and your scanner a favor, and ground the shield. This is especially important if you are running the rig off a wall - wart supply, or something other than the regular 110 volt mains, since you really dont have a ground on those !

THE FUN PART
(OR HOW I BEAT RADIO SHACK OUT OF 30 BUCKS)

Now, hook up the coax to the scanner, and enjoy the hell out of it. You will get solid reception on signals you could barely hear with the rubber duck antenna, and you will hear signals you couldn`t even hear at all before ! The range of the scanner will be increased TREMENDOUSLY. 20 miles is common,

and 30 or 40 is certainly not unheard of ! You did it yourself, you saved money, and nobody is the wiser ! Now THAT is satisfaction ! Settle back with your beer. You earned it !

28. Re: cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 19:26:11 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@home.com>
Message-ID: <n3oY7.201570$kf1.60481456@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 54697

Well, knowing that should stop everyone from doing so like drug
laws.....
"tw��,.." <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
news:ZXnY7.6323$4d5.70450@rwcrnsc54...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Bruce or Tracy Jacobs" <bljacobs2@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3C316AF5.4A6CF343@home.com...
> > It's illegal to repeat what you hear and/or use what you here for
> > personal
> > gain or profit.
>
>
> True, BUT it is illegal to monitor cellular and cordless phone
transmissions
> in the US period.
>
>

29. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 20:10:38 UTC
From: 12-Step Bob <bob12step@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a0t59s$a0h$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54698
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:u3434lnek1oa7d@corp.supernews.com...
> MAKING YOUR OWN ANTENNA

> ! Do yourself and your scanner a favor, and ground the shield. This is
> especially important if you are running the rig off a wall - wart supply,
or
> something other than the regular 110 volt mains, since you really dont
have
> a ground on those !

Sorry to be a dummy here- but how do I ground the shield?

Bob

30. Re: Sony ICF-SC1PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 20:23:21 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3c321a2b.1986641@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 54699

Don't think there is a cell mod
This is the most sensitive scanner I've ever had, use it all the time
Programming is a pain without the computer.

On Tue, 1 Jan 2002 08:47:06 -0800, "Khufu222" <khufu222@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>It's a real nice radio... some people complain that it is a pain to program,
>but I find it simple once you get used to it.. You are (currently) limited
>to sony's software, which frankly sucks.. There is a cell mod and a keypad
>trick to get it to receive down to 200khz (however, sensitivity is
>reportedly poor below 5mhz). Check the archives of www.yahoogroups.com
>"SonySC1" and "SonyWavehawk" for the instructions...
>Matt
>
><"jnjkelley"@sbcglobal.net@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>news:gKcY7.19648$Td2.438936801@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>> I finally got one of these (wanted the computer linkup...) and I was
>> wondering if anyone knew of any goodies or anything I should lookout for
>> with this unit. Also, if anyone has a bench manual they are willing to
>> copy, get in touch with me on how I can score a copy (hardcopy or
>> electronic...)
>>
>> Tarrasq
>> dragonland@juno.com
>>
>
>

31. Calgary Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 20:58:11 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6mpY7.1380$S61.317522@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 54701

Hey Calgarians- can I get a list of good CPS/CFD/EMS frequencies and talkgroups? It'd be nice when I go back home to upload it into my pro-93..

--
Thanks,

Rob T in Salt Lake

(___\===O===/___)
http://www.robtaylor.cjb.net/

32. FA: Icom IC-R2 Communications Rcvr (portable)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 21:03:27 UTC
From: Bill Becker <the.bill@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C322405.4BFCDDFB@home.com>
Source record: 54702

I have one of these on auction at Ebay.

Please look at
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1315986883

if interested.

Thanks,

Bill Becker

33. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 21:14:42 UTC
From: Delayed Thinking <delay@delaythinking.com>
Message-ID: <6FpY7.7804$4d5.89776@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54704
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

that's really nice of you to take the time to put this together for us all.

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:u3434lnek1oa7d@corp.supernews.com...
> MAKING YOUR OWN ANTENNA
>
> BY N1CSS
>
>
> There are many reasons why you would want to make your own antenna,
> perhaps you live in an area that doesn`t allow outdoor antennas, Or
perhaps
> the budget doesn`t allow for the latest super - duper stainless steel
wonder
> for 40 or 50 dollars. Maybe you live in a neighborhood where you wouldn`t
> want to "advertise."
>
> What I am going to describe here is just a simple half - wave
antenna,
> that will give you good reception for anywhere from 20 to 40 miles, that
you
> can build yourself for probably 15 or 20 dollars. (you can probably do it
> cheaper than that, if you have some decent coax cable lying around). The
> reason I suggest a half wave antenna is, that radials really aren`t
needed.
> So let`s proceed.
>
>
> LENGTH OF THE ANTENNA
>
> The length of the antenna will depend on just what frequency band you
> want to listen to. Most people are interested in the Public Safety bands,
> which are right around 155 MHZ. You can figure out the length of the
antenna
> for any band with the following formula:
>
>
> 468 / Freq (in MHZ)
>
> This gives you a half wave, in feet and fractions of a foot. Using 155 MHZ
> as an example, we would come out with:
>
> 468 / 155 = 3.019 feet

>
> Now, we can convert the fractional part of the answer into inches by
> multiplying it by 12 (the number of inches in a foot). This comes out to:
>
> .019 X 12 = .228
>
> .228 is close enough to a quarter inch so it won`t matter. so our antenna
> will be 3 feet, one-quarter inch long for 155 MHZ.
>
> CONSIDERATIONS
>
> We are going to make this antenna completely from a piece of coaxial
> cable. Which coax you use would depend on how long the run from the
scanner
> to the antenna will be. If the run is going to be 10 or 15 feet, then you
> can use almost any coax, since the loss in a short run like this would be
> fairly insignificant. The idea here is to get the antenna as high up in
the
> structure as you can. You will mount this at one end of the attic, where
the
> wall is straight up and down. So figure your length accordingly. rg-6 TV
> coax works well, and is readily available at the local Radio Shack store.
> Don`t forget to get a connector to convert the screw on connector on the
end
> of the cable to a BNC connector that will fit your scanner jack. Get about
6
> or 8 feet of #18 or #20 hookup wire, since we will have to ground the
> scanner chassis/coax braid in order for this to work properly. Stop off at
> the local Agway or other yard / garden store, and get a couple of Electric
> fence insulators, as small as they have, that mount to a wooden post with
a
> nail. However, people with metal - shelled mobile homes and people with
> metal roofs need read no further, since this will not work with these
kinds
> of structures. I am speaking here of a regular wood - frame building with
> regular roofing materials. Metal will block out the radio signals. People
> who live in these will have to resort to other means (of which there are
> quite a few). I will discuss these in another tome.
>
> CONSTRUCTION
>
> Now, you are going to have to make a hole into the attic somewhere,
in
> order to let the coax pass up into it. Pull the coax up through, and over
to
> where the antenna is going to be mounted. Take a good sharp knife, a small
> hammer, and a tape measure up with you. A small pair of scissors doesn`t
> hurt the process, either.
> Clip the connector off this end of the coax. You don`t need it for
the

> antenna end. Now, measure down the coax to the point corresponding to the
> length determined in the formula. If you are doing an antenna for 155 MHZ,
I
> would measure three feet, but nit - pickers and perfectionists will have
to
> get it perfect. We are now going to remove the outer hide from the coax.
> this will expose the shield braid underneath. You can then push the shield
> braid down the coax, to expand it and make it easier to work on. Give the
> shield a "haircut" right at the same point, and slide it right off the
end.
> clip any stray wire in the shield even with the jacket. We are now down to
> the inner foil shield, which can be removed by just going around it with
the
> knife, and pressing the blade into it, but not hard enough to cut the
center
> wire ! When you have gone all the way around, slide it and the plastic
core
> off the center wire. Your antenna is now finished, so it is time to mount
> it. Choose an appropriate end stud in the attic, that is tall enough to
> mount the antenna to, and start one of the insulators in the top. slip the
> top of the antenna wire into it, and tap it home. repeat at the bottom of
> the bare antenna wire. do NOT mount the antenna to the roof rafters, at an
> angle, or you will get very little signal. STRAIGHT UP is the rule here.
> You`re done in the attic. Go down to the radio end.
>
>
> THE BUSINESS (RADIO) END
>
> Before we hook up the connector to the radio, we have an important
task
> to do. Look the radio over, and see if there is a screw you can loosen up,
> maybe on the back of it, that goes into the metal chassis of the rig. This
> will be your ground point. Take the hookup wire you bought, and strip the
> insulation off one end for about 1/2 inch. Make a loop, and put it under
the
> screw, and tighten it up again. take the other end, and strip off 1/2 inch
> of insulation, and go to the nearest electrical outlet. (No, I am NOT
trying
> to "blow up your radio). The center screw that holds the face plate on the
> outlet is ground. Take the screw out, and make sure that there is bare
metal
> showing under the screw head. If there is paint, then take the knife and
> scrape the paint off on the bottom of the screw head. We want a good
> connection here. Put the wire under the screw head, and tighten it up
again.
> (Don`t overtighten, they strip out fairly easily)
>
> If there is no screw on the radio you can use, or you have a hand -
> held that you like to use elsewhere, then we use a little different
method.

> We need to ground the shield on the coax instead. If you have a fair -
> sized needle around, then you can work this under the hide of the coax,
but
> do NOT stick this straight into the coax, as you will short out the coax
and
> undo all your work so far ! Leave about 1/2 or 3/4 inch of the needle on
the
> outside of the jacket, and just wind the bare end of the hookup wire
around
> it and the coax. Some plastic electrical tape would be handy here, as you
> just tape the wire, needle and coax by simply going around the whole thing
> for a few turns. The idea is to make a connection to the shield of the
coax
> with the ground wire, as close to the radio as possible.
>
> WHY IS GROUNDING SO IMPORTANT ?
>
> I am sure you have seen the postings on the news groups. "I moved my
scanner
> into the computer room, and all i get is noise on it ! " or "Why does my
> scanner lock onto empty channels every time I turn on the TV ?" An
> understanding of just what the shield on the coax does for a living will
> help you understand why it is IMPERATIVE that you ground it ! The shield
of
> a coax has an important job to do. It is designed to KEEP NOISE OUT OF THE
> COAX. We only want to hear what the ANTENNA is picking up, NOT the
harmonics
> of the oscilators in the TV, stray RF harmonics of the blower motor in the
> furnace, RF noise from the computer, etc,etc,etc, ad nauseum. The average
> home is a hotbed of RF interference.
> There is another reason. We carefully cut the antenna to a resonant length
> for the band we want to listen to, right ? But the fact is, that until you
> ground the shield on the coax, THE WHOLE CENTER CONDUCTOR OF THE COAX WILL
> ACT AS AN ANTENNA, UNDOING ALL OUR CAREFUL TUNING AND PRUNING ! This is
> because, the shield of the coax DOESN`T EXIST ELECTRICALLY UNTIL YOU
GROUND
> IT ! This ALSO DEGRADES THE SIGNAL - TO - NOISE RATIO OF THE SCANNER DOWN
TO
> ALMOST 0 ! This HELPS THE ELECTRICAL NOISE IN YOUR HOUSE WIN ! Remember,
> some of the interfering signals in your home environment are hundreds or
> even THOUSANDS of times stronger than the signals you are trying to
monitor
> ! Do yourself and your scanner a favor, and ground the shield. This is
> especially important if you are running the rig off a wall - wart supply,
or
> something other than the regular 110 volt mains, since you really dont
have
> a ground on those !
>
>

> THE FUN PART
> (OR HOW I BEAT RADIO SHACK OUT OF 30 BUCKS)
>
> Now, hook up the coax to the scanner, and enjoy the hell out of it. You
will
> get solid reception on signals you could barely hear with the rubber duck
> antenna, and you will hear signals you couldn`t even hear at all before !
> The range of the scanner will be increased TREMENDOUSLY. 20 miles is
common,
> and 30 or 40 is certainly not unheard of ! You did it yourself, you saved
> money, and nobody is the wiser ! Now THAT is satisfaction ! Settle back
with
> your beer. You earned it !
>
>

34. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 21:15:41 UTC
From: Delayed Thinking <delay@delaythinking.com>
Message-ID: <1GpY7.7817$4d5.90314@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what if i just get any old antenna - size any old size - and get a radio shack signal booster thingy. will that work as well?

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message news:u3434lnek1oa7d@corp.supernews.com...
> MAKING YOUR OWN ANTENNA
>
> BY N1CSS
>
>
> There are many reasons why you would want to make your own antenna,
> perhaps you live in an area that doesn`t allow outdoor antennas, Or perhaps
> the budget doesn`t allow for the latest super - duper stainless steel wonder
> for 40 or 50 dollars. Maybe you live in a neighborhood where you wouldn`t
> want to "advertise."
>
> What I am going to describe here is just a simple half - wave antenna,
> that will give you good reception for anywhere from 20 to 40 miles, that you
> can build yourself for probably 15 or 20 dollars. (you can probably do it
> cheaper than that, if you have some decent coax cable lying around). The
> reason I suggest a half wave antenna is, that radials really aren`t needed.
> So let`s proceed.
>
>
> LENGTH OF THE ANTENNA
>
> The length of the antenna will depend on just what frequency band you
> want to listen to. Most people are interested in the Public Safety bands,
> which are right around 155 MHZ. You can figure out the length of the antenna
> for any band with the following formula:
>
>
> 468 / Freq (in MHZ)
>
> This gives you a half wave, in feet and fractions of a foot. Using 155 MHZ
> as an example, we would come out with:
>

> 468 / 155 = 3.019 feet
>
> Now, we can convert the fractional part of the answer into inches by
> multiplying it by 12 (the number of inches in a foot). This comes out to:
>
> .019 X 12 = .228
>
> .228 is close enough to a quarter inch so it won`t matter. so our antenna
> will be 3 feet, one-quarter inch long for 155 MHZ.
>
> CONSIDERATIONS
>
> We are going to make this antenna completely from a piece of coaxial
> cable. Which coax you use would depend on how long the run from the
scanner
> to the antenna will be. If the run is going to be 10 or 15 feet, then you
> can use almost any coax, since the loss in a short run like this would be
> fairly insignificant. The idea here is to get the antenna as high up in
the
> structure as you can. You will mount this at one end of the attic, where
the
> wall is straight up and down. So figure your length accordingly. rg-6 TV
> coax works well, and is readily available at the local Radio Shack store.
> Don`t forget to get a connector to convert the screw on connector on the
end
> of the cable to a BNC connector that will fit your scanner jack. Get about
6
> or 8 feet of #18 or #20 hookup wire, since we will have to ground the
> scanner chassis/coax braid in order for this to work properly. Stop off at
> the local Agway or other yard / garden store, and get a couple of Electric
> fence insulators, as small as they have, that mount to a wooden post with
a
> nail. However, people with metal - shelled mobile homes and people with
> metal roofs need read no further, since this will not work with these
kinds
> of structures. I am speaking here of a regular wood - frame building with
> regular roofing materials. Metal will block out the radio signals. People
> who live in these will have to resort to other means (of which there are
> quite a few). I will discuss these in another tome.
>
> CONSTRUCTION
>
> Now, you are going to have to make a hole into the attic somewhere,
in
> order to let the coax pass up into it. Pull the coax up through, and over
to
> where the antenna is going to be mounted. Take a good sharp knife, a small
> hammer, and a tape measure up with you. A small pair of scissors doesn`t
> hurt the process, either.
> Clip the connector off this end of the coax. You don`t need it for

the
> antenna end. Now, measure down the coax to the point corresponding to the
> length determined in the formula. If you are doing an antenna for 155 MHZ,
I
> would measure three feet, but nit - pickers and perfectionists will have
to
> get it perfect. We are now going to remove the outer hide from the coax.
> this will expose the shield braid underneath. You can then push the shield
> braid down the coax, to expand it and make it easier to work on. Give the
> shield a "haircut" right at the same point, and slide it right off the
end.
> clip any stray wire in the shield even with the jacket. We are now down to
> the inner foil shield, which can be removed by just going around it with
the
> knife, and pressing the blade into it, but not hard enough to cut the
center
> wire ! When you have gone all the way around, slide it and the plastic
core
> off the center wire. Your antenna is now finished, so it is time to mount
> it. Choose an appropriate end stud in the attic, that is tall enough to
> mount the antenna to, and start one of the insulators in the top. slip the
> top of the antenna wire into it, and tap it home. repeat at the bottom of
> the bare antenna wire. do NOT mount the antenna to the roof rafters, at an
> angle, or you will get very little signal. STRAIGHT UP is the rule here.
> You`re done in the attic. Go down to the radio end.
>
>
> THE BUSINESS (RADIO) END
>
> Before we hook up the connector to the radio, we have an important
task
> to do. Look the radio over, and see if there is a screw you can loosen up,
> maybe on the back of it, that goes into the metal chassis of the rig. This
> will be your ground point. Take the hookup wire you bought, and strip the
> insulation off one end for about 1/2 inch. Make a loop, and put it under
the
> screw, and tighten it up again. take the other end, and strip off 1/2 inch
> of insulation, and go to the nearest electrical outlet. (No, I am NOT
trying
> to "blow up your radio). The center screw that holds the face plate on the
> outlet is ground. Take the screw out, and make sure that there is bare
metal
> showing under the screw head. If there is paint, then take the knife and
> scrape the paint off on the bottom of the screw head. We want a good
> connection here. Put the wire under the screw head, and tighten it up
again.
> (Don`t overtighten, they strip out fairly easily)
>
> If there is no screw on the radio you can use, or you have a hand -
> held that you like to use elsewhere, then we use a little different

method.
> We need to ground the shield on the coax instead. If you have a fair -
> sized needle around, then you can work this under the hide of the coax,
but
> do NOT stick this straight into the coax, as you will short out the coax
and
> undo all your work so far ! Leave about 1/2 or 3/4 inch of the needle on
the
> outside of the jacket, and just wind the bare end of the hookup wire
around
> it and the coax. Some plastic electrical tape would be handy here, as you
> just tape the wire, needle and coax by simply going around the whole thing
> for a few turns. The idea is to make a connection to the shield of the
coax
> with the ground wire, as close to the radio as possible.
>
> WHY IS GROUNDING SO IMPORTANT ?
>
> I am sure you have seen the postings on the news groups. "I moved my
scanner
> into the computer room, and all i get is noise on it ! " or "Why does my
> scanner lock onto empty channels every time I turn on the TV ?" An
> understanding of just what the shield on the coax does for a living will
> help you understand why it is IMPERATIVE that you ground it ! The shield
of
> a coax has an important job to do. It is designed to KEEP NOISE OUT OF THE
> COAX. We only want to hear what the ANTENNA is picking up, NOT the
harmonics
> of the oscilators in the TV, stray RF harmonics of the blower motor in the
> furnace, RF noise from the computer, etc,etc,etc, ad nauseum. The average
> home is a hotbed of RF interference.
> There is another reason. We carefully cut the antenna to a resonant length
> for the band we want to listen to, right ? But the fact is, that until you
> ground the shield on the coax, THE WHOLE CENTER CONDUCTOR OF THE COAX WILL
> ACT AS AN ANTENNA, UNDOING ALL OUR CAREFUL TUNING AND PRUNING ! This is
> because, the shield of the coax DOESN`T EXIST ELECTRICALLY UNTIL YOU
GROUND
> IT ! This ALSO DEGRADES THE SIGNAL - TO - NOISE RATIO OF THE SCANNER DOWN
TO
> ALMOST 0 ! This HELPS THE ELECTRICAL NOISE IN YOUR HOUSE WIN ! Remember,
> some of the interfering signals in your home environment are hundreds or
> even THOUSANDS of times stronger than the signals you are trying to
monitor
> ! Do yourself and your scanner a favor, and ground the shield. This is
> especially important if you are running the rig off a wall - wart supply,
or
> something other than the regular 110 volt mains, since you really dont
have
> a ground on those !
>

>
> THE FUN PART
> (OR HOW I BEAT RADIO SHACK OUT OF 30 BUCKS)
>
> Now, hook up the coax to the scanner, and enjoy the hell out of it. You
will
> get solid reception on signals you could barely hear with the rubber duck
> antenna, and you will hear signals you couldn`t even hear at all before !
> The range of the scanner will be increased TREMENDOUSLY. 20 miles is
common,
> and 30 or 40 is certainly not unheard of ! You did it yourself, you saved
> money, and nobody is the wiser ! Now THAT is satisfaction ! Settle back
with
> your beer. You earned it !
>
>

35. Re: cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 21:17:45 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletelZ.com>
Message-ID: <3C322779.6269@mobiletelZ.com>
Source record: 54706

twï¿½ï¿½,.., wrote:
>
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Bruce or Tracy Jacobs" <bljacobs2@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3C316AF5.4A6CF343@home.com...
> > It's illegal to repeat what you hear and/or use what you here for
> > personal
> > gain or profit.
>
> True, BUT it is illegal to monitor cellular and cordless phone transmissions
> in the US period.
YEAH, RIGHT,
Call your local federal attorney. They have bigger problems than
this to deal with.

36. Re: Sony ICF-SC1PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 21:19:04 UTC
From: unclejoe <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <BBpY7.3861$ag1.160015@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 54703

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3c321a2b.1986641@news-server.optonline.net...
> Don't think there is a cell mod

Yep there is, I got one on ebay for only $150! I guess everyone else thought
it was bogus too!

37. Re: cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 21:42:34 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <a0tb6h$1l7$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54709

It's just like being a politician - it's only illegal IF you get caught!

--
/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com

"tw��,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
news:Wk6Y7.2122$4d5.13882@rwcrnsc54...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:7dOX7.506$Ts.144527@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > Hey, why is it that when I'm listening to cordless phones in the 900MHZ
> > > range sometimes it cuts in and out. Like, if they talk all softly it's
> fine
> > > but if they raise their voices it gets choppy.
> >
> >
> > Just a note, it is illegal in the US to monitor cordless phone
> > transmissions.
> >
> >

38. Re: How do we take care of this "WB6GKI"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 21:45:14 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <K5qY7.8076$4d5.93636@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have never dissed BC. INFACT, it is ME who has posted on this
NG stating that we should FORGET ALL THE TROUBLE THAT
BC CAUSED & LET HIM REST IN PEACE!!!

Dr Pepper, aka WB6GKI <DrPepper@iwvisp.com> wrote
> My Gaaawd!! Is Mackey still dissing Bill Cheek?????
> Hasn't anyone told him that billl died a while back
> What is the matter with that A**hole, anyway????
> MACKEY,, GET A LIFE, , , , ,
>
> On Sun, 11 Nov 2001 12:14:39 GMT, "Joe Palooka" <joe@palooka.com>
> wrote:
> >So you and Mackey should be very happy together. Two nit wits in a pea
pod.
> >
> >
> >"Cue A Man" <Cueaman@Cableone.net> wrote
> >> Most knowledgeable useneters know that BC was the one who left the
group in
> >> disgrace after losing his account from RR for harrassment!

39. WinScan demo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 21:46:05 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <rAqoC7Ad4iM8EwM3@btinternet.com>
Source record: 54708

> Pozilla does not have Winscan demo no more. I just got a BC245 for
> Xmas and would like to try Winscan out can anybody Hook me up please?

Good old Pozilla, I see the realisation that their WinScan* demo's had
been hacked is about as fast as their software support.. Surprisingly
Scanner Master:

http://www.scannermaster.com/

Are still carrying WinScan* demo's..

Supposedly they found the hacks by searching this site for 'winscan':

http://astalavista.box.sk

--

40. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 22:08:08 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <crqY7.34885$Z03.22110367@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 54710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The shield is as "grounded" as it needs to be, when it's connected to the radio.
Other than that< it"s a great article.

--
--
Dave's Engineering Page: http://www.dvanhorn.org

Got a need to read Bar codes? http://www.barcodechip.com
Bi-directional read of UPC-A, UPC-E, EAN-8, EAN-13, JAN, and Bookland, with two or five digit supplemental codes, in an 8 pin chip, with NO external parts.

41. See What it Looks Like!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 22:19:21 UTC
From: Bruce Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3C3235E9.CF66C4EF@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 54711

Wonder what that scanner/shortwave receiver looks like? Go to
www.rigpix.com.
73 de Pat

42. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 22:21:58 UTC
From: mike <mboyes@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C32361C.188838BE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54712

"twï¿½ï¿½,.," wrote:

> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> <"jnjkelley"@sbcglobal.net@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:vEcY7.19647$V02.438093023@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > This is a dicey question... It is apparently not illegal to LISTEN to
> phone
> > comms, but it is to DISCUSS phone comms listened to.
>
> In the US, it IS ILLEGAL to monitor cellular and cordless phone
> transmissions.

The FCC also receives many inquiries regarding the interception and
recording of telephone conversations. To the extent these
conversations are radio transmissions, there would be no violation of
Section 705 if there were no divulgence or beneficial use
of the conversation. Again, however, the mere interception of some
telephone-related radio transmissions, e.g., cellular,
cordless and landline conversations, may constitute a criminal violation of
other Federal or State statutes. Further, there are
Federal and State tariffs that impose conditions for recording telephone
conversations. See Use of Recording Devices, 2 FCC
Rcd.502 (1987).

43. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 22:38:08 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <kTqY7.34891$Z03.22137241@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 54713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> what if i just get any old antenna - size any old size - and get a radio
> shack signal booster thingy. will that work as well?

Poorly.

Scanner antennas are somewhat difficult, because the scanner is typically a broadbanded device.
I have an IC-R8500 receiver, which is probably the outer end of this problem. It receives from 0.1 to 1999 MHz. No practical antenna is going to be useful over this range.

The dipole that was discussed is not a broadband antenna, nor was it presented as one
It's a good antenna for the public service bands, (or whatever band you construct it for), but it has a finite, and not large, bandwidth.

The Discone is probably the closest you'll come to a "DC to Daylight" antenna.

That's what I use, with an amplifier, as you suggest, to overcome the discone's low gain, and to drive four outputs, which go to four radios. It has reasonable gain from the ham 2 meter band through a GHz or so, and that suits my needs.

Unfortunately, amplifiers are not always a good thing. They amplify everything that comes down the pipe, and they add noise of their own. In my case, I get strong signals from local cell sites, and a local FM broadcaster. These signals tend to de-sense my receivers, so I have added handmade stub filters that cut them down by 40dB before they enter the amplifier.

With an antenna that is not cut for the frequency of interest, you will probably have signals that are stronger than the desired signals. Those then get amplified, and you add the amplifier's noise. All in all, not great.
It may be an improvement over something else, but you could get a lot more use out of your equipment if you take a little care to use it properly.

--
--
Dave's Engineering Page: http://www.dvanhorn.org

Got a need to read Bar codes? http://www.barcodechip.com
Bi-directional read of UPC-A, UPC-E, EAN-8, EAN-13, JAN, and Bookland, with two or five digit supplemental codes, in an 8 pin chip, with NO external parts.

44. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 22:55:03 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <G3rY7.138388$lV4.22983621@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54714

> In the US, it IS ILLEGAL to monitor cellular and cordless phone
> transmissions.

No, it is illegal to think that any analog RF signal is secure!

Roland

45. Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 23:12:48 UTC
From: Avraam <avraam3334@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QnrY7.8713$4d5.98496@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54715
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Screenshots for the oncoming free application for Uniden BC 780XLT.

http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/

If you have any comments or suggestions for the application I will be glad to hear from you.

-ioan ghip aka avraam

46. Yaesu VR-120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 23:16:20 UTC
From: jsevans@nc.rr.com
Message-ID: <3c3268c5.2068922@news-server.nc.rr.com>
Source record: 54716

Last Saturday, I picked up a BC-60XLTfor $100 at Wal-Mart. I thought that I did pretty good. That's about the price I would pay on E-bay, minus the S/H charges.

Last night I picked up a copy of Monitering Times, and on the fifth page, I saw an ad from Amatuer Electronics supply (www.aesham.com) advertising the Yaesu VR-120 handheld reciever. This bad boy covers everything from .1 to 1299Mhz for the modest price of $130. My Bearcat scanner only covers 30 to 512Mhz! I really feel gipped.

I would appreciate it if anyone here has tried one of these Yeasu receivers and if they could tell me if they are really that great considering they recive such a huge range of frequencies for such a low price.

47. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-01 23:44:48 UTC
From: kilmister <kilmister@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <U9pY7.900$dc.183513@brie.direct.ca>
Source record: 54700
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

you have grounded the shield if you ran the hook up wire to the screw on the hydro faceplate as indicated in the instructions. if you are near a water pipe this will also make a good ground connection wrap the hook up wire around the pipe and secure with a hose clamp.

If your scanner is a base with a 3 prong plug it is most likely already grounded (you can verify with an ohm meter if you have one).

kilmister

"> > ! Do yourself and your scanner a favor, and ground the shield. This is
> > especially important if you are running the rig off a wall - wart
supply,
> or
> > something other than the regular 110 volt mains, since you really dont
> have
> > a ground on those !
>
>
> Sorry to be a dummy here- but how do I ground the shield?
>
> Bob
>
>

48. Lettering,numbers on the 780xlt rubbing, peeling off?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 00:04:55 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@home.com>
Message-ID: <H8sY7.202031$kf1.60589956@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 54717
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To anyone who owns a 780xlt. Do you notice any or beginning signs of wear on the numbers or letters? This used to be a problem on my other Bearcat I owned.

49. Re: Lettering,numbers on the 780xlt rubbing, peeling off?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 00:15:14 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <86k43u40mam27iaaj6mkts579fislmtj6r@4ax.com>
Source record: 54718
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 02 Jan 2002 00:04:55 GMT, "B" <bpk8227nospam@home.com> wrote:

>To anyone who owns a 780xlt. Do you notice any or beginning signs of wear on
>the numbers or letters? This used to be a problem on my other Bearcat I
>owned.
>

I haven't noticed it to be a problem. Just looked at mine under my
desk lamp and they seem fine. I got it this spring, and it's been used
quite a bit.

I suspect if they did start to rub off though it could be fixed
easily. I took this unit apart not long ago, and the keys are just a
hunk of rubber that I'm sure Uniden would sell you - easy to replace.

50. Newbie question on a "first scanner"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 00:39:50 UTC
From: DJ Bjorklund <bjork57NOSPAM@hickorytech.net>
Message-ID: <uil43ukbb0uebln5hsvkolf7iubt8m00ll@4ax.com>
Source record: 54719

Would the BC890XLT be a good introduction to scanning unit?
I live in a rural area of Minnesota.
What would you expect to pay for a used unit in very good condition?
Thanks

51. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 01:15:14 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <M7tY7.2975$4i6.169582@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54720

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
news:G3rY7.138388$lV4.22983621@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > In the US, it IS ILLEGAL to monitor cellular and cordless phone
> > transmissions.
>
> No, it is illegal to think that any analog RF signal is secure!
>
> Roland

Correction: It is STUPID to think that any analog RF signal is secure!

Stupidity is not against the law.

Mark

52. RS Pro-94: Locking out talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 01:47:25 UTC
From: Karl Agee <kdagee2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C3266AD.B276ECFE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54721

How to I lockout a talkgroup with a Pro-94??? The method in the manual isnt working....when the talkgroup you dont want comes up, press the L-out button, but, that isnt working.

--karl

53. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 01:50:09 UTC
From: Bart Bailey <f@l.se>
Message-ID: <3C326751.555BA29@amsat.org>
Source record: 54722
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Avraam wrote:

> Screenshots for the oncoming free application for Uniden BC 780XLT.
>
> http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/
>
> If you have any comments or suggestions for the application I will be glad to hear from you.
>
> -ioan ghip aka avraam

Looks great!
I like the concept of mass insertion (pasting) from data bases, something the WinScan doesn't
do.

~~Bart~~

54. Re: Pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 01:50:47 UTC
From: William Marvel <mconnell@stic.net>
Message-ID: <3c32665d$0$5685$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 54723

Good question. It states in the book that it will do just that. It says willl clone or transfer from PC. I bought the POLICE CALL 2002 version yesterday after I bought my PRO-93 and it came with the national CD-ROM included in the back that has the software to program the unit but says PRO-2052, I'm almost sure it will work on the 93 also. I am going to build my own cable from a schematic I found on the web. Radio Shack wants $69.99 on the cable and they have to order it. They do not stock it. I sure hope the list any cellular mods for this unit.

73,

Michael

Moneymaker <moneymaker@onemain.com> wrote in message news:WaHX7.331$%C1.69285@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Is there a way to grogram the pro93 from the computer
>
>

55. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 02:04:03 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c326a83.31345602@news.mint.net>
Source record: 54724
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I agree.....Thanks 100%

On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 21:14:42 GMT, "Delayed Thinking"
<delay@delaythinking.com> wrote:

>that's really nice of you to take the time to put this together for us all.
>
>
>"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
>news:u3434lnek1oa7d@corp.supernews.com...
>> MAKING YOUR OWN ANTENNA
>>
>> BY N1CSS
>>
>>
>> There are many reasons why you would want to make your own antenna,
>> perhaps you live in an area that doesn`t allow outdoor antennas, Or
>perhaps
>> the budget doesn`t allow for the latest super - duper stainless steel
>wonder
>> for 40 or 50 dollars. Maybe you live in a neighborhood where you wouldn`t
>> want to "advertise."
>>
>> What I am going to describe here is just a simple half - wave
>antenna,
>> that will give you good reception for anywhere from 20 to 40 miles, that
>you
>> can build yourself for probably 15 or 20 dollars. (you can probably do it
>> cheaper than that, if you have some decent coax cable lying around). The
>> reason I suggest a half wave antenna is, that radials really aren`t
>needed.
>> So let`s proceed.
>>
>>
>> LENGTH OF THE ANTENNA
>>
>> The length of the antenna will depend on just what frequency band you
>> want to listen to. Most people are interested in the Public Safety bands,
>> which are right around 155 MHZ. You can figure out the length of the
>antenna
>> for any band with the following formula:
>>
>>
>> 468 / Freq (in MHZ)

>>
>> This gives you a half wave, in feet and fractions of a foot. Using 155 MHZ
>> as an example, we would come out with:
>>
>> 468 / 155 = 3.019 feet
>>
>> Now, we can convert the fractional part of the answer into inches by
>> multiplying it by 12 (the number of inches in a foot). This comes out to:
>>
>> .019 X 12 = .228
>>
>> .228 is close enough to a quarter inch so it won`t matter. so our antenna
>> will be 3 feet, one-quarter inch long for 155 MHZ.
>>
>> CONSIDERATIONS
>>
>> We are going to make this antenna completely from a piece of coaxial
>> cable. Which coax you use would depend on how long the run from the
>scanner
>> to the antenna will be. If the run is going to be 10 or 15 feet, then you
>> can use almost any coax, since the loss in a short run like this would be
>> fairly insignificant. The idea here is to get the antenna as high up in
>the
>> structure as you can. You will mount this at one end of the attic, where
>the
>> wall is straight up and down. So figure your length accordingly. rg-6 TV
>> coax works well, and is readily available at the local Radio Shack store.
>> Don`t forget to get a connector to convert the screw on connector on the
>end
>> of the cable to a BNC connector that will fit your scanner jack. Get about
>6
>> or 8 feet of #18 or #20 hookup wire, since we will have to ground the
>> scanner chassis/coax braid in order for this to work properly. Stop off at
>> the local Agway or other yard / garden store, and get a couple of Electric
>> fence insulators, as small as they have, that mount to a wooden post with
>a
>> nail. However, people with metal - shelled mobile homes and people with
>> metal roofs need read no further, since this will not work with these
>kinds
>> of structures. I am speaking here of a regular wood - frame building with
>> regular roofing materials. Metal will block out the radio signals. People
>> who live in these will have to resort to other means (of which there are
>> quite a few). I will discuss these in another tome.
>>
>> CONSTRUCTION
>>
>> Now, you are going to have to make a hole into the attic somewhere,
>in
>> order to let the coax pass up into it. Pull the coax up through, and over
>to

>> where the antenna is going to be mounted. Take a good sharp knife, a small
>> hammer, and a tape measure up with you. A small pair of scissors doesn`t
>> hurt the process, either.
>> Clip the connector off this end of the coax. You don`t need it for
>the
>> antenna end. Now, measure down the coax to the point corresponding to the
>> length determined in the formula. If you are doing an antenna for 155 MHZ,
>I
>> would measure three feet, but nit - pickers and perfectionists will have
>to
>> get it perfect. We are now going to remove the outer hide from the coax.
>> this will expose the shield braid underneath. You can then push the shield
>> braid down the coax, to expand it and make it easier to work on. Give the
>> shield a "haircut" right at the same point, and slide it right off the
>end.
>> clip any stray wire in the shield even with the jacket. We are now down to
>> the inner foil shield, which can be removed by just going around it with
>the
>> knife, and pressing the blade into it, but not hard enough to cut the
>center
>> wire ! When you have gone all the way around, slide it and the plastic
>core
>> off the center wire. Your antenna is now finished, so it is time to mount
>> it. Choose an appropriate end stud in the attic, that is tall enough to
>> mount the antenna to, and start one of the insulators in the top. slip the
>> top of the antenna wire into it, and tap it home. repeat at the bottom of
>> the bare antenna wire. do NOT mount the antenna to the roof rafters, at an
>> angle, or you will get very little signal. STRAIGHT UP is the rule here.
>> You`re done in the attic. Go down to the radio end.
>>
>>
>> THE BUSINESS (RADIO) END
>>
>> Before we hook up the connector to the radio, we have an important
>task
>> to do. Look the radio over, and see if there is a screw you can loosen up,
>> maybe on the back of it, that goes into the metal chassis of the rig. This
>> will be your ground point. Take the hookup wire you bought, and strip the
>> insulation off one end for about 1/2 inch. Make a loop, and put it under
>the
>> screw, and tighten it up again. take the other end, and strip off 1/2 inch
>> of insulation, and go to the nearest electrical outlet. (No, I am NOT
>trying
>> to "blow up your radio). The center screw that holds the face plate on the
>> outlet is ground. Take the screw out, and make sure that there is bare
>metal
>> showing under the screw head. If there is paint, then take the knife and
>> scrape the paint off on the bottom of the screw head. We want a good
>> connection here. Put the wire under the screw head, and tighten it up
>again.

>> (Don`t overtighten, they strip out fairly easily)
>>
>> If there is no screw on the radio you can use, or you have a hand -
>> held that you like to use elsewhere, then we use a little different
>method.
>> We need to ground the shield on the coax instead. If you have a fair -
>> sized needle around, then you can work this under the hide of the coax,
>but
>> do NOT stick this straight into the coax, as you will short out the coax
>and
>> undo all your work so far ! Leave about 1/2 or 3/4 inch of the needle on
>the
>> outside of the jacket, and just wind the bare end of the hookup wire
>around
>> it and the coax. Some plastic electrical tape would be handy here, as you
>> just tape the wire, needle and coax by simply going around the whole thing
>> for a few turns. The idea is to make a connection to the shield of the
>coax
>> with the ground wire, as close to the radio as possible.
>>
>> WHY IS GROUNDING SO IMPORTANT ?
>>
>> I am sure you have seen the postings on the news groups. "I moved my
>scanner
>> into the computer room, and all i get is noise on it ! " or "Why does my
>> scanner lock onto empty channels every time I turn on the TV ?" An
>> understanding of just what the shield on the coax does for a living will
>> help you understand why it is IMPERATIVE that you ground it ! The shield
>of
>> a coax has an important job to do. It is designed to KEEP NOISE OUT OF THE
>> COAX. We only want to hear what the ANTENNA is picking up, NOT the
>harmonics
>> of the oscilators in the TV, stray RF harmonics of the blower motor in the
>> furnace, RF noise from the computer, etc,etc,etc, ad nauseum. The average
>> home is a hotbed of RF interference.
>> There is another reason. We carefully cut the antenna to a resonant length
>> for the band we want to listen to, right ? But the fact is, that until you
>> ground the shield on the coax, THE WHOLE CENTER CONDUCTOR OF THE COAX WILL
>> ACT AS AN ANTENNA, UNDOING ALL OUR CAREFUL TUNING AND PRUNING ! This is
>> because, the shield of the coax DOESN`T EXIST ELECTRICALLY UNTIL YOU
>GROUND
>> IT ! This ALSO DEGRADES THE SIGNAL - TO - NOISE RATIO OF THE SCANNER DOWN
>TO
>> ALMOST 0 ! This HELPS THE ELECTRICAL NOISE IN YOUR HOUSE WIN ! Remember,
>> some of the interfering signals in your home environment are hundreds or
>> even THOUSANDS of times stronger than the signals you are trying to
>monitor
>> ! Do yourself and your scanner a favor, and ground the shield. This is
>> especially important if you are running the rig off a wall - wart supply,
>or

>> something other than the regular 110 volt mains, since you really dont
>have
>> a ground on those !
>>
>>
>> THE FUN PART
>> (OR HOW I BEAT RADIO SHACK OUT OF 30 BUCKS)
>>
>> Now, hook up the coax to the scanner, and enjoy the hell out of it. You
>will
>> get solid reception on signals you could barely hear with the rubber duck
>> antenna, and you will hear signals you couldn`t even hear at all before !
>> The range of the scanner will be increased TREMENDOUSLY. 20 miles is
>common,
>> and 30 or 40 is certainly not unheard of ! You did it yourself, you saved
>> money, and nobody is the wiser ! Now THAT is satisfaction ! Settle back
>with
>> your beer. You earned it !
>>
>>
>
>

56. Re: Sony ICF-SC1PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 02:10:34 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u_tY7.997$6h2.138656@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54725

There definitely IS a cell mod. It requires a steady hand, a magnifying lens, a fine-tipped tweezers, a fine-tipped soldering iron and a bit of skill, but it works!

As stated before: check the archives of www.yahoogroups.com "SonySC1" and "SonyWavehawk" for the instructions and other tips on performing it.

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3c321a2b.1986641@news-server.optonline.net...
> Don't think there is a cell mod
> This is the most sensitive scanner I've ever had, use it all the time
> Programming is a pain without the computer.

57. Re: Lettering,numbers on the 780xlt rubbing, peeling off?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 02:42:53 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C3273AB.25615042@amsat.org>
Source record: 54727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

B wrote:

> To anyone who owns a 780xlt. Do you notice any or beginning signs of wear on
> the numbers or letters? This used to be a problem on my other Bearcat I
> owned.

I'd love to have had mine long enough to experience wear issues.
I bought a 780 the second week of Oct., had it about three weeks and noticed an irregularity.
It went back the 1st of Nov. and I'm still waiting for it. (doesn't get much wear that way).

addenda:
Looks like the FedEx driver might have "misplaced" it,
so another is due here in a few days,
stay tuned.

~Bart~

58. Re: Pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 02:42:55 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <2ts43ucpf0g4goi3prorqv5a41s8n0culm@4ax.com>
Source record: 54726

I take it this item doesnt have the "programming cable" i need then?

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D048

On Tue, 1 Jan 2002 19:50:47 -0600, "William Marvel"
<mconnell@stic.net> wrote:

>Good question. It states in the book that it will do just that. It says
>willl clone or transfer from PC. I bought the POLICE CALL 2002 version
>yesterday after I bought my PRO-93 and it came with the national CD-ROM
>included in the back that has the software to program the unit but says
>PRO-2052, I'm almost sure it will work on the 93 also. I am going to build
>my own cable from a schematic I found on the web. Radio Shack wants $69.99
>on the cable and they have to order it. They do not stock it. I sure hope
>the list any cellular mods for this unit.
>
>73,
>
>Michael
>
>
>Moneymaker <moneymaker@onemain.com> wrote in message
>news:WaHX7.331$%C1.69285@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> Is there a way to grogram the pro93 from the computer
>>
>>
>

59. pro-2004 AC Power short circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 02:55:05 UTC
From: epaetz41@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <bff806e9.0201011855.71f5ff15@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54728

A frayed AC power cord on my Radio Shack Pro-2004 scanner arced last night and the unit went dead. The arcing left a burn mark on the table top. If anyone has had the same experience or any suggestions I'm all ears.

I did not see any type of fuse inside the unit. I was wondering if I just replaced the transformer, would the unit be operational. Or, did I blow some of the circuitry should I just allocate it to the dead electronics pile in my attic?

Also, I haven't tried running DC power to it yet because I don't have a wall unit that supplies the correct voltage and has the proper connector. Even with the AC blowout, could it still operate on DC voltage in?

Thanks,
Eric
N8MFA

60. Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:01:10 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020101220110.23618.00002106@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54729

Please post all your off-topic personal attacks here & leave the rest of the newsgroup for those of us who wish to discuss the scanning hobby.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

61. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:01:10 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020101220110.23618.00002105@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54730

Flamers and trollers...I have created a special subject in this newsgroup, just for your "special needs."

Please see:

"Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss, etc."

62. Help needed: BC-560XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:14:40 UTC
From: kenfoshee@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e11f8a29.0201011914.35944fd4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54731

I have 2 of these scanners I have been using and one has quit
recieving. It comes on, scans and the squelch works. My problem is for
example is when I press the "WX" for weather the light only blinks no
sound. I disconnected the antenna from the 2nd scanner (that works)
and pressed the "WX" button and the light only blinks. It is as if the
1st scanner is not reconizing a signal.
Any advise or suggestions will be greatly appreciated. The scanners
are older but still do fine for my needs.

63. Re: Pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:18:41 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <5ou43u0klgcm38ftco8t9js4jlio3c4nr9@4ax.com>
Source record: 54732

On Wed, 02 Jan 2002 02:42:55 GMT, eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
wrote:

>I take it this item doesnt have the "programming cable" i need then?
>
>http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D048

That cable will not work with the PRO-93. The 93 uses the same cable
as the 92. Software is different. RS has not yet released software
for the 93.

Check out the pro-93 group at Yahoo for a lively discussion of cables.
If you join the group, you'll get access to the files area, which has
software for the 93.

Ken Plotkin

64. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:30:56 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d9vY7.3015$4i6.196255@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54733

In article <20020101220110.23618.00002105@mb-mq.aol.com>,
toomanyradios@aol.com says...
> Flamers and trollers...I have created a special subject in this newsgroup, just
> for your "special needs."
>
> Please see:
>
> "Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss,
> etc."

Do you get a little silver or gold bar to wear below your Net-Cop
Badge for posting this? Bet you look like a stud in your Net Cop
uniform, gold bars and all!

Thanks for doing such a commendable service for USENET. God it must be
a thankless job but you keep plowing ahead against all odds!

God Bless You, God Bless America and God Bless the Net Cops every
where, those thankless heros of Usenet!

Glenn

Have you hugged your Net-cop today?

65. Re: pro-2004 AC Power short circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:32:31 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IavY7.3018$4i6.196687@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54734

In article <bff806e9.0201011855.71f5ff15@posting.google.com>,
epaetz41@hotmail.com says...
> A frayed AC power cord on my Radio Shack Pro-2004 scanner arced last
> night and the unit went dead. The arcing left a burn mark on the table
> top. If anyone has had the same experience or any suggestions I'm all
> ears.
>
> I did not see any type of fuse inside the unit. I was wondering if I
> just replaced the transformer, would the unit be operational. Or, did
> I blow some of the circuitry should I just allocate it to the dead
> electronics pile in my attic?
>
> Also, I haven't tried running DC power to it yet because I don't have
> a wall unit that supplies the correct voltage and has the proper
> connector. Even with the AC blowout, could it still operate on DC
> voltage in?
>
> Thanks,
> Eric
> N8MFA

Yes you can operate it on DC. Good way to see if it died. I suspect if
you just replace the power cord you will be okay. What makes you
believe anything else is bad? It was just the cord right?

Glenn

66. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:44:30 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.01.22.47.56.596855.26176@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 18:12:48 -0500, Avraam wrote:

> Screenshots for the oncoming free application for Uniden BC 780XLT.
>
> http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/
>
> If you have any comments or suggestions for the application I will be
> glad to hear from you.
>
> -ioan ghip aka avraam

Looks good! Any chance of a Linux/Unix version of the software or of available source code for the DIY type? I could use something like this here since I'm on the verge of retiring my 2005's and 2006's in favor of some new rigs - the 780 being close to the top of the list.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

67. Re: Pro 2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:54:44 UTC
From: Jim <hfdxer@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <8wvY7.14565$yi.1516245@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54736

Pro 2052 is on sale at Radio shack for $249. If you hook it up to your computer and use Winscan RS software it will let you alpha tag and scan trunks and conventional at the same time. 1000 channels and mil air

"forbesdog" <forbesdog@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:lznX7.337793$5A3.130026914@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Hello all. I have a RS PRO-2052. Does anyone have any advice on whether I
> should upgrade to the 2053 or Bearcat XLT780? I need trunked, and I want to
> start mil air listening.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>
>
>
>

68. newbie question on programing a receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:55:25 UTC
From: *Muffin* <muffin@neo.lrun.com>
Message-ID: <a0u0dt018a2@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54739

(I have the pro-94 radio shack,, but not sure if that matters)

when you are programming in trunk & regular 'one' channel frequencies, can they all be on the same bank?

I have it set to search the trunks & conventional frequencies,, but am wondering what is the difference to set it(the group of named trunk frequencies) up AS a trunk (as the directions inform you to do) when it still lists the numbered spots in the bank & you can see them 'wiz' by... what would be the difference to just putting them in as REGULAR conventional frequencies?

so far I have found only ONE trunked set of 8 stations(frequencies)....(to load into it)

(so I have bank 1 ch 1 to 8 as the trunk & the rest as singular)

also WHAT the heck is a "fleet" & group ID lists?
how do you tell, what do you use them for? etc....

69. Re: How do we take care of this "WB6GKI"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 03:58:17 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.01.23.01.44.972472.26176@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 16:45:14 -0500, KSï¿½F wrote:

> I have never dissed BC. INFACT, it is ME who has posted on this NG
> stating that we should FORGET ALL THE TROUBLE THAT BC CAUSED & LET HIM
> REST IN PEACE!!!

What was the whole mess about anyhow? I tried a Google search, but found
either dead links or ones that didn't have the details.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

70. Re: WANTED: ICOM PCR100/1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 04:15:24 UTC
From: Dick Beerman <rbeersr@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <3C32895C.6040807@ev1.net>
Source record: 54738
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Are you still looking for a 1000? Have one here that is not being used and has had little use. Mine is stock as it came from Icom. Are you interested? Dick W5AK

Wizard wrote:

>Hi,
>
>Has anyone got the icom pcr100/1000 prefabily the 1000 for sale. Must
>be in good workimg order and reasonably priced. Please email me.
>
>Many thanks
>

71. Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 04:22:50 UTC
From: Phil <plicht@optonline.com>
Message-ID: <uWvY7.2574$6h2.520418@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi. I have been shopping around for a bearcat 780xlt. Actually, i am looking to buy one for me, and one for my uncle (he's paying his own way here!!) I was wondering if there was any decent place to buy this from. I was considering HRO (www.hamradio.com) or even scannerworld.com, but i am trying to shave off the tax (new york 8.5%). Can anybody point me in the right direction for a reliable store to buy 2 scanners from? Can anybody believe that buy.com wants $499 for the scanner???
thanks for all your help
happy new year

phil

72. Re: trying to decipher cell phone freq's pattern

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 04:32:47 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <0g153u081n2stqglvg9kctudggf2u6coqd@4ax.com>
Source record: 54741
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yes .... (to answer a question with a bad return email addy) ... it
WAS / IS quite easy to monitor and follow signals cell to cell .. from
frequency hop changes or by monitoring the signal that commands
the mobile handoff channels (done easily using many DDI programs
or the c-tek / oki-900 setup) A quality " cell map " of your town
or sector of town , hand printed on a nice city streets map (or
GPS mapping setup , nowadays) and a scanner , were all that
was needed to follow people around town ... using just the cellular
signal location. (this back before it became illegal < in the USA >
to monitor cellular conversations) Locating the current channel
and then monitoring the " hops " or " handoffs of the towers on
an inactive but registered < turned on > cellfone " .... and
referencing the channels on your cell / channels reference list ...
was all that was needed to easily track the " exact street and
direction people went. Police , detectives and especially government
agencies used this methodology to great advantage. New switchgear
software and cellular tracking programs have made this task much
easier , today. (for police or agency uses , only) ... the NEW
cellular GPS / locator ID code , rules will make it even easier and
more accurate.
IN the USA , cellular monitoring is illegal , a federal offense.
This mentioned methodology only works (as stated) for analog
cellfone location tracking ...finding and tracking the location of PCS
, tdma , and smr equipment requires expensive " cell site " testing
equipment (like the LCC EXP-2001 or the " Foxx " type monitors)
..frequently available used on Ebay at reasonable prices ..often under
$150. (often $650 to $10,000 ...tho ...)
WARNING ..professional or authorized " provider " , police or federal
agency use only ..private use of such equipment likely breaks numerous
federal and state laws. cellular providers usually do the tracking and
policing of their own systems first ..then report criminal activity to
the appropriate agency or authority, when necessary.

a_alpha1

my cellular / mobile phone education was courtesy of the
Federal government (Army Security Agency ..conus unit)

On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 10:13:14 GMT, a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote:

>good copy of the " cell structure and freq " info here ....
>
>http://www.angelfire.com/geek/mytc/texts/cellfonechannel.txt
>

>a_alpha1
>
>
>On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 10:08:40 GMT, a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote:
>
>>analog cellular frequency distribution is VERY specific and
>>controlled. it is regulated by " cells " in which you reside at any
>>given time. for instance , I'm in the " a " provider cell number 314
>>... this cell has (as do all analog cells) 16 related transmit (by
>>the tower) frequencies and 16 related (tower input) freqs.
>> bordering my cell is cell 320 on the mid right , cell 325 upper
>>right , 331 upper left , cell 329 mid left , 324 lower left and
>>328 lower right. each of these cells has 16 input and 16 output
>>channels too. each cell has 6 sides , all cell coverage is duped by
>>the " b" provider ...but on higher freqs. (channels) Sound
>>complicated ...?? .... it is ..... get the " Motorola Bible "
>>and read all about analog cell distribution and related cell freqs.
>>
>>http://homepages.ihug.co.nz/~ugh/
>>(plus MANY listings for it on Yahoo)
>>
>>A cheap $5 motorola brick, bag or flip is handy to identify cells and
>>generate a cell grid map for your part of town ... or the whole area.
>>(jump two contacts on the brick and it displays the cell ID info
>>..where ever you are .. plus signal strength data too)
>>
>>Remember that actually listening to cellular conversations , in the
>>USA , is illegal. If you could listen to cell channels legally ..it
>>is unnecessary to program ALL 800 (or whatever) channels as
>>they are repeated , cell by cell at certain distance intervals. You
>>only need the one you are in (and you will always be in one) ..and
>>the adjacent cells and the ones adjacent to those. past those ..
>>you will be so far away from the others that they will start to
>>interfere with each other ... this with an omni antenna . a beam can
>>cure that problem , tho.
>>
>>a_alpha1
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 03:14:42 GMT, "Karl"
>><usereplytoaddress@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>ok, first off, yes I know that it's illegal so instead of flaming, just
>>>don't answer.
>>>
>>>I scanned the freq range from 894 to 900 MHz and found a pattern. They all
>>>end with the numbers, 00, 12, 25, 37, 50, 62, 75, 87. For example 894.000,
>>>894.012, 894.025, 894.037, thru 894.900, 894.912, 894.925, etc... therefore

>>>there should be 78 frequencies under 894 MHz. Extrapolating that out to the
>>>above range of 894 to 900 there would be 546 possible frequencies.
>>>
>>>But since I only have a 200 channel scanner I can't program all those into
>>>it. I noticed that some of the freq's are repeated; I believe that is what
>>>is called an image. Only problem is that it didn't seem to be consistent.
>>>Sometimes it was repeated and sometimes not.
>>>
>>>Does anyone know what the pattern is? Is it the ones that are separated by
>>>12 that are the same? Or the ones separated by 13? Or maybe none of the
>>>above? Would they be grouped instead as (00, 12), (25, 37), (50, 62), and
>>>(75, 87) thereby only having 4 groups per freq range instead of 8? In other
>>>words could I program 894.025 and not worry about 894.037 because they are
>>>essentially the same? Or am I totally off base on this one?
>>>
>>>Hope that all makes sense to someone. Thanks,
>>>Karl
>>>
>>>
>>>

73. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 04:45:06 UTC
From: eflorack@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <bd154db5.0201012045.cc5b496@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c2fcddb.255719463@enews.newsguy.com>...
> On Sun, 30 Dec 2001 18:04:15 GMT, bithead@rochester.rr.com wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 29 Dec 2001 18:05:19 GMT, "Peter J. Bertini"
> ><commquart@home.com> wrote:
> >
> >>I wonder why they would do this? The purpose of the Hot Box alarm is
> >>to notify the crew and dispatcher, on the active Road channel, that a
> >>problem exists. On some lines, the crew is required to repeat the Hot Box
> >>message to ensure (on the dispatchers' recorder) that the crew actually
> >>monitored and acknowledges their status.
> >
> >Which suggests they will be moving to 800mhz trunks as an active
> >channel, as they build up their equipment.
>
> Extremely unlikely. There's no good reason for the RR's to go to 800 MHz,
> and a lot of good ones (primarily coverage) to stay at VHF.

WEll, yes and no.
More than once I have over the years heard Railroad types complain
that the locals monitor them. Of the big guns, I can see NS being
among the first to make such a move; they are the least railfan
freindly of any.

> Trunking may start to become common, especially in congested areas.
> NS and UP have experimented with it.

Possible.

74. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 05:39:45 UTC
From: Brandon <brandon@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <a0u6hpkak1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 54743
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That program looks AWESOME!! It's nice to see a 780 programming tool that isn't bloatware ;)

Now for the question I have....By any chance, will the cut and paste feature be similar to how it is in Excel, whereas, you can cut and paste a huge portion of data and move it around (eg: moving channels from bank 1 into bank 3 without having to do each channel individually.). That is my favorite feature about Andy's programmer.

Keep up the great work

"Avraam" <avraam3334@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:QnrY7.8713$4d5.98496@rwcrnsc54...
> Screenshots for the oncoming free application for Uniden BC 780XLT.
>
> http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/
>
> If you have any comments or suggestions for the application I will be glad to hear from you.
>
> -ioan ghip aka avraam
>
>
>
>
>

75. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 05:48:33 UTC
From: Avraam <avraam3334@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RaxY7.651$DY1.86941@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

First will be the windows version. Linux version will come (I hope) after 2-4 weeks after the windows release.

> Looks good! Any chance of a Linux/Unix version of the software or of
> available source code for the DIY type? I could use something like this
> here since I'm on the verge of retiring my 2005's and 2006's in favor of
> some new rigs - the 780 being close to the top of the list.
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
> Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

76. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 06:29:24 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.02.01.32.49.235854.31092@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 23:22:50 -0500, Phil wrote:

> scannerworld.com,

After 15 years in this hobby, I would *never* buy from scannerworld. Of course, YMMV. I was in the store once and the owner (forget his name now) had to be the rudest, most obnoxious person I ever met. After that, I asked around a bit and it turns out he was like to to others as well. I guess he preferred (at the time - pre-Internet era) phone orders only.

> Can
> anybody believe that buy.com wants $499 for the scanner?

Sure, how many scanners could buy.com actually sell? Everyone knows them for computer hardware and software, not for radios.

My first stop is always Grove (www.grove-ent.com) followed by Universal (www.universal-radio.com). I've been buying from Bob (Grove) for 12 or 13 years now and I've bought from Universal once recently. I have nothing negative to say about either, and I could go on for another page or two about the great experiences I've had dealing with Grove over the years.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

77. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 06:44:10 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@home.com>
Message-ID: <__xY7.202436$kf1.60753699@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 54746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have probably bought over 25 scanners from
http://www.national-electronics.com/unbc.htm over the years. Never a
problem! No profit shipping and great prices.
"John LeMay" <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.01.02.01.32.49.235854.31092@nospam.njmc.com...
> On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 23:22:50 -0500, Phil wrote:
>
> > scannerworld.com,
>
> After 15 years in this hobby, I would *never* buy from scannerworld. Of
> course, YMMV. I was in the store once and the owner (forget his name now)
> had to be the rudest, most obnoxious person I ever met. After that, I
> asked around a bit and it turns out he was like to to others as well. I
> guess he preferred (at the time - pre-Internet era) phone orders only.
>
> > Can
> > anybody believe that buy.com wants $499 for the scanner?
>
> Sure, how many scanners could buy.com actually sell? Everyone knows them
> for computer hardware and software, not for radios.
>
> My first stop is always Grove (www.grove-ent.com) followed by Universal
> (www.universal-radio.com). I've been buying from Bob (Grove) for 12 or 13
> years now and I've bought from Universal once recently. I have nothing
> negative to say about either, and I could go on for another page or two
> about the great experiences I've had dealing with Grove over the years.
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
> Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

78. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 07:07:56 UTC
From: Avraam <avraam3334@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <glyY7.1043$DY1.110001@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> That program looks AWESOME!! It's nice to see a 780 programming tool that
> isn't bloatware ;)

thanks

> Now for the question I have....By any chance, will the cut and paste feature
> be similar to how it is in Excel, whereas, you can cut and paste a huge
> portion of data and move it around (eg: moving channels from bank 1 into
> bank 3 without having to do each channel individually.). That is my

yes.

79. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 07:28:20 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a0ucqk$nk4$0@63.162.246.190>
Source record: 54648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 02 Jan 2002 06:29:24 GMT, John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
wrote:

>On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 23:22:50 -0500, Phil wrote:
>
>> scannerworld.com,
>
>After 15 years in this hobby, I would *never* buy from scannerworld. Of
>course, YMMV. I was in the store once and the owner (forget his name now)
>had to be the rudest, most obnoxious person I ever met. After that, I
>asked around a bit and it turns out he was like to to others as well. I
>guess he preferred (at the time - pre-Internet era) phone orders only.
>

All I can say is personal experience, but when I lived
and worked in Albany, Scannerworld was always good to give
a nice discount to area public safety personnel. Must
have passed the store at least 20 times a day.

80. Re: Winradio 1550E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 09:37:27 UTC
From: Daniel <danielvd@zonnet.nl>
Message-ID: <a0ukel$2p12$1@news.kabelfoon.nl>
Source record: 54649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

the Data port on this scanner is a discriminator output...
a discriminator output is a audio output without any filters..(clear audio)
thsi is normaly used for decoding data like acars,pocsag,sstv,fax etc..

Daniel

"AARG! Anonymous" <remailer@aarg.net> schreef in bericht
news:d27ad8dfcc684702c88dc0b0f06ff8f6@aarg.net...
> What is the purpose of the data port on this radio? I use the audio
> port into a soundcard for ACARS for instance.
>

81. Re: Just got my ass kicked by Macys store Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 10:15:07 UTC
From: Tracey12 <tracey12@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <3C32DDAB.86810287@ev1.net>
Source record: 54650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Either you're full of it, or you're a newly rich American. Don't wait.
Call your lawyer NOW!
I would suggest you start at 5 million for your emotional damages.

Emilio Goldstein wrote:

> Just got my ass kicked by Macys Security this afternoon while I out
> Xmas shopping for the family. They were following a shoplifter thru
> out their store and waiting for her to leave. Just as she was about
> to exit the store I walked by her with my scanner turned up all the
> way and so she could hear them talking about him. It was at that
> point that one of their security people came over and ripped the
> scanner out off my hand. He then proceeded to smash my scanner on
> the floor and dragged me off to their security office in handcuffs.
> They let me go after a couple hours of questioning and told me that
> I was banned from the store for life.
>
> Do I have a case to sue for damages? I just want my scanner replaced.

82. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 13:20:45 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <NODY7.230$k7.142990@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 54651

Alrighty folks, here's the simple skinny. Yes it is illegal to listen to cordless and cellular frequencies. But if no one knows you have that capability, how are they gonna bust ya?

If you have the capability to monitor those frequencies, shut the hell up about it and DON'T tell anyone about anything you might have heard, as well as the capabilities of your equipment. Its just that simple. Enjoy it, but keep quiet about it.

Same legally applies for most anything that you can legally monitor other than ham frequencies, if you hear it, don't repeat it.

It is the big mouthed people who have ruined a good portion of what we can listen to legally any more.

Ryan
KC8PMX

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
news:G3rY7.138388$lV4.22983621@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > In the US, it IS ILLEGAL to monitor cellular and cordless phone
> > transmissions.
>
> No, it is illegal to think that any analog RF signal is secure!
>
> Roland
>
>
>

83. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 13:47:58 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <5q363u0t9sjb4cbb1q4c544ndc9e2k0joc@4ax.com>
Source record: 54652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'll second www.national-electronics.com. Made my first internet
scanner purchase from them this spring and it went great. It was
shipped right away and wating for me a couple days later when I got
home from work.

On Wed, 02 Jan 2002 06:44:10 GMT, "B" <bpk8227nospam@home.com> wrote:

>I have probably bought over 25 scanners from
>http://www.national-electronics.com/unbc.htm over the years. Never a
>problem! No profit shipping and great prices.
>"John LeMay" <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
>news:pan.2002.01.02.01.32.49.235854.31092@nospam.njmc.com...
>> On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 23:22:50 -0500, Phil wrote:
>>
>> > scannerworld.com,
>>
>> After 15 years in this hobby, I would *never* buy from scannerworld. Of
>> course, YMMV. I was in the store once and the owner (forget his name now)
>> had to be the rudest, most obnoxious person I ever met. After that, I
>> asked around a bit and it turns out he was like to to others as well. I
>> guess he preferred (at the time - pre-Internet era) phone orders only.
>>
>> > Can
>> > anybody believe that buy.com wants $499 for the scanner?
>>
>> Sure, how many scanners could buy.com actually sell? Everyone knows them
>> for computer hardware and software, not for radios.
>>
>> My first stop is always Grove (www.grove-ent.com) followed by Universal
>> (www.universal-radio.com). I've been buying from Bob (Grove) for 12 or 13
>> years now and I've bought from Universal once recently. I have nothing
>> negative to say about either, and I could go on for another page or two
>> about the great experiences I've had dealing with Grove over the years.
>>
>> --
>> John LeMay Jr.
>> Senior Enterprise Consultant
>> NJMC, LLC.
>>
>> Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
>> Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me
>

84. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 13:51:31 UTC
From: Appie <ab.surd@freemeel.com>
Message-ID: <a0v3b6dgb1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 54653
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

:: Looks great!
: I like the concept of mass insertion (pasting) from data bases, something
the WinScan doesn't
: do.

Take a look at the ARC780 software, that's the best manager and imports
anything from any site/database..
And that also where Avraam stole the idea's from..

85. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 14:00:51 UTC
From: moonpup <moonpup@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <noEY7.49966$Sj1.20314842@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 54654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

I've always had a great experience with
http://www.scannersunlimited.com and the owner Glen is very helpful and
pleasant.

Phil wrote:

> Hi. I have been shopping around for a bearcat 780xlt. Actually, i am
> looking to buy one for me, and one for my uncle (he's paying his own
> way
> here!!) I was wondering if there was any decent place to buy this
> from. I was considering HRO (www.hamradio.com) or even
> scannerworld.com, but i am
> trying to shave off the tax (new york 8.5%). Can anybody point me in
> the
> right direction for a reliable store to buy 2 scanners from? Can
> anybody believe that buy.com wants $499 for the scanner???
> thanks for all your help
> happy new year
>
> phil
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.0.6 (GNU/Linux)
Comment: For info see http://www.gnupg.org

iD8DBQE8MxMCGfAlVncsxDgRAl11AKCDTvGLQ+rcqlfiJnX/4HseF4reIwCfdeA+
nD9wELC9iZpwxQjB3ncPJ5o=
=8Naz
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

86. Re: Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 14:14:24 UTC
From: Arlo Janus <arlojanus@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4BEY7.49985$Sj1.20323179@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 54655

Looks like we need to add your name to the list, asshole. Nuther idiot for the filter.

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020101220110.23618.00002106@mb-mq.aol.com...
> Please post all your off-topic personal attacks here & leave the rest of the
> newsgroup for those of us who wish to discuss the scanning hobby.
>
> Thank you in advance for your cooperation.
>

87. Re: How do we take care of this "WB6GKI"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 15:09:28 UTC
From: Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
Message-ID: <u368lda0f82a80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Now Bud "BC" has proven he is the village usenet idiot.

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:29363us96iovprueafn32qtgt9lvr8jjdu@4ax.com...
> Using crayons of red and green, John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
scribbled
>
> >On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 16:45:14 -0500, KS&#65533;F wrote:
> >
> >> I have never dissed BC. INFACT, it is ME who has posted on this NG
> >> stating that we should FORGET ALL THE TROUBLE THAT BC CAUSED & LET HIM
> >> REST IN PEACE!!!
> >
> >What was the whole mess about anyhow? I tried a Google search, but found
> >either dead links or ones that didn't have the details.
>
> The Famous KS&#65533;F wrote:
>
> > > > > John, you're a LYING asshole bastard !
> >
> > I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.
> >
>
> Yo - Toilet Boy
>
> R E A L I T Y C H E C K
>
> Toilet Boy, you're still a liar and a fraud.
>
> 1. It was proven you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
> Internet.
>
> 2. It was proven you lied when you denied making the claim about
> patronizing under age child prostitutes.
>
> 3. It was proven you lied when you claimed to have written "several
> technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.
>
> 4. It was proven you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead
> sister's children.
>
> 5. It was proven you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
> be married in one forum and single in another.

>
> 6. It was proven you lied about having college degrees.
>
> 7. It was proven you lied when you denied you were identified as a
> known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of
> spammers and idiots.
>
> 8. It was proven you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
> Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft
> of services.
>
> 9. It was proven you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
> or illegal Internet address.
>
> 10. It was proven you lied when you claimed you were not the most
> prolific poster of off topic messages.
>
> 11. It was proven you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for
> electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen,
> w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.
>
> 12. It was proven you lied about your place of employment when others
> posted your work telephone and related data. It was also proved that
> *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.
>
> 13. It was proven you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> been a member of Mensa.
>
> 14. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.
>
> 15. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> using numerous fake identities on the Internet.
>
> 16. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence
> and total lack of technical expertise.
>
> 17. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.
>
> 18. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted
> on one hand!"
>
> 19. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> you have made your "living from the Radio Communications Field
> for most of the last 15 years."
>

> 20. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.
>
> 21. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.
>
> 22. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.
>
> 23. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls
> made to you at your place of work.
>
> 24. It was proven that you've emailed death threats
> to your detractors on the Internet.
>
> 25. It was proven that you lied when you claimed to
> "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups
> and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."
>
> 26. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers
> of your detractors.
>
> 27. It was proven you lied when you've made hundreds of rouge
> cancels over the course of the last several years
>
> 28. It was proven you lied when denied stalking Bill Cheek since his death
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

88. Mods for 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 15:21:36 UTC
From: Thomas Wommack <twommack@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4AFY7.61816$Bd.18890510@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 54658

Can anyone send me the mod for a PRO2004 so I can pick up the cellular phones? I had seen it once in a electronics magazine. Thanks

--
---

89. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 15:21:53 UTC
From: Shober's <shoberb@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C33257F.D20E6861@home.com>
Source record: 54657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I used http://www.leeselect.com in PA. Delivery in 2 days via UPS ground, very pleasant and helpful on the phone.

Phil wrote:

> Hi. I have been shopping around for a bearcat 780xlt. Actually, i am
> looking to buy one for me, and one for my uncle (he's paying his own way
> here!!) I was wondering if there was any decent place to buy this from. I
> was considering HRO (www.hamradio.com) or even scannerworld.com, but i am
> trying to shave off the tax (new york 8.5%). Can anybody point me in the
> right direction for a reliable store to buy 2 scanners from? Can anybody
> believe that buy.com wants $499 for the scanner???
> thanks for all your help
> happy new year
>
> phil

90. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 15:37:07 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u36a7i5hmu2j18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you are looking for the cheapest place to get the scanner from, try out Communications Electronics. Their shipping is a little high, but even with their high shipping, the total price is LESS than everyone else is asking for their scanners regular price without shipping. CE also throws in a set of headphones from scanner listening. Can't say how good they are, but they are free, so what the hell!

http://www.usascan.com/files/bc780.cfm

PH

"Phil" <plicht@optonline.com> wrote in message
news:uWvY7.2574$6h2.520418@news02.optonline.net...
> Hi. I have been shopping around for a bearcat 780xlt. Actually, i am
> looking to buy one for me, and one for my uncle (he's paying his own way
> here!!) I was wondering if there was any decent place to buy this from.
I
> was considering HRO (www.hamradio.com) or even scannerworld.com, but i am
> trying to shave off the tax (new york 8.5%). Can anybody point me in the
> right direction for a reliable store to buy 2 scanners from? Can anybody
> believe that buy.com wants $499 for the scanner???
> thanks for all your help
> happy new year
>
> phil
>
>

91. PRO-25 Scanner Clear All frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 16:09:54 UTC
From: kenfoshee@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e11f8a29.0201020809.894af88@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54660

I have so many frequncies stored and a lot I don't know are for what.
I would like to "CLEAR" all and reload only the ones I want.

Any ideas??
Thanks.
Ken

92. Re: Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 16:20:58 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020102112058.15992.00002328@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54661

Hey, I know...since you clowns cannot let your hatred of Cheek die, perhaps we should start reposting some "Classic Cheek." Kind of like "Classic Peanuts" comics, which began publication after the death of Charles Schulz.

I always liked this one:

Subject: A Story of Petey Joe Boe
Date: Sat, 27 Jul 1996 06:20:15 GMT
From: bcheek@cts.com (Bill Cheek)
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Listen, my friends, and I shall tell you a short story of the schizo-freako-paranoidal-hermaphrodyte fondly known as Petey Joe Boe (PjB). I repeat this story from memory as it was told to me by Captain Larry of "The River Dragon" fishing boat.

Now this lad, Petey, lives in Portland, Oregon, not far from the banks of the mighty Columbia River. Being an out-of-work piano teacher and in desperate need of something to eat, weary of a diet of Depo-Provera and Prozac, the fine strapping Petey found himself down by the river applying for work in the fishing fleet.

Much to his relief, the fine Petey was immediately hired, but because of no experience in the fishing industry, he was required to start at the bottom of the ladder as an Apprentice Baiter at minimum wage.

Not content with swimming around on the bottom of the barrel, the lad Petey learned about anchovies, cut mackerel, chum, and hooks, so that within about six months, he was advanced with a double-pay hike to Journeyman Baiter. His mother was so proud!

Thusly inspired and proud of his handiwork, the magnificent Petey got down to serious work with the arts and sciences of baiting like there was no tomorrow. Surprise, surprise, surprise! Three months later, the boy Petey achieved his crowning glory as he was elevated to the highest rank of all, Master Baiter.

Bravissimo, Boe! Way to go! <applause> <applause> <applause>

....
.....<pausing for more applause>........
....

In another installment, if interest warrants, I'll relate the anecdote of how Master Baiter Boe got cured of a monstrous tapeworm.

93. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 16:39:04 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020102113904.15992.00002330@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54662

In article <d9vY7.3015$4i6.196255@newsfeed.slurp.net>, Glenn
<ggrrhh@hotmail.com> writes:

>Do you get a little silver or gold bar to wear below your Net-Cop
>Badge for posting this? Bet you look like a stud in your Net Cop
>uniform, gold bars and all!

plonk

94. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 16:57:39 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7_GY7.73$fe1.5204@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you are in the NYC area, go to Stewart Electronics in Queens. See Peter,
he will deal, and he is the NY Uniden distributor.
"Phil" <plicht@optonline.com> wrote in message
news:uWvY7.2574$6h2.520418@news02.optonline.net...
> Hi. I have been shopping around for a bearcat 780xlt. Actually, i am
> looking to buy one for me, and one for my uncle (he's paying his own way
> here!!) I was wondering if there was any decent place to buy this from.
I
> was considering HRO (www.hamradio.com) or even scannerworld.com, but i am
> trying to shave off the tax (new york 8.5%). Can anybody point me in the
> right direction for a reliable store to buy 2 scanners from? Can anybody
> believe that buy.com wants $499 for the scanner???
> thanks for all your help
> happy new year
>
> phil
>
>

95. FS: New Bearcat 278CLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 17:12:19 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <TbHY7.1836$75.1322990@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54664

Brand new BC278CLT with all accessories in box, retails for $180 and I'll let it go for $145 + $10 insured s/h. PayPal for check / credit card, otherwise Money Order. Read the review at http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=rev&class=recv&num=033

=====

100 Channels

12 Bands including Aircraft

5 Banks/20 Channels

S.A.M.E. Weather Alert

800MHz Band Coverage* (*excludes TV, FM radio and cellular frequencies)

Clock/Alarm

AM/FM Radio with 20 Presets

One-Touch Weather Alert

Full Frequency Backlit LCD

Automatic Squelch

Channel Lockout

Memory Backup

Manual Channel Access

Programmable Delay

Unique Data Skip Key

Programmable Search

Programmed Service Search

5 Priority Channels

TwinTurbo

96. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 18:20:53 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xbIY7.213$g17.95660@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54665

In article <20020102113904.15992.00002330@mb-fc.aol.com>,
toomanyradios@aol.com says...
> In article <d9vY7.3015$4i6.196255@newsfeed.slurp.net>, Glenn
> <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >Do you get a little silver or gold bar to wear below your Net-Cop
> >Badge for posting this? Bet you look like a stud in your Net Cop
> >uniform, gold bars and all!
>
> *plonk*

Oh NO! The dreaded Net Cop *plonk*!

BAWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

You are so damn cute when you Net Cop *plonk* people!

Nice job soldier! :-)

Happy New Year!

Glenn

97. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 18:23:35 UTC
From: Avraam <delphi657645@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c334cf2_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 54666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Appie" <ab.surd@freemeel.com> wrote in message
news:a0v3b6dgb1@cyan.nl.gxn.net...
> :: Looks great!
> : I like the concept of mass insertion (pasting) from data bases,
something
> the WinScan doesn't
> : do.
>
> Take a look at the ARC780 software, that's the best manager and imports
> anything from any site/database..
> And that also where Avraam stole the idea's from..

my application will not be on the same level with arc780
my app. will be the standard or basic version and BuTel's application is the
professional or enterprise edition...
I will have in my app. only the basic options, the options you use daily...
all other options you will find in arc780.

the difference: my app will be free and soon available for Linux too, and
for arc780 you pay $25 but you get a lot more options.

-avraam

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

98. regency z60 lockout and CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 19:20:12 UTC
From: lwhately@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020102142012.13575.00003620@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 54667

have a regency Z60 NO maual

99. Re: Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 19:26:56 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020102142656.13985.00002486@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54668

In article <jni63usdb8osbk6hepf3k0mpta502atk7o@4ax.com>, Richard <no@mail.com>
writes:

>Do you have that much time on your hands to be able to file away posts from
>someone?
>
>Man.......

Actually, yes. I'm spending today at home, in front of a roaring fire, killing time. Had some "use it or lose it" vacation time accrued which I need to use up before 1/7/2002. So, I'm taking the rest of this week off...with absolutely nothing that I need to do. Rotten weather outside, so I'm not going anywhere. Finally have some "quality time" to devote to the 'ol radio newsgroups.

To further answer your question, no, I don't file away posts. Guess you've never heard of "Google", formerly known as Deja News.

100. From The Basement Archives: "Classic Cheek Reposts, Volume I"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 19:26:56 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020102142656.13985.00002485@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54669

I always liked this one:

Subject: A Story of Petey Joe Boe
Date: Sat, 27 Jul 1996 06:20:15 GMT
From: bcheek@cts.com (Bill Cheek)
Organization: COMMtronics Engineering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

Listen, my friends, and I shall tell you a short story of the schizo-freako-paranoidal-hermaphrodyte fondly known as Petey Joe Boe (PjB). I repeat this story from memory as it was told to me by Captain Larry of "The River Dragon" fishing boat.

Now this lad, Petey, lives in Portland, Oregon, not far from the banks of the mighty Columbia River. Being an out-of-work piano teacher and in desperate need of something to eat, weary of a diet of Depo-Provera and Prozac, the fine strapping Petey found himself down by the river applying for work in the fishing fleet.

Much to his relief, the fine Petey was immediately hired, but because of no experience in the fishing industry, he was required to start at the bottom of the ladder as an Apprentice Baiter at minimum wage.

Not content with swimming around on the bottom of the barrel, the lad Petey learned about anchovies, cut mackerel, chum, and hooks, so that within about six months, he was advanced with a double-pay hike to Journeyman Baiter. His mother was so proud!

Thusly inspired and proud of his handiwork, the magnificent Petey got down to serious work with the arts and sciences of baiting like there was no tomorrow. Surprise, surprise, surprise! Three months later, the boy Petey achieved his crowning glory as he was elevated to the highest rank of all, Master Baiter.

Bravissimo, Boe! Way to go! <applause> <applause> <applause>

....
.....<pausing for more applause>........
....

In another installment, if interest warrants, I'll relate the anecdote of how Master Baiter Boe got cured of a monstrous tapeworm.

101. Re: MAKE OVER 1K A MONTH EASY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 19:52:36 UTC
From: "Pat T. Cake" <lost@sea.org>
Message-ID: <34p63u0kklsodpk0mnbmhs8ja8dkudn7u3@4ax.com>
Source record: 54670

On Wed, 02 Jan 2002 19:09:36 GMT, "Laura MAloney"
<TheCoochiemonsta@nyc.rr.com> wrote:

>THE BEST WAY TO MAKE SOME EXTRA MONEY IN YOUR SPARE TIME AT HOME!!! YOU JUST
Spam snipped - - - - -

And the best way to lose your ISP is to post spam like this. Abuse
report(s) sent.

Happy New Year!

102. Re: How do we take care of this "WB6GKI"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 19:55:45 UTC
From: 0880@iname.com
Message-ID: <700e61c7.0201021155.5f2d246e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Same Shit day in and day out..........Bunch of snot nose cry babies.

"Cue A Man" <Cueaman@Cableone.net> wrote in message
news:<u368lda0f82a80@corp.supernews.com>...
> Now Bud "BC" has proven he is the village usenet idiot.
>
>
> "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:29363us96iovprueafn32qtgt9lvr8jjdu@4ax.com...
> > Using crayons of red and green, John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
> scribbled
> >
> > >On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 16:45:14 -0500, KSï¿½F wrote:
> > >
> > >> I have never dissed BC. INFACT, it is ME who has posted on this NG
> > >> stating that we should FORGET ALL THE TROUBLE THAT BC CAUSED & LET HIM
> > >> REST IN PEACE!!!
> > >
>....

103. Re: K5BLB was on 14.313!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 19:59:22 UTC
From: kaptan ghoul <aardvark@uemi.edu>
Message-ID: <uEJY7.16347$yi.1672572@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54672
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Firebottle" <Herbie@KV4FZliveson.net> wrote in message news:a0vlns$693$0@pita.alt.net...
> "The Better Amateur Radio Federation"
> <lloyd_vfp.KV4FZliveson@msn.com.no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:6e7463c441e8a5d951ad602ae5fa286a@spamfreenews.com...
> > I swear to God he was on 14.313 just now!
> >
>
> Hell, the whole dam BARF/SJ crowd is back in form on
> 14313 & 3894.5 Big Al is back on 3894.5 drinking it up and
> screaming for people to rock 'n roll. Once all of Al's idiots show
> up, all they can talk about is Porkbutt! It shows you how limited
> they are in intelligence, Porkbutt hasn't even been on the air for
> two years.

Yup! Yup! Yup!!

I think ole KA4MUJ (sk) was right when he said these people had "a disease".
Can't get it out of their system. It's all they LIVE FOR which means we have much
more radio fun to look forward to. I still miss the Mike and Judy show however.
When Raincoat Charlie would get in there and talk about "4x D-Cell vibrators"
and such, it was like live comedy theater you'd pay $35.00 a seat for downtown
right out of your speaker for free. It can only get better in 2002. When NR5T and
EVE get in there it's a 3-ring RF circus. So all you SWL's get tune in to 14.313 USB
for some daytime and weekend radio fun.

104. FS. PRO 43 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 20:00:52 UTC
From: getprepared@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a1952c66.0201021200.79a8ec1e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54673
Cross-posted to: oh.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,pgh.forsale

Radio Shack Pro 43 Scanner for sale

* good condition
* no accessories, except radio shack handheld 9 section whip scanner antenna.
* no beltclip

Datecode 12A3.
$155 shipped

Email me directly at
getprepared@yahoo.com

105. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 21:03:17 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C33685C.68ED2FC6@amsat.org>
Source record: 54674
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Avraam wrote:

> "Appie" <ab.surd@freemeel.com> wrote in message
> news:a0v3b6dgb1@cyan.nl.gxn.net...
> > :: Looks great!
> > : I like the concept of mass insertion (pasting) from data bases,
> something
> > the WinScan doesn't
> > : do.
> >
> > Take a look at the ARC780 software, that's the best manager and imports
> > anything from any site/database..
> > And that also where Avraam stole the idea's from..
>
> my application will not be on the same level with arc780
> my app. will be the standard or basic version and BuTel's application is the
> professional or enterprise edition...
> I will have in my app. only the basic options, the options you use daily...
> all other options you will find in arc780.
>
> the difference: my app will be free and soon available for Linux too, and
> for arc780 you pay $25 but you get a lot more options.

For a retired old geezer like myself, the cost is one of the main
considerations.
Good luck with it,
....and I hope you realized I was joking last week about "patching" your splash
screen.

~Bart~

106. Re: Help needed: BC-560XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 21:43:42 UTC
From: sbs <sbs20@cvip.net>
Message-ID: <3C337F0E.2C7FB002@cvip.net>
Source record: 54675

We use a lot of those scanners in our cars at work.In the mobile environment they are subject to lots of abuse and vibration.A problem we have had is the solder connection on the PC board breaking at the DC connection jack and the antenna plug.This has the effect of internally disconnecting the antenna from the scanner,so...no signal.Open up your radio and check that connection...reflow the solder joint and check the area of signal input at the front end of the radio (antenna input circuit) for any cracks in the PC board.Good luck.
Shane.

Ken Foshee wrote:

> I have 2 of these scanners I have been using and one has quit
> recieving. It comes on, scans and the squelch works. My problem is for
> example is when I press the "WX" for weather the light only blinks no
> sound. I disconnected the antenna from the 2nd scanner (that works)
> and pressed the "WX" button and the light only blinks. It is as if the
> 1st scanner is not reconizing a signal.
> Any advise or suggestions will be greatly appreciated. The scanners
> are older but still do fine for my needs.

107. Re: Calgary Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 21:51:13 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b77caedf.0201021351.4b9ca75f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54676

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<6mpY7.1380$S61.317522@news.uswest.net>...
> Hey Calgarians- can I get a list of good CPS/CFD/EMS frequencies and
> talkgroups? It'd be nice when I go back home to upload it into my pro-93..

"TRUNK 866.0375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.0625 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.1125 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.1375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.1875 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.2125 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.2375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.2625 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.2875 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.5375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.5625 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.6125 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.6875 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.7125 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.7625 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 866.7875 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.0625 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.1125 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.2375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.2875 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.5375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.5625 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.6125 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.6375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.6875 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 867.7875 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.0375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.0625 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.1125 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.1375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.1875 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.2125 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.2375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.2625 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.2875 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.5375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.5625 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.6125 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
"TRUNK 868.6375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance

"TRUNK 868.7375 P" olice Fire an d Ambulance
Here are the trunk freq. you asked for, however the police are digital. But the fire and ems are in the clear. I do not have the specific information for each and every talkgroup.
Happy Scanning.
Fred Burgess

108. [Fwd: FS Yaesu FT50 Dualband handheld]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 21:57:32 UTC
From: sbs <sbs20@cvip.net>
Message-ID: <3C33824C.84422883@cvip.net>
Source record: 54677

Hello,I have for sale in mint condition a Yaesu FT50 dual band handheld
with ofiginal box and owners manual.It also has general coverage recieve
with FULL 800 mhz coverage.Included are the following accessories.
CSC 68 vinyl case
MH-34 speaker mike
E-DC-6 cig. lighter adapter
CD-12 desk charger
FBA-15 alkaline battery case
FNB V47 hi-cap battery
FNB-41 standard cap battery
"Multiplier"hi-cap battery
beltclips
All above in excellent condition.$200 + shipping to 48 states.Cashiers
check or money order.No trades needed,thanks.
If interested e-mail.
Thanks,Shane.KE6JZ.

109. Re: 2000 pound bomb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 22:09:56 UTC
From: Todd Harmon <tcharmon@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UyLY7.254$k7.167429@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 54678
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I do believe that the Start Treaty's have to be involved with the matter about the size of conventional / nuclear weapons carried on strategic aircraft..

Todd Harmon tcharmon@yahoo.com

"matt weber" <matthewww50@home.com> wrote in message news:ij1v2uk52q4eggg2gr6mh6f5eqcd3qln7g@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 30 Dec 2001 02:59:35 GMT, Brian Rueger <skypilot@writeme.com>
> wrote:
>
> >"Richard Whitcombe" <Richard.Whitcombe@btinternet.com> pounded on the
> >keyboard and wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"Karl" <usereplytoaddress@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>news:yGoW7.132629$RP1.25160800@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> >>> 2000 pounds is nothing. You've heard about the 30,000 pound (yes, that's
> >>15
> >>> tons) they are currently testing?
> >>>
> >>... and what exactly do they propose to lift that with? Nothing short of a
> >>747 will.
> >>Most ordnance dropped vary from Mk82 500lb bombs to the bigger 2000lb
> >>variants and each aircraft has a max payload of about 10,000lb (excluding
> >>things like b52s)
> >
> >The B-52H can carry a combination of 70,000 lbs of ordnance both internal
> >and external. I cannot find a shrewd out of how much it can carry in it's
> >internal stores. I'll bet that the B-52 can carry an internal store of at
> >lease 30,000lbs. They might have to modify the A/C so that the weapon can
> >span both bombays.
> B52's internal carriage is limited by volume rather then weight
> usually. The size of the bomb bay was dictated by the size of the
> first deliverable Hydrogren Bomb and it's estimated weight, which were
> considerable (Visit the Atomic Museum at Kirtland AFB in ABQ and you
> can appreciate the size of the thing). there was no need to expand it,
> because as weapons development progressed, and the weapons moved from

> liquide Dueterieum/tritum (effective liquid hydrogen) to Li6 fueled,
> the size came down quite dramatically. As the size falls, the size of
> the U238 tamper comes down, dropping the weight considerably.
>
> The 30,000 pounds is in fact the weight of the first deliverable TN
> weapon.
>
> It is not until Viet Nam (AFTER the last B-52H has been built) that
> people seriously consider the B52 to drop conventional weapons.

110. Need help setting up MDTMON for Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 22:34:35 UTC
From: scannerguy@c4.com
Message-ID: <70154b57.0201021434.64e5320a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,
Was wondering if anyone had a faq or doc for setting up MDTMON, for my Optcom, I'm running Windows2k, and it freezes up (mdtmon does), when I try to run it-I also don't know if it compatible with Win2k or not, or if I'm supposed to loading some file into it, for it to run correctly. Anyone who's gotten it up and running on their PC (or any MDT software) please drop me a line.

Thanks,
Mac

111. Pro-94 locked out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 22:34:57 UTC
From: Gary Scanga <mandg98@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <lWLY7.4536$3z4.24423@rwcrnsc52>
Source record: 54680

Just got a Pro-94 for X-mas but I think that I'm locked out. The display is reading L/O. Am I correct? and if so, how do I unlock myself?

112. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 22:40:55 UTC
From: andyth2002@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <448e2c0d.0201021440.752050d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What a joke, you are re-inventing the wheel, your software is a cheap
copy of ARC780. And ARC780 is only USD 25.00 ...

Did you ask the guys at Butel permission to use (aka steel) their
layouts and idea's?

"Avraam" <avraam3334@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<QnrY7.8713$4d5.98496@rwcrnsc54>...
> Screenshots for the oncoming free application for Uniden BC 780XLT.
>
> http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/
>
> If you have any comments or suggestions for the application I will be glad to hear from
you.
>
> -ioan ghip aka avraam

113. Unblocked Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 23:46:26 UTC
From: andy walters <w_andy@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <2RMY7.153294$BX4.8998404@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54682

Anyone have any info on where to order an unblocked scanner, preferably from Canada.

114. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 23:46:47 UTC
From: isobad <isobad@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <HZMY7.9205$6h2.2841020@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Lentini in CT.

http://www.lentinicomm.com/

They are great and UPS ground gets me it the Next Day
here in NY. Plus no sales tax.

"Phil" <plicht@optonline.com> wrote in message
news:uWvY7.2574$6h2.520418@news02.optonline.net...
> Hi. I have been shopping around for a bearcat 780xlt. Actually, i am
> looking to buy one for me, and one for my uncle (he's paying his own way
> here!!) I was wondering if there was any decent place to buy this from.
I
> was considering HRO (www.hamradio.com) or even scannerworld.com, but i am
> trying to shave off the tax (new york 8.5%). Can anybody point me in the
> right direction for a reliable store to buy 2 scanners from? Can anybody
> believe that buy.com wants $499 for the scanner???
> thanks for all your help
> happy new year
>
> phil
>
>

115. R.S. Pro 017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 23:51:57 UTC
From: andy walters <w_andy@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <dWMY7.153301$BX4.9000957@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54683

Any info on modifications?

116. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 23:54:25 UTC
From: Avraam <delphi657645@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c339a71$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 54684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> What a joke, you are re-inventing the wheel, your software is a cheap
> copy of ARC780. And ARC780 is only USD 25.00 ...
no, I'm not.

I making a software for programming my 780xlt and if somebody else want to use it... why not.

Is something wrong with that?

> Did you ask the guys at Butel permission to use (aka steel) their
> layouts and idea's?

why not the guys from pozilla's winscan780? they have a tabbed grid too?

or from TrunkStar780's owner? he have a tabbed grid too?

or why not from Andy's application because he use a grid too?

Tell me how many of those applications allow you to save each bank in another data file? Witch of those applications allow you to make a project file using for each bank another data file? When I modify a freq. or a tag in one backup file I don't want to go in each file saved on the harddisk to modify just same thing...

I don't try to steel anything. I just try to make a better application to suit my needs.

I tried all those above mentioned applications. All have nice and good features, the only thing I didn't like it is all those applications have the a poor way to manage the data and lots and lots of options to manage the scanner. I want just the way around, lots of options to manage the data. from my point of view, you don't need more then 2 buttons to communicate with the scanner: first "read the data" and second "write the data".

This is what I need for my scanner and that is what I will do. I use both Linux and windows, so I will make a version for Linux and one for windows.

> "Avraam" <avraam3334@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<QnrY7.8713$4d5.98496@rwcrnsc54>...
> > Screenshots for the oncoming free application for Uniden BC 780XLT.
> >
> > http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/
> >
> > If you have any comments or suggestions for the application I will be
glad to hear from you.
> >
> > -ioan ghip aka avraam

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

117. Re: R.S. Pro 2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-02 23:58:52 UTC
From: andy walters <w_andy@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <37NY7.355802$er5.8385399@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54685

I meant pro 2017

"andy walters" <w_andy@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:dWMY7.153301$BX4.9000957@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Any info on modifications?
>
>
>

118. Re: Mods for 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 00:01:35 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u377rrmbongbb3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54686

Go to http://www.qrz.com or http://www.mods.dk

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========
"Thomas Wommack" <twommack@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4AFY7.61816$Bd.18890510@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> Can anyone send me the mod for a PRO2004 so I can pick up the cellular
> phones? I had seen it once in a electronics magazine. Thanks
>
> --
> ----------
>
>
>

119. For Sale AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 00:11:46 UTC
From: andy walters <w_andy@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <OcNY7.153314$BX4.9010225@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54688

Like new, E-mail for info.
xm0e@yahoo.com

120. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 00:40:32 UTC
From: "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com>
Message-ID: <4MNY7.106563$Y6.10408685@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 54689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

Except that engines must have radios on AAR channels so they
can run on other roads. Not to mention the need for multiple antennas
on the cab.

Railroads are very cheap when it comes to buying new equipment.

You are not going to get reliable 800 MHz trunking coverage on the
B&A line (for example) through the Berkshires in MA. The dispatchers
are already having trouble with dead spots.

"eflorack" <eflorack@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:bd154db5.0201012045.cc5b496@posting.google.com...
> igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c2fcddb.255719463@enews.newsguy.com>...
> > On Sun, 30 Dec 2001 18:04:15 GMT, bithead@rochester.rr.com wrote:
> >
> > >On Sat, 29 Dec 2001 18:05:19 GMT, "Peter J. Bertini"
> > ><commquart@home.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >>I wonder why they would do this? The purpose of the Hot Box alarm is
> > >>to notify the crew and dispatcher, on the active Road channel, that a
> > >>problem exists. On some lines, the crew is required to repeat the
Hot Box
> > >>message to ensure (on the dispatchers' recorder) that the crew
actually
> > >>monitored and acknowledges their status.
> > >
> > >Which suggests they will be moving to 800mhz trunks as an active
> > >channel, as they build up their equipment.
> >
> > Extremely unlikely. There's no good reason for the RR's to go to 800
MHz,
> > and a lot of good ones (primarily coverage) to stay at VHF.
>
> WEll, yes and no.
> More than once I have over the years heard Railroad types complain
> that the locals monitor them. Of the big guns, I can see NS being
> among the first to make such a move; they are the least railfan
> freindly of any.
>
>
> > Trunking may start to become common, especially in congested areas.
> > NS and UP have experimented with it.

>
> Possible.

121. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 00:56:13 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3C33AC4A.D38AC3B2@ameritech.net>
Source record: 54690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

John McCoy wrote:

> On Sun, 30 Dec 2001 18:04:15 GMT, bithead@rochester.rr.com wrote:

[snip]

>
>
> Extremely unlikely. There's no good reason for the RR's to go to 800 MHz,
> and a lot of good ones (primarily coverage) to stay at VHF.

Most of the 800-900 MHz activity is for automatic car readers (scanning devices) to record when a car enters and leaves a yard facility. Also, it can be used for remote signaling, sending train orders, locomotive location tracking, and two-way voice communications. Sadly, this entire system as I understand uses digital modulation instead of analog. Currently, there are no receivers available to decode it.

>
>
> Trunking may start to become common, especially in congested areas.
> NS and UP have experimented with it.

Yes, while talking with a Motorola rep, he told me that they plan on selling the UP a 160 MHz, trunked radio system, that would take roughly five years to phase in. UP has not decided on a format but LTR and Project 25 are being discussed, with the AAR taking notes. The FCC is very supportive of implementing trunking technology to the entire 150-174 MHz and 450-512 MHz bands.

Sincerely yours,
--
==========
Ron Wilbanks

Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"

*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***

"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
==========

122. FS SCANCAT GOLD SE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 01:01:21 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <6cb73u00i382bupa5sdjk9dpkc5ouq23em@4ax.com>
Source record: 54691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Scancat Gold for Windows SE
Cable for BC-895 included.

$100

Jim
KD2KU
Corning NY
jbeckett@(NOSPAM)stny.rr.com
remove (NOSPAM) to email

123. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 01:14:53 UTC
From: "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com>
Message-ID: <hgOY7.106565$Y6.10408855@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 54692

Say Ryan..

Congrats on hitting the nail on the head.

Pete

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:NODY7.230$k7.142990@monger.newsread.com...
> Alrighty folks, here's the simple skinny. Yes it is illegal to listen to
> cordless and cellular frequencies. But if no one knows you have that
> capability, how are they gonna bust ya?
>
> If you have the capability to monitor those frequencies, shut the hell up
> about it and DON'T tell anyone about anything you might have heard, as
well
> as the capabilities of your equipment. Its just that simple. Enjoy it,
but
> keep quiet about it.
>
> Same legally applies for most anything that you can legally monitor other
> than ham frequencies, if you hear it, don't repeat it.
>
> It is the big mouthed people who have ruined a good portion of what we can
> listen to legally any more.
>
> Ryan
> KC8PMX
>
>
>
>
>
> "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
> news:G3rY7.138388$lV4.22983621@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > In the US, it IS ILLEGAL to monitor cellular and cordless phone
> > > transmissions.
> >
> > No, it is illegal to think that any analog RF signal is secure!
> >
> > Roland
> >
> >
> >
>
>

124. Re: How do we take care of this "WB6GKI"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 01:32:03 UTC
From: wing wong phandong <xemuogman44@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nwOY7.17171$yi.1744814@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yeah. Hopefully they'll find a home on
14.313 or someplace on 75 meters

"Jerry" <0880@iname.com> wrote in message news:700e61c7.0201021155.5f2d246e@posting.google.com...
> Same Shit day in and day out.....Bunch of snot nose cry babies.
>
>
>
>
> "Cue A Man" <Cueaman@Cableone.net> wrote in message news:<u368lda0f82a80@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Now Bud "BC" has proven he is the village usenet idiot.
> >
> >
> > "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> > news:29363us96iovprueafn32qtgt9lvr8jjdu@4ax.com...
> > > Using crayons of red and green, John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
> > scribbled
> > >
> > > >On Tue, 01 Jan 2002 16:45:14 -0500, KS&#65533;F wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> I have never dissed BC. INFACT, it is ME who has posted on this NG
> > > >> stating that we should FORGET ALL THE TROUBLE THAT BC CAUSED & LET HIM
> > > >> REST IN PEACE!!!
> > > >
> > >....
>

125. Re: Pro-94 locked out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 02:02:10 UTC
From: Karl Agee <kdagee2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C33BBA2.F875C118@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54694

Gary Scanga wrote:
>
> Just got a Pro-94 for X-mas but I think that I'm locked out. The display is
> reading L/O. Am I correct? and if so, how do I unlock myself?

I've got one, too, never seen that. There is the keyboard lock, just
push the button that says "keylock" until it beeps.

--karl

126. CWI Security Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 02:05:12 UTC
From: Karl Agee <kdagee2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C33BC58.A4B58B8B@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54695

Anyone know what the frequency for CWI Security is in the tri-cities,
Benton County, Washington, area is??

--karl

127. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 02:15:03 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c33be22.253491849@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On 1 Jan 2002 20:45:06 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:

>igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c2fcddb.255719463@enews.newsguy.com>...
>> On Sun, 30 Dec 2001 18:04:15 GMT, bithead@rochester.rr.com wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 29 Dec 2001 18:05:19 GMT, "Peter J. Bertini"
>> ><commquart@home.com> wrote:

>> >Which suggests they will be moving to 800mhz trunks as an active
>> >channel, as they build up their equipment.
>>
>> Extremely unlikely. There's no good reason for the RR's to go to 800 MHz,
>> and a lot of good ones (primarily coverage) to stay at VHF.
>
>WEll, yes and no.
>More than once I have over the years heard Railroad types complain
>that the locals monitor them.

Yes, this is an issue that concerns the RRs. However, moving to 800 MHz, or
to trunking, doesn't affect that since scanners are readily available which cover
that band and track trunking handoffs. It's more likely that the RR's will switch
to digital radios (like the APCO-25 radios used by police) to avoid scanners.

John

128. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 02:23:09 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c33bf02.253716140@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On Thu, 03 Jan 2002 00:56:13 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
>
>John McCoy wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 30 Dec 2001 18:04:15 GMT, bithead@rochester.rr.com wrote:
>
>[snip]
>
>>
>>
>> Extremely unlikely. There's no good reason for the RR's to go to 800 MHz,
>> and a lot of good ones (primarily coverage) to stay at VHF.
>
>Most of the 800-900 MHz activity is for automatic car readers (scanning devices)
>to record when a car enters and leaves a yard facility. Also, it can be used for
>remote signaling, sending train orders, locomotive location tracking, and two-way
>voice communications. Sadly, this entire system as I understand uses digital
>modulation instead of analog. Currently, there are no receivers available to
>decode it.

Exactly, all the activity in that band is data related, and relatively short range between more or less fixed points. When I said stay at VHF, I was refering to the voice radios. VHF has two big advantages from the RR's perspective, which are that it gives good coverage in rugged terrain and they already have a lot of channels allocated (91 of them, which could be doubled by switching to 12.5kHz channel spacing). There is no possibility of the RR's being allocated that many channels in any other band.

The modulation used in these systems is a mix of digital and analog, with the bulk of it being analog (the ATCS radios, for example, are analog). Receivers are available, but unless you know the data format being using there's no value to receiving it.

>> Trunking may start to become common, especially in congested areas.
>> NS and UP have experimented with it.
>
>Yes, while talking with a Motorola rep, he told me that they plan on selling the
>UP a 160 MHz, trunked radio system, that would take roughly five years to phase
>in. UP has not decided on a format but LTR and Project 25 are being discussed,
>with the AAR taking notes. The FCC is very supportive of implementing trunking
>technology to the entire 150-174 MHz and 450-512 MHz bands.

This I can't speak about (not because I don't know anything about it, however).

John

129. What to do with a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 02:28:58 UTC
From: Ken <k_haas@noooospaamcore.com>
Message-ID: <3c33c15a$0$37099$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 54696

I found this radio in a box of "junk" my fried was throwing out. It seems to be programmed with dozens of south Florida police/fire/ambulance channels. Besides using the radio as a scanner, can someone please tell me what radio/scanner enthusiasts do with this radio. Since it doesn't seem to operate in a trunked mode, I would have little use for it as a scanner.

Also, the radio seems to have some sort of phone feature, with a keypad built in.

Thanks for any information,

Ken

130. Re: Bill Cheek, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 02:31:47 UTC
From: KSF <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <noPY7.8436$DY1.496323@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54597

Yea, I've got a few BC related posts that would be interesting.....

TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote
> Hey, I know...since you clowns cannot let your hatred of Cheek die,
perhaps we
> should start reposting some "Classic Cheek." Kind of like "Classic
Peanuts"
> comics, which began publication after the death of Charles Schulz.
SNIP

131. Re: Bill Cheek, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 02:33:10 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <GpPY7.6169$Qe1.55254@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 54598

How sad that yet another person is adding "TooManyRadios" to
their kill list because he is causing trouble, again!!

Arlo Janus <arlojanus@aol.com> wrote
> Looks like we need to add your name to the list, asshole. Nuther idiot for
> the filter.
>
> "TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote
> > Please post all your off-topic personal attacks
SNIP

132. Re: Need help setting up MDTMON for Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 02:38:17 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <5gf73ugr28597s22ugkjqvhqjjkes018tg@4ax.com>
Source record: 54600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

try to find out if the MDT in your area has gone crypto or not first.
if not .... try to find out what kind of MDT you have locally.
(MDTMON only works for outdated , unencrypted ,
mdt 4800 signals) many metro areas have now gone encrypted and / or
have shifted to one of the newer types of MDT
transmissions, that are not decoded by MDTMON.
Some people still get local MDT ...but very few as compared to a
decade ago.
This is also a common problem with those " surplus " MDTpolice
units found on ebay all the time. People buy them and go through a lot
of trouble to find out how to make them work ...all this to discover
that their local MDT transmissions were changed from that
old type of decoder (mdt-4800) ... many years ago.

you could (almost certainly) waste a LOT of time getting
MDTMON to work... (hint: get one of the DOS versions and
your problems will go away in a true dos window) ... AND
spend endless hours trying to get the slicer & / or software
scramble bits to work ... only to discover that you NEVER
had a chance in the first place. (because they have upgraded to
modern intercept resistant type transmissions.)

find one of the many " digital signal sounds " sites and compare the
sound of your local MDT signals to the types on the site to see if
your town is still using (highly unlikely) old mdt-4800 ... or some
of the newer types. In most metro areas , nowadays , MDT ,
Ricochet , Ram Mobitex ...etc. ... have scrambled all the " good
stuff " anyway. (scrambling can even be found on c and d layer
flex ..on some services.) IN the United States ... because
of new eavesdropping laws and interpretations of the same ...
ANY MDT , mobitex , Richochet ..etc ..listening would probably
be illegal , anymore. You never know when the " scanner
police " might kick in your door and arrest you for breaking the
scanner laws.

a_alpha1

On 2 Jan 2002 14:34:35 -0800, scannerguy@c4.com (Mack) wrote:

>Hi,
>Was wondering if anyone had a faq or doc for setting up MDTMON, for my
>Optcom, I'm running Windows2k, and it freezes up (mdtmon does), when I
>try to run it-I also don't know if it compatible with Win2k or not, or
>if I'm supposed to loading some file into it, for it to run correctly.
> Anyone who's gotten it up and running on their PC (or any MDT

>software) please drop me a line.
>
>Thanks,
>Mac

133. FS: RS Pro-51 and Freq Counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 02:49:28 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.02.21.53.03.488521.10305@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54601

Hi folks,

I've decided to not post these up on ebay after all.

I have a Pro-51 in very nice condition, rarely used - never taken out of the house. Date code 7A4. Yes, you can get "extras" on this via the service mode of the rig. Look around, you'll find it! No manual or box, comes with the original antenna.

I also have a RS frequency counter in it's original box, used two or three times probably. Original paperwork, antenna, etc. included - REALLY like new.

$125 for both, makes a nice pair! Buyer to pay actual (or close to) shipping. Any method, you pick. I'll go by what the shipper's website estimates shipping costs at.

thanks!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

134. Re: Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 03:07:27 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020102220727.13039.00002260@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54604

In article <noPY7.8436$DY1.496323@rwcrnsc54>, "KSï¿½F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> writes:

>Yea, I've got a few BC related posts that would be interesting.....

Let's see 'em! The more, the merrier!

135. Re: Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 03:07:27 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020102220727.13039.00002261@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54605

In article <GpPY7.6169$Qe1.55254@rwcrnsc53>, "KSï¿½F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> writes:

>How sad that yet another person is adding "TooManyRadios" to
>their kill list because he is causing trouble, again!!

How exactly am I "causing trouble?"

136. Re: PRO-25 Scanner Clear All frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 03:07:33 UTC
From: Trent Kish <tcs@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <VVPY7.705$iOo3.25100406@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 54603

turn off scanner ..then hold down 2, 9. turn on scanner. this should erase
memory.
heres a pro-25 online manual.
http://members.optushome.com.au/extremescan/manuals.html
"Ken Foshee" <kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e11f8a29.0201020809.894af88@posting.google.com...
> I have so many frequncies stored and a lot I don't know are for what.
> I would like to "CLEAR" all and reload only the ones I want.
>
> Any ideas??
> Thanks.
> Ken

137. Re: Pro-94 locked out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 03:58:12 UTC
From: ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <9ll73u84gp6h0u9gqcbbn6ph3v3ucthu02@4ax.com>
Source record: 54606

The channels are locked-out, by default, until you program a frequency
in. At that point you have the option to lock-out the channel
manually.

On Wed, 02 Jan 2002 22:34:57 GMT, "Gary Scanga" <mandg98@attbi.com>
wrote:

>Just got a Pro-94 for X-mas but I think that I'm locked out. The display is
>reading L/O. Am I correct? and if so, how do I unlock myself?
>

138. Re: regency z60 lockout and CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 04:33:48 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020102233348.05019.00002532@mb-ma.news.cs.com>
Source record: 54607

Congradulations

139. Re: Mods for 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 04:37:05 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020102233705.05019.00002533@mb-ma.news.cs.com>
Source record: 54608

Listing to cell phone calls may be illegal in the US and Other countries??

140. Re: Damn nice in the NG without that asshole CHEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 04:45:25 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FIRY7.322043$W8.12275085@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54609

UM john? you are still a filthy little troll as allways go
away.....
"Cue A Man" <Cueaman@Cableone.net> wrote in message
news:u2t1athvk2pj48@corp.supernews.com...
> Cheek was a " Shade-Tree Engineer " , an instigator class F and a definite
> jerk.
>
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3C2E3A00.9989F9C1@optonline.net...
> > So which is it?
> >
> > I never new Cheek. Some here post as if he was a technical genius.
> > Others as if he were a plague to be avoided at all costs.
> >
> > Or was it that he made a lot of people look like jerks, or worse.
> >
> > Which is it?
> >
> > "KS�F" wrote:
> > >
> > > 'lectron <electric_co-no-spam@hotmail.com> wrote
> > > SNIP
> > > > problems on this NG. Cheek died and he said it was the people that
> > > > supported Cheeks causing the problems.
> > > SNIP
> > >
> > > True fact!!! Now that he is dead & all his followers (Cheek-a-Likes)
> have
> > > gone away, everything here is pretty nice. HOPEFULLY, your childish
> acitons
> > > here have not stirred up the CAL's.
> > >
> > > > If Mackey is the ass in the Navy he is here he
> > > SNIP
> > >
> > > Yes, I am in the Navy. What's the matter, are you jealous because you
> > > aren't
> > > or weren't brave enough to be part of the military defense to protect
> your
> > > country???
> > > Get a life!
>
>

141. BC780 Squelch...how high should it be set?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 05:01:37 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <8ap73u8de0negvqou5upql9rr3fc65g3mi@4ax.com>
Source record: 54610

How high shou

142. Re: Bill Cheek, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 07:19:57 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <xCTY7.9299$DY1.546769@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54611

Are you sure you really want them?

TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote
> Let's see 'em! The more, the merrier!

143. PRO-91 MOD REVERSAL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 07:57:24 UTC
From: Daddy <daddy@home.com>
Message-ID: <u3bsg1gju3hr90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54570

I bought a used pro-91 trunker and it was modified to receive cellular but at this point it wont trunk or have the ability to find the data channel in a motorola trunk group/ I wanted to know what the mod actually is and how i might reverse it???

Thanks,

144. Motorola STX 821

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 10:32:54 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GrWY7.9$kA3.4157@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 54613

http://evs.safeshopper.com/15/292.htm?938 Mail it to me. It is a trunked radio.

I'll dispose of it for you.

From: "Ken" <k_haas@noooospaamcore.com>
Subject: What to do with a Motorola STX 821 radio?
Date: January 2, 2002 7:28 PM

I found this radio in a box of "junk" my fried was throwing out. It seems to be programmed with dozens of south Florida police/fire/ambulance channels. Besides using the radio as a scanner, can someone please tell me what radio/scanner enthusiasts do with this radio. Since it doesn't seem to operate in a trunked mode, I would have little use for it as a scanner.

Also, the radio seems to have some sort of phone feature, with a keypad built in.

Thanks for any information,

Ken

145. Re: cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 14:00:17 UTC
From: Mudman <Mudman@anon.net>
Message-ID: <u38ov8n7rtdp38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54615

Depends only on what "is" is.
"b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
news:a0tb6h$1l7$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> It's just like being a politician - it's only illegal IF you get caught!
>
> --
> /\/\|k.e
>
> Michael B. Martin
> mm505@mindspring.com
> webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com
>
> "tw��,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
> news:Wk6Y7.2122$4d5.13882@rwcrnsc54...
> > X-No-Archive: yes
> >
> > "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:7dOX7.506$Ts.144527@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > Hey, why is it that when I'm listening to cordless phones in the
900MHZ
> > > range sometimes it cuts in and out. Like, if they talk all softly it's
> > fine
> > > but if they raise their voices it gets choppy.
> >
> >
> > Just a note, it is illegal in the US to monitor cordless phone
> > transmissions.
> >
> >
>
>

146. Re: BC780 Squelch...how high should it be set?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 14:20:20 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u38q3fs3skcf22@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54616

As high as it takes to get the static to go away; but no more than that...
Will be different for everyone, as interference is different in all areas...

PH

"Greg" <greg@aiomedia.com> wrote in message
news:8ap73u8de0negvqou5upql9rr3fc65g3mi@4ax.com...
> How high shou

147. Re: LAPD Talkgrouops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 14:58:05 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <ibtrevor@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C347177.82D65013@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54618
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ibtrevor.vcf

The lapd copter use most of the time 123.025 am

david shapiro wrote:

> Hi All...I am new to the LA area and I have been listening to the
> entire LA City EDACS system on my scanner. I have heard some LAPD
> radio traffic on 04-85 and 15-085 talkgroups. Does anyone know of a
> list of all of the LAPD talkgroups around and any of the Air command
> (choppers) freq's. Thanks!
>
> Dave

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

148. Re: LOJACK freqs. needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 15:01:08 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <ibtrevor@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C34722E.E391E98B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54619
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ibtrevor.vcf

173.075 FM

ERICTO wrote:

> Just wondering if anyone knows the freqs that Lojack uses.
> Also is there a interface that one can use to decode what
> is going on with Lojack when it is transmitting? Just wondering.
> Eric...

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

149. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 15:24:11 UTC
From: eflorack@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <bd154db5.0201030724.30aa2fd2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c33bf02.253716140@enews.newsguy.com>...

> Exactly, all the activity in that band is data related, and relatively short range
> between more or less fixed points. When I said stay at VHF, I was refering to
> the voice radios. VHF has two big advantages from the RR's perspective, which
> are that it gives good coverage in rugged terrain and they already have a lot of
> channels allocated (91 of them, which could be doubled by switching to 12.5kHz
> channel spacing). There is no possibility of the RR's being allocated that many
> channels in any other band.

If I'm not mistaken, there used to be low power services stuck in
between the existing AAR channels, though I don't know if they're
still there. CLearly, though, there could be no such services if the
splits on the existing AAR channels were narrowed as you suggest.

And while your points as regards VHF vs 800mhz in terrain are correct,
perhaps it should be pointed out that even with the disadvantage of
shorter range, rail service is perhaps better suited than many other
services to 800mhz, even on the longer haul lines since the intended
coverage area is pretty precisely defined... to an area not more than
a half mile either side of the ROW. I grant you, that we're dealing
with a goodly number of trunk sites., but even here, we're talking
about less of them than the average trunk system, (per area local
area, at least) since, again, the coverage area is limited to where
the tracks are.

Interesting thought, anyway.

> >Yes, while talking with a Motorola rep, he told me that they plan on selling the
> >UP a 160 MHz, trunked radio system, that would take roughly five years to phase
> >in. UP has not decided on a format but LTR and Project 25 are being discussed,
> >with the AAR taking notes. The FCC is very supportive of implementing trunking
> >technology to the entire 150-174 MHz and 450-512 MHz bands.
>
> This I can't speak about (not because I don't know anything about it, however).

Interesting.
Trunked systems at 800mhz are fairly simple, if massive to construct,
and range of systems is obviously a design consideration. The dynamics
involved with moving that system to 160mhz and it's range/watt ratio
would be err.... interesting to say the least. Do-able, certainly...
but still...

150. Re: Unblocked Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 15:26:14 UTC
From: Harry <HGK@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3C347816.244256FD@centurytel.net>
Source record: 54620

http://www.radioworld.ca/index.html

andy walters wrote:
>
> Anyone have any info on where to order an unblocked scanner, preferably from
> Canada.

151. MVT-7100 F/S, Possible trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 15:52:04 UTC
From: "T.L.Wilson" <k9xyz@kiva.net>
Message-ID: <a11us9$t8o$1@topsy.kiva.net>
Source record: 54622

I have a new in the box Yupiteru MVT - 7100 handheld scanner/receiver. I am original owner purchased from UK covers 100khz - 1650 mhz with no gaps. Brand new condition no marks at all. Unit has 1000 memory channels, search mode, and receives in NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW, and AM modes. Scans fast. Included are 4 rechargeable nicads and telescopic antenna, also manual and box(no ac adapter as it came from the UK) but does operate with 12 volt ac adapter,has dc cord, wrist strap, belt clip, and ear plug all unopened in box. This unit has the light mod completed allowing the light for the LCD and key pad to be toggled on and off via the key lock switch on side, allowing the light to be on as long as you like. Will sell for $200.00 or consider trade for one of the following models in excellent condition only, realistic pro-2045, or pro 2006, bearcat 800XLT, may consider bearcat 200xlt if in superior condition and unmodified. If interested respond via e-mail and we can talk.
T.Wilson k9xyz@kiva.net

152. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 18:31:23 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C34A3B6.FF5949A0@gte.net>
Source record: 54623
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.politics.bush,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.libertarian,tx.guns,alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.military

> >You were an LEO. The bad guys no longer use just
> >one phone. Whether it is a drug dealer or a terrorist,
> >the tactics are to never use a phone for very long. These
> >guys now have cell phones that they use and lose just
> >like they have guns that are used once then disposed
> >off. The old techniques no longer work.
>
> First, Stephan is *still* a LEO.
>

Sorry, I thought he had said that is retired.

>
> Next, you're misinformed (as usual) as to current technology on phone
> taping. NSA and FBI have the capability to scan the spectrum for a
> VOICE, not merely look for a specific cell phone. Makes things a little
> harder and slower, but it still works...

Are you speaking of satellite technology? If so,
NSA would have such but would be very limited
in sharing such information with law enforcement.
There are still tough restrictions on gathering intelligence
on US citizens within the US by the CIA, NSA or
the military intelligence agencies. See EO #12333
that was issued by President Reagan.

Yes there are spectrum analyzers that are linked
to computers that can isolate a particular individual.
And that can be useful if the bad guys are constantly
shifting cell phones. There are other technologies
that can be used to gather RF signal intelligence.
And anyone linked into the national backbone of
the internet can glean tons of information, most of
it being not that useful.

Should the FBI, or any other federal law enforcement
agency (which NSA is not), seek to investigate an individual
then they would need a warrant in order to place evidence
in front of the courts. Simple intelligence gathering may be
nice to see where the bad guys are up to, but then any
hobbyist could do the same (for the most part).
But to convict someone in court, having your surveillance
supervised by the court (i.e. a warrant) is very necessary.

And the rules of evidence have not gone away. All that has happened is that rules for how warrants work with respect to gathering evidence electronically have been updated to match the technology.

153. Re: ½Òõîüöî²»Ðâ¸Ö¹ÜÓÐÏÞ¹«Ë¾

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 19:49:06 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020103144906.17928.00001740@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54624

>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Î²ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ö¹ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Þ¹ï¿½Ë¾ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ì¨ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Â¡ï¿½ï¿½Ë¾ï¿½ë½ï¿½ï¿½
¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ê¿ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
>ï¿½Ö¹Ü³ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Í¬ï¿½é½¨ï¿½Äºï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Òµï¿½ï¿½Î»ï¿½ï¿½È«ï¿½ï¿½Òµï¿½î·¢ï¿½ï¿½Ä½ï¿½ï¿½
½ï¿½ï¿½Ð¡ï¿½
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Î¹ï¿½Ë¾Óµï¿½Ð¹ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½È½ï¿½Ä¶ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ì¨ï¿½×£ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
¿½Î»ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
>Ì¨ï¿½×¡ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ã¹ï¿½ï¿½Úµï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ì«Îï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ä²ï¿½ï¿½
¿½ï¿½ï¿½Îï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ç¹ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½È£ï¿½Î¨Ò»ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Æ´ï¿½Í³ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ö¹ÜµÄ¹ï¿½ï¿½Õ¼
ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ä³ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Õ½ï¿½ï¿½Ê¹ï¿½Ã¹ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½È½ï¿½Ä¹ï¿½ï¿½Õ¼ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½È¡ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
ï¿½Ä¹ï¿½ï¿½?ï¿½é£¬ï¿½ï¿½
>È¡ï¿½Ï¸ï¿½Ä¹ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ö¶Î£ï¿½Ê¹ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Î¹ï¿½Ë¾ï¿½Ú¹ï¿½ï¿½Ú²ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
ï¿½Òµï¿½Ð¼ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Èµï¿½Î»ï¿½ï¿½
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ë¾ï¿½ï¿½Òªï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Þ·ï¿½Ü¡ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Í¹ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½á¹¹ï
¿½Ã¹Ü¡ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
>ï¿½â¾µï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½È²ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ö¹Ü¡ï¿½Ê³Æ·ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½×¹ï¿½Ü¡ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Í¹ï¿½Ã«
Ï¸ï¿½Ü¡ï¿½

No, thanks. I gave at the office.

154. Re: Jacksonville, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 20:50:53 UTC
From: "Roland R. McCormick III" <savmilair1@home.com>
Message-ID: <Nu3Z7.15089$LQ1.6493920@news2.nash1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 54625

The best one I know of is the Jacksonville Scanner Page
at http://mooreiv.homestead.com/main.html

It's updated regularly and has also has code, signal,
and zone information for the Jacksonville area.

Mac

Roland R. "Mac" McCormick III
KF4LMT 146.97- 146.55
Savannah, Georgia 32.00.54N, 081.04.25W
http://members.home.net/savmilair1
AOL IM: KF4LMT ICQ# 16350637

155. Any Good mods for bc560xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 20:53:13 UTC
From: scott <scottiehere@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <qw3Z7.11433$4d7.3446983@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 54626

[No readable article body recovered]

156. Scan Alberta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 21:15:25 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b77caedf.0201031315.57b925e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54627

The 2002 edition of frequencies for Calgary and area is available.
The file name is Scanal10.zip. Anyone interested please send me your
web sight so I can upload it.
Fred Burgess

157. Re: PRO-25 Scanner Clear All frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-03 22:08:53 UTC
From: kenfoshee@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e11f8a29.0201031408.3e034905@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54628

Thanks a lot. Knew there was a way but could not fine my manual..

"Trent Kish" <tcs@sk.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:<VVPY7.705$iOo3.25100406@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>...
> turn off scanner ..then hold down 2, 9. turn on scanner. this should erase
> memory.
> heres a pro-25 online manual.
> http://members.optushome.com.au/extremescan/manuals.html
> "Ken Foshee" <kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e11f8a29.0201020809.894af88@posting.google.com...
> > I have so many frequncies stored and a lot I don't know are for what.
> > I would like to "CLEAR" all and reload only the ones I want.
> >
> > Any ideas??
> > Thanks.
> > Ken

158. Re: BC780 Squelch...how high should it be set?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 00:13:28 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <mqs93u83b142hoo247plvvr008bs2k9i4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 54631

On Thu, 3 Jan 2002 08:20:20 -0600, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:

>As high as it takes to get the static to go away; but no more than that...
>Will be different for everyone, as interference is different in all areas...

I read somewhere a while back that you should put the scanner on an
unused channel, have squelch turned all the way off, and back it off
to JUST where it gets rid of the static.

Problem is if i do that, it is always stopping on channels that aren't
really active

159. Re: Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 00:29:03 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020103192903.07223.00004935@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 54632

>>How sad that yet another person is adding "TooManyRadios" to
>>their kill list because he is causing trouble, again!!
>
>How exactly am I "causing trouble?"

If you used WD-40 in your many radios like Cheek.

160. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 00:50:32 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C34FC58.CE57FD86@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 54633
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.politics.bush,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.libertarian,tx.guns,alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.military

MRK wrote:
>
> There are a few things that need to be said: First-There is a system > called "Echelon" this system is capable of receiving EVERY form of > communications.

Interesting. Two systems with which I have experience are:

1 - Multi channel HF SSB voice and data confined to co-ax cables inside double screened buildings - Have a radiation lock like an airlock.

2 - High speed data communications system using fibre-optic links enclosed in flexible metallic shield and entirely contained in double screened buildings.

You did say EVERY form? I had never seen that word applied to Echelon.

One other thing, these systems were not designed to keep the information in; they were designed to keep interference out. I suppose a system designed to be secure from interception would be much more carefully constructed.

Dave

161. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 01:00:30 UTC
From: "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com>
Message-ID: <O87Z7.106576$Y6.10411993@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 54634
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You directions are a little unclear--at least to me. Your formula for a 1/2 wave is okay,
but the center conductor radiator the length should be 1/2 of that for a quarter wave radiator. The braid would also need to be a quarter wave at the design
frequency. If you are attempting to feed a 1/2 vertical radiator, it will have an extremely
high feedpoint impedance, and needs a matching transformer to work with coax.

Is your half wave design of 3.019 for the entire length of the vertical dipole, braid and center
conductor, or just for the vertical element? It should be the total of both antenna elements.

Peter

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:u3434lnek1oa7d@corp.supernews.com...
> MAKING YOUR OWN ANTENNA
>
> BY N1CSS
> > The length of the antenna will depend on just what frequency band you
> want to listen to. Most people are interested in the Public Safety bands,
> which are right around 155 MHZ. You can figure out the length of the antenna
> for any band with the following formula:
>
>
> 468 / Freq (in MHZ)
>
> This gives you a half wave, in feet and fractions of a foot. Using 155 MHZ
> as an example, we would come out with:
>
> 468 / 155 = 3.019 feet
>

162. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 01:09:29 UTC
From: "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com>
Message-ID: <dh7Z7.106577$Y6.10411753@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 54635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

The AEI tag readers use unlicensed low power (about 2 watt) transmitters in the
940 MHz range. The RF is used to activate the passive tags on the cars, and
read the reflected data returned from the tag. The tag site has a dedictated computer
and modem line, and automatically reports the consist to a remote location by telephone
line.

Most of the signal related stuff to replace the underground wiring between bungalows
will be spread spectrum.

Operating compatibility between foreign roads is a limiting factor for abandoning the traditional
AAR 160 MHz allocations in the immediate future.

Peter

"> >Most of the 800-900 MHz activity is for automatic car readers (scanning devices)
> >to record when a car enters and leaves a yard facility. Also, it can be used for
> >remote signaling, sending train orders, locomotive location tracking, and two-way
> >voice communications. Sadly, this entire system as I understand uses digital
> >modulation instead of analog. Currently, there are no receivers available to
> >decode it.
>

163. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 01:16:15 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <zn7Z7.1404$ex5.611605@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 54636
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.politics.bush,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.libertarian,tx.guns,alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.military

: There are a few things that need to be said: First-There is a system
called
: "Echelon" this system is capable of receiving EVERY form of
communications.
: Second-The US dosen't spy on US citizens, but the NSA's Europe Echelon
: system does. We spy on them, they spy on us, and then we compair notes on
: each other.

And NSA has such a big manpower shortage that
they missed a number of important messages within
the bin Laden organization. In the end, no matter how
good the system, it still comes down to having people.
Hell, the UK turns off half their cameras because they
had a budge crunch and had to let people go.

And anything that the NSA gathers can not be used
in a court of law. It is not lawfully gathered evidence.
It is simply intelligence. There is a difference.

: > > >You were an LEO. The bad guys no longer use just
: > > >one phone. Whether it is a drug dealer or a terrorist,
: > > >the tactics are to never use a phone for very long. These
: > > >guys now have cell phones that they use and lose just
: > > >like they have guns that are used once then disposed
: > > >off. The old techniques no longer work.
: > >
: > > First, Stephan is *still* a LEO.
: > >
: >
: > Sorry, I thought he had said that is retired.
: >
: >
: > >
: > > Next, you're misinformed (as usual) as to current technology on phone
: > > taping. NSA and FBI have the capability to scan the spectrum for a
: > > VOICE, not merely look for a specific cell phone. Makes things a
little
: > > harder and slower, but it still works...
: >
: > Are you speaking of satellite technology? If so,
: > NSA would have such but would be very limited
: > in sharing such information with law enforcement.
: > There are still tough restrictions on gathering intelligence

: > on US citizens within the US by the CIA, NSA or
: > the military intelligence agencies. See EO #12333
: > that was issued by President Reagan.
: >
: > Yes there are spectrum analyzers that are linked
: > to computers that can isolate a particular individual.
: > And that can be useful if the bad guys are constantly
: > shifting cell phones. There are other technologies
: > that can be used to gather RF signal intelligence.
: > And anyone linked into the national backbone of
: > the internet can glean tons of information, most of
: > it being not that useful.
: >
: >
: >
: > Should the FBI, or any other federal law enforcement
: > agency (which NSA is not), seek to investigate an individual
: > then they would need a warrant in order to place evidence
: > in front of the courts. Simple intelligence gathering may be
: > nice to see where the bad guys are up to, but then any
: > hobbyist could do the same (for the most part).
: > But to convict someone in court, having your surveillance
: > supervised by the court (i.e. a warrant) is very necessary.
: >
: > And the rules of evidence have not gone away. All that
: > has happened is that rules for how warrants work with
: > respect to gathering evidence electronically have been
: > updated to match the technology.
: >
: >
: >
: >
:
:

164. Scanner news alert network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 01:21:25 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <a1317a$jv3$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54639

The people at ScanAtlanta.com would like to invite you to join the ScanAlert network. It's simple! Simply go to http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanalert/ for a brief explanation and to subscribe, just send an e-mail to scanalert-subscribe@yahoogroups.com . The list started out as Metro Atlanta in the beginning, it has now gone statewide (Georgia) and we would like to take it NATIONWIDE! Just during the snow storm we had the past 2 days, we had close to 100 separate post!

Don't delay, join now and let everyone know what is going on in your area of the country.

And the best part about it - IT'S FREE!

--
/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com

165. Re: What to do with a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 01:22:50 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <a131ds$kl9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54640

A STX821 should be a trunking portable. What condition is it in? Do you
have the charger with it? Interested in selling it?

--
/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com

"Ken" <k_haas@noooospaamcore.com> wrote in message
news:3c33c15a$0$37099$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net...
> I found this radio in a box of "junk" my fried was throwing out. It seems
> to be programmed with dozens of south Florida police/fire/ambulance
> channels. Besides using the radio as a scanner, can someone please tell
me
> what radio/scanner enthusiasts do with this radio. Since it doesn't seem
to
> operate in a trunked mode, I would have little use for it as a scanner.
>
> Also, the radio seems to have some sort of phone feature, with a keypad
> built in.
>
> Thanks for any information,
>
> Ken
>
>
>
>

166. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 01:27:30 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c350318.336694369@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On 3 Jan 2002 07:24:11 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:

>And while your points as regards VHF vs 800mhz in terrain are correct,
>perhaps it should be pointed out that even with the disadvantage of
>shorter range, rail service is perhaps better suited than many other
>services to 800mhz, even on the longer haul lines since the intended
>coverage area is pretty precisely defined... to an area not more than
>a half mile either side of the ROW. I grant you, that we're dealing
>with a goodly number of trunk sites., but even here, we're talking
>about less of them than the average trunk system, (per area local
>area, at least) since, again, the coverage area is limited to where
>the tracks are.

Actually, no, RR's and similar systems (highway patrol, etc)(*) are peculiarly
badly suited to 800 MHz. The problem is that the coverage pattern from
a site is (more or less) circular, whereas RR's are long and skinny. To
implement an 800 MHz system with the same coverage level as the current
VHF system would require the RR's to install roughly 5 times as many
tower sites.

Interestingly, the RR's looked at PCS cell phone systems (e.g. Sprint or
Nextel) until they discovered that in some difficult terrain areas you could
pretty much throw a rock out of the coverage area standing at the tower
base.

John

(* Texas, for this reason, equips their highway patrolmen with 800 MHz
portable radios to use in urban areas, and VHF mobiles in the cars to
use in rural areas).

167. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 01:31:42 UTC
From: TMcmillan <shellemc@gcnet.com>
Message-ID: <2C7Z7.33$5E.7907@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 54637
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just have a question about the formula for the length of the antenna... If I get a length from the formula that is less than a foot.... like 6 inches or something like that, shouldn't the antenna be longer? I guess I'm j ust asking if it would be okay to double the length you get so that it isn't too short.

Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message news:u3434lnek1oa7d@corp.supernews.com...
> MAKING YOUR OWN ANTENNA
>
> BY N1CSS
>
>
> LENGTH OF THE ANTENNA
>
> The length of the antenna will depend on just what frequency band you
> want to listen to. Most people are interested in the Public Safety bands,
> which are right around 155 MHZ. You can figure out the length of the antenna
> for any band with the following formula:
>
>
> 468 / Freq (in MHZ)
>
> This gives you a half wave, in feet and fractions of a foot. Using 155 MHZ
> as an example, we would come out with:
>
> 468 / 155 = 3.019 feet
>
> Now, we can convert the fractional part of the answer into inches by
> multiplying it by 12 (the number of inches in a foot). This comes out to:
>
> .019 X 12 = .228
>
> .228 is close enough to a quarter inch so it won`t matter. so our antenna
> will be 3 feet, one-quarter inch long for 155 MHZ.
>

168. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 01:40:20 UTC
From: Mr Malcolm C Hedley <kayakkhan@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <8H7Z7.59633$HW3.37439@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 54638
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.politics.bush,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.libertarian,tx.guns,alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.military

Dave wrote:

> Interesting. Two systems with which I have experience are:
>
> 1 - Multi channel HF SSB voice and data confined to co-ax cables inside
> double screened buildings - Have a radiation lock like an airlock.
>
> 2 - High speed data communications system using fibre-optic links
> enclosed in flexible metallic shield and entirely contained in double
> screened buildings.
>
> You did say EVERY form? I had never seen that word applied to Echelon.
>
> One other thing, these systems were not designed to keep the information
> in; they were designed to keep interference out. I suppose a system
> designed to be secure from interception would be much more carefully
> constructed.
>
> Dave

Well said Dave..... Michael Faraday must be rolling in his grave...lol

Curious though, when you consider that if all this alleged sophisticated equipment exists (at the level of infallibility claimed by its believers), they can't find one emaciated arab in an rf quiet area the world. Perhaps bin Laden has nothing more impressive than a portable Faraday cage... lol.

I have to wonder if this is not just another example of disinformation. Create the belief that a system so omnipotent exists, then let the wagging tongues do the rest. After all what's better?..spend billions on a system or thousands on creating the belief in a system.

I think Echelon belongs in the same drawer as " the Titanic is unsinkable", "Mankind cannot withstand speeds in excess of sound" and " Elvis is alive and well and living in Bolivia".
Cheers
Mal

169. room transmitter 20.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 01:42:10 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <keZY7.12368$li.346625@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 54614

realistic room transmitter.

plugs into any 120v wall plug.

2 channels, switchable on back

49.860 or 49.890

picks up the whole room, transmits up to 500 feet
on any scanner or receiver

20.00US includes shipping

paypal, certified bank note or INTERNATIONAL postalcheck

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

170. FA: Icom IC-R2 Communications Rcvr (portable)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 02:05:20 UTC
From: Bill Becker <the.bill@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C350DC4.55EFC583@home.com>
Source record: 54641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have one of these on auction at Ebay.

Please look at
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1315986883

if interested.

Thanks,

Bill Becker

171. Scout 40 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 02:17:51 UTC
From: Hank <h@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <gl3a3uk43101qp9updg290levoos9bvjp6@4ax.com>
Source record: 54643

I just purchased a used Scout 40 and am not sure if its working up to par is there any way to test it ??

I did key my kids Cobra microtalk 2 way radio from the other room and it does display the frequency of 462.564 but is not picking up anything else in the area.

It has the DB32 antenna on it

I do have access to signal generators, I did bring it to work today and monitored CW frequencies 100 MHz up to 1.5 GHz and it is accurate in that aspect but how low a power level should it be able to detect ?

Thanks

172. Re: Icom R3: Expensive Toy or Useful device? Euro-Version PAL or NTSC if at all?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 02:27:24 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gq8Z7.1737$zw3.317600@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54644

Irony about the R-3 is the fact it was premarketed to NASCAR fans who not only wanted audio reception but were promised FM-TV reception of in-car cams. Once released, it was discovered that the FM-TV mode was quietly deleted out of paranoia about infringing upon the Electronic Criminal Protection Act of 1986 & its' 199X update. It is now nothing more than an Icom Watchamn clone with a built in radio! Icom's legal department is famous for neutering good products in the name of potential legal liabilities. In this case, it was the "possible interception" of undercover video surveillance transmission signals widely used by police that caused the mode to be deleted. This deceptive scenario left a very bad taste in the mouths of NASCAR fans & the scanner world alike. I am not sure the Euro version receives FM-TV either. Can anyone confirm the European version does? If so, is it PAL or NTSC format? Oh the disgust!

I have also heard it eats batteries like Keith Richards shoots heroin!

73 de Rafman

Enos Slobodnik <mortelavie@excite.com> wrote in message

> I believe the R3 is the handheld scanner that does not only audio, but
> video signals. Is it just a gadget for someone with 500 bucks to toss
> out or does it offer some real use?
>
> What do you think?
>
> Slobodnik, Montana
>
>

173. fs. amateur ham radio mobile 90.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 02:38:20 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <Z2_Y7.12382$li.348456@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 54617

for sale:

realistic htx 242 amateur 2 meter ham mobile radio
this unit has extended receive and transmit

It will transmit from 136mhz - 174 mhz
completely keyboard programmable
40 channels with scan
built in ctcss toneboard for tranmit and receive
has a call channel button to bring you directly to one of your
programmed channels
has high and low power 5 watts or 40 watts tx output
has DTMF tones to activate repeaters

comes with manual

Needs a microphone if you wish to transmit
but makes an excellent scanner
3 times as sensitive as any scanner with much more noise rejection
than any scanner on the market

90.00US includes insured shipping

paypal, certifed bank check or INTERNATIONAL postal check

thanks
shyguy@cogeco.ca

174. Re: Scout 40 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 02:39:15 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <nd5a3u4vrf5otacosmfp6udkqqojmmqfkk@4ax.com>
Source record: 54645

Hank you can go right to:
http://www.optoelectronics.com/whatscoutcount.htm
and everything you need to know is there.

monty

Hank <h@mediaone.net> wrote:

>I just purchased a used Scout 40 and am not sure if its working up to
>par is there any way to test it ??
>
>I did key my kids Cobra microtalk 2 way radio from the other room and
>it does display the frequency of 462.564 but is not picking up
>anything else in the area.
>
>It has the DB32 antenna on it
>
>I do have access to signal generators, I did bring it to work today
>and monitored CW frequencies 100 MHz up to 1.5 GHz and it is accurate
>in that aspect but how low a power level should it be able to detect ?
>
>Thanks

175. Re: newbie question on programing a receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 03:03:37 UTC
From: DJ Bjorklund <bjork57NOSPAM@hickorytech.net>
Message-ID: <fp6a3u0vna4tlh66ns7agfljhrjr06dgb8@4ax.com>
Source record: 54551

Looks like the old rrs newsgroup gots no time for us "newbies" eh?
;-)

On Tue, 1 Jan 2002 22:55:25 -0500, "*Muffin*" <muffin@neo.lrun.com>
wrote:

>(I have the pro-94 radio shack,, but not sure if that matters)
>
>when you are programming in trunk & regular 'one' channel frequencies, can
>they all be on the same bank?
>
>I have it set to search the trunks & conventional frequencies,, but am
>wondering what is the difference to set it(the group of named trunk
>frequencies) up AS a trunk (as the directions inform you to do) when it
>still lists the numbered spots in the bank & you can see them 'wiz' by...
>what would be the difference to just putting them in as REGULAR conventional
>frequencies?
>
>so far I have found only ONE trunked set of 8 stations(frequencies)....(to
>load into it)
>
>(so I have bank 1 ch 1 to 8 as the trunk & the rest as singular)
>
>
>also WHAT the heck is a "fleet" & group ID lists?
>how do you tell, what do you use them for? etc....
>
>

176. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 03:24:46 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3C35209B.86F50576@ameritech.net>
Source record: 54646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

eflorack wrote:

[snip]

>
> If I'm not mistaken, there used to be low power services stuck in
> between the existing AAR channels, though I don't know if they're
> still there. CLearly, though, there could be no such services if the
> splits on the existing AAR channels were narrowed as you suggest.

They used to be in the 452 and 457 MHz areas, spaced 12.5 KHz apart from each other.

>
>
> And while your points as regards VHF vs 800mhz in terrain are correct,
> perhaps it should be pointed out that even with the disadvantage of
> shorter range, rail service is perhaps better suited than many other
> services to 800mhz, even on the longer haul lines since the intended
> coverage area is pretty precisely defined... to an area not more than
> a half mile either side of the ROW. I grant you, that we're dealing
> with a goodly number of trunk sites., but even here, we're talking
> about less of them than the average trunk system, (per area local
> area, at least) since, again, the coverage area is limited to where
> the tracks are.

British Rail and SNCF, operate on trunked radio systems in the 208 MHz range, with excellent
coverage. They use passive wire antennas, cell towers near the right-of-way, and their own larger
towers near major rail yards, for seamless coverage. I believe that JR has a similar system in
place. Since the coverage is limited to 1 kilometer either side of the line, this allows them to use
directional antennas that allow for better reception and transmission of signals.

>
>
> Interesting thought, anyway.
>
> > >Yes, while talking with a Motorola rep, he told me that they plan on selling the
> > >UP a 160 MHz, trunked radio system, that would take roughly five years to phase
> > >in. UP has not decided on a format but LTR and Project 25 are being discussed,
> > >with the AAR taking notes. The FCC is very supportive of implementing trunking
> > >technology to the entire 150-174 MHz and 450-512 MHz bands.

> >
> > This I can't speak about (not because I don't know anything about it, however).
>
> Interesting.
> Trunked systems at 800mhz are fairly simple, if massive to construct,
> and range of systems is obviously a design consideration. The dynamics
> involved with moving that system to 160mhz and it's range/watt ratio
> would be err.... interesting to say the least. Do-able, certainly...
> but still...

Currently, the government of Ontario is converting their public safety band in the 138-144 MHz area
over to a Motorola ASTRO Omni-link trunking system. This system includes: Ministry of Transportation,
OPP, local agencies, and the possibility of GO Transit in Toronto. Testing has revealed much better
coverage than on 800 MHz and the officials are very pleased with the results so far.

Motorola is promoting their new VHF/UHF trunking systems as the best way to utilize the frequency
spectrum in 150-174 MHz, 450-512 MHz areas. The railroad industry is watching this technology very
closely.

Sincerely,
--
==========
Ron Wilbanks

Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"

*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***

"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
==========

177. Re: Mods for 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 03:35:27 UTC
From: jlemay@njmc.com
Message-ID: <lf8a3ug46od85o1gpd0f7ls19nol6l970u@4ax.com>
Source record: 54547

On 03 Jan 2002 04:37:05 GMT, farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69) wrote:

>Listing to cell phone calls may be illegal in the US and Other countries??

Maybe not for long. According to this month's PopCom there is talk in
Washington to repeal the ECPA. The argument is that it would be simple
for terrorist organizations to limit their communications to these so
called "protected" and "private" frequencies.

178. Re: Scout 40 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 04:00:52 UTC
From: Hank <h@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <61aa3u4kpacmf7kja7ijpic3csavccfjsj@4ax.com>
Source record: 54548

On Fri, 04 Jan 2002 02:39:15 GMT, Monty Python

Okay cool so the next obvious question is, is there a way to amplify
the signals in the immidiate area by which i mean increasing the
Scouts sensivitivity as i know adding a amplifier to the antenna is
NOT GOOD ?

<radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote:

>Hank you can go right to:
>http://www.optoelectronics.com/whatscoutcount.htm
>and everything you need to know is there.
>
>monty
>
>Hank <h@mediaone.net> wrote:
>
>>I just purchased a used Scout 40 and am not sure if its working up to
>>par is there any way to test it ??
>>
>>I did key my kids Cobra microtalk 2 way radio from the other room and
>>it does display the frequency of 462.564 but is not picking up
>>anything else in the area.
>>
>>It has the DB32 antenna on it
>>
>>I do have access to signal generators, I did bring it to work today
>>and monitored CW frequencies 100 MHz up to 1.5 GHz and it is accurate
>>in that aspect but how low a power level should it be able to detect ?
>>
>>Thanks

179. Re: Help needed: BC-560XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 04:02:55 UTC
From: Fabulous Donny Jaguar <fictitiousaddress@domain.isa.sham.com>
Message-ID: <3C3529C7.325A91C7@domain.isa.sham.com>
Source record: 54549

Check to see if the metal fingers on the inner part of the BNC connector
are damaged, spread too far apart or missing altogether.

Ken Foshee wrote:

> I have 2 of these scanners I have been using and one has quit
> recieving. It comes on, scans and the squelch works. My problem is for
> example is when I press the "WX" for weather the light only blinks no
> sound. I disconnected the antenna from the 2nd scanner (that works)
> and pressed the "WX" button and the light only blinks. It is as if the
> 1st scanner is not reconizing a signal.
> Any advise or suggestions will be greatly appreciated. The scanners
> are older but still do fine for my needs.

180. Re: Scout 40 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 04:20:31 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <51ba3ucoq7mgi929c8c8793vjqgo7gq2tb@4ax.com>
Source record: 54550

Hank,

I don't think that's at all possible, unless you have an
old transoceanic with a "Wave Magnet". :) You have to
go to the source ... that's why they named it the
scout. That's why i like the vibrate option. I go down
to the city hall .. look at the wanted posters while the scout
vibrates and stores the frequencies. I go back out to the car ..
hook up the AR8200 and let the scout tune the scanner
to my captured frequencies. if I don't pick anything up ..
I go back and scout the place out again. it's a cool toy.

monty

Hank <h@mediaone.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 04 Jan 2002 02:39:15 GMT, Monty Python
o>
>ptioOkay cool so the next obvious question is, is there a way to amplify
>the signals in the immidiate area by which i mean increasing the
>Scouts sensivitivity as i know adding a amplifier to the antenna is
>NOT GOOD ?
>
>
><radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote:
>
>>Hank you can go right to:
>>http://www.optoelectronics.com/whatscoutcount.htm
>>and everything you need to know is there.
>>
>>monty
>>
>>Hank <h@mediaone.net> wrote:
>>
>>>I just purchased a used Scout 40 and am not sure if its working up to
>>>par is there any way to test it ??
>>>
>>>I did key my kids Cobra microtalk 2 way radio from the other room and
>>>it does display the frequency of 462.564 but is not picking up
>>>anything else in the area.
>>>
>>>It has the DB32 antenna on it
>>>
>>>I do have access to signal generators, I did bring it to work today
>>>and monitored CW frequencies 100 MHz up to 1.5 GHz and it is accurate

>>>in that aspect but how low a power level should it be able to detect ?
>>>
>>>Thanks

181. Re: PRO-2006 Cheap! on E/bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 04:45:09 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020103234509.05984.00002464@mb-fl.news.cs.com>
Source record: 54552

Cheap Heck I have 4 to sell contact me and I'll give you a great price

182. Re: Scanner news alert network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 05:36:31 UTC
From: 0880@iname.com
Message-ID: <700e61c7.0201032136.464f3d99@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54553

There's already National groups http://ninn.net http://ipn.net
how many are needed ?
> The people at ScanAtlanta.com would like to invite you to join the ScanAlert
> network. It's simple! Simply go to
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanalert/ for a brief explanation and to
> subscribe, just send an e-mail to scanalert-subscribe@yahoogroups.com . The
> list started out as Metro Atlanta in the beginning, it has now gone
> statewide (Georgia) and we would like to take it NATIONWIDE! Just during
> the snow storm we had the past 2 days, we had close to 100 separate post!
>
> Don't delay, join now and let everyone know what is going on in your area of
> the country.
>
> And the best part about it - IT'S FREE!

183. Re: PRO-2006 Cheap! on E/bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 05:55:29 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.04.00.59.14.662500.22783@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54554

On Thu, 03 Jan 2002 23:45:09 -0500, Farmandjr69 wrote:

> Cheap Heck I have 4 to sell contact me and I'll give you a great price

I'm starting to think the marget is getting flooded with these. I have two now myself, one of which I bought a year ago and another (that needs some work) that was given to me a few months ago. I also have my original 2005 that I bought new right before they were gone. Anyone who was following these rigs back then recalls that it was unclear if the 2006, which was due out in a month or so, would still have cellular capabilites. In addition, no one really knew what GRE was going to do to the innards of the rig.

Personally, I think I'm going to ditch the 2006's (but I have to keep the 2005) in favor of a Uniden 780. It's the only receiver in the price range that, IMHO, holds a candle to the legacy of the 2004/2005/2006's. (I wasn't a fan of the 2035/2042)

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

184. Re: BC780 Squelch...how high should it be set?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 05:58:42 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.04.01.02.28.178787.22783@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54555

On Thu, 03 Jan 2002 19:13:28 -0500, Greg wrote:

> Problem is if i do that, it is always stopping on channels that aren't
> really active

You are correct. This method has always allowed momentary peaks of static or mobiles that barely make the trip to annoy the listener. Personally, on any rig I'm not real familiar with I turn the squelch to where I don't hear the static, then NOT back it off that couple of degrees. In fact, the only rigs I still back off are my (here I go again) 2005 and 2006's. Those were known for a generous squelch.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

185. Re: newbie question on programing a receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 06:10:05 UTC
From: *Muffin* <muffin@neo.lrun.com>
Message-ID: <a13h2e01mtf@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54557

"DJ Bjorklund" <bjork57NOSPAM@hickorytech.net> wrote in message
news:fp6a3u0vna4tlh66ns7agfljhrjr06dgb8@4ax.com...
> Looks like the old rrs newsgroup gots no time for us "newbies" eh?
> ;-)
>
>
>

sigh

guess so

but I did get some answers from a yahoogroup email list.

186. Re: What to do with a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 06:26:08 UTC
From: Larry Johnson <larryj@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <3C353F5D.EAA07527@teleport.com>
Source record: 54556

There's an STX on ebay right now, it's at $68 with no takers, so far.
I've programmed lots of STX's and they're nice radios. Yes, they do
trunking, as well as conventional channels. Some of them even have
encryption (DVP).
LJ

Ken wrote:
>
> I found this radio in a box of "junk" my fried was throwing out. It seems
> to be programmed with dozens of south Florida police/fire/ambulance
> channels. Besides using the radio as a scanner, can someone please tell me
> what radio/scanner enthusiasts do with this radio. Since it doesn't seem to
> operate in a trunked mode, I would have little use for it as a scanner.
>
> Also, the radio seems to have some sort of phone feature, with a keypad
> built in.
>
> Thanks for any information,
>
> Ken

187. F/A: RADIO SHACK PRO-2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 07:23:08 UTC
From: Russell <rustyNOSPAM@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C35585C.2060304@dccnet.com>
Source record: 54612

For auction at a reasonable price! TAKE A LOOK!!
Ends Thursday!

E/BAY item=1315452293

188. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 10:04:28 UTC
From: andyth2002@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <448e2c0d.0201040204.7434d1a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Avraam" <delphi657645@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3c339a71$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>...
>
> I making a software for programming my 780xlt and if somebody else want to
> use it... why not.
>
> Is something wrong with that?
>

The point is that the looks, the way the tab is setup, the clip import
is all copied from another applications. Be creative don't just copy.

Again, ARC780 is only USD 25.00. ARC780 can do all the things you
described.

But be my guest, waste your time...

189. MDT: a_alpha1 - Listen Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 10:14:46 UTC
From: The Phantom <phntm@email.com>
Message-ID: <qgfZ7.4$ub2.5029@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 54559

You have gone to every scanner newsgroup and proclaimed that almost all MDT's are now encrypted and cannot be monitored. I think the man doth protest too much! That means I think that "federal agency" you (supposedly) used to work for is still influencing you to spread misinformation to prevent us from even trying. They shut down Napster but that didn't stop us, we are still sharing music. Stop telling us what we can't do. Every code that has ever been invented has been cracked, MDT's are no exception.

190. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 11:40:26 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u39r0d8h3jco83@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54629
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.politics.bush,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.libertarian,tx.guns,alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.military

There are a few things that need to be said: First-There is a system called "Echelon" this system is capable of receiving EVERY form of communications. Second-The US dosen't spy on US citizens, but the NSA's Europe Echelon system does. We spy on them, they spy on us, and then we compair notes on each other.

"N9NWO" <2121d@gte.net> wrote in message news:3C34A3B6.FF5949A0@gte.net...
> > >You were an LEO. The bad guys no longer use just
> > >one phone. Whether it is a drug dealer or a terrorist,
> > >the tactics are to never use a phone for very long. These
> > >guys now have cell phones that they use and lose just
> > >like they have guns that are used once then disposed
> > >off. The old techniques no longer work.
> >
> > First, Stephan is *still* a LEO.
> >
>
> Sorry, I thought he had said that is retired.
>
>
> >
> > Next, you're misinformed (as usual) as to current technology on phone
> > taping. NSA and FBI have the capability to scan the spectrum for a
> > VOICE, not merely look for a specific cell phone. Makes things a little
> > harder and slower, but it still works...
>
> Are you speaking of satellite technology? If so,
> NSA would have such but would be very limited
> in sharing such information with law enforcement.
> There are still tough restrictions on gathering intelligence
> on US citizens within the US by the CIA, NSA or
> the military intelligence agencies. See EO #12333
> that was issued by President Reagan.
>
> Yes there are spectrum analyzers that are linked
> to computers that can isolate a particular individual.
> And that can be useful if the bad guys are constantly
> shifting cell phones. There are other technologies
> that can be used to gather RF signal intelligence.
> And anyone linked into the national backbone of
> the internet can glean tons of information, most of
> it being not that useful.
>

>
>
> Should the FBI, or any other federal law enforcement
> agency (which NSA is not), seek to investigate an individual
> then they would need a warrant in order to place evidence
> in front of the courts. Simple intelligence gathering may be
> nice to see where the bad guys are up to, but then any
> hobbyist could do the same (for the most part).
> But to convict someone in court, having your surveillance
> supervised by the court (i.e. a warrant) is very necessary.
>
> And the rules of evidence have not gone away. All that
> has happened is that rules for how warrants work with
> respect to gathering evidence electronically have been
> updated to match the technology.
>
>
>
>

191. Re: Bill Cheek, John Mackey, Eric Oyen, Glen Quarnstrom, Peter Boe, Andy Moss, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 13:30:19 UTC
From: Arlo Janus <arlojanus@aol.com>
Message-ID: <L7iZ7.52898$Sj1.21769587@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 54560

Looks like you're one of those idiots that drink the stuff. Another one for my "already dead but doesn't know it" filter. Bye moron.

"TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020103192903.07223.00004935@mb-dh.aol.com...
>
> >>How sad that yet another person is adding "TooManyRadios" to
> >>their kill list because he is causing trouble, again!!
> >
> >How exactly am I "causing trouble?"
>
> If you used WD-40 in your many radios like Cheek.
>
>

192. Re: PRO-2006 Cheap! on E/bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 14:00:44 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C35B58C.8060401@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 54561

John LeMay wrote:

> On Thu, 03 Jan 2002 23:45:09 -0500, Farmandjr69 wrote:
>
>
>>Cheap Heck I have 4 to sell contact me and I'll give you a great price
>>
>
> I'm starting to think the marget is getting flooded with these. I have two
> now myself, one of which I bought a year ago and another (that needs some
> work) that was given to me a few months ago. I also have my original 2005
> that I bought new right before they were gone. Anyone who was following
> these rigs back then recalls that it was unclear if the 2006, which was due
> out in a month or so, would still have cellular capabilites. In addition, no one
> really knew what GRE was going to do to the innards of the rig.
>
> Personally, I think I'm going to ditch the 2006's (but I have to keep the
> 2005) in favor of a Uniden 780. It's the only receiver in the price range
> that, IMHO, holds a candle to the legacy of the 2004/2005/2006's. (I
> wasn't a fan of the 2035/2042)

With so may people thinking Cell Phone monitoring is fun, I don't think
the PRO2006 market has become flooded yet. And by looking at the price
an 2006 goes for on eBay it is beyond belief.

John is correct, the 780XLT is about the only radio in the <$350 range
which comes close to the 2006. I have a 2006 and 780XLT. I also have
2 PRO2035's. Fine Radio for MilAir but the 780XLT is just a cut above.
The nice thing about the 2025's are that you can pick them up at a very
reasonable price.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

--

I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
----

193. Re: What to do with a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 14:52:12 UTC
From: k.haas@motorola.com
Message-ID: <69cde514.0201040652.30c9de45@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54562

O.K., so for all I know, this radio is simply used as a fancy scanner. (The radio does have incription, for whatever that's worth). Why would anyone want to pay $400.00 for this when they could buy a scanner instead?? Am I missing something here??

Ken

194. [OT] Stephan Walther-Larsen please contact me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 15:39:31 UTC
From: loksim <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <a14ic5pip1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 54563

your e-mail address swl@it.dk is invalid, please, contact me
loksim4@poczta.onet.pl

195. Re: PRO-2006 Cheap! on E/bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 16:06:57 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@home.com>
Message-ID: <BqkZ7.204721$kf1.61617886@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 54564

How did a scanner with something wrong like that go for that much??
"PRO-2006" <dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:u39rea2b4b3o78@corp.supernews.com...
> CHEAP! Ends in a few hours!
> ebay ViewItem&item=1315452293
>

196. Aor3000a VS Icom PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 16:18:55 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <PBkZ7.5456$N77.46128@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 54565

Im currently a owner of a AOR3000a and thinking of buying a pcr1000... I know very little of the ICOM so can anyone tell me if this is an upgrade or a downgrade?

KAT

197. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 16:57:34 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c35dec7$0$1610$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 54566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Avraam,

My email to you bounced. Looks like you worked hard
on the interface. Nice job. I suggest that you delete the leading
zeroes from the frequencies and right justify the frequency
column.

Leading zeroes make the frequencies more difficult to
read. You rarely (if ever) find a modern scanner
which represents fequencies using leading zeroes.

It's great news that you plan a version for Linux.
Consider using the Tk/Tcl scripting language. It's
much higher level than C and the resulting program
will work on Linux, BSD, Windows XP, Windows 98, MacOS X, etc.

I'm using Tcl/Tk for the new tk545 program I wrote
for the JRC NRD-545 shortwave receiver. It works
on all those platforms after the user installs the
free Tcl/Tk interpreter on his system.

See tk545 link on my web page for more info:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

On Wed, 02 Jan 2002 17:54:25 -0600, Avraam wrote:

> This is what I need for my scanner and that is what I will do. I use
> both Linux and windows, so I will make a version for Linux and one for
> windows.
>
>
>> "Avraam" <avraam3334@hotmail.com> wrote in message

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
Linux user

198. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 17:40:08 UTC
From: norman@nose.cs.utoronto.edu.ca
Message-ID: <GpFD2w.C02@campus-news-reading.utoronto.ca>
Source record: 54571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

John McCoy <igopogo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>VHF has two big advantages from the RR's perspective, which
>are that it gives good coverage in rugged terrain and they already have a lot of
>channels allocated (91 of them, which could be doubled by switching to 12.5kHz
>channel spacing). There is no possibility of the RR's being allocated that many
>channels in any other band.

The existing AAR channels are spaced 15kHz apart; how would going to 12.5kHz
spacing double the number? Do you mean 7.5kHz? Is such close spacing even
practical?

Is there really a perceived shortage of available channels, except perhaps
in local choke points like yards, small enough that 800MHz would work?

Norman Wilson
--
To reply directly, expel `.edu'.

199. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 17:40:12 UTC
From: Avraam <delphi657645@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c35e5b8_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 54567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> The point is that the looks, the way the tab is setup, the clip import
> is all copied from another applications. Be creative don't just copy.

Andy,
I don't understand what do you mean.
"the way the tab is setup" you mean if I move the tabs for the tabbed grid from top to bottom or right or left will mean I creative?
(anyway thank you for the idea, I will make an option to move the tabs in any place you want)
I told you I make that application for *my scanner* and if somebody what to use it I give it away for free.
So, if I make an application for *my scanner*, I will make it in any way I want.

> Again, ARC780 is only USD 25.00. ARC780 can do all the things you
> described.

ARC780 is a great application. Is doing all the things I want but only in windows.
My application will do just a small amount of things but in windows and linux.

> But be my guest, waste your time...

Programming is my hobby and my job, I don't waste anything.

-avraam

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

200. Re: Screenshots for the new software for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 17:51:19 UTC
From: Avraam <delphi657645@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c35e852$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 54568
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> My email to you bounced. Looks like you worked hard
> on the interface. Nice job. I suggest that you delete the leading
> zeroes from the frequencies and right justify the frequency
> column.

I will do that.

> It's great news that you plan a version for Linux.
> Consider using the Tk/Tcl scripting language. It's
> much higher level than C and the resulting program
> will work on Linux, BSD, Windows XP, Windows 98, MacOS X, etc.

I'm using Delphi (for windows developing) and Kylix (for linux) both from
Borland.
Both are great. 95% from my applications in Delphi I been able to compile
them in Kylix for a linux version w/o any modifications.
Delphi is making native windows exe files and Kylix is making elf
executables for linux.

> See tk545 link on my web page for more info:
>
> http://members.core.com/~parnass

nice application... I'm sure you understand why I want to make this
application because looks like we both have same hobby.... programming.

best regards,
avraam

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

201. Icom PCR1000 and Linux...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 17:53:23 UTC
From: Andy <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C35ED0F.55876B68@home.com>
Source record: 54569

Is there any 'good' Linux software for the Icom PCR 100/1000 computer controlled receivers?

TIA.

Andy

202. Need Freqs ASAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 19:34:27 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020104143427.26365.00002744@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 54572

Westin Hotel in Providence and Provodence Place Mall Thanks in advance

203. Re: Sony ICF-SC1PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 19:39:45 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020104143945.26365.00002749@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 54573

Lost my cumputer cable for the scanner

204. Re: Scout 40 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 21:19:13 UTC
From: Markeaux <markeaux@YANKTHISyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6%oZ7.1786$0s5.1132919@news20>
Source record: 54574

Does your city hall have a metal detector thru which everyone must pass? If so, have the security guards or anybody else said anything about the Scout? Just wondering what might happen with those guys if they see a more or less clandestine-type freq catcher.

"Monty Python" <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message news:51ba3ucoq7mgi929c8c8793vjqgo7gq2tb@4ax.com...
Hank,

I don't think that's at all possible, unless you have an old transoceanic with a "Wave Magnet". :) You have to go to the source ... that's why they named it the scout. That's why i like the vibrate option. I go down to the city hall .. look at the wanted posters while the scout vibrates and stores the frequencies. I go back out to the car .. hook up the AR8200 and let the scout tune the scanner to my captured frequencies. if I don't pick anything up .. I go back and scout the place out again. it's a cool toy.

monty

Hank <h@mediaone.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 04 Jan 2002 02:39:15 GMT, Monty Python
o>
>ptioOkay cool so the next obvious question is, is there a way to amplify
>the signals in the immidiate area by which i mean increasing the
>Scouts sensivitivity as i know adding a amplifier to the antenna is
>NOT GOOD ?
>
>
><radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote:
>
>>Hank you can go right to:
>>http://www.optoelectronics.com/whatscoutcount.htm
>>and everything you need to know is there.
>>
>>monty
>>
>>Hank <h@mediaone.net> wrote:
>>
>>>I just purchased a used Scout 40 and am not sure if its working up to
>>>par is there any way to test it ??
>>>
>>>I did key my kids Cobra microtalk 2 way radio from the other room and
>>>it does display the frequency of 462.564 but is not picking up

>>>anything else in the area.
>>>
>>>It has the DB32 antenna on it
>>>
>>>I do have access to signal generators, I did bring it to work today
>>>and monitored CW frequencies 100 MHz up to 1.5 GHz and it is accurate
>>>in that aspect but how low a power level should it be able to detect ?
>>>
>>>Thanks

205. Re: Scout 40 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 21:53:37 UTC
From: Hank <h@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <vs8c3ucubbk1rnj5qjohmuaeqn48ne1tmi@4ax.com>
Source record: 54575

Well it took it for a ride in the car today through the city and still
nothing also I live in the city and there is a airport near by and the
incoming planes are very close and low coming by my house and still
nothing is regestering. As I am typing my daughter picked up the 900
MHz phone and made a call and nothing.
If I almost touch the phones antenna to the scouts antenna then it
registeres a 902 MHz signal.

On Fri, 4 Jan 2002 15:19:13 -0600, "Markeaux"
<markeaux@YANKTHISyahoo.com> wrote:

>Does your city hall have a metal detector thru which everyone must pass? If
>so, have the security guards or anybody else said anything about the Scout?
>Just wondering what might happen with those guys if they see a more or less
>clandestine-type freq catcher.
>
>"Monty Python" <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
>news:51ba3ucoq7mgi929c8c8793vjqgo7gq2tb@4ax.com...
>Hank,
>
>I don't think that's at all possible, unless you have an
>old transoceanic with a "Wave Magnet". :) You have to
>go to the source ... that's why they named it the
>scout. That's why i like the vibrate option. I go down
>to the city hall .. look at the wanted posters while the scout
>vibrates and stores the frequencies. I go back out to the car ..
>hook up the AR8200 and let the scout tune the scanner
>to my captured frequencies. if I don't pick anything up ..
>I go back and scout the place out again. it's a cool toy.
>
>monty
>
>
>Hank <h@mediaone.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 04 Jan 2002 02:39:15 GMT, Monty Python
>o>
>>ptioOkay cool so the next obvious question is, is there a way to amplify
>>the signals in the immidiate area by which i mean increasing the
>>Scouts sensivitivity as i know adding a amplifier to the antenna is
>>NOT GOOD ?
>>
>>
>><radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote:
>>

>>>Hank you can go right to:
>>>http://www.optoelectronics.com/whatscoutcount.htm
>>>and everything you need to know is there.
>>>
>>>monty
>>>
>>>Hank <h@mediaone.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I just purchased a used Scout 40 and am not sure if its working up to
>>>>par is there any way to test it ??
>>>>
>>>>I did key my kids Cobra microtalk 2 way radio from the other room and
>>>>it does display the frequency of 462.564 but is not picking up
>>>>anything else in the area.
>>>>
>>>>It has the DB32 antenna on it
>>>>
>>>>I do have access to signal generators, I did bring it to work today
>>>>and monitored CW frequencies 100 MHz up to 1.5 GHz and it is accurate
>>>>in that aspect but how low a power level should it be able to detect ?
>>>>
>>>>Thanks
>

206. PRO-2006 Cheap! on E/bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-04 23:45:53 UTC
From: PRO-2006 <dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <u39rea2b4b3o78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54630

```
CHEAP! Ends in a few hours!
ebay ViewItem&item=1315452293
```

207. Re: PRO-2006 Cheap! on E/bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 00:14:38 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020104191438.00770.00002394@mb-de.news.cs.com>
Source record: 54576

Hey John

Please email me at farmandjr69@cs.com with any Pro-2006 you want to dump. and
I'll take them off of your hands

Fred

208. Re: Looking for a place to buy a 780xlt from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 00:27:41 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C3648B2.F1E46E68@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Power House wrote:
>
> If you are looking for the cheapest place to get the scanner
> from, try out Communications Electronics. Their shipping is a
> little high, but even with their high shipping, the total price
> is LESS than everyone else is asking for their scanners regular
> price without shipping. CE also throws in a set of headphones
> from scanner listening. Can't say how good they are, but they
> are free, so what the hell!

FYI, they are a good set, with volume controls on each side.
I bought 2 780's from them and am happy with the nice extra. :-)

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

209. Re: Aor3000a VS Icom PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 01:04:53 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@home.com>
Message-ID: <VisZ7.66598$va.34336732@news2.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 54578

"John Kristian Snekvik" <Cyber205@tiscali.no> wrote in message
news:PBkZ7.5456$N77.46128@news.world-online.no...
> Im currently a owner of a AOR3000a and thinking of buying a pcr1000... I
> know very little of the ICOM so can anyone tell me if this is an upgrade or
> a downgrade?
>
> KAT

In my opinion it would be a downgrade. Go to www.strongsignals.net
and check out his review of the PCR 1000

Jeff

210. Monitoring Times / Popular Communications Back Issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 01:20:48 UTC
From: Bud <Twenty4Pack@EasyNews.Com>
Message-ID: <e0lc3uoat0jrdo7klrsa8a5a7hj7nti968@4ax.com>
Source record: 54579

Hi,

Is anyone interested in these magazines, or should I just trash 'em? I have most months of both magazines from between 1986 - 2001. None of them are in perfect condition, but most are readable.

Bud

211. Winradio 1550e or 1550i question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 02:49:53 UTC
From: Tricia Anton <tricia.anton@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <IRtZ7.329$0B1.43691@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 54580

In the most recent issue of QST the review of the Winradio 1550 indicated that the radio would go up to 1.6 Ghz as opposed to the published specs of 1.5 Ghz. I'd appreciate if any Winradio owners could confirm this stretch in frequency response. I'd like to get one and would get seriously excited if it would cover between 1.5 and 1.6.

thanks
Chris Anton

212. Re: FS SCANCAT GOLD SE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 03:11:33 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <vrrc3u8ausdudakg1nb9r0ng6j1n776fu9@4ax.com>
Source record: 54581

On Thu, 03 Jan 2002 01:01:21 GMT, jbeckett@(NOSPAM).stny.rr.com wrote:

>
>Scancat Gold for Windows SE
>Cable for BC-895 included.
>

SOLD

>Jim
>KD2KU
>Corning NY
>jbeckett@(NOSPAM)stny.rr.com
>remove (NOSPAM) to email

213. RS Pro-94: Trunk scanning and conventional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 03:37:17 UTC
From: Karl Agee <kdagee2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C3674ED.9E90291A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54582

My local ps agencies use a motorola type II system, but, they seem to broadcast on both conventional 15x.xxx (conventional) and on 86x.xxx (trunking). At times, I pick up a transmission on the 15x.xxx and then the response from dispatch and following transmissions are on the trunking frequency.

So how do these systems work?? do the mobile units transmit on the conventional and the base re-transmitts on trunking?? Do I really need to have both the conventional and trunking freq's programmed in for these systems??

--karl

214. Re: Monitoring Times / Popular Communications Back Issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 04:24:59 UTC
From: Otis Cribblecobblis <alaininvc@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3C36801B.56490D74@netscape.net>
Source record: 54503

Hey Bud,

Call your local library and see if they will take them. Our local library takes such magazines and sells them for $.10 each to raise money. They took my QST's, Monitoring Times on Wednesday; Saturday they were gone.

Bud wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> Is anyone interested in these magazines, or should I just trash 'em? I
> have most months of both magazines from between 1986 - 2001. None of
> them are in perfect condition, but most are readable.
>
> Bud

--
?_

215. Scanners with Channel Hit Counter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 04:34:25 UTC
From: Richard <ns@ns.com>
Message-ID: <a16088$qi2$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Many years ago (1978?), I had a Bearcat base scanner (250?).
It would count the # of transmissions received on a channel.

Anyone know what scanners do this today?

Thanks in advance...

Rich.

216. Re: Any Lower Mainland, BC scanner people here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 05:52:42 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <KwwZ7.4995$h2c.2099@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 54585

go to http://www.scanbc.com
Randy
Ottawa

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

<casein@mail.com> wrote in message
news:1upc3u05ad2b4qq6jbk9gngtio58a9rj71@4ax.com...
> I was thinking about upgraging my scanner (Pro-2032).
>
> Is it worth getting a trunktracking scanner for the Lower
> Mainland/Fraser Valley? Are there many trunking freqs
> worth listening to? I've never owned a trunking scanner so
> I'm not sure if will be useful out where I am (Aldergrove)
>
> I read that all the emergency people are going digital soon.
>
> What is left to scan around here that is interesting?
>
> I was thinking about a Uniden780XLT.
>
> Thanks

217. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 06:13:23 UTC
From: RayOfLight <kelon100>
Message-ID: <sm3d3use0pjtuau6gtc7m8ses0cn1m8is5@4ax.com>
Source record: 54504
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.politics.bush,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.libertarian,tx.guns,alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.military

On Thu, 03 Jan 2002 18:31:23 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:

>There are still tough restrictions on gathering intelligence
>on US citizens within the US by the CIA, NSA or
>the military intelligence agencies. See EO #12333
>that was issued by President Reagan.

Who cares about laws? Remember that former president Kennedy enacted the law that the security services will stop all assassinations of foreign leaders. Hiding behind this law they have continued their dirty business! Clinton tried to assassinate Ossama some 3 years back! Remember?

Due to the recent sept 11 attack more and more intelligence gathering is being carried out in USA itself on most people. Not to mention the hundreds of bank employees who furnish information on people's accounts whenever they think their might suspicious movements of money. All this is done of course completely illegally!

218. FS: Yaesu VR120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 06:32:55 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201042232.1f2ef6d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54586

Hi,

I have a new VR120 only 2 weeks old for a sale.
It's in perfect condition like something you would
expect from a new radio. Not a scratch on it.
It includes an operating manual, warranty card,
antenna, belt clip, and hand strap.

Asking $130 including shipping via US prioritiy mail.
If interested, please contact me by email
at nr6z@yahoo.com

219. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 08:35:16 UTC
From: dkcombs@panix.com
Message-ID: <a16ds4$3vn$1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 54587
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3C2D756A.2010809@hot_nospam_mail.com>,
Jim Deane <jimdeane@hot_nospam_mail.com> wrote:
><snip>
>
>
>> Before that, it was Allied Radio (or was it Allied Electronic?),
>> a mail order place in Chicago. Had everything.
>>
>> David
>
>
>*Has* everything. If it's the same company, "Allied Electronics" is
>still alive and kicking with a metro-area-phone-book sized catalog,
>CD-catalog, online site... Great supplies site.

Google found this: www.alliedelec.com

Is that the correct one? (web page didn't say
what city they were in -- I was looking
for "Chicago")

Thanks!

David

220. Re: Scanners with Channel Hit Counter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 09:14:49 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020105041449.20139.00003656@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54588

I had a BC2500XLT with that feature.

221. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 09:19:25 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020105041925.20139.00003657@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54589

>: There are a few things that need to be said: First-There is a system
>called
>: "Echelon" this system is capable of receiving EVERY form of
>communications.
>: Second-The US dosen't spy on US citizens, but the NSA's Europe Echelon

I saw a report on news show about a year ago about "Echelon" and I could have swore that they said the system was located in Canada. The report also mentioned how sensitive the system is. I think it was that Pat Robertson news show, whether or not it was credible, I don't know, but it would not suprise me if there was such a system.

222. AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 09:26:33 UTC
From: j?debert <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com>
Message-ID: <3C36C6C9.2050705@spamnot.garlic.com>
Source record: 54590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

This is not really about scanners or sw radios but...

I've asked this question before in several groups. No one answered.
Now that this is the "Internet" with many more people, maybe someone
is around that knows...

In the SF Bay Area, KTVU occupies TV channel 2. Has for decades.

Occasionally, apparently associated with the sunspot cycles, another TV
station muscles in and takes over, wiping out KTVU's signal completely.

I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
"AFRTS" before it faded.

This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
atop Mt San Bruno.

Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?

A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.

Does anyone know?

Is it classified?

Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...

223. FS or FT: Yaesu VR120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 09:36:55 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201050136.32789dbf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54591

I have a new VR120 only 2 weeks old for a sale.
It's in perfect condition like something you would
expect from a new radio. Not a scratch on it.
It includes an operating manual, warranty card,
antenna, belt clip, and hand strap.

Asking $130 including shipping via US prioritiy mail.
I will consider a trade for an ICOM R2 in comparable
condition.

If interested, please contact me by email
at nr6z@yahoo.com

224. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 10:46:04 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <MPAZ7.5813$Sf2.58745@rwcrnsc52>
Source record: 54592
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I couldn't say where it is coming from but I can tell you who can. AFRTS has a website. Don't know about other frequencies, I didn't look but for shortwave, they list frequencies and location. Try there. I don't have their URL, a search should turn it up.
--
CW
KC7NOD

"j?debert" <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote in message news:3C36C6C9.2050705@spamnot.garlic.com...
> This is not really about scanners or sw radios but...
>
> I've asked this question before in several groups. No one answered.
> Now that this is the "Internet" with many more people, maybe someone
> is around that knows...
>
> In the SF Bay Area, KTVU occupies TV channel 2. Has for decades.
>
> Occasionally, apparently associated with the sunspot cycles, another TV
> station muscles in and takes over, wiping out KTVU's signal completely.
>
> I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
> hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
> happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
> servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
> "AFRTS" before it faded.
>
> This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
> foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
> atop Mt San Bruno.
>
> Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>
> A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>
> Does anyone know?
>
> Is it classified?
>
> Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
>

225. Re: Scanners with Channel Hit Counter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 11:32:32 UTC
From: usenet@strongsignals.net
Message-ID: <d92699f9.0201050332.297e751a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Richard" <ns@ns.com> wrote in message
> Anyone know what scanners do this today?

Only Uniden-made models have this feature. And unless you're willing to go through the used market, the only currently available model in the US which has this is the BC9000XLT (which won't be with us much longer either).

Check out my Scanner Features page for older models with this feature. Look under Channel Count:

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/features.html

I'll also mention that you might want to consider going with a model that can be computer interfaced and then use PC software which can give you this feature and a WHOLE lot more.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

226. Re: Aor3000a VS Icom PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 11:37:20 UTC
From: usenet@strongsignals.net
Message-ID: <d92699f9.0201050337.4d576c03@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54594

"Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@home.com> wrote in message
> In my opinion it would be a downgrade.

I agree, Jeff. While the PCR1000 is a nice receiver and can do some pretty nifty things when used with Pete Mahy's TalkPCR program, it is surely not in the same receiver class as the AR3000A. If one is willing to give up some performance for the sake of additional capabilities via computer control, then that's another story (and then you'd have to compare the PCR1000 software offerings against those available for the AR3000A to see where the benefits are).

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

227. Why buy a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 13:36:33 UTC
From: Ken <k_haas@noooospaamcore.com>
Message-ID: <3c3700cc$0$35622$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 54497

O.K., so for all I know, this radio is simply used as a fancy scanner. (The radio does have incription, for whatever that's worth). Why would anyone want to pay $400.00 for this when they could buy a scanner instead?? Am I missing something here??

Ken

228. F/S PRO 2005 SEE PIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 14:18:38 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2XDZ7.206026$kf1.61976725@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 54498
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro 2005 scanner. No box or manual. Scanner has been modified for cellular and speed. Comes with antenna. Very clean. Very bright display. Price is $210 including shipping UPS and insurance. Here is a pic. http://members.home.net/bpk8227/1020250_IMG.JPG . Can accept Paypal or money order.

229. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 14:22:51 UTC
From: NICK CAMAJ <airnetcnn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%_DZ7.5091$fe1.336049@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54499
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Try this site www.lyngsat.com
"j?debert" <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote in message
news:3C36C6C9.2050705@spamnot.garlic.com...
> This is not really about scanners or sw radios but...
>
> I've asked this question before in several groups. No one answered.
> Now that this is the "Internet" with many more people, maybe someone
> is around that knows...
>
> In the SF Bay Area, KTVU occupies TV channel 2. Has for decades.
>
> Occasionally, apparently associated with the sunspot cycles, another TV
> station muscles in and takes over, wiping out KTVU's signal completely.
>
> I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
> hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
> happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
> servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
> "AFRTS" before it faded.
>
> This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
> foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
> atop Mt San Bruno.
>
> Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>
> A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>
> Does anyone know?
>
> Is it classified?
>
> Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
>

230. FS:PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 14:27:31 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <n3EZ7.5097$fe1.336483@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54500

Works perfectly! Full 800 Mhz. Bright backlight (original). Internal power suppy removed to reduce heat. Will supply 12 VDC wall wart. Original box, manual, whip antenna. No scratches or dents! Looks like new...

Price is $200 plus shipping

231. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 14:50:29 UTC
From: Your Friend Bill <"Remove The NO In The Reply To Address"@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3C3712B5.25057657@coqui.net>
Source record: 54501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

j?debert wrote:
>
>
> Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>
> A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>
> Does anyone know?

Here's a listing of where they have off-air xmtrs but I can't find any
additional details.
http://www.afrts.osd.mil/facts/air.htm#top
Sounds like a good catch if its from any one of those locations!

One other possibility if that someone close to you has a sat receiver
and some lousy connectors and the signal is leaking. The reception will
repeat regularly if such is the case. I can watch my neighbor playing
Nintendo or viewing thru his VCR because of this problem :-)

-Bill

232. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 14:53:54 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <6sEZ7.11869$Tt3.2395350@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54502
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

When you see distant stations coming in on Ch 2 it most commonly is due to "sporadic E" propagation. This occurs most frequently during the spring and summer. Signals are refracted by ionized "clouds" in the E Layer of the ionosphere. Distances are usually a few hundred miles up to about 1000 miles.

But at the peak of the sunspot cycle, another phenomena can occur. The maximum frequency refracted by the F Layer can get above 50 MHz during daylight hours. Since the F Layer is higher, distances can be several thousand miles. F Layer ionization is also more uniform than sporadic E, permitting muliple hops. (The sunspot peak was around 1999 or 2000 as I recall.)

I've never heard of AFRTS broadcasting on regular TV channels in the continental US. I would guess Guam or somewhere else in the Pacific.

"j?debert" <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote in message news:3C36C6C9.2050705@spamnot.garlic.com...
> This is not really about scanners or sw radios but...
>
> I've asked this question before in several groups. No one answered.
> Now that this is the "Internet" with many more people, maybe someone
> is around that knows...
>
> In the SF Bay Area, KTVU occupies TV channel 2. Has for decades.
>
> Occasionally, apparently associated with the sunspot cycles, another TV
> station muscles in and takes over, wiping out KTVU's signal completely.
>
> I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
> hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
> happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
> servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
> "AFRTS" before it faded.
>
> This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
> foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
> atop Mt San Bruno.
>
> Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>
> A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>

> Does anyone know?
>
> Is it classified?
>
> Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
>

233. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 15:13:06 UTC
From: Hill Top Exotics <troyselectronics@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <VQCZ7.11450$Xs4.2657585@feed.centurytel.net>
Source record: 54595
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Debert the AFRTS Stands for American Forces Radio and TV Service.......I
have sent you a link to the main site.

"j?debert" <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote in message
news:3C36C6C9.2050705@spamnot.garlic.com...
> This is not really about scanners or sw radios but...
>
> I've asked this question before in several groups. No one answered.
> Now that this is the "Internet" with many more people, maybe someone
> is around that knows...
>
> In the SF Bay Area, KTVU occupies TV channel 2. Has for decades.
>
> Occasionally, apparently associated with the sunspot cycles, another TV
> station muscles in and takes over, wiping out KTVU's signal completely.
>
> I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
> hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
> happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
> servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
> "AFRTS" before it faded.
>
> This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
> foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
> atop Mt San Bruno.
>
> Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>
> A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>
> Does anyone know?
>
> Is it classified?
>
> Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
>

234. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 15:16:16 UTC
From: Hill Top Exotics <troyselectronics@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <TTCZ7.11451$Xs4.2657463@feed.centurytel.net>
Source record: 54596
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

The AFRTS Broadcast Center, located at March Air Reserve Base near
Riverside, California.

"j?debert" <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote in message
news:3C36C6C9.2050705@spamnot.garlic.com...
> This is not really about scanners or sw radios but...
>
> I've asked this question before in several groups. No one answered.
> Now that this is the "Internet" with many more people, maybe someone
> is around that knows...
>
> In the SF Bay Area, KTVU occupies TV channel 2. Has for decades.
>
> Occasionally, apparently associated with the sunspot cycles, another TV
> station muscles in and takes over, wiping out KTVU's signal completely.
>
> I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
> hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
> happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
> servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
> "AFRTS" before it faded.
>
> This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
> foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
> atop Mt San Bruno.
>
> Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>
> A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>
> Does anyone know?
>
> Is it classified?
>
> Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
>

235. One more 780xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 17:29:21 UTC
From: Phil <plicht@optonline.com>
Message-ID: <RJGZ7.14435$Tt3.2863632@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi all.
I posted a message last week asking where to buy a 780xlt from. let me just say that the responce from you guys was great!! Thank you very much for all the help in searching for my new scanner....

But one question, the data cable that the bc780xlt uses to connect to the computer is a null modem?? one website was giving the datacalbe with the scanner. if its nothing special, i will just use an old db9 cable. can somebody tell me what type of cable it is?? thank you again for all your help!

Phil

236. WTB ctccs boards for uniden spu21kt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 17:59:57 UTC
From: OLD SOP <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZaHZ7.8119$qN3.82944@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 54506

Looking to buy ctccs boards for these radios. Or a link to some place that might have them.
Thanks

237. Re: Scanner news alert network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 18:24:31 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <a17i1ekfs2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54512

Our network is an e-mail based system. Not everyone has a pager, or even want's one. No fees, no dispatchers, no having to submit for approval. Simple free e-mail based system. And if you are worried about Yahoo taking your personal information and passing it along, do like myself and lots of others do - put bogus info in your account.

--
/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com

"Jerry" <0880@iname.com> wrote in message
news:700e61c7.0201032136.464f3d99@posting.google.com...
> There's already National groups http://ninn.net http://ipn.net
> how many are needed ?
> > The people at ScanAtlanta.com would like to invite you to join the
ScanAlert
> > network. It's simple! Simply go to
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanalert/ for a brief explanation and to
> > subscribe, just send an e-mail to scanalert-subscribe@yahoogroups.com .
The
> > list started out as Metro Atlanta in the beginning, it has now gone
> > statewide (Georgia) and we would like to take it NATIONWIDE! Just
during
> > the snow storm we had the past 2 days, we had close to 100 separate
post!
> >
> > Don't delay, join now and let everyone know what is going on in your
area of
> > the country.
> >
> > And the best part about it - IT'S FREE!

238. Re: What to do with a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 18:26:59 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <a17i63lbu1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54513

There are a lot of PD/FD/EMS and other users of trunking systems that wish they had their own portable for when they are off duty, and the city/county/state says they don't have the $$$ to let you have one. I've sold several trunking radio's to PD/FD/EMS personnel so they can have their own. Most of the jurisdictions have no problem programming them for their own people.

--
/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com

"ken" <k.haas@motorola.com> wrote in message
news:69cde514.0201040652.30c9de45@posting.google.com...
> O.K., so for all I know, this radio is simply used as a fancy scanner.
> (The radio does have incription, for whatever that's worth). Why
> would anyone want to pay $400.00 for this when they could buy a
> scanner instead?? Am I missing something here??
>
>
> Ken

239. FS: Yaesu VR120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 18:31:16 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201051031.694227e1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54508

I have a new VR120 only 2 and 1/2 weeks old for a sale.
It's in perfect condition like something you would
expect from a new radio. Not a scratch on it.
It includes an operating manual, warranty card,
antenna, belt clip, and hand strap.

Asking $110 shipped via US prioritiy mail.
If interested, please contact me by email
at nr6z@yahoo.com

240. Re: Monitoring Times / Popular Communications Back Issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 18:44:33 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C37498F.58993ECC@amsat.org>
Source record: 54509

Bud wrote:

> Hi,
>
> Is anyone interested in these magazines, or should I just trash 'em? I
> have most months of both magazines from between 1986 - 2001. None of
> them are in perfect condition, but most are readable.
>
> Bud

Sure,
I'll take and read 'em,
then pass along for someone else's rest room reading rack.
I think you have my email addy

~Bart~

241. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 18:47:12 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C374A2E.492A2756@amsat.org>
Source record: 54510

Matthew W. Este wrote:

> >: There are a few things that need to be said: First-There is a system
> >called
> >: "Echelon" this system is capable of receiving EVERY form of
> >communications.
> >: Second-The US dosen't spy on US citizens, but the NSA's Europe Echelon
>
> I saw a report on news show about a year ago about "Echelon" and I could have
> swore that they said the system was located in Canada. The report also
> mentioned how sensitive the system is. I think it was that Pat Robertson news
> show, whether or not it was credible, I don't know, but it would not suprise me
> if there was such a system.

It would surprise me if anything on Pat Robertson's show WAS credible.

~Bart~

242. FS/FT: Yaesu VR120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 18:49:21 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201051049.3629f8b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54511

I have a new VR120 only 2 weeks old for a sale.
It's in perfect condition like something you would
expect from a new radio. Not a scratch on it.
It includes an operating manual, warranty card,
antenna, belt clip, and hand strap.

Asking $110 shipped via US priority mail.
I will consider a trade for a ICOM R2 in comparable condition.
If interested, please contact me by email
at nr6z@yahoo.com

243. Re: WTB ctccs boards for uniden spu21kt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 18:58:32 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C374CD5.E18924AA@amsat.org>
Source record: 54514

OLD SOP wrote:

> Looking to buy ctccs boards for these radios. Or a link to some place that
> might have them.
> Thanks

Try these guys:
http://ameradio.com/business_Uniden.htm

~Bart~

244. Crystek Scanner Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 19:01:36 UTC
From: cozyoak@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020105140136.14401.00002846@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 54515

Does anyone know what the IF is of a "crystek crystal" marked with C9-72, is it 10.7 or 10.8 IF

Hopefully someone out there still remembers the old crystal scanners.

Jim Peters
Portland, Oregon "The Rose City"
cozyoak@aol.com

245. Re: FS: Yaesu VR120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 19:03:18 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020105140318.08910.00002298@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 54516

Think we got the message (ALL THREE TIMES) Thanks

246. NEW SIEMANS 4000/4200 LINE??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 19:27:52 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c375341.2519683@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 54518

Hi all.. Does any one know about this new line of phone? i hear ther
are very good phones...
thanks gig@i-2000.com

247. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 19:27:58 UTC
From: bill hennessy <hennessy@281.com>
Message-ID: <2tIZ7.428$MI3.70938@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 54517
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

You never got an answer because you have a bad E-Mail address.
j?debert wrote in message <3C36C6C9.2050705@spamnot.garlic.com>...
>This is not really about scanners or sw radios but...
>
>I've asked this question before in several groups. No one answered.
>Now that this is the "Internet" with many more people, maybe someone
>is around that knows...
>
>In the SF Bay Area, KTVU occupies TV channel 2. Has for decades.
>
>Occasionally, apparently associated with the sunspot cycles, another TV
>station muscles in and takes over, wiping out KTVU's signal completely.
>
>I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
>hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
>happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
>servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
>"AFRTS" before it faded.
>
>This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
>foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
>atop Mt San Bruno.
>
>Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>
>A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
>KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>
>Does anyone know?
>
>Is it classified?
>
>Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
>

248. Trunk Tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 19:32:19 UTC
From: eric@truckmail.com
Message-ID: <3c37546d.330969631@news.coax.net>
Source record: 54519

Are there other trunk tracking radios on the market other than the
Uniden radios?

Thanks,

Eric

249. tk545 v1.0 open source software for JRC NRD-545 rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 20:06:50 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c375ca2$0$1598$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 54520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Version 1.0 of tk545 is now available at:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

tk545 is open source software designed to control
and program the JRC NRD-545 receiver. Programmed using Tcl/Tk,
it runs on Linux, Windows 98, Windows XP, and MacOS X.

(You must install the free Tcl/Tk interpreter prior to running
tk545. See web page or instructions.)

Exerimental version 1.0 includes several new features, e.g,.:

Analog flavor slide-rule type dial
Session History window tracks frequencies you've monitored
and permits you to return to them with a mouse click.
AM lock lamp.
Presets menu for quick tuning to WWV, CHU, etc.
...

I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.

PS - My NRD-545 is not fitted with the VHF/UHF option. If your
NRD-545 is equipped with this board and you try tk545, please
let me know if you experience any problems. Thanks!
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
Linux user

250. Re: One more 780xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 20:17:14 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <Cn+SLKCK91N8EwTP@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 54521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <RJGZ7.14435$Tt3.2863632@news02.optonline.net>, Phil
<plicht@optonline.com> writes
>Hi all.
>I posted a message last week asking where to buy a 780xlt from. let me just
>say that the responce from you guys was great!! Thank you very much for all
>the help in searching for my new scanner....
>
>But one question, the data cable that the bc780xlt uses to connect to the
>computer is a null modem?? one website was giving the datacalbe with the
>scanner. if its nothing special, i will just use an old db9 cable. can
>somebody tell me what type of cable it is?? thank you again for all your
>help!

It is a straight 9pin Male to 9pin female serial cable, NOT a null modem
cable..
--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

251. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 20:17:57 UTC
From: Hill Top Exotics <troyselectronics@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <KiHZ7.11473$Xs4.2679900@feed.centurytel.net>
Source record: 54507
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Debert the AFRTS Stands for American Forces Radio and TV Service
The AFRTS Broadcast Center, located at March Air Reserve Base near
Riverside, California.
http://www.afrts.osd.mil/
This is there main web site. you may learn there what you needthere

j?debert" <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote in message
news:3C36C6C9.2050705@spamnot.garlic.com...
> This is not really about scanners or sw radios but...
>
> I've asked this question before in several groups. No one answered.
> Now that this is the "Internet" with many more people, maybe someone
> is around that knows...
>
> In the SF Bay Area, KTVU occupies TV channel 2. Has for decades.
>
> Occasionally, apparently associated with the sunspot cycles, another TV
> station muscles in and takes over, wiping out KTVU's signal completely.
>
> I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
> hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
> happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
> servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
> "AFRTS" before it faded.
>
> This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
> foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
> atop Mt San Bruno.
>
> Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>
> A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>
> Does anyone know?
>
> Is it classified?
>
> Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
>

252. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 20:18:27 UTC
From: j debert <jdebert@garlic.com>
Message-ID: <3C375F93.5070605@garlic.com>
Source record: 54522
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Your Friend Bill wrote:

> Here's a listing of where they have off-air xmtrs but I can't find any
> additional details.
> http://www.afrts.osd.mil/facts/air.htm#top
> Sounds like a good catch if its from any one of those locations!

Thanks!

All the Pacific stations are way over on the other sideof the pond.

There must be some very interesting upper atmospheric stuff going on
for such a signal to make it all the way here.

I suspect that what was baffling people who I've asked before was how
I could be picking up a low power signal so well. Probably could not
believe that a low power station could wipe out a near-megawatt local
station.

BTW, I called on AFRTS too and they didn't want to talk about it.Might
not have talked to the right person...

> One other possibility if that someone close to you has a sat receiver
> and some lousy connectors and the signal is leaking. The reception will
> repeat regularly if such is the case. I can watch my neighbor playing
> Nintendo or viewing thru his VCR because of this problem :-)

There are no nearby satellite dishes. Besides, this started before
anyone could buy a dish, back when the first solid-state TV's were
just coming out.

It just occurred to me that this might be the best area for skip.

I've been around other places but have not heard as much on HF and have
never seen TV skip elsewhere. And I've heard of no one else
encountering it either. KTVU said that no one else had called
them about it.

253. FS AOR AR8000 Programable Band Plan Scanner like new with Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 20:26:20 UTC
From: Hugo Gareis <hgareis@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <MjJZ7.45306$8e2.15106334@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 54523

I have an AR8K in excellent condition for sale. It comes with all original accessories (NiMi Batteries, AC Adapte, Car Adapter, Antenna, Manuals) with original box and packaging PLUS the following extras: Slim Duck antenna (telescopic with robber coil at the base for flexibility), AOR Leather Case, Computer I/F.
This unit has always been used with the leather case and it's a 10 in all aspects.

Asking $335

254. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 20:28:12 UTC
From: John R <jhhr1@earthlink.com.NOSPAM>
Message-ID: <a17nro$2pf$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes David, that is the site. When Tandy Corp purchased Allied Electronis, they moved the operation to Ft. Worth, Texas where they still remain. Tandy then sold Allied to Avnet Inc. who in turn sold it to Electrocomponents PLC in 1999.

John

"David Combs" <dkcombs@panix.com> wrote in message news:a16ds4$3vn$1@news.panix.com...
> In article <3C2D756A.2010809@hot_nospam_mail.com>,
> Jim Deane <jimdeane@hot_nospam_mail.com> wrote:
> ><snip>
> >
> >
> >> Before that, it was Allied Radio (or was it Allied Electronic?),
> >> a mail order place in Chicago. Had everything.
> >>
> >> David
> >
> >
> >*Has* everything. If it's the same company, "Allied Electronics" is
> >still alive and kicking with a metro-area-phone-book sized catalog,
> >CD-catalog, online site... Great supplies site.
>
> Google found this: www.alliedelec.com
>
> Is that the correct one? (web page didn't say
> what city they were in -- I was looking
> for "Chicago")
>
> Thanks!
>
> David
>

255. Re: FS AOR AR8000 Programable Band Plan Scanner like new with Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 20:32:07 UTC
From: Hugo Gareis <hgareis@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <bpJZ7.45328$8e2.15114078@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 54525

Please eMail me at neuquenguy@yahoo.com if interested. Add $15 for shipping.

"Hugo Gareis" <hgareis@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:MjJZ7.45306$8e2.15106334@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> I have an AR8K in excellent condition for sale. It comes with all original
> accessories (NiMi Batteries, AC Adapte, Car Adapter, Antenna, Manuals)
with
> original box and packaging PLUS the following extras: Slim Duck antenna
> (telescopic with robber coil at the base for flexibility), AOR Leather
Case,
> Computer I/F.
> This unit has always been used with the leather case and it's a 10 in all
> aspects.
>
> Asking $335
>
>
>

256. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 20:34:10 UTC
From: j?debert <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com>
Message-ID: <3C376342.5080405@spamnot.garlic.com>
Source record: 54526
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Never anonymous Bud wrote:

>

[snip]

> Consider it like a military broadcast network, with stations anywhere there are
> sufficient numbers of military personnel.
>
>

Except that the vast majority of programming has moved to satellite.
I don't hear them on HF anymore. But there is also far more QRM of
late, too.

Channel 2 is still out there, though. Somewhere.

Thanks to "Your Friend Bill", I got to the AFRTS page listing TV station
locations: Australia, Okinawa, Marshall Is., S. Korea. But Korea is said
to be on another channel.

Must be some very interesting stuff going on in the upper atmosphere
to bring in enough signal to wipe out the local station...

>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
>

257. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 20:55:54 UTC
From: j?debert <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com>
Message-ID: <3C37685A.9020408@spamnot.garlic.com>
Source record: 54527
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hill Top Exotics wrote:

> Debert the AFRTS Stands for American Forces Radio and TV Service
> The AFRTS Broadcast Center, located at March Air Reserve Base near
> Riverside, California.
> http://www.afrts.osd.mil/
> This is there main web site. you may learn there what you needthere

Thanks. It is not much help, unfortunately. But "Your Friend Bill"
sent a page that seems to be hidden or not part of the tree with
info on locations, though not channels.

Seems all the possible locations are spread out along the western
Pacific rim, from Japan to Australia.

It seems likely that it is a Rim station, since the signal comes in
usually well after noon, sometimes remaining until after sunset,
local time. THat's usually in the summer, but it sometimes occurs
at other times, ie, in January, as well.

The AFRTS TV stations are said to be low powered. I suspect that
was what had some people baffled before, including the AFRTS people
I spoke with. (Presuming it's impossible, they refuse to answer?)

And what kind of upper atmosphere conditions would cause a low power
station to completely wipe out a near-megawatt local? I would suspect
that being in this location helps, too. I can pick up stuff here that I
cannot hear elsewhere, even with the increasing QRM from power, cable,
phone and neighbours' computers and games.

BTW, KTVU said that no one else had called them about it. ANd no one
else I've talked to has ever seen it, either.

258. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 21:13:17 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <N%JZ7.566$Fa6.481046@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 54529
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.politics.bush,talk.politics.misc,talk.politics.libertarian,tx.guns,alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.military

: >There are still tough restrictions on gathering intelligence
: >on US citizens within the US by the CIA, NSA or
: >the military intelligence agencies. See EO #12333
: >that was issued by President Reagan.
:
: Who cares about laws? Remember that former president Kennedy enacted
: the law that the security services will stop all assassinations of
: foreign leaders. Hiding behind this law they have continued their
: dirty business! Clinton tried to assassinate Ossama some 3 years back!
: Remember?
:
: Due to the recent sept 11 attack more and more intelligence gathering
: is being carried out in USA itself on most people. Not to mention the
: hundreds of bank employees who furnish information on people's
: accounts whenever they think their might suspicious movements of
: money. All this is done of course completely illegally!

Law enforcement can gather intelligence on US citizens.
The NSA and the CIA, as well as the military intelligence
community, are far more limited, per EO#12333. Nor
are they allowed to contract private investigators to do
so. The only military intelligence folks gathering on US
citizens are some within the National Guard who are
assisting the Drug Enforcement activities of the police.

Now when the feds start putting together a national
database that has all of your phone calls, email, court
records, job history, every comment you have ever
made and so forth, I might start to be concerned.

259. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 21:14:03 UTC
From: Your Friend Bill <"Remove The NO In The Reply To Address"@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3C376C9B.B554154D@coqui.net>
Source record: 54528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

j?debert wrote:
>
> Never anonymous Bud wrote:
>
> Thanks to "Your Friend Bill", I got to the AFRTS page listing TV station
> locations: Australia, Okinawa, Marshall Is., S. Korea. But Korea is said
> to be on another channel.
>
> Must be some very interesting stuff going on in the upper atmosphere
> to bring in enough signal to wipe out the local station...

I tried to search any available possibilities and all I came up with is
that Marianas/Kwajelin uses 3 and 9. In any case it sounds like a rare
catch even if its unIDable.
The VHF world has been abuzz in the past week about the BIG opening so I
guess anything is possible. From my location all I have been able to
weakly nab is the usual ch 3 from West Palm Beach and Venezuela that
normally come in here when the band opens.

-Bill in Puerto Rico

260. http://www.frequentie.org/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 21:16:35 UTC
From: j-veenstra <j-veenstra@chello.nl>
Message-ID: <T2KZ7.2817751$2n1.87341655@Flipper>
Source record: 54530

Nieuwe site kijk maar eens.

261. FA: Icom IC-R2 Communications Rcvr (portable)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 21:25:08 UTC
From: Bill Becker <the.bill@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C376F18.A7639FAF@home.com>
Source record: 54531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have one of these on auction at Ebay.

Please look at
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1315986883

if interested.

Thanks,

Bill Becker

262. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 21:36:55 UTC
From: Your Friend Bill <"Remove The NO In The Reply To Address"@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3C3771F7.3DA250D2@coqui.net>
Source record: 54532
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

j?debert wrote:

> The AFRTS TV stations are said to be low powered. I suspect that
> was what had some people baffled before, including the AFRTS people
> I spoke with. (Presuming it's impossible, they refuse to answer?)
>
> And what kind of upper atmosphere conditions would cause a low power
> station to completely wipe out a near-megawatt local? I would suspect
> that being in this location helps, too. I can pick up stuff here that I
> cannot hear elsewhere, even with the increasing QRM from power, cable,
> phone and neighbours' computers and games.

These things do happen. I solidly copied channel 3 from Barbados (in the late 60s when they used channel 3) underneath my local station in Charlotte, NC. They (Barbados) were 10 kw in those days. I had a photo...my ex-wife even knows where the ashes are where she burnt all of my old stuff :-)
I really tend to lean towards leakage from the neighbors satellite system these days when I receive rare TV signals like Nintendo, but they are easily proved out. The possibility of receiving such TV aren't excluded....6 meters (50 Mhz) can be very amazing...I worked a guy in Chile on a 6-meter Heathkit (2-5 watt) lunchbox on AM with a dipole hung up in the window.
>
> BTW, KTVU said that no one else had called them about it. ANd no one
> else I've talked to has ever seen it, either.

Back in the old daze, most any QSL request to a distant TV station would cop a form-letter apologizing for 'sunspots' but some actually sent QSLs as if they were proud of their 'potential' coverage. Nowadays that may translate into whiner calls to the cable company or Circuit City.
An Elmer of mine was a ham and reporter for the local paper and got a 2 or 3 day series of coverage on the front page of the Charlotte paper when stations from Corpus Christi and Mexico were booming in solidly. I got my little mug on the front page with some other kids watching KRIS-TV from Corpus Christi.
-Bill

263. RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 22:10:39 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <2eue3ukjtuh2qepfuhdnhfklp8mcdbinfr@4ax.com>
Source record: 54533

[No readable article body recovered]

264. Re: UBC-780-XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 22:32:23 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C377F1E.14A4CA3C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
DeWayne wrote:
>
> Will the UBC 780-XLT work properly for trunking in the US?
> DeWayne KE9K

Mine works fine...
--

/`\\\
( @ @ )
*--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------*
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
*------------------------------*
```

265. UBC-780-XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 22:34:34 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_bLZ7.37164$mu.14244283@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Will the UBC 780-XLT work properly for trunking in the US?
DeWayne KE9K

266. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 22:42:07 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3f08ta8r14e5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54536

Thanks man! Too bad I just ordered the damn thing online today...

Radio Shack tried to sell me their old 2001 issue, telling me the 2002 issue
wasn't coming out until **August of this year!** What bunch of chops!
Tired of their stupidity...

PH

"X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
news:2eue3ukjtuh2qepfuhdnhfklp8mcdbinfr@4ax.com...
>

267. Re: new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 22:52:55 UTC
From: Christopher Hoffmann <renopanner@msxn.com>
Message-ID: <3c378431_1@news.greatbasin.net>
Source record: 54537

I would personally go with an Icom R-3 or R-10.. the R-3 has video reception
to boot. The R-10 has the same basic features except no video reception.

"BPJ" <JacksonD__@indy.net> wrote in message
news:drtW7.17418$PO5.2688711@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I'm looking to buy a new scanner what should I buy? Uniden or Radio Shack?
>
>
>

268. Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 22:59:42 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <yzLZ7.6477$h2c.6015@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 54538
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Press Release

Date: 1/8/02

Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

SubTitle: Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize Monitoring Experience

Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25 digital scanner models.

Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation today unveiled its new digital scanner line for 2002, including the consumer electronics leader's much-anticipated base and handheld APCO 25 digital scanning models. Speaking at CES, product manager Scott Carpenter showcased the new scanner products, underscoring the company's commitment to keeping its customers at the forefront of scanning technology. "Our retailers have been pushing us for a Uniden APCO 25 product for months, and our new BC250D handheld and our BC785D base/mobile scanning unit represent the fulfillment of our promise," Carpenter said. "With the ability to monitor conventional, trunked and APCO 25 conventional and trunked systems these models are state-of-the-art radio scanners. According to Uniden representatives, the Bearcat BC250D and the Bearcat BC785D models, which are slated to hit shelves in late 2002, offer 1,100 channels, 10 banks and a frequency

range of 25MHz - 1300MHz. The BC250D comprises all of the features of Uniden's market-leading BC780 XLT in a handheld model, plus adds APCO 25

capability and an additional 600 channels. Users of both models must purchase an APCO 25 card, the BCi25D, separately. "We're pleased to be bringing these great Bearcat APCO 25 units to market," Carpenter said. "We expect more big cities to migrate to the APCO 25 digital technology,

like Los Angeles did this past year, to ensure agency interoperability among police, fire, EMTs and the like - and we know news organizations, businesses and consumers will want to monitor their signals." Uniden officials stressed that APCO 25 digital scanning technology simply gives

users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of standard city and government service departments - but in no way allows users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security organizations. In a separate announcement Uniden also announced its plans to market its own easy-to-use scanner programming software, which it is demonstrating in its booth at this winter's annual Consumer

Electronics Show in Las Vegas. The software will allow the users of all Uniden scanners with data ports to easily program frequencies into the channels and banks of their scanner. About Uniden Uniden America Corporation, the North American subsidiary of Japan based Uniden Corporation, manufactures and markets wireless consumer electronic products including cordless telephones, business telecommunications systems, multimedia communications devices, scanner radios, CB radios, FRS, Marine radios and other wireless personal communications products. Based in Fort Worth, Texas, Uniden sells it products through dealers and

distributors throughout North, Central and South America. # # #

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

269. www.sauderelectronics.com now online!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 23:09:47 UTC
From: Ben Silverman <ben@everyoneflydotcom>
Message-ID: <Xns918DB7330711Ebeneveryoneflycom@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 54539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello all,

Just wanted to let everyone know that Sauder Electronics is now online with a secure catalog. This is the same Sauder Electronics you see at Hamfests and at the Dayton shows. Many of you have spoken with Kathy Sauder about getting a website together and she has finally delivered.

Happy browsing, ordering, etc.

http://www.sauderelectronics.com

-Ben

270. FS: Uniden BC245 XLT TrunkTracker II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-05 23:26:38 UTC
From: Robert <robertrp@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <OYLZ7.14086$MK.222175@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54540

For Sale: Uniden BC245 XLT TrunkTracker II

Comes with case, ac adaptor, original battery pack Bp-180 800 mAh, Lee Electronics BP-1600 NiMH 1600 mAh battery pack, RS232C cable, stock antenna and manual.

$170.00 includes regular UPS Ground Shipping Continental United States

If interested or questions robertrp@megsinet.net

271. PLANE CRASH INTO SKYSCRAPER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 00:01:24 UTC
From: mitbr <hmm@anywhere.net>
Message-ID: <ntMZ7.300$s44.958948@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net>
Source record: 54541

Live coverage via tampa policescanner of plane crashing into skyscraper
in downtown tampa florida
to listen click on the url below
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page at the url below
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

272. Re: Trunk Tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 00:12:50 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020105191250.05958.00001309@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 54542

In article <3c37546d.330969631@news.coax.net>, eric@truckmail.com (Eric H.) writes:

>Are there other trunk tracking radios on the market other than the
>Uniden radios?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Eric
>

Radio Shack has quite a few models. PRO 2050/2052/2053(Base) Pro2067(Mobile) PRO 92/93/94 (Portable). The Radio Shack models are made by either Uniden or GRE and most have less features than their Uniden counterparts. For example, the
PRO 2052 has 1000 channels (20 banks of 50 channels) which is nice but you can't trunktrack and scan conventional frequencies at the same time. If you use the 2052 with a computer and WinScanRS software you can do both. Make sure you compare features and price between RS and Uniden. I have a Uniden BC9000XLT that I use for non trunked monitoring and a PRO 2052 that I use for trunktracking and computer control. Have Fun.

Jim

273. FA: NEW BC278CLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 00:58:42 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <6jNZ7.2144$75.1630238@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54544

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1318617034 for an in-the-box BC278CLT clock/radio/100 channel scanner.

- Rob

274. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:00:13 UTC
From: chuck@SPAMSUXlatraffic.org
Message-ID: <3c37a158.1364242@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54543
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I wonder if this board will fit in the 780 too? Or did that idea fall
by the wayside?

On Sat, 05 Jan 2002 22:59:42 GMT, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
wrote:

> Users of both models must
>purchase an APCO 25 card, the BCi25D, separately.

275. Freq. for Hillsboro Oregon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:05:59 UTC
From: lovetheducks@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020105200559.23111.00001963@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 54545

Hi, I just dug out out my old scanner after moving here and cant seem to find any local frequencies...any help would be greatly appreciated
Thanks

276. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:18:21 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <ld9f3u4ejdqht67oq2ulpotqql9h54b16v@4ax.com>
Source record: 54546

Dang! My news server doesnt have that group...heheheh

On Sat, 5 Jan 2002 16:42:07 -0600, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:

>Thanks man! Too bad I just ordered the damn thing online today...
>
>Radio Shack tried to sell me their old 2001 issue, telling me the 2002 issue
>wasn't coming out until **August of this year!** What bunch of chops!
>Tired of their stupidity...
>
>PH
>
>
>"X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
>news:2eue3ukjtuh2qepfuhdnhfklp8mcdbinfr@4ax.com...
>>
>

277. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:20:08 UTC
From: Rob Rosenhouse <rrosenhouse@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns918DCEDB47082pinballwizhomecom@24.3.128.74>
Source record: 54447

Can this be found elsewhere on the net? My news server does not carry that group.

Thx,
Rob

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in
news:u3f08ta8r14e5d@corp.supernews.com:

> Thanks man! Too bad I just ordered the damn thing online today...
>
> Radio Shack tried to sell me their old 2001 issue, telling me the 2002
> issue wasn't coming out until **August of this year!** What bunch of
> chops! Tired of their stupidity...
>
> PH
>
>
> "X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
> news:2eue3ukjtuh2qepfuhdnhfklp8mcdbinfr@4ax.com...
>>
>
>

278. Re: WTB ctccs boards for uniden spu21kt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:21:52 UTC
From: OLD SOP <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IFNZ7.8178$qN3.84744@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 54448

Thanks but no info there.

<f@l.se> wrote in message news:3C374CD5.E18924AA@amsat.org...
> OLD SOP wrote:
>
> > Looking to buy ctccs boards for these radios. Or a link to some place
that
> > might have them.
> > Thanks
>
> Try these guys:
> http://ameradio.com/business_Uniden.htm
>
>
> ~Bart~

279. Cable for 895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:23:03 UTC
From: OLD SOP <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rGNZ7.8179$qN3.84686@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 54449

Need info to make pc cable for uniden 895 to use with pc.

280. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:34:57 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c37a8c0.96775047@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On Fri, 04 Jan 2002 01:09:29 GMT, "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com> wrote:

>Most of the signal related stuff to replace the underground wiring between
>bungalows
>will be spread spectrum.

Currently, almost all the "signal related stuff" is ATCS 900MHz. Safetran has offered a spread spectrum system, but it doesn't seem to be widely used yet, I don't know if it ever will be. The range of spread spectrum is not sufficient for most purposes (typically a wireline replacement (which would typically be wires on poles, not underground) features a base station ("BCP") handling signals, switches, track circuits, etc connected to remote radios ("MCP"s) in a 5 to 10 mile radius).

John

281. Good Handheld For MilAir?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:38:26 UTC
From: gradvmedusa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020105203826.08435.00003626@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 54450

What's a good handheld for milair?(One caveat, it has to be available at Radio Shack)

From the Halls of Montezuma
To the Shores of Tripoli;
We fight our country's battles
In the air, on land and sea;
First to fight for right and freedom
And to keep our honor clean;
We are proud to claim the title
of United States

282. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:50:38 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c37a9c6.97036884@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On Fri, 4 Jan 2002 17:40:08 GMT, norman@nose.cs.utoronto.edu.ca (Norman Wilson) wrote:

>John McCoy <igopogo@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>>VHF has two big advantages from the RR's perspective, which
>>are that it gives good coverage in rugged terrain and they already have a lot of
>>channels allocated (91 of them, which could be doubled by switching to 12.5kHz
>>channel spacing). There is no possibility of the RR's being allocated that many
>>channels in any other band.
>
>The existing AAR channels are spaced 15kHz apart; how would going to 12.5kHz
>spacing double the number? Do you mean 7.5kHz? Is such close spacing even
>practical?

The existing channels are 25kHz wide (they're actually 30kHz in the VHF band, a historical oddity which has been an annoyance for ages (*)). The FCC has mandated that everyone move to channels that are 12.5kHz wide "soon". I was being imprecise in my wording, I should have said 12.5kHz wide channels, since VHF is the one band where the channel spacing & width differ.

What the RR's do is to overlap channels that are "half a channel" apart. That means you can't use two sequentially numbered channels at the same location - for instance you couldn't use ch 26 and ch 27 in the same city. However, you'd only have to go half as far away to start using ch 27 without interference, as you would to use ch 26 without interfering with the first guy.

My understanding is the new plan will feature channels on 7.5kHz spacing. I suspose they might use 6.25kHz spacing instead.

>Is there really a perceived shortage of available channels, except perhaps
>in local choke points like yards, small enough that 800MHz would work?

Yes, at places like Chicago where all the class ones, plus Amtrak, plus shortlines, plus belt rwys, all get together in the same place. The problem is that in those locations pretty much everyone has to talk to everyone else at some point, so they've all got to share a band. And it's not really practical to have one radio in one band for Chicago, say, and need a different one by the time you get to Waukegan.

The probable solution will be to use trunking at those "dense" points. Trunking gives about a 5 to 1 channel usage improvement - couple that with using narrow channels and there should be enough :-)

John

(* so effectively there's 5kHz unused in every VHF channel, since the radios actually make 25kHz wide signals in all bands).

283. Re: One more 780xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 01:53:05 UTC
From: Appie <ab.surd@freemeel.com>
Message-ID: <a18ao3$4u9$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 54451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"> It is a straight 9pin Male to 9pin female serial cable, NOT a null modem
> cable..

A null modem cable is a straight cable, a serial cable has pins 2/3 crossed.

284. WTB:Simple Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 02:03:34 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c37aff0.152721151@news.mint.net>
Source record: 54452

looking for a CHEAP programmable base scanner 20-50 channel
range,preferrably close to Maine.............
sky444@mint.net

285. Re: Cable for 895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 02:13:51 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <ppOZ7.35$17.35235@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 54454

This was found on a web page a long time ago, now a broken link.

BC-895XLT Scanner to RS-232
Computer Interface
The interface is a 5-pin PCB header (.025" square pins on 0.1" centers).
The mating socket is standard (RadioShack.com's Cat# 910-1471 is 25 cents,
plus 24 cents for 3 contacts), but a segment cut out of a DIP socket will
work just as well.

The pinout is symmetric, with pins 1&5 (the outer ones) carrying data in
(to the radio), 2&4 are data out (from the radio), and pin 3 is ground.

BC895 DB9 DB25
1 <= to radio 3 2
2 from radio => 2 3
3 <= ground => 5 7
(TR-CD-DSR) 4-1-6 20-8-6 *
(RTS-CTS) 7-8 4-5 *

* If you will be using a standard communication program, add the two sets
of jumpers in the RS-232 connector to simulate the normal control signals.

The comm protcol is half-duplex, 9600 baud, 8/N/1. Commands to the radio
should be terminated with a C/R only.

"OLD SOP" <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:rGNZ7.8179$qN3.84686@news1.mts.net...
> Need info to make pc cable for uniden 895 to use with pc.

286. Re: Scanners with Channel Hit Counter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 02:42:43 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16a17617396f71849896a7@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article dated 5 Jan 2002 03:32:32 -0800, usenet@strongsignals.net
stated...
> "Richard" <ns@ns.com> wrote in message
> > Anyone know what scanners do this today?
>
> Only Uniden-made models have this feature. And unless you're willing
> to go through the used market, the only currently available model in
> the US which has this is the BC9000XLT (which won't be with us much
> longer either).

I think that there is a "hit count" feature included in the "Log"
function in Perry Joseph's Probe software running an Optocom or Opto-
board enhanced PRO-2005/6.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

287. Re: Cable for 895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 03:09:35 UTC
From: OLD SOP <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cfPZ7.8189$qN3.84551@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 54457

Thanks for the info.

"Radiohead" <Radiohead@zorch.com> wrote in message
news:ppOZ7.35$17.35235@newsfeed1.thebiz.net...
> This was found on a web page a long time ago, now a broken link.
>
>
> BC-895XLT Scanner to RS-232
> Computer Interface
> The interface is a 5-pin PCB header (.025" square pins on 0.1" centers).
> The mating socket is standard (RadioShack.com's Cat# 910-1471 is 25 cents,
> plus 24 cents for 3 contacts), but a segment cut out of a DIP socket will
> work just as well.
>
> The pinout is symmetric, with pins 1&5 (the outer ones) carrying data in
> (to the radio), 2&4 are data out (from the radio), and pin 3 is ground.
>
>
>
> BC895 DB9 DB25
> 1 <= to radio 3 2
> 2 from radio => 2 3
> 3 <= ground => 5 7
> (TR-CD-DSR) 4-1-6 20-8-6 *
> (RTS-CTS) 7-8 4-5 *
>
> * If you will be using a standard communication program, add the two sets
> of jumpers in the RS-232 connector to simulate the normal control signals.
>
> The comm protcol is half-duplex, 9600 baud, 8/N/1. Commands to the radio
> should be terminated with a C/R only.
>
> "OLD SOP" <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:rGNZ7.8179$qN3.84686@news1.mts.net...
> > Need info to make pc cable for uniden 895 to use with pc.
>
>
>

288. Re: F/S PRO 2005 SEE PIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 03:11:24 UTC
From: B <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <wfPZ7.207341$kf1.62209632@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 54458
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Disregard- item moved to Ebay
"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2XDZ7.206026$kf1.61976725@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com...
> Pro 2005 scanner. No box or manual. Scanner has been modified for cellular
> and speed. Comes with antenna. Very clean. Very bright display. Price is
> $210 including shipping UPS and insurance. Here is a pic.
> http://members.home.net/bpk8227/1020250_IMG.JPG . Can accept Paypal or
money
> order.
>
>

289. Re: Trunk Tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 03:37:30 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020105223730.00807.00002345@mb-cs.news.cs.com>
Source record: 54459

>Are there other trunk tracking radios on the market other than the
>Uniden radios?

Radio Shack has 4 models in the 2002 catalog not made by uniden.

290. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 03:52:03 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020105225203.05085.00002696@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 54460

i dont see it ??????

291. Re: F/S PRO 2005 SEE PIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 04:05:42 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c37cd15$0$37100$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 54461
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"B" <bpk8227@home.com> wrote in
news:wfPZ7.207341$kf1.62209632@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com:

> Disregard- item moved to Ebay
> "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:2XDZ7.206026$kf1.61976725@news1.rdc1.ne.home.com...
>> Pro 2005 scanner. No box or manual. Scanner has been modified for
>> cellular and speed. Comes with antenna. Very clean. Very bright
>> display. Price is $210 including shipping UPS and insurance. Here is
>> a pic. http://members.home.net/bpk8227/1020250_IMG.JPG . Can accept
>> Paypal or money order.
>>
>>
>
>
>

It'll be back here, as soon as the auction-police wannabes narc on it's
ability to receive cellular.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

292. PRO-2006 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 04:23:01 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <u3d0207nf83e5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54583

Hi there,
>>I am selling a Radio Shack Pro-2006 scanner,
>>400 channel base unit 25-1300 Mhz
>>The radio has the 800 Mhz mod and speed mod done,one problem that could
>>be a very minor one is the BATT light flashes in the lcd display even
>>though the battery has been replaced? So the keypad beep has been
>>disabled.It could be the connection or the plug I am not sure as I am no
>>tech?. Cosmetically the radio is in very good shape and functions
>>perfectly. LCD Display is very bright as well. I am open to any offers
>>above $245
>>Any questions please e-mail me.
>>Thanks-Russ

293. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 04:31:12 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3C37D32A.BF167423@ameritech.net>
Source record: 54462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

John McCoy wrote:

[snip]

>
> My understanding is the new plan will feature channels on 7.5kHz spacing. I suspose
> they might use 6.25kHz spacing instead.

This depends on how they decide to do the frequency spacing. It's my understanding from what I have read from the FCC, they are favoring 7.5 KHz for the entire 150 - 174 MHz band; 6.25 KHz for 450 - 512 MHz; 12.5 KHz for the new 746 - 806 MHz band, and the existing 806 - 869 MHz, 935 - 940 MHz areas.

>
> Yes, at places like Chicago where all the class ones, plus Amtrak, plus shortlines,
> plus belt rwys, all get together in the same place. The problem is that in those
> locations pretty much everyone has to talk to everyone else at some point, so
> they've all got to share a band. And it's not really practical to have one radio in
> one band for Chicago, say, and need a different one by the time you get to
> Waukegan.
>
> The probable solution will be to use trunking at those "dense" points. Trunking
> gives about a 5 to 1 channel usage improvement - couple that with using narrow
> channels and there should be enough :-)

However, most scanners are not equipped to handle such narrow band spacing or decode digital
signals such as Project 25 modulation. Uniden, however, has announced the pending release of their new digital scanners that will decode Project 25 transmissions. See my post on this!

Sincerely,
--
==========
Ron Wilbanks

Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"

*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***

"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
==========

294. UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 04:44:36 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3C37D64D.ED2DE11F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 54463
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.rail.americas,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Press Release:

Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25 digital scanner models.

Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) ï¿½ Uniden America Corporation today unveiled its new digital scanner line for 2002, including the consumer electronics leaderï¿½s much-anticipated base and handheld APCO 25 digital scanning models. Speaking at CES, product manager Scott Carpenter showcased the new scanner products, underscoring the companyï¿½s commitment to keeping its customers at the forefront of scanning technology. ï¿½Our retailers have been pushing us for a Uniden APCO 25 product for months, and our new BC250D handheld and our BC785D base/mobile scanning unit represent the fulfillment of our promise,ï¿½ Carpenter said. ï¿½With the ability to monitor conventional, trunked and APCO 25 conventional and trunked systems these models are state-of-the-art radio scanners. According to Uniden representatives, the Bearcat BC250D and the Bearcat BC785D models, which are slated to hit shelves in late 2002, offer 1,100 channels, 10 banks and a frequency

range of 25MHz ï¿½ 1300MHz. The BC250D comprises all of the features of Unidenï¿½s market-leading BC780 XLT in a handheld model, plus adds APCO 25

capability and an additional 600 channels. Users of both models must purchase an APCO 25 card, the BCi25D, separately. ï¿½Weï¿½re pleased to be bringing these great Bearcat APCO 25 units to market,ï¿½ Carpenter said. ï¿½We expect more big cities to migrate to the APCO 25 digital technology,

like Los Angeles did this past year, to ensure agency interoperability among police, fire, EMTs and the like ï¿½ and we know news organizations, businesses and consumers will want to monitor their signals.ï¿½ Uniden officials stressed that APCO 25 digital scanning technology simply gives

users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of standard city and government service departments ï¿½ but in no way allows users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security organizations. In a separate announcement Uniden also announced its plans to market its own easy-to-use scanner programming software, which it is demonstrating in its booth at this winterï¿½s annual Consumer Electronics Show in Las Vegas. The software will allow the users of all Uniden scanners with data ports to easily program frequencies into the channels and banks of their scanner. About Uniden Uniden America Corporation, the North American subsidiary of Japan based Uniden Corporation, manufactures and markets wireless consumer electronic products including cordless telephones, business telecommunications

systems, multimedia communications devices, scanner radios, CB radios, FRS, Marine radios and other wireless personal communications products. Based in Fort Worth, Texas, Uniden sells it products through dealers and

distributors throughout North, Central and South America. # # #

Great News!

Sincerely,

Ron

295. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 05:11:19 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <X%QZ7.2165$75.1648245@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Uniden never had plans for a 780 digital decoder -- that was Scanner Master.

- Rob

"Chuck Rowe" <chuck@SPAMSUXlatraffic.org> wrote in message
news:3c37a158.1364242@news.earthlink.net...
> I wonder if this board will fit in the 780 too? Or did that idea fall
> by the wayside?

296. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 05:21:55 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.06.00.25.58.615730.24941@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54465

On Sat, 05 Jan 2002 20:20:08 -0500, Rob Rosenhouse wrote:

> Can this be found elsewhere on the net? My news server does not carry
> that group.
>
> Thx,
> Rob

Mine either. Sign up with Giganews for a "three day trial" - they have
it. Then you can cancel and pay nothing, or keep their service for $7.95
a month. Note, I'm not affiliated with them, that's just the company I'm
going with at the end of Feb when Comcast loses it's @home usenet feeds
(since Comcast isn't going to provide their own usenet servers).

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

297. Re: One more 780xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 06:02:52 UTC
From: not@this.address
Message-ID: <gMRZ7.1138$lk1.172416@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Not correct.

A null modem cable crosses the data send and the data receive (and other) connections.

You would use it to connect two LIKE devices (two DTE devices or two DCE devices).

O

In article <a18ao3$4u9$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>, "Appie" <ab.surd@freemeel.com> wrote:
>
>"> It is a straight 9pin Male to 9pin female serial cable, NOT a null modem
>> cable..
>
>A null modem cable is a straight cable, a serial cable has pins 2/3 crossed.
>
>
>
>
>

298. FS: Pro-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 06:34:00 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3C37EFD8.3D0A83ED@qwest.net>
Source record: 54467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For sale, nice Pro-34 handheld scanner. Has not been modified, still a "virgin". This radio is in very good condition, works great. No manual, but will include mod info.

$100 shipped CONUS.

73, Jon
KF7YN

299. Re: room transmitter 20.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 07:36:09 UTC
From: Dave Lewis <kc8sox@m.a.c.c.o.m>
Message-ID: <060120020236090229%kc8sox@m.a.c.c.o.m>
Source record: 54468

In the states we call them baby monitors.

Dave
kc8sof

In article <keZY7.12368$li.346625@read1.cgocable.net>, JJJJJJJJJJ
<oaktownboy@cogeco.ca> wrote:

> realistic room transmitter.
>
>
> plugs into any 120v wall plug.
>
>
> 2 channels, switchable on back
>
> 49.860 or 49.890
>
> picks up the whole room, transmits up to 500 feet
> on any scanner or receiver
>
>
> 20.00US includes shipping
>
>
> paypal, certified bank note or INTERNATIONAL postalcheck
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

300. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 08:10:11 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3g1hbsd2e3c84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54469

OK, I generally know what to do with these files, however I am noticing a problem for myself.. I know what .RAR files are, but what is up with the rest of those? .PAR, .Sxx, .txx, etc... Are these all uncompressed with different programs? If so, why would you bother doing that? Perhaps it's a new compression program I am unfamiliar with... Any extraction help here would be greatly appreciated!

PH

"X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
news:2eue3ukjtuh2qepfuhdnhfklp8mcdbinfr@4ax.com...
>

301. Re: Freq. for Hillsboro Oregon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 09:47:56 UTC
From: Dennis Johnson <heartland96@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <g3VZ7.7271$zw3.884009@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54470

Try this, http://www.trunktracker.com/states/or.html

Dennis
heartland96@earthlink.net (Gaston, Or)

"Lovetheducks" <lovetheducks@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020105200559.23111.00001963@mb-fv.aol.com...
> Hi, I just dug out out my old scanner after moving here and cant seem to
find
> any local frequencies...any help would be greatly appreciated
> Thanks
>

302. Re: [OT] Stephan Walther-Larsen please contact me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 10:44:33 UTC
From: eb <swl@io.dk>
Message-ID: <ojag3uc5nfiue8sj7iorgpk9g0nl9f196c@4ax.com>
Source record: 54471

ive sent you a a mail

On Fri, 4 Jan 2002 16:39:31 +0100, "loksim" <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl>
wrote:

>your e-mail address swl@it.dk is invalid, please, contact me
>loksim4@poczta.onet.pl
>

Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
Stephan ---- 56.1670N, 9.5670E - Denmark.
NRD 545/G DSP & Wellbrook ALA1530. ICOM R8500 & Discone and Alpha Delta.
ICOM R75 & ALpha Delta, Sony SW77, Aor 8200. Uniden UBXLT9000
Icom R2, RS 2006, Optoscout M40.

All email is scanned for virus.

303. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 13:08:26 UTC
From: jmcr@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3C384C4A.DAFC1175@nauticom.net>
Source record: 54472
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.rail.americas,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Excellent and Thank You Ron.

Ron Wilbanks wrote:

> Press Release:
>
>
> Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25
> digital scanner models.
>
> Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) ï¿½ Uniden America Corporation today
> unveiled its new digital scanner line for 2002, including the consumer
>
> electronics leaderï¿½s much-anticipated base and handheld APCO 25
> digital
> scanning models. Speaking at CES, product manager Scott Carpenter
> showcased the new scanner products, underscoring the companyï¿½s
> commitment to keeping its customers at the forefront of scanning
> technology. ï¿½Our retailers have been pushing us for a Uniden APCO 25
> product for months, and our new BC250D handheld and our BC785D
> base/mobile scanning unit represent the fulfillment of our promise,ï¿½
> Carpenter said. ï¿½With the ability to monitor conventional, trunked and
>
> APCO 25 conventional and trunked systems these models are
> state-of-the-art radio scanners. According to Uniden representatives,
> the Bearcat BC250D and the Bearcat BC785D models, which are slated to
> hit shelves in late 2002, offer 1,100 channels, 10 banks and a
> frequency
> range of 25MHz ï¿½ 1300MHz. The BC250D comprises all of the features of
> Unidenï¿½s market-leading BC780 XLT in a handheld model, plus adds APCO
> 25
> capability and an additional 600 channels. Users of both models must
> purchase an APCO 25 card, the BCi25D, separately. ï¿½Weï¿½re pleased to be
>
> bringing these great Bearcat APCO 25 units to market,ï¿½ Carpenter said.
>
> ï¿½We expect more big cities to migrate to the APCO 25 digital
> technology,
> like Los Angeles did this past year, to ensure agency interoperability
>
> among police, fire, EMTs and the like ï¿½ and we know news
> organizations,
> businesses and consumers will want to monitor their signals.ï¿½ Uniden
> officials stressed that APCO 25 digital scanning technology simply

> gives
> users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of
> standard city and government service departments ï¿½ but in no way
> allows
> users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security
> organizations. In a separate announcement Uniden also announced its
> plans to market its own easy-to-use scanner programming software,
> which
> it is demonstrating in its booth at this winterï¿½s annual Consumer
> Electronics Show in Las Vegas. The software will allow the users of
> all
> Uniden scanners with data ports to easily program frequencies into the
>
> channels and banks of their scanner. About Uniden Uniden America
> Corporation, the North American subsidiary of Japan based Uniden
> Corporation, manufactures and markets wireless consumer electronic
> products including cordless telephones, business telecommunications
> systems, multimedia communications devices, scanner radios, CB radios,
>
> FRS, Marine radios and other wireless personal communications
> products.
> Based in Fort Worth, Texas, Uniden sells it products through dealers
> and
> distributors throughout North, Central and South America. # # #
>
>
> Great News!
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Ron

304. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 13:18:41 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C384EB1.CB5D6BC4@USA.net>
Source record: 54473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I do believe you a bit off on your information Ron. In fact Sir, I care about laws.

Gerald Ford sign the document not Kennedy. And Osama is NOT the head of any country so that law does not apply to him.

The CIA works primarily in foreign intelligence and are forbidden by federal law to operate within the USA, that goes to the FBI. NSA is another story. Clinton had an open hand to go get Osama but got cold feet in 1998.

I had to eliminate all but "2" cross post newsgroups. I don't cross post to newsgroups that are not related to the subject newsgroup.

"RayOfLight

> On Thu, 03 Jan 2002 18:31:23 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
> >There are still tough restrictions on gathering intelligence
> >on US citizens within the US by the CIA, NSA or
> >the military intelligence agencies. See EO #12333
> >that was issued by President Reagan.
>
> Who cares about laws? Remember that former president Kennedy enacted
> the law that the security services will stop all assassinations of
> foreign leaders. Hiding behind this law they have continued their
> dirty business! Clinton tried to assassinate Ossama some 3 years back!
> Remember?
>
> Due to the recent sept 11 attack more and more intelligence gathering
> is being carried out in USA itself on most people. Not to mention the
> hundreds of bank employees who furnish information on people's
> accounts whenever they think their might suspicious movements of
> money. All this is done of course completely illegally!

305. Re: One more 780xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 13:52:01 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <FnPTbKABaFO8Ewqv@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 54475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <a18ao3$4u9$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>, Appie <ab.surd@freemeel.com>
writes

>A null modem cable is a straight cable, a serial cable has pins 2/3 crossed.

In the UK we have a word for that 'bollocks'...
--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

306. Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 13:52:39 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net>
Source record: 54474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can not descramble the data.

Any idea how to do this?

307. Will a pro92 cable work on a pro93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 14:33:57 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <t6og3us26i4nnpq0p8o2uv6vkjeg89b8vv@4ax.com>
Source record: 54477

[No readable article body recovered]

308. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 14:34:57 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020106093457.19213.00002398@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54476

In article <3C37D64D.ED2DE11F@ameritech.net>, Ron Wilbanks
<NYC6011@ameritech.net> writes:

>users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of
>standard city and government service departments – but in no way allows
>users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security
>organizations.

Watch and see how quickly these "standard city and government service
departments" WILL encrypt their routine communications.

If anyone complains, they will be told "It's being done for SECURITY purposes."
Who can argue with that?

Don't believe me. Watch and see. Anyone want to place a small wager?

309. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 14:37:28 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020106093728.19213.00002399@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54478

In article <3c37a158.1364242@news.earthlink.net>, chuck@SPAMSUXlatraffic.org (Chuck Rowe) writes:

>I wonder if this board will fit in the 780 too? Or did that idea fall
>by the wayside?

Won't happen. This way, Uniden makes you junk your 780 and spend the $$$$ for a whole new scanner.

310. ISS alpha Voice downlink??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 14:50:41 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <5vZZ7.5595$N77.46452@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 54479

Ive been listening to the ISS for about 4 months then suddenly silence!..
They do not transmit on the 143.625mhz anymore.. Ive tried the other known downlinks but nothing..

Do someone know what their downlink frequnecy is now?

KAT

311. Re: Good Handheld For MilAir?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 15:05:44 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C3867C8.7030100@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 54480

Robert Mack wrote:

> What's a good handheld for milair?(One caveat, it has to be available at Radio
> Shack)

Sorry but RadioShack no longer has any handheld with MilAir Coverage.
RadioShack did have a good handheld that would cover MilAir, that was
the PRO-26. If you look on eBay you might be able to find a used one.

The only scanner RadioShack currently has with MilAir is the PRO-2052,
however that is a base and not handheld.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-Current HF, VHF, and UHF Mil Comm Info!

312. Re: ISS alpha Voice downlink??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 15:21:19 UTC
From: loksim <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <a19q23$m7e$1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 54481

>Ive been listening to the ISS for about 4 months then suddenly silence!..

try 130.1675 AM

loksim

313. Re: Will a pro92 cable work on a pro93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 15:52:15 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <Fo_Z7.45$17.52108@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 54482

Yes, it will. How's the Pok monitoring going?

"eXistenZ32" <usenet@unlisted.com> wrote in message
news:t6og3us26i4nnpq0p8o2uv6vkjeg89b8vv@4ax.com...
>

314. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 16:26:58 UTC
From: ericto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020106112658.05421.00000007@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54483

With the APCO-25 scanner coming out, I've been told that here in my local area the system will go into a encrypted mode. They say to many bad guys will have the scanner so they need to hide the comms from them. What ever happened to the tax payers right to now what his/her local government is doing. I know that the whole county here is on APCO-25, I aways ask is the trash dept now classfied along with the road crew and others that we had to spend millions on the new system. I think it's just the ego of some of these places using APCO-25 to keep john-doe in the dark. Just my 2 cents....

315. Re: Good Handheld For MilAir?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 16:34:10 UTC
From: ZZZmark@whiskey-creek.net
Message-ID: <3c3876f6.61571496@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54484

On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 10:05:44 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>Robert Mack wrote:
>
>> What's a good handheld for milair?(One caveat, it has to be available at Radio
>> Shack)
>
>Sorry but RadioShack no longer has any handheld with MilAir Coverage.
>RadioShack did have a good handheld that would cover MilAir, that was
>the PRO-26.

Also the 43.

Mark

whiskey-creek.net

The truth as I perceive it to be.
Your perception may be different.

Triple Z is spam control

316. Re: Crown Princess Cruise ship freq ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 16:41:50 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <bheisner@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3C387D25.693F@pacbell.net>
Source record: 54485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dennis Johnson wrote:
>
> We are planning a criuse on this ship, any idea where to find scanner
> frequencies?
> Thanks
> Dennis
> heartland96@earthlink.net

These are some of the on board common repeater frequencies that are used
on Cruise ships:

457.5250 / 467.7500
457.5375 / 467.7625
457.5500 / 467.7750
457.5625 / 467.7875
457.5750 / 467.8000
457.5875 / 467.8125
457.6000 / 467.8250

317. Re: ISS alpha Voice downlink??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 16:56:37 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <9l%Z7.5605$N77.46388@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 54487

Thanx man!

"loksim" <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl> skrev i melding
news:a19q23$m7e$1@news.onet.pl...
> >Ive been listening to the ISS for about 4 months then suddenly silence!..
>
> try 130.1675 AM
>
> loksim
>
>

318. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 17:13:14 UTC
From: GoToWebsite@aol.com
Message-ID: <fd93eec9.0201060913.646f49ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54488
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

j debert <jdebert@garlic.com> wrote in message news:<3C375F93.5070605@garlic.com>...
> Your Friend Bill wrote:
>
> It just occurred to me that this might be the best area for skip.
>
> I've been around other places but have not heard as much on HF and have
> never seen TV skip elsewhere. And I've heard of no one else
> encountering it either. KTVU said that no one else had called
> them about it.

I lived in Sacramento for 22+ years and would get skip on channel 3 from other states that totally wiped out our LOCAL channel 3 IF I turned my tv antenna towards the skip. I was born and raised in Fresno and would get skip often on channels 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, from North Dakota, South Dakota, Midland Texas, Oklahoma, Mexico (the country), Canada, and several other places. Even can get a GOOD signal from skip using rabbit ears here in Fresno.

This area is also covered with low-powered stations. Using an outdoor antenna, these signals come in from many miles away. I have had stations tell me that I shouldn't be able to pick up their signal even though I get it snow free.

While living in Elk Grove (just south of Sacramento), I picked up a NEW channel - channel 50 from Santa Rosa - their test pattern, and it had their phone number on it. I phoned and spoke to the engineer. He told me that I could NOT be receiving the station because they were NOT on the air! I read the test pattern to him. He couldn't understand how I could be picking it up so he asked for my phone number. He phoned back a while later and said that I was picking up the signal from Santa Rosa, California, OFF THEIR DUMMY LOAD. They weren't even on the air and I was watching it from about 60 or so miles away!

319. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 17:18:56 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <SeeSig@Below.com>
Message-ID: <4G%Z7.15176$Sf2.132232@rwcrnsc52>
Source record: 54489

I agree with you. The benefit of the peoples' ability to know what the gov't is doing surpasses the benefit of hiding comms from a few criminals. It's like civilian review of police. The police (military, too) must be accountable to the people. Anything else results in a police state. Encrypting comms supresses civilian review and is one more step toward a police state.

ERICTO wrote:

> With the APCO-25 scanner coming out, I've been told that here in my local
> area the system will go into a encrypted mode. They say to many bad guys
> will have the scanner so they need to hide the comms from them. What ever
> happened to the tax payers right to now what his/her local government is
> doing.
--
**
** You know what to do to fix this email address: CPRitter-attbi-com
**

320. Pro-39 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 17:35:30 UTC
From: TechHead <harothxberg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <CV%Z7.52512$8e2.16332371@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 54496

Radio Shack Pro-39 scanner for sale:
Excellent condition, no scratches on case or LCD. Has full 800 Mhz
coverage, no other mods. Includes: rubber duck antenna, AC adapter/charger,
manual, 6 GE Ni-Cad batteries.
Asking $150.00 or best offer.

Email: brothberg@carolina.rr.com or harothberg@yahoo.com

Thanks!
Alan, KU4GO

321. East SF Bay Area Frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 17:39:12 UTC
From: T <emulsion71@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0601200209411119985%emulsion71@hotmail.com>
Source record: 54490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for law enforcement/fire/ frequencies for the following areas in California, can anyone help?

Berkeley
Albany
El Cerrito
San Rafael

322. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 17:45:11 UTC
From: anon <drake3d@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3c3888d4_3@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 54491

They are rar also just above the 100th file I believe. ie rar,R01...R99,S01,
S02 etc...
"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
news:u3g1hbsd2e3c84@corp.supernews.com...
> OK, I generally know what to do with these files, however I am noticing a
> problem for myself.. I know what .RAR files are, but what is up with the
> rest of those? .PAR, .Sxx, .txx, etc... Are these all uncompressed with
> different programs? If so, why would you bother doing that? Perhaps
it's
> a new compression program I am unfamiliar with... Any extraction help
here
> would be greatly appreciated!
>
> PH
>
>
> "X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
> news:2eue3ukjtuh2qepfuhdnhfklp8mcdbinfr@4ax.com...
> >
>
>

323. Re: Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 17:53:24 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <tn3h3uk476pdgfjc41srtqtakudkekm1o9@4ax.com>
Source record: 54492

```
you need a premium news server like giganews.com

On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 01:18:21 GMT, Greg <greg@aiomedia.com> wrote:

>Dang! My news server doesnt have that group...heheheh
>
>On Sat, 5 Jan 2002 16:42:07 -0600, "Power House"
><Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:
>
>>Thanks man! Too bad I just ordered the damn thing online today...
>>
>>Radio Shack tried to sell me their old 2001 issue, telling me the 2002 issue
>>wasn't coming out until **August of this year!** What bunch of chops!
>>Tired of their stupidity...
>>
>>PH
>>
>>
>>"X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
>>news:2eue3ukjtuh2qepfuhdnhfklp8mcdbinfr@4ax.com...
>>>
>>
```

324. Re: Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 17:53:46 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <so3h3u0p26s2iljtediml7h0kaupui7ccr@4ax.com>
Source record: 54493

you need a premium news server like giganews.com

On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 01:20:08 GMT, Rob Rosenhouse
<rrosenhouse@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Can this be found elsewhere on the net? My news server does not carry that
>group.
>
>Thx,
>Rob
>
>
>"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in
>news:u3f08ta8r14e5d@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> Thanks man! Too bad I just ordered the damn thing online today...
>>
>> Radio Shack tried to sell me their old 2001 issue, telling me the 2002
>> issue wasn't coming out until **August of this year!** What bunch of
>> chops! Tired of their stupidity...
>>
>> PH
>>
>>
>> "X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
>> news:2eue3ukjtuh2qepfuhdnhfklp8mcdbinfr@4ax.com...
>>>
>>
>>

325. Re: Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 17:54:17 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <3q3h3ukljl88sd1utvm8gu7ooa9rdcvvi9@4ax.com>
Source record: 54494

you need a premium news server like giganews.com

On 06 Jan 2002 03:52:03 GMT, jer1538a@aol.com (JER1538A) wrote:

>i dont see it ??????

326. Re: Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 17:59:09 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <oq3h3u0nhcaf7egcr9fhriic39q13n07hd@4ax.com>
Source record: 54495

```
The PAR (or Parity) files are utilized to reconstruct missing files.
See the URL for parity files (SmartPar) information:
http://www.disc-chord.com/smartpar/index.html

If you have all the RAR files ..rar/.r00 thru .t41, then you don't
need to download the PAR files. Use the PAR ONLY files as a last
resort to get all the files to extract the rar's to ISO.
-=-=-=
```

327. Press release on Uniden's new APCO-25 digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 18:01:14 UTC
From: Tony <~!!~~~~noway@jose.com~~~!!~>
Message-ID: <Kh0_7.30194$fe1.481517@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Press Release

Date: 1/8/02

Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

SubTitle: Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize Monitoring Experience

Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25 digital scanner models.

Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation today unveiled its new digital scanner line for 2002, including the consumer electronics leader's much-anticipated base and handheld APCO 25 digital scanning models. Speaking at CES, product manager Scott Carpenter showcased the new scanner products, underscoring the company's commitment to keeping its customers at the forefront of scanning technology. "Our retailers have been pushing us for a Uniden APCO 25 product for months, and our new BC250D handheld and our BC785D base/mobile scanning unit represent the fulfillment of our promise," Carpenter said. "With the ability to monitor conventional, trunked and APCO 25 conventional and trunked systems these models are state-of-the-art radio scanners. According to Uniden representatives, the Bearcat BC250D and the Bearcat BC785D models, which are slated to hit shelves in late 2002, offer 1,100 channels, 10 banks and a frequency range of 25MHz - 1300MHz. The BC250D comprises all of the features of Uniden's market-leading BC780 XLT in a handheld model, plus adds APCO 25 capability and an additional 600 channels. Users of both models must purchase an APCO 25 card, the BCi25D, separately. "We're pleased to be bringing these great Bearcat APCO 25 units to market," Carpenter said. "We expect more big cities to migrate to the APCO 25 digital technology, like Los Angeles did this past year, to ensure agency interoperability among police, fire, EMTs and the like - and we know news organizations, businesses and consumers will want to monitor their signals." Uniden officials stressed that APCO 25 digital scanning technology simply gives users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of standard city and government service departments - but in no way allows users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security organizations. In a separate announcement Uniden also announced its plans to market its own easy-to-use scanner programming software, which it is demonstrating in its booth at this winter's annual Consumer Electronics Show in Las Vegas. The software will allow the users of all Uniden scanners with data ports to easily program frequencies into the channels and banks of their scanner. About Uniden Uniden America

Corporation, the North American subsidiary of Japan based Uniden Corporation, manufactures and markets wireless consumer electronic products including cordless telephones, business telecommunications systems, multimedia communications devices, scanner radios, CB radios, FRS, Marine radios and other wireless personal communications products. Based in Fort Worth, Texas, Uniden sells it products through dealers and distributors throughout North, Central and South America. # # #

328. BC 780XLT Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 18:03:15 UTC
From: Go_Slow <slow_go@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns918E7939F3C7Aslowgohotmailcom@216.166.71.230>
Source record: 54348

I have used a RS PRO-90 for some time with few problems.
I recently purchased a BC780XLT and just can't get this
thing to scan.

It receives fine when on a channel manually. I programmed
in several Air freq's in BANK 3. I deselected all the
other banks. It just sits on a 101 and will not scan.
The bank 3 indicator is flashing, the channel '101' stays
and it never scans. It does say 'scan' on the screen.

No hold, no L/O's.

The PRO-90 next to it is scanning and working, so I know
the freq's are active.

OK. Yes I read the manual. I know I am doing something
pretty basic that is wrong.

Any ideas ?

Thanks ahead for the help.

329. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 18:23:03 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <bC0_7.2188$75.1692025@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54349

Before you junk it, send yours my way. I'll put it up on EBay and get $250-ish from those in "non-digital" land who won't want to spend $400+ on a new scanner.

BC210XLT's are still being EBay'ed for $50+ so why would you think the 780XLT would be "junk"?

- Rob

PS UNIDEN NEVER SAID THEY WOULD HAVE A BOARD FOR THE 780!

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020106093728.19213.00002399@mb-fo.aol.com...
> In article <3c37a158.1364242@news.earthlink.net>, chuck@SPAMSUXlatraffic.org
> (Chuck Rowe) writes:
>
> >I wonder if this board will fit in the 780 too? Or did that idea fall
> >by the wayside?
>
> Won't happen. This way, Uniden makes you junk your 780 and spend the $$$$ for
> a whole new scanner.

330. Re: ISS alpha Voice downlink??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 18:24:38 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <GD0_7.2189$75.1692223@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54350

They use geo-stationary satellites for comms now -- no way you can scan
that. You may still hear the VHF freq's in use periodically when a new
replacement Soyuz is sent up every 6 months.

- Rob

"John Kristian Snekvik" <Cyber205@tiscali.no> wrote in message
news:5vZZ7.5595$N77.46452@news.world-online.no...
> Ive been listening to the ISS for about 4 months then suddenly silence!..
> They do not transmit on the 143.625mhz anymore.. Ive tried the other known
> downlinks but nothing..
>
> Do someone know what their downlink frequnecy is now?
>
> KAT
>
>
>

331. Central NJ Snow Plow Freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 19:28:22 UTC
From: gradvmedusa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020106142822.11310.00000022@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 54351

Anybody know them or know where I could find them?

From the Halls of Montezuma
To the Shores of Tripoli;
We fight our country's battles
In the air, on land and sea;
First to fight for right and freedom
And to keep our honor clean;
We are proud to claim the title
of United States

332. Trunked Radio Information Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 19:29:49 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <NA1_7.4862$4v2.1339278408@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Looking for Trunked Radio Information for your favorite systems?

Point your browser to: http://www.trunkedradio.net for the latest info on
trunked radio systems around the world.

Happy new year!

--
Lindsay C. Blanton
Webmaster and Administrator

The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net
The Trunked Radio Information User Forums
http://www.trunkedradio.net/groups

333. ARNewsline 1273 - Jan 4 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 19:30:08 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020106143008.08107.00000043@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54353

AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm) REPORT #1273 - January 4 2002
(Total Running Time with RAIN Promo: 24:48)

==========

A NOTE TO THOSE WHO ONLY “READ” AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm)

For those of you who only know of the Amateur Radio Newsline (tm) as a text newsletter we would like to invite you to listen to the audio version. This Amateur Radio Newsline (tm) script is actually written for the “spoken word.” It is recorded each by professional broadcasters and is available for private listening or rebroadcast over your repeater. Three methods of delivery are available: Streaming audio using RealAudio, FTP RealAudio, FTP MP3 or dial-in telephone. Please visit our website at http://www.arnewsline.org for a list of telephone numbers (you have to pay your own long distance charges) and links to audio servers providing our voice newscast. On site free FTP Downloads are available at our site by clicking on the newscast number at the top of the page.

de
The Newsline Production Team

==========

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1273 with a release date of Friday, January 4 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. A “V-E team” finds a way to test kids in one of the most remote regions of the country and the FCC again says no to expanding PRB-. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1273 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAM RADIO TESTING REACHES WHITE MOUNTAIN ALASKA

Ham radio examinations have finally come to one of the remotest areas of the nation. This, after a team of examiners from the W5YI VEC perform a fly-in examination session in White Mountain Alaska. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has the details:

--

White Mountain is a remote fishing village in the far North-West of Alaska. It’s major claim to fame is that its the next to the last checkpoint for the famed Iditarod dog sled race. And it wanted someone to come in and give ham

radio exams.

The closest big city is Nome. White Mountain is only about sixty miles from Nome but its over some rather treacherous terrain. There are no roads in or out and all supplies to White Mountain have to be delivered by plane or boat.

But White Mountain does ham a ham radio operator for its mayor. He is reindeer farmer Tom Gray KL0CQ. And one of its school board members is Eric Morris KL7HT. Both will tell you that a temperature of minus 40 degrees Fahrenheit and a wind-chill factor of minus 100 degrees is not uncommon in winter. These were the conditions faced by a four member W5YI VEC test team when it chartered a plane and flew in on December 11th to administer exams to four adults and fourteen youngsters who were determined to make ham radio a part of their life.

The trip which cost $800 for the flight alone was sponsored by the Seward Peninsula Amateur Radio Club. Braving the weather were examiners Ramon Gandia AL7X, Michael Nurse KL7UJ, Scott Webber AL7RJ and Thomas Busch NL7H. Al four are from Nome. Most of those to be tested came from the Bearing Strait School District’s only inland school. They had all been studying for their Amateur license as part of a search and rescue course. Neither the applicant or the VE team were disappointed. All eighteen passed the Technician class exam.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP.

--

Those involved in ham radio testing are keenly aware of the problems faced by remote areas of Alaska. At last July’s VEC Conference in Gettysburg Pennsylvania Jim Wiley KL7CC told the group that many applicants in Alaska are denied n Amateur Radio license exam due to the inaccessibility of their locations or the high cost of travel in that state. (W5YI)

**

HAM RADIO AND THE LAW: FCC SAYS NO ON PRB-1 EXPANSION REQUEST

Ham radio has lost yet another battle against deed restrictions, but the war is not over yet. At least that’s what the ARRL appears to be saying in a bulletin that describes the latest skirmish with the FCC over this politically volatile issue.

In the latest round, the FCC has affirmed a November 2000 staff-level decision that declined to include privately imposed deed covenants, conditions and restrictions under the limited federal preemption known as PRB-1. That’s the federal government policy requires municipalities to ''reasonably accommodate'' amateur communication in antenna-related zoning and regulation.

The ARRL last year appealed to have the full FCC review the earlier denial. The Commission turned down the League's Application for Review December 18 in a Memorandum Opinion and Order released December 26. As a result, it now looks as if the ARRL will bypass any further dealings on this issue with the FCC and will look for congressional relief instead.

The FCC itself even hinted that Congressional action by the ham community might be a next logical step. Its memorandum, opinion and order of dismissal did note that if Congress were to see fit to enact a statutory directive mandating the expansion of the FCC’s reasonable accommodation policy that the Commission would expeditiously act to fulfill its obligation there under. Translated: If Congress tells the FCC to include deed restrictions under the provisions of PRB-1, it will be more than happy to do so. (ARRL)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: PROJECT JJ - HE EAGLE HAS LANDED

AMSAT-NA's newest satellite project, known as Project JJ for the past year, now has a new and official name. This, as AMSAT North America President Robin Haighton, VE3FRH, announces that effective January 1, 2002, the satellite will officially be known as 'Eagle'.

The name Eagle was submitted by Bill Allen, W7US, of Tucson, Arizona. It was selected from a list of forty-five names submitted by twenty-nine satellite operators.

For his winning suggestion, W7US will receive a free dinner at the annual AMSAT dinner held during the Dayton Hamvention. We will have more ham radio space related news later on in this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline report. (AMSAT-NA, ANS)

**

BREAK 1

Welcoming in the new year with ham radio news coming to you from the United States of America. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world, including the N7VGS repeater serving the Salt River Star Valley Wyoming and congratulating 13 year old Devin Cassity, on becoming the youngest ham in South West Wyoming. Devin is now KD7PHN.

(5 Sec Pause Here)

**

EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS: NORTHERN CAL TOWN CHOOSES HAM RADIO

Ham radio started the year with some very positive public exposure in the Los Angeles Times. The January 1st edition featured an in depth article about a Northern California town that will tap into older technology to prepare for future emergencies.

Worried that a disaster will knock out e-mail and cell phones, Los Altos Hills has decided to turn to its local ham radio community and make it the primary back-up to normal disaster preparedness communications. And as you might expect, the hams are more than ready to oblige

The complete story can be read at the Los Angeles Times website. The complex URL is http://www.latimes.com/news/local/la-000000203jan01.story. (Highlighted by ARNewsline™)

**

AMERICA AT WAR: POPCOMM COLUMNISTS SAYS “DONT TURN THE OTHER CHEEK”

Popular Communications writer Eric Force has some strong words that are obviously aimed at the pacifists who oppose the United States military retaliation against Osuma bin Laden and his al-Quida terrorist network. As a response to those he calls the “do-gooders of the world” who promote turning the other cheek, Force says to look, really look at the attack that brought down New York City’s World Trade Center twin towers. He then says that it could have struck a lot closer to them.

Force says and we quote: “Consider that it could have been you, your mom, dad, brother, sister other relative or a close friend in those planes and buildings who were terrorized, murdered and buried in the rubble.” He adds that just thinking about the incomprehensible terror, suffering and losses incurred by innocent human beings a the cowardly hands of international thugs continues to tear at his heart.

Force has dedicated his latest I-Waves column to the sights and sounds of September 11th that are available on the Internet and to the victims of the tragedy. Its a very compelling article. You can find it starting on page 66 of the January edition of Popular Communications Magazine that’s on newsstands right now. (PopComm)

**

AMERICA AT WAR: FEDS TO AID NYC TV STATION REBUILD

New York television stations will be getting federal help rebuilding transmitters that were destroyed in the September 11th terrorist attack on the World Trade Center. A total of ten New York area stations will receive eight point two million to offset the thirty million to forty million dollar price tag for purchasing and
constructing a new transmission facility.

According to the Broadcasting and Cable newsletter, Senator Charles Schumer managed to secure the funding as addition to emergency aid appropriation for victims of the attacks. As previously reported, there is some speculation that the replacement transmitting facility could be a 1500 to 2000 foot high stand-alone tower constructed near the former site of the Twin Towers. (Broadcasting & Cable)

**

ENFORCEMENT: NAL CANCELED

The FCC has canceled a proposed ten thousand dollar fine that it had issued to Jeffrey Alan Pettrey, This, for his apparent violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act involving operation of an unlicensed radio transmitter.

Back on July 30, 2001, the District Director of the Commission's Columbia, Maryland Field Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture in the amount of $10,000 to Pettrey for the infraction. Pettrey filed a response to the N-A-L on August 7, 2001 accompanied by documentation regarding his

inability to pay the proposed fine. After reviewing Pettrey's response, the FCC conclude that cancellation of the proposed $10,000 forfeiture is warranted based on Pettrey’s inability to pay. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC CANCELS CONVICTED HAMS LICENSE

The FCC has canceled the Amateur license of Kenneth P. Kelly, WT2FBI, of Greensboro North Carolina after Kelly failed to show up for a re-examination. But at the time of the FCC retest order Kelly was otherwise occupied. He was fighting criminal charges in relation to a jamming case in Ohio. We have this report:

--
On November 30, 2001, Kenneth Kelly, the ex-WT2FBI was sentenced to 5 years probation by the Judge H. J. Bressler in a Butler County Ohio court. He had previously been indicted on a 4 th degree felony charge of disrupting public service by his jamming and talking on the Middletown Ohio police radio system.

At his hearing, Kelly agreed to a plea bargain. He admitted his guilt to a reduced charge attempted disrupting public services. At the time the FCC pulled his ham radio ticket Kelly was being held in the Butler County Ohio Jail pending sentencing.

By way of background, a person later proven to be Kelly was first noticed on the Middletown police radio channel the third week of July 2001. Initially the police dispatch radio system was not recording him but that was soon remedied by city radio technicians. After several days of taping, it was observed that the voice on the police radio was similar to one heard on the local 2 meter Amateur frequencies.

Several members of the Dial Radio Club then assisted police by monitoring and taping Kelly on the 2 meter band. Tapes from 2 meters and from the police were then voice printed the aid of computers to check similarities. Dial club members also tried to direction find and locate the signal both on 2 meters and on the police channel.

Through some luck, detectives working with a metro housing police officer and discovered that Kelly was now living in their jurisdiction. After they interviewed him, they felt that he was the person interfering. As the investigation progressed, he admitted to detectives that he may have accidentally transmitted on their frequency by accident. He claimed that his signal may have been mixing with an FM broadcast station and another ham repeater.

But Police did not believe it was accidental, because he was taped trying to talk directly to the police dispatcher and the cars. Later Kelly did admit his guilt and entered into the plea bargain. Now sentence has been passed by both the local court and the FCC.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Burt Hicks, WB6MQV, reporting.

--

When he was finally caught Kelly had a Yaesu VX-5 hand held in his possession that had been modified to transmit out of band. The assistance of the Dial Radio Club members is credited with helping to solve this case.

Note: Information and documents from the case can be obtained from the Butler County Ohio Clerk's office or online at: http://www.butlercountyclerk.org/ Click on Butler County Court Records Search. Then enter Kenneth Kelly. (FCC, Dial ARC, others)

**

ENFORCEMENT: MITNICK TO FIGHT TO KEEP HIS HAM LICENSE

Another ham who appears to be getting ready to do battle with the FCC is former computer hacker turned talk show host Kevin David Mitnick, N6NHG. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF has the latest:

--

As reported last week, the FCC is challenging Kevin David Mitnick's request to renew his ham radio license. The agency claims that his criminal background raises a substantial and material question of fact as to whether Mitnick possesses the requisite character qualifications to be and remain a commission licensee.

Now, N6NHG has told the U-K Newspaper the Register: "It's just another example of them trying to harass me. Now I've got to spend money to keep a ham license."

Mitnick insists that he has totally abandoned his former ways. The Register article notes that that he was recently called to testify before Congress on federal computer security matters. Also that he spent two days briefing the U-S Commission on National Security on these issues. Mitnick tells the Register that he cannot understand why the government is now questioning his character.

Mitnick is currently the host of the radio program called The Dark Side of the Internet. It airs in the Los Angeles area from 5 to 7 a.m. Sunday mornings over radio station KFI 640 AM. And in a recent appearance on Coast to Coast A-M hosted by Art Bell, W6OBB, Mitnick said that he is hoping for a career in computer security once his term of supervised release from prison has been completed.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.

--

The complete Register story and interview with Mitnick is on the web at a rather long and complex U-R-L. You will find it at http://www.theregister.co.uk/content/55/23494.html (Adapted from the Register)

**

INTERNET SECURITY: CIA GOES OUT OF ENCRYPTION COMPANY FUNDING

And speaking of Internet security, word that a Central Intelligence Agency funded company that allowed Web surfers to browse anonymously has closed. According to news reports, Emeryville California based SafeWeb enabled Internet users to gain access to the World Wide Web and browse sites through its encrypted service. This made their on-line habits and history untraceable. In the 2000 - 2001 fiscal year, SafeWeb received one million dollars in funding from the U-S Central Intelligence Agency's venture capital arm. (published reports)

**

BREAK 2

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website - www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: AMATEUR RADIO TRADER CEASES PUBLICATION

Ham radio Internet auction sites may be responsible for a twice a month ham radio equipment sales magazine going away. This, after Amateur Radio Trader magazine announces that it has ceased publication.

According to Editor Barbara Patterson, KF4MMV, the Amateur Radio Trader was no longer a viable product. She says that the firm will be redirecting its resources into other areas.

Meantime, the company has also pulled its amateuradiotrader.com website off line. But unlike other publications which have gone under, in this case subscribers are being reimbursed for any outstanding issues. In addition to handling buy and sell ads, Amateur Radio Trader also donated page space to advertising the annual Newsline Young Ham of the Year award. (ARNewsline™)

**

HAM RADIO HERITAGE: MAKING WCC A PART OF THE FUTURE

A ham is campaigning to preserve part of radios past and have it become a part of the future. This as Lewis Masson, K1LJ-S, says that the town of Chatham Massachusetts should convert the site of radio station WCC into an Amateur Radio station along with a training curriculum to teach the kids in the area about communications technology.

WCC is one of the original Marconi Marine Radio Stations. Masson is an ex-marine telex operator at WCC. The site was recently turned over to the town of Chatham and Masson believes it is important to preserve the operations building as an operating amateur radio station and keep the hotel building as a museum to preserve and display memorabilia.

Masson says over the Internet that he has formed a committee to work on this project and that they are looking for nationwide support for this campaign. If preserving the past as an investment in the future is of interest to you, please contact K1LJS by e-mail to lemasson@juno.com. (Internet)

**

SPECIAL EVENTS: HAM RADIO UNIVERSITY 2002

A reminder the third annual Ham Radio University event takes place on January 20, 2002. Location is the Babylon Long Island New York Town Hall Annex with the doors opening at 9 a-m Eastern time.

Ham Radio University is a day of education about Amateur Radio. This there will be twenty, one-hour presentations with special forums geared to the non-ham as well as the experienced Amateur Radio operator. The focus will be "hands on" with many demonstrations. Besides the forums, there will be tables set up with information about the different groups, ham radio classes, exam session schedules, public service and other activities.

For more information about Ham Radio University, please contact its Chairman Phil Lewis N2MUN by e-mail to n2mun@optonline.net. You can also get in touch with ARRL New York and Long Island Section Manager, George Tranos N2GA by e-mail to n2ga@arrl.org. Also check the Ham Radio University website for a full list of forums, directions and talk-in information. Its in cyberspace at www.arrlhudson.org/nli/hru2002.htm (N2DO)

**

HAM RADIO RECORDS: BEST OF THE WEST AND VHF CONTEST HONOR ROLLS

Tim Marek, K7XC, says that he has updated W6 / W7 & "Best In The West" VHF Contest Honor Rolls. They are now available at the following web sites.

http://k7xc.tripod.com/VHF_Records/index.html s the stand alone records page. http://k7xc.tripod.com/K7XCweaksignal/ is where individual links can be found to each contest. (VHF Reflector)

**

HAM RADIO SCIENCE: NEW 6 METER PROPAGATION BEACON IN WASHINGTON STATE

Rich Ewing, KO7N reports over the VHF Reflector that Bruce Shurtz, W7BAS, has installed a propagation beacon in gridsquare CN87TG near Kennmore Washington. It operates on 50.069 MHz putting out 10 watts to a 5 element yagi pointing 90 degrees. The beacon is operational 24 hours a day, seven days a week. QSN reports go to W7BAS, at his callbook address. (VHF Reflector)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: VERY FEW LAUNCHES

Peter Guezlow, DB2OS, reported that 2001 has turned out to be the slowest year for space launches in nearly 40 years. Ham radio or otherwise. According to DB2OS, only 58 flights reached orbit, and there was one complete failure.

Guezlow says that historians note that we must look back to 1963 to find a year that produced fewer launches. He says that in 2000 there were 82 launches to orbit plus three failures. By contrast the most productive year was 1984 when 129 launches delivered payloads into orbit.

But there is at least one bright note. Russia successfully placed five satellites into orbit recently after launching them aboard a Zenit rocket. The cargo rocket, carrying three Russian, one Moroccan and one Pakistani satellite, took off from the Baikonur space center in Kazakhstan. (AMSAT, ANS)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: NEW INDIA WEBSITE

The AMSAT-India website has been officially launched recently by the government of India and the Department of Space. VU2POP says that you can check it out by taking your browser over to www.amsatindia.org. (ANS)

**

CONTESTS: HUNTING LIONS ON THE AIR

The 31st annual Hunting Lions in the Air contest will take place on the weekend January 12th to the 13th. The contest period will be 48 hours and will run from midnight UTC Friday night to midnight UTC Sunday night, and all hams are welcome to participate.

According to its sponsors, the objective of this operating event is to create and foster a spirit of international understanding and cooperation among radio amateurs and members of the Lions Clubs through the use of world-wide radio communication. The contest also commemorates the birthday of Melvin Jones, the founder of the Association of Lions Clubs who was born at Ft. Thomas, Arizona on January 13, 1879. (Q-News)

**

DX

In DX, word that the recently postponed Ducie Island Dxpedition is on again for March. Ducie Island became a DXCC entity last November and it immediately jumped to the top of the most wanted contact list. But rough seas scuttle the first attempt to put it on the air. (RSGB)

Meantime 6 meters continues to be the V-H-F D-X band of choice. On Monday December 31st, Kevin Bishop WB8XX, says that he started hearing O-H-1-X-T at 1300 U-T-C on a backscatter path. From then on until 1620 U-T-C he worked 8 new countries and over thirty new grids in Europe and Asia. (VHF Reflector)

**

STRANGE TECHNOLOGY: ARE CELLPHONES KILLING GHOSTS?

Now, from radio communications to the effect of radio communications on supernatural communications. This, as a British expert who has spent years researching the occult says that cellular and other mobile phones are killing off ghosts. Don Wilbanks KC5MFA has the details:

--

No, we are not kidding you. In fact, Tony Cornell, of the Society for Psychical Research, has told the London Sunday Express that reports of ghost

sightings started to decline when mobile phones were introduced 15 years ago.

According to Cornell, ghost sightings had remained consistent for centuries. In fact, until three years ago the society received one or two new ghost sighting reports every week. But with the introduction of mobile phones 15 years ago, ghost sightings began to decline to the point where they are not receiving any.

And why are there no longer any ghosts being observed? Apparently paranormal events, which many scientists attribute to unusual electrical activity, my be drowned out by the electronic noise produced by cellular telephones and text messaging pager transmitters. And according to the newspaper, the lack of ghost sightings could adversely impact on the nations economy. It says that haunted tourist attractions in Britain could be under threat if the number of cellphones continues to grow from the present figure of about 39 million now in use in the U-K.

Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA.

--

And all of this begs that one question be asked. If ghosts are the spirits of the dead, how can anything actually kill them? (Science Today)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Mert Garlick, N6AWE, saying welcome to the year 2002, 73 and we thank you for listening."
Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights are reserved.

**

END NEWSCAST - RAIN PROMO

334. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 19:48:00 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C38AA14.16A451B9@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54354

I got the program downloaded and executed then burned onto a CD but
the software is asking for the last word on page 9 of police call.

I tried the last word on page 9 but my edition is 2001 and it won't
work. Anyone know that word?

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

335. Re: RS Police Call 2002 HELP! Last word on page 9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 19:49:10 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C38AA5A.38037E44@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54355

Anyone who has the 2002 edition please look at it and tell me the last word on page 9.

Thanks!

336. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 19:50:54 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3c38aa5e.138048565@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 54358

On Sat, 05 Jan 2002 22:10:39 GMT, X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
wrote:

>
i found it, but free agent says it can find only 1 of 256 files...

"This difference between liberalism and conservatism
must not be obscured by the fact that in the United
States it is still possible to defend individual
liberty by defending long-established institutions.
To the liberal they are valuable not mainly because
they are long established or because they are
American but because they correspond to the
ideals which he cherishes."

F. A. Hayek in "Why I am not a Conservative"

337. Re: East SF Bay Area Frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 19:53:10 UTC
From: RDK <rdk1@atdial.net>
Message-ID: <3C39FCC3.2844@atdial.net>
Source record: 54356
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Best bet is to spend some money on a 'Kelty Frequency Guide' They can be found at http://www.scannersunlimited.com/ or thru Ham Radio Outlet stores. http://www.hamradio.com/ We should all SUPORT those that work hard at supporting us, Don't you agree?

338. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 19:55:09 UTC
From: spyderkeeper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <88b311da.0201061155.1d6b8ad2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's in a cd image format. Is it an ISO file?

jr127@USA.net wrote in message news:<3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net>...
> Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
> not descramble the data.
>
> Any idea how to do this?

339. Re: BC 780XLT Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:13:30 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u3hgat5boh6hf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54371

You have to set the squelch knob.
"Go_Slow" <slow_go@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns918E7939F3C7Aslowgohotmailcom@216.166.71.230...
> I have used a RS PRO-90 for some time with few problems.
> I recently purchased a BC780XLT and just can't get this
> thing to scan.
>
> It receives fine when on a channel manually. I programmed
> in several Air freq's in BANK 3. I deselected all the
> other banks. It just sits on a 101 and will not scan.
> The bank 3 indicator is flashing, the channel '101' stays
> and it never scans. It does say 'scan' on the screen.
>
> No hold, no L/O's.
>
> The PRO-90 next to it is scanning and working, so I know
> the freq's are active.
>
> OK. Yes I read the manual. I know I am doing something
> pretty basic that is wrong.
>
> Any ideas ?
>
> Thanks ahead for the help.

340. scanners vs motorola radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:21:45 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4m2_7.70$BF5.91178@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 54359

So why is it that when my scanner is right next to a Motorola MTS2000 radio, and the Motorola is a police radio, both hearing the same frequencies, same channel, the Motorola has always a better signal receiving quality than the scanner? I know the Motorola has a better speaker and sound quality than a scanner, and it picks up better too. Why?

341. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:24:25 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <7hch3usp0htmguh9es62gps734jvsiiekr@4ax.com>
Source record: 54360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

seems to be

On 6 Jan 2002 11:55:09 -0800, spyderkeeper@yahoo.com (William
Ferguson) wrote:

>It's in a cd image format. Is it an ISO file?
>
>jr127@USA.net wrote in message news:<3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net>...
>> Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
>> not descramble the data.
>>
>> Any idea how to do this?

342. Re: Will a pro92 cable work on a pro93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:24:25 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <mhch3u4l4qsift6vuv0enh8i8roo6ksrug@4ax.com>
Source record: 54361

Wonderfully :)

I got all the dispatch stations i want, 911 monitoring for the area,
and my old scanner is watching the fire frequencies

Thank you so much for your help with this. Im thrilled to have
everything working as smoothly as it is now :)

Thanks!

On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 15:52:15 GMT, "Radiohead" <Radiohead@zorch.com>
wrote:

>Yes, it will. How's the Pok monitoring going?
>
>"eXistenZ32" <usenet@unlisted.com> wrote in message
>news:t6og3us26i4nnpq0p8o2uv6vkjeg89b8vv@4ax.com...
>>
>

343. Re: Will a pro92 cable work on a pro93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:28:43 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <oqch3ukfgmp64f5b75in9gim2roa78uh88@4ax.com>
Source record: 54362

You wouldnt happen to have (offhand) a site which lists the 10-codes
for Pok? :)

My old codes for West Hartford, CT probably wont be accurate here.

Thx

On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 15:52:15 GMT, "Radiohead" <Radiohead@zorch.com>
wrote:

>Yes, it will. How's the Pok monitoring going?
>
>"eXistenZ32" <usenet@unlisted.com> wrote in message
>news:t6og3us26i4nnpq0p8o2uv6vkjeg89b8vv@4ax.com...
>>
>

344. Re: What SHould I buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:28:46 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u3hgb2thbdahfb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54372

A pro-2006 off the used market like ebay. These units are the best scanners ever produced in the US. You can easily modify these for cellular coverage and lots of other things as well or buy a new scanner internationally if you want to pick up cell phones. (Gray market)
If you don't care about cell phones get the ICOM computer scanner domestic or the radio shack pro-2052
"Hello" <Hello@Hello.com> wrote in message news:3c2ea258.16520505@news.prodigy.net...
> What is the best scanner to buy at the price range of about $300-$350?
> I live in Midtown in New York City.
> Thanks!!

345. Re: RS Police Call 2002 HELP! Last word on page 9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:36:51 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C38B5C3.B9FFE40A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54363

Ken Bessler wrote:
>
> Anyone who has the 2002 edition please look at it and tell me the
> last word on page 9.
>
> Thanks!

Never mind - it was in the .nfo file - "stations".....

346. Re: Press release on Uniden's new APCO-25 digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:44:17 UTC
From: "Joes_from_down_the _way." <jets1961@hotmail.com*removethis*>
Message-ID: <HI2_7.8280$qN3.87290@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 54364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why can't I find this "Press Release" on the Uniden web page? Do you have a link? I think this post is another hoax, I searched the web and can find no link to this release!

"Tony" <~!!~~~~noway@jose.com~~~!!~> wrote in message news:Kh0_7.30194$fe1.481517@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Press Release
>
> Date: 1/8/02
>
> Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
>
> SubTitle: Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize Monitoring
> Experience
>
> Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25
> digital scanner models.
>
> Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation today
> unveiled its new digital scanner line for 2002, including the consumer
> electronics leader's much-anticipated base and handheld APCO 25 digital
> scanning models. Speaking at CES, product manager Scott Carpenter
> showcased the new scanner products, underscoring the company's
> commitment to keeping its customers at the forefront of scanning
> technology. "Our retailers have been pushing us for a Uniden APCO 25
> product for months, and our new BC250D handheld and our BC785D
> base/mobile scanning unit represent the fulfillment of our promise,"
> Carpenter said. "With the ability to monitor conventional, trunked and
> APCO 25 conventional and trunked systems these models are
> state-of-the-art radio scanners. According to Uniden representatives,
> the Bearcat BC250D and the Bearcat BC785D models, which are slated to
> hit shelves in late 2002, offer 1,100 channels, 10 banks and a frequency
> range of 25MHz - 1300MHz. The BC250D comprises all of the features of
> Uniden's market-leading BC780 XLT in a handheld model, plus adds APCO 25
> capability and an additional 600 channels. Users of both models must
> purchase an APCO 25 card, the BCi25D, separately. "We're pleased to be
> bringing these great Bearcat APCO 25 units to market," Carpenter said.
> "We expect more big cities to migrate to the APCO 25 digital technology,
> like Los Angeles did this past year, to ensure agency interoperability
> among police, fire, EMTs and the like - and we know news organizations,
> businesses and consumers will want to monitor their signals." Uniden
> officials stressed that APCO 25 digital scanning technology simply gives
> users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of

> standard city and government service departments - but in no way allows
> users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security
> organizations. In a separate announcement Uniden also announced its
> plans to market its own easy-to-use scanner programming software, which
> it is demonstrating in its booth at this winter's annual Consumer
> Electronics Show in Las Vegas. The software will allow the users of all
> Uniden scanners with data ports to easily program frequencies into the
> channels and banks of their scanner. About Uniden Uniden America
> Corporation, the North American subsidiary of Japan based Uniden
> Corporation, manufactures and markets wireless consumer electronic
> products including cordless telephones, business telecommunications
> systems, multimedia communications devices, scanner radios, CB radios,
> FRS, Marine radios and other wireless personal communications products.
> Based in Fort Worth, Texas, Uniden sells it products through dealers and
> distributors throughout North, Central and South America. # # #
>
>
>

347. Re: Press release on Uniden's new APCO-25 digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:53:03 UTC
From: "Joes_from_down_the _way." <jets1961@hotmail.com*removethis*>
Message-ID: <VQ2_7.8283$qN3.86947@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 54366
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Oh I forgot one thing look at the date of the "Press Release" 1/8/02. That day has not happened yet. If this is a leak and I am wrong I will post my email address and eat Spam.

Kind regards, Joe
"Joes_from_down_the _way." <jets1961@hotmail.com*removethis*> wrote in message news:HI2_7.8280$qN3.87290@news1.mts.net...
> Why can't I find this "Press Release" on the Uniden web page? Do you have a
> link? I think this post is another hoax, I searched the web and can find no
> link to this release!
>
>
> "Tony" <~!!~~~~noway@jose.com~~~!!~> wrote in message
> news:Kh0_7.30194$fe1.481517@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Press Release
> >
> > Date: 1/8/02
> >
> > Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
> >
> > SubTitle: Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize Monitoring
> > Experience
> >
> > Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25
> > digital scanner models.
> >
> > Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation today
> > unveiled its new digital scanner line for 2002, including the consumer
> > electronics leader's much-anticipated base and handheld APCO 25 digital
> > scanning models. Speaking at CES, product manager Scott Carpenter
> > showcased the new scanner products, underscoring the company's
> > commitment to keeping its customers at the forefront of scanning
> > technology. "Our retailers have been pushing us for a Uniden APCO 25
> > product for months, and our new BC250D handheld and our BC785D
> > base/mobile scanning unit represent the fulfillment of our promise,"
> > Carpenter said. "With the ability to monitor conventional, trunked and
> > APCO 25 conventional and trunked systems these models are
> > state-of-the-art radio scanners. According to Uniden representatives,
> > the Bearcat BC250D and the Bearcat BC785D models, which are slated to
> > hit shelves in late 2002, offer 1,100 channels, 10 banks and a frequency
> > range of 25MHz - 1300MHz. The BC250D comprises all of the features of

> > Uniden's market-leading BC780 XLT in a handheld model, plus adds APCO 25
> > capability and an additional 600 channels. Users of both models must
> > purchase an APCO 25 card, the BCi25D, separately. "We're pleased to be
> > bringing these great Bearcat APCO 25 units to market," Carpenter said.
> > "We expect more big cities to migrate to the APCO 25 digital technology,
> > like Los Angeles did this past year, to ensure agency interoperability
> > among police, fire, EMTs and the like - and we know news organizations,
> > businesses and consumers will want to monitor their signals." Uniden
> > officials stressed that APCO 25 digital scanning technology simply gives
> > users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of
> > standard city and government service departments - but in no way allows
> > users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security
> > organizations. In a separate announcement Uniden also announced its
> > plans to market its own easy-to-use scanner programming software, which
> > it is demonstrating in its booth at this winter's annual Consumer
> > Electronics Show in Las Vegas. The software will allow the users of all
> > Uniden scanners with data ports to easily program frequencies into the
> > channels and banks of their scanner. About Uniden Uniden America
> > Corporation, the North American subsidiary of Japan based Uniden
> > Corporation, manufactures and markets wireless consumer electronic
> > products including cordless telephones, business telecommunications
> > systems, multimedia communications devices, scanner radios, CB radios,
> > FRS, Marine radios and other wireless personal communications products.
> > Based in Fort Worth, Texas, Uniden sells it products through dealers and
> > distributors throughout North, Central and South America. # # #
> >
> >
> >
>
>

348. Re: Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:53:12 UTC
From: Rob Rosenhouse <rrosenhouse@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns918EA19871B0Fpinballwizhomecom@24.3.128.74>
Source record: 54365

I'm getting all sorts of path errors, once I've installed the program.
Generally, if I hit 'ignore' the program will work. Any idea where the
path for Police Call is installed?

RR

349. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:56:33 UTC
From: gradvmedusa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020106155633.11314.00000041@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 54367

Can somebody post this to the web?

From the Halls of Montezuma
To the Shores of Tripoli;
We fight our country's battles
In the air, on land and sea;
First to fight for right and freedom
And to keep our honor clean;
We are proud to claim the title
of United States

350. Re: Will a pro92 cable work on a pro93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 20:59:35 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <NU2_7.53$17.59476@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 54368

There are codes in the old Scannermaster guide. They might still be accurate. I'll see if the pages can be scanned and let you know.

If you get a programming cable, I also have a P93 file for the area that you might want.

"eXistenZ32" <usenet@unlisted.com> wrote in message news:oqch3ukfgmp64f5b75in9gim2roa78uh88@4ax.com...
> You wouldnt happen to have (offhand) a site which lists the 10-codes
> for Pok? :)

351. Re: Scanner Nuts site!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 21:09:20 UTC
From: JDMurphy <jerry.murphy@home.com>
Message-ID: <423_7.73335$va.36680517@news2.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 54369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Nice site, DB!
```

"Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <nospamplease@me.com> wrote in message
news:3c300e23@news.planetc.com...
> The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
> http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
> 0ver 375 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
> New and Old.... bring back the memories.
>
> In God we trust, all others we monitor.
> A government that operates in secret becomes a secret government
>
> ******
>
>

352. Re: Press release on Uniden's new APCO-25 digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 21:21:43 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <Hd3_7.2195$75.1702374@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's on the CES website.

"Joes_from_down_the _way." <jets1961@hotmail.com*removethis*> wrote in
message news:HI2_7.8280$qN3.87290@news1.mts.net...
> Why can't I find this "Press Release" on the Uniden web page? Do you have
a
> link? I think this post is another hoax, I searched the web and can find
no
> link to this release!
>
>
> "Tony" <~!!~~~~noway@jose.com~~~!!~> wrote in message
> news:Kh0_7.30194$fe1.481517@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Press Release
> >
> > Date: 1/8/02
> >
> > Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
> >
> > SubTitle: Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize Monitoring
> > Experience
> >
> > Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25
> > digital scanner models.
> >
> > Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation today
> > unveiled its new digital scanner line for 2002, including the consumer
> > electronics leader's much-anticipated base and handheld APCO 25 digital
> > scanning models. Speaking at CES, product manager Scott Carpenter
> > showcased the new scanner products, underscoring the company's
> > commitment to keeping its customers at the forefront of scanning
> > technology. "Our retailers have been pushing us for a Uniden APCO 25
> > product for months, and our new BC250D handheld and our BC785D
> > base/mobile scanning unit represent the fulfillment of our promise,"
> > Carpenter said. "With the ability to monitor conventional, trunked and
> > APCO 25 conventional and trunked systems these models are
> > state-of-the-art radio scanners. According to Uniden representatives,
> > the Bearcat BC250D and the Bearcat BC785D models, which are slated to
> > hit shelves in late 2002, offer 1,100 channels, 10 banks and a frequency
> > range of 25MHz - 1300MHz. The BC250D comprises all of the features of
> > Uniden's market-leading BC780 XLT in a handheld model, plus adds APCO 25
> > capability and an additional 600 channels. Users of both models must
> > purchase an APCO 25 card, the BCi25D, separately. "We're pleased to be

> > bringing these great Bearcat APCO 25 units to market," Carpenter said.
> > "We expect more big cities to migrate to the APCO 25 digital technology,
> > like Los Angeles did this past year, to ensure agency interoperability
> > among police, fire, EMTs and the like - and we know news organizations,
> > businesses and consumers will want to monitor their signals." Uniden
> > officials stressed that APCO 25 digital scanning technology simply gives
> > users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of
> > standard city and government service departments - but in no way allows
> > users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security
> > organizations. In a separate announcement Uniden also announced its
> > plans to market its own easy-to-use scanner programming software, which
> > it is demonstrating in its booth at this winter's annual Consumer
> > Electronics Show in Las Vegas. The software will allow the users of all
> > Uniden scanners with data ports to easily program frequencies into the
> > channels and banks of their scanner. About Uniden Uniden America
> > Corporation, the North American subsidiary of Japan based Uniden
> > Corporation, manufactures and markets wireless consumer electronic
> > products including cordless telephones, business telecommunications
> > systems, multimedia communications devices, scanner radios, CB radios,
> > FRS, Marine radios and other wireless personal communications products.
> > Based in Fort Worth, Texas, Uniden sells it products through dealers and
> > distributors throughout North, Central and South America. # # #
> >
> >
> >
>
>

353. Portland,ME scanner buffs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 21:27:15 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c38c104.222628923@news.mint.net>
Source record: 54373

need some frequencies to fill up twenty channels.....fire police med etc.....I remember 155.490 but is that still active for Port PD and I think channel 2 was 158.910...am I close any help appreciated....

354. Re: Press release on Uniden's new APCO-25 digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 21:48:24 UTC
From: Say what <saywhat@ok.com>
Message-ID: <3C38C648.9DB49CD7@ok.com>
Source record: 54374
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Date: 1/8/02
>
> Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
>
> SubTitle: Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize Monitoring
> Experience
>
> Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25
> digital scanner models.
>
> Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation today

That day didn't yet occur as of today (the 6th) So this is obviously bullshit.

355. Re: Press release on Uniden's new APCO-25 digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 21:51:40 UTC
From: Say what <saywhat@ok.com>
Message-ID: <3C38C70C.F1DA579E@ok.com>
Source record: 54375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Joes_from_down_the _way." wrote:

> Why can't I find this "Press Release" on the Uniden web page? Do you have a
> link? I think this post is another hoax, I searched the web and can find no
> link to this release!

Because it didn't happen yet, see the DATE:

> > Press Release
> >
> > Date: 1/8/02

Either the poster is a time traveler from the future, or a troll.

356. Re: Will a pro92 cable work on a pro93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 22:02:39 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <UP3_7.55$17.61006@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 54376

There is a file for you in alt.binaries.radio-scanner.

"eXistenZ32" <usenet@unlisted.com> wrote in message
news:oqch3ukfgmp64f5b75in9gim2roa78uh88@4ax.com...
> You wouldnt happen to have (offhand) a site which lists the 10-codes
> for Pok? :)
>
> My old codes for West Hartford, CT probably wont be accurate here.
>

357. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 22:02:47 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C38C9E8.730FBE53@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54377

Robert Mack wrote:
>
> Can somebody post this to the web?
>

All 336 MEGAbytes???

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

358. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 22:08:18 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C38CB33.C44430BC@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54378

Rob Rosenhouse wrote:
>
> I'm getting all sorts of path errors, once I've installed the program.
> Generally, if I hit 'ignore' the program will work. Any idea where the
> path for Police Call is installed?
>
> RR

C:\POLICE CALL
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

359. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 22:29:57 UTC
From: Rob Rosenhouse <rrosenhouse@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns918EB1FF62C73pinballwizhomecom@24.3.128.74>
Source record: 54379

I know where the program is installed. I installed it. :) For whatever reason, after asking for the word on page 9, I get an error about an invalid path. After entering any kind of search criteria, I get the message about invalid path. I click ignore, and get the results anyway.

Anyone else have some ideas why this is happening?

RR

Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in news:3C38CB33.C44430BC@yahoo.com:

> Rob Rosenhouse wrote:
>>
>> I'm getting all sorts of path errors, once I've installed the program.
>> Generally, if I hit 'ignore' the program will work. Any idea where the
>> path for Police Call is installed?
>>
>> RR
>
> C:\POLICE CALL

360. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 22:33:56 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u3hk5s22v1b3ce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54380
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You have to d/l each rared file, unrar it the either burn the cd image or extract it with something like WINiso. Let me know if you get the whole thing d/l. I couldn't
"eXistenZ32" <usenet@unlisted.com> wrote in message news:7hch3usp0htmguh9es62gps734jvsiiekr@4ax.com...
> seems to be
>
>
>
> On 6 Jan 2002 11:55:09 -0800, spyderkeeper@yahoo.com (William
> Ferguson) wrote:
>
> >It's in a cd image format. Is it an ISO file?
> >
> >jr127@USA.net wrote in message news:<3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net>...
> >> Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
> >> not descramble the data.
> >>
> >> Any idea how to do this?
>

361. Re: Good Handheld For MilAir?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 22:52:20 UTC
From: John <johnstinkyfinger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <69lh3u8ng15efbv43neqpe0b5v4jd3lgv7@4ax.com>
Source record: 54382

I just bought a Pro-60 and it is excellent. Usually runs for around
100 bucks on ebay.

On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 16:34:10 GMT, ZZZmark@whiskey-creek.net wrote:

>On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 10:05:44 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Robert Mack wrote:
>>
>>> What's a good handheld for milair?(One caveat, it has to be available at Radio
>>> Shack)
>>
>>Sorry but RadioShack no longer has any handheld with MilAir Coverage.
>>RadioShack did have a good handheld that would cover MilAir, that was
>>the PRO-26.
>
>Also the 43.
>
>Mark
>
>whiskey-creek.net
>
>The truth as I perceive it to be.
>Your perception may be different.
>
>Triple Z is spam control

362. Going to buy and have a question (Rate the Pro-2052 or the BC895XLT pls)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 22:55:24 UTC
From: John <johnstinkyfinger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4clh3u8vli10bcqlbphrg8g0aner10lk39@4ax.com>
Source record: 54383

I am in the market for a base scanner. Should i get the Pro-2052 or the BC895XLT? Both have what I want. Trunking and MilAir. Milair is mostly what I want but want the trunking as well. I have read one will only allow scanning either trunked or conventional. Both would be nice.

Thanks!

KC0LHJ

363. OLD vs. NEW Pro-2006/4 vs. PRO-2053/2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 22:57:32 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u3hli7k1cd4d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54381

Do you think the new scanners can beat the old favorites or would a good modified 2004 or 6 still win?

364. Re: Going to buy and have a question (Rate the Pro-2052 or the BC895XLT pls)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 23:01:06 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C38D757.DF759898@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54384

John wrote:
>
> I am in the market for a base scanner. Should i get the Pro-2052 or
> the BC895XLT? Both have what I want. Trunking and MilAir. Milair is
> mostly what I want but want the trunking as well. I have read one will
> only allow scanning either trunked or conventional. Both would be
> nice.
>
> Thanks!
>
> KC0LHJ

If you want to do both at once, you'll need a UBC780XLT. :-)
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

365. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 23:02:19 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C38D7A0.9758BAD0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54385

Rob Rosenhouse wrote:
>
> I know where the program is installed. I installed it. :) For whatever
> reason, after asking for the word on page 9, I get an error about an
> invalid path. After entering any kind of search criteria, I get the
> message about invalid path. I click ignore, and get the results anyway.
>
> Anyone else have some ideas why this is happening?
>
> RR
>

What OS are you using? I'm running '98.

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

366. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 23:11:05 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u3hmbj5narmr40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54386
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you have the SouthEast poilce call freq?
"eXistenZ32" <usenet@unlisted.com> wrote in message
news:7hch3usp0htmguh9es62gps734jvsiiekr@4ax.com...
> seems to be
>
>
>
> On 6 Jan 2002 11:55:09 -0800, spyderkeeper@yahoo.com (William
> Ferguson) wrote:
>
> >It's in a cd image format. Is it an ISO file?
> >
> >jr127@USA.net wrote in message news:<3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net>...
> >> Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
> >> not descramble the data.
> >>
> >> Any idea how to do this?
>

367. Re: Why buy a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 23:12:22 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u3hme1bhs8in6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54387

Those are police radios. You can't talk into a scanner.
"Ken" <k_haas@noooospaamcore.com> wrote in message
news:3c3700cc$0$35622$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net...
> O.K., so for all I know, this radio is simply used as a fancy scanner.
> (The radio does have incription, for whatever that's worth). Why
> would anyone want to pay $400.00 for this when they could buy a
> scanner instead?? Am I missing something here??
>
>
> Ken
>
>

368. Re: What to do with a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 23:13:48 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u3hmgkgtiuj0a3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54388

this is an old police/fire/ems etc. radio since you'd get in trouble w/ the friendly FCC quickly it's little more then a scanner.
"Ken" <k_haas@noooospaamcore.com> wrote in message news:3c33c15a$0$37099$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net...
> I found this radio in a box of "junk" my fried was throwing out. It seems
> to be programmed with dozens of south Florida police/fire/ambulance
> channels. Besides using the radio as a scanner, can someone please tell me
> what radio/scanner enthusiasts do with this radio. Since it doesn't seem to
> operate in a trunked mode, I would have little use for it as a scanner.
>
> Also, the radio seems to have some sort of phone feature, with a keypad
> built in.
>
> Thanks for any information,
>
> Ken
>
>
>
>

369. Re: Unblocked Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 23:14:00 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u3hmh4m35qt0ab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54389

www.radioshack.ca
"andy walters" <w_andy@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:2RMY7.153294$BX4.8998404@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Anyone have any info on where to order an unblocked scanner, preferably
from
> Canada.
>
>
>

370. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 23:24:58 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u3hn5itkfku216@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54390

Actually cordless phones aren't illegal. The law states any transmission
that ends or begins from a wire can't be monitor but it has a specific
expection for cordless phones my guess is the cordless industry didn't pay
as much as the cellular does so they didnt' get their names one the guest
list back in '86 and '94

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:NODY7.230$k7.142990@monger.newsread.com...
> Alrighty folks, here's the simple skinny. Yes it is illegal to listen to
> cordless and cellular frequencies. But if no one knows you have that
> capability, how are they gonna bust ya?
>
> If you have the capability to monitor those frequencies, shut the hell up
> about it and DON'T tell anyone about anything you might have heard, as
well
> as the capabilities of your equipment. Its just that simple. Enjoy it,
but
> keep quiet about it.
>
> Same legally applies for most anything that you can legally monitor other
> than ham frequencies, if you hear it, don't repeat it.
>
> It is the big mouthed people who have ruined a good portion of what we can
> listen to legally any more.
>
> Ryan
> KC8PMX
>
>
>
>
>
> "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
> news:G3rY7.138388$lV4.22983621@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > In the US, it IS ILLEGAL to monitor cellular and cordless phone
> > > transmissions.
> >
> > No, it is illegal to think that any analog RF signal is secure!
> >
> > Roland
> >
> >
> >
>

>

371. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-06 23:33:10 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C38DEDB.40C6C50C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54391
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

William Ferguson wrote:
>
> Do you have the SouthEast poilce call freq?

William unlike the Police Call books, the CD covers the whole USA.

I have it downloaded and burnt onto a cd already - can I look
up a freq for you?

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

372. Radio Shack scanners flash programmable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 00:12:55 UTC
From: squirrelmaster@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <466f1411.0201061612.5643237c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54393

I've been out of the scanner arena for a while. My question is are the new Radio Shack scanners (like the PRO-93, 94, 92) flash firmware programmable? With flash memory prices so low I cannot see them using a masked ROM part. If it is programmable can this be done by the end-user (like my Garmin GPS receiver)?

SM

373. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 00:13:35 UTC
From: me@somehost.somedomain
Message-ID: <3c38e82f_1@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 54392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3C24FDC3.41AE78FF@rogers.com>, james-m-alexander@rogers.com rattled electrons thusly...

slightly snipped to conserve B/W ......
>
>I for one don't miss them a bit. I got into it as a hobby when I was a
>kid. Ripoff shack was my only source for parts

oh - you mean radio shark? :)
>> >
>> > Why would they stop selling items with a 2000% markup?
>> >

--
--
Some strive just for knowledge, some fire for effect
Some strive for obtusity, some to be direct
I prefer the latter.
Da Bear

374. Re: scanners vs motorola radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 00:16:42 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <e4qh3u4cmaukqr9nat0m6ek3k94ue5327v@4ax.com>
Source record: 54395

While nibbling on last years fruitcake, "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
grunted out

>So why is it that when my scanner is right next to a Motorola MTS2000 radio,
>and the Motorola is a police radio, both hearing the same frequencies, same
>channel, the Motorola has always a better signal receiving quality than the
>scanner? I know the Motorola has a better speaker and sound quality than a
>scanner, and it picks up better too. Why?

Because the Motorola radio isn't designed to cover 10 different bands, so it's
receiving section can be designed better, for the ONE band it does cover.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

375. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 00:16:56 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u3hq4mei2iti02@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

i had a premonition that by 2010 Radio Shack will stop selling radios and rename itself as 'Do You Want a Cellphone Shack'. 'You've got questions, We've got a cellphone so you can call someone with the answers.'

phil :p

376. Re: Radio Shack scanners flash programmable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 00:22:35 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <5T5_7.56$17.64242@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 54396

FCC rules prohibit the sale of scanners that can be easily modified to receive cell frequencies. You will never see end-user flashing capability in scanners. The PRO-93 uses a one time programmable rom and the PRO-92 uses an EEPROM with no user access.

"Squirrel" <squirrelmaster@hushmail.com> wrote in message news:466f1411.0201061612.5643237c@posting.google.com...
> I've been out of the scanner arena for a while. My question is are the
> new Radio Shack scanners (like the PRO-93, 94, 92) flash firmware
> programmable? With flash memory prices so low I cannot see them using
> a masked ROM part. If it is programmable can this be done by the
> end-user (like my Garmin GPS receiver)?
>
> SM

377. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 00:28:03 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C38EB91.3D3753A2@amsat.org>
Source record: 54397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

William Ferguson wrote:

> You have to d/l each rared file, unrar it the either burn the cd image or
> extract it with something like WINiso. Let me know if you get the whole
> thing d/l. I couldn't

Same here, my ISP news server is notorious for low completion rate.
Maybe it will show up on someone's FTP.
As to format,
whether ISO, rar, ace, or whatever,
I have the appz to handle that if I could just get all the pieces.

~Bart~

378. Re: Will a pro92 cable work on a pro93?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 00:35:00 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <29rh3uk0n893qfb9digh1vvmmo01cpn0u4@4ax.com>
Source record: 54398

hjehe, I actually found it before I got to my headers for this group

Thank you so much, this is getting printed off right now

On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 22:02:39 GMT, "Radiohead" <Radiohead@zorch.com>
wrote:

>There is a file for you in alt.binaries.radio-scanner.
>
>"eXistenZ32" <usenet@unlisted.com> wrote in message
>news:oqch3ukfgmp64f5b75in9gim2roa78uh88@4ax.com...
>> You wouldnt happen to have (offhand) a site which lists the 10-codes
>> for Pok? :)
>>
>> My old codes for West Hartford, CT probably wont be accurate here.
>>
>
>

379. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 00:50:07 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020106195007.11409.00000057@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 54399

In article <4G%Z7.15176$Sf2.132232@rwcrnsc52>, Pete Ritter <SeeSig@Below.com>
writes:

>I agree with you. The benefit of the peoples' ability to know what the
>gov't is doing surpasses the benefit of hiding comms from a few criminals.
>It's like civilian review of police. The police (military, too) must be
>accountable to the people. Anything else results in a police state.
>Encrypting comms supresses civilian review and is one more step toward a
>police state.

Which is exactly where we're headed in this country. Government control of
every aspect of our lives, from cradle-to-grave.

380. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 01:05:16 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C38F4AF.A0580BEA@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54400
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

f@l.se wrote:
>
> William Ferguson wrote:
>
> > You have to d/l each rared file, unrar it the either burn the cd image or
> > extract it with something like WINiso. Let me know if you get the whole
> > thing d/l. I couldn't
>
> Same here, my ISP news server is notorious for low completion rate.
> Maybe it will show up on someone's FTP.
> As to format,
> whether ISO, rar, ace, or whatever,
> I have the appz to handle that if I could just get all the pieces.
>
> ~Bart~

At close to 350 megabytes, I'd be suprised to see it anywhere FTP. I'm
lucky enough to have high speed internet access but even that has it's
limits.
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

381. Re: F:S PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 01:08:01 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Rx6_7.41830$Z03.26953999@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 54401

"R. Smith" <dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:u3h0288hp8vq48@corp.supernews.com...
> Hi there,
> I am selling a Radio Shack Pro-2006 scanner,
> 400 channel base unit 25-1300 Mhz
> The radio has the 800 Mhz mod and speed mod done,one problem that could
> be a very minor one is the BATT light flashes in the lcd display even
> though the battery has been replaced? So the keypad beep has been
> disabled.It could be the connection or the plug I am not sure as I am no
> tech?. Cosmetically the radio is in very good shape and functions
> perfectly. LCD Display is very bright as well. I am open to any offers
> above $225
> Any questions please e-mail me.
> Thanks-Russ
>

I would prefer to get them from RS as they are discontinued, and selling at
<=$75

--
--
Dave's Engineering Page: http://www.dvanhorn.org

Got a need to read Bar codes? http://www.barcodechip.com
Bi-directional read of UPC-A, UPC-E, EAN-8, EAN-13, JAN, and Bookland, with
two or five digit supplemental codes, in an 8 pin chip, with NO external
parts.

382. Re: Press release on Uniden's new APCO-25 digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 01:26:54 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <yP6_7.2224$75.1715997@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wash that mouth out and stop back again... Go to the Consumer Electronics Show webpage and pull it up yourself.

- Rob

"Say what" <saywhat@ok.com> wrote in message news:3C38C648.9DB49CD7@ok.com...
> > Date: 1/8/02
> >
> > Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
> >
> > SubTitle: Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize Monitoring
> > Experience
> >
> > Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25
> > digital scanner models.
> >
> > Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation today
>
> That day didn't yet occur as of today (the 6th) So this is obviously
> bullshit.
>
>

383. Re: F:S PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 01:27:58 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <yQ6_7.236906$WW.12778723@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54402

What on earth are you talking about? 2006 from RS at $75.00?
"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:Rx6_7.41830$Z03.26953999@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> "R. Smith" <dccnet.com> wrote in message
> news:u3h0288hp8vq48@corp.supernews.com...
> > Hi there,
> > I am selling a Radio Shack Pro-2006 scanner,
> > 400 channel base unit 25-1300 Mhz
> > The radio has the 800 Mhz mod and speed mod done,one problem that could
> > be a very minor one is the BATT light flashes in the lcd display even
> > though the battery has been replaced? So the keypad beep has been
> > disabled.It could be the connection or the plug I am not sure as I am no
> > tech?. Cosmetically the radio is in very good shape and functions
> > perfectly. LCD Display is very bright as well. I am open to any offers
> > above $225
> > Any questions please e-mail me.
> > Thanks-Russ
> >
>
> I would prefer to get them from RS as they are discontinued, and selling
at
> <=$75
>
> --
> --
> Dave's Engineering Page: http://www.dvanhorn.org
>
> Got a need to read Bar codes? http://www.barcodechip.com
> Bi-directional read of UPC-A, UPC-E, EAN-8, EAN-13, JAN, and Bookland,
with
> two or five digit supplemental codes, in an 8 pin chip, with NO external
> parts.
>
>
>

384. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 01:35:10 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <u3huqeaqpeff31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <fd93eec9.0201060913.646f49ab@posting.google.com>,
GoToWebsite@aol.com says...
>
>j debert <jdebert@garlic.com> wrote in message
news:<3C375F93.5070605@garlic.com>...
>> Your Friend Bill wrote:
>>
>> It just occurred to me that this might be the best area for skip.
>>
>> I've been around other places but have not heard as much on HF and
have
>> never seen TV skip elsewhere. And I've heard of no one else
>> encountering it either. KTVU said that no one else had called
>> them about it.
>
>I lived in Sacramento for 22+ years and would get skip on channel 3
>from other states that totally wiped out our LOCAL channel 3 IF I
>turned my tv antenna towards the skip. I was born and raised in
>Fresno and would get skip often on channels 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, from
>North Dakota, South Dakota, Midland Texas, Oklahoma, Mexico (the
>country), Canada, and several other places. Even can get a GOOD
>signal from skip using rabbit ears here in Fresno.

I grew up in Roseville and never had anything like that happen. The
farthest station I got was channel 8 from Salinas (KSBW) ONCE. Now
KBTV-LP is on 8 here. I want to know what you did to get skip on rabbit
ears.
>
>This area is also covered with low-powered stations. Using an outdoor
>antenna, these signals come in from many miles away. I have had
>stations tell me that I shouldn't be able to pick up their signal even
>though I get it snow free.
>
>While living in Elk Grove (just south of Sacramento), I picked up a
>NEW channel - channel 50 from Santa Rosa - their test pattern, and it
>had their phone number on it. I phoned and spoke to the engineer. He
>told me that I could NOT be receiving the station because they were
>NOT on the air! I read the test pattern to him. He couldn't
>understand how I could be picking it up so he asked for my phone
>number. He phoned back a while later and said that I was picking up
>the signal from Santa Rosa, California, OFF THEIR DUMMY LOAD. They
>weren't even on the air and I was watching it from about 60 or so
>miles away!

Bad engineering or ZOG conspiracy? You decide. :)

385. Re: F:S PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 01:37:39 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020106203739.26159.00000069@mb-bd.news.cs.com>
Source record: 54405

Dave

Get a grip my man Radio has answers if you got questions but if they have
Pro-2006 at $75.00 they have thieves working in that store.

Tell you what buy two new one's and I'll give you $150.00 each plus shipping

Thanks
Fred

386. Re: OLD vs. NEW Pro-2006/4 vs. PRO-2053/2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 01:42:29 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <927_7.277592$XA5.47199908@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 54406

> Do you think the new scanners can beat the old favorites or would a good
> modified 2004 or 6 still win?

All depends on what you want to listen to.

As solid receivers, for non-trunked, open squelch listening, the 2004 /5 /6 series can't be beat.

But compared to a 780, they are a bit antiquated...

Dan

387. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 02:15:56 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c39043f.185747537@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 04:31:12 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net> wrote:

>
>
>John McCoy wrote:
>
>[snip]
>
>>
>> My understanding is the new plan will feature channels on 7.5kHz spacing. I suspose
>> they might use 6.25kHz spacing instead.
>
>This depends on how they decide to do the frequency spacing. It's my understanding from
>what I have read from the FCC, they are favoring 7.5 KHz for the entire 150 - 174 MHz
band;
>6.25 KHz for 450 - 512 MHz; 12.5 KHz for the new 746 - 806 MHz band, and the existing 806
-
>869 MHz, 935 - 940 MHz areas.

Yes, that's exactly what I understand too.

> Uniden, however, has announced the pending release
>of their new digital scanners that will decode Project 25 transmissions.

Interesting. That's a very expensive technology to implement, I'm surprised
they see a big enough market to make it worthwhile. I wonder what these
scanners will retail for.

John

388. WinScan 2.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 02:28:22 UTC
From: Tom <tomll511@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aJ7_7.38891$mu.15360003@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54407

Anyone got a copy of WinScan 2.1 they could get rid of? I Would be willing to make a trade, etc.....

BTW, If you don't like what I'm doing, don' bother posting...I really don't care.

--
Tom

"All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing." -- Edmund Burke, British philosopher

389. BC780XLT Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 02:43:24 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.06.21.47.35.203004.17501@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54409

I haven't seen one of these up close. The promo pics show a text
description of what is on a specific channel/freq. Can this be programmed
for all channels like this? For some reason I'm thinking it's a trunked
only feature, but I hope I'm wrong.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

390. RS Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 02:45:30 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.06.21.49.39.621168.17501@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54410

Thanks to whomever posted the RS parts phone number. You reminded me to order the parts I need to restore a 2006 I have here. I was surprised to find that they still have the keypads, top and bottom half of the chassis, and original antennas for this classic! I ordered a bottom,two antennas, and three (or five - I forget) keypads for under $60. Not bad!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

391. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 02:49:55 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <n43i3us08nm7ekh7235eapnh63kpacjr0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 54411

The password is "stations" Read the NFO file included with the post.

On Sun, 06 Jan 2002 19:48:00 GMT, Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I got the program downloaded and executed then burned onto a CD but
>the software is asking for the last word on page 9 of police call.
>
>I tried the last word on page 9 but my edition is 2001 and it won't
>work. Anyone know that word?

392. Re: What to do with a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 03:08:09 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spurious@StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns918EC2E973338Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 54412

.
>> I found this radio in a box of "junk" my fried was throwing out. It
>> seems to be programmed with dozens of south Florida
>> police/fire/ambulance channels. Besides using the radio as a scanner,
>> can someone please tell me what radio/scanner enthusiasts do with this
>> radio. Since it doesn't seem to operate in a trunked mode, I would
>> have little use for it as a scanner.

That STX821 is an old trunking capable radio. For personnel use, it would
be useless to most people. It takes proprietary Motorola software and
interface cable to program it. That keypad is for trunking group and
channel changing. I'd recommend you donate it back to that dumpster....
that's about all its good for.

Ed

393. Assuming BC785D replaces BC780xlt??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 04:19:14 UTC
From: "Phil \"n2xut\"" <plicht@optonline.com>
Message-ID: <6l9_7.234$jk5.23478@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is it safe to assume that now the BC785D will replace the current bc780xlt? Is it also safe to assume that the prices will be close? I couldn't find anything in the press release on this. Just wondering what you guys all thought. maybe you've heard more than me... or what i've 'read'. maybe i have to wait until Jan-08 to find out. thats the date of the press release!! oh well.

394. Wanted bc 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 04:22:31 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020106232231.06300.00000136@mb-fp.news.cs.com>
Source record: 54413

Looking for a BC 9000xlt in excellent condition and working flawlesly of course
Price and Avilability Please

Thanks in advance

Fred

395. I need your help to finish my software for bc780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 04:42:55 UTC
From: Avraam <avraam3334@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jH9_7.24543$MK.327620@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,
I have 2 small problems with my application and I tried to find the solution on the internet but w/o any success.

1) how do you calculate the STEP?
2) programming the talk groups tags and IDs.

every time I try to send an IC (Set ID memory number) command I receive back NG.
example:
send: IC A A0 002512
receive: NG

what I'm doing wrong?

I updated the screenshots on my page, and right now only the thing is missing from the application is programming the groups IDs...

-avraam
http://www.barghis.org/bc780xlt/
Free software for BC 780XLT

396. Re: BC780XLT Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 05:16:25 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C392F27.A804E469@amsat.org>
Source record: 54416

John LeMay wrote:

> I haven't seen one of these up close. The promo pics show a text
> description of what is on a specific channel/freq. Can this be programmed
> for all channels like this? For some reason I'm thinking it's a trunked
> only feature, but I hope I'm wrong.

I'm not sure what the "Q" suffix indicates, (question, maybe?)
but if you're referring to the 780 alpha tag feature,
you can make it say whatever you want about any location,
regardless of what is programmed there, trunked or conventional.
There are two sections to the tag, a group label field and an individual
channel label field.
These can be designated as you desire, however,
using the group label field for several related channels is better
accommodated within the firmware.
Also the scrolling of letters using the keyboard is a rather tedious process,

so you definitely want to consider some computer interface software,
for this as well as juggling the positions and parameters (L/O, Delay, Data
Skip) of the different channel locations.

~Bart~

397. RS Pro-79: Good Or Bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 05:49:38 UTC
From: Donovan Smith <donovan@integratasolutions.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16a2e489d0901663989696@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 54417

I am new to scanning and today I picked up a Pro-79 at RadioShack since it was the lowest priced one I could find and I wanted to see what these things were all about. It seems to work pretty good from what I can tell, although the manual that comes with it is kind of poor. Was this scanner worth the money, especially considering that I'm a newbie to scanners? Thanks in advance for your replies.

--
Donovan Smith
http://www.integratasolutions.com
ICQ: 101193930

398. Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 06:32:11 UTC
From: michaeldr1 <fpsonline@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c393d42_2@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 54418

How well would a PCS antenna work with a scanner as a recieving antenna? Is the PCS band in the 800 - 900mhz range? Thanks for your info.

399. Well.. uniden has done it!!! with a new digital scanner!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 07:28:26 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c394a83.1641750@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 54419

Hi all..
Well as i read this new group i hear that NOW there is a New scanner to replace my "old" 780xlt.. which is about 2 months old... and still smells new.. perhaps you guys will remember me asking for all the help in programming it.. for which i am grateful, What i am trying to say is that i hear alot of folks saying"I won't buy a new scanner again i just bought this one"..Well in this hobby things move and they move fast....when the new unit hits the market..... I'll be the first in line...

400. Re: Press release on Uniden's new APCO-25 digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 08:40:21 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <h8d_7.19$ww3.17182@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54420
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go to http://www.cesweb.org/ and type Uniden in the search box. This will take you to a listing for all Uniden events at CES. The press release is there.

Mark

"Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote in message news:yP6_7.2224$75.1715997@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Wash that mouth out and stop back again... Go to the Consumer Electronics
> Show webpage and pull it up yourself.
>
> - Rob
>
> "Say what" <saywhat@ok.com> wrote in message
> news:3C38C648.9DB49CD7@ok.com...
> > > Date: 1/8/02
> > >
> > > Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
> > >
> > > SubTitle: Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize Monitoring
> > > Experience
> > >
> > > Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25
> > > digital scanner models.
> > >
> > > Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation today
> >
> > That day didn't yet occur as of today (the 6th) So this is obviously
> > bullshit.
> >
> >
>
>

401. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 09:00:50 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <vrd_7.20$ww3.18699@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54421

Actually cordless phones ARE illegal. The exemption you refer to has been removed. When checking this out several months ago, I found that some websites still had the previous version of the law, which listed the cordless phone exemption. I found the updated law at the Cornell University Legal Information Institute website. Here is a portion of that previous post:

http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/ch119.html

UNITED STATES CODE
TITLE 18 - CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
PART I - CRIMES
CHAPTER 119 - WIRE AND ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTION AND INTERCEPTION OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Sec. 2511. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited

(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any person who -
(a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;
(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other person to use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to intercept any oral communication when -
(i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a signal through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire communication; or
(ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or interferes with the transmission of such communication; or
(iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such device or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce; or
(iv) such use or endeavor to use (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or
(B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information relating to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or
(v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United States;
(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any

other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection;
(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or
(e)
(i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(a)(ii), 2511(2)(b)-(c), 2511(2)(e), 2516, and 2518 of this chapter, (ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of such a communication in connection with a criminal investigation, (iii) having obtained or received the information in connection with a criminal investigation, and (iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with a duly authorized criminal investigation, shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit as provided in subsection (5).

Note, the law used to read:

Sec. 2510. Definitions

As used in this chapter -
(1) ''wire communication'' means any aural transfer made in whole or in part through the use of facilities for the transmission of communications by the aid of wire, cable, or other like connection between the point of origin and the point of reception (including the use of such connection in a switching station) furnished or operated by any person engaged in providing or operating such facilities for the transmission of interstate or foreign communications or communications affecting interstate or foreign commerce and such term includes any electronic storage of such communication, but such term does not include the radio portion of a cordless telephone communication that is transmitted between the cordless telephone handset and the base unit;

But it now reads:

Sec. 2510. Definitions
US Code as of: 01/02/01

As used in this chapter -

(1) ''wire communication'' means any aural transfer made in whole or in part through the use of facilities for the transmission of communications by the aid of wire, cable, or other like connection between the point of origin and the point

of reception (including the use of such connection in a switching
station) furnished or operated by any person engaged in providing
or operating such facilities for the transmission of interstate
or foreign communications or communications affecting interstate
or foreign commerce and such term includes any electronic storage
of such communication;

(12) ''electronic communication'' means any transfer of signs,
signals, writing, images, sounds, data, or intelligence of any
nature transmitted in whole or in part by a wire, radio,
electromagnetic, photoelectronic or photooptical system that
affects interstate or foreign commerce, but does not include -
(A) any wire or oral communication;
(B) any communication made through a tone-only paging device;
(C) any communication from a tracking device (as defined in
section 3117 of this title); or
(D) electronic funds transfer information stored by a
financial institution in a communications system used for the
electronic storage and transfer of funds;

Note the deletion of the part at the end of (1) wire communication, that
says: "but such term does not include the radio portion of a cordless
telephone communication that is transmitted between the cordless telephone
handset and the base unit;". In fact, reading the amended law at the
Cornell website shows that all references to excluding cordless phones have
been deleted. Given the change to the defintion of wire communication, then
(12), electronic communication, which clearly references radio, would apply.

Other parts of the code provide the exception which makes scanning
governmental agencies legal. I won't go into that, read it for yourself.

<End of previous post>

Please, everybody that has doubts, go read it for yourself.

Mark

"William Ferguson" <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:u3hn5itkfku216@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually cordless phones aren't illegal. The law states any transmission
> that ends or begins from a wire can't be monitor but it has a specific
> expection for cordless phones my guess is the cordless industry didn't pay
> as much as the cellular does so they didnt' get their names one the guest
> list back in '86 and '94
>
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
> news:NODY7.230$k7.142990@monger.newsread.com...
> > Alrighty folks, here's the simple skinny. Yes it is illegal to listen
to
> > cordless and cellular frequencies. But if no one knows you have that
> > capability, how are they gonna bust ya?

> >
> > If you have the capability to monitor those frequencies, shut the hell
up
> > about it and DON'T tell anyone about anything you might have heard, as
> well
> > as the capabilities of your equipment. Its just that simple. Enjoy it,
> but
> > keep quiet about it.
> >
> > Same legally applies for most anything that you can legally monitor
other
> > than ham frequencies, if you hear it, don't repeat it.
> >
> > It is the big mouthed people who have ruined a good portion of what we
can
> > listen to legally any more.
> >
> > Ryan
> > KC8PMX
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
> > news:G3rY7.138388$lV4.22983621@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > In the US, it IS ILLEGAL to monitor cellular and cordless phone
> > > > transmissions.
> > >
> > > No, it is illegal to think that any analog RF signal is secure!
> > >
> > > Roland
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

402. Re: RS Police Call 2002 is now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 09:27:16 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3iqdq5gsilr10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54422

Yea, I noticed that after I finished down loading... I have never gotten a file that had over 100 RAR files, so I had never seen that before...

Thanks for the info anyway!
PH

"anon" <drake3d@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3c3888d4_3@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> They are rar also just above the 100th file I believe. ie rar,R01...R99,S01,
> S02 etc...
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
> news:u3g1hbsd2e3c84@corp.supernews.com...
> > OK, I generally know what to do with these files, however I am noticing a
> > problem for myself.. I know what .RAR files are, but what is up with the
> > rest of those? .PAR, .Sxx, .txx, etc... Are these all uncompressed with
> > different programs? If so, why would you bother doing that? Perhaps
> it's
> > a new compression program I am unfamiliar with... Any extraction help
> here
> > would be greatly appreciated!
> >
> > PH
> >
> >
> > "X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
> > news:2eue3ukjtuh2qepfuhdnhfklp8mcdbinfr@4ax.com...
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

403. Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 09:33:43 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3iqpt4cdgh8b5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54423
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone come across any pictures of these new units? They were on display at CES, I would have hoped that somebody (press, magazine publisher, etc) would have snapped a couple photos of the units, or gotten some sort of spec sheet... Any news about something like this besides the press release??

Thanks,
PH

404. Arizona Agency frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 10:02:49 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C397249.AF705248@analon.com>
Source record: 54424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

anyone have a list of Arizona agencies State and Federal they went to
share

I believe I found a game and fish frequency Saturday while I was
searching it's a 151mhz frequency.

the active ops/security frequencies for Hoover dam and other attractions
too... I have a database but want to update it..... I have several
scanners in my truck and often listen to the scanners on long road
trips.....On the roof are 4 tall antennas.... I ahve difficulty
getting into
parking structures and my antennas also will hit the overhangs at some
fast food palces..

JOHN

--
**----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com
|
--Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is
OK!
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is
Deadly!
--

405. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 12:23:01 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <kn4j3uci40ct0ekg10tpb8rv7mqo4shr7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 54425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While nibbling on last years fruitcake, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> grunted out

>Anyone come across any pictures of these new units? They were on display at
>CES, I would have hoped that somebody (press, magazine publisher, etc) would
>have snapped a couple photos of the units, or gotten some sort of spec
>sheet... Any news about something like this besides the press release??

Well, CES opens TOMORROW, so.....

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

406. Re: Assuming BC785D replaces BC780xlt??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 12:24:15 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <mo4j3u01shrd4mbq4i4ffdm20ro3nlhus7@4ax.com>
Source record: 54426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While nibbling on last years fruitcake, "Phil \"n2xut\"" <plicht@optonline.com>
grunted out

>Is it safe to assume that now the BC785D will replace the current bc780xlt?

Well, since it won't be available for some months yet, any speculation about
cost, FULL features, and whether it will replace the 780 is kind of premature.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

407. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 12:49:54 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a1cetcfuu1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
news:u3hq4mei2iti02@corp.supernews.com...
> i had a premonition that by 2010 Radio Shack will stop selling radios and
> rename itself as 'Do You Want a Cellphone Shack'. 'You've got questions,
> We've got a cellphone so you can call someone with the answers.'
>
> phil :p

Radio Shack will probably be out of business before that.

408. Re: Well.. uniden has done it!!! with a new digital scanner!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 12:52:50 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <ke6j3u8oa6a9r506s8v1eb3uf78mq9c5hh@4ax.com>
Source record: 54427

While nibbling on last years fruitcake, gig@i-2000.com (GaijinGig) grunted out

>Hi all..
> Well as i read this new group i hear that NOW there is a New scanner
>to replace my "old" 780xlt.. which is about 2 months old...

No, there is an ANNOUNCEMENT of a new scanner,
with an expected delivery date of LATE this year
(as in 10-11 months from now).

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

409. Re: Hoka Code 3 Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 13:13:05 UTC
From: dwabbit <shadow.tek@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <_ah_7.6043$wd1.847610@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 54428
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

u1 tl074,u2 hcf4011,u3 hcf4046,u4ne567,u5 adc0804cn
u6 hcf4060,u7 74150,u8 hcf4040,u9 lm79l05,u10 lm7805

Hopes this helps this is what the circuit dia ive got shows
"Snowbat" <snowbat@geocities.com> wrote in message
news:hkje2uojnff4cvfose1gs1ndn4bknji1vs@4ax.com...
> eb wrote in message <a8ae2uk6vp45f61v8vcimj5f9np03qdaab@4ax.com>:
>
> >Exactly how hard is it to make a interface for the Code 3 Gold
> >software....?
>
> As hard as identifying the IC they've thoughtfully scrubbed any
> identification from. The rest would be straightforward.
>
> Before you ask, no I don't know what it is.

410. Re: Assuming BC785D replaces BC780xlt??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 13:26:23 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <3mh_7.2242$75.1745980@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>Is it safe to assume that now the BC785D will replace the current
bc780xlt?

Of course -- since they say "it has all the features of the 780 and more"
why would you think otherwise?

- Rob

411. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 13:27:07 UTC
From: Andy <y-o-y@home.com>
Message-ID: <Lmh_7.361534$5A3.137108309@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 54429
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <yzLZ7.6477$h2c.6015@news2.bloor.is>,
"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote:

> Press Release
>
> Date: 1/8/02
>
> Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
>
> SubTitle: Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize Monitoring
> Experience
>
> Synopsis: Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25
> digital scanner models.
>
> Body: LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation today

Cute!!!

How did you get a press release for a day in the future? Could you have
at least come up with a company that wrote it?

With a subtitle: "Subtitle Electronics Giant to Sell Software to
customize monitoring experience." Software is not hardware.

1,100 memory channels in 10 banks. Why would anyone do that?

Sell a renumbered bc780xlt and an add-on card??? That makes NO sense.

You're pulling my leg, me thinks.

Tomorrow will tell.

Andy
Unofficial Proofreader of the Internet

412. UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 15:01:01 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C39B884.8AF3FFE2@gte.net>
Source record: 54433
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

Uniden and CES, Part II
Posted on 01/05/2002 17:53 EST

Marcel recently posted the full press releas regarding the teaser headlines he posted earlier today:

UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL
SCANNER MODELS

Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize
Monitoring Experience

Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated
APCO 25 digital scanner models.

LAS VEGAS (January 8) ï¿½ Uniden America
Corporation today unveiled its new digital scanner
line for 2002, including the consumer electronics
leaderï¿½s much-anticipated base and handheld
APCO 25 digital scanning models.

Speaking at CES, product manager Scott
Carpenter showcased the new scanner products,
underscoring the companyï¿½s commitment to
keeping its customers at the forefront of scanning
technology. ï¿½Our retailers have been pushing us
for a Uniden APCO 25 product for months, and
our new BC250D handheld and our BC785D
base/mobile scanning unit represent the fulfillment
of our promise,ï¿½ Carpenter said. ï¿½With the ability
to monitor conventional, trunked and APCO 25
conventional and trunked systems these models are
state-of-the-art radio scanners.

According to Uniden representatives, the Bearcat
BC250D and the Bearcat BC785D models, which
are slated to hit shelves in late 2002, offer 1,100
channels, 10 banks and a frequency range of
25MHz ï¿½ 1300MHz. The BC250D comprises all
of the features of Unidenï¿½s market-leading BC780
XLT in a handheld model, plus adds APCO 25
capability and an additional 600 channels.

Users of both models must purchase an APCO 25
card, the BCi25D, separately. ï¿½Weï¿½re pleased to

be bringing these great Bearcat APCO 25 units to market,ï¿½ Carpenter said. ï¿½We expect more big cities to migrate to the APCO 25 digital technology, like Los Angeles did this past year, to ensure agency interoperability among police, fire, EMTs and the like ï¿½ and we know news organizations, businesses and consumers will want to monitor their signals.ï¿½

Uniden officials stressed that APCO 25 digital scanning technology simply gives users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of standard city and government service departments ï¿½ but in no way allows users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security organizations.

In a separate announcement Uniden also announced its plans to market its own easy-to-use scanner programming software, which it is demonstrating in its booth at this winterï¿½s annual Consumer Electronics Show in Las Vegas. The software will allow the users of all Uniden scanners with data ports to easily program frequencies into the channels and banks of their scanner.

About Uniden
Uniden America Corporation, the North American subsidiary of Japan based Uniden Corporation, manufactures and markets wireless consumer electronic products including cordless telephones, business telecommunications systems, multimedia communications devices, scanner radios, CB radios, FRS, Marine radios and other wireless personal communications products. Based in Fort Worth, Texas, Uniden sells it products through dealers and distributors throughout North, Central and South America.

Very interesting! So Uniden will not only be offering two new trunking scanners (which are just like the current BC780XLT) but users wanting to monitor digital systems will have to buy the optional plug-in card to do so.

Thanks for the posting, Marcel, and to Karl Dahlquist and Peter Kirk for forwarding it to us as well!
http://www.strongsignals.net/access/news/news.cgi?type=single&section=radio&entry=263

So if you want to listen to the police, this is the way to do it. Otherwise you are out in the cold. And most gun owners seem to

want to keep an eye on the cops, for whatever
reason.

413. Re: Wow Cheek's inside antenna actually works.....kewl!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 15:09:18 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3c39a807.226366@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54431

>I decided to try Cheek's indoor antenna (48" on top with 18" on bottom
>with a 300 to 75 ohm)out of the 1st scanner book and it works
>
>any other "inside" antenna configurations that work as well or
>better??

Good going! It's always neat to find something that works better than you expected.

ANY antenna is going to work better than that rubber ducky. I hooked up a set of cheap $19 TV rabbit ears from K Mart. It was kind of difficult because I had to hold them vertically, but I was able to pick up a ton of stuff. On VHF low I got skip from all over the western part of the country (I'm in the midwest) and even about four short wave images from their 15 MHz fundamentals.

414. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 15:12:38 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <vndj3ugm5f41ff5g5qim25195k8pcuv0uf@4ax.com>
Source record: 54432

Then what's this labeling requirement the FCC expects all
manufacturers to put on cordless phones?

See 47CFR15.214(c)

That's currently on the books too!

/* IANAL */

As I see it, the legislation may be real. However, it's not just
unenforceable, knowing if a violation has occurred is nearly
impossible without a degree of surveillance that befuddles my
imagination. A cordless phone that operates on the same channels as
baby monitors, kiddie walkie-talkies, wireless intercoms and so forth
should have *NO* expectation of privacy. I see no way of keeping it
from being monitored, whether accidentally or deliberately.

We CAN regulate and legislate what one does with this information.
But that's as far as anyone can take this in to the practical world.

I don't disagree with your research that such laws exist, but I fail
to see how they can be practically applied to anyone. I choose to
ignore such foolishness where it clearly contradicts itself.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

On Mon, 7 Jan 2002 03:00:50 -0600, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>Actually cordless phones ARE illegal. The exemption you refer to has been
>removed. When checking this out several months ago, I found that some
>websites still had the previous version of the law, which listed the
>cordless phone exemption. I found the updated law at the Cornell University
>Legal Information Institute website. Here is a portion of that previous
>post:
>
>
>http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/ch119.html
<snip>

415. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 15:40:21 UTC
From: Robert Frenchu <robert_frenchu@ya_take-out_hoo.com>
Message-ID: <4agj3ukgb51o7ma8u4g62flc09qu6841c1@4ax.com>
Source record: 54435
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

Who gives a shit, sparky?

416. TMS320C50 DSK VOICE SCRAMBLING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 15:45:09 UTC
From: skmv2000@yahoo.co.in
Message-ID: <44da2e38.0201070745.a8fb323@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54436

Hi guys,
I am currently doing a voice scrambler using the TMS320C50 DSK.I have completed the FFT,the scrambling and the IFFT part.I have also written the descrambling routine.But I want the whole system to be implemented using 2 TMS320C50s.One for the FFT-Scrambling-IFFT .While I use the other for FFT-Descrambling-IFFT.But I do not know how to establish a perfect handshaking (or matching or synchronisation) between the 2 processors.If you know and if you wish to help,please tell me how.Or if you know where and how to get this kind of information,please mail me at skmv@rediffmail.com.Thanks and regards.

417. Re: BC780XLT Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 15:57:01 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.07.11.01.15.593852.17501@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54437

On Mon, 07 Jan 2002 00:16:25 -0500, f wrote:

> I'm not sure what the "Q" suffix indicates, (question, maybe?) but if

Exactly! Sorry, thought that was well known shorthand.

> you're referring to the 780 alpha tag feature, you can make it say
> whatever you want about any location, regardless of what is programmed
> there, trunked or conventional.

Great! Thanks for the info!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

418. Re: BC780XLT Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 16:06:41 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <B+LCdLARecO8Ew7f@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 54438

In article <pan.2002.01.06.21.47.35.203004.17501@nospam.njmc.com>, John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> writes
>I haven't seen one of these up close. The promo pics show a text
>description of what is on a specific channel/freq. Can this be programmed
>for all channels like this? For some reason I'm thinking it's a trunked
>only feature, but I hope I'm wrong.

Yes it can be programmed for all channels.. trunked or not..

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

419. Re: RS Pro-79: Good Or Bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 16:12:56 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020107111256.06355.00001483@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 54439

In article <MPG.16a2e489d0901663989696@news.cis.dfn.de>, Donovan Smith
<donovan@integratasolutions.com> writes:

>I am new to scanning and today I picked up a Pro-79 at RadioShack since
>it was the lowest priced one I could find and I wanted to see what these
>things were all about. It seems to work pretty good from what I can
>tell, although the manual that comes with it is kind of poor. Was this
>scanner worth the money, especially considering that I'm a newbie to
>scanners? Thanks in advance for your replies.
>

I would take this scanner back and buy a PRO93 or PRO 92. The PRO 79 has
no 800MHZ coverage and cannot track trunked radio systems. Once you get into
the hobby you would need to buy a new scanner if you discover an agency that
you
want to monitor is using a trunked system. The 93 or 92 will scan non trunked
frequencies like your pro 79 as well. If you decide scanning is not for you,
you have 30 days to return it to R.S. If you get into the hobby, you will be
glad that
your scanner has trunking capabilities. Have fun.

Jim

420. F:S PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 16:47:37 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <u3h0288hp8vq48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54486

Hi there,
I am selling a Radio Shack Pro-2006 scanner,
400 channel base unit 25-1300 Mhz
The radio has the 800 Mhz mod and speed mod done,one problem that could be a very minor one is the BATT light flashes in the lcd display even though the battery has been replaced? So the keypad beep has been disabled.It could be the connection or the plug I am not sure as I am no tech?. Cosmetically the radio is in very good shape and functions perfectly. LCD Display is very bright as well. I am open to any offers above $225
Any questions please e-mail me.
Thanks-Russ

421. CircuitMaker 2000 now posted in alt.binaries.cd.image ($785.00)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 17:01:48 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <e3lj3u86r0jhgaqqur0sipiuk9rmddg6jd@4ax.com>
Source record: 54440

http://www.hvwtech.com/circuitmaker.htm

422. Monroe County, PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 17:07:13 UTC
From: nyclt422@aol.com
Message-ID: <8b113745.0201070907.332269e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54441

Can anyone help with PL codes for Monroe County PA, I have all the frequencies but no PL codes. Thanks

423. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 17:57:15 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <98oj3u4s5rq0ohmg88pqlglo8u7j97u5n2@4ax.com>
Source record: 54442
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 07 Jan 2002 13:27:07 GMT, Andy <y-o-y@home.com> wrote:

>1,100 memory channels in 10 banks. Why would anyone do that?

That looks like about the only thing that would *STOP* me from buying this radio. Sheesh. 1100 Channels. Storing that in only 10 banks just seems wasteful to me. Gotta have a lot more than that. 50 would be nice heheh

424. Re: Scanner news alert network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 17:58:09 UTC
From: 0880@iname.com
Message-ID: <700e61c7.0201070958.11065db0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54443

NINN is also Free and email based..

"b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
news:<a17i1ekfs2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Our network is an e-mail based system. Not everyone has a pager, or even
> want's one. No fees, no dispatchers, no having to submit for approval.
> Simple free e-mail based system. And if you are worried about Yahoo taking
> your personal information and passing it along, do like myself and lots of
> others do - put bogus info in your account.
>
> --
> /\/\|k.e
>
> Michael B. Martin
> mm505@mindspring.com
> webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com
>

425. The REAL Truth about Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 18:57:58 UTC
From: kajagoogoob@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <49990468.0201071057.4aa2e420@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54444

The REAL Truth about Radio Shack

http://home.earthlink.net/~johnriopel/truthaboutradioshach.html

426. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 19:02:42 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <mhm_7.21966$Sf2.209854@rwcrnsc52>
Source record: 54445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

AFRTS is the Armed Forces Radio and Television Service. It serves
the military community overseas & occasionally domestic.

--
Operator of the 443.575 MHz repeaters in Sioux City, Portland (OR), &
Seattle.

j?debert <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote
SNIP
> I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
> hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
> happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
> servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
> "AFRTS" before it faded.
>
> This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
> foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
> atop Mt San Bruno.
>
> Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>
> A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>
> Does anyone know?
>
> Is it classified?
>
> Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
>

427. Re: The REAL Truth about Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 20:32:50 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020107153250.10830.00004066@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 54446

>Subject: The REAL Truth about Radio Shack

>From: kajagoogoob@yahoo.com (a person)

>The REAL Truth about Radio Shack
>
>
>http://home.earthlink.net/~johnriopel/truthaboutradioshach.html
>

When I was 9 the only people who had to listen to my "comedy" tapes were my parents. This is progress?
Neutrodyne

428. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 21:21:06 UTC
From: Matthew Delaney <delaney@ucs.net>
Message-ID: <6jo_7.552$jq1.120295@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54297

PCS is around 1.9Ghz (1900 mhz). So it probably wouldn't be the greatest, unless you are listening to something up that way. But, if you have it already, there's always the fun way: Try it! :)

"michaeldr1" <fpsonline@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3c393d42_2@news.teranews.com...
> How well would a PCS antenna work with a scanner as a recieving antenna? Is
> the PCS band in the 800 - 900mhz range? Thanks for your info.
>
>

429. Opinions on scanners for purchase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 21:27:40 UTC
From: New guy <nvrl8tca@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DBo_7.5531$p04.1271280@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 54300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Assuming that all 3 of these scanners give me the capability that I am
looking for, I am looking for some opinions on the following 3 scanners:
Icom R2
Alinco DJ-X2
Alinco DJ-X10
Yupiteru MVT-7300
Any thoughts or comments regarding these would be appreciated.
Thanks

430. Re: scanners vs motorola radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 21:36:11 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020107163611.18564.00003610@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 54298

>Subject: scanners vs motorola radios
>Path:
>lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!fee
d.news.qwest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
>From: "Rob Taylor" fireboy001@hotmail.com
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Lines: 9
>X-Priority: 3
>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
>Message-ID: <4m2_7.70$BF5.91178@news.uswest.net>
>Date: Sun, 6 Jan 2002 13:21:45 -0700
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.100.225.17
>X-Trace: news.uswest.net 1010348544 65.100.225.17 (Sun, 06 Jan 2002 14:22:24
>CST)
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 Jan 2002 14:22:24 CST
>
>
>
>So why is it that when my scanner is right next to a Motorola MTS2000 radio,
>and the Motorola is a police radio, both hearing the same frequencies, same
>channel, the Motorola has always a better signal receiving quality than the
>scanner? I know the Motorola has a better speaker and sound quality than a
>scanner, and it picks up better too. Why?

Narrow bandpass filtering & narrowly tuned RF amps. The crystal handheld radios
from 30-40 years ago are more sensitive yet, because they would only cover a
2-4 Mhz wide chunk of low band or high band or 5 Mhz of UHF. A move from 151
Mhz to 156 Mhz would require a complete realignment on the older radios.
Neutrodyne

431. Re: F:S PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 21:42:03 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020107164203.18564.00003616@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 54299

>Subject: Re: F:S PRO-2006

>From: "Dave VanHorn" dvanhorn@cedar.net

>"R. Smith" <dccnet.com> wrote in message
>news:u3h0288hp8vq48@corp.supernews.com...
>> Hi there,
>> I am selling a Radio Shack Pro-2006 scanner,
>> 400 channel base unit 25-1300 Mhz
>> The radio has the 800 Mhz mod and speed mod done,one problem that could
>> be a very minor one is the BATT light flashes in the lcd display even
>> though the battery has been replaced? So the keypad beep has been
>> disabled.It could be the connection or the plug I am not sure as I am no
>> tech?. Cosmetically the radio is in very good shape and functions
>> perfectly. LCD Display is very bright as well. I am open to any offers
>> above $225
>> Any questions please e-mail me.
>> Thanks-Russ
>>
>
>I would prefer to get them from RS as they are discontinued, and selling at
><=$75
>
>--
>--
>Dave's Engineering Page: http://www.dvanhorn.org
>
>Got a need to read Bar codes? http://www.barcodechip.com
>Bi-directional read of UPC-A, UPC-E, EAN-8, EAN-13, JAN, and Bookland, with
>two or five digit supplemental codes, in an 8 pin chip, with NO external
>parts.

They stock those in the back room, right next to the surplus WWII Jeeps in
crates for $199.
Neutrodyne

432. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 21:58:40 UTC
From: Matthew Delaney <delaney@ucs.net>
Message-ID: <kSo_7.954$jq1.229911@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54301
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Andy" <y-o-y@home.com> wrote in message
news:Lmh_7.361534$5A3.137108309@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> 1,100 memory channels in 10 banks. Why would anyone do that?
That's the only part I agree with you on...10 banks seems wierd..Make it 20 or more at least.. Of course the 10 is convient because you can use the 0-9 keys for bank selection. Or at least let the user define which channels are in which banks (by range.. 1-25 in bank 1, 26-150 in 2, etc)

> Sell a renumbered bc780xlt and an add-on card??? That makes NO sense.
No, because not everyone needs the digital card...And I suspect that they have to pay a licensing fee per APCO-25 decoder (heard this, don't know if it's true), so that would be a fixed price min per decoder...why would people pay it if they don't need it (I have no need at all for digital right now). Also makes it easier to upgrade the digital part...They can sell a new board with new features (new protocols for example) without having to replace the whole scanner..Perhaps also this way it could be flashable? (Since they wouldn't have to worry about people messing with the locked out frequencies)

Matt

433. Re: What to do with a Motorola STX 821 radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 22:06:05 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <a1d78a$v6l$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54302

If, and before you "donate" it to the dumpster, I'll gladly pay the shipping
on it if you wish to dispose of it.

--
/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com
"Ed G." <Spurious@StarBand.net> wrote in message
news:Xns918EC2E973338Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76...
>
> .
> >> I found this radio in a box of "junk" my fried was throwing out. It
> >> seems to be programmed with dozens of south Florida
> >> police/fire/ambulance channels. Besides using the radio as a scanner,
> >> can someone please tell me what radio/scanner enthusiasts do with this
> >> radio. Since it doesn't seem to operate in a trunked mode, I would
> >> have little use for it as a scanner.
>
> That STX821 is an old trunking capable radio. For personnel use, it
would
> be useless to most people. It takes proprietary Motorola software and
> interface cable to program it. That keypad is for trunking group and
> channel changing. I'd recommend you donate it back to that dumpster....
> that's about all its good for.
>
>
> Ed

434. Re: Hoka Code 3 Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-07 23:20:53 UTC
From: eb <swl@io.dk>
Message-ID: <3abk3u8rdg2h8the5i3elrifppv810944u@4ax.com>
Source record: 54303

On Mon, 7 Jan 2002 13:13:05 -0000, "dwabbit" <shadow.tek@ntlworld.com>
wrote:

>u1 tl074,u2 hcf4011,u3 hcf4046,u4ne567,u5 adc0804cn
>u6 hcf4060,u7 74150,u8 hcf4040,u9 lm79l05,u10 lm7805

THANKS !
Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
Stephan ---- 56.1670N, 9.5670E - Denmark.

435. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 00:12:05 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3c3a3c44$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 54304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That IS FUNNY Phil, and since it is The State's electronics store, they probably won't go out of business. Just think of the GREAT places it (supposedly) put out of biz: Allied, Lafayette, Olsen, and whoever else. In a communist country, there is 1 electronics part store (RATS Shack).
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
"KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:a1cetcfuu1@pita.alt.net...
>
> "phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
> news:u3hq4mei2iti02@corp.supernews.com...
> > i had a premonition that by 2010 Radio Shack will stop selling radios
and
> > rename itself as 'Do You Want a Cellphone Shack'. 'You've got questions,
> > We've got a cellphone so you can call someone with the answers.'
> >
> > phil :p
>
> Radio Shack will probably be out of business before that.
>
>

436. Berrien County Michigan Codes & Signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 00:13:45 UTC
From: 813 779 <sandiemac@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZQq_7.11962$Vz3.1311927@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54305
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
================================================================================
==================

BERRIEN COUNTY MICHIGAN VENUE CODES

THESE ARE THE FIRST TWO DIGITS OF THE FOUR DIGIT UNIT AND STATION CALL
NUMBERS USED BY POLICE, FIRE, AND AMBULANCE DISPATCHED BY BERRIEN COUNTY
SHERIFF.

01 BAINBRIDGE TWP.
02 BARODA TWP.
03 BENTON TWP.
04 BERRIEN TWP.
05 BERTRAND TWP.
06 BUCHANAN TWP.
07 CHIKAMING TWP.
08 COLOMA TWP.
09 GALIEN TWP.
10 HAGER TWP.
11 LAKE TWP.
12 LINCOLN TWP.
13 NEW BUFFALO TWP.
14 NILES TWP.
15 ORONOKO TWP.
16 PIPESTONE TWP.
17 ROYALTON TWP.
18 ST. JOSEPH TWP.
19 SODUS TWP.
20 THREE OAKS TWP.
21 WATERVLIET TWP.
22 WEESAW TWP.
29 BARODA
30 EAU CLAIRE
31 GALIEN
32 GRAND BEACH
33 MICHIANA
34 SHOREHAM
39 BERRIEN SPRINGS
40 BRIDGMAN
41 COLOMA
42 STEVENSVILLE
43 THREE OAKS
44 WATERVLIET
46 BUCHANAN
```

47 NEW BUFFALO
65 MEDIC 1 NAPIER
66 BENTON HARBOR
67 NILES
68 ST. JOSEPH
97 INVESTIGATIVE, NARC
98 JAIL
99 ROAD PATROL, MARINE, SWAT

==========
===
BERRIEN COUNTY MICHIGAN

CODES
10-CODES BCSD SIGNALS

10-1 CAN'T COPY CHANGE LOCATION 1 RAISE DOOR
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD 2 CLOSE DOOR
10-3 STOP TRANSMITTING 3 TURNKEY NEEDED
10-4 AFFIRMATIVE 30 NO WANTS
10-5 RELAY 31 WANTED (MISD)
10-6 BUSY, UNLESS URGENT 32 WANTED (FELONY)
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE 32X WANTED (FELONY,ARMED
10-8 IN SERVICE ,DANGEROUS)
10-9 REPEAT
10-10 OUT OF UNIT, AVAILABLE
10-11 MINOR FIRE
10-12 MAJOR FIRE BHPD CODES
10-13 ROAD-WEATHER REPORT
10-14 RADIO CHECK 1 GOA
10-15 PRISONER IN CUSTODY 2 NO POLICE
10-19 RETURN TO .... 3 FALSE ALARM
10-20 LOCATION 4 ASSISTANCE
10-21 TELEPHONE 5 TRAFFIC TICKET ISSUED
10-22 DISREGARD 6 TRAFFIC CLEAR
10-23 ARRIVED AT SCENE SIGNAL FUNCTIONING
10-24 ASSIGNMENT COMPLETED 7 TRANSFER COMPLETE
10-25 MEET IN PERSON 8 TRAFFIC STOP NO TICKET
10-27 DRIVER STATUS CHECK
10-28 REGISTRATION CHECK
10-29 WANTED CHECK
10-30 ILLEGAL USE OF RADIO MEDIC 1 AMBULANCE CODES
10-33 EMERGENCY
10-36 CORRECT TIME 1 NO LIGHTS OR SIREN
10-42 HOME 2 LIGHTS ONLY
10-50 ACCIDENT PD,PI,K 3 LIGHTS AND SIREN
10-51 WRECKER 4 DISREGARD
10-52 AMBULANCE 5 FATAL OR UNITS TIED UP
10-55 DRUNK DRIVER 6 INTER-HOSPITAL TRANSFER
10-59 ESCORT
10-70 FIRE ALARM

10-71 INTRUSION ALARM
10-72 HOLD-UP ALARM
10-73 TROUBLE AT JAIL
10-74 NEGATIVE
10-76 ENROUTE
10-77 ETA
10-91 UNNECESSARY USE OF RADIO
10-93 BLOCKADE
10-96 MENTAL SUBJECT
10-97 OPEN DOOR OR WINDOW
10-98 SUBJECT PRESENT
10-99 SHOTS BEING FIRED

437. More on Uniden's Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 00:16:26 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <uTq_7.23160$B61.8878303@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 54306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

More information about Uniden's forthcoming ("4th quarter of 2002")
digital-capable scanners has just been added Monday afternoon to my page at
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/uniden-digital.htm

harry

438. Brownsville TN Freq list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 00:41:43 UTC
From: 813 779 <sandiemac@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bfr_7.12021$Vz3.1316964@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
FREQUENCY DESCRIPTION

30.8400 H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
31.0400 BAGGETT LARRY
31.2400 H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
31.6000 LONON GLENN
33.1600 H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
33.4000 H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
35.0200 H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
43.0000 BAIRD JR WALTERBAIRD JAMES F DBA BAIRD HEATING AIR
CONDITIONING
45.3600 HAYWOOD COUNTY OF
150.9500 BE ELECTRONICS INC; UNION GIN COMPANY INC
151.0400 HAYWOOD COUNTY OF
151.4900 TURNER PETER M
151.5950 TRITT M ETRITT M W DBA TRITT SON
151.8350 ECONOMY STORES INC; H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
151.8650 LITTLEJOHN DAVID
152.3000 BROWNSVILLE EQ CO INC
152.3300 21ST CENTURY WIRELESS GROUP INC; TRICOUNTY FLYING SERVICE
152.4650 HOOD FARMS
152.8850 HOOPER OIL COMPANY INC
152.9750 GOREMAN JOHN P
152.9900 HUTCHERSON J P
153.0950 HUGHES JR HARRIS
153.1250 PALCO ENTERPRISES INC; LASCO
153.1550 BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
153.3350 LONON TRAVIS GLONON JR TRAVIS G DBA TRAVIS G LONON SONS
153.5000 BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
153.5900 SOUTHWEST TENNESSEE ELEC MEMBERSHIP CORP
154.4900 COULSTON DAVID C DBA D C CONSTRUCTION TRUCKING INC
154.5150 HERRON GAYLON; H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
154.5400 ZION GIN COMPANY; H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
154.5700 TOMKINS INDUSTRIES LASCO FLUID DISTRIBUTION PRODUCTS;
H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
154.6000 H M ELECTRONICS INC; PIZZA HUT
155.2050 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
155.2650 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
155.3400 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
155.3700 BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
157.6350 TURNER TIM DBA NUTBUSH GIN CO
158.3100 LONON TRAVIS GLONON JR TRAVIS G DBA TRAVIS G LONON SONS
158.3700 HUGHES JR HARRIS
```

158.3850 HUGHES JR ALFRED H
159.1200 HAYWOOD COUNTY OF
159.6225 B E ELECTRONICS INC; JAMESON FARMS INC
160.2000 CURLIN FARMS
160.7550 ENGLISH ROBERT M
451.4250 PALCO ENTERPRISES INC; LASCO
451.5250 SOUTHWEST TENNESSEE E M C
451.5750 SOUTHWEST TENNESSEE E M C
451.8500 PERRY ANDREW L
451.9000 ROBISON E L
452.0250 HENDRIX JESSEHENDRIX JR JESSE DBA HENDRIX SON
452.1250 B T REDIMIX INC
452.1500 MCDERMOTT WILL EMERY; CINERGY CAPITAL AND TRADING INC
453.2500 APCO TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES; BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
453.3125 BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
453.6250 HAYWOOD COUNTY OF
453.8750 BROWNSVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY
456.4250 PALCO ENTERPRISES INC; LASCO
456.5250 SOUTHWEST TENNESSEE E M C
456.5750 SOUTHWEST TENNESSEE E M C
456.8500 PERRY ANDREW L
456.9000 ROBISON E L
457.1250 B T REDIMIX INC
458.2500 APCO TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES; BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
458.3125 BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
458.6250 HAYWOOD COUNTY OF
458.8750 BROWNSVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY
460.4000 BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
460.4750 BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
460.7500 HUGHES WAREHOUSE
460.9125 Business Radio Licensing; BOYD JR B P
461.0250 JETT TV INC
461.8750 CUB CADET
462.1000 MCDERMOTT WILL EMERY; CINERGY CAPITAL AND TRADING INC
462.2250 B E ELECTRONICS INC; HAYWOOD COMPANY
462.2500 CUB CADET CORPORATION
462.3000 CUB CADET CORPORATION
462.3500 CUB CADET CORPORATION
462.3750 CUB CADET CORPORATION
462.5000 CUB CADET CORPORATION
463.0000 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
463.0250 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
463.0500 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
463.0750 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
463.1000 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
463.1250 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
463.1500 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
463.1750 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
463.3000 B E ELECTRONICS INC; HAYWOOD COMPANY
464.1000 WILLIAMS SUPPLY COMPANY

464.3250 B E ELECTRONICS INC; HAYWOOD COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION
464.4750 ELLINGTON RAY
465.4000 BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
465.4750 BROWNSVILLE CITY OF
465.7500 HUGHES WAREHOUSE
466.0250 JETT TV INC
466.8750 CUB CADET
467.2250 CUB CADET CORPORATION
467.2500 CUB CADET CORPORATION
467.3000 CUB CADET CORPORATION
467.3500 CUB CADET CORPORATION
467.4000 CUB CADET CORPORATION
467.5000 CUB CADET CORPORATION
467.8500 B E ELECTRONICS INC; STACKPOLE LIMITED U S A; HAYWOOD COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION
468.0000 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
468.0250 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
468.0500 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
468.0750 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
468.1000 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
468.1250 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
468.1500 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
468.1750 HAYWOOD COUNTY AMBULANCE AUTHORITY
468.3000 B E ELECTRONICS INC; HAYWOOD COMPANY
469.1000 WILLIAMS SUPPLY COMPANY
469.4750 ELLINGTON RAY

439. FA: Maxrad 900 MHz 3dB Base Station Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 01:06:01 UTC
From: pmik@merr.com
Message-ID: <3C3A4618.4C5505CE@merr.com>
Source record: 54308

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1317862880

440. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 01:30:27 UTC
From: resomond trailarities <yutwerte@gexccveis.com>
Message-ID: <3C3A4BD5.611BD1EC@gexccveis.com>
Source record: 54309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Power House wrote:

> Anyone come across any pictures of these new units?

Yeah, they put up pictures on their website back on March 03, 2002. You must have missed it.

Hey, tomorrow is the 8th! That Press release should be out tomorrow if those time traveling fortune tellers were right!

441. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 01:32:13 UTC
From: resomond trailarities <yutwerte@gexccveis.com>
Message-ID: <3C3A4C40.6D24C5D4@gexccveis.com>
Source record: 54310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> > Press Release
> >
> > Date: 1/8/02
> >
> > Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
> Cute!!!
>
> How did you get a press release for a day in the future?

Thank god SOMEBODY ELSE got the joke! This was a bit early for April
Fools, but I guess some people just can't wait.

442. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner - NOT A JOKE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 01:54:57 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Rjs_7.238743$WW.12888344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is NOT a joke. Go to www.cesweb.org, search for Uniden, scroll down and you will see two links for "UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS".

I tried to click on either of them but both resulted in a page error.

But it IS THERE on the web site saying they are making "base and handheld units slated for distribution in late 2002."

resomond trailarities <yutwerte@gexccveis.com> wrote in message news:3C3A4C40.6D24C5D4@gexccveis.com...
> > > Press Release
> > >
> > > Date: 1/8/02
> > >
> > > Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
> > Cute!!!
> >
> > How did you get a press release for a day in the future?
>
> Thank god SOMEBODY ELSE got the joke! This was a bit early for April
> Fools, but I guess some people just can't wait.

443. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 01:55:56 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <98kk3ucsc4662vkmv0g1s7027ha6f58u7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 54312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

While nibbling on last years fruitcake, "Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com>
grunted out

>That IS FUNNY Phil, and since it is The State's electronics store, they
>probably won't go out of business. Just think of the GREAT places it
>(supposedly) put out of biz: Allied, Lafayette, Olsen, and whoever else.

Olsen was a junk store. Lafayette put themselves out of business
by focusing on CBs, bad locations, and expansion too late in the game.

Allied is still in business, but mail order only. AND owned by RS.

There are PLENTY of other electronics stores, at least in most places.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

444. NEED DISPLAY FOR PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 02:07:36 UTC
From: JohnS <w6ibl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lvs_7.12259$Vz3.1340316@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54313

Does anyone know where I can get a new display unit for my PRO-2005? Mine is so dim I can barely see it.

Many thanks.

John Strand w6ibl@earthlink.net

445. Re: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 02:32:26 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <_Ss_7.2293$CO6.656231@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 54314
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

Speaker to Animals <NOT-REAL!@nowhere.org> wrote in misc.survivalism...:
: It is always handy to be able to monitor the police and fire department
: communications. Even in the best of times, where the onlyu threat is
severe
: weather (ie tornados) the police will lie to the media. It is nice to be
: able to listen in to thier dispatch to car comms. With the advent of
digital
: comms, it is next to impossible for the average guy to listen.
: While the minions of the law can always go scrambled, most departments
: lack the smarts to do so with any degree of success. Most "public safety"
: comms will go digital over the next few years. Monitoring thier comms has
: proved real handy over the years.
: But, since you know it all, why no go FOAD.
:

True but they do not understand.

446. FA: ICOM R8500 + Yaesu VR-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 02:41:00 UTC
From: Dean <nospam_singleshare@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0%s_7.363$ds.41726@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

VR-5000:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1319490356

R8500:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1319524931

please remove nospam_ from my email address to email me.

Thanks,
Dean

447. ACARS Freqs for DFW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 03:03:07 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <SeeSig@Below.com>
Message-ID: <Ljt_7.24980$Sf2.228976@rwcrnsc52>
Source record: 54316

Anyone know what freqs are best for ACARS in the area of DFW?

I've been searching 130 - 132 mHz and not heard any digital.

Thanks
--
**
** You know what to do to fix this email address: CPRitter-attbi-com
**

448. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 03:03:20 UTC
From: Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
Message-ID: <u3kobp9slidkcb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Had one at Clark AB, RP and CCK, Taiwan

"KS&#65533;F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote in message
news:mhm_7.21966$Sf2.209854@rwcrnsc52...
> AFRTS is the Armed Forces Radio and Television Service. It serves
> the military community overseas & occasionally domestic.
>
> --
> Operator of the 443.575 MHz repeaters in Sioux City, Portland (OR), &
> Seattle.
>
> j?debert <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote
> SNIP
> > I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
> > hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
> > happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
> > servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
> > "AFRTS" before it faded.
> >
> > This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
> > foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
> > atop Mt San Bruno.
> >
> > Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
> >
> > A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> > KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about
it.
> >
> > Does anyone know?
> >
> > Is it classified?
> >
> > Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
> >
>
>

449. Re: ACARS Freqs for DFW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 04:34:32 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020107233432.00677.00000252@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 54318

LOOK AT THE FCC

450. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 05:37:49 UTC
From: nextel1@engineer.com
Message-ID: <71b5f28b.0201072137.28913ebe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's No Joke Look at the CES show website. We just got it a few days early

resomond trailarities <yutwerte@gexccveis.com> wrote in message
news:<3C3A4C40.6D24C5D4@gexccveis.com>...
> > > Press Release
> > >
> > > Date: 1/8/02
> > >
> > > Title: UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS
> > Cute!!!
> >
> > How did you get a press release for a day in the future?
>
> Thank god SOMEBODY ELSE got the joke! This was a bit early for April
> Fools, but I guess some people just can't wait.

451. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 07:21:41 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <V2x_7.17$L94.8292@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54320

You know, I agree with you completely. These laws were industry-driven, so that the manufacturers could tell the public "your calls are private", ha-ha! However, I'm not discussing the practical aspects here, just pointing out that, in fact, there is a law that makes it illegal for somebody to monitor cordless phones. Presumably, once armed with this information, the savvy scannerist will make sure that his activities do not come under public scrutiny. Those that persist in telling all about the phone calls the neighbors are making will eventually run up against somebody with a sharp lawyer. Just think of it as evolution in action.

Mark

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:vndj3ugm5f41ff5g5qim25195k8pcuv0uf@4ax.com...
> Then what's this labeling requirement the FCC expects all
> manufacturers to put on cordless phones?
>
> See 47CFR15.214(c)
>
> That's currently on the books too!
>
> /* IANAL */
>
> As I see it, the legislation may be real. However, it's not just
> unenforceable, knowing if a violation has occurred is nearly
> impossible without a degree of surveillance that befuddles my
> imagination. A cordless phone that operates on the same channels as
> baby monitors, kiddie walkie-talkies, wireless intercoms and so forth
> should have *NO* expectation of privacy. I see no way of keeping it
> from being monitored, whether accidentally or deliberately.
>
> We CAN regulate and legislate what one does with this information.
> But that's as far as anyone can take this in to the practical world.
>
> I don't disagree with your research that such laws exist, but I fail
> to see how they can be practically applied to anyone. I choose to
> ignore such foolishness where it clearly contradicts itself.
>
> 73,
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"
>
> On Mon, 7 Jan 2002 03:00:50 -0600, "M. Schneider"
> <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>
> >Actually cordless phones ARE illegal. The exemption you refer to has
been
> >removed. When checking this out several months ago, I found that some
> >websites still had the previous version of the law, which listed the
> >cordless phone exemption. I found the updated law at the Cornell
University
> >Legal Information Institute website. Here is a portion of that previous
> >post:
> >
> >
> >http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/ch119.html
> <snip>
>

452. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 08:00:50 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <SGx_7.4090$IP.1839@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 54321

I get good results using a Radio Shack cell phone antenna, such as you would have seen some years ago on the "bag phones". They are discontinued and can be had for about $5. You'll need to add a TNC to BNC adapter (less than $4). They have a hinged joint and are usable on either desktop or handheld scanners.

michaeldr1 <fpsonline@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3c393d42_2@news.teranews.com...
> How well would a PCS antenna work with a scanner as a recieving antenna? Is
> the PCS band in the 800 - 900mhz range? Thanks for your info.
>
>

453. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 08:54:53 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C3AB3E4.C28FFB2C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54322

"M. Schneider" wrote:
>
> You know, I agree with you completely. These laws were industry-driven, so
> that the manufacturers could tell the public "your calls are private",
> ha-ha! However, I'm not discussing the practical aspects here, just
> pointing out that, in fact, there is a law that makes it illegal for
> somebody to monitor cordless phones. Presumably, once armed with this
> information, the savvy scannerist will make sure that his activities do not
> come under public scrutiny. Those that persist in telling all about the
> phone calls the neighbors are making will eventually run up against somebody
> with a sharp lawyer. Just think of it as evolution in action.
>

Several years ago, when the most recent round of ECPA revisions
was being drafted, there was a flurry of stories on local news about
scanners, scannists, listening to Cell and Cordless phones, and the
'horrific invasion of personal privacy caused by these criminals.'
Almost in unison these words came out of the mouths of reporters on
three television stations.

What was interesting, is that they quoted posts from this
newsgroup, and alt.radio.scanner in which members posted inquiries
for mods for radios that were cell blocked, and how to monitor DSS
cordless phones by forcing a handset into the 'test mode.'

They then went to the homes of some local scannists and grilled
them about their nefarious hobbies, and equated them to the
criminals who use scanners to evade police dragnets, and commit
heinous crimes.

Which led to a public hew and cry, locally, for restrictive laws
against and a call for confiscation of scanners in this area. Some
laws were enacted penalizing scannists for certian types of
monitoring in the city, and layed out the guidelines whereby
scanners may be confiscated.

I'm not suggesting that we cease discussion here, but I am
cautioning the need for some well thought out discretion.

The point of this post is that it was comments made HERE, not
privately to third parties, that did the most damage in bringing
scanning under media scrutiny. Yes, it IS illegal to monitor
cordless phones and if you tell someone you might end up in jail.
But the endless thread of posts announcing that one scannist or
another is going to defy the law and listen anyway, justified by
whatever twist of logic he may apply, is doing more to tighten the

legal noose around the hobby, that the actual practice of monitoring.

Put another way....if you don't like the way the laws are going regarding this hobby, don't post on a worldwide forum your intent to find loopholes in them.

Now, be clear on this point...We need not cower in the shadows to practice our hobby....but it is foolhardy to publicly announce one's intent to flout the law. This, especially during these troubled times, becomes a lightning rod to attract the attention of legislators eager to make a name for themselves, at the expense of our rights and freedoms.

These newsgroups are watched by those who already see us as a threat to their security. And as short sighted and ill informed as these people may be, they do carry huge clout when it comes to exercising their whims and curtailing our access to frequencies, content and otherwise public materials on the airwaves.

Remember, it's not reality that's at the heart of this kind of legislation, it's the fear generated by those seeking control.

And if one round of restrictive legislation doesn't do the trick, then they WILL enact another, as the latest revisions of ECPA will attest.

So, discretion here, fellow enthusiasts. Discretion. Don't discuss the unenforceability of the law....no matter how true that may be. Don't discuss the ease with which some receivers may be modded. No matter how true that may be. And don't discuss the ethics of frequencies that pass through your property, and having access to them. It's precisely THOSE posts quoted and displayed on screen during the media treatment of this hobby, when the ECPA revisions were being debated.

Not just here...but in major cities across the nation. Make no mistake, the hobby IS under attack, and they are using our own words, our own excercise of our legal rights and freedoms against us.

Under such circumstances, it's best to stay off the radar screen and avoid the kind of content contained in this thread.

Again, I'm not suggesting silence. But discretion. Well considered discretion. In this case rebellion will definitely work in the enemy's favor.

p

> Mark
>
> "Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:vndj3ugm5f41ff5g5qim25195k8pcuv0uf@4ax.com...

> > Then what's this labeling requirement the FCC expects all
> > manufacturers to put on cordless phones?
> >
> > See 47CFR15.214(c)
> >
> > That's currently on the books too!
> >
> > /* IANAL */
> >
> > As I see it, the legislation may be real. However, it's not just
> > unenforceable, knowing if a violation has occurred is nearly
> > impossible without a degree of surveillance that befuddles my
> > imagination. A cordless phone that operates on the same channels as
> > baby monitors, kiddie walkie-talkies, wireless intercoms and so forth
> > should have *NO* expectation of privacy. I see no way of keeping it
> > from being monitored, whether accidentally or deliberately.
> >
> > We CAN regulate and legislate what one does with this information.
> > But that's as far as anyone can take this in to the practical world.
> >
> > I don't disagree with your research that such laws exist, but I fail
> > to see how they can be practically applied to anyone. I choose to
> > ignore such foolishness where it clearly contradicts itself.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> > "Beware of the massive impossible!"
> >
> > On Mon, 7 Jan 2002 03:00:50 -0600, "M. Schneider"
> > <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Actually cordless phones ARE illegal. The exemption you refer to has
> been
> > >removed. When checking this out several months ago, I found that some
> > >websites still had the previous version of the law, which listed the
> > >cordless phone exemption. I found the updated law at the Cornell
> University
> > >Legal Information Institute website. Here is a portion of that previous
> > >post:
> > >
> > >
> > >http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/ch119.html
> > <snip>
> >

454. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 09:55:48 UTC
From: AV <av@sover.net>
Message-ID: <3C3AC224.136@sover.net>
Source record: 54255

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> They can legislate until there are no trees left to print all the laws
> they can write --it won't make a bit of difference! A naked emperor
> is still a naked emperor no matter how many laws we enact saying he
> has clothes on.
>

...and you can say all you want that the government, whatever it does,
is illegitimate, but that doesn't make you any better dressed.

And "demagogs" is spelt "demagogues" but that would be picky.

av

455. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 11:44:48 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <QYA_7.23495$B61.9193394@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 54323

VERY well put, Peter. I'm gonna print your post out and frame it.
Brilliant. Unfortunately you're right on target

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

"Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3C3AB3E4.C28FFB2C@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> "M. Schneider" wrote:
> >
> > You know, I agree with you completely. These laws were industry-driven,
so
> > that the manufacturers could tell the public "your calls are private",
> > ha-ha! However, I'm not discussing the practical aspects here, just
> > pointing out that, in fact, there is a law that makes it illegal for
> > somebody to monitor cordless phones. Presumably, once armed with this
> > information, the savvy scannerist will make sure that his activities do
not
> > come under public scrutiny. Those that persist in telling all about the
> > phone calls the neighbors are making will eventually run up against
somebody
> > with a sharp lawyer. Just think of it as evolution in action.
> >
>
> Several years ago, when the most recent round of ECPA revisions
> was being drafted, there was a flurry of stories on local news about
> scanners, scannists, listening to Cell and Cordless phones, and the
> 'horrific invasion of personal privacy caused by these criminals.'
> Almost in unison these words came out of the mouths of reporters on
> three television stations.
>
> What was interesting, is that they quoted posts from this
> newsgroup, and alt.radio.scanner in which members posted inquiries
> for mods for radios that were cell blocked, and how to monitor DSS
> cordless phones by forcing a handset into the 'test mode.'
>
> They then went to the homes of some local scannists and grilled
> them about their nefarious hobbies, and equated them to the
> criminals who use scanners to evade police dragnets, and commit
> heinous crimes.
>

> Which led to a public hew and cry, locally, for restrictive laws
> against and a call for confiscation of scanners in this area. Some
> laws were enacted penalizing scannists for certian types of
> monitoring in the city, and layed out the guidelines whereby
> scanners may be confiscated.
>
> I'm not suggesting that we cease discussion here, but I am
> cautioning the need for some well thought out discretion.
>
> The point of this post is that it was comments made HERE, not
> privately to third parties, that did the most damage in bringing
> scanning under media scrutiny. Yes, it IS illegal to monitor
> cordless phones and if you tell someone you might end up in jail.
> But the endless thread of posts announcing that one scannist or
> another is going to defy the law and listen anyway, justified by
> whatever twist of logic he may apply, is doing more to tighten the
> legal noose around the hobby, that the actual practice of
> monitoring.
>
> Put another way....if you don't like the way the laws are going
> regarding this hobby, don't post on a worldwide forum your intent to
> find loopholes in them.
>
> Now, be clear on this point...We need not cower in the shadows to
> practice our hobby....but it is foolhardy to publicly announce one's
> intent to flout the law. This, especially during these troubled
> times, becomes a lightning rod to attract the attention of
> legislators eager to make a name for themselves, at the expense of
> our rights and freedoms.
>
> These newsgroups are watched by those who already see us as a
> threat to their security. And as short sighted and ill informed as
> these people may be, they do carry huge clout when it comes to
> exercising their whims and curtailing our access to frequencies,
> content and otherwise public materials on the airwaves.
>
> Remember, it's not reality that's at the heart of this kind of
> legislation, it's the fear generated by those seeking control.
>
> And if one round of restrictive legislation doesn't do the trick,
> then they WILL enact another, as the latest revisions of ECPA will
> attest.
>
> So, discretion here, fellow enthusiasts. Discretion. Don't discuss
> the unenforceability of the law....no matter how true that may be.
> Don't discuss the ease with which some receivers may be modded. No
> matter how true that may be. And don't discuss the ethics of
> frequencies that pass through your property, and having access to
> them. It's precisely THOSE posts quoted and displayed on screen
> during the media treatment of this hobby, when the ECPA revisions

> were being debated.
>
> Not just here...but in major cities across the nation. Make no
> mistake, the hobby IS under attack, and they are using our own
> words, our own excercise of our legal rights and freedoms against
> us.
>
> Under such circumstances, it's best to stay off the radar screen
> and avoid the kind of content contained in this thread.
>
> Again, I'm not suggesting silence. But discretion. Well considered
> discretion. In this case rebellion will definitely work in the
> enemy's favor.
>
>
>
> p
>
>
>
> > Mark
> >
> > "Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
> > news:vndj3ugm5f41ff5g5qim25195k8pcuv0uf@4ax.com...
> > > Then what's this labeling requirement the FCC expects all
> > > manufacturers to put on cordless phones?
> > >
> > > See 47CFR15.214(c)
> > >
> > > That's currently on the books too!
> > >
> > > /* IANAL */
> > >
> > > As I see it, the legislation may be real. However, it's not just
> > > unenforceable, knowing if a violation has occurred is nearly
> > > impossible without a degree of surveillance that befuddles my
> > > imagination. A cordless phone that operates on the same channels as
> > > baby monitors, kiddie walkie-talkies, wireless intercoms and so forth
> > > should have *NO* expectation of privacy. I see no way of keeping it
> > > from being monitored, whether accidentally or deliberately.
> > >
> > > We CAN regulate and legislate what one does with this information.
> > > But that's as far as anyone can take this in to the practical world.
> > >
> > > I don't disagree with your research that such laws exist, but I fail
> > > to see how they can be practically applied to anyone. I choose to
> > > ignore such foolishness where it clearly contradicts itself.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >

> > > Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> > > "Beware of the massive impossible!"
> > >
> > > On Mon, 7 Jan 2002 03:00:50 -0600, "M. Schneider"
> > > <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Actually cordless phones ARE illegal. The exemption you refer to has
> > been
> > > >removed. When checking this out several months ago, I found that
some
> > > >websites still had the previous version of the law, which listed the
> > > >cordless phone exemption. I found the updated law at the Cornell
> > University
> > > >Legal Information Institute website. Here is a portion of that
previous
> > > >post:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/ch119.html
> > > <snip>
> > >

456. Re: Winradio 1550e or 1550i question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 12:57:30 UTC
From: befeleme@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <3c3aea3f.12485143@news-server>
Source record: 54324

On Sat, 05 Jan 2002 02:49:53 GMT, "Tricia Anton"
<tricia.anton@shaw.ca> wrote:

>In the most recent issue of QST the review of the Winradio 1550 indicated
>that the radio would go up to 1.6 Ghz as opposed to the published specs of
>1.5 Ghz. I'd appreciate if any Winradio owners could confirm this stretch
>in frequency response. I'd like to get one and would get seriously excited
>if it would cover between 1.5 and 1.6.
>
>thanks
>Chris Anton
>
>

Yes, I have WinRadio 1550e and can confirm that it goes to 1.6 GHz.

The published factory specs say only 1500 MHz. So I querried it with
WinRadio and they said that they extended the frequency because many
users asked for it and the receiver hardware was capable of it, so
they made it standard in the software. (But they did not change the
specs? Sounds weird?)

But anyway, I do love the radio. The QST review is good but still does
not do it justice.

Hope this helps,
Frank

457. Re: Aor3000a VS Icom PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 13:10:25 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <5dC_7.5719$N77.46655@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 54325

OK ill stick to the AOR then. Thanks for the replies!

"Rich Wells, N2MCA" <usenet@strongsignals.net> skrev i melding
news:d92699f9.0201050337.4d576c03@posting.google.com...
> "Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@home.com> wrote in message
> > In my opinion it would be a downgrade.
>
> I agree, Jeff. While the PCR1000 is a nice receiver and can do some
> pretty nifty things when used with Pete Mahy's TalkPCR program, it
> is surely not in the same receiver class as the AR3000A. If one is
> willing to give up some performance for the sake of additional
> capabilities via computer control, then that's another story (and
> then you'd have to compare the PCR1000 software offerings against
> those available for the AR3000A to see where the benefits are).
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

458. Decoding packet bursts.. please help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 13:10:39 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <jdC_7.5720$N77.46535@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 54326

Can someone point me to a PC software decoder that works properly?... I have been downloading several programs but none of them are working..

I would like a program thats easy to understand because im no expert at this hobby..

Thanks!

KAT

459. speech inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 13:44:47 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020108084447.23564.00000506@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54327

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
constituting the speech inversion

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

460. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 14:13:16 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C3AFEBF.AE38461B@gte.net>
Source record: 54329
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

```
>
> >Who gives a shit, sparky?
>
> We want to keep our radios just
> as we want to keep our firearms
>
> If we lose one right,
> then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
>
> It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
> You wouldn't understand.

And the ban on having scanners that can
receive cellular is much like the assault
rifle ban.
```

461. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 14:16:42 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brandad@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <a1ev0c$a9h$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>
Source record: 54328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Skip on rabbit ears is no big trick.. I have done it lots of times. In fact, the first skip I ever picked up was on rabbit ears. I was watching a movie one night on the local (Portland) channel 2, 25 miles away, over a hill, and suddenly I found myself watching CKCK channel 2 in Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada.. when the conditions are right for VHF ducting or e-skip, you don't need a fancy antenna...

"redrum" <nada@na.da> wrote in message
news:u3huqeaqpeff31@corp.supernews.com...
> In article <fd93eec9.0201060913.646f49ab@posting.google.com>,
> GoToWebsite@aol.com says...
> >
> >j debert <jdebert@garlic.com> wrote in message
> news:<3C375F93.5070605@garlic.com>...
> >> Your Friend Bill wrote:
> >>
> >> It just occurred to me that this might be the best area for skip.
> >>
> >> I've been around other places but have not heard as much on HF and
> have
> >> never seen TV skip elsewhere. And I've heard of no one else
> >> encountering it either. KTVU said that no one else had called
> >> them about it.
> >
> >I lived in Sacramento for 22+ years and would get skip on channel 3
> >from other states that totally wiped out our LOCAL channel 3 IF I
> >turned my tv antenna towards the skip. I was born and raised in
> >Fresno and would get skip often on channels 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, from
> >North Dakota, South Dakota, Midland Texas, Oklahoma, Mexico (the
> >country), Canada, and several other places. Even can get a GOOD
> >signal from skip using rabbit ears here in Fresno.
>
> I grew up in Roseville and never had anything like that happen. The
> farthest station I got was channel 8 from Salinas (KSBW) ONCE. Now
> KBTV-LP is on 8 here. I want to know what you did to get skip on rabbit
> ears.
> >
> >This area is also covered with low-powered stations. Using an outdoor
> >antenna, these signals come in from many miles away. I have had
> >stations tell me that I shouldn't be able to pick up their signal even
> >though I get it snow free.
> >
> >While living in Elk Grove (just south of Sacramento), I picked up a

> >NEW channel - channel 50 from Santa Rosa - their test pattern, and it
> >had their phone number on it. I phoned and spoke to the engineer. He
> >told me that I could NOT be receiving the station because they were
> >NOT on the air! I read the test pattern to him. He couldn't
> >understand how I could be picking it up so he asked for my phone
> >number. He phoned back a while later and said that I was picking up
> >the signal from Santa Rosa, California, OFF THEIR DUMMY LOAD. They
> >weren't even on the air and I was watching it from about 60 or so
> >miles away!
>
> Bad engineering or ZOG conspiracy? You decide. :)
>

462. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 14:18:55 UTC
From: Robert Frenchu <robert_frenchu@ya_take-out_hoo.com>
Message-ID: <tsvl3ucudmacrcr7s77076s9ls7b0i4n3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 54330
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

> Gary Condit Sucks <Gary_Condit_SUCKS@gary.condit.sucks.com> wrote
<kmmk3ugoqrra8ts160dkgfig9e98q9qgg7@4ax.com> in talk.politics.guns. :

>Robert Frenchu wrote:
>
>>Who gives a shit, sparky?
>
>
>We want to keep our radios just
>as we want to keep our firearms
>
>If we lose one right,
>then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
>
>It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
>You wouldn't understand.

Since this is talk.politics.guns, we give a shit- we just don't give a
shit *here.*

You wouldn't understand.

463. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 14:24:46 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <m4vl3uk2sfgu2aeq71ctq4po1fvkv9nmvh@4ax.com>
Source record: 54331

On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 08:54:53 GMT, Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> I'm not suggesting that we cease discussion here, but I am
>cautioning the need for some well thought out discretion.

Peter, I respect your views. However when the emperor is strutting
around with no clothes on, it's pretty hard to say ANYTHING without
being "indiscrete."

> These newsgroups are watched by those who already see us as a
>threat to their security. And as short sighted and ill informed as
>these people may be, they do carry huge clout when it comes to
>exercising their whims and curtailing our access to frequencies,
>content and otherwise public materials on the airwaves.

And I do my part by writing formal comments to the FCC.

> Remember, it's not reality that's at the heart of this kind of
>legislation, it's the fear generated by those seeking control.

The nice word for such people: demagogs. I can think of many many
others, but I won't bore you all with the details.

> And if one round of restrictive legislation doesn't do the trick,
>then they WILL enact another, as the latest revisions of ECPA will
>attest.

They can legislate until there are no trees left to print all the laws
they can write --it won't make a bit of difference! A naked emperor
is still a naked emperor no matter how many laws we enact saying he
has clothes on.

> So, discretion here, fellow enthusiasts. Discretion. Don't discuss
>the unenforceability of the law....no matter how true that may be.
>Don't discuss the ease with which some receivers may be modded. No
>matter how true that may be. And don't discuss the ethics of
>frequencies that pass through your property, and having access to
>them. It's precisely THOSE posts quoted and displayed on screen
>during the media treatment of this hobby, when the ECPA revisions
>were being debated.

Where were they quoted?

> Not just here...but in major cities across the nation. Make no
>mistake, the hobby IS under attack, and they are using our own
>words, our own excercise of our legal rights and freedoms against
>us.

>
> Under such circumstances, it's best to stay off the radar screen
>and avoid the kind of content contained in this thread.
>
> Again, I'm not suggesting silence. But discretion. Well considered
>discretion. In this case rebellion will definitely work in the
>enemy's favor.

Peter, if you have a nice way of telling the emperor that he's naked without getting your head chopped off, I'm listening. However, you should know that if you say such things in private, emperors don't like to be told they're sitting around buck naked. If you're going to tell an emperor he's wrong you've got to do it in public and shout it from the highest tree top. Only when you have the public's full attention are you going to have a fighting chance of staying safe from a back stabbing.

The evil here is the creation of contradictory and unenforceable laws. This leads to an even greater mess than just the demolishing of a hobby: It diminishes respect for the law, and with that goes the foundation of our very civilization.

I respectfully disagree with you. If the media chooses to quote us out of context without reporting the whole story, we owe it to ourselves to write letters and tell them how it is. We also owe it to ourselves to organize PACs to counter the nonsense being spewed by those with something to sell. Radio Shack would like to continue selling scanners. So would Uniden, Icom, Yasue, and so many more. If one were to build a PAC to fight the great fight, I'm sure you'd get support from them. Just look at the comments they made to the FCC on the latest round of ECPA regulation...

Frankly, I haven't bothered to listen to a cordless phone in years except to prove to myself that it was possible. I really couldn't care less about someone else's gossip. I'm much more interested in monitoring public safety traffic.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

464. Finally Updated Freqs Webiste...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 14:27:12 UTC
From: BigB <bigb7@spamy.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c3b0290@news.infowest.com>
Source record: 54332
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello all.

I finally got around to start fixing up and updating my website after the big crash, where I lost everything. Please go take a look at it and let me know if you have any links or frequencies to add to my database.

http://www.netutah.com/bbcrobinson

Thanks,

Brett Robinson
BigB
RLWD Development
http://www.rlwd.net

465. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 14:43:09 UTC
From: Robert Frenchu <robert_frenchu@ya_take-out_hoo.com>
Message-ID: <981m3uc0mfunn9tfabncph8rofs25ub7g5@4ax.com>
Source record: 54333
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

> N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote <3C3AFEBF.AE38461B@gte.net> in talk.politics.guns. :

>>
>> >Who gives a shit, sparky?
>>
>> We want to keep our radios just
>> as we want to keep our firearms
>>
>> If we lose one right,
>> then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
>>
>> It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
>> You wouldn't understand.
>
>And the ban on having scanners that can
>receive cellular is much like the assault
>rifle ban.

It has nothing to do with gun politics.

[t.p.g. removed from follow ups]

466. Re: www.sauderelectronics.com now online!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 15:15:30 UTC
From: Delayed Thinking <delay@delaythinking.com>
Message-ID: <m2E_7.6058$DG5.68545@rwcrnsc53.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 54334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

why do you put a link at the top of your homepage that links to your homepage?

also why is it broken.
Doesn't anyone check this stuff

"Ben Silverman" <ben@everyoneflydotcom> wrote in message news:Xns918DB7330711Ebeneveryoneflycom@209.249.90.101...
> Hello all,
>
> Just wanted to let everyone know that Sauder Electronics is now online with
> a secure catalog. This is the same Sauder Electronics you see at Hamfests
> and at the Dayton shows. Many of you have spoken with Kathy Sauder about
> getting a website together and she has finally delivered.
>
> Happy browsing, ordering, etc.
>
> http://www.sauderelectronics.com
>
> -Ben

467. FA: Bearcat 950XLT with CTCSS Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 16:23:04 UTC
From: Joseph Barros <jbarros@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3C3B1D9B.D2D789DD@mediaone.net>
Source record: 54339

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1318288546

Ends 1/9/02 1906 PST

468. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 16:42:44 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C3B218C.C2825E9E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54335

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 08:54:53 GMT, Peter Maus
> <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> > I'm not suggesting that we cease discussion here, but I am
> >cautioning the need for some well thought out discretion.
>
> Peter, I respect your views. However when the emperor is strutting
> around with no clothes on, it's pretty hard to say ANYTHING without
> being "indiscrete."
>
> > These newsgroups are watched by those who already see us as a
> >threat to their security. And as short sighted and ill informed as
> >these people may be, they do carry huge clout when it comes to
> >exercising their whims and curtailing our access to frequencies,
> >content and otherwise public materials on the airwaves.
>
> And I do my part by writing formal comments to the FCC.
>
> > Remember, it's not reality that's at the heart of this kind of
> >legislation, it's the fear generated by those seeking control.
>
> The nice word for such people: demagogs. I can think of many many
> others, but I won't bore you all with the details.
>
> > And if one round of restrictive legislation doesn't do the trick,
> >then they WILL enact another, as the latest revisions of ECPA will
> >attest.
>
> They can legislate until there are no trees left to print all the laws
> they can write --it won't make a bit of difference! A naked emperor
> is still a naked emperor no matter how many laws we enact saying he
> has clothes on.

The point is not that the emperor has no clothes. The point is
that the emperor can and will behead, at will, those who do not
appreciate his wardrobe. And even if the law is unenforceable, you
can't outlast the Government, even if they're wrong. They can
bankrupt you with nuisance actions. Much of what they did to Bill
Cheek had no foundation. But they did keep him from his medical
treatments by ordering him into court and they DID deplete his
resources by requiring a defense against their, often ungrounded,
prosecution.

>
> > So, discretion here, fellow enthusiasts. Discretion. Don't discuss
> >the unenforceability of the law....no matter how true that may be.
> >Don't discuss the ease with which some receivers may be modded. No
> >matter how true that may be. And don't discuss the ethics of
> >frequencies that pass through your property, and having access to
> >them. It's precisely THOSE posts quoted and displayed on screen
> >during the media treatment of this hobby, when the ECPA revisions
> >were being debated.
>
> Where were they quoted?

I said it in the first paragraph...On the major TV stations' news.
And, IIRC, on NBC and ABC TV network news.

>
> > Not just here...but in major cities across the nation. Make no
> >mistake, the hobby IS under attack, and they are using our own
> >words, our own excercise of our legal rights and freedoms against
> >us.
> >
> > Under such circumstances, it's best to stay off the radar screen
> >and avoid the kind of content contained in this thread.
> >
> > Again, I'm not suggesting silence. But discretion. Well considered
> >discretion. In this case rebellion will definitely work in the
> >enemy's favor.
>
> Peter, if you have a nice way of telling the emperor that he's naked
> without getting your head chopped off, I'm listening. However, you
> should know that if you say such things in private, emperors don't
> like to be told they're sitting around buck naked. If you're going to
> tell an emperor he's wrong you've got to do it in public and shout it
> from the highest tree top. Only when you have the public's full
> attention are you going to have a fighting chance of staying safe from
> a back stabbing.

You're point is taken. However, the public is NOT armed with facts
here. The public is convinced that WE are the problem because of the
attention brought to this issue by media activists who present the
hobby in teh worst possible light, using, among other things, our
own words, selected from these newsgroups, and focussing
specifically on postings that state an intent to flout the law.

Absent balanced presentation, the public is not getting the
picture.

Postings here do not make it into the public mainstream, except as
selected and edited for such media presentations. Postings here are
falling into the hands of those that already believe, AND those that
would selectively use our own words against the hobby, by taking
those words to the public in inflammatory 'news' reports. Postings
here are like shouting "No More Gun Laws," at Charleton Heston's

house. The public is not getting the facts, and more importantly, they are not getting the TRUTH about why.

Remember Bob Grove's appearance before Congress? He was publicly crucified as part of the problem of crime evading police. And subsequent local news reports underscored that public conclusion, with both selective quotes from Grove, and words posted here in these newsgroups. The message Grove tried to present not only never got out, but was undermined before he ever took the hot seat. Using words of active scannists who object to legal regulation of the hobby, and presenting them as criminal intent.

I'm not disagreeing with your point that the laws are unenforceable. I'm saying that to publicly declare them to be so, invites those with activist intent to tighten the reins of this hobby to use those words to bring legislative motivation to make them enforceable. And more extreme. It's like telling a bully, "ha ha, that doesn't hurt," after being socked in the gut. I guar-on-damned-tee you he'll up crank it up an notch and MAKE it hurt.

The last revisions of ECPA, which permit a lot of horrors, such as selective enforcement, and legal harrassment through the courts are a testament to this.

And our own words, from these newsgroups, were used as ammunition in the debates about, and the presentation to bring public support for the revisions of ECPA.

If you want to get the message out to the public, you need to get the public's attention. You need to go to the public, not a hobby newsgroup of which the public is not aware, and to which the public will not visit, to shout your indignation. Because here, the words will be used against us, and presented to the public as the ravings of potential criminals.

You can't whisper privately that the emperor has no clothes...you need to make the public believe it...and they're not getting that message by what's posted here. They don't want to believe, so what's said here only convinces them that they're right and we're wrong, as the messages are cherry picked by media personalities with an agenda to forward, nd because this is a forum that's international in scope, but not incorporated into mainstream lifestyles, the positive message is not being heard by the public.
>

> The evil here is the creation of contradictory and unenforceable laws.
> This leads to an even greater mess than just the demolishing of a
> hobby: It diminishes respect for the law, and with that goes the
> foundation of our very civilization.

You're right. The problem is the creation of contradictory and unenforceable laws. Laws that were created, in part, by response to

select messages posted to these newsgroups.

>
> I respectfully disagree with you. If the media chooses to quote us
> out of context without reporting the whole story, we owe it to
> ourselves to write letters and tell them how it is.

Again, you're right. Write letters. Make public what we know to be
true...but in the process, don't arm them for another round of
activism against us.

> We also owe it to
> ourselves to organize PACs to counter the nonsense being spewed by
> those with something to sell. Radio Shack would like to continue
> selling scanners. So would Uniden, Icom, Yasue, and so many more. If
> one were to build a PAC to fight the great fight, I'm sure you'd get
> support from them. Just look at the comments they made to the FCC on
> the latest round of ECPA regulation...

Then build a PAC. Call radio talk shows. Get the message out in
the same fora that our enemies use to make their moves against us.
Make it public. Don't let the enemy quote you out of context in what
looks, to much of the computer/Usenet illiterate public, like a
private conspiratorial gathering place. Take your case to the
public, yes. But while you're doing that, don't arm your enemies
with loose talk here, that can be used against you. Or like so many
other legal pursuits, you'll be demonized before you ever open your
mouth, and your cause is dead before you ever start.

Jake, I'm not saying you shouldn't fight the fight. It's a good
fight. And it's essential that we win it.

I"m saying that if you're going to fight the fight...don't arm
your enemies before you pick up your sword.

469. Re: Unblocked Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 16:48:45 UTC
From: dev <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <NpF_7.2891$cr5.336765@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 54336

radioshack will not sell a canadian model scanner to even people in canada.
The get their radios from the US. And they only sell US models at
radioshack.

"William Ferguson" <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:u3hmh4m35qt0ab@corp.supernews.com...
> www.radioshack.ca
> "andy walters" <w_andy@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:2RMY7.153294$BX4.8998404@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > Anyone have any info on where to order an unblocked scanner, preferably
> from
> > Canada.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

470. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 16:55:03 UTC
From: Scout <scout@monumental.com>
Message-ID: <EtF_7.6497$0g.159010@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 54337
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

"N9NWO" <2121d@gte.net> wrote in message news:3C3AFEBF.AE38461B@gte.net...
> >
> > >Who gives a shit, sparky?
> >
> > We want to keep our radios just
> > as we want to keep our firearms
> >
> > If we lose one right,
> > then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
> >
> > It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
> > You wouldn't understand.
>
> And the ban on having scanners that can
> receive cellular is much like the assault
> rifle ban.

No, actually, since there is no ban on scanners with cellular receive, just a mandate that no more will be made after a given date. It would be more like the machine gun "ban" where those that already exist are grandfathered, but no more are allowed.

471. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 17:34:43 UTC
From: WhiteWolf <whitewolf@rubyridge.com>
Message-ID: <gcbm3u8dlk5tpvatvo2q1smijlnc7hs2h5@4ax.com>
Source record: 54338
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

it starts with the loss of one right.....

WhiteWolf
==========
One Stop Web Shop
Wholesale Professional Web Hosting, Server Leasing,
WebSite/IP Monitoring, Web Design, Computer Systems
since 1996
http://www.1stopwebshop.com
==========

On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 06:18:55 -0800, Robert Frenchu
<robert_frenchu@ya_take-out_hoo.com> wrote:

>> Gary Condit Sucks <Gary_Condit_SUCKS@gary.condit.sucks.com> wrote
<kmmk3ugoqrra8ts160dkgfig9e98q9qgg7@4ax.com> in talk.politics.guns. :
>
>>Robert Frenchu wrote:
>>
>>>Who gives a shit, sparky?
>>
>>
>>We want to keep our radios just
>>as we want to keep our firearms
>>
>>If we lose one right,
>>then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
>>
>>It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
>>You wouldn't understand.
>
>Since this is talk.politics.guns, we give a shit- we just don't give a
>shit *here.*
>
>You wouldn't understand.

472. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 18:19:33 UTC
From: "M. L. Davis" <oglethorpe@oglethorpe.com>
Message-ID: <VKG_7.21096$i8.4550134@news1.rdc1.fl.home.com>
Source record: 54340
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

```
Scout wrote in message ...
>
>"N9NWO" <2121d@gte.net> wrote in message news:3C3AFEBF.AE38461B@gte.net...
>> >
>> > >Who gives a shit, sparky?
>> >
>> > We want to keep our radios just
>> > as we want to keep our firearms
>> >
>> > If we lose one right,
>> > then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
>> >
>> > It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
>> > You wouldn't understand.
>>
>> And the ban on having scanners that can
>> receive cellular is much like the assault
>> rifle ban.
>
>No, actually, since there is no ban on scanners with cellular receive, just
>a mandate that no more will be made after a given date. It would be more
>like the machine gun "ban" where those that already exist are
grandfathered,
>but no more are allowed.
>
>
JUst like sattelite and cable television descramblers are banned, but I can
still get one.

-*MORT*-
>
```

473. Re: Finally Updated Freqs Webiste...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 18:21:53 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0201081021.9967844@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Its still not working well.

"BigB" <bigb7@spamy.hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<3c3b0290@news.infowest.com>...
> Hello all.
>
> I finally got around to start fixing up and updating my website after the
> big crash, where I lost everything. Please go take a look at it and let me
> know if you have any links or frequencies to add to my database.
>
> http://www.netutah.com/bbcrobinson
>
> Thanks,
>
> Brett Robinson
> BigB
> RLWD Development
> http://www.rlwd.net

474. Re: WANTED: ICOM PCR100/1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 18:23:25 UTC
From: John Arduino <john.arduino@compaq.com>
Message-ID: <3C3B391D.4050808@compaq.com>
Source record: 54342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello Dick,

If you do not get a response. What is your asking price?

//John

john.arduino@compaq.com

Dick Beerman wrote:

> Are you still looking for a 1000? Have one here that is not being used
> and has had little use. Mine is stock as it came from Icom. Are you
> interested? Dick W5AK
>
> Wizard wrote:
>
>> Hi,
>>
>> Has anyone got the icom pcr100/1000 prefabily the 1000 for sale. Must
>> be in good workimg order and reasonably priced. Please email me.
>>
>> Many thanks
>>
>

475. OT: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 18:56:54 UTC
From: OldBiker <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <3C3B40F6.7FA1DF86@crosslink.net>
Source record: 54343
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

N9NWO wrote:
>
> And the ban on having scanners that can
> receive cellular is much like the assault
> rifle ban.

Hmmm ... not really. Pre-ban scanners really can receive cell phone conversations but the banned "assault rifles" only look like assault rifles.

476. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 19:11:42 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <soem3ucempjii6scfocfckr7tdlm55oss7@4ax.com>
Source record: 54344

On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 16:42:44 GMT, Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Jake, I'm not saying you shouldn't fight the fight. It's a good
>fight. And it's essential that we win it.
>
> I"m saying that if you're going to fight the fight...don't arm
>your enemies before you pick up your sword.

Point well made. And as you know (and anyone else who reads the public record on the latest round of FCC Part 15 regulation) I didn't shy from telling the FCC just how pointless and ineffective their exercise would be. And in fact, the comments I made to them formally are scarcely any different from those I have made here.

I take issue that information or declarations on this or any news group are arming our political adversaries. Surely there will have to be forums where such things are discussed and any news reporter worth two cents knows where to find them. If not here, then it would be somewhere. I'm not interested in developing double secret handshakes before we speak our minds.

Peter, I have to point out that virtually all technical interests of any sort are under fire from the vast numbers of ignorant masses who are scared of what a person with technical knowledge can do. This image isn't helped by the pervasive notion of the greasy-haired, pocket protector wearing geek with no social skills.

If we are to fight this battle, we're going to have to face it on a cultural level too. Hackers have done damage to technical avocations of every shade by causing ignorant people to cower in fear of what could be done to them by anti-social goons. The feats of Kevin Mitnik are all too often exploited out of proportion by people with an axe to grind.

The reality is that the so-called anti-social behavior is often a figment of the PR departments of those with a profit margin to protect from their own technological ignorance. Only a cellular telephone company could posit that your conversation on the air is safe and secure. Only a TV network executive could have been so stupid as to think that any signal one could broadcast would be too difficult for an average guy to figure out and decode himself.

Yet these days, people don't realize they're on the air. Nobody even uses the term radio any more. They call it "wireless" as if it's just like having wires, but somehow just as private. I have no respect for

those who perpetrate this deception on the public at large.

I think these subjects need to be discussed openly so that we can know what to put in to the letters we write to our politicians. If this isn't the forum to discuss such subjects I don't know what is.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

477. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 19:13:45 UTC
From: Robert Frenchu <robert_frenchu@ya_take-out_hoo.com>
Message-ID: <h5hm3uc324k5275423r5kgvau2c2d2s9mo@4ax.com>
Source record: 54345
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

> WhiteWolf <whitewolf@rubyridge.com> wrote <gcbm3u8dlk5tpvatvo2q1smijlnc7hs2h5@4ax.com>
in talk.politics.guns. :

>On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 06:18:55 -0800, Robert Frenchu
><robert_frenchu@ya_take-out_hoo.com> wrote:
>
>>> Gary Condit Sucks <Gary_Condit_SUCKS@gary.condit.sucks.com> wrote
<kmmk3ugoqrra8ts160dkgfig9e98q9qgg7@4ax.com> in talk.politics.guns. :
>>
>>>Robert Frenchu wrote:
>>>
>>>>Who gives a shit, sparky?
>>>
>>>
>>>We want to keep our radios just
>>>as we want to keep our firearms
>>>
>>>If we lose one right,
>>>then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
>>>
>>>It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
>>>You wouldn't understand.
>>
>>Since this is talk.politics.guns, we give a shit- we just don't give a
>>shit *here.*
>>
>>You wouldn't understand.

>it starts with the loss of one right.....

talk.politics.guns. Get it?
^^^^

478. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 19:20:22 UTC
From: "M. L. Davis" <oglethorpe@oglethorpe.com>
Message-ID: <WDH_7.21117$i8.4558674@news1.rdc1.fl.home.com>
Source record: 54346
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

```
erniegalts@bigpond.com wrote in message ...
>On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 14:13:16 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
>>>
>>> >Who gives a shit, sparky?
>>>
>>> We want to keep our radios just
>>> as we want to keep our firearms
>>>
>>> If we lose one right,
>>> then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
>>>
>>> It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
>>> You wouldn't understand.
>>
>>And the ban on having scanners that can
>>receive cellular is much like the assault
>>rifle ban.
>
>Australia doesn't ban such receivers, but there is a very heavy
>penalty for eavesdropping on phone conversations.
>
>However, this is a "privacy thing" that some Americans may have
>trouble understanding. There are various eavesdropping devices freely
>available in the US which are "prohibited imports" in Australia.
>
>As a matter of curiosity, why would you _want_ to eavesdrop on
>cellular phone conversations?
>

>
Entertainment?

-*MORT*-
```

479. Re: The REAL Truth about Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 19:25:42 UTC
From: Artic Peak <arcticpeak@yahoo.no.spam>
Message-ID: <newscache$yjympg$tv8$1@news.greennet.gl>
Source record: 54250

YES, this is progress!
Now it's possible to let the whole world know how bad comedian you are!
You can bug people you never gonna meet!

A.P.
Neutrodyne <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020107153250.10830.00004066@mb-fa.aol.com...
> >Subject: The REAL Truth about Radio Shack
>
> >From: kajagoogoob@yahoo.com (a person)
>
> >The REAL Truth about Radio Shack
> >
> >
> >http://home.earthlink.net/~johnriopel/truthaboutradioshach.html
> >
>
> When I was 9 the only people who had to listen to my "comedy" tapes were
my
> parents. This is progress?
> Neutrodyne
>
>

480. Looking For

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 19:34:44 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <oRH_7.5234$gO5.2322380@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 54247

I am looking for the scanner site that shows the pictures of scanners.It was posted on this group not to long ago.
Thanks for any help

481. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 20:12:40 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3mkk3pt5sjm3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54248
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's great and all, but generally the press get in a day or two BEFORE the general public...

How do you think they were able to make up a press report about the scanners BEFORE they ever even attended the show??

PH

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:kn4j3uci40ct0ekg10tpb8rv7mqo4shr7r@4ax.com...
> While nibbling on last years fruitcake, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> grunted out
>
> >Anyone come across any pictures of these new units? They were on display at
> >CES, I would have hoped that somebody (press, magazine publisher, etc) would
> >have snapped a couple photos of the units, or gotten some sort of spec
> >sheet... Any news about something like this besides the press release??
>
>
> Well, CES opens TOMORROW, so.....
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

482. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 20:12:46 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3mkk897mjoj40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54249
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's great and all, but generally the press get in a day or two BEFORE the general public...

How do you think they were able to make up a press report about the scanners BEFORE they ever even attended the show??

PH

"resomond trailarities" <yutwerte@gexccveis.com> wrote in message news:3C3A4BD5.611BD1EC@gexccveis.com...
> Power House wrote:
>
> > Anyone come across any pictures of these new units?
>
> Yeah, they put up pictures on their website back on March 03, 2002. You must
> have missed it.
>
> Hey, tomorrow is the 8th! That Press release should be out tomorrow if those
> time traveling fortune tellers were right!
>
>

483. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 20:53:33 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C3B5C55.9DEA4969@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54251

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 16:42:44 GMT, Peter Maus
> <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> > Jake, I'm not saying you shouldn't fight the fight. It's a good
> >fight. And it's essential that we win it.
> >
> > I"m saying that if you're going to fight the fight...don't arm
> >your enemies before you pick up your sword.
>
> Point well made. And as you know (and anyone else who reads the
> public record on the latest round of FCC Part 15 regulation) I didn't
> shy from telling the FCC just how pointless and ineffective their
> exercise would be. And in fact, the comments I made to them formally
> are scarcely any different from those I have made here.
>
> I take issue that information or declarations on this or any news
> group are arming our political adversaries.

And yet, that's exactly what has happened.

> Surely there will have to
> be forums where such things are discussed and any news reporter worth
> two cents knows where to find them.

No question. But there in order to be effective in making our case before the public, because our enemies will certainly not do it for us, and since they have access to mainstream media and we do not, we must put our best face on the hobby, so that our words cannot be used against us. Here in the Windy, channels 2, 5 and 7 used quotes from this very newsgroup, as did channels 5 and 4 in St Louis and countless TV and radio stations on the local level nationwide, and ABC and NBC at the network level. That's a lot of bad exposure effectively presented by our enemies, using our own words against us. If we're to make our case, that has to stop. Or we enter the fray as the criminals in the public mind. We must put together a common face, and we must not play into their hands.

> If not here, then it would be
> somewhere. I'm not interested in developing double secret handshakes
> before we speak our minds.

Nor am I, but sadly, throughout the history of mankind, that's often been necessary when mounting an assault on public misconception. And in this case, where openly speaking one's mind,

arms our enemies with our own words, it may be necessary, too.

>
> Peter, I have to point out that virtually all technical interests of
> any sort are under fire from the vast numbers of ignorant masses who
> are scared of what a person with technical knowledge can do. This
> image isn't helped by the pervasive notion of the greasy-haired,
> pocket protector wearing geek with no social skills.

That's exactly right.

>
> If we are to fight this battle, we're going to have to face it on a
> cultural level too. Hackers have done damage to technical avocations
> of every shade by causing ignorant people to cower in fear of what
> could be done to them by anti-social goons. The feats of Kevin Mitnik
> are all too often exploited out of proportion by people with an axe to
> grind.

And that's exactly what I'm saying. We need to take it before the public. And we need to make the case strongly and clearly, directly before the mainstream public. Those same ignorant masses. Because the only thing they're hearing is the exploitation of the negative by mainstream press, distorted, yes...exaggerated, certainly. But it's our enemies who are getting the word out, and they're using our own words against us. We need to STOP arming our enemies, and get the word out ourselves. In front of the same mainstream public. With just as much organization.

>
> The reality is that the so-called anti-social behavior is often a
> figment of the PR departments of those with a profit margin to protect
> from their own technological ignorance. Only a cellular telephone
> company could posit that your conversation on the air is safe and
> secure. Only a TV network executive could have been so stupid as to
> think that any signal one could broadcast would be too difficult for
> an average guy to figure out and decode himself.

You're preaching to the choir here. No one on this newgroup needs convincing. It's those cell phone users that need to be convinced. And they need to be convinced that it's not the scannist who's doing anything wrong. That's what's not happening. WE all know it, but we discuss it here...NOT out amongst the public that needs to know the truth. But our enemies are using our discussions here to make the case against us, at the local and the network level.

See where this goes? We need to stop arming our enemies. Stop openly discussing the flouting of the law, for our enemies to see and display before the public, and START making our case before the public in our own defense.

>
> Yet these days, people don't realize they're on the air. Nobody even

> uses the term radio any more. They call it "wireless" as if it's just
> like having wires, but somehow just as private. I have no respect for
> those who perpetrate this deception on the public at large.
>
> I think these subjects need to be discussed openly so that we can
> know what to put in to the letters we write to our politicians. If
> this isn't the forum to discuss such subjects I don't know what is.

The problem with this forum, is that it can be scanned and the
posts cherry picked that present us in an ill light, and be used
against us. The place to discuss this is in private, and there
needs to be a public relations movement to get the message out that
scannists are NOT criminals. That scannists are not hackers, and
privacy invading technogeeks. Unfortunately, the media have taken
our own words from posts here, and presented the case that we are
hackers, and privacy invading technogeeks, and when they state their
intent to flout the law, they ARE criminals.

It's time to clean up the image of our hobby. And that starts
first by watching what we say in public that can be used against us.
And then we must make our own case before the mainstream public.

Before there isn't a hobby to enjoy, anymore.

>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

484. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 21:30:38 UTC
From: hdr@gro.gulas
Message-ID: <3c3b6426.393739202@news.chcksw1.al.home.com>
Source record: 54252
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 06:18:55 -0800, Robert Frenchu
<robert_frenchu@ya_take-out_hoo.com> wrote:

>Since this is talk.politics.guns,

Except, of course, where it's rec.radio.amateur.equipment.

Darn cross-posts...

--
Russell rdh at salug dot org

485. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 21:40:22 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <jmpm3ukrsrk9pjdsfpsb61hoi8cl3m6gj1@4ax.com>
Source record: 54253
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While nibbling on last years fruitcake, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> grunted out

>That's great and all, but generally the press get in a day or two BEFORE the
>general public...
>
>How do you think they were able to make up a press report about the scanners
>BEFORE they ever even attended the show??

UNIDEN wrote the Press Release that was available on the CES website 5 days
before the show opened.

Anything else was written based on THAT Press Release.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

486. Re: Looking For

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 21:52:00 UTC
From: scrapps <scrapps@mail2me.com.au>
Message-ID: <3C3C3CF0.F195023C@mail2me.com.au>
Source record: 54254

Try these
http://www.rigpix.com/index.shtml
http://www.webdeals.net/scanpix.htm
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm

Dick wrote:

> I am looking for the scanner site that shows the pictures of scanners.It was
> posted on this group not to long ago.
> Thanks for any help

487. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 22:03:59 UTC
From: Barry <"Default User"@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3C3B6CD8.E2618982@snet.net>
Source record: 54256
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Power House wrote:
>
> That's great and all, but generally the press get in a day or two BEFORE the
> general public...
>
> How do you think they were able to make up a press report about the scanners
> BEFORE they ever even attended the show??

"Press Releases" are usually written by the company or person DESIRING
press coverage. They are not actually written by the "press". These
types of reports are usually faxed, posted to the web, or sent via some
other way to reporters, news agencies, magazines, etc... with the hope
that the receiving party will write an article or review of the item.

This is also how many politicians make announcements.

For more info, click here ==> http://www.pressflash.com/anatomy.html

Barry

488. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 22:06:29 UTC
From: "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com>
Message-ID: <F3K_7.106629$Y6.10435178@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 54257

What is really interesting here is that I've never seen
a radio or TV station newstation that didn't have several
scanners going at once. I suspect the reason most
police and fire departments welcome encryption is not
because of the casual home scanner listener, but is more
likely because they are sick of tripping over newscrews
who have beaten them to a scene.

I think these folks who are so adept at generating news
should look to their own houses first.

Pete

"Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3C3AB3E4.C28FFB2C@worldnet.att.net...
> (c)
> > >
> > >

489. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 22:26:28 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3c3b716b.5751278@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54258
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

>As a matter of curiosity, why would you _want_ to eavesdrop on
>cellular phone conversations?

I go into malls, restaurants, etc., and just listen to people.
They'll say the most interesting things when they think no one is
listening. Of course most of the conversations are mundane and boring
and uninteresting, but then so are most police/fire transmissions.
Why would anyone want to eavesdrop on them?

490. Finally - price info on Uniden Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 22:47:02 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <GFK_7.23886$B61.9304085@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 54259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ok gang, the supposed time for Uniden to officially announce their new products is just about now (2:30 pm PT), but NORM SCHREIN "Mr Scanner" has a scoop on the expected prices. The news isn't nearly as bad as some had feared...but is in line with the rumors that have been floating around for quite a while...check out the "Tuesday afternoon Updates" at

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/uniden-digital.htm

I'm expecting more updates later in the day...check back!

Harry

491. Re: Looking For

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 22:56:59 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <%OK_7.5455$gO5.2363133@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 54261

Thanks guys for all your help.I new someone would be able to help me and you all did.Thanks again

"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:oRH_7.5234$gO5.2322380@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I am looking for the scanner site that shows the pictures of scanners.It was
> posted on this group not to long ago.
> Thanks for any help
>
>
>

492. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 22:58:53 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020108175853.19213.00002568@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54262

In article <EtF_7.6497$0g.159010@iad-read.news.verio.net>, "Scout"
<scout@monumental.com> writes:

>No, actually, since there is no ban on scanners with cellular receive, just
>a mandate that no more will be made after a given date. It would be more
>like the machine gun "ban" where those that already exist are grandfathered,
>but no more are allowed.

What about the sale of used, cellular-capable scanners which were manufactured
before the ban was enacted? Legal, or illegal?

If ILLEGAL, why are pawn shops (among other retailers) allowed to sell them?

If LEGAL, why does eBay prohibit their listing?

493. Scan freqs. for lynchburg virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 23:43:29 UTC
From: crazyskankinpunk420@juno.com
Message-ID: <85e6cde9.0201081543.46be3ba3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54263

i need police freqs for the lynchburg area, if you have any good
recources email me at crazyskankinpunk420@juno.com
also freqs for
richmond virginia
charletsvill virginia
orlando florida
miami florida
new smerna beach florida

all help will be much appriciated

thanx,
40oz Fool

494. Re: Finally - price info on Uniden Digital Scanners links suck; cut and paste please....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 23:44:24 UTC
From: 813 779 <sandiemac@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <svL_7.14697$Vz3.1583286@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I hate links.... just cut and paste
not everyone can open links.......

"Timothy V. Peters" <tvp@t-k.com> has ferretted out the following "catch of the year" from the CES2000 website, somewhere at http://www.cesweb.org/

Scroll down or click here for Monday afternoon update
Scroll down or click here for Tuesday afternoon updates

Press Release Date: 1/8/02

NOTE: Uniden's "Press Event" to officially introduce these scanners is scheduled for Tuesday January 8, from 2:30pm to 3:30pm at S227

UNIDEN DEBUTS NEW APCO 25 DIGITAL SCANNER MODELS

Electronics Giant to Sell Software to Customize MonitoringExperience

Uniden lifts the curtain on its long-anticipated APCO 25 digital scanner models.

LAS VEGAS (January 8) - Uniden America Corporation http://www.uniden.com today unveiled its new digital scanner line for 2002, including the consumer electronics leader's much-anticipated base and handheld APCO 25 digital scanning models. Speaking at CES, product manager Scott Carpenter will showcase the new scanner products, underscoring the company's commitment to keeping its customers at the forefront of scanning technology. "Our retailers have been pushing us for a Uniden APCO 25 product for months, and our new BC250D handheld and our BC785D base/mobile scanning unit represent the fulfillment of our promise...With the ability to monitor conventional, trunked and APCO 25 conventional and trunked systems these models are state-of-the-art radio scanners.

According to Uniden representatives, the Bearcat BC250D and the Bearcat BC785D models, which are slated to hit shelves in late 2002, offer 1,100 channels, 10 banks and a frequency range of 25MHz - 1300MHz. The BC250D comprises all of the features of Uniden's market-leading BC780 XLT in a handheld model, plus adds APCO 25 capability and an additional 600 channels. Users of both models must purchase an APCO 25 card, the BCi25D, separately. "We're pleased to be bringing these great Bearcat APCO 25 units to market," Carpenter said. "We expect more big cities to migrate to the APCO 25 digital technology, like Los Angeles did this past year, to ensure agency interoperability among police, fire, EMTs and the like - and we know news organizations, businesses and consumers will want to monitor their signals."

Uniden officials stressed that APCO 25 digital scanning technology simply gives users the ability to monitor the day-to-day activities and signals of standard city and government service departments - but in no way allows users to monitor encrypted signals from national and local security organizations.

In a separate announcement Uniden also announced its plans to market its own easy-to-use scanner programming software, which it is demonstrating in its booth at this winter's annual Consumer Electronics Show in Las Vegas. The software will allow the users of all Uniden scanners with data ports to easily program frequencies into the channels and banks of their scanner.

More news and "specs"!

Here is further information about the scanners, obtained today (Monday 1/7/2002) from a Uniden insider courtesy of Marcel <Marcelrf@BELLSOUTH.NET >

?????= He will inquire

APCO P-25 digital voice trunking capability? YES

APCO P-25 digital voice conventional capability? YES

Ability to scan conventional digital, conventional analog, trunked analog, and trunked digital, and multiple different trunked systems ALL at the same time YES

APCO P-25 UNENCRYPTED Digital Voice (IMBE Vocoder) Demodulation to analog voice? YES

APCO P-25 UNENCRYPTED 9.6K baud trunking control channel operation YES

APCO P-25 UNENCRYPTED 3.6K baud trunking control channel operation YES

APCO P-25 Level III ENCRYPTED 3.6K baud trunking control channel operation NO

APCO P-25 Level III ENCRYPTED 9.6K baud trunking control channel operation NO

APCO P-25 UNENCRYPTED C4FM modulation ????

APCO P-25 UNENCRYPTED Phase II 6.25KHz CQPSK Modulation ????

APCO P-25 UNENCRYPTED Phase II 6.25KHz Channel Operation ?????

APCO P-25 UNENCRYPTED Phase II TDMA Modes ?????

OpenSky trunking capability NO

OpenSky UNENCRYPTED Digital Voice (AMBE Vocoder) Demodulation to analog voice NO

PL / DPL search & display YES

PL / DPL use per channel YES

Tuesday afternoon updates, January 8 - 2:10 pm PST

According to a report by Norm "Mr. Scanner" Schrein at http://www.bearcat1.com/ces.htm, "Each scanner will have a suggested retail price of $350.00 and will be available late in 2002." He also reports that "the Bearcat APCO 25 digital card -- the BCi25D...will be just over $300.00." The units will receive analog, do trunk-tracking, etc right out of the box, but if you need digital demodulation, you'll need the card.

495. POLICE 10 CODES?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 23:54:13 UTC
From: egh-2421@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1293-3C3B86A5-51@storefull-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 54267

where can i find police 10 codes for,MA.,NY.,GA. & LA.

496. Alinco DJ-X10 for less than $359.99??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 23:59:23 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <vJL_7.23718$ds.2586079@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54265

Who has the DJ-X10s under $360 AND can deliver???

497. Re: Alinco DJ-X10 for less than $359.99??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-08 23:59:57 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <1KL_7.23737$ds.2587991@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54266

I'm buying one.

"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:vJL_7.23718$ds.2586079@news02.optonline.net...
> Who has the DJ-X10s under $360 AND can deliver???
>
>

498. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 01:15:03 UTC
From: WhiteWolf <whitewolf@rubyridge.com>
Message-ID: <kb6n3uc973jgf3p2mv7du4fvulok7oes0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 54269
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

yes, i do.
do you?

WhiteWolf
==========
Wholesale Professional Web Hosting, Server Leasing,
Website/IP Monitoring, Website Design, Computer Sales
since 1996 - http://www.1stopwebshop.com
==========

On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 11:13:45 -0800, Robert Frenchu
<robert_frenchu@ya_take-out_hoo.com> wrote:

>> WhiteWolf <whitewolf@rubyridge.com> wrote <gcbm3u8dlk5tpvatvo2q1smijlnc7hs2h5@4ax.com>
in talk.politics.guns. :
>
>
>>On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 06:18:55 -0800, Robert Frenchu
>><robert_frenchu@ya_take-out_hoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Gary Condit Sucks <Gary_Condit_SUCKS@gary.condit.sucks.com> wrote
<kmmk3ugoqrra8ts160dkgfig9e98q9qgg7@4ax.com> in talk.politics.guns. :
>>>
>>>>Robert Frenchu wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Who gives a shit, sparky?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>We want to keep our radios just
>>>>as we want to keep our firearms
>>>>
>>>>If we lose one right,
>>>>then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
>>>>
>>>>It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
>>>>You wouldn't understand.
>>>
>>>Since this is talk.politics.guns, we give a shit- we just don't give a
>>>shit *here.*
>>>
>>>You wouldn't understand.
>
>>it starts with the loss of one right.....

>
>talk.politics.guns. Get it?
> ^^^^

499. trunk scanning--how does it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 01:26:18 UTC
From: Karl Agee <kdagee2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C3B9C3A.D6A584A3@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54268

I posted this a few days ago, didnt get any response so I am trying again.

My local ps agencies use a motorola type II system, but, they seem to broadcast on both conventional 15x.xxx (conventional) and on 86x.xxx (trunking). At times, I pick up a transmission on the 15x.xxx and then the response from dispatch and following transmissions are on the trunking frequency.

So how do these systems work?? do the mobile units transmit on the conventional and the base re-transmitts on trunking?? Do I really need to have both the conventional and trunking freq's programmed in for these systems??

--karl

500. Regency r1075 OLD Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 02:06:00 UTC
From: pomie9@cs.com
Message-ID: <cfe566d4.0201081806.7a302b96@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54270

Any mods for this baby ?

501. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 02:21:52 UTC
From: dave hinds <dhinds@sposenet.net>
Message-ID: <3C3BA963.9075946B@sposenet.net>
Source record: 54272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Power House wrote:

> That's great and all, but generally the press get in a day or two BEFORE the
> general public...
>
> How do you think they were able to make up a press report about the scanners
> BEFORE they ever even attended the show??

1) They were time travelers.
2) This was an early April Fool's Joke.
3) There is no way you are going to explain why a press release was dated and released with a date occurring in the FUTURE, unless the explanation is about it being fraud.

502. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 02:24:18 UTC
From: dave hinds <dhinds@sposenet.net>
Message-ID: <3C3BA9F5.A8CDBD7E@sposenet.net>
Source record: 54273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Nextel1 wrote:

> It's No Joke Look at the CES show website. We just got it a few days early

It doesn't matter WHERE it came from. We can all see it is a lie, because the date had not occurred yet. It
is a FACT that it therefore was false information. Nothing else in it can be considered credible or factual
information. An impossible lie like that does not become true because you find it on a particular
website. The date is still a lie.

503. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 02:27:03 UTC
From: NOT-REAL!@nowhere.org
Message-ID: <a1g9pl$2eci$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 54271
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

In article <igem3u428hq64jprg4aj5kejun56a5bb0h@4ax.com>,
erniegalts@bigpond.com says...
>

>As a matter of curiosity, why would you _want_ to eavesdrop on
>cellular phone conversations?
>
>Ernie Galts
>posting to misc.survivalism from Australia
>
>
Back before the ECPA (the law that made listening to cell calls a crime)
I overheard a conversation between cop and his superior getting their stories
straight to explain how a young man ended up dead. Shot in the back.
While pinned on the ground by the feet of several officers and handcuffed.
I heard the police dispatch and some funny comments and started hunting
the cell call that I suspected I would find. The comms started out on the
police radio, moved to a ham radio and then to a cell call. The cops got away
with murder.
In the USA many cops are running private comms on cell/pcs to avoid being
taped by the department. Almost every PD records all radio traffic on their
radio system, and cell/PCS allow cops to escape the eye and ears of both the
public and thier bosses, who, when bad cops turn up, can claim "But there was
no sign we had a bad apple".

And while it is illegal for anyone to listen to any cell converstain without a
court order, I know for a fact that a ceratin PD had several (5+) Pro2004
modified to allow cell reception after the ECPA became law. I know the tech
who did the mods, he got in a moinor jam and bought his way out by providing
the LEO with another tool to break the law.
And I am sure that the cops never, ever monitored cells calls without a
warrent.
Yea, and the Easter Bunny is laying those chocolate eggs (BTW did you know
theat Cadbery has their HQ in Hobat Tasmania?) for Easter.
Never ever trust the cops.
They violate laws every cahnce they get.
And almost always get away with their crimes.
Only bad luck or stupditiy bring their collective guilt to public scrutiny.

504. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 02:50:54 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <ieO_7.2375$75.1873230@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54274
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hope you can figure out to retract this before feeling like a fool ;>
Announcement and specs are now available on all the big scanner websites...

- Rob

"dave hinds" <dhinds@sposenet.net> wrote in message
news:3C3BA963.9075946B@sposenet.net...
> Power House wrote:
>
> > That's great and all, but generally the press get in a day or two BEFORE
the
> > general public...
> >
> > How do you think they were able to make up a press report about the
scanners
> > BEFORE they ever even attended the show??
>
> 1) They were time travelers.
> 2) This was an early April Fool's Joke.
> 3) There is no way you are going to explain why a press release was dated
and
> released with a date occurring in the FUTURE, unless the explanation is
about
> it being fraud.
>
>
>

505. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 03:09:37 UTC
From: Kenty Siresa <ksiresa@fortuy.com>
Message-ID: <3C3BB493.2BED80BF@fortuy.com>
Source record: 54275
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robert Dale wrote:

> Hope you can figure out to retract this before feeling like a fool ;>
> Announcement and specs are now available on all the big scanner websites...
>
> - Rob

Are all those websites claiming it is a day in the future too? If they are,
then they are lying fools also.
YOU are the fool if you really believed it was Jan 8th several days ago. I
stand by the fact that it was a lie, and not actually the 8th.

506. Re: POLICE 10 CODES?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 03:15:34 UTC
From: Jim and Sue Barish <jsbarish@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C3BB6D8.E69DD73A@optonline.net>
Source record: 54276

Murray, check out http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/ for NY and MA.

Jim

murray wrote:

> where can i find police 10 codes for,MA.,NY.,GA. & LA.

507. Re: trunk scanning--how does it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 03:25:41 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MLO_7.585$5y.398090@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 54277

Hey, for you and anyone who really wants to know how the theory of trunking systems work, I strongly suggest taking some time and going through this "online course" on trunking, all for free of course. It's from the Motorola site and it's awesome.

http://www.motorola-wls.com/CW_ACS002/cbt/course.htm

Go through it, you'll be glad you did.

"Karl Agee" <kdagee2@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3C3B9C3A.D6A584A3@yahoo.com...
> I posted this a few days ago, didnt get any response so I am trying
> again.
>
> My local ps agencies use a motorola type II system, but, they seem to
> broadcast on both conventional 15x.xxx (conventional) and on 86x.xxx
> (trunking). At times, I pick up a transmission on the 15x.xxx and then
> the response from dispatch and following transmissions are on the
> trunking frequency.
>
> So how do these systems work?? do the mobile units transmit on the
> conventional and the base re-transmitts on trunking?? Do I really need
> to have both the conventional and trunking freq's programmed in for
> these systems??
>
> --karl

508. Re: trunk scanning--how does it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 03:31:47 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020108223147.00964.00001136@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 54278

In article <3C3B9C3A.D6A584A3@yahoo.com>, Karl Agee <kdagee2@yahoo.com> writes:

>I posted this a few days ago, didnt get any response so I am trying
>again.
>
>My local ps agencies use a motorola type II system, but, they seem to
>broadcast on both conventional 15x.xxx (conventional) and on 86x.xxx
>(trunking). At times, I pick up a transmission on the 15x.xxx and then
>the response from dispatch and following transmissions are on the
>trunking frequency.
>
>So how do these systems work?? do the mobile units transmit on the
>conventional and the base re-transmitts on trunking?? Do I really need
>to have both the conventional and trunking freq's programmed in for
>these systems??
>
>--karl
>

What is your state and what is the agency you are trying to listen to?

509. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 03:42:58 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c3bbc30$0$29801$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 54279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"resomond trailarities" <yutwerte@gexccveis.com> wrote in message
news:3C3A4BD5.611BD1EC@gexccveis.com...
Power House wrote:
Anyone come across any pictures of these new units?

Yeah, they put up pictures on their website back on March 03, 2002. You must have missed it.

Hey, tomorrow is the 8th! That Press release should be out tomorrow if those time traveling fortune tellers were right!

Hey you guys are really slow with the details. Here in Oz, Uniden announced their forthcoming handheld & desktop scanners (the 250 & 700 XLT respectively) with APCO26 last year. Even Motorola were taken by surprise, as they haven't developed it yet. Just goes to show how effective our news releases are. eh?

Ruff

510. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 04:45:14 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <uVP_7.2387$75.1884113@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Consumer Electronics Show website loaded ALL of the press releases for the week ahead of time. A simple search there finds them. Go to http://strongsignals.net for more coverage of the event. I never said Jan 8th was a few days ago -- just that the press release was valid.

- Rob

"Kenty Siresa" <ksiresa@fortuy.com> wrote in message news:3C3BB493.2BED80BF@fortuy.com...
> Robert Dale wrote:
>
> > Hope you can figure out to retract this before feeling like a fool ;>
> > Announcement and specs are now available on all the big scanner websites...
> >
> > - Rob
>
> Are all those websites claiming it is a day in the future too? If they are,
> then they are lying fools also.
> YOU are the fool if you really believed it was Jan 8th several days ago. I
> stand by the fact that it was a lie, and not actually the 8th.
>
>

511. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 04:45:32 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <MVP_7.2388$75.1884160@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Consumer Electronics Show website loaded ALL of the press releases for the week ahead of time. A simple search there finds them. Go to http://strongsignals.net for more coverage of the event.

- Rob

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message news:3c3bbc30$0$29801$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> "resomond trailarities" <yutwerte@gexccveis.com> wrote in message
> news:3C3A4BD5.611BD1EC@gexccveis.com...
> Power House wrote:
> Anyone come across any pictures of these new units?
>
> Yeah, they put up pictures on their website back on March 03, 2002. You
> must have missed it.

512. Digital scanners and Motorola ASTRO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 04:46:17 UTC
From: dailhart@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9165a42e.0201082046.53fe05e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54280

Will the new digital scanners have the capability of decoding
non-encrypted Motorola ASTRO?

Would they need some type of proprietary license from Motorola?

I'm sure EDACS Pro-Voice and other digital users have the same
question...

Thanks
JD

513. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 04:52:04 UTC
From: Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
Message-ID: <u3nj3mdrv9tu01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54283
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A Bill Cheek joke on you.

"dave hinds" <dhinds@sposenet.net> wrote in message
news:3C3BA9F5.A8CDBD7E@sposenet.net...
> Nextel1 wrote:
>
> > It's No Joke Look at the CES show website. We just got it a few days
early
>
> It doesn't matter WHERE it came from. We can all see it is a lie, because
the date had not occurred yet. It
> is a FACT that it therefore was false information. Nothing else in it can
be considered credible or factual
> information. An impossible lie like that does not become true because
you find it on a particular
> website. The date is still a lie.
>
>

514. Icom w32a HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 04:56:42 UTC
From: "Sam E. Hall" <sehall@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <a1gic9iuf1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 54284

Does anyone know if the w32a can be modified for cell reception?

Thanks

515. Re: trunk scanning--how does it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 05:08:21 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020109000821.08468.00002400@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 54285

>Subject: trunk scanning--how does it work?

>From: Karl Agee kdagee2@yahoo.com

>I posted this a few days ago, didnt get any response so I am trying
>again.
>
>My local ps agencies use a motorola type II system, but, they seem to
>broadcast on both conventional 15x.xxx (conventional) and on 86x.xxx
>(trunking). At times, I pick up a transmission on the 15x.xxx and then
>the response from dispatch and following transmissions are on the
>trunking frequency.
>
>So how do these systems work?? do the mobile units transmit on the
>conventional and the base re-transmitts on trunking?? Do I really need
>to have both the conventional and trunking freq's programmed in for
>these systems??
>
>--karl

They probably have the old high band base and the trunking base cross-patched at the dispatch console for a while to allow some secondary users time to purchase new equipment, and/ or while the trunking system is proved to reach all the tough spots it needs to cover. This typically can go on for 6 months or a year while all the users decide the "newfangled" radios will not "get them shot". I can see where this would be curious to people who haven't been present in person for a changeover like this. Police are concerned with reliability more than anything else, and while they are not unreasonably resistant to change, you can't blame them for wanting to be completely sure of any switch in communications.
Trunking isn't vastly complicated as a logical system, the earlier reference from Motorola in the thread is excellent. I can tell you the first generation Motorola trunking systems were hell to work on, with what seemed like about 1000 20-40 pin DIP IC's - TTL and early CMOS, taking up a whole refrigerator size cabinet full of 18"x18" boards. You didn't want to be the service person on call when lightning got one of those babies in the middle of the night! I knew a guy who spent 36 straight hours changing chips on one of those systems after a big lightning hit. Of course now it's all on one little board (with a spare on hand) and the bad one gets sent in to the factory for exchange when it quits.

Neutrodyne

516. Re: Digital scanners and Motorola ASTRO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 05:27:29 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <5xQ_7.2393$75.1886519@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54286

"James Dailhart" <dailhart@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9165a42e.0201082046.53fe05e3@posting.google.com...
> Will the new digital scanners have the capability of decoding
> non-encrypted Motorola ASTRO?

That's what all the spec sheets have claimed -- strongsignals.net for more
info.

> Would they need some type of proprietary license from Motorola?

That's the card referred to in the spec sheets -- $300.

> I'm sure EDACS Pro-Voice and other digital users have the same question...

And the answer to them is - NO. This is APCO25 only.

- Rob

517. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 05:43:43 UTC
From: Nor Sutra <norsutra@forguy.com>
Message-ID: <3C3BD8B1.669B2CDB@forguy.com>
Source record: 54287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robert Dale wrote:

> The Consumer Electronics Show website loaded ALL of the press releases for
> the week ahead of time. A simple search there finds them. Go to
> http://strongsignals.net for more coverage of the event. I never said Jan
> 8th was a few days ago -- just that the press release was valid.

Your not too bright, are you? How can it be VALID if it had the Jan 8th
date???? Get it now? It was INVALID, WRONG, INCORRECT.

518. Re: Opinions on scanners for purchase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 05:49:16 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c3bd9ca$0$29800$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 54288
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's 4 scanners not three ;-) . besides, you missed out what are probably the best two; the Icom R-10 and Alinco DJ-X2000. I have one of each, and rate them both ahead of any of those that you mentioned, especially the R-10 which, although it doesn't have trunking, is the best handheld unit I have used. I have also owned/used the Icom R-2 and the Alinco DJ-X10, so I can't be accused of 'because I own it, it's the best' mentality. I can't comment on the Yupiteru 7300, nor for that matter, any of the Yupiteru range, but I'm sure there will be other users/owners who can fill you in on that brand of scanning receiver.

Ruff

"New guy" <nvrl8tca@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:DBo_7.5531$p04.1271280@news20.bellglobal.com...
Assuming that all 3 of these scanners give me the capability that I am looking for, I am looking for some opinions on the following 3 scanners:
Icom R2
Alinco DJ-X2
Alinco DJ-X10
Yupiteru MVT-7300
Any thoughts or comments regarding these would be appreciated.
Thanks

519. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 05:55:51 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <homn3u45lmv4qs6mubdgo07jbkrbhgtcqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 54290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 05:43:43 GMT, Nor Sutra <norsutra@forguy.com>
wrote:

>Your not too bright, are you? How can it be VALID if it had the Jan 8th
>date???? Get it now? It was INVALID, WRONG, INCORRECT.

Yes, it had the wrong date. It was done up ahead of time. So
technically, it's incorrect. Does that invalidate the rest of the
information in there? What about the picture of the new scanner on
strongsignals.net? No.

520. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 05:57:41 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <7WQ_7.3391$L94.203164@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Give it up guys. This is a troll, looking to stir something up. He has no intention of listening to reason.
To quote Bugs Bunny "What a maroon!"

Mark

"dave hinds" <dhinds@sposenet.net> wrote in message news:3C3BA9F5.A8CDBD7E@sposenet.net...
> Nextel1 wrote:
>
> > It's No Joke Look at the CES show website. We just got it a few days early
>
> It doesn't matter WHERE it came from. We can all see it is a lie, because the date had not occurred yet. It
> is a FACT that it therefore was false information. Nothing else in it can be considered credible or factual
> information. An impossible lie like that does not become true because you find it on a particular
> website. The date is still a lie.
>
>

521. Re: RS Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 06:07:18 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a1gmmp$qrt8d$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 54291

So what IS the RS parts phone?
;)

"John LeMay" <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.01.06.21.49.39.621168.17501@nospam.njmc.com...
> Thanks to whomever posted the RS parts phone number. You reminded me to
> order the parts I need to restore a 2006 I have here. I was surprised to
> find that they still have the keypads, top and bottom half of the
> chassis, and original antennas for this classic! I ordered a bottom,two
> antennas, and three (or five - I forget) keypads for under $60. Not bad!
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
> Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

522. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 06:19:40 UTC
From: KSF <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <0iR_7.32745$Sf2.295293@rwcrnsc52>
Source record: 54293
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Cue-

Apparently you are a fellow military vet?

--
Operator of the 443.575 MHz repeaters in Sioux City, Portland (OR), &
Seattle.

Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net> wrote in message
news:u3kobp9slidkcb@corp.supernews.com...
> Had one at Clark AB, RP and CCK, Taiwan
>
>
>
> "KS F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote in message
> news:mhm_7.21966$Sf2.209854@rwcrnsc52...
> > AFRTS is the Armed Forces Radio and Television Service. It serves
> > the military community overseas & occasionally domestic.
> >
> > --
> > Operator of the 443.575 MHz repeaters in Sioux City, Portland (OR), &
> > Seattle.
> >
> > j?debert <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote
> > SNIP
> > > I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about
two
> > > hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
> > > happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
> > > servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
> > > "AFRTS" before it faded.
> > >
> > > This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
> > > foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
> > > atop Mt San Bruno.
> > >
> > > Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
> > >
> > > A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
> > > KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about
> it.
> > >
> > > Does anyone know?

> > >
> > > Is it classified?
> > >
> > > Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

523. Re: Signal Intelligence gathering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 07:28:13 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <ibtrevor@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C3BF101.68A2D96F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54294
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ibtrevor.vcf

And you think Associated Press which 80 percent of our news is credible. The only credible part about it is, it is credibly slanted left wing. Pat Robertsons news is great. It is plain to see you never watch it.

f@l.se wrote:

> Matthew W. Este wrote:
>
> > >: There are a few things that need to be said: First-There is a system
> > >called
> > >: "Echelon" this system is capable of receiving EVERY form of
> > >communications.
> > >: Second-The US dosen't spy on US citizens, but the NSA's Europe Echelon
> > >
> > I saw a report on news show about a year ago about "Echelon" and I could have
> > swore that they said the system was located in Canada. The report also
> > mentioned how sensitive the system is. I think it was that Pat Robertson news
> > show, whether or not it was credible, I don't know, but it would not suprise me
> > if there was such a system.
>
> It would surprise me if anything on Pat Robertson's show WAS credible.
>
> ~Bart~

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

524. Re: POLICE 10 CODES?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 07:48:35 UTC
From: egh-2421@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20977-3C3BF5D3-258@storefull-247.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 54198

thank you, jim&sue.

525. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 08:04:35 UTC
From: Matthew Delaney <delaney@ucs.net>
Message-ID: <nQS_7.3151$jZ6.516451@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54296
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is common practice in press releases.
Also, you know, very often a newspaper goes to print before Midnight for the early AM deliveries..I guess that paper isn't "valid" since it's printed at 11:30pm with tomorrow's date on it. Oh well, guess none of that news actually happened.

"Nor Sutra" <norsutra@forguy.com> wrote in message news:3C3BD8B1.669B2CDB@forguy.com...
> Robert Dale wrote:
>
> > The Consumer Electronics Show website loaded ALL of the press releases for
> > the week ahead of time. A simple search there finds them. Go to
> > http://strongsignals.net for more coverage of the event. I never said Jan
> > 8th was a few days ago -- just that the press release was valid.
>
> Your not too bright, are you? How can it be VALID if it had the Jan 8th
> date???? Get it now? It was INVALID, WRONG, INCORRECT.
>
>

526. Re: Digital scanners and Motorola ASTRO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 08:05:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <MQS_7.24668$B61.9592406@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 54295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I don't think it's Motorola's license... I read somewhere that the APCO P25 vocoder rights are held by a group that includes MIT (Mass institute of Technology) and I dunno who else. The story was that "they" were demanding around $200,000 from Uniden plus $7 or $8 dollars per unit (scanner) for rights to the license, and Uniden has to arrange for building the things on their own. All rumors, but they were consistent...this was last Summer.
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote in message news:5xQ_7.2393$75.1886519@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "James Dailhart" <dailhart@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:9165a42e.0201082046.53fe05e3@posting.google.com...
> > Will the new digital scanners have the capability of decoding
> > non-encrypted Motorola ASTRO?
>
> That's what all the spec sheets have claimed -- strongsignals.net for more
> info.
>
> > Would they need some type of proprietary license from Motorola?
>
> That's the card referred to in the spec sheets -- $300.
>
> > I'm sure EDACS Pro-Voice and other digital users have the same question...
>
> And the answer to them is - NO. This is APCO25 only.
>
> - Rob
>
>

527. Re: Regency r1075 OLD Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 08:13:54 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <6ZS_7.24679$B61.9596747@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 54197

R1075 mods? Whoa. Is that the woodgrain looking one with AC power only...no DC?

That practically qualifies as an antique...I'm SURE there aren't any mods...what's it receive, VHF Low, high & UHF up to 512 MHz. No aircraft even? Cool little old radio!

--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/glossary.htm <~~ ASTRO/Digital/Trunking Glossary of Terms

"Pomie" <pomie9@cs.com> wrote in message news:cfe566d4.0201081806.7a302b96@posting.google.com...
> Any mods for this baby ?

528. FRAUD ALERT:gorgeousmack@hotmail.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 08:42:49 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <af3f6abe.0201090042.52a9c42f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54199

Do not be ripped off by this person,they are currently under
investigation for mail fraud via the internet.

Michelle Clark
4005 Old Spanish Trail apt. F 28
New Iberia,Louisiana 70560

529. Trunktracker files.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 10:43:49 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020109054349.02164.00000703@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 54200

Does anyone know of a database of .spg files other than pro-92.com? I am looking for San Antonio and am trying to save myself hours of headaches.
Thanks,
Matt

530. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 12:35:03 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <XNW_7.2405$75.1898499@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54201
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Nor Sutra" <norsutra@forguy.com> wrote in message
news:3C3BD8B1.669B2CDB@forguy.com...
> Robert Dale wrote:
>
> > The Consumer Electronics Show website loaded ALL of the press releases
for
> > the week ahead of time. A simple search there finds them. Go to
> > http://strongsignals.net for more coverage of the event. I never said
Jan
> > 8th was a few days ago -- just that the press release was valid.
>
> Your not too bright, are you? How can it be VALID if it had the Jan 8th
> date???? Get it now? It was INVALID, WRONG, INCORRECT.

You're not familiar with the press industry are you? MANY times I get press releases at work (TV) which say "HOLD FOR DISTRIBUTION UNTIL XX/XX" with a date in the future. We cannot release that information til the later date, but they want to make sure we have it ahead of time. That's what happened here.

- Rob

531. Trade RS Pro 93 For Sony 7600GS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 13:41:11 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XLX_7.3109$gG4.1229616@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Have a two week old Radio Shack Pro 93 trunk tracker handheld scanner to
trade for a Sony 7600GS system in new cond. also.
DeWayne KE9K

532. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 15:01:06 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <2hko3u8ulsaj54ni2078dgm1soe4u8tugo@4ax.com>
Source record: 54203

On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 20:53:33 GMT, Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

<snip>
> The problem with this forum, is that it can be scanned and the
>posts cherry picked that present us in an ill light, and be used
>against us. The place to discuss this is in private, and there
>needs to be a public relations movement to get the message out that
>scannists are NOT criminals. That scannists are not hackers, and
>privacy invading technogeeks. Unfortunately, the media have taken
>our own words from posts here, and presented the case that we are
>hackers, and privacy invading technogeeks, and when they state their
>intent to flout the law, they ARE criminals.

That's not just a problem with this forum, it's a problem with ANY
forum. Give reporters credit where it is due. They know how to find
out who is doing what. The problem is that they always cherry pick
the facts and opinions --and are never even-handed about it, despite
carefully cultivated propaganda to the contrary.

> It's time to clean up the image of our hobby. And that starts
>first by watching what we say in public that can be used against us.
>And then we must make our own case before the mainstream public.

If this isn't a good forum for such issues, what is? Were militias
safe from intrusive reporters after the activities of Timothy McVeigh?
Did the book "The Turner Diaries" stay underground? Is a magazine or
journal a secure place to discuss such issues? Even news letters such
as TAP (a very old underground news-letter for early phone phreakers)
were monitored by various media outlets and the FBI.

We need a forum to present our ideas and concerns to each other. I
can't help it if a "news" media outlet pulls my comments out of
context. Those things have happened to me and will probably continue
to happen regardless of what forum we use.

Our only recourse is to present our ideas to each other in a public
way so that we can coordinate our comments with those in control. Our
only defense against outrageous behavior is a strong offense --or shut
up and go underground.

I'm not ready to form a secret "snicker at the naked emperor society"
yet. So this forum will have to do.

I do agree with you on one note, however: If we're going to write
critical messages, write them carefully. Yes, speech is free. But
the consequences are not. I've been careful not to actually disclose

anything specific about what I've heard on the air unless it's a service which specifically expects public disclosure, such as broadcasts or ham radio.

And If you're going to tell others how to do something such as un-block a scanner or build a down-converter, at least mention the background ethics involved.

When faced with contradictory laws and legislation that flies in the face of common sense, the key is to stay ethical. The police in your area using APCO 25 may whine and complain, but you have a right to monitor their activities from your own home. And you owe it to your community to do just that.

And most of all, in the event you should monitor something of overriding criminal concern, consider disclosure. MDT traffic between Police cruisers with racist comments about a previous bust are an example of this. Obviously, if you value your personal safety, you would to well to remain as anonymous as you can.

Yes, these are dangerous statements. But I make them with great care. And so should everyone else who reads this.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

533. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 15:40:22 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C3C646C.BB159FE7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54204

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 20:53:33 GMT, Peter Maus
> <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> > The problem with this forum, is that it can be scanned and the
> >posts cherry picked that present us in an ill light, and be used
> >against us. The place to discuss this is in private, and there
> >needs to be a public relations movement to get the message out that
> >scannists are NOT criminals. That scannists are not hackers, and
> >privacy invading technogeeks. Unfortunately, the media have taken
> >our own words from posts here, and presented the case that we are
> >hackers, and privacy invading technogeeks, and when they state their
> >intent to flout the law, they ARE criminals.
>
> That's not just a problem with this forum, it's a problem with ANY
> forum. Give reporters credit where it is due. They know how to find
> out who is doing what. The problem is that they always cherry pick
> the facts and opinions --and are never even-handed about it, despite
> carefully cultivated propaganda to the contrary.

Exactly right. However, the posts here are as much as a bumper
crop of material for them. They turn here first when then need to
make the case against scannists, and radio hobbycraft in general,
and FOR regulation of frequency access. (By the way, they've gone to
court in support of their exclusion of any frequency access
restrictions which may be enacted. It was pointed out here that most
reporters, newsrooms and media pundits are scannists, or have access
to scanners and scanning systems. This is true, and these same
reporters who thing WE are the criminals steadfastly maintain their
exalted place in the universe excludes them from such restrictions.
When I was with CBS this was a HOTLY argued position. Even by the
very reporters who harvested their material from scanning NG's to
make the case against scanning.)

The reason they turn here first, is that these newsgroups are rife
with defiance, and openly stated intent to flout the law.

But the point is, that if the intent to do damage to our hobby is
so apparent, it's foolhardy in the extreme to make it easy for them.

>
> > It's time to clean up the image of our hobby. And that starts
> >first by watching what we say in public that can be used against us.

> >And then we must make our own case before the mainstream public.
>
> If this isn't a good forum for such issues, what is? Were militias
> safe from intrusive reporters after the activities of Timothy McVeigh?
> Did the book "The Turner Diaries" stay underground? Is a magazine or
> journal a secure place to discuss such issues? Even news letters such
> as TAP (a very old underground news-letter for early phone phreakers)
> were monitored by various media outlets and the FBI.
>
> We need a forum to present our ideas and concerns to each other. I
> can't help it if a "news" media outlet pulls my comments out of
> context. Those things have happened to me and will probably continue
> to happen regardless of what forum we use.

>
> Our only recourse is to present our ideas to each other in a public
> way so that we can coordinate our comments with those in control. Our
> only defense against outrageous behavior is a strong offense --or shut
> up and go underground.
>
> I'm not ready to form a secret "snicker at the naked emperor society"
> yet. So this forum will have to do.

>
> I do agree with you on one note, however: If we're going to write
> critical messages, write them carefully. Yes, speech is free. But
> the consequences are not. I've been careful not to actually disclose
> anything specific about what I've heard on the air unless it's a
> service which specifically expects public disclosure, such as
> broadcasts or ham radio.
>
> And If you're going to tell others how to do something such as
> un-block a scanner or build a down-converter, at least mention the
> background ethics involved.
>
> When faced with contradictory laws and legislation that flies in the
> face of common sense, the key is to stay ethical. The police in your
> area using APCO 25 may whine and complain, but you have a right to
> monitor their activities from your own home. And you owe it to your
> community to do just that.
>
> And most of all, in the event you should monitor something of
> overriding criminal concern, consider disclosure. MDT traffic between
> Police cruisers with racist comments about a previous bust are an
> example of this. Obviously, if you value your personal safety, you
> would to well to remain as anonymous as you can.
>
> Yes, these are dangerous statements. But I make them with great care.
> And so should everyone else who reads this.

Good to hear that you understand the point. Great care. In putting
our foot forward, we need to take great care. And we need to keep
our noses clean.

Nice engaging you on this point, Jake.

See you around the 'net.

p
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

534. Re: Anyone know anything about North Attleboro, MA PD going digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 15:42:07 UTC
From: Joseph Barros <jbarros@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3C3C6583.3A9F1117@mediaone.net>
Source record: 54207

Their old system failed about a year ago. They did upgrade to a Motorola UHF Digital system.

Dave wrote:

> I used to listen to them some time back and am well out of range now, but a
> friend is just getting in to scanning and was told they went digital. This
> just doesn't sound right from what I knew about that department. They were
> always on the lower end of the technology scale.....
>
> Can anyone confirm this?

535. FA: Bearcat 950 XLT with CTCSS Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 15:45:17 UTC
From: Salty <1ez@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3C3C6642.9B3D04AD@mediaone.net>
Source record: 54206

Ends today 1906 PST

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1318288546

536. Re: Finally - price info on Uniden Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 15:53:06 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3opp8ldacu70e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The price sucks... Go figure, why would anybody buy the new APCO-25 scanner, and NOT want the digital card? It would be pretty stupid if you ask me, you may as well say the scanner is going to run us about $650 to $700... Not quite what I would call within the average consumers price range...

PH

537. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 16:45:52 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <g3so3ucpbpm33888vpoctmtb7sfop5cj3m@4ax.com>
Source record: 54208

On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 04:55:48 -0500, AV <av@sover.net> wrote:

>Jake Brodsky wrote:
>>
>> They can legislate until there are no trees left to print all the laws
>> they can write --it won't make a bit of difference! A naked emperor
>> is still a naked emperor no matter how many laws we enact saying he
>> has clothes on.
>>
>
>...and you can say all you want that the government, whatever it does,
>is illegitimate, but that doesn't make you any better dressed.

Uhh, no, I'm not saying our government is illegitimate. I'm saying
our government made a mistake, just like the proverbial naked emperor.
Naked or not, he was no less an emperor --merely a silly one. There
is a big difference between that and your comment.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

538. Re: Finally - price info on Uniden Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 17:26:05 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <N2%_7.2434$75.1910252@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
news:u3opp8ldacu70e@corp.supernews.com...
> The price sucks... Go figure, why would anybody buy the new APCO-25
> scanner, and NOT want the digital card?

Because they may want all the features but don't need digital? How's that sound. Why do people pay $350 for a BC780XLT when you can get a 10-channel programmable base for $40?

Plus some may want to buy a portable and the base, but only one card to swap back and forth. Saves $329...

- Rob

539. Re: Trade RS Pro 93 For Sony 7600GS Or...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 18:12:58 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KK%_7.3597$gG4.1325965@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Would also consider trading for a Sony AN-LP1 or TorsoTuner for SW freqs and
$100 for my like new RS Pro 93.
DeWayne KE9K

> Have a two week old Radio Shack Pro 93 trunk tracker handheld scanner to
> trade for a Sony 7600GS system in new cond. also.
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

540. For Trade.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 18:24:02 UTC
From: news <kusw21@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6V%_7.28997$o71.2961996970@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54212

I have the following for trade.
1 Pro-2006 with box and manual. In excelent condition.
2 Pro-26 unblocked with manuals and no box. Also in great condition.
3 Sony icf7600g no box and no manuals but in great condition.
I will trade either the Pro-2006 or the Pro-26 and Sony radio as a pacage.
I am looking for a Pro-43 in good condition. Please email with any
questions.

541. Re: Finally - price info on Uniden Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 18:25:54 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3p2npslj29b28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote in message
news:N2%_7.2434$75.1910252@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
> news:u3opp8ldacu70e@corp.supernews.com...
> > The price sucks... Go figure, why would anybody buy the new APCO-25
> > scanner, and NOT want the digital card?
>
> Because they may want all the features but don't need digital?

Ok, you took that wrong... And were quite snippy about it too... Geesh man, dump an ice cube tray down your pants and chill!

If they don't need the digital, then they should buy the 780 instead, no point in waiting just to get some extra channels... Pretty rediculous if you ask me.. If I didn't need the digital capabilities, I wouldn't be bothering myself to wait that long considering the scanners are practically identical (base units anyway). I can see plainly why they would buy the portable, as there currently isn't a portable that is comparable, feature-wise.

> How's that sound.

Pretty rude...

> Why do people pay $350 for a BC780XLT when you can get a 10-channel
> programmable base for $40?

Because they want the features, like you said... Common Sense... And like I said, you took my post wrong.. Not the first time it has happened, and certainly not the last I'm sure...

> Plus some may want to buy a portable and the base, but only one card to
swap
> back and forth. Saves $329...

This is true. About the only point I can completely agree with you upon...

PH

542. Re: Vancouver BC Fire goes Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 18:45:19 UTC
From: digitalradioscanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1a565712.0201091045.15f4140b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54213

> > > What abt Victoria BC?
> > >

Victoria is in the process of installing an area wide digital network.
All public safety agencies in the area will be switching over to this
system. The system, called CREST, will be online as early as late
spring. Check out their website at http://www.crest.bc.ca

543. Re: Trade RS Pro 93 For Sony 7600GS Or...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 18:49:39 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a1i3c5$64u$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 54214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KK%_7.3597$gG4.1325965@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Would also consider trading for a Sony AN-LP1 or TorsoTuner for SW freqs
and
> $100 for my like new RS Pro 93.
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> > Have a two week old Radio Shack Pro 93 trunk tracker handheld scanner to
> > trade for a Sony 7600GS system in new cond. also.
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >

I think I like your name better, but it's Torus Tuner. I suppose we're all
torso tuners in our own rights.

544. Re: trunk scanning--how does it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 19:00:52 UTC
From: Karl Agee <kdagee2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C3C9364.595B76EF@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54215

Interesting...thanks!

--karl

545. Re: Bearcat 950 XLT with CTCSS Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 19:15:42 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <yF0%7.24910$B61.9755752@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 54216

huh...there it is. I'd never heard of a "950" before learn sumthun every
day...even at my ripe old age
--
Harry Marnell
An anachronism in his own time
"Salty" <1ez@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:3C3C6642.9B3D04AD@mediaone.net...
Ends today 1906 PST
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1318288546

546. Re: Finally - price info on Uniden Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 19:33:06 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <SV0%7.24935$B61.9760213@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 54217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well I sure might buy one. Here's where I'm coming from, PH - I don't have or need even a trunktracking scanner in the semi-rural area where I live...right now most everything is VHF-high band repeater. (Scanning heaven) So i haven't bothered with a whiz-bang 780 when my 760 and BC2500 take care of just about everything except my occasional travels to L.A. or San Fran.

But within a very few years most everyone will be essentially "forced" to go to narrower bandwidth radios, which for public safety will almost universally be P25 digital, if for no other reason than interoperability / mutual aid. So, when I decide I'm ready for my next scanner purchase I'd be very shortsighted NOT to invest in a radio that will be at least upgradeable to P25 when THAT time comes. I'll likely pay the $350 (once the thin has been on the streets a while and shows that it works) to begin with, and add the P25 card when/if I eventually need it.

I use my scanners a lot, and they last me a long time when I treat 'em right. I remember that I cringed when I had to pay like what - $300? $400? - for my BC2500 five or six years ago, but I can blow that much in one weekend trip somewhere and the money's gone like a fart in a whirlwind. I don't remember the price...but even if it was $400 it's still "paying me back" with its usefulness. ($400 over 6 years comes out to...18 cents a day or something?)

YMMV (everyone's does!)
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message news:u3opp8ldacu70e@corp.supernews.com...
> The price sucks... Go figure, why would anybody buy the new APCO-25
> scanner, and NOT want the digital card? It would be pretty stupid if you
> ask me, you may as well say the scanner is going to run us about $650 to
> $700... Not quite what I would call within the average consumers price
> range...
>
> PH
>
>
>

547. Re: www.sauderelectronics.com now online!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 20:43:52 UTC
From: old_radios@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7579bf83.0201091243.40380635@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It has a comma in the link, it is http://www.sauderelectronics.com,/

"Delayed Thinking" <delay@delaythinking.com> wrote in message
news:<m2E_7.6058$DG5.68545@rwcrnsc53.ops.asp.att.net>...
> why do you put a link at the top of your homepage that links to your
> homepage?
>
> also why is it broken.
> Doesn't anyone check this stuff
>
> "Ben Silverman" <ben@everyoneflydotcom> wrote in message
> news:Xns918DB7330711Ebeneveryoneflycom@209.249.90.101...
> > Hello all,
> >
> > Just wanted to let everyone know that Sauder Electronics is now online
> with
> > a secure catalog. This is the same Sauder Electronics you see at Hamfests
> > and at the Dayton shows. Many of you have spoken with Kathy Sauder about
> > getting a website together and she has finally delivered.
> >
> > Happy browsing, ordering, etc.
> >
> > http://www.sauderelectronics.com
> >
> > -Ben

548. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 22:24:36 UTC
From: AV <av@sover.net>
Message-ID: <3C3CC324.2012@sover.net>
Source record: 54244

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>

> Uhh, no, I'm not saying our government is illegitimate. I'm saying
> our government made a mistake, just like the proverbial naked emperor.
> Naked or not, he was no less an emperor --merely a silly one. There
> is a big difference between that and your comment.

If that is the case Jake, my apologies. These newsgroups are so filled with ...you know what's and you know who's... that it is easy to misconstrue thoughts sometimes. Just read your post about monitoring police and I respect that. Little brother is watching, right? :o)

av

549. Re: DC Power Dist. System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 22:44:01 UTC
From: Andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <3C3CC7B1.8060907@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 54219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Email to above or see post sig for address! wrote:

>
> I want to:
>
> 1) Banish the wall warts!

A noble endeavor indeed however you must keep in mind that all wall warts are not created equal. Some are 3 volts, some 6 volts, some 9 volts, etc. Some are 50 milliamps, some 100, some 500. Some may even be regulated, some are filtered, others are not.

> 2) Provide battery back up in event of power failure

Battery backup is not that hard to do, but it can be expensive and you must be selective on the battery type you use. Nicads are relatively cheap, but are not well suited for constant charging.

> 3) Provide for a way to charge the battery backup, a small solar panel would
> even be considered here.

It sounds to me like you might do better with a computer UPS.

> 4) Have a 13.8/12VDC as the primary level with say a 10-15A rating to start,
> expandable would be a plus, but to start may use a fixed level supply

Not sure what you are trying to power. Relatively speaking, 10-15 amps is not much.

> 5) Multiple DC outlets in a rack panel

MFJ makes a couple of devices similar to this. I have one on my ham station and run several radios off of it. I have another on my test bench.

> 6) Digital display of current voltage and current draw

Not that difficult to do. There are DC volt meters for about $15-20. I think I have seen current meters also but never paid much attention to them.

> 7) Digital display of current battery voltage

Again, not difficult to do.

> 8) DC-DC conversion ability to create needed DCV for other than 13.8/12V devices

Again, not that difficult to do, but you need to know what you are supplying and what it needs.

You mentioned banishing wall warts all over your house. Just remember, DC does not travel well over distances. There is a substantial drop over even modest distances, especially with higher currents.

550. 400 channel scanner 500-1300 MHz NO GAPS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 22:51:22 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C3CC96A.9050109@dccnet.com>
Source record: 54260

Hi there,
F/S Commtel COM510 400 CHANNEL SCANNER 500KHz-1300MHz *NO GAPS*
This is a very tiny hand held scanner,I bought this new from Javiation
See for more info and a picture http://www.javiation.co.uk/com510.html
This is the same radio as the Weltz-1000 (400 ch) or Weltz-2000 (1000 ch)
This is an excellent radio overall! (check out Strong Signals Review)
http://strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=rev&class=recv&num=004
It is in like new condition with box and manual.
I am open to serious offers only please on this radio!
Please e-mail me directly at rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

551. Re: Opinions on scanners for purchase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 23:28:37 UTC
From: New guy <nvrl8tca@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1z4%7.12739$qC1.2649321@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 54221
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Ruff,
I appreciate your help with the math :-) and your advice.
Thanks

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c3bd9ca$0$29800$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> That's 4 scanners not three ;-) . besides, you missed out what are
probably
> the best two; the Icom R-10 and Alinco DJ-X2000. I have one of each, and
> rate them both ahead of any of those that you mentioned, especially the
R-10
> which, although it doesn't have trunking, is the best handheld unit I have
> used. I have also owned/used the Icom R-2 and the Alinco DJ-X10, so I
can't
> be accused of 'because I own it, it's the best' mentality. I can't comment
> on the Yupiteru 7300, nor for that matter, any of the Yupiteru range, but
> I'm sure there will be other users/owners who can fill you in on that
brand
> of scanning receiver.
>
> Ruff
>
>
> "New guy" <nvrl8tca@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:DBo_7.5531$p04.1271280@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Assuming that all 3 of these scanners give me the capability that I am
> looking for, I am looking for some opinions on the following 3 scanners:
> Icom R2
> Alinco DJ-X2
> Alinco DJ-X10
> Yupiteru MVT-7300
> Any thoughts or comments regarding these would be appreciated.
> Thanks
>
>

552. What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 23:49:02 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OF4%7.3700$gG4.1485992@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call to complain?

DeWayne KE9K

553. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-09 23:58:52 UTC
From: Jack <jack@ncia.net>
Message-ID: <3C3CD93C.A711667F@ncia.net>
Source record: 54222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Hi, No never, got my stuff all the time, maybe a part time driver??.....Jack

DeWayne wrote:

> On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
> was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
> delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call to
> complain?
>
> DeWayne KE9K

554. Get User Manual for Radio Shack's Scanners & SW Radio on line for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 00:25:41 UTC
From: "P.M" <p.mohazzabi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9c5%7.9150$S51.1513@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54223

Get free User's Manual and faq sheets for the past and present Radio Shack's Scanner and SW Radioes on line for free.

Scanners: http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

SW Radioes: http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/3157.htm

555. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 00:57:08 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@delete-this.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <nkpp3u01q83ujuju21o38ohq75ulng87lp@4ax.com>
Source record: 54224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 23:49:02 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote:

>On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
>was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
>come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
>delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call to
>complain?
>
>DeWayne KE9K
>

Sounds like the driver was in a hurry today and didn't want to stop at
your house. From what I've experienced, these "deferred deliveries"
are not too uncommon.

Print the tracking page from ups.com so you have evidence.

Start by calling 1-800-742-5877 and give 'em hell.

556. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 01:17:54 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <6Z5%7.14555$rs1.1564377@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Hopefully the driver didn't go to the wrong house....

Unless of course it's my house ;-)

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:OF4%7.3700$gG4.1485992@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
> was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
> delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call
to
> complain?
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

557. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 01:29:19 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C3CEE6F.2080409@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 54226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

DeWayne wrote:

> On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
> was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
> delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call to
> complain?
>

I've had this happen a few times to me. Once it was deleivered to the
wrong address and UPS had to go back and get it from that address. When
UPS went to retrieve my package the people living there said "duh we
didn't get no package". As it turned out the "duh" people got a $300
scanner for free and I recieved another one in 2 days.

Better call and find out. UPS can be reached at 1-800-742-5877 Just
have your tracking number handy when calling.

Other times its happened UPS simply said "ops it will be really delieved
tomorrow". But then again if I were you I'd Call.

I run a mailorder business and since 9/11 both UPS and USPS have simply
sucked!

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-Military Frequncies presented in a new and
fresh format!

558. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 01:29:22 UTC
From: Ron Manfredi <wa2eio@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C3CEE6C.DC13963B@optonline.net>
Source record: 54227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Happened to me; luckily the package was dropped off to my by an honest neighbor! UPS was not much help when I phoned them to complain!

Ron

isobad wrote:

> Hopefully the driver didn't go to the wrong house....
>
> Unless of course it's my house ;-)
>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:OF4%7.3700$gG4.1485992@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
> > was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> > come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
> > delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call
> to
> > complain?
> >
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >

559. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 01:55:32 UTC
From: mankind@aol.com
Message-ID: <9191D9F70mankindaolcom@68.39.105.157>
Source record: 54228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

>On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning.
>I was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they
>did come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to
>accept the delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph.
>number I can call to complain?

IF they did try to deliver there should be a note thing on your door. If there is no note then they werent there.

--
73 !

John :)

560. Confused about Trunking on Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 02:24:08 UTC
From: Rob Rosenhouse <rrosenhouse@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9191D9B32B31Apinballwizhomecom@24.3.128.74>
Source record: 54229

I just purchased a RS Pro-93 scanner, and I am very confused on how to identify if a particular frequency in my area is trunked, and if so, how to enter it into the scanner.

I've looked thru the manual, but I'm still missing something. Is there a step-by-step guide, with examples of how to enter trunked frequencies into a Pro-93?

Thanks,
Rob

561. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 02:27:51 UTC
From: Pete Gianakopoulos <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <H_6%7.242488$WW.13088492@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

We have pretty poor service, here in the northwest suburbs of Chicago. Oftentimes, the driver doesn't even ring the bell; he just leaves the attempted delivery note. I was fortunate enough to get ahold of the local UPS depot. Whenever this happens, I immediately call up the facility and have them send the driver back to our place. I figure it this way; if the truant driver is forced to come back a second time, perhaps that person will think twice, next time he is to busy to do his job.
Now, I know that there are two sides to every story, and maybe that driver ran into a lot of "no shows", when trying to make deliveries, but c'mon, give us people a break! We are paying for shipping, so at least try to exhibit some semblance of reliability!

Pete KE9OA

Jack <jack@ncia.net> wrote in message news:3C3CD93C.A711667F@ncia.net...
> Hi, No never, got my stuff all the time, maybe a part time
driver??.....Jack
>
> DeWayne wrote:
>
> > On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning.
I
> > was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> > come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept
the
> > delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can
call to
> > complain?
> >
> > DeWayne KE9K
>

562. Re: Digital scanners and Motorola ASTRO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 02:55:22 UTC
From: dailhart@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9165a42e.0201091855.3655c7e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Okï¿½ let me backtrackï¿½

As far as I know, the current Motorola ASTRO-16 protocol is not the same as APCO-25 (also called ASTRO-25), although they use the same IMBE voice decoder (vocoder).

Since ASTRO-16 is basically Digital Smartnet, a scanner can follow the ID's on the control channel, and the IMBE chip can decode the voice. Since Uniden can already decode Smartnet, and IMBE is practically an open standard, then I suppose the new digital scanners are already and legally capable of decoding trunked Smartnet/ASTRO-16 radio systems (give or take a few $$$).

Am I on the right track?
JD

563. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 03:09:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16a6c23b7a22ac809896a8@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article dated Wed, 09 Jan 2002 05:43:43 GMT, norsutra@forguy.com
stated...

> Your not too bright, are you? How can it be VALID if it had the Jan 8th
> date???? Get it now? It was INVALID, WRONG, INCORRECT.

What a moron!

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

564. Re: Opinions on scanners for purchase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 04:30:46 UTC
From: slime@slime.net
Message-ID: <3c3cc30d.20314430@news-server.new.rr.com>
Source record: 54235
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

All are good scanners its a matter of what options you are looking
for. I recently added the Alinco DJ-X2 to my collection . It kicks
some serious butt for it's size. I was impressed by it's sensitivity.
If you want this one try to get the unblocked version. you get more
for your money.

On Mon, 7 Jan 2002 16:27:40 -0500, "New guy" <nvrl8tca@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Assuming that all 3 of these scanners give me the capability that I am
>looking for, I am looking for some opinions on the following 3 scanners:
>Icom R2
>Alinco DJ-X2
>Alinco DJ-X10
>Yupiteru MVT-7300
>Any thoughts or comments regarding these would be appreciated.
>Thanks
>
>
>

565. Re: A question about mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 05:10:30 UTC
From: abc@asdf.net
Message-ID: <3c3d20ec.43342192@news.cowtown.net>
Source record: 54234

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 22:34:30 -0700, Bill <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com>
wrote:
Try "eham.net" and check out their "ham links" section, this should
bring you to a pull down box where mods can be selected. It should
give you various links you can check out. I caution you however be
very careful in believing anything you read here or elsewhere. There
are many pieces of false information passed around and commonly
believed. Consult a trusted electronics specialist before implementing
these mods. I may very well save you buying a new radio. Good Luck...
>I know this isn't the perfect group to ask this question in, but I
>checked on the shortwave group and it's a slugfest.
>Much finer people here!
>Anyway; I've got an old RS DX-390 portable receiver and I'd like to
>find a web page where I can get the mods for, had it once and lost it.
>I did the usual search and didn't come up with anything that covered
>what I need.
>The mods I'd like to have are:
>squelch mod; removed blanking between station while tuning.
>the proper external antenna connector mod, BNC connector.
>that use a cap and choke somewhere in the install.
>baseband audio tap mod: where to tap.
>
>TIA,
>Bill

566. Re: Confused about Trunking on Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 05:26:51 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a1j8mu$o4l$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 54236

"Rob Rosenhouse" <rrosenhouse@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9191D9B32B31Apinballwizhomecom@24.3.128.74...
> I just purchased a RS Pro-93 scanner, and I am very confused on how to
> identify if a particular frequency in my area is trunked, and if so, how
to
> enter it into the scanner.
>
> I've looked thru the manual, but I'm still missing something. Is there a
> step-by-step guide, with examples of how to enter trunked frequencies into
> a Pro-93?
>
> Thanks,
> Rob

Rob-

I agree 1000%--the manual is pretty bad. Have you joined the Pro-93 Yahoo
Group? Lots of good stuff there, and very possibly your answer-and
certainly the opportunity to ask folks who know a whole lot about that
scanner.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-93/?yguid=77213661

567. Re: Who thinks listening to Cordless phones is illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 05:52:57 UTC
From: dev <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <Z_9%7.9833$cr5.654748@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 54237

I just do it the easy way. Live here in Canada and not worry if it is illegal or not. It may not be the best place to live. But we are peaceful and don't have many many restrictions.

Dev

"William Ferguson" <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:u3hn5itkfku216@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually cordless phones aren't illegal. The law states any transmission
> that ends or begins from a wire can't be monitor but it has a specific
> expection for cordless phones my guess is the cordless industry didn't pay
> as much as the cellular does so they didnt' get their names one the guest
> list back in '86 and '94
>
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
> news:NODY7.230$k7.142990@monger.newsread.com...
> > Alrighty folks, here's the simple skinny. Yes it is illegal to listen
to
> > cordless and cellular frequencies. But if no one knows you have that
> > capability, how are they gonna bust ya?
> >
> > If you have the capability to monitor those frequencies, shut the hell
up
> > about it and DON'T tell anyone about anything you might have heard, as
> well
> > as the capabilities of your equipment. Its just that simple. Enjoy it,
> but
> > keep quiet about it.
> >
> > Same legally applies for most anything that you can legally monitor
other
> > than ham frequencies, if you hear it, don't repeat it.
> >
> > It is the big mouthed people who have ruined a good portion of what we
can
> > listen to legally any more.
> >
> > Ryan
> > KC8PMX
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> > "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
> > news:G3rY7.138388$lV4.22983621@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > In the US, it IS ILLEGAL to monitor cellular and cordless phone
> > > > transmissions.
> > >
> > > No, it is illegal to think that any analog RF signal is secure!
> > >
> > > Roland
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

568. Arizona Radio Sales Website Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 06:08:25 UTC
From: ravenfalls@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020110010825.17325.00000872@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 54238

Hello all,

Please take a look at the following website for good deals on items from radios to GPS units & Night Vision:

http://www.azradio.8k.com

Thanks,

Joe

569. Cherokee AH-27 CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 06:08:45 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C3D2FED.8030007@dccnet.com>
Source record: 54292

```
Ends today!
Check it out!
ebay View Item & item = 1317487286
```

570. Re: DC Power Dist. System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 06:52:38 UTC
From: kilmister <kilmister@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <la8%7.3260$dc.838656@brie.direct.ca>
Source record: 54233
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Andy" <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net> wrote in message
news:3C3CC7B1.8060907@roanoke.infi.net...
>
>
> Email to above or see post sig for address! wrote:
>
> >
> > I want to:
> >
> > 1) Banish the wall warts!
>
>
> A noble endeavor indeed however you must keep in mind that all wall
> warts are not created equal. Some are 3 volts, some 6 volts, some 9
> volts, etc. Some are 50 milliamps, some 100, some 500. Some may even
> be regulated, some are filtered, others are not.

Simple regulator circuits off the 12vdc will take care of different
voltages, even if you use the car adapter type that allow you to select
different voltages. just keep in mind the current draw. add up the current
draw to get maximum amount required.

>
> > 2) Provide battery back up in event of power failure
>
>
> Battery backup is not that hard to do, but it can be expensive and you
> must be selective on the battery type you use. Nicads are relatively
> cheap, but are not well suited for constant charging.
>
>
> > 3) Provide for a way to charge the battery backup, a small solar panel
would
> > even be considered here.
>
>
> It sounds to me like you might do better with a computer UPS.
>

i would agree that a ups would be a quick way to set up but the batteries
in a ups will sulfate also. i would pull the plug every 3-4wks to allow it
to cycle the battery.

> > 4) Have a 13.8/12VDC as the primary level with say a 10-15A rating to start,
> > expandable would be a plus, but to start may use a fixed level supply
>
>
> Not sure what you are trying to power. Relatively speaking, 10-15 amps
> is not much.
>
10-15A is a lot of juice for receivers, answering machines etc. if transmitters are involved yes maybe you have to watch the current. i have a 25amp supply but never peak over 7amps with all the crap i have on it when transmitting 40w on 2m.

> > 5) Multiple DC outlets in a rack panel
>
>
> MFJ makes a couple of devices similar to this. I have one on my ham
> station and run several radios off of it. I have another on my test bench.
>

terminal strips make a good point to tie the + and - wires to. use a separate strip for each polarity to ease confusion and minimizing shorting when hooking up.

> > 6) Digital display of current voltage and current draw
>
>
> Not that difficult to do. There are DC volt meters for about $15-20. I
> think I have seen current meters also but never paid much attention to them.
>
>

i use panel meters - often easy to get at surplus stores

> > 7) Digital display of current battery voltage
>
>
> Again, not difficult to do.
>
>
> > 8) DC-DC conversion ability to create needed DCV for other than 13.8/12V devices
>
>
> Again, not that difficult to do, but you need to know what you are
> supplying and what it needs.
>
> You mentioned banishing wall warts all over your house. Just remember,
> DC does not travel well over distances. There is a substantial drop
> over even modest distances, especially with higher currents.
>

lamp cord for medium runs or use romex for longer runs and put in another terminal strip (like a run to a garage) i ran a 16 pair cable to my garage for running power and other comms.

571. HR2510 Trade For Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 07:24:31 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c3d419f.275900624@news.mint.net>
Source record: 54239

HR2510 Trade For Scanner

HR2510 in good condition (7 on a 10 scale)(works fine)with seperate s-meter mod and d104mb6,manual,new face plate,ext speaker,.....looking for a high end scanner in trade.....sky444@mint.net

572. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 07:41:59 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a1jgg2$r7fua$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 54240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

"Will" <k7kkg@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
news:slsp3ugpao63lq4deir2ba651bsitapq3q@4ax.com...
>
> Call the 800 number that's on their web page, the driver probably
> tried to deliver it to the wrong house...coulda been worse, he might
> have left it on the porch of the wrong house!

Or they could have hidden it from you... once a few years ago I ordered an antenna from Universal. Waited and waited for it to arrive. Finally I called them, they checked with UPS and UPS said that I signed for it. Well I knew I didn't sign for it, so I started looking around. Sure enough, it was in my garage (which I rarely used). No note left, nothing. At least it was in my garage and not someone else's.

Tom

573. Most popular unblocked scanner????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 07:42:32 UTC
From: nctrnlboy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <11d9990e.0201092342.640874e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54241

Hello
I have dabbled in the scanning hobby for about 5 years now (still an amateur.....the only scanners I have owned are the bearcat sc150 & bct-7 ....I think those are the correct ones, I am typing this from memory here at work).
Anyway I am planning a trip to the UK & was planning on purchasing a scanner (unblocked of course)for myself & i had an idea to buy a couple more to resell here in the US for a few extra bucks. I have more or less been out of the hobby for a year or so & am not up on what is a popular unblocked scanner that would be easy to sell here in the US. I know the importation of an unblocked scanner is illegal.....yada yada yada, but i figure i could recoup some of the cost of my trip by doing this. Any imput would help..........Thanks
James

574. Re: Get User Manual for Radio Shack's Scanners & SW Radio on line for free

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 08:49:04 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C3D5580.82C94E12@mitre.org>
Source record: 54242

Thanks for the links! I've used the scanner site for a year now and have
wonder if
they supported Short Wave radios.
A great us of this information is to PREVIEW a radio BEFORE slapping down
hard
earned money for a new or used radio and checking out the fact sheets for
specs can help in the search for a radio that will work in your area,
Another place to get information when looking for a scanner is:

<http://www.strongsignals.net>

Go to the bottom of the TRUNKING board and FREQUENCY board and check out
WHAT FREQUENCIES ARE USED in your town/city BEFORE purchasing a scanner
then, again, compare specifications and match them to radio that best
covers those frequencies . The MODILES section has reviews that supplement
the FAQ at the Shack site.
Jim
"P.M" wrote:

> Get free User's Manual and faq sheets for the past and present Radio
> Shack's Scanner and SW Radioes on line for free.
>
> Scanners: http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/2849.htm
>
> SW Radioes: http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/3157.htm

575. FS: RS Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 09:13:40 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8Xc%7.4112$gG4.1826238@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Two week old Radio Shack Pro 93 trunk tracker handheld scanner, manuals, box, rubber duck antenna, $140 shipped CONUS.

DeWayne KE9K

576. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 14:13:19 UTC
From: Bill <bill@NOSPAMbilljames.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a1ke7v$2km$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 54245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Well in the UK receiving a delivery not intended for you and then denying
you received it would be fraud or receiving stolen property.

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3C3CEE6F.2080409@licensed4fun.com...
>
> DeWayne wrote:
>
> > On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning.
I
> > was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> > come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept
the
> > delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can
call to
> > complain?
> >
>
>
> I've had this happen a few times to me. Once it was deleivered to the
> wrong address and UPS had to go back and get it from that address. When
> UPS went to retrieve my package the people living there said "duh we
> didn't get no package". As it turned out the "duh" people got a $300
> scanner for free and I recieved another one in 2 days.
>
> Better call and find out. UPS can be reached at 1-800-742-5877 Just
> have your tracking number handy when calling.
>
> Other times its happened UPS simply said "ops it will be really delieved
> tomorrow". But then again if I were you I'd Call.
>
> I run a mailorder business and since 9/11 both UPS and USPS have simply
> sucked!
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-Military Frequncies presented in a new and
> fresh format!
>
>

577. Re: Finally - price info on Uniden Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 15:27:42 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3rr0bnt7q8969@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I see where your coming from.... I still think the price kind of blows... I will be in need of a digital scanner in the near future, and it is definitely out of my price range... :(I'm in Michigan, and as everyone knows, the state system is APCO 25.. Largest in the nation if I recall correctly...

In my area, there is nothing Trucked as of yet, and currently the digital system in my area isn't in operation, at least that I know of. Most of the Upper portion of Michigan hasn't started using the system yet. The towers are up already, however. And when I say up, I mean UP.. Damn are those things tall! Must be because of the hilly terrain. I've never seen a tower that tall before... I think they said something like 2,500 feet tall? I'm probably way off with that figure, but oh well.. The local tower has so many sets of flashing lights, it looks like a Christmas tree..

PH

"Harry Marnell" <marnells@home.com> wrote in message
news:SV0%7.24935$B61.9760213@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> Well I sure might buy one. Here's where I'm coming from, PH - I don't
have
> or need even a trunktracking scanner in the semi-rural area where I
> live...right now most everything is VHF-high band repeater. (Scanning
> heaven) So i haven't bothered with a whiz-bang 780 when my 760 and BC2500
> take care of just about everything except my occasional travels to L.A. or
> San Fran.
>
> But within a very few years most everyone will be essentially "forced" to
go
> to narrower bandwidth radios, which for public safety will almost
> universally be P25 digital, if for no other reason than interoperability /
> mutual aid. So, when I decide I'm ready for my next scanner purchase I'd
be
> very shortsighted NOT to invest in a radio that will be at least
upgradeable
> to P25 when THAT time comes. I'll likely pay the $350 (once the thin has
> been on the streets a while and shows that it works) to begin with, and
add
> the P25 card when/if I eventually need it.
>
> I use my scanners a lot, and they last me a long time when I treat 'em
> right. I remember that I cringed when I had to pay like what - $300?
> $400? - for my BC2500 five or six years ago, but I can blow that much in
one

> weekend trip somewhere and the money's gone like a fart in a whirlwind. I
> don't remember the price...but even if it was $400 it's still "paying me
> back" with its usefulness. ($400 over 6 years comes out to...18 cents a
day
> or something?)
>
> YMMV (everyone's does!)
> --
> Harry Marnell
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> History
>
>
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
> news:u3opp8ldacu70e@corp.supernews.com...
> > The price sucks... Go figure, why would anybody buy the new APCO-25
> > scanner, and NOT want the digital card? It would be pretty stupid if
you
> > ask me, you may as well say the scanner is going to run us about $650 to
> > $700... Not quite what I would call within the average consumers price
> > range...
> >
> > PH
> >
> >
> >
>
>

578. FOR SALE:- ICOM PCR-1000 with DSP UNIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 16:09:10 UTC
From: ishaqx@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <f8ed3f1d.0201100809.2599844f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi,

I've got a icom pcr 1000 with a dsp unit for sale. It is in very good condition and comes boxed complete with manuals, areial, power supply PC link and software. If anyone is interested please drop me an e-mail. I'm looking for around ï¿½200, but any reasonable offer will be concidered.

Kind Regards

579. Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 17:34:39 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <bobdnj1409@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pgk%7.317$Lq.18042@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone know where I can get a Scanner Pre-Amp?

Either off the web or locally here in NJ.

Thank you

RD

580. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 17:39:14 UTC
From: Spudman77 <Spudman77@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6lk%7.12130$F01.676679@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 54149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radio Shack !
"Robert Dubenezic" <bobdnj1409@aol.com> wrote in message
news:Pgk%7.317$Lq.18042@news02.optonline.net...
Anyone know where I can get a Scanner Pre-Amp?

Either off the web or locally here in NJ.

Thank you

RD

581. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 17:40:35 UTC
From: Robert Poole <k5dlt@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1ek%7.2342$4u5.32904@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try Radio Shack, while they aren't carried in stock by most stores they can have them shipped to
your door. The pre-amps are manufactured by GRE and they have 2 different models, one for base/mobile
and the other for handhelds!

"Robert Dubenezic" <bobdnj1409@aol.com> wrote in message news:Pgk%7.317$Lq.18042@news02.optonline.net...
Anyone know where I can get a Scanner Pre-Amp?

Either off the web or locally here in NJ.

Thank you

RD

582. WTB: Old ROBYN-Brand Crystal Scanners: ANY condition...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 18:01:06 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020110130106.10992.00000561@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 54150

Seeking to purchase ANY older "Robyn"-brand crystal-type scanners in any condition...working or not.Would prefer good PHYSICAL condition but will consider all no matter what shape they're in.Email me directly with detailed DESCRIPTION of radio:model #, and asking price.......

ALSO seeking a WORKING, very good to EXCELLENT condition, problem-free , Robyn model "4-Bander" xtal scanner for my own personal collection.Will pay FAIR price based on condition, etc.

ALL replies answered timely.

THANK YOU and Happy Scanning ! (<:

583. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 18:17:11 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <bobdnj1409@aol.com>
Message-ID: <HUk%7.2424$Lq.91670@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

is GRE the only brand??

584. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 18:34:28 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <dknr3ucgofqqhivebslm32hhjdju89tuie@4ax.com>
Source record: 54152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 23:49:02 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote:

>On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
>was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
>come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
>delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call to
>complain?
>
>DeWayne KE9K
>

I always like it when they leave something at my front door, don't
ring the bell, and it says on the website that I've signed for it.

585. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 18:59:14 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6wl%7.6069$oC.1109172@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 54153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Use US Postal Priority Mail. UPS is a horror and getting worse...

Dan
"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:OF4%7.3700$gG4.1485992@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
> was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
> delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call
to
> complain?
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

586. SP-21 FS or Trades

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 19:18:13 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VNI%7.306$_R.72461@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 54154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have the Motorola Radius SP 21 for sale for best reasonable offer.This is the multichannel unit.Also have a drop in charger for the battery.Please make a reasonable offer shipped from 01930.Thanks and I will take offers for trade for a nice hand held police scanner.Let me know what you got.Email me.

587. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 19:31:15 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u3rr0ccmbvid6a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You can get one from CRB Books as well... Not sure how the price compairs with Radio Shacks unit as suggested in other posts, but here's a link:

http://www.crbbooks.com/catalog_2/scanner_amplifiers.htm

Part of the page is missing, as the site is set up with frames...

PH

"Robert Dubenezic" <bobdnj1409@aol.com> wrote in message news:Pgk%7.317$Lq.18042@news02.optonline.net...
Anyone know where I can get a Scanner Pre-Amp?

Either off the web or locally here in NJ.

Thank you

RD

588. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 19:43:20 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c3dee24.16400021@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 54157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

I nip it in the bud.... i pay extra for fed ex.... all the rest are
getting worse.. by the minute.. it's worth it.. but if you want your
stuff better use FED ex....(no i don't work for them either.)been
using fed ex since 9/11 and have not had a problem since...INCL
website's that are not updated on timeOn Wed, 09 Jan 2002 23:49:02
GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote:

>On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
>was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
>come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
>delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call to
>complain?
>
>DeWayne KE9K
>
>

589. RE: POLICESCANER.COM/LAPD..... used to work... but now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 20:13:30 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c3df43f.17963109@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 54158

Hi all..
I was trying to listen to LAPD via policescanner.com.(it used to work just fine). but it didn't work... was it disabled due to LAPD being digital? i have tried it before and got the same message.. i was able to get SAN DIEGO PD to work.. but not LAPD.. perhaps it has something to do with it being "secure" transmission?
thanks gig@i-2000.com

590. Re: Digital scanners and Motorola ASTRO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 21:15:31 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b77caedf.0201101315.1bd72480@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

dailhart@yahoo.com (James Dailhart) wrote in message
news:<9165a42e.0201091855.3655c7e9@posting.google.com>...
> Okï¿½ let me backtrackï¿½
>
> As far as I know, the current Motorola ASTRO-16 protocol is not the
> same as APCO-25 (also called ASTRO-25), although they use the same
> IMBE voice decoder (vocoder).
>
> Since ASTRO-16 is basically Digital Smartnet, a scanner can follow the
> ID's on the control channel, and the IMBE chip can decode the voice.
> Since Uniden can already decode Smartnet, and IMBE is practically an
> open standard, then I suppose the new digital scanners are already and
> legally capable of decoding trunked Smartnet/ASTRO-16 radio systems
> (give or take a few $$$).
>
> Am I on the right track?
> JD
Would you, by any chance know which Astro Calgary Police Service uses?

591. BC248CLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 22:51:28 UTC
From: Support <support@rscomputers.org>
Message-ID: <4Ro%7.452$H82.264211@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 54160

My wife was good enough to get me a Uniden scanner for Christmas(bc248xlt), however it wont get 800mhz or better. Anyone if it can be modified to do so?

--
Thanks

592. WTB PRO 2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 23:37:25 UTC
From: "T.L.Wilson" <k9xyz@kiva.net>
Message-ID: <a1l8n9bgt1@topsy.kiva.net>
Source record: 54162

Anyone have a realistic Pro 2045 in excellent condition they would like to sell? If so e-mail me with info.
k9xyz@kiva.net
Thanks

593. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 23:38:28 UTC
From: Dan <n7slc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UBp%7.242$uA.4695@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 54161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a1jgg2$r7fua$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Will" <k7kkg@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
> news:slsp3ugpao63lq4deir2ba651bsitapq3q@4ax.com...
> >
> > Call the 800 number that's on their web page, the driver probably
> > tried to deliver it to the wrong house...coulda been worse, he might
> > have left it on the porch of the wrong house!
>
> Or they could have hidden it from you... once a few years ago I ordered an
> antenna from Universal. Waited and waited for it to arrive. Finally I
> called them, they checked with UPS and UPS said that I signed for it.
Well
> I knew I didn't sign for it, so I started looking around. Sure enough, it
> was in my garage (which I rarely used). No note left, nothing. At least
it
> was in my garage and not someone else's.
>
> Tom

I had a similar situation where I was waiting for a 2nd-day package to
arrive. It said it was in my area but was not delivered on the day is was
scheduled for. My wife doesn't work and she home all day. Checked the
website and it said they tried to deliver it but nobody home. They
rescheduled for the following day.
Spoke with a friend who works for UPS and he says that it's common for them
to do that when they're behind schedule. They do that to show that the
package was there within the 2-day shipping so the customer doesn't call
yelling.

FedEx or USPS guys, it's the only way!

Dan
>
>

594. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-10 23:57:57 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <vNp%7.3649$du.134476@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Same thing happened to me: Package never arrived, but UPS insisted it was
delivered. One
day I was mowing grass around the shrubbery. I found the box back between
the shrubs and
the house!

Jerry

Dan <n7slc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:UBp%7.242$uA.4695@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:a1jgg2$r7fua$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "Will" <k7kkg@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:slsp3ugpao63lq4deir2ba651bsitapq3q@4ax.com...
> > >
> > > Call the 800 number that's on their web page, the driver probably
> > > tried to deliver it to the wrong house...coulda been worse, he might
> > > have left it on the porch of the wrong house!
> >
> > Or they could have hidden it from you... once a few years ago I ordered
an
> > antenna from Universal. Waited and waited for it to arrive. Finally I
> > called them, they checked with UPS and UPS said that I signed for it.
> Well
> > I knew I didn't sign for it, so I started looking around. Sure enough,
it
> > was in my garage (which I rarely used). No note left, nothing. At
least
> it
> > was in my garage and not someone else's.
> >
> > Tom
>
> I had a similar situation where I was waiting for a 2nd-day package to
> arrive. It said it was in my area but was not delivered on the day is was
> scheduled for. My wife doesn't work and she home all day. Checked the
> website and it said they tried to deliver it but nobody home. They
> rescheduled for the following day.
> Spoke with a friend who works for UPS and he says that it's common for
them
> to do that when they're behind schedule. They do that to show that the
> package was there within the 2-day shipping so the customer doesn't call
> yelling.

>
> FedEx or USPS guys, it's the only way!
>
> Dan
> >
> >
>
>

595. Re: POLICESCANER.COM/LAPD..... used to work... but now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 00:47:11 UTC
From: Matthew Delaney <delaney@ucs.net>
Message-ID: <jCq%7.8801$Lq.954861@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54164

It's because it's digital...Nobody has a digital scanner yet, so the person streaming it can't stream it..
(They'd need to use a compatable commercial radio..probably don't want to spend the $)

"GaijinGig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message news:3c3df43f.17963109@news-server.optonline.net...
> Hi all..
> I was trying to listen to LAPD via policescanner.com.(it used to work
> just fine). but it didn't work... was it disabled due to LAPD being
> digital? i have tried it before and got the same message.. i was able
> to get SAN DIEGO PD to work.. but not LAPD.. perhaps it has something
> to do with it being "secure" transmission?
> thanks gig@i-2000.com

596. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 00:51:05 UTC
From: Matthew Delaney <delaney@ucs.net>
Message-ID: <ZFq%7.8817$Lq.964492@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

They have a code they put in there to indicate if they left it with a man or a woman (if they didn't sign) if they left it..I've had that happen, where the person (male) claimed they talked to the UPS driver about it being damaged, yet the driver indicated it was signed for by a woman.

"Greg" <greg@aiomedia.com> wrote in message news:dknr3ucgofqqhivebslm32hhjdju89tuie@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 23:49:02 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
> >was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> >come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
> >delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call to
> >complain?
> >
> >DeWayne KE9K
> >
>
> I always like it when they leave something at my front door, don't
> ring the bell, and it says on the website that I've signed for it.

597. Re: POLICESCANER.COM/LAPD..... used to work... but now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 01:10:12 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <UXq%7.9639$iM.364@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 54166

If you are interested in listening to online scanners. I would like to invite you to join our group below. Many scanners to listen to, and learn how to broadcast your own.

Randy

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

"GaijinGig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3c3df43f.17963109@news-server.optonline.net...
> Hi all..
> I was trying to listen to LAPD via policescanner.com.(it used to work
> just fine). but it didn't work... was it disabled due to LAPD being
> digital? i have tried it before and got the same message.. i was able
> to get SAN DIEGO PD to work.. but not LAPD.. perhaps it has something
> to do with it being "secure" transmission?
> thanks gig@i-2000.com

598. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 01:29:56 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <oer%7.5185$gG4.2293744@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Well here's what happened. I live in a townhouse. Rather than delivering the radio to the address on the shipping label, UPS delivered it to the office. Ridiculous, imo since I made sure I was here to receive the package.
DeWayne KE9K

> On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
> was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
> delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call
to
> complain?
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

599. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 02:06:03 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.10.21.10.47.789097.21625@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 54168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Thu, 10 Jan 2002 12:34:39 -0500, Robert Dubenezic wrote:

>
Anyone know where I can get a Scanner
> Pre-Amp?

Check out Radio Shack, but don't look for scanner amps necessarily.
TV/Cable amps work well, too. I had one here for a while that covered a
good portion of what I listened to on my scanner. The packaging should
tell you what frequencies the amp will cover.

Of course, you will need a few adapters to get the F connectors back to
PL-259, but that loss is almost nothing - relatively speaking.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

600. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 03:11:11 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C3E57E8.15B0B469@optonline.net>
Source record: 54169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

A radio preamp is designed to receive low level radio signals and
amplify them a small amount. They are designed to be low noise.

Cable amps are designed to receive moderate level signals and amplify
them to even higher levels. They are not designed to be low noise, but
to have high level output.

The two products are designed for different purposes, and are likely to
give better performance in the application for which they were designed.

Walt

John LeMay wrote:
>
> On Thu, 10 Jan 2002 12:34:39 -0500, Robert Dubenezic wrote:
>
> >
Anyone know where I can get a Scanner
> > Pre-Amp?

>
> Check out Radio Shack, but don't look for scanner amps necessarily.
> TV/Cable amps work well, too. I had one here for a while that covered a
> good portion of what I listened to on my scanner. The packaging should
> tell you what frequencies the amp will cover.
>
> Of course, you will need a few adapters to get the F connectors back to
> PL-259, but that loss is almost nothing - relatively speaking.
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.1.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
> Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

601. Auto AM/FM antenna adaptor for scanner use ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 03:24:59 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0201101924.51aa6de2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54170

Anyone ever use the AM/FM auto antenna coax adaptor for scanner ues ?

I realize the standard auto antenna is not cut for scanner freqs,
however I've never really heard any user comments on it's pros/cons.

602. Re: this makes your penis bigger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 04:01:13 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <dst%7.9783$Lq.1539324@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba
Body handling: 1 inline base64-encoded payload block was not displayed

```
Scanners make you penis BIGGER!!???
WOW!!!

<znhmfx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Flh%7.4237$Q31.24379@news.uswest.net...
> I found this interesting article on penis development:
>
> " Amazing excersise system to improve your sex life, increase your
> penis size, and help to reduce the risk of prostate cancer"
>
> The cost is less than $40.00 and seems good value, please if you intend on
looking at this link,
> I will let you know that it is non-porn, however there are a few "graphic"
pics.
>
> go to http://zlap.to/pdevelop
>
> cya, Im off to bed now
> zzz
>

[Inline base64-encoded payload not displayed]

>
```

603. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 04:09:39 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <7At%7.9816$Lq.1563563@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

I'm expecting them tomorrow. I have surveillance cameras so they better not play!! Can you say smile you're on candid camera. This is 2002. Caller ID and X10s! Don't play around no more!!

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message news:OF4%7.3700$gG4.1485992@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
> was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
> delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call to
> complain?
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

604. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 04:09:58 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3C3E6596.14F22B27@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 54171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Don't deal with UPS period. Took month to collect insurance on scout model 40.
After all that, found it month later.

John Medica - Listening-Post
Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

605. Re: Opinions on scanners for purchase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 04:13:02 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <iDt%7.9826$Lq.1573771@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54173
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How do you like the DJ-X10?? Compare the dimensions to a RS portable.

Who has the DJ-X10K in stock anyway???

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c3bd9ca$0$29800$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> That's 4 scanners not three ;-) . besides, you missed out what are
probably
> the best two; the Icom R-10 and Alinco DJ-X2000. I have one of each, and
> rate them both ahead of any of those that you mentioned, especially the
R-10
> which, although it doesn't have trunking, is the best handheld unit I have
> used. I have also owned/used the Icom R-2 and the Alinco DJ-X10, so I
can't
> be accused of 'because I own it, it's the best' mentality. I can't comment
> on the Yupiteru 7300, nor for that matter, any of the Yupiteru range, but
> I'm sure there will be other users/owners who can fill you in on that
brand
> of scanning receiver.
>
> Ruff
>
>
> "New guy" <nvrl8tca@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:DBo_7.5531$p04.1271280@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Assuming that all 3 of these scanners give me the capability that I am
> looking for, I am looking for some opinions on the following 3 scanners:
> Icom R2
> Alinco DJ-X2
> Alinco DJ-X10
> Yupiteru MVT-7300
> Any thoughts or comments regarding these would be appreciated.
> Thanks
>
>

606. Re: WTB PRO 2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 04:54:18 UTC
From: Finalquest <finalquest@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_du%7.20959$Vz3.2172481@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54175

How much do you want to spend???
I have one in X-C-Lent condition.
I'm in New York state, US. Do you want to pick it up in person ??? It even
has the CTCSS board.

"T.L.Wilson" <k9xyz@kiva.net> wrote in message
news:a1l8n9bgt1@topsy.kiva.net...
> Anyone have a realistic Pro 2045 in excellent condition they would like to
> sell? If so e-mail me with info.
> k9xyz@kiva.net
> Thanks
>
>

607. BC560XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 05:03:07 UTC
From: Ken Foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehu%7.5472$GP2.352393@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54176

The scanner acts as if it does not get a signal from the antenna. It acts as if it is scanning but when I press the 'WX' button it only blinks. I have resoldered the external antenna jack and still nothing. It worked fine and I turned it off for a couple of weeks then back on and nothing. Is there a sight etc. where I could get a schematic/manual for the scanner. I have 2 and the other one works fine. When I unplug the antenna from the one that works and press the 'WX' button it also only blinks.. This scanner has a lot of life yet if I can get it to work properly.

H E L P .

608. Re: Help needed: BC-560XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 05:18:18 UTC
From: kenfoshee@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e11f8a29.0201102118.65ce7273@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54177

I checked the antenna and reflowed the solder. Still nothing. Any
other suggestions. I know a little about electronics from working on
older tube type radios.

bs <sbs20@cvip.net> wrote in message news:<3C337F0E.2C7FB002@cvip.net>...
> We use a lot of those scanners in our cars at work.In the mobile
> environment they are subject to lots of abuse and vibration.A problem we
> have had is the solder connection on the PC board breaking at the DC
> connection jack and the antenna plug.This has the effect of internally
> disconnecting the antenna from the scanner,so...no signal.Open up your
> radio and check that connection...reflow the solder joint and check the
> area of signal input at the front end of the radio (antenna input circuit)
> for any cracks in the PC board.Good luck.
> Shane.
>
> Ken Foshee wrote:
>
> > I have 2 of these scanners I have been using and one has quit
> > recieving. It comes on, scans and the squelch works. My problem is for
> > example is when I press the "WX" for weather the light only blinks no
> > sound. I disconnected the antenna from the 2nd scanner (that works)
> > and pressed the "WX" button and the light only blinks. It is as if the
> > 1st scanner is not reconizing a signal.
> > Any advise or suggestions will be greatly appreciated. The scanners
> > are older but still do fine for my needs.

609. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 05:24:16 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <servfsr@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <a1lstt$q1a$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>
Source record: 54178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

My UPS story...

Some lame "credit building" company got my name and address from someone, and shipped me a "credit management" kit, for which they promptly billed me $400... well, thing is A) I didn't order or ask for this kit and B) Though it was delivered to my front porch by UPS one day while I was at work, I never signed for it. UPS wrote down that I HAD signed for it. This company kept harassing me, trying to get $400 out of me. After maybe 50 harassing phone calls, I contacted the Oregon Atty. General, and he told me to ignore them, and if they called or wrote me again, to tell them that a complaint had been lodged against them.... They never called me again after I told them that.. trouble is, they also filed a negative credit report on me that the bureau will not remove.. so UPS ended up costing me my credit.. :(

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:OF4%7.3700$gG4.1485992@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning. I
> was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept the
> delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can call
to
> complain?
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

610. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 05:32:47 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3Ou%7.7468$OH4.1320643@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 54179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

You should have simply RETURNED the "kit" with proper proof and
documentation and that would have been it. Period.

Dan
"Brenda Ann" <servfsr@kornet.net> wrote in message
news:a1lstt$q1a$1@hiline.shinbiro.com...
> My UPS story...
>
> Some lame "credit building" company got my name and address from someone,
> and shipped me a "credit management" kit, for which they promptly billed
me
> $400... well, thing is A) I didn't order or ask for this kit and B) Though
> it was delivered to my front porch by UPS one day while I was at work, I
> never signed for it. UPS wrote down that I HAD signed for it. This company
> kept harassing me, trying to get $400 out of me. After maybe 50 harassing
> phone calls, I contacted the Oregon Atty. General, and he told me to
ignore
> them, and if they called or wrote me again, to tell them that a complaint
> had been lodged against them.... They never called me again after I told
> them that.. trouble is, they also filed a negative credit report on me
that
> the bureau will not remove.. so UPS ended up costing me my credit.. :(
>
>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:OF4%7.3700$gG4.1485992@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning.
I
> > was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> > come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept
the
> > delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can
call
> to
> > complain?
> >
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >
>
>

611. Re: this makes your penis bigger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 06:15:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a1lvpn$r1kqc$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 54180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:dst%7.9783$Lq.1539324@news02.optonline.net...
> Scanners make you penis BIGGER!!???
> WOW!!!

only if you listen to a really hot cellphone call....

612. ANN: AFS-780 available for free download!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 06:38:26 UTC
From: Avraam <avraam3334@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CLv%7.8131$JF.80118@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 54181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I am pleased to announce the first public beta release of
Avraam's Free Software for the BC 780XLT (AFS780).

You can download it from web site at:
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt

Thank you all for your help.
-Avraam
Jan 11, 2002

613. Re: Opinions on scanners for purchase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 06:41:10 UTC
From: John <johnstinkyfinger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <162t3uoonr5ij3fao36vosdbfa831q2j1d@4ax.com>
Source record: 54182

I bought an R2 and was sadly disappointed in it.
Mine was purchased for MilComms and since I live a mile away from an
airforce base it should have been easy. But this rarely picked it up.
I sold it and now own a RS Pro-60 and it rocks. Picks up everything on
that base. and with another ant. I can pickup the refueling that is
being done several hundred miles away. So skip the R2.

On Fri, 11 Jan 2002 04:13:02 GMT, "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com>
wrote:

>How do you like the DJ-X10?? Compare the dimensions to a RS portable.
>
>Who has the DJ-X10K in stock anyway???
>
>"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
>news:3c3bd9ca$0$29800$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>> That's 4 scanners not three ;-) . besides, you missed out what are
>probably
>> the best two; the Icom R-10 and Alinco DJ-X2000. I have one of each, and
>> rate them both ahead of any of those that you mentioned, especially the
>R-10
>> which, although it doesn't have trunking, is the best handheld unit I have
>> used. I have also owned/used the Icom R-2 and the Alinco DJ-X10, so I
>can't
>> be accused of 'because I own it, it's the best' mentality. I can't comment
>> on the Yupiteru 7300, nor for that matter, any of the Yupiteru range, but
>> I'm sure there will be other users/owners who can fill you in on that
>brand
>> of scanning receiver.
>>
>> Ruff
>>
>>
>> "New guy" <nvrl8tca@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:DBo_7.5531$p04.1271280@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> Assuming that all 3 of these scanners give me the capability that I am
>> looking for, I am looking for some opinions on the following 3 scanners:
>> Icom R2
>> Alinco DJ-X2
>> Alinco DJ-X10
>> Yupiteru MVT-7300
>> Any thoughts or comments regarding these would be appreciated.
>> Thanks
>>
>>

>

614. Re: Confused about Trunking on Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 07:02:59 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020111020259.07945.00000793@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 54184

Good thing about the Pro-93, all you need to enter are the data channels (only one active data channel active at any particular time for any one trunked system). Just find a 800 mhz freq with computer noise, enter it in the "MO" mode, leave the bank in the 'OPEN' mode and listen away.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

615. Re: Mods for 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 07:24:01 UTC
From: Frodo <frodo2209+++++@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <d12t3u4raullbujjs591httsnr0gouhupg@4ax.com>
Source record: 54183

On Fri, 04 Jan 2002 03:35:27 GMT, jlemay@njmc.com wrote:

>On 03 Jan 2002 04:37:05 GMT, farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69) wrote:
>
>>Listing to cell phone calls may be illegal in the US and Other countries??
>
>Maybe not for long. According to this month's PopCom there is talk in
>Washington to repeal the ECPA. The argument is that it would be simple
>for terrorist organizations to limit their communications to these so
>called "protected" and "private" frequencies.
Yes it is illegal but who is lislisting?
Open the CPU compartment cut a leg of diode 513 it could be on either
side of the circute board depending on how old the scanner is. you
can suck out this diode and put in in the empty place where diode 514
would have been to increase scan speeds. it is not much of an increase
but it works and helps some, or you can put in the place of diode 510
and add 100 more channels.400 instead of the stock 300. observing the
same poliarty as the other diodes. if you want both mods just get
1N4148 or 1N914 diodes to put in these places.
Later

616. Re: Going to buy and have a question (Rate the Pro-2052 or the BC895XLT pls)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 07:52:05 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C3E99A5.CBA918BD@mitre.org>
Source record: 54185

The PRO 2052 will only do conventional or trunking i.e.: Motorola and GE Ericsson. However with Radio Shack software
the PRO 2052 will, under software control and your PC dedicated to this application, do both conventional and trunking, at the same time.
Check out the TRUNKING board at <http://www.strongsignals.net> the review of the trunking radios
down at the bottom, below the messaging pain has the complete details, to compare these radios.
The Uniden BC 780 XLT will do all that your interested in right out of the box. It has a computer interface also,
and of course costs a lot more!
Jim

John wrote:

> I am in the market for a base scanner. Should i get the Pro-2052 or
> the BC895XLT? Both have what I want. Trunking and MilAir. Milair is
> mostly what I want but want the trunking as well. I have read one will
> only allow scanning either trunked or conventional. Both would be
> nice.
>
> Thanks!
>
> KC0LHJ

617. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 07:53:28 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <servfsr@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <a1m5ln$2qb$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>
Source record: 54186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

That would have cost me the price of the shipping. The AG told me I was not liable for anything that was shipped to me without my consent... Oh well, ancient history now..

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:3Ou%7.7468$OH4.1320643@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> You should have simply RETURNED the "kit" with proper proof and
> documentation and that would have been it. Period.
>
> Dan
> "Brenda Ann" <servfsr@kornet.net> wrote in message
> news:a1lstt$q1a$1@hiline.shinbiro.com...
> > My UPS story...
> >
> > Some lame "credit building" company got my name and address from someone,
> > and shipped me a "credit management" kit, for which they promptly billed
> me
> > $400... well, thing is A) I didn't order or ask for this kit and B) Though
> > it was delivered to my front porch by UPS one day while I was at work, I
> > never signed for it. UPS wrote down that I HAD signed for it. This company
> > kept harassing me, trying to get $400 out of me. After maybe 50 harassing
> > phone calls, I contacted the Oregon Atty. General, and he told me to
> ignore
> > them, and if they called or wrote me again, to tell them that a complaint
> > had been lodged against them.... They never called me again after I told
> > them that.. trouble is, they also filed a negative credit report on me
> that
> > the bureau will not remove.. so UPS ended up costing me my credit.. :(
> >
> >
> > "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:OF4%7.3700$gG4.1485992@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this morning.
> I
> > > was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they did
> > > come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept

> the
> > > delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can
> call
> > to
> > > complain?
> > >
> > > DeWayne KE9K
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

618. help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 12:28:33 UTC
From: canyon1@onebox.com
Message-ID: <b9232b6f.0201110428.2c233269@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54187

Hello,
I am looking for help or advice on a new project. I want to create a site devoted to bringing in information on topics such as scanner modifications, top-secret frequencies, shortwave radio enhancements, antenna building, and other related topics. I would use a free group service such as yahoo groups to make the site serve as a place to post useful information. If I get the site up with in the next week and if I see an interest in the site I will release a monthly online magazine at the end of each month.
I would appreciate any help I could get. Please send me a message with any suggestions to my email address so I can make the site the best place on the web for scanner modifications and other radio related enhancements.

619. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 13:09:17 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <9tot3ugaflhifc06mhva3mpr5p4iqjobqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 54188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Speaking of UPS, and hopefully bringing us back on topic a bit more, anyone know what frequencies the UPS hub in Rockford, IL is using? I saw on a google search freqs for a motorola 900mhz trunked system, but I programmed that in and heard nothing for a couple days, so I dont think they're using that anymore.

On Fri, 11 Jan 2002 16:53:28 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <servfsr@kornet.net> wrote:

>That would have cost me the price of the shipping. The AG told me I was not
>liable for anything that was shipped to me without my consent... Oh well,
>ancient history now..
>
>
>"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:3Ou%7.7468$OH4.1320643@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
>> You should have simply RETURNED the "kit" with proper proof and
>> documentation and that would have been it. Period.
>>
>> Dan
>> "Brenda Ann" <servfsr@kornet.net> wrote in message
>> news:a1lstt$q1a$1@hiline.shinbiro.com...
>> > My UPS story...
>> >
>> > Some lame "credit building" company got my name and address from
>someone,
>> > and shipped me a "credit management" kit, for which they promptly billed
>> me
>> > $400... well, thing is A) I didn't order or ask for this kit and B)
>Though
>> > it was delivered to my front porch by UPS one day while I was at work, I
>> > never signed for it. UPS wrote down that I HAD signed for it. This
>company
>> > kept harassing me, trying to get $400 out of me. After maybe 50
>harassing
>> > phone calls, I contacted the Oregon Atty. General, and he told me to
>> ignore
>> > them, and if they called or wrote me again, to tell them that a
>complaint
>> > had been lodged against them.... They never called me again after I told
>> > them that.. trouble is, they also filed a negative credit report on me
>> that
>> > the bureau will not remove.. so UPS ended up costing me my credit.. :(
>> >

>> >
>> > "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
>> > news:OF4%7.3700$gG4.1485992@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
>> > > On UPS's web site it says they tried to deliver my package this
>morning.
>> I
>> > > was here waiting and they did not come here. Why would they say they
>did
>> > > come here? I'm not too happy because I made sure I was here to accept
>> the
>> > > delivery. Anyone else have this problem? Is there a ph. number I can
>> call
>> > to
>> > > complain?
>> > >
>> > > DeWayne KE9K
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>

620. Re: Most popular unblocked scanner????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 15:06:46 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <V5D%7.91368$Z2.1304822@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 54189

If you are going to buy an unblocked scanner I would buy it in Canada as we have the same bandplan as the US. The UK's is different.

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK
"j" <nctrnlboy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11d9990e.0201092342.640874e6@posting.google.com...
> Hello
> I have dabbled in the scanning hobby for about 5 years now (still an
> amateur.....the only scanners I have owned are the bearcat sc150 &
> bct-7 ....I think those are the correct ones, I am typing this from
> memory here at work).
> Anyway I am planning a trip to the UK & was planning on purchasing a
> scanner (unblocked of course)for myself & i had an idea to buy a
> couple more to resell here in the US for a few extra bucks. I have
> more or less been out of the hobby for a year or so & am not up on
> what is a popular unblocked scanner that would be easy to sell here in
> the US. I know the importation of an unblocked scanner is
> illegal.....yada yada yada, but i figure i could recoup some of the
> cost of my trip by doing this. Any imput would help...........Thanks
> James

621. Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 16:06:46 UTC
From: Charlie Durand <charlie_durand@agilent.com>
Message-ID: <1010765230.67601@cswreg.cos.agilent.com>
Source record: 54190

Hey gang,

I know it's not allowed and that new units are blocked from receiving the frequencies used by cellphones but even if they weren't blocked anymore is it even possible to monitor cell phones anymore? When I say possible I mean possible with little or no real extra work on the part of the listener. I don't need to hear that the government can do it no problem. I'm not the government.

I have a scannner than can receive these frequencies and hear junk. I assume it's all the digital phones out there. Way way back in the day when analog phones still ruled the airwaves I could actually hear people. I think the only people still using analog-only phones are my grandparents because "it works just fine for me" regardless of the fact their phone is the size of a shoebox.

My main question is why bother blocking cell phone frequencies anymore since all the phones are digital?

Ok, that's all.

Thanks!

622. Re: www.sauderelectronics.com now online!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 16:09:07 UTC
From: Ben Silverman <ben@everyoneflydotcom>
Message-ID: <Xns9193711A6E93Ebeneveryoneflycom@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 54191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OldRadioGuy et al,

Thanks for the heads up on that link, eyes are getting older, missed that one!

I've also re-done the server to make the online catalog faster by minimizing the SSL traffic to the ordering process and not the whole store itself.

-Ben

old_radios@yahoo.com (OldRadioGuy) wrote in news:7579bf83.0201091243.40380635@posting.google.com:

> It has a comma in the link, it is http://www.sauderelectronics.com,/
>
>
>
>
> "Delayed Thinking" <delay@delaythinking.com> wrote in message
> news:<m2E_7.6058$DG5.68545@rwcrnsc53.ops.asp.att.net>...
>> why do you put a link at the top of your homepage that links to your
>> homepage?

623. Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 16:34:10 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RnE%7.91428$Z2.1305068@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 54192

They still block cell frequencies because its the law in the US and not everywhere has the digital capability for cells yet. There are still some rural areas where most traffic is analog.

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK
"Charlie Durand" <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote in message news:1010765230.67601@cswreg.cos.agilent.com...
| Hey gang,
|
| I know it's not allowed and that new units are blocked from receiving the
| frequencies used by cellphones but even if they weren't blocked anymore is
| it even possible to monitor cell phones anymore? When I say possible I mean
| possible with little or no real extra work on the part of the listener. I
| don't need to hear that the government can do it no problem. I'm not the
| government.
|
| I have a scannner than can receive these frequencies and hear junk. I
| assume it's all the digital phones out there. Way way back in the day when
| analog phones still ruled the airwaves I could actually hear people. I
| think the only people still using analog-only phones are my grandparents
| because "it works just fine for me" regardless of the fact their phone is
| the size of a shoebox.
|
| My main question is why bother blocking cell phone frequencies anymore since
| all the phones are digital?
|
| Ok, that's all.
|
| Thanks!
|
|

624. Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 16:51:19 UTC
From: Dev <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <bKE%7.13507$NO5.775375@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 54193

I agree. Even if there is alot of digital cells. There are still about that many analog. I drove to my old town recently where I lived. They have pushed the digital phase quite a bit. from CDPD terminal's, to wireless internet, to wireless traffic controlled lights, and TDMA, CDMA, GSM cells. But. I was bored and did a scan thru the analog network. almost every channel had activity. I only scanned the basic 666 channels though. Since it is not illegal to scan things like that in canada. As usual. Cells are boring. Not really a point. Who cares if hubby forgot to pick up tampons for his wife and such.

Dev

FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:RnE%7.91428$Z2.1305068@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> They still block cell frequencies because its the law in the US and not
> everywhere has the digital capability for cells yet. There are still some
> rural areas where most traffic is analog.
>
> --
> Brad.
> FeeD_BaK
> "Charlie Durand" <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote in message
> news:1010765230.67601@cswreg.cos.agilent.com...
> | Hey gang,
> |
> | I know it's not allowed and that new units are blocked from receiving
the
> | frequencies used by cellphones but even if they weren't blocked anymore
is
> | it even possible to monitor cell phones anymore? When I say possible I
> mean
> | possible with little or no real extra work on the part of the listener.
I
> | don't need to hear that the government can do it no problem. I'm not
the
> | government.
> |
> | I have a scannner than can receive these frequencies and hear junk. I
> | assume it's all the digital phones out there. Way way back in the day
> when
> | analog phones still ruled the airwaves I could actually hear people. I
> | think the only people still using analog-only phones are my grandparents
> | because "it works just fine for me" regardless of the fact their phone
is
> | the size of a shoebox.

> |
> | My main question is why bother blocking cell phone frequencies anymore
> since
> | all the phones are digital?
> |
> | Ok, that's all.
> |
> | Thanks!
> |
> |
>
>

625. One push of One button and it all goes away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 17:30:26 UTC
From: jgfhktgli <xlbvrfoisrduy@iwuey7pothtj.net>
Message-ID: <SiF%7.7347$gG4.2725329@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We have all waited a VERY long time for a digital capable scanner.

I suggest we wait until early next year to make our purchase.

The approximate $700 for a scanner and board is is way too much to pay for
this radio.

Let's hold out for a better price.
"Harry Marnell" <marnells@home.com> wrote in message
news:GFK_7.23886$B61.9304085@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> Ok gang, the supposed time for Uniden to officially announce their new
> products is just about now (2:30 pm PT), but NORM SCHREIN "Mr Scanner" has
a
> scoop on the expected prices. The news isn't nearly as bad as some had
> feared...but is in line with the rumors that have been floating around for
> quite a while...check out the "Tuesday afternoon Updates" at
>
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/uniden-digital.htm
>
> I'm expecting more updates later in the day...check back!
>
> Harry
>
>
>

626. Help from Live Scanner Feed Experts PLEASE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 18:27:32 UTC
From: tede911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020111132732.08079.00001494@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54196

Hi all,

I currently run a live scanner feed from my computer streamed through live365.com
(www.firstduephotos.com has a link if you're interested)

My problem is this- In addition to running the live365 feed, I want to also play my multiplayer games over the internet using voice comms (RogerWilco, etc.).

I am using broadband now and play MP games (w/o voice comms) and run the live feed at the same time, so bandwidth is not an issue. The scanner audio is fed into my sound card via the "line in" jack. That leaves the "mike" jack free. Yet, when I plug a microphone in, it will not work.

Am I to understand that the sound card is only capable of accepting one mode of input at a time?? Is this true of all sound cards?? or, Am I doing something wrong?? Is adding a 2nd sound card a reasonable alternative??

I have an older computer sitting around and my fall-back option is to set up a home network and run the scanner feed from the old computer and play multiplayer games with voice comms on the newer/main computer.

Any/All suggestions or comments are most welcomed!!!

Thanks in advance!!!

--Ted Pendergast
First Due! Fireground Photography
www.firstduephotos.com

627. FS: Yaesu VR120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 18:40:23 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201111040.427ce50c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54097

Excellent wideband communications receiver.
FM/WFM/AM 100KHZ-1300MHZ
Less than a month old, like new in perfect condition.
$100 plus shipping.

Contact me by email:
nr6z@yahoo.com

628. Re: One push of One button and it all goes away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 19:54:38 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <2qH%7.2666$75.2075307@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> We have all waited a VERY long time for a digital capable scanner.

AMEN! I already have my pre-order in with Ham Station!

> I suggest we wait until early next year to make our purchase.

I ask why?

> The approximate $700 for a scanner and board is is way too much to pay for
this radio.

Let's look at how much the BC780XLT has dropped in price, since it came out
a bit over a year ago.

$0.00
Not a good sign for waiting.

> Let's hold out for a better price.

This will be like the very original trunktracker -- a LOT of people have
been waiting a LONG time for this, and holding off a year or two to save
$100 at best is probably not an option.

- Rob

629. TRUNKTRACKING/MDT DECODING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 20:06:01 UTC
From: scannerguy@c4.com
Message-ID: <70154b57.0201111206.25a4cd2e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,
I was wondering if anyone could help me with this question. My local P.D. uses an Ericcson EDACS trunked network for their comms. Is there any program that will work with decoding their MDT's, are are these two items totally unrelated?
Just wondering...still trying to figure all this out. Reply here, or email, thanks.

Mac

630. Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 20:29:30 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <KWH%7.5937$N77.47453@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 54100

Same thing in Norway were we have the analog NMT 450 Band at around 463-467.5mhz.. Many users, but little of interest.. (Some funny sex-calls though)..

Because of the low frequency this band uses much of useres are hunters or people that live in remote places where GSM Coverage are poor.. We had a similar NMT 900 band that runned at 935-945 mhz but it was discontinued last year because of the GSM..

We still have a analog cordless band running on 959.0125-959.9875 mhz using 25khz bandstep, but the interesting users have changed to 1800mhz DECT phones.. Like mentioned earlier lots of old-timers use the analog system but they are not interesting enough to monitor..

"Ohh i buyed new curtains today!"
"Oh! what colour"..

that kind of talk.. Really nice to hear if you want to sleep though..

I have also recieved several cordless phones on 1.6khz (FM!). These phones have a unbelievable range!. I recieved one 5km away last week using my Aor sa7000 aerial.. Mostly boing sheit, but interesting anyway..

Sorry. abit of topic but im bored to death..

KAT

"Dev" <a@a.a> skrev i melding
news:bKE%7.13507$NO5.775375@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> I agree. Even if there is alot of digital cells. There are still about
that
> many analog. I drove to my old town recently where I lived. They have
pushed
> the digital phase quite a bit. from CDPD terminal's, to wireless internet,
> to wireless traffic controlled lights, and TDMA, CDMA, GSM cells. But. I
was
> bored and did a scan thru the analog network. almost every channel had
> activity. I only scanned the basic 666 channels though. Since it is not
> illegal to scan things like that in canada. As usual. Cells are boring.
Not
> really a point. Who cares if hubby forgot to pick up tampons for his wife
> and such.
>
> Dev
>
>
> FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> news:RnE%7.91428$Z2.1305068@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > They still block cell frequencies because its the law in the US and not
> > everywhere has the digital capability for cells yet. There are still
some
> > rural areas where most traffic is analog.
> >
> > --
> > Brad.
> > FeeD_BaK
> > "Charlie Durand" <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote in message
> > news:1010765230.67601@cswreg.cos.agilent.com...
> > | Hey gang,
> > |
> > | I know it's not allowed and that new units are blocked from receiving
> the
> > | frequencies used by cellphones but even if they weren't blocked
anymore
> is
> > | it even possible to monitor cell phones anymore? When I say possible
I
> > mean
> > | possible with little or no real extra work on the part of the
listener.
> I
> > | don't need to hear that the government can do it no problem. I'm not
> the
> > | government.
> > |
> > | I have a scannner than can receive these frequencies and hear junk. I
> > | assume it's all the digital phones out there. Way way back in the day
> > when
> > | analog phones still ruled the airwaves I could actually hear people.
I
> > | think the only people still using analog-only phones are my
grandparents
> > | because "it works just fine for me" regardless of the fact their phone
> is
> > | the size of a shoebox.
> > |
> > | My main question is why bother blocking cell phone frequencies anymore
> > since
> > | all the phones are digital?
> > |
> > | Ok, that's all.
> > |
> > | Thanks!
> > |
> > |
> >
> >

>
>

631. Re: Most popular unblocked scanner????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 20:57:12 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lkI%7.13499$mi7.2392755@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 54105

Friend of mine bought a couple in Japan; Customs relieved him of them in Chicago.

"You pays your money and you takes your chances!"

"j" <nctrnlboy@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:11d9990e.0201092342.640874e6@posting.google.com...
> Hello
> I have dabbled in the scanning hobby for about 5 years now (still an
> amateur.....the only scanners I have owned are the bearcat sc150 &
> bct-7 ....I think those are the correct ones, I am typing this from
> memory here at work).
> Anyway I am planning a trip to the UK & was planning on purchasing a
> scanner (unblocked of course)for myself & i had an idea to buy a
> couple more to resell here in the US for a few extra bucks. I have
> more or less been out of the hobby for a year or so & am not up on
> what is a popular unblocked scanner that would be easy to sell here in
> the US. I know the importation of an unblocked scanner is
> illegal.....yada yada yada, but i figure i could recoup some of the
> cost of my trip by doing this. Any imput would help..........Thanks
> James

632. Re: One push of One button and it all goes away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 20:58:23 UTC
From: jgfhktgli <xlbvrfoisrduy@iwuey7pothtj.net>
Message-ID: <PII%7.7395$gG4.2788607@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Point is - If we can all wait for just a few more months -DON'T PURCHASE
ONE RIGHT AWAY -the price would GO DOWN.
Not yelling just emphasis.

Just one button.....

"Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote in message
news:2qH%7.2666$75.2075307@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > We have all waited a VERY long time for a digital capable scanner.
>
> AMEN! I already have my pre-order in with Ham Station!
>
> > I suggest we wait until early next year to make our purchase.
>
> I ask why?
>
> > The approximate $700 for a scanner and board is is way too much to pay
for
> this radio.
>
> Let's look at how much the BC780XLT has dropped in price, since it came
out
> a bit over a year ago.
>
> $0.00
> Not a good sign for waiting.
>
> > Let's hold out for a better price.
>
> This will be like the very original trunktracker -- a LOT of people have
> been waiting a LONG time for this, and holding off a year or two to save
> $100 at best is probably not an option.
>
> - Rob
>
>

633. Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 21:16:01 UTC
From: lippy4@gte.net
Message-ID: <40375b5f.0201111316.6d4ea586@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54102

With the new Uniden digital what is the percentage that most digital systems will work properly in most cities.

634. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 21:43:10 UTC
From: unclejoe <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <OTI%7.1484$H_3.57564@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 54103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

The reason lots of people use UPS to ship is that you can get UPS to pickup at your house for a large fee, and you can pass that on to the buyer by saying, "buyer pays actual shipping!" Well actual shipping to me would be if you get off your ass and take it to UPS or Fed EX, not paying extra $6 for UPS to come out to your house. I have stopped buying items where someone says, "actual shipping" until I get a fixed price.

635. ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 22:06:35 UTC
From: "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com>
Message-ID: <LIJ%7.106987$Y6.10448554@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 54104

The've announced on the news that a person has been arrested for
posessing an aircraft radio at a hotel near ground zero on Sept. 11th.
Reading the reports makes it look like the very act of owning one of
these radios brands one as being an criminal!!!

You can buy aircraft handitalkies on eBay any day of the week, since
the transceivers are not illegal to own, or nor is it illegal to monitor
air
comms. Does anyone else get the feeling that anyone from now on
who is observed monitoring aircomms will be labelled an terrorist or
worst?

Peter

636. Re: One push of One button and it all goes away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 22:18:54 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <ixJ%7.2677$75.2083795@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If NO ONE bought it for a few months -- it would be removed from the market.
Once you get into high-school you might be able to pick up a few pointers on
economics (however you will have to figure out how to spell your name.)

- Rob

"jgfhktgli" <xlbvrfoisrduy@iwuey7pothtj.net> wrote in message
news:PlI%7.7395$gG4.2788607@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Point is - If we can all wait for just a few more months -DON'T PURCHASE
> ONE RIGHT AWAY -the price would GO DOWN.

637. Re: Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 22:20:16 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <AyJ%7.2678$75.2083938@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54107

There's no "percentage" of digital systems that work... If it is a Motoroloa Astro P-25 system, not encrypted, it will work. ProVoice won't. APCO16 won't. TETRA won't. It either will work in your city or it won't -- no "partial" operation.

- Rob

"jeff lipsen" <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message news:40375b5f.0201111316.6d4ea586@posting.google.com...
> With the new Uniden digital what is the percentage that most digital systems
> will work properly in most cities.

638. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 22:23:25 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <xBJ%7.2679$75.2084290@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54109

"Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com> wrote in message
news:LlJ%7.106987$Y6.10448554@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> The've announced on the news that a person has been arrested for
> posessing an aircraft radio at a hotel near ground zero on Sept. 11th.
> Reading the reports makes it look like the very act of owning one of
> these radios brands one as being an criminal!!!

Looks like you read the wrong reports then! He is an Egyptian in a hotel near the WTC, and denied knowing anything about any transmitting radios. If he doesn't know what a two-way aircraft radio is, why did he have it in the room?

And the denial REALLY makes him look stupid, since they found his job is in communications devices with the Egyptian Air Force. He was arrested for lying -- not having the radio.

Makes the story less fanatical -- sorry about that. Just doing my best to nip a snopes.com entry in the bud.

- Rob

639. Re: Confused about Trunking on Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 22:32:58 UTC
From: Rob Rosenhouse <rrosenhouse@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9193B27F6BC63pinballwizhomecom@24.3.128.74>
Source record: 54108

I'm just very confused on how to enter the correct information into the scanner. Here is an example....

1 470.3000
2 471.1500
3 471.2000
4 471.7000
5 471.7500

Talkgroups
02-104
02-102
02-080
02-083
02-082
02-060
02-062
02-042
02-041

I have not been able to figure out where to put any of this information. Do they all need to be in the same bank? How exactly do I enter a talkgroup into the scanner?

I really just need to be walked thru this once. If a kind soul could help, I'd appreciate it.

Thanks,
Rob

emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote in news:20020111020259.07945.00000793@mb-me.aol.com:

> Good thing about the Pro-93, all you need to enter are the data
> channels (only one active data channel active at any particular time
> for any one trunked system). Just find a 800 mhz freq with computer
> noise, enter it in the "MO" mode, leave the bank in the 'OPEN' mode
> and listen away. Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense
>

640. Re: Radio Shack scanners flash programmable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 22:46:12 UTC
From: squirrelmaster@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <466f1411.0201111446.bb2967@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54110

Has anyone tried to hack the PRO-92?

"Radiohead" <Radiohead@zorch.com> wrote in message
news:<5T5_7.56$17.64242@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>...
> FCC rules prohibit the sale of scanners that can be easily modified to
> receive cell frequencies. You will never see end-user flashing capability in
> scanners. The PRO-93 uses a one time programmable rom and the PRO-92 uses an
> EEPROM with no user access.

641. Re: 400 channel scanner 500-1300 MHz NO GAPS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 22:50:22 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C3F6C2E.832538A4@USA.net>
Source record: 54111

Why don't you people put the price up front?

If I have to jump through hoops to buy it out I dont' want it.

If it's that high keep it.

"R.Smith" wrote:

> Hi there,
> F/S Commtel COM510 400 CHANNEL SCANNER 500KHz-1300MHz *NO GAPS*
> This is a very tiny hand held scanner,I bought this new from Javiation
> See for more info and a picture http://www.javiation.co.uk/com510.html
> This is the same radio as the Weltz-1000 (400 ch) or Weltz-2000 (1000 ch)
> This is an excellent radio overall! (check out Strong Signals Review)
> http://strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=rev&class=recv&num=004
> It is in like new condition with box and manual.
> I am open to serious offers only please on this radio!
> Please e-mail me directly at rusty@dccnet.com
> Thanks-Russ

642. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 23:14:14 UTC
From: Adam Helberg <helberga@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <alK%7.22727$Vz3.2359800@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

I'm looking to make mine smaller. It's throwing my buoyancy off. Got anything for me?

Adam

643. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 23:33:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XDK%7.11661$GP2.734363@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

And what about the person who lives in a rural area and has to drive 30
miles to the nearest
UPS outlet? Believe it or not, not everybody lives in a huge metropolis and
it would cost you
just as much for the shipper to drive it into the city as it would to have
UPS pick it up. Luckily, I live 1 1/2 miles from a UPS outlet and 1/2 mile
from the post office. I will probably begin using USPS for future
shipments. UPS scares me to death from what I saw when shipping
for my employer.

Jerry
K4KWH

unclejoe <noton6@microbrain.com> wrote in message
news:OTI%7.1484$H_3.57564@eagle.america.net...
>
> The reason lots of people use UPS to ship is that you can get UPS to
pickup
> at your house for a large fee, and you can pass that on to the buyer by
> saying, "buyer pays actual shipping!" Well actual shipping to me would be
if
> you get off your ass and take it to UPS or Fed EX, not paying extra $6 for
> UPS to come out to your house. I have stopped buying items where someone
> says, "actual shipping" until I get a fixed price.
>
>

644. Re: TRUNKTRACKING/MDT DECODING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-11 23:39:37 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C3F782A.276C0284@yahoo.com>
Source record: 54114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mack wrote:
>
> Hi,
> I was wondering if anyone could help me with this question. My local
> P.D. uses an Ericcson EDACS trunked network for their comms. Is there
> any program that will work with decoding their MDT's, are are these
> two items totally unrelated?
> Just wondering...still trying to figure all this out. Reply here, or
> email, thanks.
>
> Mac

Go to:

http://chroot.ath.cx/fade/projects/decoding/

MDT Monitor is the last file on that page. Enjoy!
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

645. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 00:12:44 UTC
From: "Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <3C3F7F7C.350459A5@clifto.com>
Source record: 54115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

Adam Helberg wrote:
> I'm looking to make mine smaller. It's throwing my buoyancy off. Got
> anything for me?

Close a book on it really hard^H^H^H^H firmly. Makes a great rudder.

--
Remember, "close" counts in horse-shoes, hand-grenades and nuclear warfare,
but in spamming, it's considered unnecessary precision. -- Alun Jones

646. Re: Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM <-- like I didn;t figure out that it was spam !?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 00:42:03 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <nh1v3u8jdfrt5bce3790u7m5lj56g5tdg7@4ax.com>
Source record: 54116

On Fri, 11 Jan 2002 18:12:44 -0600, "Clifton T. Sharp Jr."
<clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

>Adam Helberg wrote:
>> I'm looking to make mine smaller. It's throwing my buoyancy off. Got
>> anything for me?
>
>Close a book on it really hard^H^H^H^H firmly. Makes a great rudder.

647. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 01:23:24 UTC
From: babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c3f8f8c.36353021@news.fast.net>
Source record: 54117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

On Fri, 11 Jan 2002 23:14:14 GMT, "Adam Helberg"
<helberga@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I'm looking to make mine smaller. It's throwing my buoyancy off. Got
>anything for me?
>Adam

Go nude diving off the coast of "Lorraina" and wait for the "Bobbit
Fish". Make sure you have some bandages and tape onboard. LOL

648. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 01:34:01 UTC
From: Adam Helberg <helberga@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <doM%7.23152$Vz3.2389720@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

Wouldn't that hurt?

Adam

"OldSalt" <babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c3f8f8c.36353021@news.fast.net...
> On Fri, 11 Jan 2002 23:14:14 GMT, "Adam Helberg"
> <helberga@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >I'm looking to make mine smaller. It's throwing my buoyancy off. Got
> >anything for me?
> >Adam
>
> Go nude diving off the coast of "Lorraina" and wait for the "Bobbit
> Fish". Make sure you have some bandages and tape onboard. LOL
>

649. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 01:47:28 UTC
From: Ness <vanessa_dillon@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pvM%7.69885$HW3.62882@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 54119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

"Adam Helberg" <helberga@earthlink.net> wrote
> Wouldn't that hurt?
>
> Adam

650. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 01:48:52 UTC
From: Ness <vanessa_dillon@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JwM%7.69886$HW3.63347@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 54120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

> "Adam Helberg" <helberga@earthlink.net> wrote
> > Wouldn't that hurt?
> >
> > Adam

Yeah but my eyes were watering at the book comment!
True, they were watering because of the hysterical laughter preceding, but
that's not the point!
:o)

651. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 01:58:55 UTC
From: n3ab@fast.net
Message-ID: <3c3f9820.15233971@news.fast.net>
Source record: 54121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

On Sat, 12 Jan 2002 01:23:24 GMT, babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com
(OldSalt) wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Jan 2002 23:14:14 GMT, "Adam Helberg"
><helberga@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>I'm looking to make mine smaller. It's throwing my buoyancy off. Got
>>anything for me?
>>Adam
>
>Go nude diving off the coast of "Lorraina" and wait for the "Bobbit
>Fish". Make sure you have some bandages and tape onboard. LOL

And stay out of shallow water. You wouldn't want to run aground.

652. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 02:32:46 UTC
From: Adam Helberg <helberga@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ifN%7.23361$Vz3.2400175@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

No problem there. I use a Cetacea High Force retractor. Wouldn't want to
damage the reefs.

Adam

"JRS" <n3ab@fast.net> wrote in message
news:3c3f9820.15233971@news.fast.net...
> On Sat, 12 Jan 2002 01:23:24 GMT, babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com
> (OldSalt) wrote:
>
> >On Fri, 11 Jan 2002 23:14:14 GMT, "Adam Helberg"
> ><helberga@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >>I'm looking to make mine smaller. It's throwing my buoyancy off. Got
> >>anything for me?
> >>Adam
> >
> >Go nude diving off the coast of "Lorraina" and wait for the "Bobbit
> >Fish". Make sure you have some bandages and tape onboard. LOL
>
> And stay out of shallow water. You wouldn't want to run aground.
>
>
>

653. FM BAND NARROW OR WIDEBAND?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 02:49:16 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c3fa04f.26313426@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 54124

Hey all...
Just doing a wee bit of programming using my BC 780 and scancat gold
software.. and i have a question... how do i know if the 800 mhz
freqs i wanna use are are narrow or wide band ?
thanks.. gig@i-2000.com

654. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 02:50:49 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u3v90v7bv9to21@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

> I'm looking to make mine smaller. It's throwing my buoyancy off. Got
> anything for me?

Adam, do us a favor: before you make it smaller... find the guy who sends
all those 'enlarge your penis' e-mails to hotmail/yahoo accounts... and beat
him over the head with it.

phil :)

655. Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 02:59:28 UTC
From: Dev <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <kEN%7.16220$9u4.951467@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 54125

what I find funny is you have someone trying to say that their phone is digital due to the fact the display is LED or LCD. my girls ma insists her motorola dpc-550 is digital. I had to laugh. But trying to tell someone something different can be hard. I took it in and laugh at it now and then. Lots are dedicated to their antique phones here too. must be nice to receive things on those frequencies. especially 1.6k. I have not yet heard anything there. I have this ooold cordless. Maybe it still uses that frequency. today is friday. maybe scanning will be good today. from .05 - 1300. somewhere there has to be something. decoding is boring lately too. oh well. lol. I could always transmit on these FRS frequencies. One kid constantely pushes the paging button and talks to himself. it is quite funny. Have a great nite all.

Dev

John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no> wrote in message news:KWH%7.5937$N77.47453@news.world-online.no...
> Same thing in Norway were we have the analog NMT 450 Band at around
> 463-467.5mhz.. Many users, but little of interest.. (Some funny sex-calls
> though)..
>
> Because of the low frequency this band uses much of useres are hunters or
> people that live in remote places where GSM Coverage are poor.. We had a
> similar NMT 900 band that runned at 935-945 mhz but it was discontinued
last
> year because of the GSM..
>
> We still have a analog cordless band running on 959.0125-959.9875 mhz
using
> 25khz bandstep, but the interesting users have changed to 1800mhz DECT
> phones.. Like mentioned earlier lots of old-timers use the analog system
but
> they are not interesting enough to monitor..
>
> "Ohh i buyed new curtains today!"
> "Oh! what colour"..
>
> that kind of talk.. Really nice to hear if you want to sleep though..
>
> I have also recieved several cordless phones on 1.6khz (FM!). These phones
> have a unbelievable range!. I recieved one 5km away last week using my Aor
> sa7000 aerial.. Mostly boing sheit, but interesting anyway..
>
> Sorry. abit of topic but im bored to death..
>

> KAT
>

656. Re: FM BAND NARROW OR WIDEBAND?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 03:01:13 UTC
From: Dev <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <ZFN%7.16226$9u4.952235@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 54126

most will be narrow if you live in Canada or the US. Few things are wide there. Sometines radio station uplinks/downlinks. Thats about it.

Dev

GaijinGig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message news:3c3fa04f.26313426@news-server.optonline.net...
> Hey all...
> Just doing a wee bit of programming using my BC 780 and scancat gold
> software.. and i have a question... how do i know if the 800 mhz
> freqs i wanna use are are narrow or wide band ?
> thanks.. gig@i-2000.com

657. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 03:34:38 UTC
From: Nor Sutra <norsutra@forguy.com>
Message-ID: <3C3FAEF4.AD76D0F@forguy.com>
Source record: 54127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Greg wrote:

> On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 05:43:43 GMT, Nor Sutra <norsutra@forguy.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Your not too bright, are you? How can it be VALID if it had the Jan 8th
> >date???? Get it now? It was INVALID, WRONG, INCORRECT.
>
> Yes, it had the wrong date. It was done up ahead of time. So
> technically, it's incorrect. Does that invalidate the rest of the
> information in there?

Absolutely. It is an issue of credibility. How can you possibly take
anything else seriously after the first lie that you know for FACT is a lie?
Why would you even READ anything else after the first lie?
You read the National Enquirer, don't you?

658. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 03:38:30 UTC
From: Nor Sutra <norsutra@forguy.com>
Message-ID: <3C3FAFDC.32405EF5@forguy.com>
Source record: 54128
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Matthew Delaney wrote:

> This is common practice in press releases.

Incorrect. For legal reasons, you want the date of the press release to be the date the information was released. In court, a press release with a fraudulent date, to make it appear the information was released before or after the actual date, can cost that company big $$$$ in a lawsuit or stock issues.

It may be a "common practice" to some companies as "auto theft" is common practice to some, but it is not ethical or legal.

659. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 03:40:20 UTC
From: Nor Sutra <norsutra@forguy.com>
Message-ID: <3C3FB04A.E790FBFC@forguy.com>
Source record: 54129
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> You're not familiar with the press industry are you? MANY times I get press
> releases at work (TV) which say "HOLD FOR DISTRIBUTION UNTIL XX/XX" with a
> date in the future. We cannot release that information til the later date,
> but they want to make sure we have it ahead of time. That's what happened
> here.

How could that POSSIBLE be what happened here? The information was posted to this newsgroup before the date occurred. That has nothing at all to do with your above excuse of not being able to release the information until the later date, does it Einstein?

660. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 03:41:32 UTC
From: Nor Sutra <norsutra@forguy.com>
Message-ID: <3C3FB092.B05AF165@forguy.com>
Source record: 54130
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"M. Schneider" wrote:

> Give it up guys. This is a troll, looking to stir something up. He has no
> intention of listening to reason.

That was obvious to everyone when they saw the date of the "press release".

661. Re: FM BAND NARROW OR WIDEBAND?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 03:48:58 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c3fb1ac.30758368@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 54131

THANKS FOR THE HELP!!! any expertise/tips on programing this scanner?
do i have to program the control channels in too?
i think i have toOn Sat, 12 Jan 2002 03:01:13 GMT, "Dev" <a@a.a>
wrote:

>most will be narrow if you live in Canada or the US. Few things are wide
>there. Sometines radio station uplinks/downlinks. Thats about it.
>
>Dev
>
>GaijinGig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
>news:3c3fa04f.26313426@news-server.optonline.net...
>> Hey all...
>> Just doing a wee bit of programming using my BC 780 and scancat gold
>> software.. and i have a question... how do i know if the 800 mhz
>> freqs i wanna use are are narrow or wide band ?
>> thanks.. gig@i-2000.com
>
>

662. President's Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 04:24:27 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aUO%7.257$lV2.395040@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 54132

Is the FBI trunked? What about the President's security? With President Bush coming to Salt Lake City next month, I'll be in a prime location to listen in on all the action. I'm building a frequency list to download into my unit when the time comes. If anyone has any info, can you help me out? It's not everyday he comes into my neck of the woods.

--
Thanks,

663. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 04:29:10 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <qYO%7.2712$75.2109865@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54133
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

People found it on the cesweb.org site and posted it here -- Uniden did not do it. CES did not do it.

A simple "sorry guys, I was just making a little trouble for the fun of it" would have been a better response... If you are going to claim that all the websites, photographs, spec sheets and more are part of this "big conspiracy" then you've got a few issues to handle first...

- Rob

"Nor Sutra" <norsutra@forguy.com> wrote in message news:3C3FB04A.E790FBFC@forguy.com...
> > You're not familiar with the press industry are you? MANY times I get press
> releases at work (TV) which say "HOLD FOR DISTRIBUTION UNTIL XX/XX" with a
> > date in the future. We cannot release that information til the later date,
> > but they want to make sure we have it ahead of time. That's what happened
> > here.
>
> How could that POSSIBLE be what happened here? The information was posted to
> this newsgroup before the date occurred. That has nothing at all to do with
> your above excuse of not being able to release the information until the later
> date, does it Einstein?

664. Re: President's Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 04:30:16 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <sZO%7.2713$75.2110222@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54134

To get tuned up, turn the squelch all the way so you get a "staticy"
noise... That's exactly what you'll hear for the digital & encrypted Secret
Service & FBI transmissions ;>

- Rob

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:aUO%7.257$lV2.395040@news.uswest.net...
> Is the FBI trunked? What about the President's security? With President
Bush
> coming to Salt Lake City next month, I'll be in a prime location to listen
> in on all the action. I'm building a frequency list to download into my
unit

665. Schematic for BC 560 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 04:44:05 UTC
From: kenfoshee@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e11f8a29.0201112044.69f1aa2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54135

Does anyone have a schematic or site where I can get one for this scanner??

666. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 04:58:18 UTC
From: Pat Hart <pathart@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <KnP%7.17244$726.6263802@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 54136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3C3E57E8.15B0B469@optonline.net...
> A radio preamp is designed to receive low level radio signals and
> amplify them a small amount. They are designed to be low noise.
>
> Cable amps are designed to receive moderate level signals and amplify
> them to even higher levels. They are not designed to be low noise, but
> to have high level output.
>
> The two products are designed for different purposes, and are likely to
> give better performance in the application for which they were designed.
>
> Walt

Correct! But.....a cable amp will work. Works great if your splitting an
antenna feed. Better yet, use a TV pre-amp. Low noise hi gain.

667. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 05:15:25 UTC
From: babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c3fc667.50398131@news.fast.net>
Source record: 54137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

On Sat, 12 Jan 2002 01:34:01 GMT, "Adam Helberg"
<helberga@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Wouldn't that hurt?

Only for a second. Then you would pass out.

668. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 05:49:54 UTC
From: Adam Helberg <helberga@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <68Q%7.23876$Vz3.2448340@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

I don't know who would want a large penis. A small one weighs less to carry around and gets into more holes.

Adam

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message news:u3v90v7bv9to21@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'm looking to make mine smaller. It's throwing my buoyancy off. Got
> > anything for me?
>
> Adam, do us a favor: before you make it smaller... find the guy who sends
> all those 'enlarge your penis' e-mails to hotmail/yahoo accounts... and beat
> him over the head with it.
>
> phil :)
>
>
>
>

669. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 06:35:13 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <fLQ%7.7$dM6.3397@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Nor Sutra, or whatever name you are going by (same email server as Dave Hinds, hmm!), YOU are the troll! Get a life! At least, get lost. If you actually believe what you are posting, then you don't have a clue what you are talking about. If you don't believe what you are posting, then you are, as stated above, a troll, deliberately trying to cause trouble. Go away. Your village called, they want their idiot back.

Mark

"Nor Sutra" <norsutra@forguy.com> wrote in message news:3C3FB092.B05AF165@forguy.com...
> "M. Schneider" wrote:
>
> > Give it up guys. This is a troll, looking to stir something up. He has no
> > intention of listening to reason.
>
> That was obvious to everyone when they saw the date of the "press release".
>
>

670. SlamTrak Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 06:53:52 UTC
From: rockenpr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020112015352.02185.00001014@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 54140

Does anyone have the new Freq. for the New AmTrak
from Boston to Portland,Me?

671. January Specials from www.sauderelectronics.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 07:22:14 UTC
From: Ben Silverman <ben@everyoneflydotcom>
Message-ID: <Xns9194176872EDEbeneveryoneflycom@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 54141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Greetings,

I'd like to thank all of you who visted the website(http://www.sauderelectronics.com) and ordered, what great support the online community has shown for the site and its products. To answer many of you: Yes, the prices on the items are not introductory, they will remain the same as long as our customers(you) continue your great support. I'd specifically like to thank Frank Leaf who was extremely helpful in our online endeavor and volunteered to help with website improvements.

So, with no further ado, I announce our January USENET only specials! These specials are not posted anywhere else(except the website) and were designed for everyone who has supported our effort thus far:

1. Pack of 10 Silver Teflon PL259s for ONLY $8.00!
2. SMA Adaptors, mix and match, 3 for ONLY $10.00!
3. UHF SO239 3" Mag Mount, $2.00 off the regular price of $15.00 for ONLY $13.00!
4. Any order over $25.00 receives a special mystery gift FREE!

Order now at http://www.sauderelectronics.com

Thanks, and 73's

-Ben

672. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 12:09:31 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C402779.17ADE933@amsat.org>
Source record: 54142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

GaijinGig wrote:

> I nip it in the bud.... i pay extra for fed ex.... all the rest are
> getting worse.. by the minute.. it's worth it.. but if you want your
> stuff better use FED ex....

If you want your stuff misdelivered, stolen, or lost, that is.

I finally got Uniden America to send a replacement for a "lost" 780 and
specifically requested a signature like I had done the first time.
Well the driver came to my house the expected day,
and instead of climbing the dozen steps to the landing in front of the door,
took about three of them and tossed the package up to the porch.
Expecting him to be waiting there for an ID check and sig,
I opened the door in time to see him running back to his illegally parked truck
(facing into oncoming traffic).
Just as I got the box inside and was examining for (rapid deceleration) damage,
he came running back (all the way to the top this time) with his clipboard for a
signature.
I could have been anyone,
since he merely pointed to the place for a signature next to the printed name,
and never even asked for any identification.
I could have just copied whatever name he indicated and faked a sig.

~Bart~

673. FS: PRO-2004 and Sony 2010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 14:47:04 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <I%X%7.350508$W8.13312657@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54144

Both work perfectly! No scratches or dents on either radio. Both come with
original boxes, manuals, antennas.
Pro-2004 has full 800 Mhz....

Selling both as a package for $375 plus shipping

674. Re: this makes your penis bigger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 15:19:24 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <0uY%7.19546$J%5.3479156@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

```
LOL
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a1lvpn$r1kqc$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
> news:dst%7.9783$Lq.1539324@news02.optonline.net...
> > Scanners make you penis BIGGER!!???
> > WOW!!!
>
> only if you listen to a really hot cellphone call....
>
>
>
```

675. Re: ANN: AFS-780 available for free download!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 15:59:05 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C405D47.D7DE964C@amsat.org>
Source record: 54146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Avraam wrote:

> Hello,
> I am pleased to announce the first public beta release of
> Avraam's Free Software for the BC 780XLT (AFS780).
>
> You can download it from web site at:
> http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt
>
> Thank you all for your help.
> -Avraam
> Jan 11, 2002

Just did some preliminary evaluations and it looks like it
has good potential.
I will be revamping the channel layout of my scanner in the
near future,
so there will be an opportunity to shake out any bugs.

~Bart~

676. Toledo Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 16:35:25 UTC
From: kekemazz <kekemazz@accesstoledo.com>
Message-ID: <u40pgd94h0i7de@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54047

Whats the frequency for Toledo,Ohio's new police helicopter?

677. Re: ANN: AFS-780 available for free download!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 17:05:14 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <9UDtXNBKzGQ8EwOt@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 54048
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <CLv%7.8131$JF.80118@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>, Avraam
<avraam3334@hotmail.com> writes
>Hello,
>I am pleased to announce the first public beta release of
>Avraam's Free Software for the BC 780XLT (AFS780).
>
>You can download it from web site at:
>http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt

Cannot seem to get it to work on Com 2, every time I try to connect it
errors up with a problem about Com 1..

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

678. 400channel scanner NO GAPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 17:11:08 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C406E2C.7080405@dccnet.com>
Source record: 54194

Hi there,
F/S Commtel COM510 400 CHANNEL SCANNER 500KHz-1300MHz *NO GAPS*
This is a very tiny hand held scanner,I bought this new from Javiation
See for more info and a picture http://www.javiation.co.uk/com510.html
This is the same radio as the Weltz-1000 (400 ch) or Weltz-2000 (1000 ch)
This is an excellent radio overall! (check out Strong Signals Review)
http://strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=rev&class=recv&num=004
It is in like new condition with box and manual.
I am open to serious offers only please on this radio!
Please e-mail me directly at rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

679. Configuring BC-245-XLT FOR PC CONTROL VIA Serial-USB adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 17:32:52 UTC
From: Michael Bryan <mbryan@magma.ca>
Message-ID: <B865DDBC.70B%mbryan@magma.ca>
Source record: 54050

Hey guys .....

Does anyone 'out there' have experience configuring a BC-245XLT Uniden Trunk Tracker for PC control using Senss' Freeware - "BC245 Interface - (V. 2.0.1) ? ?

Despite properly installing the software and hooking up to my PC laptop running Windows ME using Uniden's supplied interface cable and a Serial DB-9 to USB adapter, I can't get the PC and
the scanner to exchange any data whatsoever - even with no end of changing ports and data rates (2400 - 19,200 baud) etc. etc. The software just keeps saying "Scanner offline." Yes, I've properly followed the scanner instructions to place it in PC control mode.

Could the problem be the scanner itself or Senss' software don't like the adapter and its driver ? The adapter is supposed to be friendly to most Serial and PDA type peripherals, allowing them to be connected to USB-equipped PCs that lack DB-9 male input connectors.

-- END - Message from:

MIKE BRYAN, mbryan@magma.ca

Stittsville, Ontario, CANADA K2S 1E5

680. WTB: Pro-2004 for parts.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 17:36:32 UTC
From: chainsaw@softhome.net
Message-ID: <3c4073fc.4940398@news.eastlink.ca>
Source record: 54049

Need a non-working 2004 for parts salvage.

Thanks

681. Local Police Freq's Toronto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 17:36:36 UTC
From: Andre Morin <carbuff4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Wy_%7.24190$B36.4274352@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 54051

Hi all, looking for 33 div in Toronto, the latest listing in the Hartec scanner book seems to be very quiet.

Digital scanners, will they scan as the old ones do?

Thanks

682. Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 18:01:16 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020112130116.08406.00004819@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 54052

>Subject: Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

>From: "FeeD_BaK" feed_bak@hotmail.com

>They still block cell frequencies because its the law in the US and not
>everywhere has the digital capability for cells yet. There are still some
>rural areas where most traffic is analog.

It's likely to stay that way for a while. Despite the Sprint PCS ads silly claims, there are a lot more places where digital is useless than there are places where it works. . They might cover 85% of the population, but if you aren't in a city or on an interstate highway, digital doesn't work at all. Personally I'd rather have a little static & cover the rural places where I'd be most likely to need help than have "crystal clarity" and then nothing at all if I get off the main road and get stuck!
Neutrodyne

683. Discrim tap for Icom IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 18:02:52 UTC
From: Dev <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <gT_%7.18686$9u4.1065285@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 54053
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone stuck a discrim tap in this radio. or Does anyone know the IC that I can tap. I thank you for your time.

Dev

684. Re: this makes your penis bigger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 18:04:18 UTC
From: Josh Steinberg <jsteinb1@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3C407B72.A11D0F0F@twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 54054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

znhmfx@yahoo.com wrote:

> I found this interesting article on penis development:

Hey idiot, here's something to make your penis bigger: a magnifying glass. Now go away, spammer.
-- Josh

685. Re: this makes your penis bigger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 18:19:50 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <a1pv24$2k7r$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 54056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

Well, some people get a hard on over most anything - so, it wouldn't be surprising. There is a documented case where a guy lit a fire (Arson), went across the street and was masturbating while watching his handy work. So.... go figure!

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:0uY%7.19546$J%5.3479156@news02.optonline.net...
> LOL
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:a1lvpn$r1kqc$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
> > news:dst%7.9783$Lq.1539324@news02.optonline.net...
> > > Scanners make you penis BIGGER!!???
> > > WOW!!!
> >
> > only if you listen to a really hot cellphone call....
> >

686. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 18:25:44 UTC
From: Dee X Hunter <deexhunter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u40vtash8dlqbb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try Stridsberg Engineering too....

www.stridsbergengineering.com

"Email to above or see post sig for address!" <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com>
wrote in message news:jkpt3ug4terg6b8gr3domqu3qhut81bh4d@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 10 Jan 2002 17:34:39 GMT, "Robert Dubenezic" <bobdnj1409@aol.com>
wrote:
>
> >Anyone know where I can get a Scanner Pre-Amp?
> >
> >Either off the web or locally here in NJ.
>
> Hamtronics has very nice GaAs FET low noise amps http://www.hamtronics.com
>
>
> ---
> Email To: wpascanner at yahoo dot com
> The Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
> http://www.wpascanner.com
> Western PA Scanner Mailing List -
> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> ---
> Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olympics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> ---
> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

687. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 19:16:38 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16043-3C408B96-103@storefull-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 54058

I use the GRE Pre-Amp from RS and also a video amplifier 50-890MHz and both work very well and the video amp was way cheaper!...

688. Re: Radio Scanner Pre-Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 19:44:29 UTC
From: al <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <Xns919495F4FBCA8al.s.id.token@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 54057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> On Thu, 10 Jan 2002 17:34:39 GMT, "Robert Dubenezic"
> <bobdnj1409@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>Anyone know where I can get a Scanner Pre-Amp?
>>
>>Either off the web or locally here in NJ.
>
> Hamtronics has very nice GaAs FET low noise amps
> http://www.hamtronics.com
>
>

The "Hamtronics" link is an excellent source.

Thanks!

Al

Also,fwiw,I just received my "WinScanRS" ver2.0 that's *supposed* to
work with the Pro2052.This thing has more bugs than Alaska in July!I'm
lucky to keep it running for any amount of time.Come's with two patches
on cd & I had to install both for pgm to even run.Maybe they will keep
the patches coming 'till it works!
--
alatolgdotcom
wash dc , usa
****
radios:
535d,r75,r70,frg7
pro2006,2052,2053,r7000,r1000,r100
****
decoders:
code3gold-pro
w40pc
m1200
m7000

689. WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 20:18:48 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IS008.2137$d16.567014@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 54059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking to buy a Radio Shack Pro-2042 base scanner. Must be in good condition, fully functional, preferably from a non smoking environment with manual.

Please e-mail if you have one you are looking to sell.

Dan

690. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 22:35:40 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <0T208.27445$J%5.4778138@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54060
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I returned mine. It's junk. The only advantage it had over my 7 year old Pro-62 was MilAir band, band search and scan speed. Not worth it.

Also anybody correct me if I'm wrong:

Some old RS scanners have the VERY convenient feature of being able to enter search mode with ONE button. You are monitoring a single channel and you just hit "^" and you scan upward, or hit down for downward scan. I believe on the 2042 it's a bit more steps. Now we are comparing a 7 year old PORTABLE scanner with a brand new BASE scanner!? Ridiculous! Think about comparing any other device like that; a seven year old CD player with one now? A TV? Car? Stereo? I guess scanners are a dying marker like flight simulators(military).
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:IS008.2137$d16.567014@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I am looking to buy a Radio Shack Pro-2042 base scanner. Must be in good
> condition, fully functional, preferably from a non smoking environment
with
> manual.
>
> Please e-mail if you have one you are looking to sell.
>
> Dan
>
>

691. Cool New Toy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 22:40:04 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <NO208.4923$V55.788441@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The nice thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card connections (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about people using these things for amateur radio. I picked one up at Sam's Club, made some cables and connected it to my ICOM 746. It works great!! I have had several comments on how good the audio quality was. It even works with the VOX so I can now walk around the house while listening to and talking on my radio. No cords!

I guess this thing can be used with any type of audio source including scanners, shortwave radios, etc. Simply plug the radio audio out into the phone Mic connection and you are free to roam while listening.

While I have not yet tested it yet, the documentation claims 5 hours talk time. Since you must make a connection to the base to listen, this would apply while just listening as well.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

692. Re: Cool New Toy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 22:50:59 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <0Z208.4926$V55.794242@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 54062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Found this link with more info for interested:

http://www.seed-solutions.com/gregordy/Amateur%20Radio/Experimentation/CLess
Phone.htm

"David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com> wrote in message
news:NO208.4923$V55.788441@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The
> nice thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card
> connections (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about
> people using these things for amateur radio. I picked one up at
> Sam's Club, made some cables and connected it to my ICOM 746.
> It works great!! I have had several comments on how good the audio
> quality was. It even works with the VOX so I can now walk around
> the house while listening to and talking on my radio. No cords!
>
> I guess this thing can be used with any type of audio source
> including scanners, shortwave radios, etc. Simply plug the radio
> audio out into the phone Mic connection and you are free to roam
> while listening.
>
> While I have not yet tested it yet, the documentation claims 5
> hours talk time. Since you must make a connection to the base
> to listen, this would apply while just listening as well.
>
> --
> David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis
>
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.
>
> Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!
>
>
>
>

693. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 22:59:47 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u41ftd2sci3b87@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Oh my god, who open the door and let the brain dead in??

You seem like a decent kind of person, but arguing over something like this is just ridiculous, as EVERYONE knows (including yourself) that you are wrong about it, yet you are too stubborn to admit it... No offense, but I don't need THAT kinds of stupidity popping up on my screen... Sorry... In the file you go...

PH

"Nor Sutra" <norsutra@forguy.com> wrote in message news:3C3FB04A.E790FBFC@forguy.com...
> > You're not familiar with the press industry are you? MANY times I get press
> releases at work (TV) which say "HOLD FOR DISTRIBUTION UNTIL XX/XX" with a
> > date in the future. We cannot release that information til the later date,
> > but they want to make sure we have it ahead of time. That's what happened
> > here.
>
> How could that POSSIBLE be what happened here? The information was posted to
> this newsgroup before the date occurred. That has nothing at all to do with
> your above excuse of not being able to release the information until the later
> date, does it Einstein?
>
>

694. Re: Configuring BC-245-XLT FOR PC CONTROL VIA Serial-USB adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 23:18:39 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <pcg14u0nu9rsfsctj5jbg23enmfsf6mjn5@4ax.com>
Source record: 54066

On Sat, 12 Jan 2002 17:32:52 GMT, Michael Bryan <mbryan@magma.ca>
wrote:

[snip]
>Despite properly installing the software and hooking up to my PC laptop
>running Windows ME using Uniden's supplied interface cable and a Serial DB-9
>to USB adapter, I can't get the PC and
[snip]

Can't speak for the 245, but I do have a little experience with USB
serial ports.

They're not quite the same as a real serial port. I've got a Purple
Computing USB-serial adapter. It works with my PRO-92 and 92ware, but
uploads to the scanner are slower than they should be and I had to do
a little rewriting to the download program.

I tried one of the adapters CompUSA had on sale last week, and it was
pretty much a disaster.

If you have access to a computer with a real serial port, try your
setup on that to make sure your software, cable, etc., work. Not sure
what to suggest after that - update the USB-serial driver? See if
it's supposed to work as a general serial port, rather than just being
compatable with a PDSA? Try another brand?

Ken Plotkin

695. Re: Cool New Toy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-12 23:22:35 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <r4h14uc8e48v6b5510loir32op6h1r3fn2@4ax.com>
Source record: 54064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 12 Jan 2002 16:40:04 -0600, "David M. Hitchner" wrote:

>I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The
>nice thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card
>connections (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about
>people using these things for amateur radio. I picked one up at
>Sam's Club, made some cables and connected it to my ICOM 746.
>It works great!! I have had several comments on how good the audio
>quality was. It even works with the VOX so I can now walk around
>the house while listening to and talking on my radio. No cords!

This is a clever idea which has a lot of possibilities.

Nice to see there are still some hams around who open their minds and
come up with ideas like this.

This idea alone, if fleshed out, would make a good article for 73
Magazine.

Good work David.

... Steve WA3SWS

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

696. Re: Cool New Toy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 00:05:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nb408.81743$fe1.1159778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message
news:r4h14uc8e48v6b5510loir32op6h1r3fn2@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 12 Jan 2002 16:40:04 -0600, "David M. Hitchner" wrote:
>
> >I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The
> >nice thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card
> >connections (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about
> >people using these things for amateur radio. I picked one up at
> >Sam's Club, made some cables and connected it to my ICOM 746.
> >It works great!! I have had several comments on how good the audio
> >quality was. It even works with the VOX so I can now walk around
> >the house while listening to and talking on my radio. No cords!
>
> This is a clever idea which has a lot of possibilities.
>
> Nice to see there are still some hams around who open their minds and
> come up with ideas like this.
>
> This idea alone, if fleshed out, would make a good article for 73
> Magazine.
>
> Good work David.
>
> ... Steve WA3SWS
>
>

Sounds like sex on a stick to me as well. Always did wonder how the
bladders on 75 made it thru some of the QSOs. Nice solution!

Dick NBK

697. Re: Finally Updated Freqs Webiste...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 00:45:33 UTC
From: Patricia Cooper <tcooper364@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NM408.26490$zw3.2550217@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

There's nothing on there for Virginia.

698. Re: FM BAND NARROW OR WIDEBAND?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 01:53:14 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <eM508.22136$JF.164581@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 54068

Most everything will be narrow. But try listening in narrow, & if the audio
sounds garbled, then switch to wide.

(OTOH - If you are lisening to a narrow signal using a receiver for wide,
the audio will
sound like a wisper)

GaijinGig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote
> Hey all...
> Just doing a wee bit of programming using my BC 780 and scancat gold
> software.. and i have a question... how do i know if the 800 mhz
> freqs i wanna use are are narrow or wide band ?
> thanks.. gig@i-2000.com

699. Re: The REAL Truth about Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 01:58:04 UTC
From: Sharkman <sharkman357@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MQ508.26027$Vz3.2652484@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54069

Loser sez what?

"a person" <kajagoogoob@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:49990468.0201071057.4aa2e420@posting.google.com...
> The REAL Truth about Radio Shack
>
>
> http://home.earthlink.net/~johnriopel/truthaboutradioshach.html

700. forsale: MINT AOR AR8200 full version 500.00US Shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 02:03:21 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <2oX%7.5091$k11.156897@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 54143

for sale:

AOR AR8200 Full version (no gaps)

MINT condition
with manual, car adapter, wall adapter/charger, rechargeable batteries
sorry no box

500.00US
Those of you who know this scanner - know everything it can do.
too many bells and whistles to mention

Kept in NEW condition, works amazing, extremely sensitive with
almost no noise.

price includes delivery by express in 4 days with insurance

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

701. Re: Trunktracking in Cleveland, OH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 02:03:46 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <familyradio@att.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9194D6E36E463familyradioattnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 54070

see@the.bottom.com (Erik) wrote in news:3c407b94.215031@news-
central.giganews.com:

> Is anyone scanning around Cleveland/NE Ohio with a Trunktracker? If
> so, where do you live (I'm near the Lyndhurst area), what departments
> are you listening to, and what radio do you use?
>
> I have a BC3000xlt and am thinking about getting a TT radio. But it
> would be pretty disappointing to find out there's no trunking going
> on! I know Cleveland PD is digital, so that's out.
>
> Any info appreciated.
>

Cleveland uses a Digital system... sorry... You can't monitor there. You
will have to wait for the new digital-capable scanner Uniden will release
later this year :(

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. <familyradio@att.net>
Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See websites:
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
FRS Mailing List: http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/frscomm

702. Q about entering trunking freqs into a Pro-93 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 02:15:03 UTC
From: Rob Rosenhouse <rrosenhouse@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9194D82C44BB5pinballwizhomecom@24.3.128.74>
Source record: 54071

When programming a trunk group into the scanner (RS Pro-93), is the default behavior to stop on all activity on that channel? Do I *have to* enter the talk groups?

What are the advantages and or disadvantages of entering talk groups?

If different town use the ericsson trunking system, but different frequencies, can they (or should they) be entered into the same bank?

Thanks in advance,
Rob

703. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 03:14:37 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xY608.3960$d16.774035@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 54073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Why is it that when I post a simple WTB listing, for an item for which I have my own, personal reasons for wanting, some MORON jumps in with an absolutely ridiculous response, making absolutely NO sense?

I did not ask for a debate on the merits of a 2042 or how it compares to a 62, which by the way is like comparing apples to oranges.

Sheeesh, what a boob.

Dan

> I returned mine. It's junk. The only advantage it had over my 7 year old
> Pro-62 was MilAir band, band search and scan speed. Not worth it.
>
> Also anybody correct me if I'm wrong:
>
> Some old RS scanners have the VERY convenient feature of being able to
enter
> search mode with ONE button. You are monitoring a single channel and you
> just hit "^" and you scan upward, or hit down for downward scan. I believe
> on the 2042 it's a bit more steps. Now we are comparing a 7 year old
> PORTABLE scanner with a brand new BASE scanner!? Ridiculous! Think about
> comparing any other device like that; a seven year old CD player with one
> now? A TV? Car? Stereo? I guess scanners are a dying marker like flight
> simulators(military).
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:IS008.2137$d16.567014@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > I am looking to buy a Radio Shack Pro-2042 base scanner. Must be in
good
> > condition, fully functional, preferably from a non smoking environment
> with
> > manual.
> >
> > Please e-mail if you have one you are looking to sell.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> >
>
>

704. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 03:19:34 UTC
From: Adam Helberg <helberga@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a1708.26860$zw3.2580924@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

OK. If you can't make mine smaller, can you make it into a safety sausage?

Adam

705. Re: Toledo Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 03:43:18 UTC
From: Tim <Nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C410252.8A75CF6B@home.com>
Source record: 54075

Why do you keep posting the same thing every month or two, despite
having the question answered?

kekemazz wrote:
>
> Whats the frequency for Toledo,Ohio's new police helicopter?

706. Re: Trunktracking in Cleveland, OH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 03:50:28 UTC
From: PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3C410403.4202@gwis.com>
Source record: 54076

There are plenty of non-digital police and fire depts in the Cleveland area using trunked radio. Strongsville and Parma are two cities that come to mind on the west side. Almost all of the east side departments (Euclid, Mentor, etc.) are on the 800 mhz trunked system as well. A trunktracker scanner will help out a lot.
Erik wrote:
>
> Is anyone scanning around Cleveland/NE Ohio with a Trunktracker? If
> so, where do you live (I'm near the Lyndhurst area), what departments
> are you listening to, and what radio do you use?
>
> I have a BC3000xlt and am thinking about getting a TT radio. But it
> would be pretty disappointing to find out there's no trunking going
> on! I know Cleveland PD is digital, so that's out.
>
> Any info appreciated.

707. Re: What's Up With UPS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 04:13:12 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020112231312.25549.00000495@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54077

In article <6wl%7.6069$oC.1109172@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>, "Dan Conti"
<dconti@nj.rr.com> writes:

>Use US Postal Priority Mail. UPS is a horror and getting worse...

The government monopoly mail service is no prize, either. Poor (and worsening
service), long lines at Post Offices, and ANOTHER proposed rate hike which will
take effect later this year.

708. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 04:13:12 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020112231312.25549.00000494@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54078

In article <LlJ%7.106987$Y6.10448554@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>, "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com> writes:

>You can buy aircraft handitalkies on eBay any day of the week, since
>the transceivers are not illegal to own, or nor is it illegal to monitor
>air comms.

Interesting that eBay allows the sale of transmitters which could be used to interfere with aircraft, yet does not allow the sale of full 800Mhz scanners.

> Does anyone else get the feeling that anyone from now on
>who is observed monitoring aircomms will be labelled an terrorist or
>worst?

From now on, anyone who is observed monitoring ANY communications besides broadcast radio will be labeled a terrorist or worst.

709. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 04:46:29 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <Fi808.2861$75.2195379@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54079

> > Does anyone else get the feeling that anyone from now on
> >who is observed monitoring aircomms will be labelled an terrorist or
> >worst?
>
> From now on, anyone who is observed monitoring ANY communications besides
> broadcast radio will be labeled a terrorist or worst.

Sad how people take information, twist it around to "make them the victim"
and pass it on.

THE GUY HAD AN AIRCRAFT TRANSMITTER IN HIS ROOM, IN A HOTEL NEXT TO THE WTC.
THEN WHEN QUESTIONED HE CLAIMED NO KNOWLEDGE OF THE RADIO, AND HAD NO IDEA
WHAT THE CONTRAPTION WAS. THEN IT WAS DISCOVERED THAT HE WORKS IN THE
AIRCRAFT COMMUNICATION FIELD FOR THE EGYPTIAN AIR CORPS.

THAT IS WORTHY OF SUSPICION.

- Rob

710. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 07:17:10 UTC
From: babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c4133ce.35950224@news.fast.net>
Source record: 54080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

On Sun, 13 Jan 2002 03:19:34 GMT, "Adam Helberg"
<helberga@earthlink.net> wrote:

>OK. If you can't make mine smaller, can you make it into a safety sausage?
>Adam

I have a sausage maker !! It's in my kitchen cabinet next to my
pasta machine !! You want links or patty sausage ?! Oh wait... you
said a safety sausage.... hmmm. You want it detached so you can clip
it to your BC when not in use ??

711. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 07:42:25 UTC
From: j?debert <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com>
Message-ID: <3C413A61.6060709@spamnot.garlic.com>
Source record: 54082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, that's what the acronym stands for, as many, many have also
pointed out.

But that does not really answer the question, does it?

But thanks anyway...

===
KSï¿½F wrote:

> AFRTS is the Armed Forces Radio and Television Service. It serves
> the military community overseas & occasionally domestic.
>
> --
> Operator of the 443.575 MHz repeaters in Sioux City, Portland (OR), &
> Seattle.
>
> j?debert <a-jdeberto@spamnot.garlic.com> wrote
> SNIP
>
>>I've seen the ABC logo, NBC's peacock and CBS's eye all within about two
>>hours of each other while this occurs. In addition, the last time it
>>happened, there was the military version of the PSA, directed to
>>servicemen, of course. And there was a few seconds of the letters
>>"AFRTS" before it faded.
>>
>>This was picked up in the Evergreen area of San Jose, against the
>>foothills. KTVU's transmitter is NNW from here, approx 60 miles away,
>>atop Mt San Bruno.
>>
>>Where is this "A-farts" station at? Guam? Alaska? Midway?
>>
>>A USAF retiree says it is not in Korea...
>>KTVU does not know anything but they were interested in hearing about it.
>>
>>Does anyone know?
>>
>>Is it classified?
>>
>>Could it be (shhh!) aliens? OR Soviet propaganda? Or...
>>
>>
>
>

712. WTB: Pro-2037 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 07:44:51 UTC
From: Mark Krubsack <mkrubsack@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <spu14u8vnlnp5cje79ru2g4mdppbi2b74t@4ax.com>
Source record: 54081

I'm looking to buy a Radio Shack Pro-2037 scanner in excellent
condition. E-mail me if you have one for sale. Thanks.

Mark Krubsack,
ke6oi@arrl.net

713. PC control for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 08:57:12 UTC
From: AirborneRanger <tomll511@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IZb08.1849$yy3.156913@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54083

Anyone know of good freeware that will:
1. Display Alpha Tags
2. Needs to run on XP...
3. Upload/download freqs,scanlists,etc.
4. Allow offline editing

something like WinScan...

--
Tom

714. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 12:28:56 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C417D88.9040204@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 54084

TooManyRadios wrote:

> Interesting that eBay allows the sale of transmitters which could be used to
> interfere with aircraft, yet does not allow the sale of full 800Mhz scanners.

The sale and use of an Aircraft band transmitter is fully legal. The
sale of a scanner which can pick up Cell phone conversations is not
legal. Big difference.

>
> From now on, anyone who is observed monitoring ANY communications besides
> broadcast radio will be labeled a terrorist or worst.

Acutally its been like that for years. But CNN's reporting of this Air
radio story did not help matters.

I'm a pilot and do own a handheld Air transceiver. they'll take it from
me over my cold dead body....

Here are pictures of my "terrorist training camp".
http://www.milaircomms.com/shack.html

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequencies and MORE

715. test - do not read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 13:03:02 UTC
From: blangdon@houston.rr.com
Message-ID: <3c41860b.215393479@news-server.houston.rr.com>
Source record: 54085

```
test
```

716. Searching for technical manual for Regency MX4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 13:42:15 UTC
From: f1rcq@club-internet.fr
Message-ID: <3c418eb5.1440256@news.club-internet.fr>
Source record: 54086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

Hello

I'm searching for the user and technical manual for Regency MX4200

Copies welcome

Best 73's from France

Denis F1RCQ

717. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 14:17:22 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020113091722.05453.00000572@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54087

In article <3C417D88.9040204@licensed4fun.com>, GeorgeF
<av8tor@licensed4fun.com> writes:

>The sale and use of an Aircraft band transmitter is fully legal. The
>sale of a scanner which can pick up Cell phone conversations is not
>legal. Big difference.

Wrong. The sale of a such a scanner which was imported or manufactured before
April, 1994 is completely legal. *Using* such a scanner to monitor cell phone
comms is illegal.

718. Incredibly foolish newbie question(s): Antenna's and sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 14:23:40 UTC
From: chairborne6455@webespammed.com
Message-ID: <3c419608.48586224@news-server>
Source record: 54088

I have a couple of questions for you grizzled vets:

I put a cb antenna on my car for my scanner. Works ok, I guess, but is there anything I can do to help it out? I use it for everything from 400 to 850.

I have a Pro-26, a -90 and a -94. My -26 seems much more sensitive (more range and clearer) than these other two newer and fancier scanners in all bands (esp the 400's). Is it a radio characteristic or my newbie imagination?

BTW, this group needs a FAQ to keep we newbies quiet and orderly.

719. Re: Searching for technical manual for Regency MX4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 14:56:10 UTC
From: NightStalker <stephenboland@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <reh08.2603$N31.119692@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 54089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

After a cheap scanner that will scan cordless phones.
.
<f1rcq@club-internet.fr> wrote in message
news:3c418eb5.1440256@news.club-internet.fr...
>
> Hello
>
> I'm searching for the user and technical manual for Regency MX4200
>
> Copies welcome
>
> Best 73's from France
>
> Denis F1RCQ
>

720. Re: MAKE OVER 1K A MONTH EASY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 15:03:19 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C41A1B7.3B411AD5@analon.com>
Source record: 54090

do you have any new your aREA FREQUENCIES.. POST EM HERE

Laura MAloney wrote:

> THE BEST WAY TO MAKE SOME EXTRA MONEY IN YOUR SPARE TIME AT HOME!!! YOU JUST
> DO THE TASKS THAT THEY SEND YOU (REAL EASY... EXAMPLE: GO LOOK AT A WEBSITE
> THAT YOU MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN, OR SIGN UP FOR A ACCOUNT ON SOME PAGE). YOU
> DONT NEED ANY MONEY TO START AND ALL YOU NEED IS A EMAIL ADDRESS AND A
> COUPLE SPARE HOURS PER WEEK. IVE BEEN DOING IT FOR 2 WEEKS AND ALREADY I
> HAVE MY FIRST CHECK COMING!!! $500!!! ITS COMING IN FASTER AND FASTER! JUST
> THINK WHAT YOU COULD DO WITH AN EXTRA $500?! IF NOTHING ELSE CHECK OUT THE
> SITE READ THE DETAILS OF WHAT YOU HAVE TO DO, AND IF YOU DONT LIKE IT, NO
> PROBLEM. BUT DONT LET THIS PASS YOU BY CUZ IT COULD MAKE YOU SOME NICE $$$.
> VISIT THIS SITE--------
> http://www.nitroclicks.com/join.phtml?refered=thecooch
>
> BY THE WAY MY EMAIL IS THECOOCHIEMONSTA@HOTMAIL.COM
>
> MY REFERRAL NAME SHOULD BE IN THE SPACE PROVIDED FOR REFERRAL BUT IF NOT
> PLEASE PUT IT IN>>> IT WILL ONLY HELP YOU OUT! READ BELOW FOR MORE
> INFO!!!>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> WHEN YOU GET TO THE SIGN UP PAGE PLEASE TYPE IN MY REFERRAL NAME (thecooch)
> SO THAT NITROCLICKS WILL RECOGNIZE THAT I TOLD YOU ABOUT THIS. AS ADDED
> INCENTIVE IF YOU TYPE MY NAME AS A REFERRAL YOU WILL GET $6 TO SIGN UP AND
> YOU WILL GET 5-10 MORE TASKS THEN YOU NORMALLY WOULD (TASKS= MORE MONEY!) SO
> TO HELP YOU OUT AND HELP ME OUT PLEASE TYPE MY REFERRAL NAME IN... IT DOESNT
> TAKE ANYTHING FROM YOU AT ALL AND IT HELPS YOU OUT TO DO IT! REFERRAL AGAIN
> IS---- joncapuano ---------- THANKS!!!
>
> PS--- IF YOU WANT TO MAKE EVEN MORE MONEY GET ALL THE PEOPLE THAT YOU KNOW
> THAT WOULD BE INTERESTED IN THIS AND GET THEM TO SIGN UP UNDERNEATH YOU...
> %10 DIRECT REFERAL---%5 INDIRECT--- INCREASE YOUR CASH BY SITTING BACK AND
> LETTING OTHER PEOPLE DO IT FOR YA!!! IF YOU NEED ANYMORE INFORMATION MESSAGE
> ME ON IRC FROM THE TDCC SERVER THAT YOU DOWNLOADED THIS FROM. IF IM NOT
> THERE LEAVE YOUR EMAIL AND ILL GET BACK TO YOU ASAP. PEACE...

--
**----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com |
--Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is OK!
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is Deadly!
--

721. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 15:10:55 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C41A37F.31358B4@analon.com>
Source record: 54091

depends on what frequencies you want to listen to
Mobile phones are 820-850 (mobile or handset radio) and 869-896 (tower/base)

for antennas to work WELL, they should be a multiple of the wavelength of the frequency you want to listen to ie 1 wave 1/2, 1/4, 1/6

300 divided by frequency in MHZ gives wavelength in meters for those USA/non metric people 39.36 inches are in a meter

a 900.0000 mhz frequency has a wavelength (WL) of 1/3 meter

a 150.000 mhz frequency has a wavelength of 2.000 meters

ok, a 900mhz antenna will receive 150mhz signals but it is NOT DESIGNED or OPTIMIZED for 150mhz or 2.00 meter signals..... a 450mhz antanna WILL receive 900mhz signals because both are wave multiples..... a 1/2 wave for 450 is a 1 wave for 900

in general the lower the frequency, the longer the antenna is required for BEST reception.

michaeldr1 wrote:

> How well would a PCS antenna work with a scanner as a recieving antenna? Is
> the PCS band in the 800 - 900mhz range? Thanks for your info.

--
**----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com |
--Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is OK!
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is Deadly!
--

722. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 15:19:21 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3zh08.84$9c.63853@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54092

In article <20020113091722.05453.00000572@mb-mq.aol.com>,
toomanyradios@aol.com says...
> In article <3C417D88.9040204@licensed4fun.com>, GeorgeF
> <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> writes:
>
> >The sale and use of an Aircraft band transmitter is fully legal. The
> >sale of a scanner which can pick up Cell phone conversations is not
> >legal. Big difference.
>
> Wrong. The sale of a such a scanner which was imported or manufactured before
> April, 1994 is completely legal. *Using* such a scanner to monitor cell phone
> comms is illegal.

As usual you are just splitting hairs.

One is legal to sell and one isn't. There is a big difference. But
hey, go split hairs and run around screaming the sky is falling. You
do it so well and so often.

723. Re: POLICE 10 CODES?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 15:21:02 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C41A5DE.49208A9E@analon.com>
Source record: 54093

get police call
ther are national APCO codes but some vary from department to department

example Arizona DPS/HIghway Patrol 10-15 = paperwork or package

Maricopa County Sheriff 10-15 = prisoner in custody

murray wrote:

> where can i find police 10 codes for,MA.,NY.,GA. & LA.

--
**----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com
|
--Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is
OK!
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is
Deadly!
--

724. Re: Any pics or specs on Uniden Scanners, besides the Press release?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 15:24:01 UTC
From: Adam Barnes <adambarnes@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <xEh08.71279$HW3.67627@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 54094
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Grow the f#ck up you FOOL, the info is legit. The more you carry on about this, the more you look like an idiot!

"Nor Sutra" <norsutra@forguy.com> wrote in message news:3C3FAEF4.AD76D0F@forguy.com...
> Greg wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 05:43:43 GMT, Nor Sutra <norsutra@forguy.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Your not too bright, are you? How can it be VALID if it had the Jan 8th
> > >date???? Get it now? It was INVALID, WRONG, INCORRECT.
> >
> > Yes, it had the wrong date. It was done up ahead of time. So
> > technically, it's incorrect. Does that invalidate the rest of the
> > information in there?
>
> Absolutely. It is an issue of credibility. How can you possibly take
> anything else seriously after the first lie that you know for FACT is a lie?
> Why would you even READ anything else after the first lie?
> You read the National Enquirer, don't you?
>
>
>

725. Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 15:28:52 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C41A7B4.FCFBF51A@analon.com>
Source record: 54095

IN the Phoenix Area and also in Southern Ca and the SF BAy, I've found MANY analong calls. In some cases if a phone can't acquire a digital repeater frequency, it will switch to analog mode.... there are alos plenty of analog phones still in use...

Sometimes, I will let people listen to cell phone calls to prove to them that waht is said on a mobile or cell phone is NOT PRIVATE, I tell them that they have a radio and transmit radio signals, a scanner receives radio signals.......

I usually don't give out banking or credit card information via a mobile, I do that via a land line

in the USA mobile phones are 820-850 for mobile and 869-896 for the towers and about 1950mhz for the PCS and digital phones. ther are also IMTS phones that use 35, 151, and 454mhz frequencies.... today, most of what you find here is pager traffic. the Sky page uses 928 and 929mhz frequencies.

JOHN

Charlie Durand wrote:

> Hey gang,
>
> I know it's not allowed and that new units are blocked from receiving the
> frequencies used by cellphones but even if they weren't blocked anymore is
> it even possible to monitor cell phones anymore? When I say possible I mean
> possible with little or no real extra work on the part of the listener. I
> don't need to hear that the government can do it no problem. I'm not the
> government.
>
> I have a scannner than can receive these frequencies and hear junk. I
> assume it's all the digital phones out there. Way way back in the day when
> analog phones still ruled the airwaves I could actually hear people. I
> think the only people still using analog-only phones are my grandparents
> because "it works just fine for me" regardless of the fact their phone is
> the size of a shoebox.
>
> My main question is why bother blocking cell phone frequencies anymore since
> all the phones are digital?
>
> Ok, that's all.
>
> Thanks!

--
**----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!

JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com |
--Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is OK!
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is Deadly!
--

726. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 15:44:30 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <yXh08.464$Zh1.202449@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54096
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:xY608.3960$d16.774035@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Why is it that when I post a simple WTB listing, for an item for which I
> have my own, personal reasons for wanting, some MORON jumps in with an
> absolutely ridiculous response, making absolutely NO sense?

"FYI" dude. I'm just letting you know. If you don't care what I think then
don't YOU be a moron and read my post. Be smarter and just wait for someone
to post meating your exacting standards.

Here's some more stupid MORONIC "FYI" info: What requesting "FREE"
information don't insult the people who might waste thier time HELPING you
who obviously don't deserve it since you have no respect, and probably like
to say things over the Internet that you say only BEHIND people's backs in
life! Grow up and learn some people skills. If you are a teen, then I
apologize as you are still "growing up".

>
> I did not ask for a debate on the merits of a 2042 or how it compares to a
> 62, which by the way is like comparing apples to oranges.

That WAS my point.

727. Re: Aircraft Band Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 16:08:12 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3C41B0EC.B317D2DD@qwest.net>
Source record: 53997

Used by the Air Force? I doubt it. The JD-100 is a mediocre
scanner at best. Gets clobbered with intermod and images in
any metro area, has no search function and has only 20 memory
channels. Ho hum.

I have had several scanners that kicks it's ass such as the
Pro-26, Pro-43, Pro-60, BC-3000XLT, IC-2 and AR-8000.

But I will admit the JD-100 is novel in that its the only
scanner that covers civil and mil airbands exclusively and it
is inexpensive.

Jon

Skatebrat wrote:
>
> Sporty's Air-Scan Receiver/Scanner JD-100
>
> Used By the US Air Force The JD-100 Air-Scanï¿½ is a sophisticated,
> programmable VHF/UHF scanner which is lightweight, portable and
> simple to use.
>
> Ideal for use at airshows, your local airport or just "eavesdropping"
> on general aviation, airline even military air traffic in your area!
>
> In addition to 1000 VHF civilian communication frequencies,
> the JD100 receives 7200 UHF military frequencies;
> which few other receivers are able to pick up.
>
> Uses ordinary alkaline AA batteries and includes a five-year
> warranty, flexible antenna, removable wrist strap and instruction manual.
>
> receives civilian & military aviation bands
> full-feature scanner with "priority scan" returns to assigned
> frequency when it becomes active
> scan the entire frequency range or memory channels
> 20 memory channels
> back-lit screen
> polarized screen reduces glare and LCD washout
> lightweight and compact, fits easily in a flight bag
> 4 AA batteries last up to 45 hours
> low battery indicator
> five-year warranty
> superior audio quality
> single-hand squelch control

> external power jack
> earphone jack compatible with Walkmanï¿½-style earphones
> key lock prevents inadvertent frequency changes
> flexible antenna with standard aviation compatible BNC connector
> weight 12.7 oz.
> size 65/8 h x 23/8 w x 17/16 d
>
> frequency range:
> CIVILIAN 118.000-142.975 MHz
> MILITARY 220.000-399.975 MHz
>
> http://www.sportys.com/acb/showdetl.cfm?&DID=19&Product_ID=1299&CATID=93

728. Re: Cool New Toy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 16:26:21 UTC
From: Jim Chisholm <jim@JChisholm.Phys.Dal.Ca>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.13.12.26.21.93939.18709@JChisholm.Phys.Dal.Ca>
Source record: 53998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 12 Jan 2002 18:40:04 -0400, David M. Hitchner wrote:

> I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The nice
> thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card connections
> (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about people using these
> things for amateur radio. I picked one up at Sam's Club, made some
> cables and connected it to my ICOM 746. It works great!! I have had
> several comments on how good the audio quality was. It even works with
> the VOX so I can now walk around the house while listening to and
> talking on my radio. No cords!
>
That's pretty neat..
My Fire Dept. runs on both VHF and 800MHz trunking..the volunteers are
paged out on VHF and the main city stations run on 800 MHz Mot II.
trunking.
I run the (VHF freqs) audio out from my SC200 to the left input channel
of a digitally synthesized FM transmitter I built and the 800 MHz trunked
stuff from my BC245 to the right input channel.
Antwhere around my property I now listen to both channels (stereo) on
any FM stereo reciever. I just picked up a superlight Sony FM stereo
headset radio so I can wander around, listen to both freq. bands and not
drive my wife nuts with all the paging racket etc. Hey in bed I just
listen to my cheapy FM clock radio tuned to my FM transmitter.
I also have an FM reciever pluged into my computer's sound card and live
stream the audio so as to pick up all my calls at work.

Jim

==========
Jim Chisholm <Jim@Electron.Phys.Dal.Ca>
Dalhousie University, Dept. Physics Halifax N.S. Canada
Halifax Regional Fire and Emergency Service
Captain/Training Officer Bay Road Station 59
==========

729. Re: PC control for BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 16:47:05 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C41BA51.52D567FE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 53999

AirborneRanger wrote:
>
> Anyone know of good freeware that will:
> 1. Display Alpha Tags
> 2. Needs to run on XP...
> 3. Upload/download freqs,scanlists,etc.
> 4. Allow offline editing
>
> something like WinScan...
>
> --
> Tom

Way back when I had a BC895XLT, I used "Trunk Manager" and "Radio
Manager". Nice software. They can be found at:

http://www.interplaza.com/bensware/rm.htm

Good luck!
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

730. Portage Co, OH -- Lifeflight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 16:54:16 UTC
From: wright@jatoday.com.jm
Message-ID: <ba81ac6f.0201130854.6658570@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54000

Looking for the frequency used by Lifeflight in Portage County, Ohio.

Thanks.

wright@jatoday.com.jm

731. Monster Trucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 16:56:14 UTC
From: wright@jatoday.com.jm
Message-ID: <ba81ac6f.0201130856.447e508b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54001

Looking for any freqs used at the Monster Truck shows.

Thanks.

wright@jatoday.com.jm

732. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 18:55:57 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3C41D83D.F5858223@qwest.net>
Source record: 54002
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Get a life Viper, all he asked for was for a Pro-2042, not a
incoherent rant on which scanner is better. If you had done your
homework, you would learn Dan has been on this newsgroup for
many years. Dan and I have been buying and selling scanners to
each other for 5 or 6 years here.

Trust me, he KNOWS about scanners which you obviously you don't.
The Pro-2042 is long out of production and not a "a brand new
BASE scanner" as you put it. The man wants a Pro-2042, not your
advice. Really now, did his post didn't say "WTB" Pro-2042 and
while you're at it, tell me why I don't want one". You called
him a moron for reading your reply to his post. Like he won't
read replies? Get real...

Speaking of people skills, go back to school and slap your
teachers for not teaching you spelling and grammar.

Viper56-FW wrote:
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:xY608.3960$d16.774035@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > Why is it that when I post a simple WTB listing, for an item for which I
> > have my own, personal reasons for wanting, some MORON jumps in with an
> > absolutely ridiculous response, making absolutely NO sense?
>
> "FYI" dude. I'm just letting you know. If you don't care what I think then
> don't YOU be a moron and read my post. Be smarter and just wait for someone
> to post meating your exacting standards.
>
> Here's some more stupid MORONIC "FYI" info: What requesting "FREE"
> information don't insult the people who might waste thier time HELPING you
> who obviously don't deserve it since you have no respect, and probably like
> to say things over the Internet that you say only BEHIND people's backs in
> life! Grow up and learn some people skills. If you are a teen, then I
> apologize as you are still "growing up".
>
> >
> > I did not ask for a debate on the merits of a 2042 or how it compares to a
> > 62, which by the way is like comparing apples to oranges.
>
> That WAS my point.

733. FS: Pro 43 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 19:02:26 UTC
From: getprepared@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a1952c66.0201131102.4abdfea9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,oh.forsale,pgh.forsale

Nice condition, with radio shack 9-section whip antenna.
$150 shipped priority mail.

Email me at
getprepared@yahoo.com
for more info.

-Michael

734. Re: this makes your penis bigger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 19:15:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a1smpu$t7q1o$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 54004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

"Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote in message news:a1pv24$2k7r$1@news.dp.net...
> Well, some people get a hard on over most anything - so, it wouldn't be
> surprising. There is a documented case where a guy lit a fire (Arson),
went
> across the street and was masturbating while watching his handy work.
So....
> go figure!
>

Some serial arsonists derive sexual stimulation from setting fires. It's
very wierd & sick, but it happens. Ask any arson investigator about it.

Tom

735. FA:..Yaesu VR-120 Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 19:49:55 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201131149.5deab71f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54005

For a sale item on Ebay:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1321653027

736. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 21:02:14 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020113160214.11409.00000641@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 54006

In article <3zh08.84$9c.63853@newsfeed.slurp.net>, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
writes:

>As usual you are just splitting hairs.
>
>One is legal to sell and one isn't. There is a big difference. But
>hey, go split hairs and run around screaming the sky is falling. You
>do it so well and so often.

Are you claiming that it is illegal to sell used, pre-ban scanners with full
800Mhz coverage? If so, please cite your source and show proof.

737. scanner enhancements and modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 21:09:48 UTC
From: canyon1@onebox.com
Message-ID: <b9232b6f.0201131309.7ed41418@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54007

I have started a group dedicated to scanner modifications. As a member you will learn how to modify your scanner to receive cell phone frequencies, extend the frequency range, add more channels and much more. In order to insure that members are exchanging information members are expected to be involved ways you could be involved are responding to questions, posting secret frequencies, and looking for scanner modifications to post to the group.
To subscribe Go to the group site at
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scannerenhancements/

738. Re: this makes your penis bigger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 21:19:32 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u43ubqti3649ab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

> Some serial arsonists derive sexual stimulation from setting fires. It's
> very wierd & sick, but it happens. Ask any arson investigator about it.

ah yes but serial arsonists have to watch out for even wierder and sicker
people who derive sexual stimulation from setting fire to people who are
serial arsonists :p

phil :)

739. AOR-AR5000+3 comments please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 21:46:08 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <Aen08.2302$Zh1.1241402@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'd like some comments on the preformance of the AR5000+3. In particular those owners that can compare it other higher receivers they have used... HF and VHF/UHF.

740. Re: Aircraft Band Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 22:18:15 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20009-3C4207A7-300@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 54012

The JD-100 may indeed be inexpensive at $157, but the optional
accessories certainly aren't.

$50 for a DC adapter & $75 for an AC adapter is just a bit steep. Those
prices come from the current Sporty's catalog on page 110.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Aircraft Listener, Airplane Watcher,
Air Refueling & Military Airshows
Scanning the Skies from Southern Illinois

741. WANTED VR-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 22:43:51 UTC
From: Bobby N2BR <brradioman@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u443d97ft8scb2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54010

Want to Buy a VR-5000 Receiver...

****
Amateur Radio Station N2BR EM76ge
Bobby Raymer
Algood,Tennessee
****
Email: brradioman@charter.net
Webpage: http:www.hfradio.org/n2br
****

742. FS: Sony Wavehawk ICF-SC1PC computer controller scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 22:44:26 UTC
From: Michael White <m.white66@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <e5o08.13273$Ep1.5031@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 54011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For sale, a like-new Sony Wavehawk ICF-SC1PC scanner. This is a general coverage scanner (minus US cell bands) that covers from 25 - 1300 MHz, AM, WFM, and NFM. You can use it as a stand-alone scanner, you can program memories and scan ranges from your PC, and you can operate the radio remotely from your PC. This unit is in mint condition, just like new in original box with all accessories, PC cable, ac power supply, software disk, frequencies disk, etc. There is not a mark on the radio, and it works perfectly. $175 + shipping from 20164. Email if interested. Thanks.

Mike
m.white66@verizon.net

743. Re: this makes your penis bigger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 22:49:35 UTC
From: lowBALZ <lib_mrducks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3ao08.17820$gp.1663748@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 54015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

geez....it's good to know i'm not the ONLY one.....LOL...

B

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
news:u43ubqti3649ab@corp.supernews.com...
> > Some serial arsonists derive sexual stimulation from setting fires.
It's
> > very wierd & sick, but it happens. Ask any arson investigator about it.
>
> ah yes but serial arsonists have to watch out for even wierder and sicker
> people who derive sexual stimulation from setting fire to people who are
> serial arsonists :p
>
> phil :)
>
>
>
>

744. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 22:57:19 UTC
From: Casey <w4crt@home.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <c6444ug8aarcc0l59pnj8nipbukfofgeqr@4ax.com>
Source record: 54013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 12 Jan 2002 20:18:48 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
wrote:

>I am looking to buy a Radio Shack Pro-2042 base scanner. Must be in good
>condition, fully functional, preferably from a non smoking environment with
>manual.
>
>Please e-mail if you have one you are looking to sell.
>
>Dan
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1321117475

745. Re: AOR-AR5000+3 comments please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 22:58:28 UTC
From: bypass2020 <bypass2020@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a1t3ej$9hr$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 54014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I've only uised one on HF for a few hours at a friends house, it didn't seem to be lacking at all.

"isobad" wrote in message news:Aen08.2302$Zh1.1241402@news02.optonline.net...
> I'd like some comments on the preformance of the AR5000+3. In particular
> those owners that can compare it other higher receivers they have used...
HF
> and VHF/UHF.
>
>
>
>

746. Re: Trunktracking in Cleveland, OH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 23:00:19 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16876-3C421F93-295@storefull-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 54019

Euclid is on : F1, 423.375 Dispatch.
F2, 423.600 Alternate
F3, 423.850 Spec. Ops.

Most Eastern suburbs of Cleveland, that are in Cuyahoga county, use the 420 band. All of Lake county is analog 800 trunked.

Dave

747. Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 23:40:29 UTC
From: Hank <hsgetson@nothome.com>
Message-ID: <NVo08.373853$5A3.141823905@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 54016

Dev wrote in message ...
>what I find funny is you have someone trying to say that their phone is
>digital due to the fact the display is LED or LCD. my girls ma insists her
>motorola dpc-550 is digital.

Well, it doesn't have all of the fancy ring tones, do-dads, etc. (stricktly for the kids), and weighs a ton. I happen to own 2; and don't have the need to keep it glued to my ear, or enrich the phone company. One sits in each car and makes about 3 calls a year.

I just dug out the manual for old times sake. What a surprise -- it's title is:

Digital Personal Communicator (DPC)

Hank

748. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-13 23:55:50 UTC
From: Nor Sutra <norsutra@forguy.com>
Message-ID: <3C421EAD.DF62FB7F@forguy.com>
Source record: 54017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"M. Schneider" wrote:

> Nor Sutra, or whatever name you are going by

Really, and you are so much smarter by freely giving out all your personal info:

Schneider, Mark X
7XX N DXXXX CXX
SXXXXXX, OK 7XXX5-7107
(405)3XX-9XXX

Born: March XXth, 195X

Not very intelligent, especially for someone who claims to have worked at a police department!

At your age, you should know better. Sorry if I don't choose to give out all my personal information to all the trolls that lurk on newsgroups, not that any of that is relevant to the subjects being discussed anyway! Try to keep on topic, and take more of an interest in what is being said, rather than the poster's name or email address which you don't need to carry on a discussion or debate.

I sure wouldn't try and piss off anybody here after being so free with your personal info, the next guy you try to piss off won't be as nice as I am.

749. Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 00:19:31 UTC
From: rob arnold <robchris@surenet.net>
Message-ID: <arp08.93032$Z2.1323048@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 54018

ontario canada wooo hooo
i listen too everything
on my beauty r2
"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3C41A7B4.FCFBF51A@analon.com...
> IN the Phoenix Area and also in Southern Ca and the SF BAy, I've found
MANY
> analong calls. In some cases if a phone can't acquire a digital repeater
> frequency, it will switch to analog mode.... there are alos plenty of
analog
> phones still in use...
>
> Sometimes, I will let people listen to cell phone calls to prove to them
that
> waht is said on a mobile or cell phone is NOT PRIVATE, I tell them that
they
> have a radio and transmit radio signals, a scanner receives radio
signals.......
>
> I usually don't give out banking or credit card information via a mobile,
I do
> that via a land line
>
> in the USA mobile phones are 820-850 for mobile and 869-896 for the towers
and
> about 1950mhz for the PCS and digital phones. ther are also IMTS phones
that
> use 35, 151, and 454mhz frequencies.... today, most of what you find here
is
> pager traffic. the Sky page uses 928 and 929mhz frequencies.
>
> JOHN
>
> Charlie Durand wrote:
>
> > Hey gang,
> >
> > I know it's not allowed and that new units are blocked from receiving
the
> > frequencies used by cellphones but even if they weren't blocked anymore
is
> > it even possible to monitor cell phones anymore? When I say possible I
mean
> > possible with little or no real extra work on the part of the listener.

I
> > don't need to hear that the government can do it no problem. I'm not
the
> > government.
> >
> > I have a scannner than can receive these frequencies and hear junk. I
> > assume it's all the digital phones out there. Way way back in the day
when
> > analog phones still ruled the airwaves I could actually hear people. I
> > think the only people still using analog-only phones are my grandparents
> > because "it works just fine for me" regardless of the fact their phone
is
> > the size of a shoebox.
> >
> > My main question is why bother blocking cell phone frequencies anymore
since
> > all the phones are digital?
> >
> > Ok, that's all.
> >
> > Thanks!
>
> --
> **----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com |
> --Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is OK!
> Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is Deadly!
> --
>
>

750. Re: WANTED VR-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 00:19:55 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <Lup08.4150$Zh1.1703397@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54020

I saw a couple on ebay

"Bobby N2BR" <brradioman@charter.net> wrote in message
news:u443d97ft8scb2@corp.supernews.com...
> Want to Buy a VR-5000 Receiver...
>
>
> **********
> Amateur Radio Station N2BR EM76ge
> Bobby Raymer
> Algood,Tennessee
> **********
> Email: brradioman@charter.net
> Webpage: http:www.hfradio.org/n2br
> **********
>
>

751. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 00:51:04 UTC
From: Greg Mossman <nosspam.law@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <XXp08.531$Z61.747077@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net>
Source record: 54021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

"OldSalt" <babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c4133ce.35950224@news.fast.net...
> On Sun, 13 Jan 2002 03:19:34 GMT, "Adam Helberg"
> <helberga@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >OK. If you can't make mine smaller, can you make it into a safety
sausage?
> >Adam
>
> I have a sausage maker !! It's in my kitchen cabinet next to my
> pasta machine !! You want links or patty sausage ?! Oh wait... you
> said a safety sausage.... hmmm. You want it detached so you can clip
> it to your BC when not in use ??

"Some guy was selling it
I had to buy it off him
He wanted 22 bucks, but I talked him down to 17

"I took it home, washed it off
And put it back on
I was happy again, complete

"People sometimes tell me I should get it permanently attached
But I don't know
Even though sometimes it's a pain in the ass
I like having a detachable penis"

King Missile

752. FS:Pro-39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 01:06:48 UTC
From: TechHead <harothxberg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <laq08.29604$6L1.4761584@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 54037

Radio Shack Pro-39 scanner for sale:
Excellent condition, no scratches on case or LCD. Has full 800 Mhz
coverage, no other mods. Includes: rubber duck antenna, AC adapter/charger,
manual, 6 GE Ni-Cad batteries.
Asking $140.00 or best offer.

Email: brothberg@carolina.rr.com

Thanks!
Alan, KU4GO

753. Re: Portage Co, OH -- Lifeflight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 01:10:36 UTC
From: Dave <dwhite15@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3C422F24.98029F48@neo.rr.com>
Source record: 54022

Lifeflight Freq is 155.385

Jamaica Wright wrote:
>
> Looking for the frequency used by Lifeflight in Portage County, Ohio.
>
> Thanks.
>
> wright@jatoday.com.jm

754. Re: Q about entering trunking freqs into a Pro-93 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 01:21:03 UTC
From: OU812 <v@nhalen.to>
Message-ID: <3c4232da@MAIL.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 54023

"Rob Rosenhouse" <rrosenhouse@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9194D82C44BB5pinballwizhomecom@24.3.128.74...
> When programming a trunk group into the scanner (RS Pro-93), is the
default
> behavior to stop on all activity on that channel?

yes. actually all you need is the control channel progged for mot type 2. of course if your system's control channel rotates then you'll need all freqs in the rotation progged.

> Do I *have to*
> enter the talk groups?

no

> What are the advantages and or disadvantages of entering talk groups?

advantage? locking out groups you're not interested in when using closed mode.
disadvantage? well the most obvious one is if you don't have the ids stored you can't alpha tag them but other than that i guess there really is none.

> If different town use the ericsson trunking system, but different
> frequencies, can they (or should they) be entered into the same bank?

no. as is clearly stated in the manual you can only monitor one type system in a particular bank.

> Thanks in advance,
> Rob

yw

755. FS BC-895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 01:24:35 UTC
From: OLD SOP <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yrq08.12922$qN3.113463@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 54024

Mint with original box and manual. Located in Canada. Offers?

756. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 01:27:33 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C423404.E607331@amsat.org>
Source record: 54025

michaeldr1 wrote:

> How well would a PCS antenna work with a scanner as a recieving antenna? Is
> the PCS band in the 800 - 900mhz range? Thanks for your info.

I think the Sprint PCS cell phones (they don't like to be called what they
really are) are in the range of 1.9 GHz
Just grab an old portapack cell phone (800 MHz) from a thrift shop or junk heap
to do 800 - 900 only scanning.

Consider that your results on lower frequencies will likely be degraded more
with one of these antennas,
than the 800 - 900 reception will on a longer multipurpose "scanner" antenna,
if this is your only scanner, and is expected to cover other services.
I have an old bc235 that I use exclusively for the local PD trunk system and
the cell phone duckie is what I use on it.

~Bart~

757. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 01:48:05 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sMq08.255$9c.168748@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 54026

In article <20020113160214.11409.00000641@mb-cg.aol.com>,
toomanyradios@aol.com says...
> In article <3zh08.84$9c.63853@newsfeed.slurp.net>, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
> writes:
>
> >As usual you are just splitting hairs.
> >
> >One is legal to sell and one isn't. There is a big difference. But
> >hey, go split hairs and run around screaming the sky is falling. You
> >do it so well and so often.
>
> Are you claiming that it is illegal to sell used, pre-ban scanners with full
> 800Mhz coverage? If so, please cite your source and show proof.
>

No I am claiming that you are full of crap when you say "From now on,
anyone who is observed monitoring ANY communications besides broadcast
radio will be labeled a terrorist or worst."

You seem to think an Egyptian near the WTC that lied to the police is
a victim and use it to further your anti-government sky is falling on
our rights babble.

758. FS BC8500 500ch scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 01:51:24 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020113205124.13518.00002110@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54027

mint condition, tone board installed, orig owner, non smoker...$200 i ship,
kevin

759. MY AOR1000 needs retuning - HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 01:59:43 UTC
From: test <test@unsw.edu.au>
Message-ID: <3C423B8E.C9BAC5AC@unsw.edu.au>
Source record: 54028

I had to reset my AOR1000 and now the frequencies appear about 5 MHz lower than they should be. (i.e. A signal of 100 MHz is received when the display is only 95MHz)

Anyone got any advice on what perhaps I can do to retune it?

760. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 02:02:06 UTC
From: bithead@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <3c423a75.10117307@news-server>
Source record: 54029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On Fri, 04 Jan 2002 01:27:30 GMT, igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy)
wrote:

>On 3 Jan 2002 07:24:11 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:
>
>>And while your points as regards VHF vs 800mhz in terrain are correct,
>>perhaps it should be pointed out that even with the disadvantage of
>>shorter range, rail service is perhaps better suited than many other
>>services to 800mhz, even on the longer haul lines since the intended
>>coverage area is pretty precisely defined... to an area not more than
>>a half mile either side of the ROW. I grant you, that we're dealing
>>with a goodly number of trunk sites., but even here, we're talking
>>about less of them than the average trunk system, (per area local
>>area, at least) since, again, the coverage area is limited to where
>>the tracks are.
>
>Actually, no, RR's and similar systems (highway patrol, etc)(*) are peculiarly
>badly suited to 800 MHz. The problem is that the coverage pattern from
>a site is (more or less) circular, whereas RR's are long and skinny.

The answer, to a large degree, is directional arrays.

> To
>implement an 800 MHz system with the same coverage level as the current
>VHF system would require the RR's to install roughly 5 times as many
>tower sites.

Of that, I have no doubt. Yet, this would be less than the sites
needed to m,aintain an 800mhz system for your averasge metro police, I
should think.

>
>Interestingly, the RR's looked at PCS cell phone systems (e.g. Sprint or
>Nextel) until they discovered that in some difficult terrain areas you could
>pretty much throw a rock out of the coverage area standing at the tower
>base.
>
>John
>
>(* Texas, for this reason, equips their highway patrolmen with 800 MHz
>portable radios to use in urban areas, and VHF mobiles in the cars to
>use in rural areas).

In our own case, here in twon, there was some experimentation with 800mhz portibles in fire service. The command car on scene would have a downlink to the 154 mhz linik. Worked pretty well... imagine it; In effect, everyone on the site had a 75 watt transmitter in the size of a pack of smokes.

-1-
American Liberals:The new fascists
There is nobody so conformist as someone who insists on 'diversity"
Hobbits: The original Tolkien Ring Network Experts
http://home.rochester.rr.com/bitheads/

761. provo/salt lake utah/olympics freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 02:03:46 UTC
From: "J.D. Hacken" <jdhacken@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <60r08.15090$Tq.122129@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 54030

Hi,

Anyone know the frequencies for the provo police? I think they migrated to 800 MHz but I'm not sure. Also, anyone know any fun frequencies to listen to when the olympics are here?

JD

762. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 02:18:26 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <Sdr08.4739$Zh1.2063549@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm not going to waste my time arguing with you because anybody who supports kicking somebody who is trying to help is not worth arguing with. If you ask for help and somebody gives something wrong . . . say THANK YOU, and move on. Otherwise HELP YOURSELF!! And you wonder why so many NG users are so pissy?

I'M SORRY FOR TRYING TO HELP!! Happy?

"Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@qwest.net> wrote in message

763. Re: FS BC-895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 02:26:44 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c424179.1083207@news.mint.net>
Source record: 54032

yea I got an offer.....you and all the other assholes in
canada can shove it up your (x)....you can thank DAVID MONROE of
Keene Ontario for the "atitude"

On Sun, 13 Jan 2002 19:24:35 -0600, "OLD SOP" <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Mint with original box and manual. Located in Canada. Offers?
>
>
>

764. WTB:Cat Gold or RadioMax (2006 OS456 Software)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 02:33:16 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c4242f7.1464776@news.mint.net>
Source record: 54033

Looking for Scancat Gold or RadioMax in the full version if anybody has it laying around.....thanks

765. Re: FS BC-895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 02:34:13 UTC
From: OLD SOP <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Tsr08.12929$qN3.113683@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 54034

What's your problem pal? You're not interested then fuck off!

"Skyhawk" <sky444@mint.net> wrote in message
news:3c424179.1083207@news.mint.net...
> yea I got an offer.....you and all the other assholes in
> canada can shove it up your (x)....you can thank DAVID MONROE of
> Keene Ontario for the "atitude"
>
> On Sun, 13 Jan 2002 19:24:35 -0600, "OLD SOP" <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Mint with original box and manual. Located in Canada. Offers?
> >
> >
> >
>

766. Re: Incredibly foolish newbie question(s): Antenna's and sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 02:36:10 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <uur08.5049$Zh1.2122646@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54035

ra
"chairborne" <chairborne6455@webespammed.com> wrote in message
news:3c419608.48586224@news-server...
> I have a couple of questions for you grizzled vets:
>
> I put a cb antenna on my car for my scanner. Works ok, I guess, but
> is there anything I can do to help it out? I use it for everything
> from 400 to 850.
>
> I have a Pro-26, a -90 and a -94. My -26 seems much more sensitive
> (more range and clearer) than these other two newer and fancier
> scanners in all bands (esp the 400's). Is it a radio characteristic
> or my newbie imagination?
>
> BTW, this group needs a FAQ to keep we newbies quiet and orderly.

767. Re: Incredibly foolish newbie question(s): Antenna's and sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 02:36:47 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <3vr08.5055$Zh1.2124911@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 54036

radio shack sells a magnetic mount scanner antenna.

"chairborne" <chairborne6455@webespammed.com> wrote in message
news:3c419608.48586224@news-server...
> I have a couple of questions for you grizzled vets:
>
> I put a cb antenna on my car for my scanner. Works ok, I guess, but
> is there anything I can do to help it out? I use it for everything
> from 400 to 850.
>
> I have a Pro-26, a -90 and a -94. My -26 seems much more sensitive
> (more range and clearer) than these other two newer and fancier
> scanners in all bands (esp the 400's). Is it a radio characteristic
> or my newbie imagination?
>
> BTW, this group needs a FAQ to keep we newbies quiet and orderly.

768. Monster Trucks - Found

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 03:02:40 UTC
From: wright@jatoday.com.jm
Message-ID: <ba81ac6f.0201131902.6d1075b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54038

Found these freqs at Monster Truck show today:

469.500 - primary freq for the show boss and crews

469.325 - back channel

468.0375 - I think this was channel 2

Mentioned channel 7 but could not find it.

Fans were heard on almost all the FRS channels.

154.57, 154.60 were concession stands.

769. 400 channel Scanner 25-1300 Mhz no gaps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 03:06:18 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C424B2A.8040601@dccnet.com>
Source record: 54072

For Sale, Commtel COM510 400 CHANNEL SCANNER 500KHz-1300MHz *NO GAPS*
This is a very tiny hand held scanner,I bought this new from Javiation
See for more info and a picture http://www.javiation.co.uk/com510.html
This is the same radio as the Weltz-1000 (400 ch) or Weltz-2000 (1000 ch)
This is an excellent radio overall! (check out Strong Signals Review)
http://strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=rev&class=recv&num=004
It is like new! with box and manual.
$150 plus shipping
Please e-mail me directly at rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

770. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 04:07:26 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2Qs08.5134$TI2.1528309@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 54039
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> I'm not going to waste my time arguing with you because anybody who
supports
> kicking somebody who is trying to help is not worth arguing with. If you
ask
> for help and somebody gives something wrong . . . say THANK YOU, and move
> on. Otherwise HELP YOURSELF!! And you wonder why so many NG users are so
> pissy?
>
> I'M SORRY FOR TRYING TO HELP!! Happy?
>
In addition to horrible grammer and spelling, you obviously cannot read
either. I think Jon VanAllen said all there was to say about your "help".

We would be VERY happy if you went away.

Dan

771. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 04:08:32 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4Rs08.5136$TI2.1529408@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 54040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thanks, Casey for a constructive response.

Dan

>
> >I am looking to buy a Radio Shack Pro-2042 base scanner. Must be in good
> >condition, fully functional, preferably from a non smoking environment
with
> >manual.
> >
> >Please e-mail if you have one you are looking to sell.
> >
> >Dan
> >
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1321117475

772. Re: Monitoring Cell Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 04:15:32 UTC
From: Dev <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <EXs08.2288$ST3.217708@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 54041

hehe. the R2 works great in British Columbia too. hehe

rob arnold <robchris@surenet.net> wrote in message
news:arp08.93032$Z2.1323048@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> ontario canada wooo hooo
> i listen too everything
> on my beauty r2
> "JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3C41A7B4.FCFBF51A@analon.com...
> > IN the Phoenix Area and also in Southern Ca and the SF BAy, I've found
> MANY
> > analong calls. In some cases if a phone can't acquire a digital
repeater
> > frequency, it will switch to analog mode.... there are alos plenty of
> analog
> > phones still in use...
> >
> > Sometimes, I will let people listen to cell phone calls to prove to them
> that
> > waht is said on a mobile or cell phone is NOT PRIVATE, I tell them that
> they
> > have a radio and transmit radio signals, a scanner receives radio
> signals.......
> >
> > I usually don't give out banking or credit card information via a
mobile,
> I do
> > that via a land line
> >
> > in the USA mobile phones are 820-850 for mobile and 869-896 for the
towers
> and
> > about 1950mhz for the PCS and digital phones. ther are also IMTS phones
> that
> > use 35, 151, and 454mhz frequencies.... today, most of what you find
here
> is
> > pager traffic. the Sky page uses 928 and 929mhz frequencies.
> >
> > JOHN
> >
> > Charlie Durand wrote:
> >
> > > Hey gang,

> > >
> > > I know it's not allowed and that new units are blocked from receiving
> the
> > > frequencies used by cellphones but even if they weren't blocked
anymore
> is
> > > it even possible to monitor cell phones anymore? When I say possible
I
> mean
> > > possible with little or no real extra work on the part of the
listener.
> I
> > > don't need to hear that the government can do it no problem. I'm not
> the
> > > government.
> > >
> > > I have a scannner than can receive these frequencies and hear junk. I
> > > assume it's all the digital phones out there. Way way back in the day
> when
> > > analog phones still ruled the airwaves I could actually hear people.
I
> > > think the only people still using analog-only phones are my
grandparents
> > > because "it works just fine for me" regardless of the fact their phone
> is
> > > the size of a shoebox.
> > >
> > > My main question is why bother blocking cell phone frequencies anymore
> since
> > > all the phones are digital?
> > >
> > > Ok, that's all.
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> >
> > --
> > **----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com
|
> > --Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is
OK!
> > Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is
Deadly!
> > --
> >
> >
>
>

773. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 04:20:39 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <r0t08.5142$TI2.1537188@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 54042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> "FYI" dude. I'm just letting you know. If you don't care >what I think
then don't YOU be a moron and read my >post.

This is quite difficult when I make a post requesting information, it makes sense for me to read the responses, doesn't it. Think about what you said for a minute. Even though I specifically asked for them via e-mail.

> Be smarter and just wait for someone
>to post meating your exacting standards.

This makes no sense in the English language, unless of course you are translating from Swahili.
The word "meating" does not exist in the English language. Proper spelling is MEETING.

> Here's some more stupid MORONIC "FYI" info: What >requesting "FREE"
> information don't insult the people who might waste >thier time HELPING
you

Here we go with that troublesome English language and spelling again. BTW, I wasn't asking for FREE information, dummy. Learn to read. Oops, I forgot, you obviously can't!

> who obviously don't deserve it since you have no >respect, and probably
like to say things over the Internet that you say only BEHIND people's
backs in
> life!

Wow, are we in serious trouble in this country. Illiteracy is alive and well. How can you make such a statement when I post with my FULL name, and do not hide behind an anonymous lable such as "VIPER?"

>Grow up and learn some people skills. If you are a teen, >then I apologize
as you are still "growing up".

Well, it sure takes one to know one, however, although I yearn for my teenage years, I am regrettably not a teen.

> I did not ask for a debate on the merits of a 2042 or how it compares to a
> > 62, which by the way is like comparing apples to oranges.

> That WAS my point.
>
Oh really? Hmm, maybe I should go back to school then, because I MISSED it.

Listen Pal, quit while you're ahead. Continued responses will only add fuel to the great folly I am having here over your unfortunate illiteracy. Thank you for your ill-fated attempt at educating me about the pitfalls of a 2042 Vs. a 62, despite the fact that I never asked for it....

;)

Dan

774. hmm, 900 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 04:27:10 UTC
From: Dev <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <y6t08.2301$ST3.221137@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 54043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was curious. since us hams are allowed to use 902-926. I see the new baby monitors are brought in now too. Besides the old 900 audio video one. but. I was curious. If anyone knows if these new 900 audio ones are in the ham band too. I guess we shoulda used it more and fought for primary use there. Damn. Cordless phones have use, Fast food joints, and others. Now I am curious if the new 900 mhz baby monitors are there too :(. have a great day. hope we don't lose our 2 meter and 70 cm soon. have a great nite all

dev

775. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 05:27:33 UTC
From: Adam Helberg <helberga@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9%t08.29631$zw3.2874300@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

I can just see it: the OldSalt Scuba Safety Sausage Inc. Divers line up to have the treatment with the enlargement machine to be converted to safety sausage sold to the diver. The beauty of the operation is the diver supplies the material for the safety sausage. Low overhead. Only your labor of love.

Adam

"OldSalt" <babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com> wrote in message news:3c4133ce.35950224@news.fast.net...
> On Sun, 13 Jan 2002 03:19:34 GMT, "Adam Helberg"
> <helberga@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >OK. If you can't make mine smaller, can you make it into a safety sausage?
> >Adam
>
> I have a sausage maker !! It's in my kitchen cabinet next to my
> pasta machine !! You want links or patty sausage ?! Oh wait... you
> said a safety sausage.... hmmm. You want it detached so you can clip
> it to your BC when not in use ??
>

776. Re: hmm, 900 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 05:31:26 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C426D2E.721A3BFE@amsat.org>
Source record: 54045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dev wrote:

> I was curious. since us hams are allowed to use 902-926. I see the new baby
> monitors are brought in now too. Besides the old 900 audio video one. but. I
> was curious. If anyone knows if these new 900 audio ones are in the ham band
> too. I guess we shoulda used it more and fought for primary use there. Damn.
> Cordless phones have use, Fast food joints, and others. Now I am curious if
> the new 900 mhz baby monitors are there too :(. have a great day. hope we
> don't lose our 2 meter and 70 cm soon. have a great nite all
>
> dev

I'm not sure what, if anything, the newest revisions to band allocation will
bring,
but the old catch all ISM band (902 -928) already included Amateur (our 33 cm
band) along with monitors, telemetry, part 15 devices etc.
As for 70 cm, we have a shared use of that band with the military (ship board
radar),
so that band probably won't get commercialized very soon.
2m is nowadays the entry band for most amateurs,
and with continued heavy usage, it won't likely be commercialized either.

~Bart~

777. Modification Realistic Pro-2022 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 05:59:08 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a1ts0m$o9v$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 54046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi!

I was wondering if there is a modification for the Pro-2022 to change the steps on 400 MHz from 12,5kHz to 5 or 10kHz.

I'm afraid this is "hard-coded", but you never know.....

Thanks in advance!

Peter Hunt
Holland.

778. Re: AFS-780 available for free download!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 06:09:10 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a1tsjp$pf4$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 53948
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Hello,

Dear Avraam,

> I am pleased to announce the first public beta release of
> Avraam's Free Software for the BC 780XLT (AFS780).

I'm experiencing some problems with extracting.
It seems to have some sort of conflict with my
soundcard?!?

Could you please send me the program in a ZIP-file?
Maybe that'll work...

Thanks in advance!

> -Avraam

Peter Hunt.
Holland.

779. Re: Uniden Debuts New Digital Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 06:10:35 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <fAu08.2$YW.784@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53947
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't have anything to hide. Apparently, you do.

Mark

"Nor Sutra" <norsutra@forguy.com> wrote in message
news:3C421EAD.DF62FB7F@forguy.com...
> "M. Schneider" wrote:
>
> > Nor Sutra, or whatever name you are going by
>
> Really, and you are so much smarter by freely giving out all your personal
info:
>
> Schneider, Mark X
> 7XX N DXXXX CXX
> SXXXXXX, OK 7XXX5-7107
> (405)3XX-9XXX
>
> Born: March XXth, 195X
>
> Not very intelligent, especially for someone who claims to have worked at
a
> police department!
>
> At your age, you should know better. Sorry if I don't choose to give out
all
> my personal information to all the trolls that lurk on newsgroups, not
that any
> of that is relevant to the subjects being discussed anyway! Try to keep
on
> topic, and take more of an interest in what is being said, rather than the
> poster's name or email address which you don't need to carry on a
discussion or
> debate.
>
> I sure wouldn't try and piss off anybody here after being so free with
your
> personal info, the next guy you try to piss off won't be as nice as I am.
>
>

780. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 06:19:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a1tt9b$ssf0a$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

"Greg Mossman" <nosspam.law@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:XXp08.531$Z61.747077@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net...

> "People sometimes tell me I should get it permanently attached
> But I don't know
> Even though sometimes it's a pain in the ass
> I like having a detachable penis"

There once was a genie
with a ten-foot weenie
and he showed it to the girl next door.
She thought it was a snake,
and hit it with a rake
and now it's only five-foot-four.

781. Mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 06:28:34 UTC
From: Billy <whg33takethisouttoreply@home.com>
Message-ID: <mUu08.1622$B21.379352@news1.rdc1.fl.home.com>
Source record: 53950

I have a question that is probably stupid, but im gonna ask anyway. I have a radio shack pro 25 hand held scanner, and I wondered if I could use a mobile mount antenna (to use in a vehicle) in order to pick up broadcast as opposed to the antenna that is on it (I will probably have to get a different connector to plug the antenna to the scanner, unless it comes with the right connector)?

Sorry if this is a silly question, but I just wanted to ask

Thanks,
Billy

782. Re: Mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 09:03:42 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <O9x08.35051$B61.12064794@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 53951

Not a silly question at all. Yes, you'll ALMOST always get better reception on your handheld scanner in a car if you use an external antenna. The metal of the carbody blocks a lot of the radio signals from getting to your scanner with just the built-in antenna.

The best bet would be to use an antenna specifically designed for the band you monitor most, but since most of us listen to stuff on all bands, a "multi-band" antenna is generally preferred. I've had overall good luck with a mag-mount scanner antenna that Radio Shack has been selling for years, their "Magnet-Mount Mobile Scanner Antenna" Cat.#: 20-032, which sells for about $30. Check out http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D032

or just go to www.radioshack.com and enter the catalog number - 20.032 - in the search box. It has a BNC antenna connector, which is far and away the most common scanner antenna jack.

The only major common downside to an outside antenna, and the reason I said "almost always," is when you're in a high radio-frequency area, like downtown in a large city, or very close to a transmitter (especially pager) site; in these areas an external antenna will sometimes pick up so many radio signals that the scanner has trouble differentiating between them and gets "overloaded." When that happens to me I simply unplug the outside antenna and use the "rubber duckie"

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Billy" <whg33takethisouttoreply@home.com> wrote in message news:mUu08.1622$B21.379352@news1.rdc1.fl.home.com...
> I have a question that is probably stupid, but im gonna ask anyway. I
> have a radio shack pro 25 hand held scanner, and I wondered if I could use a
> mobile mount antenna (to use in a vehicle) in order to pick up broadcast as
> opposed to the antenna that is on it (I will probably have to get a
> different connector to plug the antenna to the scanner, unless it comes with
> the right connector)?
>
> Sorry if this is a silly question, but I just wanted to ask
>
> Thanks,

> Billy
>
>

783. Addr for Live Scanner Group at YAHOO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 09:05:58 UTC
From: tede911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020114040558.16323.00001373@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 53952

I missed it last time it was posted and can't find it in the list

Thanks!

Ted P/Cambridge,MA

784. Re: hmm, 900 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 09:36:56 UTC
From: Dev <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <YEx08.3212$if4.328298@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I know in Canada, Industry Canada has been trying hard to get 2 meters. But
No luck so far. Bad enough about our licencing now.

Dev

<f@l.se> wrote in message news:3C426D2E.721A3BFE@amsat.org...
> Dev wrote:
>
> > I was curious. since us hams are allowed to use 902-926. I see the new
baby
> > monitors are brought in now too. Besides the old 900 audio video one.
but. I
> > was curious. If anyone knows if these new 900 audio ones are in the ham
band
> > too. I guess we shoulda used it more and fought for primary use there.
Damn.
> > Cordless phones have use, Fast food joints, and others. Now I am curious
if
> > the new 900 mhz baby monitors are there too :(. have a great day. hope
we
> > don't lose our 2 meter and 70 cm soon. have a great nite all
> >
> > dev
>
> I'm not sure what, if anything, the newest revisions to band allocation
will
> bring,
> but the old catch all ISM band (902 -928) already included Amateur (our 33
cm
> band) along with monitors, telemetry, part 15 devices etc.
> As for 70 cm, we have a shared use of that band with the military (ship
board
> radar),
> so that band probably won't get commercialized very soon.
> 2m is nowadays the entry band for most amateurs,
> and with continued heavy usage, it won't likely be commercialized either.
>
> ~Bart~

785. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 10:27:58 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <Ooy08.11071$Zh1.3202764@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

All of this nonsense is really not called for, and for you to be so full of yourself to think I would WASTE any of my precious TYME cheking my speling and gramer for the LYKES of SOM 17 YEER old kid?

I hope yu hav had fun being an asshole, and just making yourself look stupid. BTW I'm glad you care ENUFF about me to check your gramer, but I've wasted TWO much of my TYME on you already . . . ZO GETT LOZT!!!!! LOOZER!!

P.S. I didnt bother reading all of your childish typical hiding behind the keyboard wuss post. You're intent is OBVIOUSLY not to communicate anything sensible. When I see a child crying and kicking on the floor . . . I walk away . . .

786. Re: Central NJ Snow Plow Freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 10:32:11 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Lsy08.30115$zw3.2917617@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53954

Since you are not specific as to what area you are referring to, go to
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts & you'll find them

Robert Mack <gradvmedusa@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020106142822.11310.00000022@mb-fn.aol.com...
> Anybody know them or know where I could find them?

787. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 11:10:02 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <e0z08.11146$Zh1.3253798@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:r0t08.5142$TI2.1537188@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > who obviously don't deserve it since you have no >respect, and probably
> like to say things over the Internet that you say only BEHIND people's
> backs in
> > life!
>
> Wow, are we in serious trouble in this country. Illiteracy is alive and
> well. How can you make such a statement when I post with my FULL name,
and
> do not hide behind an anonymous lable such as "VIPER?"

Hey don't criticize me because I have more than half a brain to realize how DANGEROUS it is to be putting my personal information on the WEB. Now that's stupid. If I was half the asshole you are and were willing to waste my time . . . oh the things I could do to you. FYI the Internet is NOT as anonymous as you think, unless ofcourse you are using an anonymous PC(which you are NOT). So becarefull what you say, cause the next guy might not be as nice. You see I was on the NET before you even knew what it was "what's an Internet??". Back in the days before "The rest of the world" started using it. The days when you had to actually have some sense to be able to use it. So it's always nice to see young kids like you running around here like you belong here.

This wouldn't be you would it???? I see it's definitely NOT personal and this is just the way you were raised . .. I'm sorry you never got over your childhood.

T�tulo: Re: New Uniden Digital radios
De: dconti@nj.rr.com

Hey "Bud"

If you would get your head out of your ass and learn the English language, I was referring to the two new newsgroups for these radios that James stated he added to YAHOO Groups. I went there to find them, and they were not yet listed.

After reading the past fifty million posts all over the internet with the press release, I am aware that the radios themselves are not available yet.

Duh.

Dan

788. Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 12:02:50 UTC
From: WiCkEd <nunya@home.com>
Message-ID: <44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com>
Source record: 53955

Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I own a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth to work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you. Is this all hogwash or what?

789. Re: hmm, 900 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 12:40:46 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@home.com>
Message-ID: <ilA08.50572$Z03.32223255@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 53956

I have some neighbors around me that have analog 900 mhz child monitors in use. Found both of them in the low end of the band and are clear as a bell !!!!

Chris

790. Re: MY AOR1000 needs retuning - HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 13:04:55 UTC
From: Richard Hillier <richard@aoruk.com>
Message-ID: <a1um6vhfg3@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 53957

> I had to reset my AOR1000 and now the frequencies appear about 5 MHz
> lower than they should be. (i.e. A signal of 100 MHz is received when
> the display is only 95MHz)
>
> Anyone got any advice on what perhaps I can do to retune it?

It sounds like you have used the WRONG reset information :-(

For the AR1000 use the following:
http://www.aoruk.com/1000bull.htm#ar1000 reset

For the AR1000XLT, AR1000XC and AR2000 use the following:
http://www.aoruk.com/2000bull.htm#reset

Regards, Richard - AOR UK LTD

791. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 14:06:52 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 53958

To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.

I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that is because they are illegal and a scanner is not.

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK
"WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
| Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I own
| a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth to
| work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
| the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
| whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
| Is this all hogwash or what?
|

792. Re: AOR-AR5000+3 comments please...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 14:48:08 UTC
From: smythe@nospam.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3c42eb63.64495275@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53960

With the Collins optional filters the AR5K+3 is nearly equal to R8B
for HF. R8B has edge because of great pass band tuning feature.
For VHF/UHF the AR5K+3 scanner is equal to/better than Pro-2006.
AR5K+3 has CTCSS but not DCS. Also AR5K+3 won't do Trunking.
You have to decide what you want to listen to and what priorities are.
If single unit wide band receiver is a must then AR5K+3 is unbeatable
(yes it's better than R8500 on both HF and UP performance-wise.
R8500 sucks on HF because of poor {lack of] filter selections.)
However, separate high end HF and high end scanner (eg BC780XLT)
will get you better dedicated performance for less money. But you wind
up with 2 radios rather than one.
Good Luck!

>I'd like some comments on the preformance of the AR5000+3. In particular
>those owners that can compare it other higher receivers they have used... HF
>and VHF/UHF.
>
>
>

793. Re: Most popular unblocked scanner????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 14:49:15 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <LdC08.549$9d.19965@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 53961

This begs the question, what happens if something is shipped as opposed to carrying on their person? Seems that if it is shipped via some form of parcel post, that problem may be alleviated. Dunno if it will work, but just a thought.

Ryan

"John KB5AG" <jblack3@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:IkI%7.13499$mi7.2392755@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> Friend of mine bought a couple in Japan; Customs relieved him of them in
> Chicago.
>
> "You pays your money and you takes your chances!"
>
>
>
> "j" <nctrnlboy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:11d9990e.0201092342.640874e6@posting.google.com...
> > Hello
> > I have dabbled in the scanning hobby for about 5 years now (still an
> > amateur.....the only scanners I have owned are the bearcat sc150 &
> > bct-7 ....I think those are the correct ones, I am typing this from
> > memory here at work).
> > Anyway I am planning a trip to the UK & was planning on purchasing a
> > scanner (unblocked of course)for myself & i had an idea to buy a
> > couple more to resell here in the US for a few extra bucks. I have
> > more or less been out of the hobby for a year or so & am not up on
> > what is a popular unblocked scanner that would be easy to sell here in
> > the US. I know the importation of an unblocked scanner is
> > illegal.....yada yada yada, but i figure i could recoup some of the
> > cost of my trip by doing this. Any imput would help..........Thanks
> > James
>
>

794. Re: Mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 15:26:49 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u45u44o1vemm4a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53962

One other thing you'll want to check out... Make sure it's LEGAL in your area to use/possess a scanner/scanning receiver in your vehicle... There are a number of areas/states that it is illegal in...

PH

"Harry Marnell" <marnells@home.com> wrote in message news:O9x08.35051$B61.12064794@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> Not a silly question at all. Yes, you'll ALMOST always get better reception
> on your handheld scanner in a car if you use an external antenna. The metal
> of the carbody blocks a lot of the radio signals from getting to your
> scanner with just the built-in antenna.
>
> The best bet would be to use an antenna specifically designed for the band
> you monitor most, but since most of us listen to stuff on all bands, a
> "multi-band" antenna is generally preferred. I've had overall good luck
> with a mag-mount scanner antenna that Radio Shack has been selling for
> years, their "Magnet-Mount Mobile Scanner Antenna" Cat.#: 20-032, which
> sells for about $30. Check out
>
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CT
> LG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D032
>
> or just go to www.radioshack.com and enter the catalog number - 20.032 - in
> the search box. It has a BNC antenna connector, which is far and away the
> most common scanner antenna jack.
>
> The only major common downside to an outside antenna, and the reason I said
> "almost always," is when you're in a high radio-frequency area, like
> downtown in a large city, or very close to a transmitter (especially pager)
> site; in these areas an external antenna will sometimes pick up so many
> radio signals that the scanner has trouble differentiating between them and
> gets "overloaded." When that happens to me I simply unplug the outside
> antenna and use the "rubber duckie"
>
> --
> Harry Marnell
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> History

>
>
>
> "Billy" <whg33takethisouttoreply@home.com> wrote in message
> news:mUu08.1622$B21.379352@news1.rdc1.fl.home.com...
> > I have a question that is probably stupid, but im gonna ask anyway.
I
> > have a radio shack pro 25 hand held scanner, and I wondered if I could
use
> a
> > mobile mount antenna (to use in a vehicle) in order to pick up broadcast
> as
> > opposed to the antenna that is on it (I will probably have to get a
> > different connector to plug the antenna to the scanner, unless it comes
> with
> > the right connector)?
> >
> > Sorry if this is a silly question, but I just wanted to ask
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Billy
> >
> >
>
>

795. Re: POLICE 10 CODES?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 15:30:32 UTC
From: egh-2421@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13548-3C42F998-303@storefull-247.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 53963

thank you for the info.

796. Re: One push of One button and it all goes away

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 15:51:27 UTC
From: jgfhktgli <xlbvrfoisrduy@iwuey7pothtj.net>
Message-ID: <38D08.8837$yy3.1128473@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

YEP YEP YEP!!!
"Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote in message
news:ixJ%7.2677$75.2083795@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> If NO ONE bought it for a few months -- it would be removed from the
market.
> Once you get into high-school you might be able to pick up a few pointers
on
> economics (however you will have to figure out how to spell your name.)
>
> - Rob
>
> "jgfhktgli" <xlbvrfoisrduy@iwuey7pothtj.net> wrote in message
> news:PlI%7.7395$gG4.2788607@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > Point is - If we can all wait for just a few more months -DON'T
PURCHASE
> > ONE RIGHT AWAY -the price would GO DOWN.
>
>

797. live broadcast tutorial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 16:15:31 UTC
From: mitbr <hmm@anywhere.net>
Message-ID: <DuD08.156$OP4.187928@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 53966

Hello folks!
I have revised and added some new info on my how to put your scanner live
on the net tutorial. Click on the url below to view it.
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/tutorial.htm
Tim
KG4JJS
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page at the url below
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

798. question AOR 3000a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 16:15:36 UTC
From: Stockman Lieven <lieven.stockman@pandora.be>
Message-ID: <IuD08.67873$rt4.4571@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 53965

Hello

I'am from belguim here isn't any dealer but I've an ARO 3000a buy in
the Netherlands

The scanner works perfect for recieve:
But on NFM between the freq.100.000 mhz 200.000 mhz . It makes a
interfering noise
only when it had recieved a channel a left again
This its makes only on NFM and a little a WFM

is that normal
Can someone give advice ??
Thanx before
sorry for mistakes my knowlege of english is verry poor

NOTE: there is a print into placed for automatic started a tape recorder
can that be cause of the probleem

greetz
lieven

799. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 16:50:38 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020114115038.15114.00000721@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 53967

In article <sMq08.255$9c.168748@newsfeed.slurp.net>, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
writes:

>No I am claiming that you are full of crap when you say "From now on,
>anyone who is observed monitoring ANY communications besides broadcast
>radio will be labeled a terrorist or worst."
>
>You seem to think an Egyptian near the WTC that lied to the police is
>a victim and use it to further your anti-government sky is falling on
>our rights babble.

Don't believe me? Try walking around an airport with a scanner and headphones.
Tell me what happens.

I have done this MANY times, previous to 9/11. Never had anyone bother me or
even ask what I was doing. I wouldn't do it now. Would you?

The assumption would be made that, since I had a scanner, I would be up to no
good.

800. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 17:55:22 UTC
From: Dev <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53968

first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of other things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of them being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here in Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces that have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other ones. It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia, Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.

Dev

FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a
> scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I
> cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
>
> I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that is
> because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
>
> --
> Brad.
> FeeD_BaK
> "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
> news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I own
> | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth to
> | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
> | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
> | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
> | Is this all hogwash or what?
> |
>
>

801. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 17:58:52 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <y_E08.884$YW.78230@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53969

In article <20020114115038.15114.00000721@mb-ba.aol.com>,
toomanyradios@aol.com says...
> In article <sMq08.255$9c.168748@newsfeed.slurp.net>, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
> writes:
>
> >No I am claiming that you are full of crap when you say "From now on,
> >anyone who is observed monitoring ANY communications besides broadcast
> >radio will be labeled a terrorist or worst."
> >
> >You seem to think an Egyptian near the WTC that lied to the police is
> >a victim and use it to further your anti-government sky is falling on
> >our rights babble.
>
> Don't believe me? Try walking around an airport with a scanner and headphones.
> Tell me what happens.

> I have done this MANY times, previous to 9/11. Never had anyone bother me or
> even ask what I was doing. I wouldn't do it now. Would you?

I was hassled by a security guard at SeaTac walking around with a Pro-
43. The 43 was still being sold at the time. Doesn't matter because
you said "From now on, anyone who is observed monitoring ANY
communications besides broadcast radio will be labeled a terrorist or
worst."

That is extreme and typical of the right wing alarmist that for years
have been screaming big brother and the sky is falling upon us.

> The assumption would be made that, since I had a scanner, I would be up to no
> good.

Assumption and no good are far from "From now on, anyone who is
observed monitoring ANY communications besides
broadcast radio will be labeled a terrorist or worst."

Yes, in all my years of scanning I have gotten curious looks from
citizens and more than curious looks from security personal when
walking around them with listening to a scanner. It is normal and it
is the job of the police to check out what doesn't seem normal. I
guess one can take it a step further and believe they will be thinking
the worse about you when they stop and talk to you. It would be the
smartest thing to do. They don't know you or what you are up to. Why
not assume you are bad news and protect yourself. Are you pissed off
when the cop walks to your car to discuss your driving with his hand
on the but of his revolver? Are you pissed when they scan your luggage
because they assume you are carry something you shouldn't. Angry when

they make you walk through that metal detector because they assume you are carry something you shouldn't. Do you scream when they ask you to turn on your pager/camera or computer because they assume it doesn't and is a bomb?

Give it up. From now on, anyone who is observed monitoring ANY communications besides broadcast radio might be labeled suspicious. So what? I am glad these folks are doing their job. If it saves a few thousand lives it is okay with me.

Glenn

802. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 18:40:48 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 53972

Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.

It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they will
seize the detector and give you a fine.

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK

"Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
| first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of other
| things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of them
| being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here in
| Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces that
| have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other
ones.
| It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia,
| Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
|
| Dev
|
| FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
| news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
| > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a
| > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I
| > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
| >
| > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that is
| > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
| >
| > --
| > Brad.
| > FeeD_BaK
| > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
| > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
| > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I own
| > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth to
| > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
| > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
| > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
| > | Is this all hogwash or what?
| > |
| >

| >
|
|

803. Re: Addr for Live Scanner Group at YAHOO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 19:31:44 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <AmG08.18522$h2c.13396@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53973

Here ya go. :)

Enjoy,

Randy
(Moderator)

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

"TedE911" <tede911@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020114040558.16323.00001373@mb-df.aol.com...
> I missed it last time it was posted and can't find it in the list
>
> Thanks!
>
> Ted P/Cambridge,MA

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02

804. Re: question AOR 3000a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 20:50:40 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <AwH08.6054$N77.50351@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 53974

Great scanner man!

Are you using an indoor antenna or outdoor?
"Stockman Lieven" <lieven.stockman@pandora.be> skrev i melding
news:IuD08.67873$rt4.4571@afrodite.telenet-ops.be...
> Hello
>
> I'am from belguim here isn't any dealer but I've an ARO 3000a buy in
> the Netherlands
>
> The scanner works perfect for recieve:
> But on NFM between the freq.100.000 mhz 200.000 mhz . It makes a
> interfering noise
> only when it had recieved a channel a left again
> This its makes only on NFM and a little a WFM
>
>
> is that normal
> Can someone give advice ??
> Thanx before
> sorry for mistakes my knowlege of english is verry poor
>
> NOTE: there is a print into placed for automatic started a tape recorder
> can that be cause of the probleem
>
> greetz
> lieven
>
>
>

805. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 20:51:40 UTC
From: Sorcerer <valair@spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a1vgcrlrd1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi
Did you get the 2042 you wanted :-))

--
The Sorcerer
remove spam for reply
"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:0T208.27445$J%5.4778138@news02.optonline.net...
> I returned mine. It's junk. The only advantage it had over my 7 year old
> Pro-62 was MilAir band, band search and scan speed. Not worth it.
>
> Also anybody correct me if I'm wrong:
>
> Some old RS scanners have the VERY convenient feature of being able to
enter
> search mode with ONE button. You are monitoring a single channel and you
> just hit "^" and you scan upward, or hit down for downward scan. I believe
> on the 2042 it's a bit more steps. Now we are comparing a 7 year old
> PORTABLE scanner with a brand new BASE scanner!? Ridiculous! Think about
> comparing any other device like that; a seven year old CD player with one
> now? A TV? Car? Stereo? I guess scanners are a dying marker like flight
> simulators(military).
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:IS008.2137$d16.567014@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > I am looking to buy a Radio Shack Pro-2042 base scanner. Must be in
good
> > condition, fully functional, preferably from a non smoking environment
> with
> > manual.
> >
> > Please e-mail if you have one you are looking to sell.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.303 / Virus Database: 164 - Release Date: 24/11/01

806. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 21:00:19 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3C4346E3.4C42FD45@qwest.net>
Source record: 53976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You're really making yourself look stupid Viper. Dan and I have
been on these newsgroups for many years, you are obviously a
newcomer who doesn't know squat.

We have been through this horse shit with a lot more people than
you, so don't bother telling us about how stupid it is to post
your real identity. The answer is still the same: people like you
who hide behind phony IDs are cowards, period. And people who
don't have the common courtesy to use spell checkers are just
plain stupid, but we already knew that.

You are the adolescent one here and you will notice that nobody
is coming down on your side of this argument because this is one
argument you are NOT going to win! Now climb back into whatever
slime pit you crawled out of and leave the rest of us alone.

Viper56-FW wrote:
>
> All of this nonsense is really not called for, and for you to be so full of
> yourself to think I would WASTE any of my precious TYME cheking my speling
> and gramer for the LYKES of SOM 17 YEER old kid?
>
> I hope yu hav had fun being an asshole, and just making yourself look
> stupid. BTW I'm glad you care ENUFF about me to check your gramer, but I've
> wasted TWO much of my TYME on you already . . . ZO GETT LOZT!!!!! LOOZER!!
>
> P.S. I didnt bother reading all of your childish typical hiding behind the
> keyboard wuss post. You're intent is OBVIOUSLY not to communicate anything
> sensible. When I see a child crying and kicking on the floor . . . I walk
> away . . .

807. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 21:37:46 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <Kcl08.20608$Zh1.4348048@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

When somebody shows up at your doorstep we will see who is the stupid one. You NEVER put anything personal on the web unless you are a retard. And if somebody has to point that out for you, then you keep your mouth shut and not encourage them to step on your little glass house. You've been on this newsgroup for years???? So what? Newsgroups didn't even exist back in the day, but you wouldn't know about that being you're the newbie.

I'll never win this argument? I don't compete with kids thank you. I like a challenge, and you guys are just rude kids.

"Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:3C4346E3.4C42FD45@qwest.net...
> You're really making yourself look stupid Viper. Dan and I have
> been on these newsgroups for many years, you are obviously a
> newcomer who doesn't know squat.
>
> We have been through this horse shit with a lot more people than
> you, so don't bother telling us about how stupid it is to post
> your real identity. The answer is still the same: people like you
> who hide behind phony IDs are cowards, period. And people who
> don't have the common courtesy to use spell checkers are just
> plain stupid, but we already knew that.
>
> You are the adolescent one here and you will notice that nobody
> is coming down on your side of this argument because this is one
> argument you are NOT going to win! Now climb back into whatever
> slime pit you crawled out of and leave the rest of us alone.
> ----------
>
>
> Viper56-FW wrote:
> >
> > All of this nonsense is really not called for, and for you to be so full
of
> > yourself to think I would WASTE any of my precious TYME cheking my
speling
> > and gramer for the LYKES of SOM 17 YEER old kid?
> >
> > I hope yu hav had fun being an asshole, and just making yourself look
> > stupid. BTW I'm glad you care ENUFF about me to check your gramer, but
I've
> > wasted TWO much of my TYME on you already . . . ZO GETT LOZT!!!!!
LOOZER!!
> >

> > P.S. I didnt bother reading all of your childish typical hiding behind
the
> > keyboard wuss post. You're intent is OBVIOUSLY not to communicate
anything
> > sensible. When I see a child crying and kicking on the floor . . . I
walk
> > away . . .

808. Re: Finally Updated Freqs Webiste...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 21:42:25 UTC
From: Chris Pohlad-Thomas <nokedawg@cox.net>
Message-ID: <5hI08.12$rx6.24962@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 53978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what frequencies are you looking for?
"Patricia Cooper" <tcooper364@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:NM408.26490$zw3.2550217@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> There's nothing on there for Virginia.
>
>

809. Re: MY AOR1000 needs retuning - HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 22:19:03 UTC
From: sam <no@email.provided>
Message-ID: <3C435955.3684A565@email.provided>
Source record: 53981

I did use the reset method described on the page you sent me but I figure I "bumped" something to knock it around.
I've heard some info about fiddling around with one of the three trimming cap's - do you have any info about this?

Scott

Richard Hillier wrote:

> > I had to reset my AOR1000 and now the frequencies appear about 5 MHz
> > lower than they should be. (i.e. A signal of 100 MHz is received when
> > the display is only 95MHz)
> >
> > Anyone got any advice on what perhaps I can do to retune it?
>
> It sounds like you have used the WRONG reset information :-(
>
> For the AR1000 use the following:
> http://www.aoruk.com/1000bull.htm#ar1000 reset
>
> For the AR1000XLT, AR1000XC and AR2000 use the following:
> http://www.aoruk.com/2000bull.htm#reset
>
> Regards, Richard - AOR UK LTD

810. Re: Searching for technical manual for Regency MX4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 22:25:22 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <mVI08.35177$B61.12237393@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 53979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

they're available for sale at http://www.bearcat1.com/manuals.htm

Order form at http://www.bearcat1.com/orderman.htm

A U.S. dealer; looks like they're US $6.00 each ... plus??

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

<f1rcq@club-internet.fr> wrote in message
news:3c418eb5.1440256@news.club-internet.fr...
>
> Hello
>
> I'm searching for the user and technical manual for Regency MX4200
>
> Copies welcome
>
> Best 73's from France
>
> Denis F1RCQ
>

811. Need display fro PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 23:00:05 UTC
From: JohnS <w6ibl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VpJ08.31136$Vz3.3111489@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53980

Still needing a new display for the RS PRO-2005. Has anyone had any luck obtaining one from Radio Shack? Is there another source??

Thank you.

John

812. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 23:36:41 UTC
From: technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <dYJ08.131695$Wd.39179701@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 53983

I don't believe you.
I live not too far from sky harbor airport here in phoenix and I routinely meet people there and I wear a scanner and headphones. I was asked only once what it was and I gladly showed the officer (even let him listen in).

Its not a big deal and so far, I've only been stopped once. I am also a licensed ham AND I also server as a vollunteer with the local Police Dept. Auxiliary.

as for government trampling on our rights, well, there is a very fine balance between the rights of the people and the security of a nation.

Technomage

In article <20020114115038.15114.00000721@mb-ba.aol.com>, "TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote:

> Don't believe me? Try walking around an airport with a scanner and
> headphones.
> Tell me what happens.
>
> I have done this MANY times, previous to 9/11. Never had anyone bother
> me or even ask what I was doing. I wouldn't do it now. Would you?
>
> The assumption would be made that, since I had a scanner, I would be up
> to no good.

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

813. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-14 23:53:02 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u46rr9l6rvutb8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53984

it is very possible to detect scanners which don't have good shielding (ie.
a metal case) No one does it though because scanners are legal

"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
> illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.
>
> It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they will
> seize the detector and give you a fine.
>
> --
> Brad.
> FeeD_BaK
>
> "Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
> news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> | first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of other
> | things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of
them
> | being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here in
> | Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces
that
> | have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other
> ones.
> | It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia,
> | Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
> |
> | Dev
> |
> | FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> | news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> | > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a
> | > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I
> | > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
> | >
> | > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that
is
> | > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
> | >
> | > --
> | > Brad.
> | > FeeD_BaK
> | > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message

> | > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> | > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I
own
> | > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth
to
> | > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
> | > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
> | > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
> | > | Is this all hogwash or what?
> | > |
> | >
> | >
> |
> |
>
>

814. Re: Modification Realistic Pro-2022 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 00:28:45 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a1vt14$7dp$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 53985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Another Pro-2022 question...

Does anyone know how to adjust the frequency??

The problem is that I'm decoding an EDACS-trunkingnetwork, which controlchannel switches between 466.8200 and 468.800 MHz.

468.8000 is no problem, but instead of 466.8200 my scanner tunes to 466.8250 due to the 12.5 kHz steps.

No I want to try to decrease the frequency a bit, so that the scanner will be tuned to approximately 466.8225 and 468.7975, hoping that'll fix the problem.

Peter Hunt
Holland

815. Re: Trunktracking in Cleveland, OH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 00:40:07 UTC
From: Don Miller <brazzos@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a1vtbh$43o$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 53986

Correction for Lake County-effective since Dec. 2001, no more analog. New whiz-bang Motorola Astro Digital system. We are still in "Phase One" of 3 phases. Non scannable in digital mode until new scanner debuts this fall, but may need a trunk tracking module.
"David Williams" <chief305@webtv.net> wrote in message news:16876-3C421F93-295@storefull-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Euclid is on : F1, 423.375 Dispatch.
> F2, 423.600 Alternate
> F3, 423.850 Spec. Ops.
>
> Most Eastern suburbs of Cleveland, that are in Cuyahoga county, use the
> 420 band. All of Lake county is analog 800 trunked.
>
> Dave
>

816. Re: Mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 00:48:23 UTC
From: Billy <whg33takethisouttoreply@home.com>
Message-ID: <r%K08.3621$B21.550656@news1.rdc1.fl.home.com>
Source record: 53987

To legally use it here in Florida in a vehicle I would have to get a amateur radio license (but I had planned to get a HAM radio anyway, so thats not necessarily a bad thing) but that shouldnt be too hard to get (long as I can pass the examination for it).

Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message news:u45u44o1vemm4a@corp.supernews.com...
> One other thing you'll want to check out... Make sure it's LEGAL in your
> area to use/possess a scanner/scanning receiver in your vehicle... There are
> a number of areas/states that it is illegal in...
>
> PH
>
>
> "Harry Marnell" <marnells@home.com> wrote in message
> news:O9x08.35051$B61.12064794@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > Not a silly question at all. Yes, you'll ALMOST always get better
> reception
> > on your handheld scanner in a car if you use an external antenna. The
> metal
> > of the carbody blocks a lot of the radio signals from getting to your
> > scanner with just the built-in antenna.
> >
> > The best bet would be to use an antenna specifically designed for the
band
> > you monitor most, but since most of us listen to stuff on all bands, a
> > "multi-band" antenna is generally preferred. I've had overall good luck
> > with a mag-mount scanner antenna that Radio Shack has been selling for
> > years, their "Magnet-Mount Mobile Scanner Antenna" Cat.#: 20-032, which
> > sells for about $30. Check out
> >
>
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CT
> > LG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D032
> >
> > or just go to www.radioshack.com and enter the catalog number - 20.032 -
> in
> > the search box. It has a BNC antenna connector, which is far and away
the
> > most common scanner antenna jack.
> >
> > The only major common downside to an outside antenna, and the reason I
> said

> > "almost always," is when you're in a high radio-frequency area, like
> > downtown in a large city, or very close to a transmitter (especially
> pager)
> > site; in these areas an external antenna will sometimes pick up so many
> > radio signals that the scanner has trouble differentiating between them
> and
> > gets "overloaded." When that happens to me I simply unplug the outside
> > antenna and use the "rubber duckie"
> >
> > --
> > Harry Marnell
> > http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> > History
> >
> >
> >
> > "Billy" <whg33takethisouttoreply@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:mUu08.1622$B21.379352@news1.rdc1.fl.home.com...
> > > I have a question that is probably stupid, but im gonna ask
anyway.
> I
> > > have a radio shack pro 25 hand held scanner, and I wondered if I could
> use
> > a
> > > mobile mount antenna (to use in a vehicle) in order to pick up
broadcast
> > as
> > > opposed to the antenna that is on it (I will probably have to get a
> > > different connector to plug the antenna to the scanner, unless it
comes
> > with
> > > the right connector)?
> > >
> > > Sorry if this is a silly question, but I just wanted to ask
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Billy
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

817. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 01:06:35 UTC
From: WiCkEd <nunya@home.com>
Message-ID: <ujv64u0vh9qij3p8iqthahjktlffu37crh@4ax.com>
Source record: 53988

I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 18:53:02 -0500, "William Ferguson"
<spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote:

>it is very possible to detect scanners which don't have good shielding (ie.
>a metal case) No one does it though because scanners are legal
>
>"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>> Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
>> illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.
>>
>> It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they will
>> seize the detector and give you a fine.
>>
>> --
>> Brad.
>> FeeD_BaK
>>
>> "Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
>> news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
>> | first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of other
>> | things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of
>them
>> | being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here in
>> | Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces
>that
>> | have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other
>> ones.
>> | It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia,
>> | Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
>> |
>> | Dev
>> |
>> | FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> | news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>> | > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a
>> | > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I
>> | > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
>> | >
>> | > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that
>is

>> | > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
>> | >
>> | > --
>> | > Brad.
>> | > FeeD_BaK
>> | > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
>> | > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
>> | > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I
>own
>> | > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth
>to
>> | > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
>> | > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
>> | > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
>> | > | Is this all hogwash or what?
>> | > |
>> | >
>> | >
>> |
>> |
>>
>>
>

818. question on noise cancelling headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 01:49:55 UTC
From: johnnyseattle@excite.com
Message-ID: <66b5cf2b.0201141749.29299b52@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53989

Do noise cancelling headphones only cancel external noise while you are listening to your scanner (fans, engine noise, etc.)?

Or will they cancel a buzz, hiss, or other white noise being transmitted by the source you are listening to (transmitters with grounding problems or other constant noise emissions)?

Thanks for taking the time to read and respond.

819. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 01:59:19 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <X1M08.3201$75.2310603@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53990

No. But if they pull you over and you aren't a ham or public safety, and they see it, $$$...

Pretty stupid for ANYONE to get caught since you can get a permit for free -- the application is even online!

- Rob

"WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message news:ujv64u0vh9qij3p8iqthahjktlffu37crh@4ax.com...
> I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
> radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
> trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.

820. Re: Cool New Toy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 02:02:05 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a20374$v9g$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lemme put this on the table, just for discussion. What about that whole thing of not rebroadcasting amateur radio transmissions on non-amateur bands (i.e., the 2.4GHz cordless telephone "band")?

The thought just hit me that this might be the same thing. I know that rebroadcasting non-amateur radio transmission on amateur bands is not allowed (except in the case for example of NASA's ARC here in Cleveland who got special permission to rebroadcast live shuttle transmissions in the 144 band). Does the inverse apply, like in the 2.4GHz phone example? Perhaps it does not apply to devices intended as telephones, since amateur radio repeater telephone patches are legal - and by definition might also wind up being rebroadcast on the land-line end via cordless phone. Maybe public wireless telephony (i.e., cordless phones) are an exception even though they are radios on non-amateur bands.

Regardless, it's still a very cool idea.

Mike

"David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com> wrote in message news:NO208.4923$V55.788441@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The
> nice thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card
> connections (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about
> people using these things for amateur radio. I picked one up at
> Sam's Club, made some cables and connected it to my ICOM 746.
> It works great!! I have had several comments on how good the audio
> quality was. It even works with the VOX so I can now walk around
> the house while listening to and talking on my radio. No cords!
>
> I guess this thing can be used with any type of audio source
> including scanners, shortwave radios, etc. Simply plug the radio
> audio out into the phone Mic connection and you are free to roam
> while listening.
>
> While I have not yet tested it yet, the documentation claims 5
> hours talk time. Since you must make a connection to the base
> to listen, this would apply while just listening as well.
>
> --
> David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning

> http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis
>
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.
>
> Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!
>
>
>
>

821. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 02:04:49 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.14.21.09.42.636063.18055@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 53991

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 20:06:35 -0500, WiCkEd wrote:

> I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
> radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
> trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.

Doubtful. This law in generally used to confiscate radio equipment from people who listen for emergencies, then race in their vehicle to the scene to watch and listen (and most times interfere with or at least annoy) police and other folks trying to handle the problem. Most areas have this law.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.91 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

822. Re: question on noise cancelling headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 02:05:23 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C438E62.29B97F1E@optonline.net>
Source record: 53992

They attempt to cancel external noise. They have no effect on noise
which is part of the "signal".

John wrote:
>
> Do noise cancelling headphones only cancel external noise while you
> are listening to your scanner (fans, engine noise, etc.)?
>
> Or will they cancel a buzz, hiss, or other white noise being
> transmitted by the source you are listening to (transmitters with
> grounding problems or other constant noise emissions)?
>
> Thanks for taking the time to read and respond.

823. Re: Trunktracking in Cleveland, OH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 02:09:59 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a20375$v9g$2@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53994

Geauga County is on an analog trunked 800 system. Can be trunk tracked, but
I just scan the 10 or so channels.

Live in Solon, which is regular 400 band repeater.

Mike

"Erik" <see@the.bottom.com> wrote in message
news:3c407b94.215031@news-central.giganews.com...
> Is anyone scanning around Cleveland/NE Ohio with a Trunktracker? If
> so, where do you live (I'm near the Lyndhurst area), what departments
> are you listening to, and what radio do you use?
>
> I have a BC3000xlt and am thinking about getting a TT radio. But it
> would be pretty disappointing to find out there's no trunking going
> on! I know Cleveland PD is digital, so that's out.
>
> Any info appreciated.

824. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 02:17:09 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <FiM08.35224$B61.12316627@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 53995

It might be "very possible" to detect the presence of a scanner in a car, or it may be just slightly possible, or very possibly it's moderately possible.

As someone pointed out, today's cars have a lot of electronics that give off rf radiation, so I doubt that any kind of "scanner detector" is highly possible any time soon.

A scanner is much more likely to be detected if, for example, you and/or your car is seen repeatedly at the scene of police/fire calls, if you have it in plain sight (or listening range) of a police officer, if you have multiple antennas visible, etc.

Other than avoiding those pitfalls that can occur anywhere, if you're unfortunate enough to be in Michigan, get a hold of the State Police and request an application for a "Permit for use of Short Wave Receiver in Vehicle," under "Section 750.50B, MCL of 1970 as amended." I know, police stuff is very possibly never broadcast on "short wave" frequencies any more, but this permit lets you listen to police frequencies in a vehicle - except for police radar frequencies.

I have one, my wife has one, and my 8 year-old son has one. And we live in California; I've never driven a vehicle or ridden in anything but an Amtrak train within Michigan, but I'm all set...just in case.

The best way to avoid having your scanner very possibly or even remotely possibly detected is to listen from a distance...like your home or office, or at least don't butt in.

IMHO

harry

"WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
news:ujv64u0vh9qij3p8iqthahjktlffu37crh@4ax.com...
> I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
> radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
> trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.
>
>
> On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 18:53:02 -0500, "William Ferguson"
> <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >it is very possible to detect scanners which don't have good shielding
(ie.
> >a metal case) No one does it though because scanners are legal
> >
> >"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> >news:LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> >> Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
> >> illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.
> >>
> >> It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they
will
> >> seize the detector and give you a fine.
> >>
> >> --
> >> Brad.
> >> FeeD_BaK
> >>
> >> "Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
> >> news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> >> | first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of
other
> >> | things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of
> >them
> >> | being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here
in
> >> | Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces
> >that
> >> | have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other
> >> ones.
> >> | It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British
Columbia,
> >> | Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
> >> |
> >> | Dev
> >> |
> >> | FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> | news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> >> | > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not.
Since a
> >> | > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin
with, I
> >> | > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
> >> | >
> >> | > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but
that
> >is
> >> | > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
> >> | >
> >> | > --
> >> | > Brad.
> >> | > FeeD_BaK
> >> | > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
> >> | > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> >> | > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I
> >own

> >> | > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and
forth
> >to
> >> | > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working
in
> >> | > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could
detect
> >> | > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with
you.
> >> | > | Is this all hogwash or what?
> >> | > |
> >> | >
> >> | >
> >> |
> >> |
> >>
> >>
> > >
>

825. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 02:17:41 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c438f4a.349759191@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 02:02:06 GMT, bithead@rochester.rr.com wrote:

>On Fri, 04 Jan 2002 01:27:30 GMT, igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy)
>wrote:

>>Actually, no, RR's and similar systems (highway patrol, etc)(*) are peculiarly
>>badly suited to 800 MHz. The problem is that the coverage pattern from
>>a site is (more or less) circular, whereas RR's are long and skinny.
>
> The answer, to a large degree, is directional arrays.

No, because RR's, despite being long & skinny, don't tend to be particularly
straight; and where they're not straight is usually in the rough terrain where
800 coverage is worst. It's easy to design a radio system for UP's main line
across Nebraska (for example), it's a lot harder in the Green River canyon
around the Wyo/Utah border. (*)

>> To
>>implement an 800 MHz system with the same coverage level as the current
>>VHF system would require the RR's to install roughly 5 times as many
>>tower sites.
>
>Of that, I have no doubt. Yet, this would be less than the sites
>needed to m,aintain an 800mhz system for your averasge metro police, I
>should think.

Takes around 40 sites for a largish metro area (some are receive only, since
the base startions have higher power than the portables). UP has something
like 6000 miles of mainline, and you'd need a site about every 4 miles to get
comparable coverage to what they have with VHF (they currently have sites
about every 20 miles, I think). So that would be roughly 1500 sites, or rather more
than a typical metro area...

John

(* note that directional antennas do work well with the 900MHz ATCS system,
but that is because both the base and the remote radio are fixed in location,
so the antennas can be carefully aimed at each end.)

826. forsale AOR AR8200 full version MINT 475.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 02:19:53 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <xPB08.10519$k11.281709@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 53959

for sale:

In mint condition

AOR AR 8200 full version no gaps pocket scanner

0.5 - 2040 mhz

1000 channels

computer controled

alpha display

can take a card for ctcss search and input, card for delete tones, bursts
and beeps, card for up to 4000 channels, card for decrambling speech
inversion.

has programmable scan - when to resume, listen for voice, sensitivity,

has all the modes am, sam, fm, cq, usb, lbb wfm, sfm
has all the programmable steps
has 2 vfo controls

this is a sensitive scanner with great selectivity

one of the best conventional scanners around - this is a professional
wide range receiver

has dc input, earphone, computer control

comes with car original car adapter, original wall adapter, rubberduck
antenna and ferrite rod antenna, batteries and manual. I cannot find the
box.

This is a continious receiver - no gaps, pickup cellphones, military fighter
jets etc.

MINT condition and express shipping included
475.00US

thanks
shyguy@cogeco.ca

827. Re: Portage Co, OH -- Lifeflight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 02:33:03 UTC
From: bomar <bomar76@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u46qn4mtvsjsee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53982

Happen to have the freq for the one out of Union County? (Allen Twp)
It is the same company (MedFlight) that also operates in Wooster and Akron.
Thanks
"Dave" <dwhite15@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3C422F24.98029F48@neo.rr.com...
> Lifeflight Freq is 155.385
>
> Jamaica Wright wrote:
> >
> > Looking for the frequency used by Lifeflight in Portage County, Ohio.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > wright@jatoday.com.jm

828. Re: Police and Scanners ** Web Page*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 02:57:15 UTC
From: John <johnstinkyfinger@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <di674u8jk87kh1vncvoacvptl9aqntg2i8@4ax.com>
Source record: 53897

I found this on a google search for scanner laws and chose the first
one.

Here's the link

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/scanner5.html

it says michigan is illegal without an FCC license, or permission.

I know here in Kansas I wait for a policeman to ask me. I'll just whip
out the old Ham ticket and there we go.

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 20:06:35 -0500, WiCkEd <nunya@home.com> wrote:

>I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
>radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
>trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.
>
>
>On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 18:53:02 -0500, "William Ferguson"
><spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>it is very possible to detect scanners which don't have good shielding (ie.
>>a metal case) No one does it though because scanners are legal
>>
>>"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>>> Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
>>> illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.
>>>
>>> It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they will
>>> seize the detector and give you a fine.
>>>
>>> --
>>> Brad.
>>> FeeD_BaK
>>>
>>> "Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
>>> news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
>>> | first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of other
>>> | things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of
>>them
>>> | being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here in
>>> | Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces
>>that
>>> | have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other

>>> ones.
>>> | It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia,
>>> | Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
>>> |
>>> | Dev
>>> |
>>> | FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> | news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>>> | > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a
>>> | > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I
>>> | > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
>>> | >
>>> | > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that
>>is
>>> | > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
>>> | >
>>> | > --
>>> | > Brad.
>>> | > FeeD_BaK
>>> | > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
>>> | > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
>>> | > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I
>>own
>>> | > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth
>>to
>>> | > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
>>> | > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
>>> | > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
>>> | > | Is this all hogwash or what?
>>> | > |
>>> | >
>>> | >
>>> |
>>> |
>>>
>>>
>>

829. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 03:15:55 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <L9N08.13194$TI2.2126699@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Hi
> Did you get the 2042 you wanted :-))

Hi,

Thanks for asking. yes, despite recent escapes from the lunatic asylum, I did find one.

Wow, I thought I posted a simple question. Hate to see what happens when they get difficult.

Dan

830. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 03:18:56 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AcN08.13214$TI2.2129129@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jon,

Thanks for jumping in, but save your time and effort here.

Apparently there was a massive inmate escape from the lunatic asylum, and they've all acquired computers!

Viper, you simply MUST take those little white pills EVERY day......you really can't miss a dose....

Dan

> You're really making yourself look stupid Viper. Dan and I have
> been on these newsgroups for many years, you are obviously a
> newcomer who doesn't know squat.
>
> We have been through this horse shit with a lot more people than
> you, so don't bother telling us about how stupid it is to post
> your real identity. The answer is still the same: people like you
> who hide behind phony IDs are cowards, period. And people who
> don't have the common courtesy to use spell checkers are just
> plain stupid, but we already knew that.
>
> You are the adolescent one here and you will notice that nobody
> is coming down on your side of this argument because this is one
> argument you are NOT going to win! Now climb back into whatever
> slime pit you crawled out of and leave the rest of us alone.
> ----------
>
>
> Viper56-FW wrote:
> >
> > All of this nonsense is really not called for, and for you to be so full
of
> > yourself to think I would WASTE any of my precious TYME cheking my
speling
> > and gramer for the LYKES of SOM 17 YEER old kid?
> >
> > I hope yu hav had fun being an asshole, and just making yourself look
> > stupid. BTW I'm glad you care ENUFF about me to check your gramer, but
I've
> > wasted TWO much of my TYME on you already . . . ZO GETT LOZT!!!!!
LOOZER!!
> >
> > P.S. I didnt bother reading all of your childish typical hiding behind

the
> > keyboard wuss post. You're intent is OBVIOUSLY not to communicate
anything
> > sensible. When I see a child crying and kicking on the floor . . . I
walk
> > away . . .

831. Re: WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 03:26:01 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <djN08.13277$TI2.2133568@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

ROFLMFAO....

You are classic my friend....I am LOVING this.

However....

> Hey don't criticize me because I have more than half a brain to realize
how
> DANGEROUS it is to be putting my personal information on the WEB. Now
that's
> stupid. If I was half the asshole you are and were willing to waste my
time
> . . . oh the things I could do to you.

I DO take threats very seriously, so seperate folly from real stupdity and
WATCH what you say along those lines., OK pal?

>FYI the Internet is NOT as anonymous
> as you think,

It's only anonymous for FOOLS like you who hide behind silly, PHONY names
like VIPER.

>unless ofcourse you are using an anonymous PC(which you are
> NOT). So becarefull what you say, cause the next guy might not be as nice.
> You see I was on the NET before you even knew what it was "what's an
> Internet??". Back in the days before "The rest of the world" started using
> it. The days when you had to actually have some sense to be able to use
it.
> So it's always nice to see young kids like you running around here like
you
> belong here.

You have lost it my friend.

> This wouldn't be you would it???? I see it's definitely NOT personal and
> this is just the way you were raised . .. I'm sorry you never got over
your
> childhood.
>

832. Re: Need display fro PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 03:51:44 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levys@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <em974u472qm19nnfn6utf3jfj3nv7k8e8p@4ax.com>
Source record: 53901

I didn't have any problem ordering a replacement from Radio Shack on
their National Parts line for my 2006, which I believe is the same as
the one in the 2005.

I think they are still available from them. I only ordered mine last
summer.

Did they tell you they were not available?

-Larry

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 23:00:05 GMT, "JohnS" <w6ibl@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Still needing a new display for the RS PRO-2005. Has anyone had any
>luck obtaining one from Radio Shack? Is there another source??
>
>Thank you.
>
>John
>
>
>

http://www.geocities.com/levys2/ham.html

833. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 04:10:07 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <zYN08.19150$h2c.18062@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53902

Quebec, and Ontario - radar dectector's illegal - yes
Scanner allowed in vehicle, yes.

Randy

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

"Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of other
> things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of them
> being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here in
> Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces that
> have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other
ones.
> It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia,
> Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
>
> Dev
>
> FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a
> > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I
> > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
> >
> > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that is
> > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
> >
> > --
> > Brad.
> > FeeD_BaK
> > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I own
> > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth to
> > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
> > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect

> > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
> > | Is this all hogwash or what?
> > |
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02

834. Pro 92, # of channels.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 04:38:08 UTC
From: "Joes_from_down_the _way." <jets1961@hotmail.com*removethis*>
Message-ID: <WpO08.13035$qN3.115841@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 53914

Has anyone ever looked into the pro92 being 1000 ch capable? I notice when I use win92 the weather channels are 1001,1002... I bet there may be a way to make it into a 100 channel scanner anyone have a service manual to look at?

Thanks, Joe

835. Scanner Basics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 05:04:34 UTC
From: rrittenhouse@globalco.net
Message-ID: <eb809bf0.0201142104.5cb39bcb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53903

Well i was wondering where i could learn scanner basics.. like how to actually building a scanner.. i have this idea about making one for use on the computer via PCI card.. can ANYONE help me with this idea.. and possibly how to get started on with how scanners work in detail etc..? thanks

836. Re: Cool New Toy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 05:07:58 UTC
From: mankind@aol.com
Message-ID: <9197781Dmankindaolcom@68.39.105.157>
Source record: 53904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>Lemme put this on the table, just for discussion. What about that whole
>thing of not rebroadcasting amateur radio transmissions on non-amateur
>bands (i.e., the 2.4GHz cordless telephone "band")?

I believe broadcasting of any sort without a license is not allowed unless the power is below a certain level. I dont know exactly what that level is but Im sure it's in milliwatts. The idea being it shouldnt interfere with your neighbors.

--
73 !

John :)

837. To Trunk or not to Trunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 06:40:05 UTC
From: Peter Hermann <icycle@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C43CECE.A6ACA062@optonline.net>
Source record: 53905

I live om the Northern New Jersey shore and like to listen to my local police/Fire/First Aid Squads. Do I need one of those high-priced Trunk scanners or will a standard one with 800 band do? Please e-mail if you can.

838. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 06:48:48 UTC
From: babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c43d0c7.84078182@news.fast.net>
Source record: 53906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 00:51:04 GMT, "Greg Mossman"
<nosspam.law@verizon.net> wrote:

<snip>

>Even though sometimes it's a pain in the ass
>I like having a detachable penis"

I think most lesbians have been saying this for a long time.

839. Re: this makes your penis bigger SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 06:49:08 UTC
From: babette7401@no-spamhotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c43d0d3.84090147@news.fast.net>
Source record: 53907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running,rec.scouting.guide+girl,rec.scouting.issues,rec.scouting.misc,rec.scouting.usa,rec.scuba

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 05:27:33 GMT, "Adam Helberg"
<helberga@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I can just see it: the OldSalt Scuba Safety Sausage Inc. Divers line up to
>have the treatment with the enlargement machine to be converted to safety
>sausage sold to the diver. The beauty of the operation is the diver supplies
>the material for the safety sausage. Low overhead. Only your labor of love.

NO THANK YOU !! I am just fine at my job designation here as the
'Chief Designer of Weird Dive Patches'. I'd rather do that and it's
a much more creative offering than playing around with sausages all
day. Yikes !!! I might joke about sausages ......but I don't
wanna get involved with them !!!

840. First of 4 Ham Radio Antennas Installed During Lastest ISS Spacewalk!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 07:28:10 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020115022810.09733.00001394@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 53908

Here are three excerpts from a recent Space Station press release from NASA-Johnson Space Ctr that should make a lot of us happy. Now all we have to do is ascertain the freqs they will use on the new antennas.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

==========

Commander Yury Onufrienko and Flight Engineer Carl Walz floated outside the International Space Station on the first spacewalk of their expedition and finished installing a second Russian cargo boom, part of which had been delivered to the station two and a half years ago. With coordination help from inside the station by Flight Engineer Dan Bursch, the two space walkers also installed an amateur radio antenna on the Zvezda Service Module. . . .

Onufrienko and Walz also installed an amateur radio antenna on a handrail at the end of the Zvezda service module. The antenna is one of four that eventually will allow space station crew members to make Ham radio contacts from the comfort of their living quarters inside Zvezda. Currently, the amateur radio station is inside the Zarya module. . . .

The next spacewalk of the expedition - to be conducted by Onufrienko and Bursch - is targeted for Jan. 25. The plan for this spacewalk currently includes installation of the remaining three amateur radio antennae and thruster deflector shields on the end of Zvezda.

841. Re: question AOR 3000a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 08:07:42 UTC
From: Stockman Lieven <lieven.stockman@pandora.be>
Message-ID: <irR08.69313$rt4.4745@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 53909

John

I have both also the problem, normal I use a superdiscone on my roof .
with the indoor I mean the antenna included bij a new aor

I reapeat the receive is super. only a noise comparable with an open sqeuls
when it left an incoming station.

thanx in advance
greetz
lieven

"John Kristian Snekvik" <Cyber205@tiscali.no> schreef in bericht
news:AwH08.6054$N77.50351@news.world-online.no...
> Great scanner man!
>
> Are you using an indoor antenna or outdoor?
> "Stockman Lieven" <lieven.stockman@pandora.be> skrev i melding
> news:IuD08.67873$rt4.4571@afrodite.telenet-ops.be...
> > Hello
> >
> > I'am from belguim here isn't any dealer but I've an ARO 3000a buy
in
> > the Netherlands
> >
> > The scanner works perfect for recieve:
> > But on NFM between the freq.100.000 mhz 200.000 mhz . It makes a
> > interfering noise
> > only when it had recieved a channel a left again
> > This its makes only on NFM and a little a WFM
> >
> >
> > is that normal
> > Can someone give advice ??
> > Thanx before
> > sorry for mistakes my knowlege of english is verry poor
> >
> > NOTE: there is a print into placed for automatic started a tape recorder
> > can that be cause of the probleem
> >
> > greetz
> > lieven
> >
> >
> >

>
>

842. Re: MY AOR1000 needs retuning - HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 10:05:13 UTC
From: Richard Hillier <richard@aoruk.com>
Message-ID: <a2108j$qk8$2@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 53910

> I did use the reset method described on the page you sent me but I figure
I
> "bumped" something to knock it around.
> I've heard some info about fiddling around with one of the three trimming
> cap's - do you have any info about this?
>
> Scott

If it is an AR1000 with crystal 2nd oscillator running at 154.825MHz, the error would be in the region of up to 10kHz, not a few MHz. You clearly suggest a difference of 5MHz... is this correct, you don't mean the figure LEFT of the decimal (MHz), not the figure furthest to the right of the LCD (which is kHz) ??

Alignment of the 2nd oscillator etc is described in the technical bulletin section of the AOR UK web site:

http://www.aoruk.com/1000bull.htm#align

Regards, Richard - AOR UK LTD

843. Re: Alinco DJ-X10 for less than $359.99??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 11:27:07 UTC
From: Stug <stug@none.com>
Message-ID: <7f484uoih7vng744q7tnbdomqbhlnnrvju@4ax.com>
Source record: 53911

i had one but it scans SO SLOW. Trust me unless u just listen to 1
frequency at a time real slow then u dont want it

On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 23:59:23 GMT, "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com>
wrote:

>Who has the DJ-X10s under $360 AND can deliver???
>

_____
Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

844. Re: Need display fro PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 13:23:39 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.01.15.08.29.06.516641.18055@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 53912

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 22:51:44 -0500, Larry Levy wrote:

> I didn't have any problem ordering a replacement from Radio Shack on
> their National Parts line for my 2006, which I believe is the same as
> the one in the 2005.
>

Larry is correct - the part numbers in the service manuals are the same.
EL-501 if memory serves.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.91 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

845. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 13:41:52 UTC
From: eflorack@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <bd154db5.0201150541.4ca9342d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c438f4a.349759191@enews.newsguy.com>...
> On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 02:02:06 GMT, bithead@rochester.rr.com wrote:
>
> >On Fri, 04 Jan 2002 01:27:30 GMT, igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy)
> >wrote:
>
> >>Actually, no, RR's and similar systems (highway patrol, etc)(*) are peculiarly
> >>badly suited to 800 MHz. The problem is that the coverage pattern from
> >>a site is (more or less) circular, whereas RR's are long and skinny.
> >
> > The answer, to a large degree, is directional arrays.
>
> No, because RR's, despite being long & skinny, don't tend to be particularly
> straight; and where they're not straight is usually in the rough terrain where
> 800 coverage is worst. It's easy to design a radio system for UP's main line
> across Nebraska (for example), it's a lot harder in the Green River canyon
> around the Wyo/Utah border. (*)

True. The track bending is less o a problem in some areas than in
others, though,. And even there, a limitation on range here seem to me
a blessing as cells are designed. My thought is that the cost
effectiveness of such a system comes down to the cost of the
individual cells... and that's one question I've not got the answer
to. I can't imagine that the cost factors would be similar to that of
say a higher power VHF system. Indeed, I could see the costs on such
things being along the lines of the cost of a cell phone, once unit
volume becomes a factor.

In discussing this with someone here locally, the idea that the sites
need hosuing was brought up.

Well, it's tre, but I don't know as that's so large a deal, since the
size o these units tends to be quite small, as are the power needs.
Again, more or less that of a cell phone. Seems to me that existing
buidlings along the ROW would suit admirably for most situations, And
signal bridges and masts would serve as towers. Since in CTC areas,
such equipment is placed along the tracks at 1 to 2 mile intervals,
(and since that seems to be about the range for these lower coverage
-per -cell systems) this seems a natural. All that's needed at that
point is landlines to tie them together... and what class one ROW
doesn't have that?

> >> To
> >>implement an 800 MHz system with the same coverage level as the current
> >>VHF system would require the RR's to install roughly 5 times as many
> >>tower sites.
> >
> >Of that, I have no doubt. Yet, this would be less than the sites
> >needed to m,aintain an 800mhz system for your averasge metro police, I
> >should think.
>
> Takes around 40 sites for a largish metro area (some are receive only, since
> the base startions have higher power than the portables). UP has something
> like 6000 miles of mainline, and you'd need a site about every 4 miles to get
> comparable coverage to what they have with VHF (they currently have sites
> about every 20 miles, I think). So that would be roughly 1500 sites, or rather more
> than a typical metro area...
>

But not more on a per-square mile covered basis, and in some cases,
less, I should think, depending on the terrain. And again, it seems to
come down to the issue of cost per downlink site.

Now, in all of this, I've been considering the east, and it's type of
terrain, and it's frequency congestion needs. You rightly point out
that the west is a vastly different sitaution terrain wise, and I
would add, radio needs wise.

846. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 14:48:38 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020115094838.08127.00001043@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 53915

In article <dYJ08.131695$Wd.39179701@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>, "technomage"
<proudhawk@uswestmail.net> writes:

>I don't believe you.
>I live not too far from sky harbor airport here in phoenix and I
>routinely meet people there and I wear a scanner and headphones. I was
>asked only once what it was and I gladly showed the officer (even let him
>listen in).
>
>Its not a big deal and so far, I've only been stopped once. I am also a
>licensed ham AND I also server as a vollunteer with the local Police
>Dept. Auxiliary.

Hello, Eric Oyen. Nice to see you have returned to our little newsgroup.

847. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 14:48:38 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020115094838.08127.00001044@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 53916

In article <X1M08.3201$75.2310603@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Robert Dale"
<rdale@skywatch.org> writes:

>No. But if they pull you over and you aren't a ham or public safety, and
>they see it, $$$...
>
>Pretty stupid for ANYONE to get caught since you can get a permit for
>free -- the application is even online!

I'm not a resident of Michigan. But if I were, I would refuse to ask the
government for permission to carry a radio receiver.

Sounds like something out of Communist Russia or Nazi Germany.

848. UBC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 14:51:21 UTC
From: Jer <jfolk@flash.net>
Message-ID: <JIX08.3573$Ux7.605454427@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53917
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is anyone else having problems using the UBC780XLT successfully in there areas in the US? I know someone who lives in the Houston area that his won't work on all the systems in that area.

Thanks,

Jer

849. Re: Pro 92, # of channels.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 15:03:49 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <rig84u41f0o973eevq1ua4mogm8egutj5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 53919

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 22:38:08 -0600, "Joes_from_down_the _way."
<jets1961@hotmail.com*removethis*> wrote:

>Has anyone ever looked into the pro92 being 1000 ch capable? I notice when I
>use win92 the weather channels are 1001,1002... I bet there may be a way to
>make it into a 100 channel scanner anyone have a service manual to look at?

Well, you could buy two of them. :-)

It's 500 channels. 510 if you want to count the weather channels,
which you can reporgram via Win92 or 92ware.

The full channel number is the bank followed by the channel within the
bank. The next channel after 149 isn't 150: it's 200. The channel
before 1000 is 949.

Ken Plotkin

850. CellTrak questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 15:08:37 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10201150807340.39862-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 53918

** Note: I live in Canada, where it is legal to listen in on cell phones.
**

I have two questions about the Cell Trak software:

1) Can it be used with Motorola America series brick phones? If so, how?
I partly disassembled one and the innards did not resemble those of brick
phones described in Cell Trak files describing how to connect Cell Track
to brick phones. The America series phone I have does not appear to have
a PC interface.

2) I have heard that Motorola Micro Tac flip phones which have keypad test
mode (as opposed to "short-to-ground" test mode) cannot be used with Cell
Trak, but I was wondering whether recently anyone has discovered a way to
circumvent this obstacle.

As the gentle reader might have surmised, I have examples of two such
phones in my possession; hence my questions.

Regards,

Ian Douglas

851. Re: UBC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 15:44:30 UTC
From: MooNPuP <moonpup@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <y7Y08.77092$Sj1.30489491@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53920
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

more info would be helpful...such as does it not trunk track?? only
tracks motorola?? won't scan trunk and conventional at the same time??

possibly user error or just a defective radio, because I have heard
nothing negative about this radio's performance...

Jer wrote:

> Is anyone else having problems using the UBC780XLT successfully in
> there areas in the US? I know someone who lives in the Houston area
> that his won't work on all the systems in that area.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Jer
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.0.6 (GNU/Linux)
Comment: For info see http://www.gnupg.org

iD8DBQE8RE7dGfAlVncsxDgRAjXlAKC1cZJerxWsB3lzClI9hLKjAfL8XwCcC/L1
SaFgzYHqwatk0ESiVMrWFcw=
=W3vk
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

852. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 16:00:33 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C445227.301E16A1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53921

Robert Dale wrote:

> And the denial REALLY makes him look stupid, since they found his job is in
> communications devices with the Egyptian Air Force. He was arrested for
> lying -- not having the radio.

I'm curious. What specific law did he violate by lying about the radio?
It's against the law to lie about your identity, but lying about
knowledge, or lack of knowledge, of a "radio"?

Norm Melick

853. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 16:34:41 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <BSY08.7036$0g.177833@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 53922

JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote:

> for antennas to work WELL, they should be a multiple of the wavelength of the
> frequency you want to listen to ie 1 wave 1/2, 1/4, 1/6

1/6 wavelength? That is not resonant in any way. You might as well use a
random length. Why not a 1/7 or 1/7.5 ?

Art Harris

854. Yupiteru mvt7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 16:55:46 UTC
From: James Grimbleby <j.b.grimbleby@replace>
Message-ID: <a21n0k$ik6$1@vins1.reading.ac.uk>
Source record: 53923

I am considering buying this scanner, but I can't find a decent review.
Does anyone know where I can find such a review? Any comments on the 7300
would also be useful.

Thanks

Jim Grimbleby

--

James B. Grimbleby
Department of Electronic Engineering
University of Reading
PO Box 225
Reading RG6 6AY UK

Telephone: (0118) 9318586
Fax: (0118) 9318583
email: j.b.grimbleby@replace by "reading".ac.uk
url: http://www.elec.rdg.ac.uk/jbg.html
pgp: EC18 B1B1 AACC 52E1 976C BFAC 6A20 27F8 BBFC DC94

Echelon fodder: li6 po210 pu239 oralloy sprytron petn rdx PGP

855. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 17:21:13 UTC
From: randyNOSPAM@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <10227d2e.0201150921.68dc0110@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53924

If a law enforcement agent is questioning you in regards to an
investigation and you knowingly lie, it is called hendering
prosection. It is agains the law.

Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<3C445227.301E16A1@worldnet.att.net>...
> I'm curious. What specific law did he violate by lying about the radio?
> It's against the law to lie about your identity, but lying about
> knowledge, or lack of knowledge, of a "radio"?
>
> Norm Melick

856. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 17:53:20 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <k0_08.3241$75.2342008@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53925

> I'm not a resident of Michigan. But if I were, I would refuse to ask the
> government for permission to carry a radio receiver.

Doesn't matter if you're a resident. If you are pulled over in Michigan, and have a scanner in your car, you will very likely be ticketed.

- Rob

857. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 17:55:10 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <22_08.3242$75.2342159@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53926

> > I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
> > radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
> > trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.
>
> Doubtful. This law in generally used to confiscate radio equipment from
> people who listen for emergencies, then race in their vehicle to the
> scene to watch and listen (and most times interfere with or at least
> annoy) police and other folks trying to handle the problem. Most areas

Come back from Hollywood please ;> I've been to hundreds of fires in my life, with scanner in hand, and have NEVER seen an incident where the police / fire were interfered with by someone else holding a scanner. If anything it's usually the distraught residents trying to get back in to grab something.

In any case Michigan has had this law for ages. If you are pulled over for a traffic violation, and any scanner is in your car (doesn't need to be operational, can have the battery pack off and stored in the trunk, etc doesn't matter) you will very likely get a ticket.

- Rob

858. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 17:56:13 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <13_08.3243$75.2342233@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53927

Had nothing to do with the radio -- but when you lie to the police during an investigation, ESPECIALLY RELATED TO WTC, you are in violation of the law.

- Rob

"Norm Melick" <henmel@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3C445227.301E16A1@worldnet.att.net...
> Robert Dale wrote:
>
> > And the denial REALLY makes him look stupid, since they found his job is in
> > communications devices with the Egyptian Air Force. He was arrested for
> > lying -- not having the radio.
>
> I'm curious. What specific law did he violate by lying about the radio?
> It's against the law to lie about your identity, but lying about
> knowledge, or lack of knowledge, of a "radio"?

859. Re: ANN: AFS-780 available for free download!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 18:21:50 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <UVf3XWA+MHR8EwzI@btinternet.com>
Source record: 53928
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <CLv%7.8131$JF.80118@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>, Avraam
<avraam3334@hotmail.com> writes
>Hello,
>I am pleased to announce the first public beta release of
>Avraam's Free Software for the BC 780XLT (AFS780).
>
>You can download it from web site at:
>http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt
>
>Thank you all for your help.

Have you sorted out the problem of it not running on Com 2 Avraam??
I have emailed you with no reply :-(

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

860. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 18:43:26 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C447854.4FADD661@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53929

"Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
>
> If a law enforcement agent is questioning you in regards to an
> investigation and you knowingly lie, it is called hendering
> prosection. It is agains the law.

So the thing to do is identify yourself, then exercise your 5th
amendment right.

Correct?

Norm Melick

861. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 18:57:37 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C447BA7.D18D84C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53930

Robert Dale wrote:
>
> Had nothing to do with the radio -- but when you lie to the police during an
> investigation, ESPECIALLY RELATED TO WTC, you are in violation of the law.
>
> - Rob

What law? Was he placed under arrest and then questioned, or was he
questioned, then placed under arrest?

Pretty important stuff.

Norm Melick

862. Re: MY AOR1000 needs retuning - HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 19:10:23 UTC
From: Sorcerer <valair@spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a21uqu$5ik$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53931

Hey Richard
Be VERY VERY careful here.. you need to know EXACTLY what you are doing with this realignment, I would not even entertain the idea without the proper equipment, fine tuning tolerances are crucial on the Aor1000, one wrong turn and it will be F****d for good....AOR won't charge you the earth to do it for you, you then have peace of mind.

--
The Sorcerer
remove spam for reply

"Richard Hillier" <richard@aoruk.com> wrote in message
news:a2108j$qk8$2@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > I did use the reset method described on the page you sent me but I
figure
> I
> > "bumped" something to knock it around.
> > I've heard some info about fiddling around with one of the three
trimming
> > cap's - do you have any info about this?
> >
> > Scott
>
> If it is an AR1000 with crystal 2nd oscillator running at 154.825MHz, the
> error would be in the region of up to 10kHz, not a few MHz. You clearly
> suggest a difference of 5MHz... is this correct, you don't mean the figure
> LEFT of the decimal (MHz), not the figure furthest to the right of the LCD
> (which is kHz) ??
>
> Alignment of the 2nd oscillator etc is described in the technical bulletin
> section of the AOR UK web site:
>
> http://www.aoruk.com/1000bull.htm#align
>
> Regards, Richard - AOR UK LTD
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.303 / Virus Database: 164 - Release Date: 24/11/01

863. Re: Scanner Basics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 19:11:44 UTC
From: Sorcerer <valair@spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a21utf$5ik$2@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53932

A scanner is simply an ordinary radio that usually has a wider coverage than your domestic radio and has the ability to tune itself up and down the frequencies and stop on one it finds active by using the Squelch setting, basically that's all they are.
All the rest of the functions on modern scanners are simply technical extras to make the enthusiasts life a little easier.

--
The Sorcerer
remove Spam for reply

"Robert" <rrittenhouse@globalco.net> wrote in message
news:eb809bf0.0201142104.5cb39bcb@posting.google.com...
> Well i was wondering where i could learn scanner basics.. like how to
> actually building a scanner.. i have this idea about making one for
> use on the computer via PCI card.. can ANYONE help me with this idea..
> and possibly how to get started on with how scanners work in detail
> etc..? thanks

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.303 / Virus Database: 164 - Release Date: 24/11/01

864. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 19:18:29 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bg%08.3193$0m.96243@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53933

In article <3C447854.4FADD661@worldnet.att.net>,
henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> "Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
> >
> > If a law enforcement agent is questioning you in regards to an
> > investigation and you knowingly lie, it is called hendering
> > prosection. It is agains the law.
>
> So the thing to do is identify yourself, then exercise your 5th
> amendment right.
>
> Correct?

I suppose if you are a criminal Norm, yeah, good advise. OTOH, the rest of us law abiding citizens will do what we always do. A cop questions us regarding an investigation we just answer truthfully and then go on with our lives. That is the advantage we have over criminals and the paranoid.

If you have nothing to lie about it doesn't become an issue.

Correct?

Glenn

865. Optoelectronics Xplorer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 19:32:35 UTC
From: REN <wb3fsr@home.com>
Message-ID: <nt%08.341100$ez.49378144@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 53934

I am interested in purchasing a new nearfield receiver such as the Xplorer.

I imagine that a number of you have or have had experience with this unit.

May I kindly ask for your opinion's on performance - operation - reliability

Also I've received mixed info on the ability to unlock the 800Mhz range -
what have you been able to do in this area?

TIA

73

REN WB3FSR

866. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 20:03:05 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C448AFF.270F7246@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53935

Glenn wrote:
>
> In article <3C447854.4FADD661@worldnet.att.net>,
> henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> > "Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
> > >
> > > If a law enforcement agent is questioning you in regards to an
> > > investigation and you knowingly lie, it is called hendering
> > > prosection. It is agains the law.
> > >
> > > So the thing to do is identify yourself, then exercise your 5th
> > > amendment right.
> > >
> > > Correct?
> >
> > I suppose if you are a criminal Norm, yeah, good advise.

Good advice if you're not a criminal. An "alleged" criminal is under no obligation to make the governments case. Cops know this, and try to get the "alleged" criminal to talk, and talk and talk. Miranda kicks in after an arrest and before questioning.

> OTOH, the rest of us law abiding citizens will do what we always do. A cop
> questions us regarding an investigation we just answer truthfully and
> then go on with our lives.

Answer truthfully, of course, but only what you're required to answer. The supreme court has ruled that it's OK for cops to lie during an interrogation/investigation, (except under oath). An "alleged" criminal is under no obligation to make their case, and has specific rights, before and after an arrest. The time of an arrest is sometimes critical.

> That is the advantage we have over criminals and the paranoid.

Criminals and the paranoid have the same rights. That is the advantage we enjoy.

> If you have nothing to lie about it doesn't become an issue.
>
> Correct?

Not necessarily. It's exactly that kind of thinking that ever so slowly erodes our rights. Bringing this to light does not make one a criminal or paranoid. Just vigilant.

Which is our obligation.

Norm Melick

867. Re: UBC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 20:33:33 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin@nospam.nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vh494ugtig7mq3gnva9p3ihukb4132pnjd@4ax.com>
Source record: 53936
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 15 Jan 2002 14:51:21 GMT, "Jer" <jfolk@flash.net> wrote:

>Is anyone else having problems using the UBC780XLT successfully in there
>areas in the US? I know someone who lives in the Houston area that his won't
>work on all the systems in that area.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Jer
>

AFAIK, the UBC780XLT will not TrunkTrack US Systems.

868. Scannin' paid off today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 21:13:56 UTC
From: 3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <oY018.676$kU1.533360@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net>
Source record: 53941

While picking my kids up from school today I was listening to the local Sheriff. I soon realized they were chasing someone through the woods, following with a helicopter and 4wd patrol vehicle. They were chasing a guy in a 4wd chevy tracker, and he was makin them earn their pay today. He was only a mile or so away from me, so I held tight and hoped to see some action. I could see the chopper over the woods, and he was cuttin left and right, and finally lost the Sheriff's 4wd truck. A ton of squad cars flooded the little back road the school was on so I really got to see some action. I parked at the corner of the main road and another back road. Before I knew it, here he came. He turned right beside, I mean I saw the guys face. He was as calm as ever, just runnin from the law. He turned from there into an orange grove then back out on the road, where they got him with two stop sticks. He then went back into the woods with flat tires, got stuck, tried to run, and was quickly caught with the K9. All this over drugs, he had a duffle bag full of cocaine. It was like the whole reason I bought my scanner happened right there inside a 30 min period. I WAS PUMPED. Anyways, hope this gets someone else as excited as I was...

Shane
Radio Shack Pro-94

869. Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 21:21:41 UTC
From: 3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <0X018.42637$V55.2791652@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53937

While picking my kids up from school today I was listening to the local Sheriff. I soon realized they were chasing someone through the woods, following with a helicopter and 4wd patrol vehicle. They were chasing a guy in a 4wd chevy tracker, and he was makin them earn their pay today. He was only a mile or so away from me, so I held tight and hoped to see some action. I could see the chopper over the woods, and he was cuttin left and right, and finally lost the Sheriff's 4wd truck. A ton of squad cars flooded the little back road the school was on so I really got to see some action. I parked at the corner of the main road and another back road. Before I knew it, here he came. He turned right beside, I mean I saw the guys face. He was as calm as ever, just runnin from the law. He turned from there into an orange grove then back out on the road, where they got him with two stop sticks. He then went back into the woods with flat tires, got stuck, tried to run, and was quickly caught with the K9. All this over drugs, he had a duffle bag full of cocaine. It was like the whole reason I bought my scanner happened right there inside a 30 min period. I WAS PUMPED. Anyways, hope this gets someone else as excited as I was...

Shane
Radio Shack Pro-94

870. Re: To Trunk or not to Trunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 21:25:01 UTC
From: 3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <9_018.42638$V55.2792351@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53938

If you really want to listen and follow a conversation then you do need to buy a trunking scanner. A regular 800mhz model will work but it will not follow a conversation when the freq rotate, thus one sec you will hear police, then the next you will hear the dog pound.

"Peter Hermann" <icycle@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3C43CECE.A6ACA062@optonline.net...
> I live om the Northern New Jersey shore and like to listen to my local
> police/Fire/First Aid Squads. Do I need one of those high-priced Trunk
> scanners or will a standard one with 800 band do? Please e-mail if you
> can.
>

871. San Francisco Bay Area Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 21:36:33 UTC
From: Charlie Durand <charlie_durand@agilent.com>
Message-ID: <1011130620.450415@cswreg.cos.agilent.com>
Source record: 53939

Hey there,

I have been listening to the cops on my scanners for a very long time now. I currently have two BC200xlt's and another Uniden base model something something... An old old model with 40 channels, don't remember the model number right now which is strange but anyhow.. they're all old radios. They still work so I can't complain.

I want to get something that covers more bands though. I'm thinking about buying a new scanner. I want to get something cool since it's been 10 years since I've bought anything other than a new battery now and then. I want to know if it's worth it to get a trunking scanner for the San Francisco Bay Area. I hear plenty of stuff with my scanners right now and wonder if if would be worth it to "upgrade" to the latest and great Uniden has to offer. The Trankmaster series.. I'd be tempted to get one of each if there was interesting listening to be had on the trunked systems.

Otherwise I want to get something along the lines of the AOR8200 so I can control it with my computer and take it along with me when I feel like it.

The bottom line of my question is: If you listen to trunked systems in the SF area what do you hear? You can email me directly if you don't think the rest of the group wants to hear your replies.

Thanks!

872. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 21:48:04 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <os118.3248$0m.118140@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53940

In article <3C448AFF.270F7246@worldnet.att.net>,
henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> Glenn wrote:
> >
> > In article <3C447854.4FADD661@worldnet.att.net>,
> > henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> > > "Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > If a law enforcement agent is questioning you in regards to an
> > > > investigation and you knowingly lie, it is called hendering
> > > > prosection. It is agains the law.
> > > >
> > > So the thing to do is identify yourself, then exercise your 5th
> > > amendment right.
> > > >
> > > Correct?
> > >
> > I suppose if you are a criminal Norm, yeah, good advise.
>
> Good advice if you're not a criminal. An "alleged" criminal is under no
> obligation to make the governments case. Cops know this, and try to get
> the "alleged" criminal to talk, and talk and talk. Miranda kicks in
> after an arrest and before questioning.
>
> > OTOH, the rest of us law abiding citizens will do what we always do. A cop
> > questions us regarding an investigation we just answer truthfully and
> > then go on with our lives.
>
> Answer truthfully, of course, but only what you're required to answer.
> The supreme court has ruled that it's OK for cops to lie during an
> interrogation/investigation, (except under oath). An "alleged" criminal
> is under no obligation to make their case, and has specific rights,
> before and after an arrest. The time of an arrest is sometimes critical.
>
> > That is the advantage we have over criminals and the paranoid.
>
> Criminals and the paranoid have the same rights. That is the advantage
> we enjoy.

If you didn't break up what I said you might understand it. The
advantage is the law abiding citizen can tell the truth to a cop and
walk away. A criminal can't or he will be arrested. See the advantage
now or did I untwist your version of what I said too quickly?

> > If you have nothing to lie about it doesn't become an issue.
> >
> > Correct?
>
> Not necessarily. It's exactly that kind of thinking that ever so slowly
> erodes our rights. Bringing this to light does not make one a criminal
> or paranoid. Just vigilant.

What did you bring to light oh savior of the free world? You complained that an Arab staying near the WTC got busted because he lied to the cops about having an aircraft HT transceiver and lied about himself. How did your chicken little routine prevent an erosion of our rights?

Glenn

873. Chicago Scanning - carma changes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 22:25:13 UTC
From: Ted and Kim Moran <tandkmoran@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <B86A089F.586C%tandkmoran@attbi.com>
Source record: 53942

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association will be changing it's web server - update your bookmarks to:

www.carmachicago.com

Our e-mail list has moved from qth.net to yahoogroups. The name of the new group is carmachicago

My own web page has moved to it's new home and was slightly updated, major update in the planning in a week or two:

http://home.attbi.com/~tandkmoran/

Happy Scanning! - Ted Moran

874. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 22:51:13 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <Bn218.6107$N77.51481@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 53943

Police CAN (In theory) detect your scanner!

If you tune an ordinary FM radio to ex. 99.8mhz and take another FM radio
and tune it fast up and down over this frequency you`ll hear the first radio
go click.click,click..
(Youll have to be very close though..)

I used this trick in my youth to irritate my sister when she taped songs
from the radio.. She tuned in on 93.4 mhz and taped this very annoying AHA
song.. In the meantime i (the devil brother) was in the room besides hugging
the wall and tuning like hell!..

"MMMOOOMMMMMYYYYY!!!" hehehehehe!

So all you need is a highly sensitive reciever that can listen in on veeeery
many frequencies at the same time and a directional aerial. As they close in
on the scanner they will hear these little *clicks* over the frequencys that
the scanner scans and tune you in using the antenna..
(In theory)

Though i dont think the police would bother with this..

"WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> skrev i melding
news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I own
> a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth to
> work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
> the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
> whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
> Is this all hogwash or what?
>

875. Re: UBC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 23:00:33 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <vpk5oACRSLR8Ew2P@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 53944
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <vh494ugtig7mq3gnva9p3ihukb4132pnjd@4ax.com>, Darrin
<darrin@nospam.nj.rr.com> writes

>AFAIK, the UBC780XLT will not TrunkTrack US Systems.

Perhaps you would like to elaborate on that sweeping statement Darrin??

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

876. Local Ottawa, ON Region Public Safety Scanner Now Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 23:17:21 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <5M218.19647$h2c.1606@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

Listen in on EMS/Fire and local Road Conditions. Go to the following page to find out more.

Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02

877. Re: Police and Scanners IN NEW YORK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 23:40:59 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <f6318.40881$Zh1.7490676@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53848

I wonder what NY portables are doing with thier scanners in public? Are we: keeping them "Top-Secret", simply keeping the volume low, or doing what we want but looking out for police, or just simply doing whatever the hell we want?

Personally I need it for my job and local PD either asume that or know that, but I still generally keep mine "Top Secret" to avoid suspicion and/or stupid questions. I'm ALWAYS "wired" so you will never hear anything from my radio. I still get funny looks sometimes when I change channels/volume etc.

"Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote in message news:22_08.3242$75.2342159@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
> > > radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
> > > trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.
> >
> > Doubtful. This law in generally used to confiscate radio equipment from
> > people who listen for emergencies, then race in their vehicle to the
> > scene to watch and listen (and most times interfere with or at least
> > annoy) police and other folks trying to handle the problem. Most areas
>
> Come back from Hollywood please ;> I've been to hundreds of fires in my
> life, with scanner in hand, and have NEVER seen an incident where the police
> / fire were interfered with by someone else holding a scanner. If anything
> it's usually the distraught residents trying to get back in to grab
> something.
>
> In any case Michigan has had this law for ages. If you are pulled over for a
> traffic violation, and any scanner is in your car (doesn't need to be
> operational, can have the battery pack off and stored in the trunk, etc
> doesn't matter) you will very likely get a ticket.
>
> - Rob
>
>

878. Re: Alinco DJ-X10 SO slow!! WHAT CIRCITRY ALLOWS SCANNERS TO SCAN??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 23:52:40 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <ch318.40902$Zh1.7526490@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53946

Yeah I know, and it sucks but that's the "game" in this niche market! Those jerk-off manufacturers get away with this EVERY day. Nearly $400 for a scanner that scans 5 channels/second?? I WILL however accept that MAYBE, JUST MAYBE I'm the asshole who doesn't know didly about how scanners/receivers are made. I mean I'm technical enough to be able to read and follow schematics, or to know how CDs record using lasers or to know generally how scanners operate but my technical aptitude doesn't show me how hard it is to scan as fast as 7 years ago with $150 product.

Is it that because of all the added expense of extra technology(1200 channels/VFO) to keep the unit reasonably priced they used a less expensive scanning circuit?? My problem with that is that I would THINK that whatever technology they use to scan with would be dirt cheap any way you look at it; 30 channels/Second or 5 channels/Second.

I guess the question is: What really makes a scanner "scan". What exactly allows a scanner to alternate it's frequency, and is this expensive?

"Stug" <stug@none.com> wrote in message
news:7f484uoih7vng744q7tnbdomqbhlnnrvju@4ax.com...
> i had one but it scans SO SLOW. Trust me unless u just listen to 1
> frequency at a time real slow then u dont want it
>
> On Tue, 08 Jan 2002 23:59:23 GMT, "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Who has the DJ-X10s under $360 AND can deliver???
> >
>
>
> ____
> Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 -
http://www.uncensored-news.com
> With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News
Source
>

879. Re: Scanner Basics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-15 23:54:52 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <gj318.40908$Zh1.7533045@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53847

So how much work you thinkin' for an Intermediate electronics guy who wants
to build one? Cost?

"Sorcerer" <valair@spambtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a21utf$5ik$2@paris.btinternet.com...
> A scanner is simply an ordinary radio that usually has a wider coverage
than
> your domestic radio and has the ability to tune itself up and down the
> frequencies and stop on one it finds active by using the Squelch setting,
> basically that's all they are.
> All the rest of the functions on modern scanners are simply technical
extras
> to make the enthusiasts life a little easier.
>
> --
> ***The Sorcerer***
> remove Spam for reply
>
>
>
>
>
> "Robert" <rrittenhouse@globalco.net> wrote in message
> news:eb809bf0.0201142104.5cb39bcb@posting.google.com...
> > Well i was wondering where i could learn scanner basics.. like how to
> > actually building a scanner.. i have this idea about making one for
> > use on the computer via PCI card.. can ANYONE help me with this idea..
> > and possibly how to get started on with how scanners work in detail
> > etc..? thanks
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.303 / Virus Database: 164 - Release Date: 24/11/01
>
>

880. Re: Police and Scanners ** Web Page*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 00:14:27 UTC
From: WiCkEd <nunya@home.com>
Message-ID: <kdh94u43npmto7sdo9s2i3rrls8jc0h57b@4ax.com>
Source record: 53849

Thanks alot, got on the website called the number and the lady is
sending me an application for a permit to use the scanner in my
vehicle didn't seem like too much of a hassle to her at all..
thanks again

On Tue, 15 Jan 2002 02:57:15 GMT, John <johnstinkyfinger@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I found this on a google search for scanner laws and chose the first
>one.
>
>Here's the link
>
>http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/scanner5.html
>
>it says michigan is illegal without an FCC license, or permission.
>
>I know here in Kansas I wait for a policeman to ask me. I'll just whip
>out the old Ham ticket and there we go.
>
>
>
>On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 20:06:35 -0500, WiCkEd <nunya@home.com> wrote:
>
>>I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
>>radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
>>trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.
>>
>>
>>On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 18:53:02 -0500, "William Ferguson"
>><spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>it is very possible to detect scanners which don't have good shielding (ie.
>>>a metal case) No one does it though because scanners are legal
>>>
>>>"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>>>> Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
>>>> illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.
>>>>
>>>> It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they will
>>>> seize the detector and give you a fine.
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Brad.

>>>> FeeD_BaK
>>>>
>>>> "Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
>>>> news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
>>>> | first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of other
>>>> | things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of
>>>them
>>>> | being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here in
>>>> | Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces
>>>that
>>>> | have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other
>>>> ones.
>>>> | It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia,
>>>> | Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
>>>> |
>>>> | Dev
>>>> |
>>>> | FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> | news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>>>> | > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a
>>>> | > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I
>>>> | > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
>>>> | >
>>>> | > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that
>>>is
>>>> | > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
>>>> | >
>>>> | > --
>>>> | > Brad.
>>>> | > FeeD_BaK
>>>> | > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
>>>> | > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
>>>> | > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I
>>>own
>>>> | > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth
>>>to
>>>> | > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
>>>> | > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
>>>> | > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
>>>> | > | Is this all hogwash or what?
>>>> | > |
>>>> | >
>>>> | >
>>>> |
>>>> |
>>>>
>>>>
>>>

881. Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 01:05:33 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3C44D1DD.F9D60C57@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 53850

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio hobbists who are frequency hunters. You will find lists of Ontario radio frequencies that are not listed on any web page, published in any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government frequency list (Industry Canada's TAFL). This list is all based on research and the patience of the people who contribute to this web page.

Webpage: http://www.interlog.com/~mstone
Yahoogroups: http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

Regards
John Medica
System Administrator
Listening-Post - Monitoring Station
Toronto Ontario Canada ï¿½ Lat=43.6807 Long=-79.4469

Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

882. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 01:38:44 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C44D9A5.1AC8FA5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53851

Glenn wrote:

>
> If you didn't break up what I said you might understand it.

Oh I understood you all right.

> The advantage is the law abiding citizen can tell the truth to a cop and
> walk away.

Not necessarily.

> A criminal can't or he will be arrested.

Maybe.

> See the advantage now or did I untwist your version of what I said too quickly?

There is no advantage, as you state it. Did I say this slow enough for
you?

> > > If you have nothing to lie about it doesn't become an issue.
> > >
> > > Correct?
> >
> > Not necessarily. It's exactly that kind of thinking that ever so slowly
> > erodes our rights. Bringing this to light does not make one a criminal
> > or paranoid. Just vigilant.
>
> What did you bring to light oh savior of the free world? You
> complained that an Arab staying near the WTC got busted because he
> lied to the cops about having an aircraft HT transceiver and lied
> about himself.

I didn't complain about anything. I asked what law he violated by
"lying" about a radio.

> How did your chicken little routine prevent an erosion of our rights?

It shone the light of truth on MY rights. Something that people like you
attempt to steal from me under the guise of "If you aren't doing
anything wrong, you have nothing to fear".

Norm Melick

883. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 02:47:57 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c44e797.437912591@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On 15 Jan 2002 05:41:52 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:

>True. The track bending is less o a problem in some areas than in
>others, though,. And even there, a limitation on range here seem to me
>a blessing as cells are designed. My thought is that the cost
>effectiveness of such a system comes down to the cost of the
>individual cells... and that's one question I've not got the answer
>to. I can't imagine that the cost factors would be similar to that of
>say a higher power VHF system. Indeed, I could see the costs on such
>things being along the lines of the cost of a cell phone, once unit
>volume becomes a factor.

Well, you've just hit on another issue with designing a radio system for RR use - the extremely low traffic density on a typical piece of railroad. A normal radio system will have many users per site - a loading of perhaps 40 users per site would be in the ballpark. A railroad might have 2 (at VHF. If it were at 800 MHz, the number of users per site would be fractional)(*). For a normal site, the cost of the stations is low in comparison to the cost of the subscribers. For the RR, it's the opposite, so it's desirable that the system minimize the number of sites needed.

>Now, in all of this, I've been considering the east, and it's type of
>terrain, and it's frequency congestion needs. You rightly point out
>that the west is a vastly different sitaution terrain wise, and I
>would add, radio needs wise.

There's another issue: railroad equipment moves all across the country (and into Canada & Mexico). The radio in the locomotive has to work everywhere, so the radio system design has to be suitable for anywhere on the continent.

John

(* obviously in railroad yards the situation is reversed, but that makes up a fairly small percentage of RR mileage).

884. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 03:08:08 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <s8618.376225$W8.13729801@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53852

3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:0X018.42637$V55.2791652@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...

>...
>It was like the whole reason I bought my scanner
> happened right there inside a 30 min period.
> Shane
> Radio Shack Pro-94

I get to have some fun with my scanner(s). I have an agreement with one of the local TV stations. Since i live closer to a lot of stuff than their station is, i get there faster. I bring my camcorder and take shots of major wrecks and house fires and they pay me for each clip i send in. As long as i don't get in the way of the Fire or Police department and stay a little back, they don't say anything.

885. Re: Need display fro PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 03:21:53 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levys@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <r8s94uo9rsugamuejscot665843q3roa61@4ax.com>
Source record: 53854

On Tue, 15 Jan 2002 13:23:39 GMT, John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 22:51:44 -0500, Larry Levy wrote:
>
>
>> I didn't have any problem ordering a replacement from Radio Shack on
>> their National Parts line for my 2006, which I believe is the same as
>> the one in the 2005.
>>
>
>Larry is correct - the part numbers in the service manuals are the same.
>EL-501 if memory serves.

That's the one!

Maybe the person is trying to order the entire display unit rather
than the EL? I still think the parts may be the same.

Anyway, if he does get a hold of one.....Here's a great URL for a site
that has compete instructions on doing the fix. Its not as hard as I
had imagined. http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html

73,

-Larry
http://www.geocities.com/levys2/ham.html

886. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 03:35:35 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6y618.3561$0m.174086@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53855

In article <3C44D9A5.1AC8FA5@worldnet.att.net>,
henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> Glenn wrote:
>
> >
> > If you didn't break up what I said you might understand it.
>
> Oh I understood you all right.
>
> > The advantage is the law abiding citizen can tell the truth to a cop and
> > walk away.
>
> Not necessarily.
>
> > A criminal can't or he will be arrested.
>
> Maybe.
>
> > See the advantage now or did I untwist your version of what I said too quickly?
>
> There is no advantage, as you state it. Did I say this slow enough for
> you?
>
> > > > If you have nothing to lie about it doesn't become an issue.
> > > >
> > > > Correct?
> > >
> > > Not necessarily. It's exactly that kind of thinking that ever so slowly
> > > erodes our rights. Bringing this to light does not make one a criminal
> > > or paranoid. Just vigilant.
> >
> > What did you bring to light oh savior of the free world? You
> > complained that an Arab staying near the WTC got busted because he
> > lied to the cops about having an aircraft HT transceiver and lied
> > about himself.
>
> I didn't complain about anything. I asked what law he violated by
> "lying" about a radio.
>
> > How did your chicken little routine prevent an erosion of our rights?
>
> It shone the light of truth on MY rights. Something that people like you
> attempt to steal from me under the guise of "If you aren't doing
> anything wrong, you have nothing to fear".

Oh, so now honest law abiding citizens are trying to steal your rights.

Just because you are paranoid doesn't mean they aren't out to get you. Right?

You have more rights now than you ever did.

Glenn

887. Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 03:35:55 UTC
From: Go_Slow <slow_go@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9197DA4536613slowgohotmailcom@216.166.71.230>
Source record: 53856

Newbie scanner enthusiast.

My new BC780 comes with a telescopic antennae. I am about
35 miles from a PD Tower ~800Mhz that was weak but I could listen
ok. About 10 miles away is DFW Airport. I can pick up
the planes on the ground and towers on some days but
usually not. My local PD is ~450Mhz and they are weak.

I bought an "all band" disc/cone Radio Shack antannae.
I mounted it on a pole about 15 feet high.

The 35mile away PD tower can no longer be heard. The
planes and tower can now be heard strong. The local PD
now comes in strong.

According to the freq response diagram on the RS antennae,
it is fairly flat with no adnormal problems in the 800Mhz and
up range.

Questions:

Is it normal to expect this? The RS antennae seemed to be made
of quality materials. Not sure about the design.

I realize finding an antennae that works superior in all ranges
is not practical. At least at the $70 I paid for the RS antennae.

Should I expect better reception with the telescopic that comes
with the BC780 than the RS unit. I would have thought a coat
hanger would have been as good.

How about the antennae wire. I am using RG-58 that is marked for
HAM/CB usage. Is that were I screwed up? It is a 50 foot lead.

Should I expect to use a switch box that selects an antennae based
on the freq's I am listening to ?

Thanks ahead for any answers or ideas.

888. Re: ANN: AFS-780 available for free download!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 04:07:55 UTC
From: Avraam <avraam3334@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0718.28906$Vq.293154@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 53857
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Mike,

I am sorry because I didn't answer.
Here is the problem, if you use Windows XP looks like something in XP is not like in win. 9x or 2000
On 9x and 2000 the application handle the ports w/o problems but on XP is the problem you found.
I wish I knew why the problem is,... but right now I have no idea. I don't have XP on my home computer so I can't reproduce the
error.
Today I got an email from another user of AFS780 who had same problem like you and after some tries on his side he found this:

<Ron Poserina Jr. email>
I got it to work by unloading my Palm Pilot hotsync conduit from COM1.
Then it worked fine on COM2. I guess if COM1 is busy, it stops?
</Ron Poserina Jr. email>

and he have XP too.

I hope you will be able to make it work too.

best regards,
-avraam
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt
news://209.95.32.7/afs780

"Mike Burgess" <nospam@lineone.net> wrote in message
news:UVf3XWA+MHR8EwzI@btinternet.com...
> In article <CLv%7.8131$JF.80118@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>, Avraam
> <avraam3334@hotmail.com> writes
> >Hello,
> >I am pleased to announce the first public beta release of
> >Avraam's Free Software for the BC 780XLT (AFS780).
> >
> >You can download it from web site at:
> >http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt
> >
> >Thank you all for your help.
>
> Have you sorted out the problem of it not running on Com 2 Avraam??
> I have emailed you with no reply :-(
>
> --
> Mike Burgess : University of Life...

> Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
> : don't fix it !

889. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 04:25:46 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a22v9o$m9u$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 53858

Hi,

> My new BC780 comes with a telescopic antennae. I am about
> 35 miles from a PD Tower ~800Mhz that was weak but I could listen
> ok. About 10 miles away is DFW Airport. I can pick up
> the planes on the ground and towers on some days but
> usually not. My local PD is ~450Mhz and they are weak.
>
> The 35mile away PD tower can no longer be heard. The
> planes and tower can now be heard strong. The local PD
> now comes in strong.
>
> How about the antennae wire. I am using RG-58 that is marked for
> HAM/CB usage. Is that were I screwed up? It is a 50 foot lead.

Yep, I think that's the problem! RG58 is not recommended on
400 MHz and higher.

I think you'd better try RG213 (or other low-loss coax) for
better results on 800 MHz.

Good luck!

Peter Hunt
Holland

890. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 04:27:11 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <k30a4uots8umtfoonv3671aevdrnbt9s3q@4ax.com>
Source record: 53859

While nibbling on last years fruitcake, "Go_Slow" <slow_go@hotmail.com> grunted out

>The 35mile away PD tower can no longer be heard. The
>planes and tower can now be heard strong. The local PD
>now comes in strong.

The coax you use is more important that the antenna.

For maximum signal into your radio, you need at least RG213,
and Belden 9914 or LMR-400 is even better.

>How about the antennae wire. I am using RG-58 that is marked for
>HAM/CB usage. Is that were I screwed up? It is a 50 foot lead.

That coax is losing over 80% of the signal before it gets to the radio.
That's almost certainly the problem.

>Should I expect to use a switch box that selects an antennae based
>on the freq's I am listening to ?

No need, once you have good coax on the current antenna.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

891. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 04:52:41 UTC
From: 3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <jE718.48068$3x5.2718127@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53860

now that is something I would love to get into.....might be right up there
with fishin....

"Terry" <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:s8618.376225$W8.13729801@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> 3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net> wrote in message
> news:0X018.42637$V55.2791652@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> >...
> >It was like the whole reason I bought my scanner
> > happened right there inside a 30 min period.
> > Shane
> > Radio Shack Pro-94
>
> I get to have some fun with my scanner(s). I have an agreement with one of
> the local TV stations. Since i live closer to a lot of stuff than their
> station is, i get there faster. I bring my camcorder and take shots of
major
> wrecks and house fires and they pay me for each clip i send in. As long as
i
> don't get in the way of the Fire or Police department and stay a little
> back, they don't say anything.
>
>

892. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 05:08:18 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a231s7$ukr29$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53861

Just imagine how pumped those officers were! You were listening, they were running or driving. Its a blast.

"3d_guy" <scgraphx@netzero.net> wrote in message news:0X018.42637$V55.2791652@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> While picking my kids up from school today I was listening to the local
> Sheriff. I soon realized they were chasing someone through the woods,
> following with a helicopter and 4wd patrol vehicle. They were chasing a guy
> in a 4wd chevy tracker, and he was makin them earn their pay today. He was
> only a mile or so away from me, so I held tight and hoped to see some
> action. I could see the chopper over the woods, and he was cuttin left and
> right, and finally lost the Sheriff's 4wd truck. A ton of squad cars flooded
> the little back road the school was on so I really got to see some action. I
> parked at the corner of the main road and another back road. Before I knew
> it, here he came. He turned right beside, I mean I saw the guys face. He was
> as calm as ever, just runnin from the law. He turned from there into an
> orange grove then back out on the road, where they got him with two stop
> sticks. He then went back into the woods with flat tires, got stuck, tried
> to run, and was quickly caught with the K9. All this over drugs, he had a
> duffle bag full of cocaine. It was like the whole reason I bought my scanner
> happened right there inside a 30 min period. I WAS PUMPED. Anyways, hope
> this gets someone else as excited as I was...
>
> Shane
> Radio Shack Pro-94
>
>
>
>

893. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 05:24:15 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C450E7F.BA3BAC19@optonline.net>
Source record: 53862

RG-58 is a killer at 800 Mhz! It simply is too lossy. You might want
to try some good RG-6. It is low cost and relative low loss. But, you
will probably need adapters from the TYPE-F connectors on the RG-6 to
the connectors on the radio and antenna. A short (2 or 3 feet) piece of
RG-58 can be tolerated if you want a more flexible cable at the scanner.

Walt

Go_Slow wrote:
>
> Newbie scanner enthusiast.
>
> My new BC780 comes with a telescopic antennae. I am about
> 35 miles from a PD Tower ~800Mhz that was weak but I could listen
> ok. About 10 miles away is DFW Airport. I can pick up
> the planes on the ground and towers on some days but
> usually not. My local PD is ~450Mhz and they are weak.
>
> I bought an "all band" disc/cone Radio Shack antannae.
> I mounted it on a pole about 15 feet high.
>
> The 35mile away PD tower can no longer be heard. The
> planes and tower can now be heard strong. The local PD
> now comes in strong.
>
> According to the freq response diagram on the RS antennae,
> it is fairly flat with no adnormal problems in the 800Mhz and
> up range.
>
> Questions:
>
> Is it normal to expect this? The RS antennae seemed to be made
> of quality materials. Not sure about the design.
>
> I realize finding an antennae that works superior in all ranges
> is not practical. At least at the $70 I paid for the RS antennae.
>
> Should I expect better reception with the telescopic that comes
> with the BC780 than the RS unit. I would have thought a coat
> hanger would have been as good.
>
> How about the antennae wire. I am using RG-58 that is marked for
> HAM/CB usage. Is that were I screwed up? It is a 50 foot lead.
>
> Should I expect to use a switch box that selects an antennae based

> on the freq's I am listening to ?
>
> Thanks ahead for any answers or ideas.

894. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 05:28:40 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C450F8B.5FFF2059@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53863

Glenn wrote:

> Oh, so now honest law abiding citizens are trying to steal your
> rights.

Some have, positively.

> Just because you are paranoid doesn't mean they aren't out to get you.
> Right?

There is a difference between paranoid and being vigilant.

> You have more rights now than you ever did.

Baloney.

895. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 07:47:15 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0ea18.26$HY1.12956@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53864

In article <3C450F8B.5FFF2059@worldnet.att.net>,
henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Oh, so now honest law abiding citizens are trying to steal your
> > rights.
>
> Some have, positively.
>
> > Just because you are paranoid doesn't mean they aren't out to get you.
> > Right?
>
> There is a difference between paranoid and being vigilant.

You haven't shown it.

> > You have more rights now than you ever did.
>
> Baloney.

Start with Miranda and go on from there. Compare the case load of the
ACLU and it's impact on the judicial system. Look at the rights given
minorities and minors.

You on the other hand use a terrorist being arrested by the cops for
lying to the police about having a aircraft HT, lying to the police
about what he was doing and who he was is an erosion of our rights. He
wasn't even a citizen of this country yet you se it as an erosion of
our rights?

I know chicken little. The sky is falling! The sky is falling!

Glenn

896. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 08:11:39 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C4535BE.4F5E0CEC@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53865

Glenn wrote:
>
> > There is a difference between paranoid and being vigilant.
>
> You haven't shown it.

You have.

> > > You have more rights now than you ever did.
> >
> > Baloney.
>
> Start with Miranda and go on from there. Compare the case load of the
> ACLU and it's impact on the judicial system.

That won't show the complete picture. The entire U.S. population has
slowly been loosing their rights since the 60's, and they never went to
court. They lost them by accepting regulations and laws in the name of
"drug control", "the children", "environment", etc., etc., etc.

> Look at the rights given minorities and minors.

At whose expense?

> You on the other hand use a terrorist being arrested by the cops for
> lying to the police about having a aircraft HT, lying to the police
> about what he was doing and who he was is an erosion of our rights.

> He wasn't even a citizen of this country yet you se it as an erosion of our rights?

1. He was here legally.
2. The act of 19 terrorists has taken away and in other instances
severely limited our rights, including an authorization of the military
to shoot down civilian aircraft. Something they've wanted for the last
10 years.
3. Because of the terrorists, we will loose more rights, in the name of
terrorism, security, etc., etc.

Using your logic, the acts of these terrorists should not affect our
rights, but the fact is they do, and will continue to do so.

> I know chicken little. The sky is falling! The sky is falling!

The only one hollering "The sky is falling! The sky is falling!", is
you, and other liberal left wing whacko's.

Norm Melick

897. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 08:29:38 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C4539EB.6A956538@amsat.org>
Source record: 53866

Go_Slow wrote:

> Newbie scanner enthusiast.
>
> My new BC780 comes with a telescopic antennae. I am about
> 35 miles from a PD Tower ~800Mhz that was weak but I could listen
> ok. About 10 miles away is DFW Airport. I can pick up
> the planes on the ground and towers on some days but
> usually not. My local PD is ~450Mhz and they are weak.
>
> I bought an "all band" disc/cone Radio Shack antannae.
> I mounted it on a pole about 15 feet high.
>
> The 35mile away PD tower can no longer be heard. The
> planes and tower can now be heard strong. The local PD
> now comes in strong.
>
> According to the freq response diagram on the RS antennae,
> it is fairly flat with no adnormal problems in the 800Mhz and
> up range.
>
> Questions:
>
> Is it normal to expect this? The RS antennae seemed to be made
> of quality materials. Not sure about the design.

Discones are a proven design.

> I realize finding an antennae that works superior in all ranges
> is not practical. At least at the $70 I paid for the RS antennae.

For all the common "scanner" ranges, the discone is about the most
practical.
I had a RS version about 15 years ago and wasn't impressed with the
use of hollow elements for the conical section and lack of top element
to extend the frequency range. I believe the RS version now comes with
the loaded top element like the Diamond always did.

> Should I expect better reception with the telescopic that comes
> with the BC780 than the RS unit. I would have thought a coat
> hanger would have been as good.

It's what's between the radio and the antenna that's killing your
higher freq reception.

> How about the antennae wire. I am using RG-58 that is marked for
> HAM/CB usage. Is that were I screwed up? It is a 50 foot lead.

This is most likely where the higher frequency attenuation is
happening;
try to get some RG-6 in as short a length as you can get by with.
Even the full 50', if you have to, will generally be better than the
58.

> Should I expect to use a switch box that selects an antennae based
> on the freq's I am listening to ?

This will introduce some more losses,
better to get a good antenna (the discone is good) up high,
and use better coax.

~Bart~

898. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 08:31:33 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C453A63.76068EBF@amsat.org>
Source record: 53867

Never anonymous Bud wrote:

>
>
> The coax you use is more important that the antenna.
>
> For maximum signal into your radio, you need at least RG213,
> and Belden 9914 or LMR-400 is even better.

Geeze Bud, ya not gonna recommend Heliax? ;-)

~Bart~

899. Free "Hunt for Bin Laden" mp3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 10:43:46 UTC
From: jb@johnbickel.com
Message-ID: <90fc76bc.0201160243.39733a8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53868

Here's a link that will take you to Amazon.com's music downloads section where you can get a free copy of Jonathan Bickel's rousing rock guitar instrumental dedicated to the troops in Afghanistan. You'll love it!

Get it at: http://artist.amazon.com/jonathanbickel

Hint - the picture shows Jonathan in front of an A-10 Thunderbolt. Should give you an idea of the power in this recording.

It's intended to be enjoyed by any and all of the US Armed Forces, as well as civilian supporters, so, if you like it, please post the link to any appropriate newsgroup or any other Internet venue.

More background info is available at:
http://www.johnbickel.com/jonathan.htm

Thank you and God Bless America

900. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 13:24:49 UTC
From: Go Slow <slow_go@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91984B6FE2FA4slowgohotmail@157.170.133.115>
Source record: 53869

Thanks to all that replied. I will go cable hunting
today.

901. Re: ANN: AFS-780 available for free download!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 14:05:15 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <6a2MEUAbiYR8EwH9@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 53870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <v0718.28906$Vq.293154@rwcrnsc53>, Avraam
<avraam3334@hotmail.com> writes
>Hi Mike,
>
>I am sorry because I didn't answer.
>Here is the problem, if you use Windows XP looks like something in XP is not
>like in win. 9x or 2000
>On 9x and 2000 the application handle the ports w/o problems but on XP is the
>problem you found.
>I wish I knew why the problem is,... but right now I have no idea. I don't have
>XP on my home computer so I can't reproduce the
>error.
>Today I got an email from another user of AFS780 who had same problem like you
>and after some tries on his side he found this:
>
><Ron Poserina Jr. email>
>I got it to work by unloading my Palm Pilot hotsync conduit from COM1.
>Then it worked fine on COM2. I guess if COM1 is busy, it stops?
></Ron Poserina Jr. email>
>
>and he have XP too.
>
>I hope you will be able to make it work too.

Not using XP Avraam, am using W95 with a mouse on Com 1 !!

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

902. Re: 220 Mhz Public Safety/Mutual Aid Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 14:27:19 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <qq2b4u8f5fk96r6d8tbalhfi0fioo7nfke@4ax.com>
Source record: 53871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 16 Jan 2002 02:37:37 GMT, Skatebrat <skatebrat@home.net>
wrote:

>Part 90 - Private Land Mobile Radio Services
>Subpart T--Regulations Governing Licensing and
>Use of Frequencies in the 220-222 MHz Band

Sigh. I used to have an FM rig on those frequencies --When they were
part of a ham radio allocation.

Grrrrrr...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

903. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 15:16:38 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <qPg18.7113$0g.180921@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 53872

First off, insects have antennae. In radio we use antennas.

You experience with the discone is strange. I would guess you have lossy coax since the higher freqs are coming in worse than with your telescopic antenna.

What kind of coax are you using. You should not use RG-58. RG-6 is good. Make sure the connectors are properly installed. How long a run of coax are you using? Is the discone mounted outdoors? How high?

The discone should certainly outperform an indoor whip at UHF.

Art Harris N2AH

Go_Slow <slow_go@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Newbie scanner enthusiast.

> My new BC780 comes with a telescopic antennae. I am about
> 35 miles from a PD Tower ~800Mhz that was weak but I could listen
> ok. About 10 miles away is DFW Airport. I can pick up
> the planes on the ground and towers on some days but
> usually not. My local PD is ~450Mhz and they are weak.

> I bought an "all band" disc/cone Radio Shack antannae.
> I mounted it on a pole about 15 feet high.

> The 35mile away PD tower can no longer be heard. The
> planes and tower can now be heard strong. The local PD
> now comes in strong.

> According to the freq response diagram on the RS antennae,
> it is fairly flat with no adnormal problems in the 800Mhz and
> up range.

> Questions:

> Is it normal to expect this? The RS antennae seemed to be made
> of quality materials. Not sure about the design.

> I realize finding an antennae that works superior in all ranges
> is not practical. At least at the $70 I paid for the RS antennae.

> Should I expect better reception with the telescopic that comes
> with the BC780 than the RS unit. I would have thought a coat
> hanger would have been as good.

> How about the antennae wire. I am using RG-58 that is marked for
> HAM/CB usage. Is that were I screwed up? It is a 50 foot lead.

> Should I expect to use a switch box that selects an antennae based
> on the freq's I am listening to ?

> Thanks ahead for any answers or ideas.

904. Re: ANN: AFS-780 available for free download!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 16:56:08 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <Upa3IvAoCbR8Ewwi@btinternet.com>
Source record: 53873
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <sPg18.31476$uA.353629@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, Keith
<user@spam.eat> writes
>nospam@lineone.net wrote on Wed 16 Jan 2002 06:05:15a
>news:6a2MEUAbiYR8EwH9@g7hid.lineone.net:
>
>> In article <v0718.28906$Vq.293154@rwcrnsc53>, Avraam
>> <avraam3334@hotmail.com> writes
>>>Hi Mike,
>>>
>>>I am sorry because I didn't answer.
>>>Here is the problem, if you use Windows XP looks like something in XP is
>>>not like in win. 9x or 2000
>>>On 9x and 2000 the application handle the ports w/o problems but on XP
>>>is the problem you found.
>>>I wish I knew why the problem is,... but right now I have no idea. I
>>>don't have XP on my home computer so I can't reproduce the
>>>error.
>>>Today I got an email from another user of AFS780 who had same problem
>>>like you and after some tries on his side he found this:
>>>
>>><Ron Poserina Jr. email>
>>>I got it to work by unloading my Palm Pilot hotsync conduit from COM1.
>>>Then it worked fine on COM2. I guess if COM1 is busy, it stops?
>>></Ron Poserina Jr. email>
>>>
>>>and he have XP too.
>>>
>>>I hope you will be able to make it work too.
>>
>> Not using XP Avraam, am using W95 with a mouse on Com 1 !!
>>
>
>In a text editor load c:\windows\AFS780.INI and change
>rgPort_ItemIndex=0
>to the com port you need
>port 1 = 0
>port 2 = 1
>port 3 = 2
>port 4 = 3
>
>and then save the file.
Thanks Keith, the file correctly reflects the Com port settings for Com
2 at 19200, but when run it errors up that it cannot find Com 1!!

'Specified device not found (com1)'

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

905. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 17:24:54 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AHi18.1453$HY1.80796@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53874

In article <3C4535BE.4F5E0CEC@worldnet.att.net>,
henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> Glenn wrote:
> >
> > > There is a difference between paranoid and being vigilant.
> >
> > You haven't shown it.
>
> You have.

Where I have shown any paranoia?

> > > > You have more rights now than you ever did.
> > >
> > > Baloney.
> >
> > Start with Miranda and go on from there. Compare the case load of the
> > ACLU and it's impact on the judicial system.
>
> That won't show the complete picture.

Oh, I see. Miranda was just a little blip on the human rights radar in
this country. Before Miranda the police everywhere treated people the
same they do pre-Miranda. Especially minorities. Tell me about it
Norm.

> The entire U.S. population has
> slowly been loosing their rights since the 60's, and they never went to
> court. They lost them by accepting regulations and laws in the name of
> "drug control", "the children", "environment", etc., etc., etc.

How do you have less rights with drug control?

The children, yep, they can't work in factories, you can't beat
them,etc., etc., etc. What other rights are you speaking of children
have loss?

Environment, yep you can't dump dangerous chemicals anyplace you like,
you can't put workers in dangerous environments and risk their lives.
There are certain chemicals you can't put on food or I the air etc.,
etc., etc. Which rights here are you talking about?

> > Look at the rights given minorities and minors.
>
> At whose expense?

Bigots and racists I would suspect. Why do you have a problem with minorities and minors have the same rights as you Norm?

> > You on the other hand use a terrorist being arrested by the cops for
> > lying to the police about having a aircraft HT, lying to the police
> > about what he was doing and who he was is an erosion of our rights.
>
> > He wasn't even a citizen of this country yet you se it as an erosion of our rights?
>
> 1. He was here legally.

Yes but there you end. Did he have the right to lie to investigators? What right did he lose here Norm? You seem to love cliches and one liners but come up really short on facts.

> 2. The act of 19 terrorists has taken away and in other instances
> severely limited our rights, including an authorization of the military
> to shoot down civilian aircraft. Something they've wanted for the last
> 10 years.

Who is this mysterious "they" that have been fighting to get authorization of the military to shoot down civilian aircraft? Last 10 years? I don't recall debate or controversy during Ronnie Rayguns last years to do this. Refresh me with some actual details of the facts Norm.

> 3. Because of the terrorists, we will loose more rights, in the name of
> terrorism, security, etc., etc.

So what are you saying Norm? We need to pull airport security? Back off on the borders? We shouldn't hassle the people connected to these 19 terrorists because we infringe upon their rights? Do I understand you correctly? Do you believe this country is at risk from an outside terrorist, you did hear about WTC didn't you?

> Using your logic, the acts of these terrorists should not affect our
> rights, but the fact is they do, and will continue to do so.

Don't put words in my mouth Norm. The acts of these terrorist will and SHOULD affect our rights. That happens during war time or right after the moment of realization you have done a piss poor job of national security.

> > I know chicken little. The sky is falling! The sky is falling!
>
> The only one hollering "The sky is falling! The sky is falling!", is
> you, and other liberal left wing whacko's.

That makes no sense. Because I don't agree with your alarmist chicken little routine I am a left wing whacko? Because the country with its democratic process has done something you don't like we are all whacko's taking your rights away.

Got a news flash for you Norm. You seem to be the minority here. This isn't a dictatorship, monarchy but a democracy. If you don't like it

get out and change it. Problem for you is "since" the 60's most people have quit listening to your McCarthy-like paranoid dribble.

So in closing Norm. I and most Americans will shed not a tear for you poor little Egyptian hero with air traffic experience who had a aircraft transceiver in a hotel near the WTC and lied to the police about it. Go ahead and put him on your pedestal and cry and sob how his rights were infringed. That is your right. Tell us again he should have walked and not be arrested. You right Norm. Then in the same breath call me a whacko. Still your right. You are wrong but its your right and possibly your destiny to be wrong.

Glenn

906. Re: Scannin' paid off today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 18:27:11 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0201161027.41d86b0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53877

Shane, I am glad you were entertained...The price of your joy could
have been very high.

If you watch any of those TV shows with Cop and Robber chases, you see
very often wrecks that occur with innocent citizens. Sometimes you
even see the criminal leave his damaged car and car-jack someone
elses.

You had your children in the car you you knew that trouble was heading
your way. Maybe I love my children too much, but I think I would have
headed the OTHER way lickity-split!

"3d_guy" <scgraphx@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:<oY018.676$kU1.533360@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net>...
> While picking my kids up from school today I was listening to the local
> Sheriff. I soon realized they were chasing someone through the woods,
> following with a helicopter and 4wd patrol vehicle. They were chasing a guy
> in a 4wd chevy tracker, and he was makin them earn their pay today. He was
> only a mile or so away from me, so I held tight and hoped to see some
> action. I could see the chopper over the woods, and he was cuttin left and
> right, and finally lost the Sheriff's 4wd truck. A ton of squad cars flooded
> the little back road the school was on so I really got to see some action. I
> parked at the corner of the main road and another back road. Before I knew
> it, here he came. He turned right beside, I mean I saw the guys face. He was
> as calm as ever, just runnin from the law. He turned from there into an
> orange grove then back out on the road, where they got him with two stop
> sticks. He then went back into the woods with flat tires, got stuck, tried
> to run, and was quickly caught with the K9. All this over drugs, he had a
> duffle bag full of cocaine. It was like the whole reason I bought my scanner
> happened right there inside a 30 min period. I WAS PUMPED. Anyways, hope
> this gets someone else as excited as I was...
>
> Shane
> Radio Shack Pro-94

907. Re: MY AOR1000 needs retuning - HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 19:14:12 UTC
From: Sorcerer <valair@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a24je3aks1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53878

Richard......Sorry mate, that last reply of mine was intended for Scott

--
The Sorcerer
remove spam for reply

"Sorcerer" <valair@spambtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a21uqu$5ik$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> Hey Richard
> Be VERY VERY careful here.. you need to know EXACTLY what you are doing
with
> this realignment, I would not even entertain the idea without the proper
> equipment, fine tuning tolerances are crucial on the Aor1000, one wrong
turn
> and it will be F****d for good....AOR won't charge you the earth to do it
> for you, you then have peace of mind.
>
> --
> ***The Sorcerer***
> remove spam for reply
>
>
>
> "Richard Hillier" <richard@aoruk.com> wrote in message
> news:a2108j$qk8$2@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > I did use the reset method described on the page you sent me but I
> figure
> > I
> > > "bumped" something to knock it around.
> > > I've heard some info about fiddling around with one of the three
> trimming
> > > cap's - do you have any info about this?
> > >
> > > Scott
> >
> > If it is an AR1000 with crystal 2nd oscillator running at 154.825MHz,
the
> > error would be in the region of up to 10kHz, not a few MHz. You clearly
> > suggest a difference of 5MHz... is this correct, you don't mean the
figure
> > LEFT of the decimal (MHz), not the figure furthest to the right of the
LCD
> > (which is kHz) ??
> >
> > Alignment of the 2nd oscillator etc is described in the technical

bulletin
> > section of the AOR UK web site:
> >
> > http://www.aoruk.com/1000bull.htm#align
> >
> > Regards, Richard - AOR UK LTD
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.303 / Virus Database: 164 - Release Date: 24/11/01
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.303 / Virus Database: 164 - Release Date: 24/11/01

908. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 19:36:24 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C45D634.EAFE9F8D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53879

Glenn wrote:
>
> In article <3C4535BE.4F5E0CEC@worldnet.att.net>,
> henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> > Glenn wrote:
> > >
> > > > There is a difference between paranoid and being vigilant.
> > >
> > > You haven't shown it.
> >
> > You have.
>
> Where I have shown any paranoia?

You keep bringing it up.

> > > > > You have more rights now than you ever did.
> > > >
> > > > Baloney.
> > >
> > > Start with Miranda and go on from there. Compare the case load of the
> > > ACLU and it's impact on the judicial system.
> >
> > That won't show the complete picture.
>
> Oh, I see. Miranda was just a little blip on the human rights radar in
> this country.

I never said that. Quit putting your words in my mouth.

> Before Miranda the police everywhere treated people the same they do pre-Miranda.

Miranda didn't just come out of someone's ass. Read the history behind
Miranda first, then engage your mouth. Law enforcement sure didn't offer
Miranda to us law abiding citizens out of kindness and fairness. We got
Miranda because of police abuse.

> Especially minorities. Tell me about it Norm.

What do you want to know about minorities.

> > The entire U.S. population has
> > slowly been loosing their rights since the 60's, and they never went to
> > court. They lost them by accepting regulations and laws in the name of
> > "drug control", "the children", "environment", etc., etc., etc.
>
> How do you have less rights with drug control?

What a bozo. Search and seizure, asset forfeiture laws for starters.

> The children, yep, they can't work in factories, you can't beat
> them,etc., etc., etc. What other rights are you speaking of children
> have loss?

I'm not saying children lost rights. I'm saying we lost rights in the
name of children. Remember your buddy Slick Willie?

> Environment, yep you can't dump dangerous chemicals anyplace you like,
> you can't put workers in dangerous environments and risk their lives.
> There are certain chemicals you can't put on food or I the air etc.,
> etc., etc. Which rights here are you talking about?

Pretty disingenuous. For starters, how about not washing you car in your
own driveway, children suing their parents for child abuse, prohibiting
children form saying the pledge of allegiance at school, nativity scenes
prohibited at the town square, Santa Clause prohibited from showing up
at the town parade, wetlands rules enforced buy the federal government,
excessive use of the eminent domain statutes, U.S Governments stealing
of property under the asset forfeiture law, etc., etc., etc.

> > > Look at the rights given minorities and minors.
> >
> > At whose expense?
>
> Bigots and racists I would suspect. Why do you have a problem with
> minorities and minors have the same rights as you Norm?

I don't.

> > > You on the other hand use a terrorist being arrested by the cops for
> > > lying to the police about having a aircraft HT, lying to the police
> > > about what he was doing and who he was is an erosion of our rights.
> >
> > > He wasn't even a citizen of this country yet you se it as an erosion of our rights?
> >
> > 1. He was here legally.
>
> Yes but there you end. Did he have the right to lie to investigators?

He had no obligation to help the police make his arrest.

> What right did he lose here Norm?

1st, 4th and 5th amendment?

> You seem to love cliches and one liners but come up really short on facts.

Pay attention.

> > 2. The act of 19 terrorists has taken away and in other instances
> > severely limited our rights, including an authorization of the military
> > to shoot down civilian aircraft. Something they've wanted for the last
> > 10 years.

>
> Who is this mysterious "they" that have been fighting to get authorization of the
military to
> shoot down civilian aircraft?

The U.S. military.

> Last 10 years?

At least that long.

> I don't recall debate or controversy during Ronnie Rayguns last
> years to do this. Refresh me with some actual details of the facts
> Norm.

Do some research on the proposed Federal Shoot Down Law. Call A.O.P.A
for starters. Just because you don't recall doesn't make it false.

> > 3. Because of the terrorists, we will loose more rights, in the name of
> > terrorism, security, etc., etc.
>
> So what are you saying Norm? We need to pull airport security?

No. Do airport security in a way that will solve the problem. So far,
it's all window washing.

> Back off on the borders?

No. That's shutting the barn door after the horse has left, isn't it?

> We shouldn't hassle the people connected to these 19 terrorists because we infringe upon
their rights?

I have not advocated hassling anybody.

> Do I understand you correctly?

Doesn't sound like it.

> Do you believe this country is at risk from an outside terrorist,

Yes.

> you did hear about WTC didn't you?

Yep. First hand.

> > Using your logic, the acts of these terrorists should not affect our
> > rights, but the fact is they do, and will continue to do so.
>
> Don't put words in my mouth Norm.

They were your words, Glenn.

> The acts of these terrorist will and SHOULD affect our rights.

In a positive manner. Taking away our rights in the name of national
security is wrong.

> That happens during war time or right after the moment of realization you have done a
piss
> poor job of national security.

Short term loss, not permanently.

> > The only one hollering "The sky is falling! The sky is falling!", is
> > you, and other liberal left wing whacko's.
>
> That makes no sense. Because I don't agree with your alarmist chicken
> little routine I am a left wing whacko?

You keep hollering chicken little and "the sky is falling", not me.

> Because the country with its democratic process has done something you don't like we are
all
> whacko's taking your rights away.

Yes.

> Got a news flash for you Norm. You seem to be the minority here.

Got a news flash for you Glenn. You won't determine that.

> This isn't a dictatorship, monarchy but a democracy. If you don't like it
> get out and change it.

I'm trying. It's left wing whacko's like you that I have to overcome.
And I will.

> Problem for you is "since" the 60's most people have quit listening to your McCarthy-like
> paranoid dribble.

Spoken like a true left wing whacko.

> So in closing Norm.

Oh good, you're done.

> I and most Americans will shed not a tear for you
> poor little Egyptian hero with air traffic experience who had a
> aircraft transceiver in a hotel near the WTC and lied to the police
> about it.

Neither will I. But I will question the process. Keeps people like you
from stealing more rights from people like me.

> Go ahead and put him on your pedestal and cry and sob how his rights were infringed.

How did you come to this moronic conclusion? Just what are you afraid
of? The truth?

> That is your right. Tell us again he should have walked and not be arrested.

I never said that. Nice try, but quit putting your words in my mouth.

> You right Norm.

Absolutely.

> Then in the same breath call me a whacko.

Have you been paying attention? I have called you a whacko, more than once.

> Still your right.

Agreed.

> You are wrong

You told me twice that I was right. Are you still confused?

> but its your right and possibly your destiny to be wrong.

It's my right and destiny to question things I believe to be wrong.

Norm Melick

909. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 19:47:29 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C45D8D1.88DD4BAC@USA.net>
Source record: 53880

Yes it's a blast. Think how the families of those officers feel when they hear such action on there radio. Wondering if there husband, father, brother, wife, sister - you get the message is coming after work. I've been there, i'm retired after 30 years and it's not fun being in that sort of position.

We should remember that our law enforcement officers put their lives on the line for all of us on a daily basis. And because of their dedication to their job, they command nothing but respect and a big vote of thank from all of us.

"God Bless America" and OUR Law Enforcement Officers

Lurking Fool wrote:

> Just imagine how pumped those officers were! You were listening, they were
> running or driving. Its a blast.

910. Re: Scannin' paid off today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 19:49:24 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C45D944.9AF1CA0E@USA.net>
Source record: 53881

Well said Randy.

"Randy A. Hefner" wrote:

> Shane, I am glad you were entertained...The price of your joy could
> have been very high.
>
> If you watch any of those TV shows with Cop and Robber chases, you see
> very often wrecks that occur with innocent citizens. Sometimes you
> even see the criminal leave his damaged car and car-jack someone
> elses.
>
> You had your children in the car you you knew that trouble was heading
> your way. Maybe I love my children too much, but I think I would have
> headed the OTHER way lickity-split!

911. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 20:24:09 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c45e141.3415811@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 53882

I like the DIAMOND D130J!! never failed me!!On Wed, 16 Jan 2002
03:35:55 GMT, "Go_Slow" <slow_go@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Newbie scanner enthusiast.
>
>My new BC780 comes with a telescopic antennae. I am about
>35 miles from a PD Tower ~800Mhz that was weak but I could listen
>ok. About 10 miles away is DFW Airport. I can pick up
>the planes on the ground and towers on some days but
>usually not. My local PD is ~450Mhz and they are weak.
>
>I bought an "all band" disc/cone Radio Shack antannae.
>I mounted it on a pole about 15 feet high.
>
>The 35mile away PD tower can no longer be heard. The
>planes and tower can now be heard strong. The local PD
>now comes in strong.
>
>According to the freq response diagram on the RS antennae,
>it is fairly flat with no adnormal problems in the 800Mhz and
>up range.
>
>Questions:
>
>Is it normal to expect this? The RS antennae seemed to be made
>of quality materials. Not sure about the design.
>
>I realize finding an antennae that works superior in all ranges
>is not practical. At least at the $70 I paid for the RS antennae.
>
>Should I expect better reception with the telescopic that comes
>with the BC780 than the RS unit. I would have thought a coat
>hanger would have been as good.
>
>How about the antennae wire. I am using RG-58 that is marked for
>HAM/CB usage. Is that were I screwed up? It is a 50 foot lead.
>
>Should I expect to use a switch box that selects an antennae based
>on the freq's I am listening to ?
>
>Thanks ahead for any answers or ideas.

912. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 20:40:16 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <Qyl18.7134$0g.181705@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 53883

Email to above or see post sig for address! <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote:

> Take it back, discones are junk.

> You mention VHF & UHF, so you could get a Cushcraft Ringo Ranger Dual band which
> will provide about 3.0 & 5.5db gain in these bands v. the discones 0db.

He also mentioned aircraft listening. The Ringo ranger is optimized at 146 MHz and 445 MHz. He's not going to get the gain you quote except in the amateur bands.

He's a newbie. I don't think he wants to have three or four different antennas. The discone is a good all-around antenna for scanning.

> Look into 9913 or LMR400 cable, RG6 and RG11 can work in a pinch with a little
> higher loss than the 9913.

The LMR cables are good but not cheap. I've heard horror stories about 9913. The air dielectric combinied with flexible jacket leads to water vapor infiltration. 9913 is great when it's new or if it's used indoors. But for outdoor use, losses will increase over time. For scanner use, RG-6 makes the most sense.

Art Harris N2AH

913. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 20:43:47 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <a24ol8$c9b$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 53884

Harris wrote in message ...

>First off, insects have antennae. In radio we use antennas.

Sheesh, I really wish you spelling/grammar lamers would at least
pick up a dictionary before you spout off.
Either form of the plural is acceptable.

914. Medford, MA Fire ch. 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 21:17:56 UTC
From: Michael Iacono <k1mri@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <86m18.3278$Ln2.1315098@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53885

Does anyone know what freq. the Medford, MA F.D. uses for it's Channel 2
(fireground). They just started using it.
thanks!

915. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 21:21:24 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <n7m18.54394$V55.3518651@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53886

Get a ham ticket. Or move to North Carolina where it is not illegal on a
state level to have
a scanner in your car. I am under the impression that those states that DO
have this law are
in the minority.

Jerry
K4KWH
John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.01.14.21.09.42.636063.18055@nospam.njmc.com...
> On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 20:06:35 -0500, WiCkEd wrote:
>
> > I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
> > radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
> > trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.
>
> Doubtful. This law in generally used to confiscate radio equipment from
> people who listen for emergencies, then race in their vehicle to the
> scene to watch and listen (and most times interfere with or at least
> annoy) police and other folks trying to handle the problem. Most areas
> have this law.
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.1.91 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
> Remove "nospam." from my address to respond directly to me

916. WTB ICOM R-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 21:26:21 UTC
From: jbeckett@stny.rr.com
Message-ID: <vtrb4uo3mv0nooaf2o25fttik4060ecoff@4ax.com>
Source record: 53891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Wanted: ICOM TV-R7100

KD2KU
jbeckett@(NOSPAM)stny.rr.com
remove (NOSPAM) to reply

917. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 21:32:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8im18.54452$V55.3526805@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53887

I think most locales have laws to discourage "ambulance chasing" or repeatedly showing up at
the scene of wrecks and fires. I know most agencies don't appreciate the extra traffic and
spectators that are generated by people that follow the cops/fire trucks around. I, too, have
been annoyed by people showing up where they don't belong. Civil Air Patrol also uses certain
scanner-receivable frequencies, so we use code words designed to prevent the listeners from
learning the progress of whatever mission we are on. Or we use frequencies that don't lend themselves to "average" scanner listening. Keeping people out of the way of crash scenes and
otherwise protects, not only the instant investigation, but the would-be spectator as well.

Jerry

Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com> wrote in message
news:FiM08.35224$B61.12316627@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> It might be "very possible" to detect the presence of a scanner in a car, or
> it may be just slightly possible, or very possibly it's moderately possible.
>
> As someone pointed out, today's cars have a lot of electronics that give off
> rf radiation, so I doubt that any kind of "scanner detector" is highly
> possible any time soon.
>
> A scanner is much more likely to be detected if, for example, you and/or
> your car is seen repeatedly at the scene of police/fire calls, if you have
> it in plain sight (or listening range) of a police officer, if you have
> multiple antennas visible, etc.
>
> Other than avoiding those pitfalls that can occur anywhere, if you're
> unfortunate enough to be in Michigan, get a hold of the State Police and
> request an application for a "Permit for use of Short Wave Receiver in
> Vehicle," under "Section 750.50B, MCL of 1970 as amended." I know, police
> stuff is very possibly never broadcast on "short wave" frequencies any more,
> but this permit lets you listen to police frequencies in a vehicle - except
> for police radar frequencies.
>

> I have one, my wife has one, and my 8 year-old son has one. And we live
in
> California; I've never driven a vehicle or ridden in anything but an
Amtrak
> train within Michigan, but I'm all set...just in case.
>
> The best way to avoid having your scanner very possibly or even remotely
> possibly detected is to listen from a distance...like your home or office,
> or at least don't butt in.
>
> IMHO
>
> harry
>
> "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
> news:ujv64u0vh9qij3p8iqthahjktlffu37crh@4ax.com...
> > I live in Michigan and it's a 500$ fine here to drive around with a
> > radio scanner in your car, I was wondering if they were detecting or
> > trying to detect the use of them in vehicles.
> >
> >
> > On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 18:53:02 -0500, "William Ferguson"
> > <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >it is very possible to detect scanners which don't have good shielding
> (ie.
> > >a metal case) No one does it though because scanners are legal
> > >
> > >"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >news:LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > >> Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it
is
> > >> illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect
them.
> > >>
> > >> It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they
> will
> > >> seize the detector and give you a fine.
> > >>
> > >> --
> > >> Brad.
> > >> FeeD_BaK
> > >>
> > >> "Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
> > >> news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > >> | first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of
> other
> > >> | things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard
of
> > >them

> > >> | being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal
here
> in
> > >> | Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3
provinces
> > >that
> > >> | have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the
other
> > >> ones.
> > >> | It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British
> Columbia,
> > >> | Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
> > >> |
> > >> | Dev
> > >> |
> > >> | FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >> | news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > >> | > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not.
> Since a
> > >> | > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin
> with, I
> > >> | > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
> > >> | >
> > >> | > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but
> that
> > >is
> > >> | > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
> > >> | >
> > >> | > --
> > >> | > Brad.
> > >> | > FeeD_BaK
> > >> | > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
> > >> | > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> > >> | > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle?
I
> > >own
> > >> | > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and
> forth
> > >to
> > >> | > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was
working
> in
> > >> | > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could
> detect
> > >> | > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with
> you.
> > >> | > | Is this all hogwash or what?
> > >> | > |
> > >> | >
> > >> | >

> > >> |
> > >> |
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> >
>
>

918. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 21:36:27 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <wlm18.54471$V55.3527303@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53889

Um, what if the "first" radio isn't scanning? If it is on manual, it
wouldn't make those barely
audible clicks. Lots of times when a scanner enthusiast (not suggesting
that they do this) comes to a scene, he would lock onto the "tac" frequency
in use, wouldn't he?

Jerry
John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no> wrote in message
news:Bn218.6107$N77.51481@news.world-online.no...
> Police CAN (In theory) detect your scanner!
>
> If you tune an ordinary FM radio to ex. 99.8mhz and take another FM radio
> and tune it fast up and down over this frequency you`ll hear the first
radio
> go click.click,click..
> (Youll have to be very close though..)
>
> I used this trick in my youth to irritate my sister when she taped songs
> from the radio.. She tuned in on 93.4 mhz and taped this very annoying AHA
> song.. In the meantime i (the devil brother) was in the room besides
hugging
> the wall and tuning like hell!..
>
> "MMMOOOMMMMMYYYYY!!!" hehehehehe!
>
> So all you need is a highly sensitive reciever that can listen in on
veeeery
> many frequencies at the same time and a directional aerial. As they close
in
> on the scanner they will hear these little *clicks* over the frequencys
that
> the scanner scans and tune you in using the antenna..
> (In theory)
>
> Though i dont think the police would bother with this..
>
> "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> skrev i melding
> news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> > Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I own
> > a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth to
> > work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
> > the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
> > whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
> > Is this all hogwash or what?

> >
>
>

919. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 21:43:24 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <0um18.7138$0g.181430@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 53888

Robert D. Baker <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote:

> Harris wrote in message ...

> >First off, insects have antennae. In radio we use antennas.

> Sheesh, I really wish you spelling/grammar lamers would at least
> pick up a dictionary before you spout off.
> Either form of the plural is acceptable.

In the engineering and electronics world, the word "antennae" is not used.
I'm just trying to help a newbie.

920. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 21:46:07 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zwm18.2900$HY1.112478@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53890

In article <3C45D634.EAFE9F8D@worldnet.att.net>,
henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> Glenn wrote:
> >
> > In article <3C4535BE.4F5E0CEC@worldnet.att.net>,
> > henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> > > Glenn wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > There is a difference between paranoid and being vigilant.
> > > >
> > > > You haven't shown it.
> > >
> > > You have.
> >
> > Where I have shown any paranoia?
>
> You keep bringing it up.
>
> > > > > > You have more rights now than you ever did.
> > > > >
> > > > > Baloney.
> > > >
> > > > Start with Miranda and go on from there. Compare the case load of the
> > > > ACLU and it's impact on the judicial system.
> > >
> > > That won't show the complete picture.
> >
> > Oh, I see. Miranda was just a little blip on the human rights radar in
> > this country.
>
> I never said that. Quit putting your words in my mouth.
>
> > Before Miranda the police everywhere treated people the same they do pre-Miranda.
>
> Miranda didn't just come out of someone's ass. Read the history behind
> Miranda first, then engage your mouth. Law enforcement sure didn't offer
> Miranda to us law abiding citizens out of kindness and fairness. We got
> Miranda because of police abuse.
>
> > Especially minorities. Tell me about it Norm.
>
> What do you want to know about minorities.
>
> > > The entire U.S. population has

> > > slowly been loosing their rights since the 60's, and they never went to
> > > court. They lost them by accepting regulations and laws in the name of
> > > "drug control", "the children", "environment", etc., etc., etc.
> >
> > How do you have less rights with drug control?
>
> What a bozo. Search and seizure, asset forfeiture laws for starters.
>
> > The children, yep, they can't work in factories, you can't beat
> > them,etc., etc., etc. What other rights are you speaking of children
> > have loss?
>
> I'm not saying children lost rights. I'm saying we lost rights in the
> name of children. Remember your buddy Slick Willie?
>
> > Environment, yep you can't dump dangerous chemicals anyplace you like,
> > you can't put workers in dangerous environments and risk their lives.
> > There are certain chemicals you can't put on food or I the air etc.,
> > etc., etc. Which rights here are you talking about?
>
> Pretty disingenuous. For starters, how about not washing you car in your
> own driveway, children suing their parents for child abuse, prohibiting
> children form saying the pledge of allegiance at school, nativity scenes
> prohibited at the town square, Santa Clause prohibited from showing up
> at the town parade, wetlands rules enforced buy the federal government,
> excessive use of the eminent domain statutes, U.S Governments stealing
> of property under the asset forfeiture law, etc., etc., etc.
>
> > > > Look at the rights given minorities and minors.
> > >
> > > At whose expense?
> >
> > Bigots and racists I would suspect. Why do you have a problem with
> > minorities and minors have the same rights as you Norm?
>
> I don't.
>
> > > > You on the other hand use a terrorist being arrested by the cops for
> > > > lying to the police about having a aircraft HT, lying to the police
> > > > about what he was doing and who he was is an erosion of our rights.
> > >
> > > > He wasn't even a citizen of this country yet you se it as an erosion of our rights?
> > >
> > > 1. He was here legally.
> >
> > Yes but there you end. Did he have the right to lie to investigators?
>
> He had no obligation to help the police make his arrest.
>
> > What right did he lose here Norm?

>
> 1st, 4th and 5th amendment?
>
> > You seem to love cliches and one liners but come up really short on facts.
>
> Pay attention.
>
> > > 2. The act of 19 terrorists has taken away and in other instances
> > > severely limited our rights, including an authorization of the military
> > > to shoot down civilian aircraft. Something they've wanted for the last
> > > 10 years.
> >
> > Who is this mysterious "they" that have been fighting to get authorization of the
military to
> > shoot down civilian aircraft?
>
> The U.S. military.
>
> > Last 10 years?
>
> At least that long.
>
> > I don't recall debate or controversy during Ronnie Rayguns last
> > years to do this. Refresh me with some actual details of the facts
> > Norm.
>
> Do some research on the proposed Federal Shoot Down Law. Call A.O.P.A
> for starters. Just because you don't recall doesn't make it false.
>
> > > 3. Because of the terrorists, we will loose more rights, in the name of
> > > terrorism, security, etc., etc.
> >
> > So what are you saying Norm? We need to pull airport security?
>
> No. Do airport security in a way that will solve the problem. So far,
> it's all window washing.
>
> > Back off on the borders?
>
> No. That's shutting the barn door after the horse has left, isn't it?
>
> > We shouldn't hassle the people connected to these 19 terrorists because we infringe
upon their rights?
>
> I have not advocated hassling anybody.
>
> > Do I understand you correctly?
>
> Doesn't sound like it.
>

> > Do you believe this country is at risk from an outside terrorist,
>
> Yes.
>
> > you did hear about WTC didn't you?
>
> Yep. First hand.
>
> > > Using your logic, the acts of these terrorists should not affect our
> > > rights, but the fact is they do, and will continue to do so.
> >
> > Don't put words in my mouth Norm.
>
> They were your words, Glenn.
>
> > The acts of these terrorist will and SHOULD affect our rights.
>
> In a positive manner. Taking away our rights in the name of national
> security is wrong.
>
> > That happens during war time or right after the moment of realization you have done a
piss
> > poor job of national security.
>
> Short term loss, not permanently.
>
> > > The only one hollering "The sky is falling! The sky is falling!", is
> > > you, and other liberal left wing whacko's.
> >
> > That makes no sense. Because I don't agree with your alarmist chicken
> > little routine I am a left wing whacko?
>
> You keep hollering chicken little and "the sky is falling", not me.
>
> > Because the country with its democratic process has done something you don't like we
are all
> > whacko's taking your rights away.
>
> Yes.
>
> > Got a news flash for you Norm. You seem to be the minority here.
>
> Got a news flash for you Glenn. You won't determine that.
>
> > This isn't a dictatorship, monarchy but a democracy. If you don't like it
> > get out and change it.
>
> I'm trying. It's left wing whacko's like you that I have to overcome.
> And I will.
>

> > Problem for you is "since" the 60's most people have quit listening to your
McCarthy-like
> > paranoid dribble.
>
> Spoken like a true left wing whacko.
>
> > So in closing Norm.
>
> Oh good, you're done.
>
> > I and most Americans will shed not a tear for you
> > poor little Egyptian hero with air traffic experience who had a
> > aircraft transceiver in a hotel near the WTC and lied to the police
> > about it.
>
> Neither will I. But I will question the process. Keeps people like you
> from stealing more rights from people like me.
>
> > Go ahead and put him on your pedestal and cry and sob how his rights were infringed.
>
> How did you come to this moronic conclusion? Just what are you afraid
> of? The truth?
>
> > That is your right. Tell us again he should have walked and not be arrested.
>
> I never said that. Nice try, but quit putting your words in my mouth.
>
> > You right Norm.
>
> Absolutely.
>
> > Then in the same breath call me a whacko.
>
> Have you been paying attention? I have called you a whacko, more than
> once.
>
> > Still your right.
>
> Agreed.
>
> > You are wrong
>
> You told me twice that I was right. Are you still confused?
>
> > but its your right and possibly your destiny to be wrong.
>
> It's my right and destiny to question things I believe to be wrong.
>

Yawn.

921. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 22:50:55 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C4603C9.DB0F8998@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53892

Glenn wrote:

> Yawn.

That's the first intelligent expression from you that you've had.

922. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 23:30:00 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0201161530.2fb5535@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53893

Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:<k30a4uots8umtfoonv3671aevdrnbt9s3q@4ax.com>...
>
> For maximum signal into your radio, you need at least RG213,
> and Belden 9914 or LMR-400 is even better.
>

A better suggestion would be to use a good quality RG-6 cable if it is
for scanning only.

Randy

923. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 23:35:55 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v7o18.33$D43.12075@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53894

In article <3C4603C9.DB0F8998@worldnet.att.net>,
henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Yawn.
>
> That's the first intelligent expression from you that you've had.

Public education system?

Regardless, now the score is:

Glenn 1

Norm 0

Whoops sorry Norm. Give me another great retort and I'll let you have
the last word.

Glenn

924. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 23:38:06 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <z9o18.34$D43.12752@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53895

In article <b03b87e2.0201161530.2fb5535@posting.google.com>,
randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com says...
> Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:<k30a4uots8umtfoonv3671aevdrnbt9s3q@4ax.com>...
> >
> > For maximum signal into your radio, you need at least RG213,
> > and Belden 9914 or LMR-400 is even better.
> >
>
> A better suggestion would be to use a good quality RG-6 cable if it is
> for scanning only.

Yep and make it quad shield.

Glenn

925. FA: Bearcat BC890XLT w/ CTCSS Decode....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 23:39:07 UTC
From: Sean Gilligan <gilligan295@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vao18.57993$Zh1.10422423@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53896

200 channel scanner, CTCSS decode, base-station type.
With box and power cord. Check out the auction for more
info. Ends in a few days, currently at $100.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1322428433

926. No action in belle chasse, La!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-16 23:45:36 UTC
From: robbie <robbied@cmaaccessnospam.com>
Message-ID: <3c46162c$0$20793@fountain.mindlink.net>
Source record: 53797

Just wondering if anyone has an answer. Scanned all freqs (from 2002 police call)assigned for police use, also all trunked freqs assigned to the local parish for a week and haven't heard anything that sounds like police traffic. Just public works calls mostly. Could they be transmitting on something not listed?

927. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 00:10:28 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <kf5c4uk9au43trdct2tm0k8d951mokqhlm@4ax.com>
Source record: 53798

While nibbling on last years fruitcake, randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A. Hefner) grunted out

>Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:<k30a4uots8umtfoonv3671aevdrnbt9s3q@4ax.com>...
>>
>> For maximum signal into your radio, you need at least RG213,
>> and Belden 9914 or LMR-400 is even better.
>>
>
>A better suggestion would be to use a good quality RG-6 cable if it is
>for scanning only.

RG-6 is merely adequate, what I recommended is what you need
for the MAXIMUM signal into the radio.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

928. Where to mount scanner in 2000 Ford Explorer Sport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 00:19:30 UTC
From: Terry Begley <tbegley@home.com>
Message-ID: <r7ob4us43ftk5jhhmh083r7cepm4a8tg2a@4ax.com>
Source record: 53799

Looking for a place to mount my scanner, a Uniden BC 760 XLT, in a 2000 Ford Explorer Sport. The vehicle has a full console (can't block the cup holders), can't install it on the right of the console (passenger seat slides forward) and can't mount it on the back of the console (radio and temp controls). My leg would hit if I mount it on the left of the console. Ceiling is out as it has a small console and a moonroof. I may be able to mount it to the left, under the steering wheel, but that blocks the plug for the computer diagnostics. Anyone mounted a scanner in an Explorer like this? Looking for suggestions, thanks.

929. Using U.S scanner online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 00:40:02 UTC
From: dogmarty@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020116194002.25512.00002475@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 53801

This is an indirect "scanning question". There is a website called US SCANNER ONLINE. You can "read" the magazine...past and present by downloading it.

Only problem..it comes zipped...when I open it it creates multiple files on my c drive...creating a mess of files! I can read it...but I just gotta think all these files that get created...must be wrong! Can anyone who uses this...walk me thru the ...easy way to read the US SCANNER ONLINE? Seems to contain some nice information!

Thank You

930. FA:.Yaesu VR-120 Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 00:41:07 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201161641.2796af4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53800

For a sale item on Ebay:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1321653027

931. Re: Where to mount scanner in 2000 Ford Explorer Sport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 00:48:59 UTC
From: rmgould <rmgould@doitpc.net>
Message-ID: <3c4621c0@news.vigoris.net>
Source record: 53802

"Terry Begley" <tbegley@home.com> wrote in message
news:r7ob4us43ftk5jhhmh083r7cepm4a8tg2a@4ax.com...
>
> Looking for a place to mount my scanner, a Uniden BC 760 XLT, in a
> 2000 Ford Explorer Sport. The vehicle has a full console (can't block
> the cup holders), can't install it on the right of the console
> (passenger seat slides forward) and can't mount it on the back of the
> console (radio and temp controls). My leg would hit if I mount it on
> the left of the console. Ceiling is out as it has a small console and
> a moonroof. I may be able to mount it to the left, under the steering
> wheel, but that blocks the plug for the computer diagnostics. Anyone
> mounted a scanner in an Explorer like this? Looking for suggestions,
> thanks.
Terry,
With my ham radio, I velcro tape on my dash borad and also put it on the
radio mount and then ran the wires to the fuse box, hiding them under the
dash board and using a tyewrap around the steering colum.
That way I can move the radio so it won't be seen when I am parked some
where, also I can unhook the wires and unscrew the cable.
All you see is the velcro and some wires. It took me about 10 min to
complete the job
Hopes this helps.

Rich N9SAV

932. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 01:16:52 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C4625FB.F2ACFC8B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53803

Glenn wrote:
>
> In article <3C4603C9.DB0F8998@worldnet.att.net>,
> henmel@worldnet.att.net says...
> > Glenn wrote:
> >
> > > Yawn.
> >
> > That's the first intelligent expression from you that you've had.
>
> Public education system?

I don't know, by your spelling and lack of subject manner, i guess you
didn't you make it through the 4th grade.

> Regardless, now the score is:
>
> Glenn 0
>
> Norm 10
>
> Whoops sorry Norm. Give me another great retort and I'll let you have
> the last word.
>
> Glenn

Stop embarrassing yourself. As my grandpa said, "Never wrestle with the
pigs. You only get dirty, and they love it. Keep them coming Glenn, I'm
enjoying smacking you around this NG.

You're dismissed.

Norm

933. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 01:25:07 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6Ip18.55338$V55.3671463@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

Being a retired railroader, this thread just reminds me of all those
railfans we used to see hanging around the ROW all ga-ga eyed over a
freight train. They were easy to spot: striped
overalls, striped cap, red bandanna, and fake "railroad" pocket watch (the
kind with the steam
train picture on the back). I swear, it was as if some of them would start
foaming at the mouth
at the sight of a train! LOL! Usually, we would answer their questions
politely, and then snicker
at them behind their backs and call them names. Like "train queers" and
"National Railway Hysterical Society" because they got so beside themselves
at the sight of a train. And, of course, they hung on every word that came
from their requisite scanners hanging on their sides
as if to copy those of us who had to carry the things with us. Ya oughta try
crossing thru a cut
of cars with one of those old Motorola "lunchbox" radios we had in the '70s
with the handset
on top--along with lantern and switchlist. And, oh boy, those guys just HAD
to tell us how to
do our jobs according to the gospel of Trains Magazine. Again, they were
treated politely in
person and avoided if we saw them FIRST! And, Lordy! Heaven forbid there
come a steam
excursion to town (prior to their abolishment)! One time, NW 611 was in
town and it was nec-
essary to turn it around on the wye. There must be some sort of "radar"
that tells fans that the
company was about to move a steam engine because when the engine backed down
the wye,
people appeared out of the woodwork. Now, the track supervisor has another
problem. Here
he is, walking 611 around the tight radius and watching to see that 611
doesn't go on the ground, or spread the gauge, signing the engineer slowly
back, and he's got a whole "crew" of
wanna-be, striped capped, scanner-totin' geeks standing on the rails in the
way with flash bulbs
goin a mile a minute! Now, I can understand how this would be, not only
aggravating, but dan-
gerous, so this track supervisor in no uncertain terms let loose a vitreolic
string of obscenities
telling those blanketty-blank, f******, sunufab******, GD, bastards to get
the hell off his right

of way before he has the dicks to haul 'em off. The engine had to stop
while these people were
cleared off the ROW. When the move resumed, the engine wouldn't handle the
curve AND the
slight upgrade from a standing start, so it proceeds to slip down sand not
withstanding and pro-
voking another diatribe about railfans! There is something about a steam
engine slipping its
drivers that brings out the worst, most maudlin behavior amongst what I call
the militant railfan,
or the rabid fan. We learned that a railfan would KILL you if you get in
the way of their quest
to get that episode on film or tape. It then became necessary to have a yard
switcher to reach in
and finish the move. All because of those "pesky" fans. LOL! I mean no
harm and I am not
a fan-the railroad provided me a good living and a decent pension and I
never could understand
the often obsessive interest people have about trains. However, I do know
that familiarity often
breeds contempt, and being out on the yard on the third trick while railfans
came to watch the
Amtrak train at 3 in the morning did nothing to increase one's admiration
for railfans! LMAO!

Jerry

John McCoyigopogo@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3c44e797.437912591@enews.newsguy.com...
> On 15 Jan 2002 05:41:52 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:
>
> >True. The track bending is less o a problem in some areas than in
> >others, though,. And even there, a limitation on range here seem to me
> >a blessing as cells are designed. My thought is that the cost
> >effectiveness of such a system comes down to the cost of the
> >individual cells... and that's one question I've not got the answer
> >to. I can't imagine that the cost factors would be similar to that of
> >say a higher power VHF system. Indeed, I could see the costs on such
> >things being along the lines of the cost of a cell phone, once unit
> >volume becomes a factor.
>
> Well, you've just hit on another issue with designing a radio system
> for RR use - the extremely low traffic density on a typical piece of
> railroad. A normal radio system will have many users per site - a
> loading of perhaps 40 users per site would be in the ballpark. A
> railroad might have 2 (at VHF. If it were at 800 MHz, the number of
> users per site would be fractional)(*). For a normal site, the cost of
the
> stations is low in comparison to the cost of the subscribers. For the
> RR, it's the opposite, so it's desirable that the system minimize the
> number of sites needed.

>
> >Now, in all of this, I've been considering the east, and it's type of
> >terrain, and it's frequency congestion needs. You rightly point out
> >that the west is a vastly different sitaution terrain wise, and I
> >would add, radio needs wise.
>
> There's another issue: railroad equipment moves all across the country
> (and into Canada & Mexico). The radio in the locomotive has to work
> everywhere, so the radio system design has to be suitable for anywhere
> on the continent.
>
> John
>
> (* obviously in railroad yards the situation is reversed, but that makes
> up a fairly small percentage of RR mileage).
>

934. Scanner frequencies for Allegan, Barry, Branch, Calhoun, Cass, Kalamazoo Saint Joseph, Van Buren Counties, Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 01:34:26 UTC
From: 813 779 <sandiemac@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CSp18.474$Aj.37304@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53806
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanner frequencies for
Allegan, Barry, Branch, Calhoun, Cass, Kalamazoo,
Saint Joseph, Van Buren Counties, and State of Michigan.
800x600 resolution or better is necessary.

Listing by County, then by Frequency.

0.5300 HOLLAND, CITY OF PL HOLLAND ALLEGAN
33.0800 ALLEGAN PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
43.7600 INTERURBAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY LI OTSEGO ALLEGAN
45.9600 PLAINWELL COMMUNITY SCHOOLS PS PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
46.0400 MAIN, KENNETH PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
47.5000 HOPKINS PUBLIC SCHOOL PS HOPKINS ALLEGAN
47.5800 WAYLAND UNION SCHOOLS PS WAYLAND ALLEGAN
150.8150 RAYS SERVICE CENTER LA FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
150.8750 MERLS TOWING SER INC LA ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
150.8825 MERLS TOWING SER INC LA ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
151.0400 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PH MOLINE ALLEGAN
151.4000 CARLTON, TOWNSHIP OF PL WAYLAND ALLEGAN
151.4000 WAYLAND, CITY OF PL WAYLAND ALLEGAN
153.8300 GUN PLAIN, TOWNSHIP OF PF PLAIN ALLEGAN
153.8300 HEATH, TOWNSHIP OF PF OTSEGO ALLEGAN
153.8300 LEIGHTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF MOLINE ALLEGAN
153.8300 WAYLAND, CITY OF PF WAYLAND ALLEGAN
153.8900 ALLEGAN, CITY OF PF ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
153.8900 CASCO, TOWNSHIP OF PF SOUTH HAVEN ALLEGAN
153.8900 CLYDE, TOWNSHIP OF PF FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
153.8900 DORR, TOWNSHIP OF PF DORR ALLEGAN
153.8900 FENNVILLE, CITY OF PF FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
153.8900 GANGES, TOWNSHIP OF PF FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
153.8900 GUN PLAIN, TOWNSHIP OF PF PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
153.8900 HAMILTON FIRE DEPARTMENT PF HAMILTON ALLEGAN
153.8900 LEE, TOWNSHIP OF PF PULLMAN ALLEGAN
153.8900 LEIGHTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF MOLINE ALLEGAN
153.8900 MARTIN, TOWNSHIP OF PF MARTIN ALLEGAN
153.8900 OTSEGO, CITY OF PF OTSEGO ALLEGAN
153.8900 OTSEGO, CITY OF PF OTSEGO ALLEGAN
153.8900 PLAINWELL, CITY OF PF PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
153.8900 PLAINWELL, CITY OF PF PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
153.8900 SALEM, TOWNSHIP OF PF PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
153.8900 SAUGATUCK TOWNSHIP FIRE DIST PF SAUGATUCK ALLEGAN
153.8900 WAYLAND, CITY OF PF WAYLAND ALLEGAN
153.9050 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN

154.1750 GUN PLAIN, TOWNSHIP OF PF PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
154.1750 HEATH, TOWNSHIP OF PF ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
154.1750 LEIGHTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF WAYLAND ALLEGAN
154.1750 WAYLAND, CITY OF PF WAYLAND ALLEGAN
154.2200 ALLEGAN, CITY OF PF ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
154.2950 CASCO, TOWNSHIP OF PF SOUTH HAVEN ALLEGAN
154.2950 DORR, TOWNSHIP OF PF DORR ALLEGAN
154.2950 FENNVILLE, CITY OF PF FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
154.2950 GANGES, TOWNSHIP OF PF FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
154.2950 GUN PLAIN, TOWNSHIP OF PF PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
154.2950 LEE, TOWNSHIP OF PF PULLMAN ALLEGAN
154.2950 LEIGHTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF MOLINE ALLEGAN
154.2950 WAYLAND, CITY OF PF WAYLAND ALLEGAN
154.3250 ALLEGAN, CITY OF PF ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
154.3250 GRAAFSCHAP, TOWN OF PF HOLLAND ALLEGAN
154.3250 GUN PLAIN, TOWNSHIP OF PF PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
154.3250 HAMILTON FIRE DEPARTMENT PF HAMILTON ALLEGAN
154.3250 HOPKINS, VILLAGE OF PF HOPKINS ALLEGAN
154.3250 LEIGHTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF MOLINE ALLEGAN
154.3250 MARTIN, TOWNSHIP OF PF MARTIN ALLEGAN
154.3250 WAYLAND, CITY OF PF WAYLAND ALLEGAN
154.6500 ALLEGAN, CITY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
154.6500 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
154.6500 FENNVILLE, CITY OF PP FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
154.6500 PLAINWELL, CITY OF PP PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
154.9950 KALAMAZOO LAKE SEWER AUTHORITY PL SAUGATUCK ALLEGAN
155.0250 MANLIUS, TOWNSHIP OF PL FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
155.0250 MANLIUS, TOWNSHIP OF PL FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
155.0400 OTSEGO, CITY OF PL OTSEGO ALLEGAN
155.2050 ALLEGAN AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.2050 ALLEGAN EMERGENCY MEDL SER INC PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.2200 FENNVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
155.2350 WAYLAND AMBULANCE PS WAYLAND ALLEGAN
155.2650 SAUGATUCK PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS DOUGLAS ALLEGAN
155.2800 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.2950 HAMILTON COMMUNITY SCHOOLS PS HAMILTON ALLEGAN
155.3400 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.3700 ALLEGAN, CITY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.3700 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.3700 FENNVILLE, CITY OF PP FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
155.3700 OTSEGO, CITY OF PP OTSEGO ALLEGAN
155.3700 PLAINWELL, CITY OF PP PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
155.5500 ALLEGAN, CITY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.5500 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.5500 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.5500 FENNVILLE, CITY OF PP FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
155.5500 FENNVILLE, CITY OF PP FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
155.5500 OTSEGO, CITY OF PP OTSEGO ALLEGAN
155.5500 PLAINWELL, CITY OF PP PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
155.6400 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN

155.6400 PLAINWELL, CITY OF PP PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
155.8200 ALLEGAN, CITY OF PL ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
155.8200 FENNVILLE, CITY OF PL FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
155.8200 FENNVILLE, CITY OF PL FENNVILLE ALLEGAN
155.8500 HOLLAND, CITY OF PP HOLLAND ALLEGAN
155.8950 PLAINWELL, CITY OF PL PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
155.9400 HOLLAND, CITY OF PL HOLLAND ALLEGAN
156.1500 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
156.1650 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PH ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
157.4775 MERLS TOWING SERVICE INC LA ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
158.9250 SAUGATUCK, CITY OF PL SAUGATUCK ALLEGAN
158.9700 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
159.0150 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PH ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
159.2700 CARLTON, TOWNSHIP OF PL WAYLAND ALLEGAN
159.2700 WAYLAND, CITY OF PL WAYLAND ALLEGAN
159.6750 HOLLAND MOTOR EXPRESS INC LV HOLLAND ALLEGAN
159.7050 HOLLAND MOTOR EXPRESS INC LV HOLLAND ALLEGAN
159.7500 HOLLAND MOTOR EXPRESS LV HOLLAND ALLEGAN
160.0200 HOLLAND MOTOR EXPRESS INC LV HOLLAND ALLEGAN
160.0800 HOLLAND MOTOR EXPRESS INC LV HOLLAND ALLEGAN
160.0950 RADIO TRANSFER EXPEDITERS LJ MONTEREY TWP ALLEGAN
160.2300 CSX TRANSPORTATION INC LR EAST SAUGATUCK ALLEGAN
160.3200 CSX TRANSPORTATION INC LR EAST SAUGATUCK ALLEGAN
160.7100 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS C LR PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
160.7850 CSX TRANSPORTATION INC LR EAST SAUGATUCK ALLEGAN
160.8000 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS CO LR MOLINE ALLEGAN
161.0700 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS CO LR PLAINWELL ALLEGAN
161.1300 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS CO LR MOLINE ALLEGAN
452.2500 YELLOW CAB COMPANY LX MOLINE ALLEGAN
452.4500 INDIAN TRAILS INCORPORATED LI MOLINE ALLEGAN
452.7000 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ MONTEREY ALLEGAN
452.7500 WOLVERINE DISPATCH INC LJ MOLINE ALLEGAN
452.8500 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF LI ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
453.1500 HOLLAND, CITY OF PL HOLLAND ALLEGAN
453.1875 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PL ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
457.0500 YELLOW CAB COMPANY LX MOLINE ALLEGAN
457.2500 YELLOW CAB COMPANY LX MOLINE ALLEGAN
457.3500 GANGES, TOWNSHIP OF PF SOUTH HAVEN ALLEGAN
457.4500 INDIAN TRAILS INCORPORATED LI MOLINE ALLEGAN
457.7000 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ MONTEREY ALLEGAN
457.7500 WOLVERINE DISPATCH INC LJ MOLINE ALLEGAN
457.8500 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF LI ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
457.8500 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF LI ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
458.0250 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
458.0750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
458.1250 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
458.1750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
460.5250 BLESSED SACRAMENT SCHOOL PS MOLINE ALLEGAN
460.5250 HUDSONVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS MOLINE ALLEGAN
462.9500 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN

462.9500 MERCY SERVICES INC PM HAMILTON ALLEGAN
462.9750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
462.9750 MERCY SERVICES INC PM HAMILTON ALLEGAN
463.0000 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
463.0250 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
463.0500 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
463.0750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
463.1000 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
463.1000 HOLLAND COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS HOLLAND ALLEGAN
463.1250 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
463.1500 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
463.1750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
465.5250 BLESSED SACRAMENT SCHOOL PS MOLINE ALLEGAN
465.5250 HUDSONVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS MOLINE ALLEGAN
467.9500 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
467.9500 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
467.9500 MERCY SERVICES INC PM HAMILTON ALLEGAN
467.9750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
467.9750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
467.9750 MERCY SERVICES INC PM HAMILTON ALLEGAN
468.0000 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
468.0250 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
468.0500 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
468.0750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
468.1000 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
468.1000 HOLLAND COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS HOLLAND ALLEGAN
468.1250 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
468.1250 HOLLAND COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS HOLLAND ALLEGAN
468.1500 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
468.1500 HOLLAND COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS HOLLAND ALLEGAN
468.1750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
468.1750 ALLEGAN GENERAL HOSPITAL PS ALLEGAN ALLEGAN
468.1750 HOLLAND COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS HOLLAND ALLEGAN
957.8000 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP PULLMAN ALLEGAN
958.4000 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP NEW RICHMOND ALLEGAN
959.0000 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP OTSEGO ALLEGAN
959.3000 ALLEGAN, COUNTY OF PP WAYLAND ALLEGAN
46.5800 HASTINGS, CITY OF PL HASTINGS BARRY
150.8450 DANIELS USED CARS LA DELTON BARRY
151.3550 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
153.8900 BARRY COUNTY E 911 CENTRAL DISP PF ASSYRIA BARRY
153.8900 BARRY COUNTY E 911 CENTRAL DISP PF DELTON BARRY
153.8900 BARRY COUNTY E 911 CENTRAL DISP PF HASTINGS BARRY
153.8900 BARRY COUNTY E 911 CENTRAL DISP PF MIDDLEVILLE BARRY
153.8900 BARRY COUNTY E 911 CENTRAL DISP PF WOODLAND BARRY
153.8900 BARRY PRAIRIEVILLE HOPE FIRE PF DELTON BARRY
153.8900 BARRY, TOWNSHIP OF PF HICKORY CORNERS BARRY
153.8900 FREEPORT, VILLAGE OF PF FREEPORT BARRY
153.8900 HASTINGS, CITY OF PF HASTINGS BARRY
153.8900 HASTINGS, CITY OF PF HASTINGS BARRY

153.8900 JOHNSTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF BEDFORD BARRY
153.8900 JOHNSTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF BEDFORD BARRY
153.8900 MIDDLEVILLE, VILLAGE OF PF MIDDLEVILLE BARRY
153.8900 ORANGEVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF PF ORANGEVILLE BARRY
154.2350 BARRY COUNTY E 911 CENTRAL DISP PF HASTINGS BARRY
154.2950 FREEPORT, VILLAGE OF PF FREEPORT BARRY
154.2950 HASTINGS, CITY OF PF HASTINGS BARRY
154.2950 JOHNSTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF BEDFORD BARRY
154.2950 MIDDLEVILLE, VILLAGE OF PF MIDDLEVILLE BARRY
154.3850 HASTINGS, CITY OF PF HASTINGS BARRY
154.7100 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
155.1300 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
155.1600 LAKEWOOD COMMUNITY AMBULANCE PS WOODLAND BARRY
155.1750 DELTON KELLOGG SCHOOL PS DELTON BARRY
155.1750 HASTINGS AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT PS HASTINGS BARRY
155.2200 PENNOCK HOSPITAL PS HASTINGS BARRY
155.2650 PRAIRIEVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF PS DELTON BARRY
155.2800 LANSING MERCY AMBULANCE SERVICE PS HASTINGS BARRY
155.2800 PENNOCK HOSPITAL PS HASTINGS BARRY
155.2800 PRAIRIEVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF PS DELTON BARRY
155.2800 THORNAPPLE, TOWNSHIP OF PS HASTINGS BARRY
155.2800 VERMONTVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF PS VERMONTVILLE BARRY
155.3400 LAKEWOOD COMMUNITY AMBULANCE PS WOODLAND BARRY
155.3400 PENNOCK HOSPITAL PS HASTINGS BARRY
155.3400 PRAIRIEVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF PS DELTON BARRY
155.3400 THORNAPPLE, TOWNSHIP OF PS HASTINGS BARRY
155.3700 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
155.7000 PRAIRIEVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF PP DELTON BARRY
155.7600 SOUTHWEST BARRY CO SEWER AUTH PL DELTON BARRY
155.8050 MIDDLEVILLE, VILLAGE OF PL MIDDLEVILLE BARRY
155.8650 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
155.8800 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
156.0150 GUN LAKE AREA SEWER AUTHORITY PL SHELBYVILLE BARRY
156.1500 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
156.1500 HASTINGS, CITY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
156.1800 BARRY COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION PH HASTINGS BARRY
158.8050 SOUTHWEST BARRY CO SEWER AUTH PL DELTON BARRY
158.9100 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
159.0750 BARRY COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION PH HASTINGS BARRY
159.7950 ENDSLEY, GORDON LJ HASTINGS BARRY
452.4000 NASHVILLE, VILLAGE OF PL NASHVILLE BARRY
452.8875 BARRY, COUNTY OF LI HASTINGS BARRY
453.1375 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
453.1625 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
453.2000 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP DELTON BARRY
453.8000 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP WOODLAND BARRY
453.8250 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP MIDDLEVILLE BARRY
453.8750 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP ASSYRIA BARRY
453.9250 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP DELTON BARRY
456.5000 BARRY, COUNTY OF PL SHELBYVILLE BARRY

457.4500 BARRY, TOWNSHIP OF PF HICKORY CORNERS BARRY
457.8875 BARRY, COUNTY OF LI HASTINGS BARRY
458.1250 LAKEWOOD COMMUNITY AMBULANCE PS WOODLAND BARRY
458.1750 THORNAPPLE, TOWNSHIP OF PS HASTINGS BARRY
458.8000 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
458.8250 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
458.8750 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
458.9250 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP HASTINGS BARRY
460.2250 BARRY, COUNTY OF PP ASSYRIA BARRY
463.0875 HASTINGS AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT PS HASTINGS BARRY
33.7800 MONTGOMERY, VILLAGE OF PF MONTGOMERY BRANCH
150.8900 WARRENS 76 LA BRONSON BRANCH
153.7700 BRONSON, CITY OF PF BRONSON BRANCH
153.7700 COLDWATER, CITY OF PF COLDWATER BRANCH
153.7700 COLDWATER, CITY OF PF COLDWATER BRANCH
153.7700 LAKELAND VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPT PF COLDWATER BRANCH
153.7700 UNION CITY, VILLAGE OF PF UNION CITY BRANCH
153.7700 UNION CITY, VILLAGE OF PF UNION CITY BRANCH
154.0550 BRANCH, COUNTY OF PL COLDWATER BRANCH
154.0550 UNION CITY, VILLAGE OF PL UNION CITY BRANCH
154.0850 COLDWATER, CITY OF PL COLDWATER BRANCH
154.1000 BRONSON, CITY OF PL BRONSON BRANCH
154.1600 UNION CITY, VILLAGE OF PF UNION CITY BRANCH
154.2950 LAKELAND VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPT PF COLDWATER BRANCH
154.2950 MONTGOMERY, VILLAGE OF PF MONTGOMERY BRANCH
154.3400 MONTGOMERY, VILLAGE OF PF MONTGOMERY BRANCH
154.3850 COLDWATER, CITY OF PF COLDWATER BRANCH
154.4450 MONTGOMERY, VILLAGE OF PF MONTGOMERY BRANCH
154.7700 BRANCH, COUNTY OF PP COLDWATER BRANCH
155.2800 COMMUNITY HEALTH OF BRANCH CO PS COLDWATER BRANCH
155.3100 SHERWOOD, TOWNSHIP PP SHERWOOD BRANCH
155.3400 COMMUNITY HEALTH OF BRANCH CO PS COLDWATER BRANCH
155.3700 BRANCH, COUNTY OF PP COLDWATER BRANCH
155.3700 QUINCY, VILLAGE OF PP QUINCY BRANCH
155.3700 SHERWOOD, TOWNSHIP PP SHERWOOD BRANCH
155.3700 UNION CITY, VILLAGE OF PP UNION CITY BRANCH
155.5200 BRANCH, COUNTY OF PP COLDWATER BRANCH
155.5200 QUINCY, VILLAGE OF PP QUINCY BRANCH
155.5200 UNION CITY, VILLAGE OF PP UNION CITY BRANCH
155.6100 EDWARDSBURG, VILLAGE OF PP EDWARDSBURG BRANCH
155.8350 QUINCY, VILLAGE OF PL QUINCY BRANCH
155.8350 QUINCY, VILLAGE OF PL QUINCY BRANCH
155.8650 BRANCH, COUNTY OF PL COLDWATER BRANCH
155.9850 COLDWATER, CITY OF PL COLDWATER BRANCH
156.1800 BRANCH, COUNTY OF PH COLDWATER BRANCH
159.0750 BRANCH, COUNTY OF PH COLDWATER BRANCH
453.3500 BRONSON, CITY OF PP BRONSON BRANCH
954.3000 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PL TEKONSHA BRANCH
44.0600 CENTRAL TRANSPORT INC LJ BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
44.2200 SEILERS TANK TRUCK SERVICE LJ ALBION CALHOUN

45.2800 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
47.4200 AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
150.8300 TERRYS ROAD SERVICE INC LA BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
150.8450 ROCKYS TOWING SERVICE LA BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
150.8600 TRAMMELLS TOWING LA BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
150.8900 MC GHEES WRECKER SERVICE LA BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
152.2700 YELLOW CAB CO INC LX BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
152.3300 CITY CAB CO LX ALBION CALHOUN
152.4500 UNION CAB CO INC LX BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
153.8300 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PF BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
153.8750 TEKONSHA, VILLAGE OF PL TEKONSHA CALHOUN
153.8900 JOHNSTOWN, TOWNSHIP OF PF BEDFORD CALHOUN
153.8900 JOHNSTOWN, TOWNSHIP OF PF BEDFORD CALHOUN
153.9800 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
154.0400 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PL MARSHALL CALHOUN
154.1150 HOMER, VILLAGE OF PL HOMER CALHOUN
154.1600 ATHENS FIRE DEPARTMENT PF ATHENS CALHOUN
154.1600 BURLINGTON, VILLAGE OF PF BURLINGTON CALHOUN
154.1600 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PF MARSHALL CALHOUN
154.1600 HOMER, VILLAGE OF PF HOMER CALHOUN
154.1600 MARSHALL, CITY OF PF MARSHALL CALHOUN
154.1600 MARSHALL, CITY OF PF MARSHALL CALHOUN
154.1600 SHERIDAN ALBION, TOWNSHIP OF PF ALBION CALHOUN
154.1600 TEKONSHA, VILLAGE OF PF TEKONSHA CALHOUN
154.1600 TEKONSHA, VILLAGE OF PF TEKONSHA CALHOUN
154.2500 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PF BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
154.2950 ALBION, CITY OF PF ALBION CALHOUN
154.2950 ATHENS FIRE DEPARTMENT PF ATHENS CALHOUN
154.2950 JOHNSTOWN, TOWNSHIP OF PF BEDFORD CALHOUN
154.2950 MARSHALL, CITY OF PF MARSHALL CALHOUN
154.2950 SHERIDAN ALBION, TOWNSHIP OF PF ALBION CALHOUN
154.4000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PF BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
154.6500 ALBION, CITY OF PP ALBION CALHOUN
154.6500 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
154.7550 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
154.8150 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
154.8150 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
154.8600 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.1000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.1000 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PL MARSHALL CALHOUN
155.1600 ALBION AREA AMBULANCE SERVICE PS ALBION CALHOUN
155.1600 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.1600 CALHOUN COUNTY CIVIL DEFENSE PS MARSHALL CALHOUN
155.1600 EMMETT RESCUE SQUAD INC PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.1600 EMMETT RESCUE SQUAD INC PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.1600 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.1600 MARSHALL, CITY OF PS MARSHALL CALHOUN
155.1600 VETERANS ADMINISTRATION CENTER PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.2050 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.2050 BATTLE CREEK SCHOOL DISTRICT PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN

155.2200 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.2200 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.2350 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.2800 ALBION AREA AMBULANCE SERVICE PS ALBION CALHOUN
155.2800 BATTLE CREEK HEALTH SYSTEM PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.2800 EMMETT RESCUE SQUAD INC PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.2800 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.2800 OAKLAWN HOSPITAL PS MARSHALL CALHOUN
155.2800 VETERANS ADMINISTRATION CENTER PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.3400 ALBION AREA AMBULANCE SERVICE PM ALBION CALHOUN
155.3400 ALBION AREA AMBULANCE SERVICE PM ALBION CALHOUN
155.3400 BATTLE CREEK HEALTH SYSTEM PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.3400 BATTLE CREEK HEALTH SYSTEM PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.3400 EMMETT RESCUE SQUAD INC PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.3400 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.3400 OAKLAWN HOSPITAL PS MARSHALL CALHOUN
155.3400 VETERANS ADMINISTRATION CENTER PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.3700 ALBION, CITY OF PP ALBION CALHOUN
155.3700 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.4000 BATTLE CREEK HEALTH SYSTEM PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.4150 ALBION, CITY OF PP ALBION CALHOUN
155.4900 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.5350 ALBION, CITY OF PP ALBION CALHOUN
155.5350 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PP MARSHALL CALHOUN
155.6400 MARSHALL, CITY OF PP MARSHALL CALHOUN
155.6700 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.7450 SPPRINGFIELD, CITY OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
155.7600 ALBION, CITY OF PL ALBION CALHOUN
155.7600 MARSHALL, CITY OF PL MARSHALL CALHOUN
155.8650 ALBION, CITY OF PL ALBION CALHOUN
155.9700 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
156.0300 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
156.1050 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
156.1500 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
156.2400 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PH MARSHALL CALHOUN
157.4700 ZICKS BODY SHOP LA BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
157.4850 LARGES AUTO BODY LA BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
157.5150 ROCKYS SALES AND SERVICE LA BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
157.5300 YELLOW CAB CO INC LX BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
157.7100 UNION CAB CO INC LX BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
158.7750 ALBION, CITY OF PL ALBION CALHOUN
158.7900 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
158.8350 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PF BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
158.9100 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PP MARSHALL CALHOUN
158.9250 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PF BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
158.9550 BEDFORD, TOWNSHIP OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
158.9700 ALBION, CITY OF PP ALBION CALHOUN
159.0300 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
159.0600 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PH BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
159.2100 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN

160.2600 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.3500 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.4700 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.5000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.5300 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.5900 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.7100 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.7400 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.8000 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.8450 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.8750 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.9200 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
160.9500 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
161.0100 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
161.0400 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
161.0700 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
161.1300 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
161.2200 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
161.3400 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
161.4300 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
161.4900 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
161.5500 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
163.2500 BATTLE CREEK HEALTH SYSTEM PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
173.2375 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
451.0750 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
452.0500 BATTLE CREEK TAXI AND LIMO LX BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
452.4500 BARRY, TOWNSHIP OF PF BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
452.6250 MARSHALL, CITY OF LU MARSHALL CALHOUN
453.3250 ALBION HOUSING AUTHORITY PL ALBION CALHOUN
453.6000 EMMETT, TOWNSHIP OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
453.6500 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PH BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
453.6750 MARSHALL, CITY OF PL MARSHALL CALHOUN
453.9750 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
456.0750 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
457.0500 BATTLE CREEK TAXI AND LIMO LX BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
457.4250 WASTE MANAGEMENT OF MICHIGAN LJ BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
457.6250 MARSHALL, CITY OF LU MARSHALL CALHOUN
458.2875 HOMER, VILLAGE OF PL HOMER CALHOUN
458.3250 ALBION HOUSING AUTHORITY PL ALBION CALHOUN
458.6000 EMMETT, TOWNSHIP OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
458.6500 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PH BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
458.6750 MARSHALL, CITY OF PL MARSHALL CALHOUN
458.9750 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PL BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
463.0000 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
463.0250 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
463.0500 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
463.0750 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
463.1000 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
463.1250 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
463.1500 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN

463.1750 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
468.0000 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
468.0250 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
468.0500 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
468.0750 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
468.1000 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
468.1250 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
468.1500 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
468.1750 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
911.5000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
918.5000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
928.1563 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
952.1563 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
953.5500 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
955.2000 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PL ALBION CALHOUN
957.1500 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PL MARSHALL CALHOUN
957.9000 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PL MARSHALL CALHOUN
958.8000 CALHOUN, COUNTY OF PL MARSHALL CALHOUN
1965.0000 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2131.6000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2134.8000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2136.4000 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2138.0000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2139.6000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2142.8000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2146.0000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2181.6000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2186.4000 CALHOUN COUNTY MEDICAL CONTROL PS BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2188.0000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2192.8000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
2196.0000 BATTLE CREEK, CITY OF PP BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
6825.0000 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR BATTLE CREEK CALHOUN
45.9200 DOWAGIAC UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT PS DOWAGIAC CASS
45.9600 DOWAGIAC UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT PS DOWAGIAC CASS
47.4600 LEWIS CASS ISD PS CASSOPOLIS CASS
151.0550 CASS, COUNTY OF PH CASSOPOLIS CASS
153.8900 DOWAGIAC, CITY OF PF DOWAGIAC CASS
153.8900 EDWARDSBURG, VILLAGE OF PF EDWARDSBURG CASS
153.8900 INDIAN LAKE FIRE DEPARTMENT PF DOWAGIAC CASS
153.8900 MARCELLUS, VILLAGE OF PF MARCELLUS CASS
153.8900 PORTER, TOWNSHIP OF PF UNION CASS
154.0400 DOWAGIAC, CITY OF PL DOWAGIAC CASS
154.1150 HOWARD, TOWNSHIP OF PL NILES CASS
154.8300 CASS, COUNTY OF PP CASSOPOLIS CASS
155.2200 EDWARDSBURG SCHOOL DISTRICT PS EDWARDSBURG CASS
155.2350 MARCELLUS AMBULANCE SERVICE PS MARCELLUS CASS
155.3400 CASSOPOLIS AREA AMBULANCE PS CASSOPOLIS CASS
155.3400 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
155.3700 CASS, COUNTY OF PP CASSOPOLIS CASS
155.3700 DOWAGIAC, CITY OF PP DOWAGIAC CASS

155.3700 EDWARDSBURG, VILLAGE OF PP EDWARDSBURG CASS
155.5950 CASS, COUNTY OF PP CASSOPOLIS CASS
155.6100 DOWAGIAC, CITY OF PP DOWAGIAC CASS
155.6100 EDWARDSBURG, VILLAGE OF PP EDWARDSBURG CASS
155.7900 CASS, COUNTY OF PP CASSOPOLIS CASS
156.1200 CASS, COUNTY OF PH CASSOPOLIS CASS
158.7750 CASS, COUNTY OF PL CASSOPOLIS CASS
158.8650 MARCELLUS, VILLAGE OF PL MARCELLUS CASS
158.9550 HOWARD, TOWNSHIP OF PL NILES CASS
159.3450 SWISS VALLEY INC PS JONES CASS
160.5300 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR WAKELEE CASS
160.5900 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR JEFFERSON CENTERCASS
160.8450 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR WAKELEE CASS
160.9200 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR EDWARDSBURG CASS
160.9500 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR EDWARDSBURG CASS
163.2500 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
452.6250 DOWAGIAC, CITY OF LU DOWAGIAC CASS
452.7500 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ JONES CASS
452.8000 CASS COUNTY TRANSPORTATION LI CASSOPOLIS CASS
453.5000 MARCELLUS, VILLAGE OF PL MARCELLUS CASS
457.6250 DOWAGIAC, CITY OF LU DOWAGIAC CASS
457.7500 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ JONES CASS
457.8000 CASS COUNTY TRANSPORTATION LI CASSOPOLIS CASS
458.5000 MARCELLUS, VILLAGE OF PL MARCELLUS CASS
460.0500 CASS, COUNTY OF PP DOWAGIAC CASS
460.4750 CASS, COUNTY OF PP MARCELLUS CASS
462.9500 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
462.9750 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.0000 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.0000 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.0250 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.0250 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.0500 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.0500 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.0750 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.0750 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.1000 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.1000 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.1250 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.1250 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.1500 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.1500 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.1750 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
463.1750 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
465.0500 CASS, COUNTY OF PP EDWARDSBURG CASS
465.4750 CASS, COUNTY OF PP UNION CASS
467.9500 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
467.9750 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
468.0000 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
468.0250 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS

468.0500 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
468.0750 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
468.1000 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
468.1250 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
468.1500 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
468.1750 LEE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL PS DOWAGIAC CASS
911.5000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR WAKE LEE CASS
1875.0000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR WAKELEE CASS
1895.0000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR WAKELEE CASS
1975.0000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR EDWARDSBURG CASS
1985.0000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR EDWARDSBURG CASS
0.5300 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PL OSHTEMO KALAMAZOO
43.7200 AMBULANCE ENTERPRISES LI KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
43.8000 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF LI KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
47.4200 AMERICAN NATIONAL RED CROSS PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
150.8150 STEVES AUTO PARTS LA KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
150.8300 TERRYS ROAD SERVICE INC LA KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
150.8750 BIGELOWS OSHTEMO UNION 76 LA KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
150.9200 MERIT WRECKER SERVICE LA KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
152.0075 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
152.0075 ZELLER JR, CHARLES L PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
152.2700 KALAMAZOO YELLOW TAXICAB INC LX KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
152.3450 EXECUTIVE LIMOUSINE LX KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
152.4050 SERVICE CONCEPTS INC LX KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
153.7400 TEXAS, TOWNSHIP OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
153.7850 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
153.8000 PORTAGE, CITY OF PL PORTAGE KALAMAZOO
153.8300 PORTAGE, CITY OF PF PORTAGE KALAMAZOO
153.8450 COMSTOCK, TOWNSHIP OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
153.8900 ALAMO, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
153.9350 SCHOOLCRAFT, VILLAGE OF PL SCHOOLCRAFT KALAMAZOO
153.9500 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
153.9500 KALAMAZOO, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
153.9650 VICKSBURG, VILLAGE OF PL VICKSBURG KALAMAZOO
154.0100 PORTAGE, CITY OF PF PORTAGE KALAMAZOO
154.0700 WAKESHMA, TOWNSHIP OF PF FULTON KALAMAZOO
154.0850 RICHLAND, TOWNSHIP OF PL RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
154.1450 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.1600 WAKESHMA, TOWNSHIP OF PF FULTON KALAMAZOO
154.2800 WAKESHMA, TOWNSHIP OF PF FULTON KALAMAZOO
154.2950 CLIMAX, TOWNSHIP OF PF CLIMAX KALAMAZOO
154.2950 COMSTOCK, TOWNSHIP OF PF COMSTOCK KALAMAZOO
154.2950 SCHOOLCRAFT, VILLAGE OF PF SCHOOLCRAFT KALAMAZOO
154.3100 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.3550 COMSTOCK, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.3550 COMSTOCK, TOWNSHIP OF PF COMSTOCK KALAMAZOO
154.3550 KALAMAZOO, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.3550 OSHTEMO, TOWNSHIP OF PF OSHTEMO KALAMAZOO
154.3550 SCHOOLCRAFT, VILLAGE OF PF SCHOOLCRAFT KALAMAZOO
154.3550 TEXAS, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO

154.3550 TEXAS, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 ALAMO, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 ALAMO, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 CHARLESTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF GALESBURG KALAMAZOO
154.4300 CHARLESTON, TOWNSHIP OF PF GALESBURG KALAMAZOO
154.4300 CLIMAX, TOWNSHIP OF PF CLIMAX KALAMAZOO
154.4300 CLIMAX, TOWNSHIP OF PF CLIMAX KALAMAZOO
154.4300 COMSTOCK, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 COMSTOCK, TOWNSHIP OF PF COMSTOCK KALAMAZOO
154.4300 COOPER, CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 COOPER, CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 KALAMAZOO, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 OSHTEMO, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 OSHTEMO, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 PARCHMENT, CITY OF PF PARCHMENT KALAMAZOO
154.4300 PARCHMENT, CITY OF PF PARCHMENT KALAMAZOO
154.4300 PAVILION, TOWNSHIP OF PF SCOTTS KALAMAZOO
154.4300 PAVILION, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 PORTAGE, CITY OF PF PORTAGE KALAMAZOO
154.4300 RICHLAND, TOWNSHIP OF PF RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
154.4300 RICHLAND, TOWNSHIP OF PF RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
154.4300 ROSS, TOWNSHIP OF PF AUGUSTA KALAMAZOO
154.4300 SCHOOLCRAFT, VILLAGE OF PF SCHOOLCRAFT KALAMAZOO
154.4300 TEXAS, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 TEXAS, TOWNSHIP OF PF KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.4300 VICKSBURG, VILLAGE OF PF VICKSBURG KALAMAZOO
154.4300 VICKSBURG, VILLAGE OF PF VICKSBURG KALAMAZOO
154.4300 WAKESHMA, TOWNSHIP OF PF FULTON KALAMAZOO
154.7850 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.7850 WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.8450 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.8900 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.9500 KALAMAZOO, TOWNSHIP OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
154.9800 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.0550 COOPER CHARTER, TOWNSHIP OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.1150 PARCHMENT, CITY OF PL PARCHMENT KALAMAZOO
155.1450 VICKSBURG, VILLAGE OF PL VICKSBURG KALAMAZOO
155.1600 AMBULANCE ENTERPRISES PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.1600 AMBULANCE ENTERPRISES PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.1600 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.1600 KALAMAZOO COUNTY AMBULANCE PM GALESBURG KALAMAZOO
155.1600 PLAINWELL AMBULANCE PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.2050 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM AUGUSTA KALAMAZOO
155.2200 AMBULANCE ENTERPRISES PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.2500 KALAMAZOO, TOWNSHIP OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.2650 VICKSBURG COMMUNITY SCHOOLS PS VICKSBURG KALAMAZOO
155.2800 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.2800 COMSTOCK, TOWNSHIP OF PS COMSTOCK KALAMAZOO

155.2950 COMSTOCK PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS COMSTOCK KALAMAZOO
155.3400 AMBULANCE ENTERPRISES PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.3400 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.3400 BRONSON VICKSBURG HOSPITAL PS VICKSBURG KALAMAZOO
155.3400 KALAMAZOO COUNTY AMBULANCE PM GALESBURG KALAMAZOO
155.3700 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.3700 KALAMAZOO, TOWNSHIP OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.3700 PORTAGE, CITY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.4000 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.4300 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.6250 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.6250 PORTAGE, CITY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.7600 GULL LAKE SEWER AUTHORITY PL RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
155.7750 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.9100 PORTAGE, CITY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
155.9400 VICKSBURG, VILLAGE OF PL VICKSBURG KALAMAZOO
156.0600 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PH KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
157.5300 KALAMAZOO YELLOW TAXICAB INC LX KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
157.6050 EXECUTIVE LIMOUSINE LX KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
157.6650 SERVICE CONCEPTS INC LX KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
158.8050 GULL LAKE SEWER AUTHORITY PL RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
158.8500 PORTAGE, CITY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
158.8800 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
158.8950 VICKSBURG, VILLAGE OF PL VICKSBURG KALAMAZOO
158.9550 ROSS, TOWNSHIP OF PL AUGUSTA KALAMAZOO
159.0900 WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
159.1200 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PH KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
159.2850 PARCHMENT SCHOOLS PO PARCHMENT KALAMAZOO
159.6150 ROADWAY EXPRESS INC LV KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
159.7500 ANR FREIGHT SYSTEM INC LJ RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
159.9000 CENTRAL TRANSPORT INC LJ KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
160.0200 HOLLAND MOTOR EXPRESS INC LJ KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
160.0650 ROADWAY EXPRESS INC LV KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
160.5300 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR CHAMBERLAIN KALAMAZOO
160.5600 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
160.5900 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR CHAMBERLAIN KALAMAZOO
160.7100 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
160.8000 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR AUGUSTA KALAMAZOO
160.8450 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
161.0700 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
161.1300 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
161.3250 NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER LR MILLER KALAMAZOO
163.2500 BRONSON METHODIST HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
173.2375 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
451.7000 AMBULANCE ENTERPRISES PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
452.3750 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF LU KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
452.4250 WASTE MANAGEMENT OF MICHIGAN LJ KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
452.7250 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
453.1750 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
453.2750 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO

453.4750 KALAMAZOO COUNTY JUVENILE HOME PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
453.5250 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
453.6375 WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
456.0750 LIFECARE AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PM AUGUSTA KALAMAZOO
457.3750 KALAMAZOO, CITY OF LU KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
457.4250 WASTE MANAGEMENT OF MICHIGAN LJ KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
457.6500 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
457.7000 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
457.7250 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
457.7500 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
457.8000 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
457.8500 ALVAN MOTOR FREIGHT LJ RICHLAND KALAMAZOO
458.4750 KALAMAZOO COUNTY JUVENILE HOME PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
458.5250 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PL KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
460.3250 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
460.5625 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
462.9500 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
462.9750 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0000 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0000 BRONSON METHODIST HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0000 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0000 PIPP COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0250 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0250 BRONSON METHODIST HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0250 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0250 PIPP COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0500 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0500 BRONSON METHODIST HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0500 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0500 PIPP COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0750 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0750 BRONSON METHODIST HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0750 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.0750 PIPP COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1000 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1000 BRONSON METHODIST HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1000 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1000 PIPP COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1250 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1250 BRONSON METHODIST HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1250 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1250 PIPP COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1500 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1500 BRONSON METHODIST HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1500 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1500 PIPP COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1750 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1750 BRONSON METHODIST HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1750 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
463.1750 PIPP COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO

465.3250 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
465.5625 KALAMAZOO, COUNTY OF PP KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
467.9500 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
467.9750 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.0000 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.0000 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.0250 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.0250 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.0500 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.0500 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.0750 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.0750 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.1000 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.1000 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.1250 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.1250 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.1500 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.1500 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.1750 BORGESS MEDICAL CENTER PS KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
468.1750 LIFE EMS OF KALAMAZOO INC PM KALAMAZOO KALAMAZOO
1955.0000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR SCHOOLCRAFT KALAMAZOO
6665.0000 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR CLIMAX KALAMAZOO
6705.0000 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR CLIMAX KALAMAZOO
6865.0000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR SCHOOLCRAFT KALAMAZOO
2.3274 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
2.4154 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
2.4514 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
2.4674 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
2.4834 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
2.7274 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
2.8054 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
5.1414 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
7.4784 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
7.8064 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
42.0200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.1800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.2400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.2800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.3000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.4800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.5800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.6400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.6800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.7400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.8000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.8600 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
42.9400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
44.6400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
44.7200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
44.7800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN

44.8000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
44.8400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
44.8800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
44.9000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
44.9200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
45.0000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
45.1600 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
45.2800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
45.4400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
45.6000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
45.6400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
45.6800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
45.8400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
45.8600 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
45.9800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
46.0200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
47.1400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
47.2200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
47.2600 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
47.3200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
151.0850 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
151.0850 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
151.0850 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
151.0850 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
151.1150 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
151.1150 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
151.1150 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
151.1150 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
151.1600 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.1750 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.1900 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
151.1900 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.2200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.2350 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.2650 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.2800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.2950 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.3250 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.3400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
151.4450 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
153.9050 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
153.9200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
154.0250 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
154.0550 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
154.1000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
154.2950 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
154.6650 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
154.6800 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
154.6950 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
154.9200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN

155.0850 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
155.1600 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PS MICHIGAN
155.3700 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
155.4450 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
155.4600 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
155.4750 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
155.5050 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
155.8200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
155.8650 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
155.9250 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
156.0000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
156.0150 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
158.7600 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
159.0450 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
159.2250 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.2400 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.2550 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.3000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.3150 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.3300 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
159.3450 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.3600 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.3675 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.3750 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.3900 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.3975 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.4050 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH MICHIGAN
159.4050 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.4200 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.4275 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.4350 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.4500 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.4650 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
159.4675 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
173.0750 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
173.2375 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
453.1000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
457.3000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
458.5875 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PL MICHIGAN
458.8000 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PO MICHIGAN
460.0125 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
460.0250 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
460.0750 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
465.0250 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
465.0550 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
465.0750 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
465.1250 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
465.1750 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
465.2250 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PP MICHIGAN
43.7400 ARCH WORKSHOP INC LI THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH

43.7400 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF LI CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
44.0600 CENTRAL TRANSPORT INC LJ SAINT JOSEPH SAINT JOSEPH
47.4600 MENDON PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS MENDON SAINT JOSEPH
47.5400 CONSTANTINE PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS CONSTANTINE SAINT JOSEPH
47.5800 THREE RIVERS COMMUNITY SCHOOLS PS THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
150.8150 STEVES AUTO PARTS LA CONSTANTINE SAINT JOSEPH
150.8300 KAR TOWING LA STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
150.8600 RITZER, DAVID LA CONSTANTINE SAINT JOSEPH
150.8760 RITZER, DAVID LA CONSTANTINE SAINT JOSEPH
150.8900 S&S AUTOMOTIVE INC LA STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
151.0100 SAINT JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PH CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
152.3300 THREE RIVERS TAXI LX THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
153.8600 SAINT JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PL WHITE PIDGEON SAINT JOSEPH
153.8900 SISTER LAKES FIRE DEPT INC PF THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
153.9050 CENTREVILLE, VILLAGE OF PL CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
153.9200 BURR OAK, VILLAGE OF PL BURR OAK SAINT JOSEPH
154.0400 DOWAGIAC, CITY OF PL DOWAGIAC SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 BURR OAK FIRE DEPARTMENT PF BURR OAK SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 CENTREVILLE, VILLAGE OF PF CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 COLON, VILLAGE OF PF COLON SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 CONSTANTINE, CITY OF PF CONSTANTINE SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 LEONIDAS, TOWNSHIP OF PF LEONIDAS SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 LOCKPORT, TOWNSHIP OF PF THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 LOCKPORT, TOWNSHIP OF PF LEONIDAS SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 MENDON, CITY OF PF MENDON SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PF CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 STURGIS, CITY OF PF STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
154.0700 THREE RIVERS, CITY OF PF THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
154.1000 SAINT JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PL CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
154.1000 WHITE PIGEON, TOWNSHIP OF PL WHITE PIGEON SAINT JOSEPH
154.2950 MENDON, CITY OF PF MENDON SAINT JOSEPH
154.3400 MENDON, CITY OF PF MENDON SAINT JOSEPH
154.7700 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PP CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
154.7700 STURGIS, CITY OF PP STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
154.7700 THREE RIVERS, CITY OF PP THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
154.9950 CONSTANTINE, VILLAGE OF PL CONSTANTINE SAINT JOSEPH
155.0250 WHITE PIGEON, VILLAGE OF PL WHITE PIGEON SAINT JOSEPH
155.1000 COLON, VILLAGE OF PL COLON SAINT JOSEPH
155.1750 THREE RIVERS FIRE DEPARTMENT PS THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
155.2050 COLON COMMUNITY SCHOOLS PS COLON SAINT JOSEPH
155.2200 PARKERS AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PS STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
155.2800 MENDON AMBULANCE SERVICE INC PS MENDON SAINT JOSEPH
155.2800 STURGIS HOSPITAL PS STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
155.2800 THREE RIVERS HOSPITAL PS THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
155.2950 CENTREVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
155.3400 STURGIS HOSPITAL PS STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
155.3400 THREE RIVERS HOSPITAL PS THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
155.3700 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PP CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
155.3700 STURGIS, CITY OF PP STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
155.3700 THREE RIVERS, CITY OF PP THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH

155.6100 DOWAGIAC, CITY OF PP DOWAGIAC SAINT JOSEPH
155.7300 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PP CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
155.7300 STURGIS, CITY OF PP STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
155.7300 THREE RIVERS, CITY OF PP THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
155.8650 CONSTANTINE, VILLAGE OF PL CONSTANTINE SAINT JOSEPH
155.8650 SAINT JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PL CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
155.8650 STURGIS, CITY OF PL STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
155.8650 THREE RIVERS, CITY OF PL THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
156.0000 THREE RIVERS, CITY OF PL THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
157.4700 BROKERS WRECKER SERVICE LA THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
157.4850 J&M SERVICE CENTER LA STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
157.5000 SHEARS WRECKER SERVICE LA CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
158.7600 STURGIS, CITY OF PL STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
158.8200 THREE RIVERS, CITY OF PL THREE RIVERS SAINT JOSEPH
159.0300 STURGIS, CITY OF PP STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
159.1800 SAINT JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PH CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
159.5400 P & P TRANSPORTATION SERVICE INCLJ CONSTANTINE SAINT JOSEPH
159.6600 YODERS LJ MENDON SAINT JOSEPH
159.6750 TNT HOLLAND MOTOR EXPRESS INC LJ SOUTH BEND SAINT JOSEPH
160.1100 CENTRAL TRANSPORT INC LJ SOUTH BEND SAINT JOSEPH
160.5300 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR SOUTH BEND SAINT JOSEPH
160.5900 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR SOUTH BEND SAINT JOSEPH
160.7100 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR SCHOOLCRAFT SAINT JOSEPH
160.7550 MICHIGAN SOUTHERN RAILROAD LR WHITE PIGEON SAINT JOSEPH
160.8000 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR SCHOOLCRAFT SAINT JOSEPH
160.8450 GRAND TRUNK RADIO COMMUNICATIONSLR SOUTH BEND SAINT JOSEPH
160.9500 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD LR SOUTH BEND SAINT JOSEPH
161.0700 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR SCHOOLCRAFT SAINT JOSEPH
161.1300 PENN CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS LR SCHOOLCRAFT SAINT JOSEPH
163.2500 STURGIS HOSPITAL PS STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
453.0375 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PP CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
453.0625 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PP CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
453.1125 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PP CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
453.2500 STURGIS, CITY OF PL STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
458.0375 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PP CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
458.0625 ST JOSEPH, COUNTY OF PP CENTREVILLE SAINT JOSEPH
458.2500 STURGIS, CITY OF PL STURGIS SAINT JOSEPH
928.7813 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN LR WAKELEE SAINT JOSEPH
952.7813 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN LR WAKELEE SAINT JOSEPH
1895.0000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN LR SOUTH BEND SAINT JOSEPH
1945.0000 GRAND TRUNK WESTERN LR SOUTH BEND SAINT JOSEPH
37.9000 HARTFORD PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS HARTFORD VAN BUREN
39.5800 HARTFORD, CITY OF PL HARTFORD VAN BUREN
43.8400 VAN BUREN PUBLIC TRANSIT LI BANGOR VAN BUREN
150.8150 DONS DIESEL SERVICE LA SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
150.8150 HB ENTERPRISE INC LA SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
150.9200 ROMES STANDARD SERVICE LA SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
152.0075 SOUTH HAVEN COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
153.8150 SOUTH HAVEN, CITY OF PL SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
153.8900 DECATUR HAMILTON FIRE DEPARTMENTPF DECATUR VAN BUREN

153.8900 SISTER LAKES FIRE DEPT INC PF SISTER LAKES VAN BUREN
153.9200 BANGOR, CITY OF PL BANGOR VAN BUREN
154.2050 BANGOR JOINT FIRE DISTRICT PF BANGOR VAN BUREN
154.2050 BLOOMINGDALE, TOWNSHIP OF PF BLOOMINGDALE VAN BUREN
154.2050 COVERT, TOWNSHIP OF PF COVERT VAN BUREN
154.2050 DECATUR HAMILTON FIRE DEPARTMENTPF DECATUR VAN BUREN
154.2050 GOBLES PINE GROVE FIRE DISTRICT PF GOBLES VAN BUREN
154.2050 KEELER, TOWNSHIP OF PF HARTFORD VAN BUREN
154.2050 LAWRENCE, TOWNSHIP OF PF LAWRENCE VAN BUREN
154.2050 LAWTON, VILLAGE OF PF LAWTON VAN BUREN
154.2050 PAW PAW, VILLAGE OF PF PAW PAW VAN BUREN
154.2050 PAW PAW, VILLAGE OF PF PAW PAW VAN BUREN
154.2050 SOUTH HAVEN, CITY OF PF SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
154.2050 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PF HARTFORD VAN BUREN
154.2950 BANGOR JOINT FIRE DISTRICT PF BANGOR VAN BUREN
154.2950 BLOOMINGDALE, TOWNSHIP OF PF BLOOMINGDALE VAN BUREN
154.2950 DECATUR HAMILTON FIRE DEPARTMENTPF DECATUR VAN BUREN
154.2950 GOBLES PINE GROVE FIRE DISTRICT PF GOBLES VAN BUREN
154.2950 LAWRENCE, TOWNSHIP OF PF LAWRENCE VAN BUREN
154.2950 LAWTON, VILLAGE OF PF LAWTON VAN BUREN
154.2950 SOUTH HAVEN, CITY OF PF SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
154.4000 ANTWERP, TOWNSHIP OF PF MATTAWAN VAN BUREN
154.4000 DECATUR HAMILTON FIRE DEPARTMENTPF DECATUR VAN BUREN
154.7100 DECATUR, VILLAGE OF PP DECATUR VAN BUREN
154.8750 PAW PAW, VILLAGE OF PP PAW PAW VAN BUREN
155.1600 NATIONAL SKI PATROL SYSTEM INC PS BLOOMINGDALE VAN BUREN
155.1600 VAN BUREN COUNTY SCHOOLS PS HARTFORD VAN BUREN
155.1750 PINE DALE AMBULANCE PS GOBLES VAN BUREN
155.1750 SOUTH HAVEN PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
155.2050 LAWTON SCHOOLS PS LAWTON VAN BUREN
155.2200 NATIONAL SKI PATROL SYSTEM INC PS BLOOMINGDALE VAN BUREN
155.2200 SOUTH HAVEN COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
155.2350 BANGOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS BANGOR VAN BUREN
155.2650 DECATUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS DECATUR VAN BUREN
155.2800 PAW PAW PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS PAW PAW VAN BUREN
155.2950 PAW PAW PUBLIC SCHOOLS PS PAW PAW VAN BUREN
155.3400 LAKEVIEW COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS PAW PAW VAN BUREN
155.3400 SOUTH HAVEN COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
155.3700 BANGOR, CITY OF PP BANGOR VAN BUREN
155.3700 SOUTH HAVEN, CITY OF PP SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
155.3700 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PP PAW PAW VAN BUREN
155.5800 BANGOR, CITY OF PP BANGOR VAN BUREN
155.5800 SOUTH HAVEN, CITY OF PP SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
155.5800 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PP HARTFORD VAN BUREN
155.7150 BBACG FIRE DISTRICT PL SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
155.7150 DECATUR HAMILTON FIRE DEPARTMENTPF DECATUR VAN BUREN
155.7150 GOBLES PINE GROVE FIRE DISTRICT PL GOBLES VAN BUREN
155.7150 HARTFORD, CITY OF PL HARTFORD VAN BUREN
155.7150 KEELER, TOWNSHIP OF PL KEELER VAN BUREN
155.7150 LAWRENCE, TOWNSHIP OF PL LAWRENCE VAN BUREN

155.7150 LAWTON, VILLAGE OF PL LAWTON VAN BUREN
155.7150 PAW PAW, VILLAGE OF PL PAW PAW VAN BUREN
155.7150 PAW PAW, VILLAGE OF PL PAW PAW VAN BUREN
155.7150 SOUTH HAVEN, CITY OF PL SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
155.7150 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PL HARTFORD VAN BUREN
155.7150 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PL PAW PAW VAN BUREN
155.7450 LAWTON, VILLAGE OF PL LAWTON VAN BUREN
155.7600 LAWRENCE, VILLAGE OF PL LAWRENCE VAN BUREN
155.8050 MATTAWAN, VILLAGE OF PL MATTAWAN VAN BUREN
155.8050 SOUTH HAVEN, CITY OF PL SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
155.8650 SOUTH HAVEN, CITY OF PP SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
155.8650 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PL PAW PAW VAN BUREN
156.0450 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PH BLOOMINGDALE VAN BUREN
156.0900 BANGOR, CITY OF PP BANGOR VAN BUREN
156.0900 SOUTH HAVEN, CITY OF PP SOUTH HAVEN VAN BUREN
156.0900 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PP PAW PAW VAN BUREN
158.8050 BANGOR, TOWNSHIP OF PL BANGOR VAN BUREN
159.1350 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PH BANGOR VAN BUREN
159.2850 GOBLES PUBLIC SCHOOLS PO GOBLES VAN BUREN
160.2150 KALAMAZOO LAKE SHORE & CHICAGO LR PAW PAW VAN BUREN
160.2300 CSX TRANSPORTATION INC LR GRAND JUNCTION VAN BUREN
160.3200 CSX TRANSPORTATION INC LR GRAND JUNCTION VAN BUREN
160.7850 CSX TRANSPORTATION INC LR GRAND JUNCTION VAN BUREN
160.8150 KALAMAZOO LAKE SHORE & CHICAGO LR PAW PAW VAN BUREN
163.2500 LAKEVIEW COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PS PAW PAW VAN BUREN
452.6500 PAW PAW, VILLAGE OF PL PAW PAW VAN BUREN
453.5250 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PL PAW PAW VAN BUREN
458.5250 VAN BUREN, COUNTY OF PL PAW PAW VAN BUREN

935. Re: Lake County, OH, now digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 01:39:19 UTC
From: Don Miller <brazzos@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a259igojv1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 53804

Yes Eric, it was I. I'm one of the "user" agencies, forced from analog into using the new digital system. Mentor is self dispatch, but digital as far as fire. Lot's of MDT being installed for law enforcement county wide, keeps radio traffic down to minimum to and from dispatchers. That in itself attenuates listening pleasures. :-)
"Erik" <see@the.bottom.com> wrote in message news:3c44fdda.16655222@news-central.giganews.com...
> Someone else (Don?) just mentioned this. Confirmed as I can no longer
> pick up Mentor. Man, this sucks!
>
> Again...which Trunktracking scanner do you all use to scan trunked NE
> Ohio systems?
>

936. Re: San Francisco Bay Area Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 01:43:19 UTC
From: "R.U. Amused?" <jandlthomas@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <X_p18.33250$Vq.331825@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 53807

There are plenty of public safety trunk systems to listen to in the bay
area; it is definitely worth it to have a trunk capable scanner.

JT

"Charlie Durand" <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote in message
news:1011130620.450415@cswreg.cos.agilent.com...
> Hey there,
>
> I have been listening to the cops on my scanners for a very long time now.
> I currently have two BC200xlt's and another Uniden base model something
> something... An old old model with 40 channels, don't remember the model
> number right now which is strange but anyhow.. they're all old radios.
They
> still work so I can't complain.
>
> I want to get something that covers more bands though. I'm thinking about
> buying a new scanner. I want to get something cool since it's been 10
years
> since I've bought anything other than a new battery now and then. I want
to
> know if it's worth it to get a trunking scanner for the San Francisco Bay
> Area. I hear plenty of stuff with my scanners right now and wonder if if
> would be worth it to "upgrade" to the latest and great Uniden has to
offer.
> The Trankmaster series.. I'd be tempted to get one of each if there was
> interesting listening to be had on the trunked systems.
>
> Otherwise I want to get something along the lines of the AOR8200 so I can
> control it with my computer and take it along with me when I feel like it.
>
> The bottom line of my question is: If you listen to trunked systems in
the
> SF area what do you hear? You can email me directly if you don't think
the
> rest of the group wants to hear your replies.
>
> Thanks!
>
>

937. For Sale Pro-90 & BC 235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 01:49:45 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020116204945.11053.00001789@mb-fv.news.cs.com>
Source record: 53808

I have botha a Pro-90 and a BC235xlt for sale. Scanners are in great condition and work flawlessly. $90.00 each or both for $175.00 plus shipping

Thanks in advance Please email me at farmandjr69@cs.com

938. For Sale BC-235xlt & Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 01:52:21 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020116205221.11053.00001790@mb-fv.news.cs.com>
Source record: 53809

I have one each Pro-90 & BC-235XLT asking $90.00 each or both for $175.00
Both in great condition and work flawlessly. Please email me at
farmandjr69@cs.com for more details.
Thanks

939. Re: Scannin' paid off today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 03:03:24 UTC
From: 3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <T7r18.54394$3x5.3187388@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53811

well for all its worth, I could have only ran away down the road he passed by me on. They had the rest of the other road blocked with stop sticks. I did protect my kids by having them off the road, away from where he could hit us in any bad way. He would have had to get out and walked over.

<jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C45D944.9AF1CA0E@USA.net...
> Well said Randy.
>
> "Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
>
> > Shane, I am glad you were entertained...The price of your joy could
> > have been very high.
> >
> > If you watch any of those TV shows with Cop and Robber chases, you see
> > very often wrecks that occur with innocent citizens. Sometimes you
> > even see the criminal leave his damaged car and car-jack someone
> > elses.
> >
> > You had your children in the car you you knew that trouble was heading
> > your way. Maybe I love my children too much, but I think I would have
> > headed the OTHER way lickity-split!
>

940. Re: Scanner Basics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 03:13:30 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u4cgahqpstq7dc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53812

REMOVE ISPAMMAIL for reply
Building a scanner is impossible because they rely heavly on the CPU which contains the freq info burned into. WinRaido and Icom both make computer scanner on PC cards. I guess I shouldn't say impossible. Creating the CPU program layout is about $50,000, but once you do that they're very cheap to produce. If you got the business plan and capital, go for it. If your thinking about doing it for yourself you might have some problems.
William

"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:gj318.40908$Zh1.7533045@news02.optonline.net...
> So how much work you thinkin' for an Intermediate electronics guy who wants
> to build one? Cost?
>
> "Sorcerer" <valair@spambtinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:a21utf$5ik$2@paris.btinternet.com...
> > A scanner is simply an ordinary radio that usually has a wider coverage
> than
> > your domestic radio and has the ability to tune itself up and down the
> > frequencies and stop on one it finds active by using the Squelch
setting,
> > basically that's all they are.
> > All the rest of the functions on modern scanners are simply technical
> extras
> > to make the enthusiasts life a little easier.
> >
> > --
> > ***The Sorcerer***
> > remove Spam for reply
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Robert" <rrittenhouse@globalco.net> wrote in message
> > news:eb809bf0.0201142104.5cb39bcb@posting.google.com...
> > > Well i was wondering where i could learn scanner basics.. like how to
> > > actually building a scanner.. i have this idea about making one for
> > > use on the computer via PCI card.. can ANYONE help me with this idea..
> > > and possibly how to get started on with how scanners work in detail
> > > etc..? thanks
> >
> >

> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.303 / Virus Database: 164 - Release Date: 24/11/01
> >
> >
>
>

941. Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 03:15:50 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u4cgeuas2ps510@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53813

Will digital scanning decoding be possible with a computer program or add on board to a comp. interface?

942. Disney World Rules on Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 03:17:08 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy Jacobs <bljacobs2@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C465EE0.1C5E4ED2@home.com>
Source record: 53814

Does Disney World allow scanners in the park? Do they allow
scanners simply in the hotel room ... if they don't see it?

TIA,
Bruce

943. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 04:07:04 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <I5s18.3481$75.2441540@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53815

No. The scanners required the APCO card, and only two scanners are planned (handheld and base.) No computer scanning.

- Rob

"William Ferguson" <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:u4cgeuas2ps510@corp.supernews.com...
> Will digital scanning decoding be possible with a computer program or add on
> board to a comp. interface?
>
>

944. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 04:48:52 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a25l3p$ugvtd$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53816

I think he means that inlieu of a APCO radio or an APCO radio with card, can a radio send the data received to a computer to complete the decoding processes?

I think he is thinking of digital radio such as that of packet radio or a stream of data to be processes/decoded/transformed to audio or text.

"Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote in message news:I5s18.3481$75.2441540@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> No. The scanners required the APCO card, and only two scanners are planned
> (handheld and base.) No computer scanning.
>
> - Rob
>
> "William Ferguson" <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:u4cgeuas2ps510@corp.supernews.com...
> > Will digital scanning decoding be possible with a computer program or add
> on
> > board to a comp. interface?
> >
> >
>
>

945. Re: Scanner CPUs?? - - HOW CAN WE MAKE SCANNERS BETTER??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 05:01:38 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <SUs18.62202$Zh1.11440809@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53817

"William Ferguson" <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:u4cgahqpstq7dc@corp.supernews.com...
> REMOVE ISPAMMAIL for reply
> Building a scanner is impossible because they rely heavly on the CPU which
> contains the freq info burned into. WinRaido and Icom both make computer
> scanner on PC cards. I guess I shouldn't say impossible. Creating the CPU
> program layout is about $50,000,

Alright I got one for you. You are not the first person to tell me about this CPU issue, so if the CPU is the thing slowing down so many scanners then are you saying that a product like WinRadio can scan significantly faster than a stand-alone scanner?

Maybe we need to make scanners more modular. How about creating an interface that allows the scanner to use mini-computer(PDAs/PocketPCs) to augment it's own processing power for faster scanning speeds, and while you are at it why not add additional controls, or simply remote control operation from 3rd party CPUs. There is also the upgrade route. You want to sell scanners in a certain price margin, then do that, but make it CPU upgradeable. So rather than buying a $700 portable scanner, you buy a $400 scanner and then later purchase a $300 CPU STANDARD upgrade. Standard means you can use the upgrade on most other scanners also.

I've always desired a remote control scanner(exists?) anyway. In this world where there is SOOO much fuss about scanners, they need to be as invisible as possible, so the idea of NEVER taking out my scanner in public but only using the mini remote control clipped to your waste to: scan, lockout, priority, manual and maybe volume, squelch and limit search. I think a LCD with frequency information would also be obvious.

946. Re: San Francisco Bay Area Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 05:09:35 UTC
From: ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <s3nc4uk6ppm9qk6iobrt45d3ci43m4cg1d@4ax.com>
Source record: 53818

I have a 200XLT and just got the RS Pro94 -- very cool!

On Tue, 15 Jan 2002 13:36:33 -0800, "Charlie Durand"
<charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote:

>Hey there,
>
>I have been listening to the cops on my scanners for a very long time now.
>I currently have two BC200xlt's and another Uniden base model something
>something... An old old model with 40 channels, don't remember the model
>number right now which is strange but anyhow.. they're all old radios. They
>still work so I can't complain.
>
>I want to get something that covers more bands though. I'm thinking about
>buying a new scanner. I want to get something cool since it's been 10 years
>since I've bought anything other than a new battery now and then. I want to
>know if it's worth it to get a trunking scanner for the San Francisco Bay
>Area. I hear plenty of stuff with my scanners right now and wonder if if
>would be worth it to "upgrade" to the latest and great Uniden has to offer.
>The Trankmaster series.. I'd be tempted to get one of each if there was
>interesting listening to be had on the trunked systems.
>
>Otherwise I want to get something along the lines of the AOR8200 so I can
>control it with my computer and take it along with me when I feel like it.
>
>The bottom line of my question is: If you listen to trunked systems in the
>SF area what do you hear? You can email me directly if you don't think the
>rest of the group wants to hear your replies.
>
>Thanks!
>

947. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 05:14:54 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a25mkj$uvsd6$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53819

I AM a law enforcement officer. My wife is also. 12 years each.

I see "its a blast" is wrong wording. Its exciting. With new laws itself stating that an officer may be liable for continuing a chase, its better to let the helicopter follow. We then coordinate take downs once he parks, runs or goes into his house.

You can't say that it isn't exciting. If you aren't, then you shouldnt't be there as well. Excitement/adrenaline enhances your senses. I have been scared *&%$-less many times. Fear is a good thing also to let know your safety buffer. As soon as you feel no fear, its time to get off. Being now with 12 years, you learn alot more about how to think of the chase as of stopping it instead of continuing it to simply continuing to follow.

Its very rare that a chase occurs for me. I see as much as the possibility of appraoching a call and not knowing whats behind the door, car or ANY object. ANY scene can turn sour REAL quick. I see myself, there are many many scenes that turn bad vs a chase insuing.

I learned that law enforcement may have seeemed a good career choice to begin with. Now with the problems with a scene, the liabilities and the accusation that go along with it, its not all it was. I am sure that your style of policing in the time you had are MUCH different than the style of policing that we now have to perform. Basically now, if we write a ticket, we have a good chance of having a complaint filed (on avg 40%) and may even be labeled for such actions as a simple "no insurance, red light/stop" or any other violation.

It is now VERY difficult to police without the problems a simple contact may be.

Another officer once had a complaint to settle a distrubance between two neighbors. One neighbor made a complaint saying the officer was NON-BIASED and that he did not take any one persons side in the distrubance. The complaint was sustained! I thought we were to be non-biased in any conflict? sheeesh!

<venting off>

Please do not let this thread continue. I never get on the newsgroups to talk shop and but I needed to respond as wordy as this became.

<jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C45D8D1.88DD4BAC@USA.net...
> Yes it's a blast. Think how the families of those officers feel when they hear
> such action on there radio. Wondering if there husband, father, brother, wife,

> sister - you get the message is coming after work. I've been there, i'm
retired
> after 30 years and it's not fun being in that sort of position.
>
> We should remember that our law enforcement officers put their lives on
the line
> for all of us on a daily basis. And because of their dedication to their
job,
> they command nothing but respect and a big vote of thank from all of us.
>
> "God Bless America" and OUR Law Enforcement Officers
>
> Lurking Fool wrote:
>
> > Just imagine how pumped those officers were! You were listening, they
were
> > running or driving. Its a blast.
>

948. Re: Using U.S scanner online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 05:30:53 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16b02c293eb4972b9896af@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 53820

Try unzipping it into its own folder.

In article <20020116194002.25512.00002475@mb-fc.aol.com>,
dogmarty@aol.com says...
> This is an indirect "scanning question". There is a website called US SCANNER
> ONLINE. You can "read" the magazine...past and present by downloading it.
>
> Only problem..it comes zipped...when I open it it creates multiple files on my
> c drive...creating a mess of files! I can read it...but I just gotta think all
> these files that get created...must be wrong! Can anyone who uses this...walk
> me thru the ...easy way to read the US SCANNER ONLINE? Seems to contain some
> nice information!
>
> Thank You
>

--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

949. FS Bearcat 855XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 05:38:57 UTC
From: vic <vaf@nt.net>
Message-ID: <33j18.14835$o_.2585008@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 53876

Bearcat 855XLT 800mzh scanner with original manual and power supply.
Works great and in very good condition. Used very little.
Desirable date code OH1B(1990) which I've heard means it can be modified for
full 800 mzh coverage.
Frequency coverage: 29-54Mhz, 108-174Mhz, 406-512Mhz, 806-956Mhz.
Asking 150 shipping included OBO.

950. Re: Using U.S scanner online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 05:47:04 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <szt18.3810$UR.3538@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 53821

I downloaded one of the files, they are an .exe file, like he said, make a new folder on your desktop and download it to there.

I must admit, it's a very sloppy way of putting together an online magazine.

Try it. This will work for ya.

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

"Dwayne H" <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.16b02c293eb4972b9896af@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
> Try unzipping it into its own folder.
>
>
>
> In article <20020116194002.25512.00002475@mb-fc.aol.com>,
> dogmarty@aol.com says...
> > This is an indirect "scanning question". There is a website called US
SCANNER
> > ONLINE. You can "read" the magazine...past and present by downloading
it.
> >
> > Only problem..it comes zipped...when I open it it creates multiple files
on my
> > c drive...creating a mess of files! I can read it...but I just gotta
think all
> > these files that get created...must be wrong! Can anyone who uses
this...walk
> > me thru the ...easy way to read the US SCANNER ONLINE? Seems to contain
some
> > nice information!
> >
> > Thank You
> >
>
> --
> ==============
> Dwayne

> --------------
> Saw it!
> Wanted it!
> Threw a fit!
> Got it!

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02

951. Re: Where to mount scanner in 2000 Ford Explorer Sport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 05:47:55 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c466362.855820@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 53822

Hi
it's a big job.. but i had a 89 eddie bauer bronco. in the middle of the 2 seats was a very large center console.... with lot's of room in it.... so i went to work.. i installed a fannon scanfare scanner(old scanner) a cobra cb radio.. AND a motorola maxtrax (used for 2 M)it was tight but it worked... ran wires UNDER the carpet .... fire proofed the wires.... and then ran my antennas.. the whole job took the weekend(with breaks) but i was happy... yes the antennas on the roof told theives that there was radio equipment inside but they could not be seen... i used the factory am/fm for my scanner... only used(vhf/vhf low) then for the cb i had a whip on the rear bumper then for the 2m rig i used a ANTENNA SPECIALIST antenna.. forget which one..
that's my story.. i hope that gave you an idea.On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 00:19:30 GMT, Terry Begley <tbegley@home.com> wrote:

>
> Looking for a place to mount my scanner, a Uniden BC 760 XLT, in a
>2000 Ford Explorer Sport. The vehicle has a full console (can't block
>the cup holders), can't install it on the right of the console
>(passenger seat slides forward) and can't mount it on the back of the
>console (radio and temp controls). My leg would hit if I mount it on
>the left of the console. Ceiling is out as it has a small console and
>a moonroof. I may be able to mount it to the left, under the steering
>wheel, but that blocks the plug for the computer diagnostics. Anyone
>mounted a scanner in an Explorer like this? Looking for suggestions,
>thanks.
>

952. Digital Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 06:03:59 UTC
From: Michele Roberts <rosemadd@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <TGt18.56864$V55.3829416@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53823

Will there be other brand name digital scanners besides Uniden?

953. Re: Digital Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 06:44:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <tlu18.11$eh3.3584@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53824

That remains to be seen. Given that Uniden is (apparently) having to pay royalties to APCO for each digital card sold, I expect that the other scanner makers will wait to see if the sales justify the expense of tooling up. Notice that not all the manufacturers have even come out with trunking scanners, I suspect that digital scanners will remain exclusively Uniden for at least the next couple of years. None of the others have even announced that they are planning such a beast, much less provided a time frame for introduction.

Only time will tell.

Mark

"Michele Roberts" <rosemadd@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:TGt18.56864$V55.3829416@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Will there be other brand name digital scanners besides Uniden?
>
>
>

954. SCANCAT GOLD USERS..USING XP? NOT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 07:05:19 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c46755c.5457787@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 53825

Hello all
Anybody using scancat gold with XP or NOT using XP? i'm having some
problems and would like to know if it's me(which it probabally is
beacuse i tried " winscan too with little or no success")
1. whole files vanishing w/o a trace after"saving them"
2.bank tag's and fleet id's vanishing too.
3. if "description" is the kind of agency(police fire etc) what is the
" bank tag id" for?
4. thanks Gig.

955. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 07:14:13 UTC
From: 3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <xlu18.57150$V55.3855017@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53826

I do have to say, I respect greatly what all the LEO's do around here. I also give them kudos for how they handled it that day....they stayed back, let the chopper watch him, them got his ass with stop sticks....which was cool too see. I enjoyed that more then all the chases I have ever seen on TV.

The officers kept things safe, and I kept to my little spot where I just happened to have a good view of the action. Thanks to all those that protect us while we sleep and play.

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a25mkj$uvsd6$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> I AM a law enforcement officer. My wife is also. 12 years each.
>
> I see "its a blast" is wrong wording. Its exciting. With new laws itself
> stating that an officer may be liable for continuing a chase, its better
to
> let the helicopter follow. We then coordinate take downs once he parks,
runs
> or goes into his house.
>
> You can't say that it isn't exciting. If you aren't, then you shouldnt't
be
> there as well. Excitement/adrenaline enhances your senses. I have been
> scared *&%$-less many times. Fear is a good thing also to let know your
> safety buffer. As soon as you feel no fear, its time to get off. Being now
> with 12 years, you learn alot more about how to think of the chase as of
> stopping it instead of continuing it to simply continuing to follow.
>
> Its very rare that a chase occurs for me. I see as much as the possibility
> of appraoching a call and not knowing whats behind the door, car or ANY
> object. ANY scene can turn sour REAL quick. I see myself, there are many
> many scenes that turn bad vs a chase insuing.
>
> I learned that law enforcement may have seeemed a good career choice to
> begin with. Now with the problems with a scene, the liabilities and the
> accusation that go along with it, its not all it was. I am sure that your
> style of policing in the time you had are MUCH different than the style of
> policing that we now have to perform. Basically now, if we write a ticket,
> we have a good chance of having a complaint filed (on avg 40%) and may
even
> be labeled for such actions as a simple "no insurance, red light/stop" or
> any other violation.
>
> It is now VERY difficult to police without the problems a simple contact

may
> be.
>
> Another officer once had a complaint to settle a distrubance between two
> neighbors. One neighbor made a complaint saying the officer was NON-BIASED
> and that he did not take any one persons side in the distrubance. The
> complaint was sustained! I thought we were to be non-biased in any
conflict?
> sheeesh!
>
> <venting off>
>
> Please do not let this thread continue. I never get on the newsgroups to
> talk shop and but I needed to respond as wordy as this became.
>
> <jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C45D8D1.88DD4BAC@USA.net...
> > Yes it's a blast. Think how the families of those officers feel when
they
> hear
> > such action on there radio. Wondering if there husband, father,
brother,
> wife,
> > sister - you get the message is coming after work. I've been there, i'm
> retired
> > after 30 years and it's not fun being in that sort of position.
> >
> > We should remember that our law enforcement officers put their lives on
> the line
> > for all of us on a daily basis. And because of their dedication to
their
> job,
> > they command nothing but respect and a big vote of thank from all of us.
> >
> > "God Bless America" and OUR Law Enforcement Officers
> >
> > Lurking Fool wrote:
> >
> > > Just imagine how pumped those officers were! You were listening, they
> were
> > > running or driving. Its a blast.
> >
>
>

956. Re: San Francisco Bay Area Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 07:15:04 UTC
From: 3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <kJu18.57154$V55.3855217@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53827

I really like my pro-94 too, though I have heard other talking down about
it...

It kicks ass..

<ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
news:s3nc4uk6ppm9qk6iobrt45d3ci43m4cg1d@4ax.com...
> I have a 200XLT and just got the RS Pro94 -- very cool!
>
> On Tue, 15 Jan 2002 13:36:33 -0800, "Charlie Durand"
> <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote:
>
> >Hey there,
> >
> >I have been listening to the cops on my scanners for a very long time
now.
> >I currently have two BC200xlt's and another Uniden base model something
> >something... An old old model with 40 channels, don't remember the
model
> >number right now which is strange but anyhow.. they're all old radios.
They
> >still work so I can't complain.
> >
> >I want to get something that covers more bands though. I'm thinking
about
> >buying a new scanner. I want to get something cool since it's been 10
years
> >since I've bought anything other than a new battery now and then. I want
to
> >know if it's worth it to get a trunking scanner for the San Francisco Bay
> >Area. I hear plenty of stuff with my scanners right now and wonder if if
> >would be worth it to "upgrade" to the latest and great Uniden has to
offer.
> >The Trankmaster series.. I'd be tempted to get one of each if there was
> >interesting listening to be had on the trunked systems.
> >
> >Otherwise I want to get something along the lines of the AOR8200 so I can
> >control it with my computer and take it along with me when I feel like
it.
> >
> >The bottom line of my question is: If you listen to trunked systems in
the
> >SF area what do you hear? You can email me directly if you don't think
the
> >rest of the group wants to hear your replies.

> >
> >Thanks!
> >
>

957. Re: Disney World Rules on Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 07:22:03 UTC
From: 3d_guy <scgraphx@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <UPu18.57184$V55.3856436@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53828

its okay to have it on you and in plain view...not illegal in anyway here in Florida, except mounted in your vehicle, and not againt the rules there at Disney either....its the "Happiest Place on Earth" or so Misery World claims anyways. They are so big on making you happy you could probably carry a canon around with ya and they would smile take your money happily :)

"Bruce or Tracy Jacobs" <bljacobs2@home.com> wrote in message news:3C465EE0.1C5E4ED2@home.com...
> Does Disney World allow scanners in the park? Do they allow
> scanners simply in the hotel room ... if they don't see it?
>
> TIA,
> Bruce

958. Re: Using U.S scanner online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 07:31:56 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <3dvc4ugvvfaluu3npda1sg8umqa8bmhfib@4ax.com>
Source record: 53829

While nibbling on last years fruitcake, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
grunted out

>I downloaded one of the files, they are an .exe file, like he said, make a
>new folder on your desktop and download it to there.

Am I the only one who gets August 1994 as the first DL?

And May 20900 as the latest issue?

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

959. Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 07:43:02 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3C468085.B55BFB7D@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 53830
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,fido.ger.shortwave

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio
hobbists who are frequency hunters. You will find lists of Ontario
radio frequencies that are not listed on any web page, published in
any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government
frequency list (Industry Canada's TAFL). This list is all based on
research and the patience of the people who contribute to this web page.

Yahoogroups: http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

Regards
John Medica
System Administrator
Listening-Post - Monitoring Station
Toronto Ontario Canada ï¿½ Lat=43.6807 Long=-79.4469

Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

960. Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 07:48:08 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3C4681B8.F20502@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 53831

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio
hobbists who are frequency hunters. You will find lists of Ontario
radio frequencies that are not listed on any web page, published in
any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government
frequency list (Industry Canada's TAFL). This list is all based on
research and the patience of the people who contribute to this web page.

Yahoogroups: http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

Regards
John Medica
System Administrator
Listening-Post - Monitoring Station
Toronto Ontario Canada ï¿½ Lat=43.6807 Long=-79.4469

Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

961. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 08:42:42 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <68w18.6172$N77.54348@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 53832

Yeah! But as i said "In theory"...

Remember that this is just me going wild with my imagination here.. It is possible, but i reaaally doubt that the police would ever bother with it..

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> skrev i melding news:wlm18.54471$V55.3527303@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Um, what if the "first" radio isn't scanning? If it is on manual, it
> wouldn't make those barely
> audible clicks. Lots of times when a scanner enthusiast (not suggesting
> that they do this) comes to a scene, he would lock onto the "tac"
frequency
> in use, wouldn't he?
>
> Jerry
> John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no> wrote in message
> news:Bn218.6107$N77.51481@news.world-online.no...
> > Police CAN (In theory) detect your scanner!
> >
> > If you tune an ordinary FM radio to ex. 99.8mhz and take another FM
radio
> > and tune it fast up and down over this frequency you`ll hear the first
> radio
> > go click.click,click..
> > (Youll have to be very close though..)
> >
> > I used this trick in my youth to irritate my sister when she taped songs
> > from the radio.. She tuned in on 93.4 mhz and taped this very annoying
AHA
> > song.. In the meantime i (the devil brother) was in the room besides
> hugging
> > the wall and tuning like hell!..
> >
> > "MMMOOOMMMMMYYYYY!!!" hehehehehe!
> >
> > So all you need is a highly sensitive reciever that can listen in on
> veeeery
> > many frequencies at the same time and a directional aerial. As they
close
> in
> > on the scanner they will hear these little *clicks* over the frequencys
> that
> > the scanner scans and tune you in using the antenna..
> > (In theory)
> >

> > Though i dont think the police would bother with this..
> >
> > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> skrev i melding
> > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> > > Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I own
> > > a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth to
> > > work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
> > > the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
> > > whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
> > > Is this all hogwash or what?
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

962. Re: Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 09:14:30 UTC
From: Dan Marz <mcircus@zip.com.au>
Message-ID: <3C4695F6.8090000@zip.com.au>
Source record: 53833
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,fido.ger.shortwave

Great!!
Do I get a free ticket to Canada if order the book or do I just get the
steak knives.

What the hell does this have to do with Ausatralian scanning or am I
missing something?

963. Re: question AOR 3000a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 10:59:08 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <08y18.6173$N77.54272@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 53834

Have i got this right?

Youre experiencing a "open squelch" sound when the reciever leaves an active station?.. Is this phenomen occuring only while scanning or can it occur while tuning over an active channel aswell?..

And this is only happening in channels that are within 100-200mhz?

John Kr.

"Stockman Lieven" <lieven.stockman@pandora.be> skrev i melding news:irR08.69313$rt4.4745@afrodite.telenet-ops.be...
> John
>
> I have both also the problem, normal I use a superdiscone on my roof
.
> with the indoor I mean the antenna included bij a new aor
>
> I reapeat the receive is super. only a noise comparable with an open
sqeuls
> when it left an incoming station.
>
> thanx in advance
> greetz
> lieven
>
>
> "John Kristian Snekvik" <Cyber205@tiscali.no> schreef in bericht
> news:AwH08.6054$N77.50351@news.world-online.no...
> > Great scanner man!
> >
> > Are you using an indoor antenna or outdoor?
> > "Stockman Lieven" <lieven.stockman@pandora.be> skrev i melding
> > news:IuD08.67873$rt4.4571@afrodite.telenet-ops.be...
> > > Hello
> > >
> > > I'am from belguim here isn't any dealer but I've an ARO 3000a buy
> in
> > > the Netherlands
> > >
> > > The scanner works perfect for recieve:
> > > But on NFM between the freq.100.000 mhz 200.000 mhz . It makes a
> > > interfering noise
> > > only when it had recieved a channel a left again
> > > This its makes only on NFM and a little a WFM

> > >
> > >
> > > is that normal
> > > Can someone give advice ??
> > > Thanx before
> > > sorry for mistakes my knowlege of english is verry poor
> > >
> > > NOTE: there is a print into placed for automatic started a tape
recorder
> > > can that be cause of the probleem
> > >
> > > greetz
> > > lieven
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

964. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 12:07:54 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <A7F3C8B00F00F7F8.82027F18CA3FAADF.3C56E4E49214FE14@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 53835

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 13:40:48 -0500, "FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
>illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.

Hmmm...I wonder if that applies only to somthing marketed as a radar
detector; X band radar is just above the amateur 10GHz allocation, and
K is inside our 24GHz allocation, so technically, an amateur receiver
for these frequencies with a good signal strength meter for these
frequencies would be a radar detector...

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

965. Re: Using U.S scanner online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 12:18:24 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <43gd4ug4fe4e94rt9ck0270ilahlk07dr2@4ax.com>
Source record: 53836

Whats the URL?

On 17 Jan 2002 00:40:02 GMT, dogmarty@aol.com (Dogmarty) wrote:

>This is an indirect "scanning question". There is a website called US SCANNER
>ONLINE. You can "read" the magazine...past and present by downloading it.
>
>Only problem..it comes zipped...when I open it it creates multiple files on my
>c drive...creating a mess of files! I can read it...but I just gotta think all
>these files that get created...must be wrong! Can anyone who uses this...walk
>me thru the ...easy way to read the US SCANNER ONLINE? Seems to contain some
>nice information!
>
>Thank You

966. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 12:25:05 UTC
From: n2ah@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ce47897e.0201170425.2e1d8506@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53837

The aircraft radio was locked in a hotel safe. The Egyptian "suspect"
has now been cleared. Another individual (the rightful owner) has come
forward and claimed the radio.

Art Harris N2AH

"Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com> wrote in message
news:<LlJ%7.106987$Y6.10448554@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>...
> The've announced on the news that a person has been arrested for
> posessing an aircraft radio at a hotel near ground zero on Sept. 11th.
> Reading the reports makes it look like the very act of owning one of
> these radios brands one as being an criminal!!!
>
> You can buy aircraft handitalkies on eBay any day of the week, since
> the transceivers are not illegal to own, or nor is it illegal to monitor
> air
> comms. Does anyone else get the feeling that anyone from now on
> who is observed monitoring aircomms will be labelled an terrorist or
> worst?
>
> Peter

967. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 12:32:01 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <5vz18.3497$75.2458953@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53838

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a25l3p$ugvtd$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> I think he means that inlieu of a APCO radio or an APCO radio with card,
can
> a radio send the data received to a computer to complete the decoding
> processes?

I suppose you could, but you'd have to purchase the APCO specs and write a
decoding program to do same. Considering APCO25 has been around for a few
years now, and no one has done it, I'd place wagers that it's nothing easy.

- Rob

968. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 12:33:50 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <Owz18.3498$75.2458947@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53839

WAIT -- THAT CAN'T BE!!! OUR RIGHTS WERE TAKEN AWAY BECAUSE OF THIS EVENT --
THE CONSPIRACY MUST LIVE ON!!!! There's no WAY the gov't would let him go,
since they are on a mission to arrest all people who listen to aircraft
comm's ;>

"Art Harris" <n2ah@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ce47897e.0201170425.2e1d8506@posting.google.com...
> The aircraft radio was locked in a hotel safe. The Egyptian "suspect"
> has now been cleared. Another individual (the rightful owner) has come
> forward and claimed the radio.

969. Re: question AOR 3000a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 13:40:56 UTC
From: Stockman Lieven <lieven.stockman@pandora.be>
Message-ID: <IvA18.77127$rt4.5486@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 53840

Hello John

yes that's right

there isn't a difrence between scanning or tuning (100 ﷽ 200 mhz that's
about it)at only
on NFM , AM is excellent
I can tell you I've remove this print for started and stop a tape recorder
I was narly sure of this the cause of the problem
But I was wrong , everything is still the same like before only I can't
connect
a recoder,but that's not important

also I am waiting for a new antenna (log periodic) and a new coax (aircell
7)
maybe can that give any solutions.

Whith peasure your opinion

thanx before

one more time sorry for the mistakes (english)

greetz
lieven from belguim

"John Kristian Snekvik" <Cyber205@tiscali.no> schreef in bericht
news:08y18.6173$N77.54272@news.world-online.no...
> Have i got this right?
>
> Youre experiencing a "open squelch" sound when the reciever leaves an
active
> station?.. Is this phenomen occuring only while scanning or can it occur
> while tuning over an active channel aswell?..
>
> And this is only happening in channels that are within 100-200mhz?
>
> John Kr.
>
> "Stockman Lieven" <lieven.stockman@pandora.be> skrev i melding
> news:irR08.69313$rt4.4745@afrodite.telenet-ops.be...
> > John
> >
> > I have both also the problem, normal I use a superdiscone on my
roof
> .

> > with the indoor I mean the antenna included bij a new aor
> >
> > I reapeat the receive is super. only a noise comparable with an open
> sqeuls
> > when it left an incoming station.
> >
> > thanx in advance
> > greetz
> > lieven
> >
> >
> > "John Kristian Snekvik" <Cyber205@tiscali.no> schreef in bericht
> > news:AwH08.6054$N77.50351@news.world-online.no...
> > > Great scanner man!
> > >
> > > Are you using an indoor antenna or outdoor?
> > > "Stockman Lieven" <lieven.stockman@pandora.be> skrev i melding
> > > news:IuD08.67873$rt4.4571@afrodite.telenet-ops.be...
> > > > Hello
> > > >
> > > > I'am from belguim here isn't any dealer but I've an ARO 3000a
buy
> > in
> > > > the Netherlands
> > > >
> > > > The scanner works perfect for recieve:
> > > > But on NFM between the freq.100.000 mhz 200.000 mhz . It makes a
> > > > interfering noise
> > > > only when it had recieved a channel a left again
> > > > This its makes only on NFM and a little a WFM
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > is that normal
> > > > Can someone give advice ??
> > > > Thanx before
> > > > sorry for mistakes my knowlege of english is verry poor
> > > >
> > > > NOTE: there is a print into placed for automatic started a tape
> recorder
> > > > can that be cause of the probleem
> > > >
> > > > greetz
> > > > lieven
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
>
>

970. FS Realistic Pro 2030 unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 14:36:36 UTC
From: vic <vaf@nt.net>
Message-ID: <6Xq18.15302$o_.2906198@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 53810

```
Realistic Pro 2030 - 800 mzh Scanner
No gaps between 800 and 956 mzh
Picks up all. In excellent condition.
Canada, but will also ship to US.
See post here.
http://www.denverradio.com/used.pro

vic
```

971. Re: 220 Mhz Public Safety/Mutual Aid Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 14:50:51 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <ftod4us4bshhqhc14qin9sgh7eof9a94cu@4ax.com>
Source record: 53842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 02:51:00 GMT, Keith <user@spam.eat> wrote:

>> Can we receive these types of modulation on our scanners?
>
>
> Yes, if you have a SSB capable radio. Many of these radio
>systems are trunked.

SSB radios will work, the specific designation is ACSB for Amplitude Compandered SideBand. It's basically a single sideband signal with a reference carrier inserted so that one can lock up on to the sideband signal and set the AGC appropriately.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

972. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 14:53:12 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <c7pd4ucvqck3uif51ik8fu258fmhhlohrg@4ax.com>
Source record: 53843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 00:29:19 GMT, Skatebrat <skatebrat@home.net>
wrote:

>"It picks up the waves off the radar detectors," said Tela Mange,
>the department's spokeswoman. "It gets very confusing,
>but it's great technology."

Yeah, but the cheapest radar detectors, those that merely detect
radiation in TRF fashion, can't be detected. They're hunting for the
radar detector LO. And the game plays on...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

973. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 15:04:44 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <a26ov7$4s2$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 53791

Harris wrote in message <0um18.7138$0g.181430@iad-read.news.verio.net>...
>Robert D. Baker <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote:
>
>> Harris wrote in message ...
>
>> >First off, insects have antennae. In radio we use antennas.
>
>> Sheesh, I really wish you spelling/grammar lamers would at least
>> pick up a dictionary before you spout off.
>> Either form of the plural is acceptable.
>
>
>In the engineering and electronics world, the word "antennae" is not used.
>I'm just trying to help a newbie.

Small world you live in....

"Antennae" as used in the engineering and electronics world:

http://www.wallen-antennae.co.uk/ A manufacturer labels itself with the
'not used' term...

http://www.cs.usyd.edu.au/~jimd/ an illiterate Aussie ham, apparently.

http://imagers.gsfc.nasa.gov/ems/radio.html MY GOD! Those fools at NASA
haven't been updated, either!

http://www.the-saudi.net/communication/ant.htm Somebody forgot to tell
the Saudi Network about this..

http://home.wanadoo.nl/dms/meetings/mac_april_2000.html The Dutch Meteor
Society lapses into using a term not used....the buffoons!

http://image.gsfc.nasa.gov/rpi/ NASA does it again. When will this
madness end?

http://www.css.tayloru.edu/~physics/picosat/technical_specs/communications/s
um_from_pap.htm
Stupid college kids! Whaddathey know!

http://icarus.uom.ac.mu/mrt2.html

http://www.wcbn.org/history/wcbntime.html OK, this is just an FM
station...

http://spaceflight.nasa.gov/spacenews/reports/issreports/2002/iss02-03.html

But dagnabit if NASA still isn't using this term that's 'not used'.

>In the engineering and electronics world, the word "antennae" is not used.

rolls eyes

>I'm just trying to help a newbie.

Ayep. You're a ham, aren't you?

974. Re: San Francisco Bay Area Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 15:08:19 UTC
From: ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <b6qd4uor462ao474pat2nqfg46pg2n7igt@4ax.com>
Source record: 53844

People always criticize what they don't have... human nature.

On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 02:15:04 -0500, "3d_guy" <scgraphx@netzero.net>
wrote:

>I really like my pro-94 too, though I have heard other talking down about
>it...
>
>It kicks ass..

975. (PA-SitRep) Emergency Situation Report e-mail list for PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 16:52:15 UTC
From: pasitrep-at-pa-sitrep-dot-com
Message-ID: <3c470122.228807318@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 53841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

PA-SitRep is a free, 100% non-commercial,
nonprofit, e-mail list and web site.

The PA-SitRep emergency traffic e-mail list is a
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State
of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism, major fires,
manhunts, and Amateur Radio Emergency Net
activations.

The PA-SitRep message posting protocol
caters to the users of text messaging capable
digital cell phones as well as users of regular
internet e-mail.

Message volume on PA-SitRep is EXTREMELY
low and users may subscribe and unsubscribe
at any time. Anyone willing to abide by the posting
protocol is welcome to join.

To learn more about the PA-SitRep E-Mail list,
please visit the following web page:
http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. We'll look forward to your participation.

TNX
de KB3FXI

976. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 17:23:34 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hMD18.149$eh3.71912@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53845

ROFLMAO. Yes if this had happened before the 60's ...well it wouldn't have. The police would have never questioned these folks back in the 50's or 60's before we lost all our rights!

In article <Owz18.3498$75.2458947@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, rdale@skywatch.org says...
> WAIT -- THAT CAN'T BE!!! OUR RIGHTS WERE TAKEN AWAY BECAUSE OF THIS EVENT --
> THE CONSPIRACY MUST LIVE ON!!!! There's no WAY the gov't would let him go,
> since they are on a mission to arrest all people who listen to aircraft
> comm's ;>
>
> "Art Harris" <n2ah@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ce47897e.0201170425.2e1d8506@posting.google.com...
> > The aircraft radio was locked in a hotel safe. The Egyptian "suspect"
> > has now been cleared. Another individual (the rightful owner) has come
> > forward and claimed the radio.
>
>
>

977. Re: 400 channel Scanner 25-1300 Mhz no gaps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 17:26:34 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C47094A.3060502@dccnet.com>
Source record: 53875

Sold...

R.Smith wrote:

> For Sale, Commtel COM510 400 CHANNEL SCANNER 500KHz-1300MHz *NO GAPS*
> This is a very tiny hand held scanner,I bought this new from Javiation
> See for more info and a picture http://www.javiation.co.uk/com510.html
> This is the same radio as the Weltz-1000 (400 ch) or Weltz-2000 (1000 ch)
> This is an excellent radio overall! (check out Strong Signals Review)
> http://strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=rev&class=recv&num=004
>
> It is like new! with box and manual.
> $150 plus shipping
> Please e-mail me directly at rusty@dccnet.com
> Thanks-Russ
>

978. Re: Antennas on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 18:05:19 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <znE18.7301$0g.184482@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 53747

<KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote:

> The Ringo has a chart which can set where it is center tuned to. Even tuned to
> 146MHz it will perform for receive at 108-136 far better than the discone. No,
> it will not have the full 3db gain, but it will still be better than 0db for the
> discone.

So according to this, he gets, at best, less than 3dB difference.

> >9913. The air dielectric combinied with flexible jacket leads to water
> >vapor infiltration. 9913 is great when it's new or if it's used indoors.
> >But for outdoor use, losses will increase over time. For scanner use, RG-6
> >makes the most sense.

> Installed correctly this will not be a problem,

> A new scanner user can
> always get one end of the cable pre-installed with the needed connector, thus
> reducing the chance for this problem.

> The fact
> that all the outside connections are covered in heat shrink and coax seal
> probably helps.

Not necessarily. The problem is not the connectors. The outer jacket of
the cable is not a hermetic seal. It breathes.

On a hot summer day, the coax warms up. Then a thunderstorm breaks out and
the temperature drops. As the air inside the coax contracts, it sucks in
water vapor through the jacket. This WILL happen unless you have the cable
pressurized with nitrogen or some such.

Try making insertion loss measurements on new 9913, and measure again
after a few years.

Art Harris N2AH

979. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 18:06:11 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0201171006.3701cb5a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53846

Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<3C447854.4FADD661@worldnet.att.net>...
> So the thing to do is identify yourself, then exercise your 5th
> amendment right.
>
> Correct?
>
> Norm Melick

That is perfectly legal.

http:frs-usa.com

980. Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 18:49:05 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <B0F18.21754$h2c.640@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53748
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner
Overheard on radio

Adrian Humphreys
National Post

The Hamilton chief of police has apologized after his officers scrapped drinking-and-driving spot checks near a police social function out of fear they might nab senior police personnel.

Two officers, whose chatter over police radios was overheard by a citizen who had been seriously injured by an impaired driver, will be disciplined for giving the impression police received special treatment from their fellow officers, Chief Ken Robertson said yesterday after conducting an internal probe.

On the evening of a large banquet in November, given to honour retiring police officers and attended by Chief Robertson and other senior officers, two constables questioned the wisdom of setting up a spot check close to the Canadian Warplane Heritage Museum, where the dinner was held.

"I just thought of something funny ... the Retirement Dinner is tonight at the Warplane Heritage Museum.... Ha, ha, ha, ha," one constable said to another.

The officers were planning to set up a roadblock where drivers are stopped and checked for signs of intoxication. The program is called Reduce Impaired Driving Everywhere (RIDE) in Ontario, CheckStop in Western Canada, Counter Attack in British Columbia and Pas-Alcool in Quebec.

A second officer then replied: "I don't like the idea of doing RIDE lanes if the chief and the retired people are partying out this way. We should go somewhere else. What do you think?"

The first officer then suggested an alternate location.

After a two-month internal probe, Chief Robertson said that was inappropriate. "The community has to believe that, when it comes to things like RIDE lanes, police will not be given preferential treatment," he said.

"The officers are going to be held accountable. We've not been as professional as we should. I'm taking it hard on this one. You know how how proud I am in this service and this really hurts."

Matt Burke, 22, complained about the officers after hearing it over his radio scanner. He is sensitive to the issue after he was hit by a drunk driver in 1997.

"I was hurt pretty bad, broke both my legs and had a collapsed lung and a bunch of stuff. I've tons of metal in my legs and scars," Mr. Burke said.

He is satisfied with the chief's report and accepts the apology.

"He seemed heartfelt when he said it; he seemed sincere," Mr. Burke said.

"I don't want to see these two officers fired or anything but I think it was inappropriate. The way it came over the scanner and what I heard, it didn't sit right with me."

He is particularly bothered by the "Ha ha ha ha," quip of the officer.

The fate of the constables -- one a veteran with close to 25 years' service and the other with about five years' experience -- is up to the force's Discipline Review Committee. Punishment for officers can range from a discussion with a supervisor to demotion or dismissal under the Police Services Act.

"These are outstanding officers that, up until this incident, have been strong producers committed to public safety and highway enforcement. The fact that they are outstanding officers certainly is in their favour," Chief Robertson said.

David Mitchell, a city councillor, remains concerned over how long it took the force to complete the investigation. "Why has it taken this long to come up with an answer? Because it has gone so long, the perception out there to the public is not a very positive one," Mr. Mitchell said.

ahumphreys@nationalpost.com

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02

981. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 20:05:39 UTC
From: eflorack@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <bd154db5.0201171205.76eef14d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c44e797.437912591@enews.newsguy.com>...
> On 15 Jan 2002 05:41:52 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:
>
> >True. The track bending is less o a problem in some areas than in
> >others, though,. And even there, a limitation on range here seem to me
> >a blessing as cells are designed. My thought is that the cost
> >effectiveness of such a system comes down to the cost of the
> >individual cells... and that's one question I've not got the answer
> >to. I can't imagine that the cost factors would be similar to that of
> >say a higher power VHF system. Indeed, I could see the costs on such
> >things being along the lines of the cost of a cell phone, once unit
> >volume becomes a factor.
>
> Well, you've just hit on another issue with designing a radio system
> for RR use - the extremely low traffic density on a typical piece of
> railroad. A normal radio system will have many users per site - a
> loading of perhaps 40 users per site would be in the ballpark. A
> railroad might have 2 (at VHF. If it were at 800 MHz, the number of
> users per site would be fractional)(*).

Oh, true. but it also seems to me we're dealing with a completely
different Cost/benefit matrix when we deal with such a system; the
number of users, unles congestion is an issue, never really enters the
equations. A completely weird CBA, I suppose, where the number of
actual users never really enters the discussion.

> For a normal site, the cost of the
> stations is low in comparison to the cost of the subscribers. For the
> RR, it's the opposite, so it's desirable that the system minimize the
> number of sites needed.

True. And yet the cost per dollar made goes up rather heavily.
Consdier the extra heavy designs involved with RR radios over the
years, and the extra heavy operational costs resulting from it.

> >Now, in all of this, I've been considering the east, and it's type of
> >terrain, and it's frequency congestion needs. You rightly point out
> >that the west is a vastly different sitaution terrain wise, and I
> >would add, radio needs wise.
>
> There's another issue: railroad equipment moves all across the country
> (and into Canada & Mexico). The radio in the locomotive has to work

> everywhere, so the radio system design has to be suitable for anywhere
> on the continent.

Easier these days then previously; dual mode systems are easier to get into; look at the number of multi-band two-ways out there on the HAM market; the costs on these have been dropping. I can't imagine that in volume, something capable of both bands wouldn't be workable. It's all PLL on LSI anyhow, except for the final amps.

> (* obviously in railroad yards the situation is reversed, but that makes
> up a fairly small percentage of RR mileage).

That's true, too. At the same time, consider that the yard will be at the heart of the congestion, since most yards are in cities. Perhaps another way of handling it would be placement of the 800 units into yard mules and units that don't tend to travel beyond the local area.

982. Re: Lake County, OH, now digital!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 20:21:13 UTC
From: Don Miller <brazzos@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a27ba2$3fg$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 53750

Sorry, I have no numbers for MDT's, I'm not sure you can scan them anyhow,
digital. Instead of radio, LE has direct access to Leads/NCIC for laptop
display, sort of bypasses dispatch and on the air info..
"Erik" <see@the.bottom.com> wrote in message
news:3c462c1b.283152@news-central.giganews.com...
> On Wed, 16 Jan 2002 20:39:19 -0500, "Don Miller" <brazzos@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Lot's of MDT being installed for law enforcement county wide, keeps
> >radio traffic down to minimum to and from dispatchers. That in itself
> >attenuates listening pleasures. :-)
>
> Oh really? Well, isn't that interesting. Any key numbers (separated
> by a decimal) I might care to know about? :-)

983. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 20:34:36 UTC
From: eflorack@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <bd154db5.0201171234.13f84932@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<6Ip18.55338$V55.3671463@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> Being a retired railroader, this thread just reminds me of all those
> railfans we used to see hanging around the ROW all ga-ga eyed over a
> freight train. They were easy to spot: striped
> overalls, striped cap, red bandanna, and fake "railroad" pocket watch (the
> kind with the steam
> train picture on the back). I swear, it was as if some of them would start
> foaming at the mouth
> at the sight of a train! LOL! Usually, we would answer their questions
> politely, and then snicker
> at them behind their backs and call them names. Like "train queers" and
> "National Railway Hysterical Society" because they got so beside themselves
> at the sight of a train.

I'll bite my touunge, here, to some degree. I can understand the
reaction but suggest you may be using a bit too wide a bruch, here.

>And, of course, they hung on every word that came
> from their requisite scanners hanging on their sides
> as if to copy those of us who had to carry the things with us.

Not really. In my experience it's simply to keep track of what's going
on. It's easier to phtograph somehting if you know where it is... and
also niceto know f it's worth snapping before it gets there so as to
get yourself set up properly.

> Nah Ya oughta try crossing thru a cut of cars with one of those old Motorola >"lunchbox"
radios we had in the '70s with the handset on top--along with
> lantern and switchlist.

Yeah. I can imagine. I used a few of those in my broadcast days in
downlink service. Bateries weren't worth much, heavy as they were. I
recall using them covering a news event, back in '79, here in
Rochester, when a bank holdup went terribly wrong. (Security Trust on
Thurston, for those who know Rochester) Turned into a hostage
situation. Lasted several hours, and by the end, nobody had any power
in those things.

> I mean no harm and I am not a fan-

That much is clear, yes.

>the railroad provided me a good living and a decent pension and I never could >understand the often obsessive interest people have about trains.

So, what attracted you to that line of work?

> However, I do know that familiarity often breeds contempt, and being out on > the yard on the third trick while railfans came to watch the Amtrak train at >3 in the morning did nothing to increase one's admiration for railfans! LMAO!

well, I know a night worker who does just that; brings his lunch with him, and sits out watching the night traffic. Gives hima chance to get out of the office, each night.

And yes, while this is clearly a railfan fourum, here, our subject is almost off topic, because it more strictly involves the technicalities and logistics of radio in a specific environment, not so much trains themselves....and I suppose a discussion which could be followed and appriciated even by those who wouldn't know how to describe the difference between an E8 and a GP40, on a prayer. Such a design environment is not one people often run into; and the different cell dynamics, I thought made things somewhat ionteresting.

That said, I'm not just a tech, I'm also a railfan. I have found raifanning to be a way of spending some QT with my kids, who get a MAJOR kick out of it, and lean quite a bit from it. Yes, they learn. They consider it fun, but I manage to get it turned into a learning session.

Practical Math, and logic, for example:
(OK, son... the dragger says 418 axles on that coal drag. How many cars does it have? Well, let's see, here. It had two 6 axle units on the front, which leaves 412 axles. Let's see, 4 axles per car, makes it 103 cars.)

Weight measurements, (Assuming X lbs of coal per car, how much is he hauling altogether?) Etc.

984. Wanted: ICOM TV-R7100 TV unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 20:56:44 UTC
From: jbeckett@stny.rr.com
Message-ID: <6nee4usup1q7qlpv6ejhbtp1nmi97eldk3@4ax.com>
Source record: 53752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Wanted: ICOM TV-R7100 TV unit

jbeckett@(NOSPAM)stny.rr.com
remove (NOSPAM) to email

985. Re: SCANCAT GOLD USERS..USING XP? NOT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 21:34:33 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020117163433.27685.00000039@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 53753

In article <3c46755c.5457787@news-server.optonline.net>, gig@i-2000.com (GaijinGig) writes:

>Hello all
>Anybody using scancat gold with XP or NOT using XP? i'm having some
>problems and would like to know if it's me(which it probabally is
>beacuse i tried " winscan too with little or no success")
>1. whole files vanishing w/o a trace after"saving them"

I am using scancat gold 8.0 w/windows ME on a PRO-2052. I have the same problem. Files that I have saved disappear.

>2.bank tag's and fleet id's vanishing too.

This really stinks after plugging in all the talkgroup descriptions. Open up a file and there is nothing!

Also after using Scancat gold if I try to use WinscanRS it will not work until I do a
reset of the scanner and reload all my banks with WinscanRS. Needless to say after forking out $100.00 for scancat I am very disappointed. I have yet to contact
Tech help at scancat but will do this soon.

Jim

986. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 22:01:49 UTC
From: mdf@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ae4f1fb.0201171401.1b004ed6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote:

> Two officers, whose chatter over police radios was overheard by a citizen
> who had been seriously injured by an impaired driver, will be disciplined
> for giving the impression police received special treatment from their
> fellow officers, Chief Ken Robertson said yesterday after conducting an
> internal probe.

How long will it take for Hamilton to provide its police department
with encrypted voice radios to prevent further "gifts" of these sorts
of "impressions"?

987. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 22:03:56 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <F0C6FD3D615C1221.F365E142ED4148C0.B7F780DD61779F8F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 53755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 09:53:12 -0500, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 00:29:19 GMT, Skatebrat <skatebrat@home.net>
>wrote:
>
>>"It picks up the waves off the radar detectors," said Tela Mange,
>>the department's spokeswoman. "It gets very confusing,
>>but it's great technology."
>
>Yeah, but the cheapest radar detectors, those that merely detect
>radiation in TRF fashion, can't be detected. They're hunting for the
>radar detector LO. And the game plays on...

Wouldn't it give a false positive on a ham receiver monitoring in the
24GHz ham band?

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

988. Re: SCANCAT GOLD USERS..USING XP? NOT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 22:22:47 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c474c13.16481969@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 53756

Well i finally DID get the scanner to work with the help of the
scancat tech support, Jim, who is the tech support person is very
helpful he did work with me and we got it to work.. although i did
go through the scancat software AND the winscan780 software hoping
that at least one would work i had success..
I have to finnish reading the manual to tighten up on some loose
ends.. then it should be ok you might wanna save all the files to a
floppy so this way you know the floppy has the data on it..On 17 Jan
2002 21:34:33 GMT, cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp (Jim) wrote:

>In article <3c46755c.5457787@news-server.optonline.net>, gig@i-2000.com
>(GaijinGig) writes:
>
>>Hello all
>>Anybody using scancat gold with XP or NOT using XP? i'm having some
>>problems and would like to know if it's me(which it probabally is
>>beacuse i tried " winscan too with little or no success")
>>1. whole files vanishing w/o a trace after"saving them"
>
>I am using scancat gold 8.0 w/windows ME on a PRO-2052. I have the same
>problem. Files that I have saved disappear.
>
>>2.bank tag's and fleet id's vanishing too.
>
>This really stinks after plugging in all the talkgroup descriptions. Open up a
>file and there is nothing!
>
>Also after using Scancat gold if I try to use WinscanRS it will not work until
>I do a
>reset of the scanner and reload all my banks with WinscanRS. Needless to say
>after forking out $100.00 for scancat I am very disappointed. I have yet to
>contact
>Tech help at scancat but will do this soon.
>
>Jim
>

989. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 22:33:24 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <UiI18.22010$h2c.20760@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53757
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

It's things like this that I would like to see go all the ways to the Supreme Court of Canada and set a precedent for scanner owners. Really, who is in the fault here in this situation.

The corrupt police force who didn't want to harm there own people, which in case would in fact harm everyone else, had one there own got into an accident an killed someone after driving drunk. Or the guy listening to the scanner who told the cops what he had heard on it. Which is illegal to do....

REALLY FOLKS, WHO IS IN THE FAULT HERE. THINK ABOUT IT. Most of the scanner listeners out there are people that would probably love to help out there communities with the use of there scanners, by doing things like this.

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

<mdf@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8ae4f1fb.0201171401.1b004ed6@posting.google.com...
> "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote:
>
> > Two officers, whose chatter over police radios was overheard by a
citizen
> > who had been seriously injured by an impaired driver, will be
disciplined
> > for giving the impression police received special treatment from their
> > fellow officers, Chief Ken Robertson said yesterday after conducting an
> > internal probe.
>
> How long will it take for Hamilton to provide its police department
> with encrypted voice radios to prevent further "gifts" of these sorts
> of "impressions"?

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02

990. FS:...Yaesu VR-120 Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 22:58:46 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201171458.5791f6ee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53758

Excellent wideband communications scanning receiver. FM/WFM/AM
100KHZ-1300MHZ (USA version). Purchased new in Dec,2001. It is in
perfect condition like new, includes an original box, manual, warranty
card, BNC antenna, wrist strap, and belt clip. Asking $100 shipped
CONUS. US Postal Money Order preferred.
If interested, reply directly to me,
mailto:nr6z@yahoo.com

For more information, Visit Yaesu VR-120 website.
http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr120tx.html

991. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 22:59:53 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <3A6E4BD68973A613.EDC481959CCEB6FF.3076B12179DD73B9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 53759
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 22:33:24 GMT, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
wrote:

>The corrupt police force who didn't want to harm there own people, which in
>case would in fact harm everyone else, had one there own got into an
>accident an killed someone after driving drunk. Or the guy listening to the
>scanner who told the cops what he had heard on it. Which is illegal to
>do....

Uh, is Canada's law that much different from ours here? The PD is a
party to their own transmissions, so it would be legal here to discuss
such with them.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

992. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 23:07:44 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C475940.165FF2AA@USA.net>
Source record: 53760
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

N9NWO, you need to tell that too Billy Turzan from the state of LA. He heads up the communications committee in the U. S. Congress and pushed the cellular ban in the scanner industry. Wonder how much he was paid by the cellular industry for his push and vote? I do agree with you.

N9NWO wrote:

> >
> > >Who gives a shit, sparky?
> >
> > We want to keep our radios just
> > as we want to keep our firearms
> >
> > If we lose one right,
> > then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
> >
> > It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
> > You wouldn't understand.
>
> And the ban on having scanners that can
> receive cellular is much like the assault
> rifle ban.

993. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 23:14:46 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <GVI18.482$2n2.478174@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 53762
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

I am not sure who CTIA is but they seem
to be the group that pushed these laws.

<jr127@USA.net> wrote ...
: N9NWO, you need to tell that too Billy Turzan from the state of LA. He
: heads up the communications committee in the U. S. Congress and
: pushed the cellular ban in the scanner industry. Wonder how much he was
: paid by the cellular industry for his push and vote? I do agree with
: you.
:
: N9NWO wrote:
:
: > >
: > > >Who gives a shit, sparky?
: > >
: > > We want to keep our radios just
: > > as we want to keep our firearms
: > >
: > > If we lose one right,
: > > then we will lose ALL of our Rights.
: > >
: > > It's a "Bill Of Rights thing"
: > > You wouldn't understand.
: >
: > And the ban on having scanners that can
: > receive cellular is much like the assault
: > rifle ban.
:

994. BC 895 DOES IT DOE MOTOROLA TYPE 2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 23:27:07 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c475d4d.20891690@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 53761

does this scanner do motorola typ2 trunking? thanks
gig..

995. Re: BC 895 DOES IT DOE MOTOROLA TYPE 2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-17 23:53:16 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <CtJ18.369$17.328014@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 53763

Yes, 800 MHz only, one system at a time.

"GaijinGig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3c475d4d.20891690@news-server.optonline.net...
> does this scanner do motorola typ2 trunking? thanks
> gig..

996. Re: Using U.S scanner online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 00:07:44 UTC
From: Hank <h@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <bppe4u8de2r8oj4j70916p1ji5e5a48v5p@4ax.com>
Source record: 53764

On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 12:18:24 GMT, eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
wrote:

I found this on Google

http://www.usscanner.com/public_html/index.html

>Whats the URL?
>
>On 17 Jan 2002 00:40:02 GMT, dogmarty@aol.com (Dogmarty) wrote:
>
>>This is an indirect "scanning question". There is a website called US SCANNER
>>ONLINE. You can "read" the magazine...past and present by downloading it.
>>
>>Only problem..it comes zipped...when I open it it creates multiple files on my
>>c drive...creating a mess of files! I can read it...but I just gotta think all
>>these files that get created...must be wrong! Can anyone who uses this...walk
>>me thru the ...easy way to read the US SCANNER ONLINE? Seems to contain some
>>nice information!
>>
>>Thank You

997. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 00:13:20 UTC
From: Splinter <outdoorkindaguyHATES_SPAM@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <BMJ18.20867$jt2.2941914@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 53765
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

Sort of reminds me of the case of the drunken Chief of Police in Cobourg...
all charges dismissed for drinking and driving.

Disgusting and shameful what happens in this country...

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
news:B0F18.21754$h2c.640@news2.bloor.is...
> Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from
> police dinner
> Overheard on radio
>
>
> Adrian Humphreys
> National Post
>
> The Hamilton chief of police has apologized after his officers scrapped
> drinking-and-driving spot checks near a police social function out of fear
> they might nab senior police personnel.
>
> Two officers, whose chatter over police radios was overheard by a citizen
> who had been seriously injured by an impaired driver, will be disciplined
> for giving the impression police received special treatment from their
> fellow officers, Chief Ken Robertson said yesterday after conducting an
> internal probe.
>
> On the evening of a large banquet in November, given to honour retiring
> police officers and attended by Chief Robertson and other senior officers,
> two constables questioned the wisdom of setting up a spot check close to
the
> Canadian Warplane Heritage Museum, where the dinner was held.
>
> "I just thought of something funny ... the Retirement Dinner is tonight at
> the Warplane Heritage Museum.... Ha, ha, ha, ha," one constable said to
> another.
>
> The officers were planning to set up a roadblock where drivers are stopped
> and checked for signs of intoxication. The program is called Reduce
Impaired
> Driving Everywhere (RIDE) in Ontario, CheckStop in Western Canada, Counter
> Attack in British Columbia and Pas-Alcool in Quebec.
>
> A second officer then replied: "I don't like the idea of doing RIDE lanes
if

> the chief and the retired people are partying out this way. We should go
> somewhere else. What do you think?"
>
> The first officer then suggested an alternate location.
>
> After a two-month internal probe, Chief Robertson said that was
> inappropriate. "The community has to believe that, when it comes to things
> like RIDE lanes, police will not be given preferential treatment," he
said.
>
> "The officers are going to be held accountable. We've not been as
> professional as we should. I'm taking it hard on this one. You know how
how
> proud I am in this service and this really hurts."
>
> Matt Burke, 22, complained about the officers after hearing it over his
> radio scanner. He is sensitive to the issue after he was hit by a drunk
> driver in 1997.
>
> "I was hurt pretty bad, broke both my legs and had a collapsed lung and a
> bunch of stuff. I've tons of metal in my legs and scars," Mr. Burke said.
>
> He is satisfied with the chief's report and accepts the apology.
>
> "He seemed heartfelt when he said it; he seemed sincere," Mr. Burke said.
>
> "I don't want to see these two officers fired or anything but I think it
was
> inappropriate. The way it came over the scanner and what I heard, it
didn't
> sit right with me."
>
> He is particularly bothered by the "Ha ha ha ha," quip of the officer.
>
> The fate of the constables -- one a veteran with close to 25 years'
service
> and the other with about five years' experience -- is up to the force's
> Discipline Review Committee. Punishment for officers can range from a
> discussion with a supervisor to demotion or dismissal under the Police
> Services Act.
>
> "These are outstanding officers that, up until this incident, have been
> strong producers committed to public safety and highway enforcement. The
> fact that they are outstanding officers certainly is in their favour,"
Chief
> Robertson said.
>
> David Mitchell, a city councillor, remains concerned over how long it took
> the force to complete the investigation. "Why has it taken this long to
come

> up with an answer? Because it has gone so long, the perception out there
to
> the public is not a very positive one," Mr. Mitchell said.
>
> ahumphreys@nationalpost.com
>
>
> --
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> http://www.nysing.org
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02
>
>

998. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 00:17:25 UTC
From: Splinter <outdoorkindaguyHATES_SPAM@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <rQJ18.20891$jt2.2945261@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 53766
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

Kyle Foster On or around November 1999, Cobourg Deputy police chief Kyle Foster, 50, pleaded not guilty to the charge of driving with a blood-alcohol level above the legal limit. He was arrested in November 1999 when he was pulled over by provincial police officer Const. Chris Watkins during a RIDE program. Watkins, 25, told court he was conducting a RIDE program by himself when he arrested Foster, a 25-year veteran of the Cobourg force. Foster was on his way home from a police board meeting and said he stopped at a bar and had three drinks, Watkins testified. Foster's passenger was Cobourg's police Chief John Kaye, who had also been drinking.

"Splinter" <outdoorkindaguyHATES_SPAM@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:BMJ18.20867$jt2.2941914@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> Sort of reminds me of the case of the drunken Chief of Police in
Cobourg...
> all charges dismissed for drinking and driving.
>
> Disgusting and shameful what happens in this country...
>
>
> "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:B0F18.21754$h2c.640@news2.bloor.is...
> > Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away
from
> > police dinner
> > Overheard on radio
> >
> >
> > Adrian Humphreys
> > National Post
> >
> > The Hamilton chief of police has apologized after his officers scrapped
> > drinking-and-driving spot checks near a police social function out of
fear
> > they might nab senior police personnel.
> >
> > Two officers, whose chatter over police radios was overheard by a
citizen
> > who had been seriously injured by an impaired driver, will be
disciplined
> > for giving the impression police received special treatment from their
> > fellow officers, Chief Ken Robertson said yesterday after conducting an

> > internal probe.
> >
> > On the evening of a large banquet in November, given to honour retiring
> > police officers and attended by Chief Robertson and other senior
officers,
> > two constables questioned the wisdom of setting up a spot check close to
> the
> > Canadian Warplane Heritage Museum, where the dinner was held.
> >
> > "I just thought of something funny ... the Retirement Dinner is tonight
at
> > the Warplane Heritage Museum.... Ha, ha, ha, ha," one constable said to
> > another.
> >
> > The officers were planning to set up a roadblock where drivers are
stopped
> > and checked for signs of intoxication. The program is called Reduce
> Impaired
> > Driving Everywhere (RIDE) in Ontario, CheckStop in Western Canada,
Counter
> > Attack in British Columbia and Pas-Alcool in Quebec.
> >
> > A second officer then replied: "I don't like the idea of doing RIDE
lanes
> if
> > the chief and the retired people are partying out this way. We should go
> > somewhere else. What do you think?"
> >
> > The first officer then suggested an alternate location.
> >
> > After a two-month internal probe, Chief Robertson said that was
> > inappropriate. "The community has to believe that, when it comes to
things
> > like RIDE lanes, police will not be given preferential treatment," he
> said.
> >
> > "The officers are going to be held accountable. We've not been as
> > professional as we should. I'm taking it hard on this one. You know how
> how
> > proud I am in this service and this really hurts."
> >
> > Matt Burke, 22, complained about the officers after hearing it over his
> > radio scanner. He is sensitive to the issue after he was hit by a drunk
> > driver in 1997.
> >
> > "I was hurt pretty bad, broke both my legs and had a collapsed lung and
a
> > bunch of stuff. I've tons of metal in my legs and scars," Mr. Burke
said.
> >

> > He is satisfied with the chief's report and accepts the apology.
> >
> > "He seemed heartfelt when he said it; he seemed sincere," Mr. Burke
said.
> >
> > "I don't want to see these two officers fired or anything but I think it
> was
> > inappropriate. The way it came over the scanner and what I heard, it
> didn't
> > sit right with me."
> >
> > He is particularly bothered by the "Ha ha ha ha," quip of the officer.
> >
> > The fate of the constables -- one a veteran with close to 25 years'
> service
> > and the other with about five years' experience -- is up to the force's
> > Discipline Review Committee. Punishment for officers can range from a
> > discussion with a supervisor to demotion or dismissal under the Police
> > Services Act.
> >
> > "These are outstanding officers that, up until this incident, have been
> > strong producers committed to public safety and highway enforcement. The
> > fact that they are outstanding officers certainly is in their favour,"
> Chief
> > Robertson said.
> >
> > David Mitchell, a city councillor, remains concerned over how long it
took
> > the force to complete the investigation. "Why has it taken this long to
> come
> > up with an answer? Because it has gone so long, the perception out there
> to
> > the public is not a very positive one," Mr. Mitchell said.
> >
> > ahumphreys@nationalpost.com
> >
> >
> > --
> > Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> >
> > National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> >
> > NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> > http://www.nysing.org
> >
> >
> >
> >

> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02
> >
> >
>
>

999. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 00:31:22 UTC
From: Dave Accetta <Dontspamme@home.please>
Message-ID: <u1K18.2312$lp6.1867@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53767
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

This is one of those gems I love about the internet. Thanks for sharing
that.

--

Dave A
Pro-91
NYC

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
news:B0F18.21754$h2c.640@news2.bloor.is...
> Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from
> police dinner
> Overheard on radio
>
>
> Adrian Humphreys
> National Post
>
> The Hamilton chief of police has apologized after his officers scrapped
> drinking-and-driving spot checks near a police social function out of fear
> they might nab senior police personnel.
>
> Two officers, whose chatter over police radios was overheard by a citizen
> who had been seriously injured by an impaired driver, will be disciplined
> for giving the impression police received special treatment from their
> fellow officers, Chief Ken Robertson said yesterday after conducting an
> internal probe.
>
> On the evening of a large banquet in November, given to honour retiring
> police officers and attended by Chief Robertson and other senior officers,
> two constables questioned the wisdom of setting up a spot check close to
the
> Canadian Warplane Heritage Museum, where the dinner was held.
>
> "I just thought of something funny ... the Retirement Dinner is tonight at
> the Warplane Heritage Museum.... Ha, ha, ha, ha," one constable said to
> another.
>
> The officers were planning to set up a roadblock where drivers are stopped
> and checked for signs of intoxication. The program is called Reduce
Impaired
> Driving Everywhere (RIDE) in Ontario, CheckStop in Western Canada, Counter

> Attack in British Columbia and Pas-Alcool in Quebec.
>
> A second officer then replied: "I don't like the idea of doing RIDE lanes
if
> the chief and the retired people are partying out this way. We should go
> somewhere else. What do you think?"
>
> The first officer then suggested an alternate location.
>
> After a two-month internal probe, Chief Robertson said that was
> inappropriate. "The community has to believe that, when it comes to things
> like RIDE lanes, police will not be given preferential treatment," he
said.
>
> "The officers are going to be held accountable. We've not been as
> professional as we should. I'm taking it hard on this one. You know how
how
> proud I am in this service and this really hurts."
>
> Matt Burke, 22, complained about the officers after hearing it over his
> radio scanner. He is sensitive to the issue after he was hit by a drunk
> driver in 1997.
>
> "I was hurt pretty bad, broke both my legs and had a collapsed lung and a
> bunch of stuff. I've tons of metal in my legs and scars," Mr. Burke said.
>
> He is satisfied with the chief's report and accepts the apology.
>
> "He seemed heartfelt when he said it; he seemed sincere," Mr. Burke said.
>
> "I don't want to see these two officers fired or anything but I think it
was
> inappropriate. The way it came over the scanner and what I heard, it
didn't
> sit right with me."
>
> He is particularly bothered by the "Ha ha ha ha," quip of the officer.
>
> The fate of the constables -- one a veteran with close to 25 years'
service
> and the other with about five years' experience -- is up to the force's
> Discipline Review Committee. Punishment for officers can range from a
> discussion with a supervisor to demotion or dismissal under the Police
> Services Act.
>
> "These are outstanding officers that, up until this incident, have been
> strong producers committed to public safety and highway enforcement. The
> fact that they are outstanding officers certainly is in their favour,"
Chief
> Robertson said.

>
> David Mitchell, a city councillor, remains concerned over how long it took
> the force to complete the investigation. "Why has it taken this long to
come
> up with an answer? Because it has gone so long, the perception out there
to
> the public is not a very positive one," Mr. Mitchell said.
>
> ahumphreys@nationalpost.com
>
>
> --
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> http://www.nysing.org
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02
>
>

1000. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 01:00:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <qtK18.2627$Ee7.146882@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

I'll start with what "attracted" me to the rail industry. It had nothing to do with liking trains, or being a railfan. At the time I hired on, I had only a faintest curiosity about railroads. The biggest impetus was M-O-N-E-Y. I was pushing 30 years old, working in low-paying jobs that weren't going anywhere. I was also keenly conscious of the piteous nature of "socialistic insecurity" whose only real success is buying votes for the party that started it. I wanted more.
I sat back, evaluated my education and experience, and where I wanted to be in 5 years from that time. It was the best move for me. I was working in a job where the hours were extremely long, the pay was extremely low, and the bosses made promises they didn't keep. I was the good employee that they didn't appreciate until the day I walked out the door. Southern Rail-way was hiring laborers for their Roadway Shop in Charlotte, NC, and I jumped at it. I hung on thru a move of the track material yard to Birmingham, AL, went to the clerk training center in McDonough, GA, and marked up on the Clerk's Extra Board in '82. There is where I became somewhat less than enamoured with *some* of the fans. Of course, some of them were/are very respectful, remain out of the way, and politely ask questions; these I had no problem with. It was the "militant", rabid, and know-it-all ones whose "knowledge" came from those fan mags like "Trains", and felt themselves fully qualified to tell us, the REAL railroaders, how to do our jobs. We knew most of these guys by name, and went out of our way to avoid contact with them. Of course, if they knew what we REALLY thought of them, how we mocked them behind their backs, they would have been mad. These guys, as I said, think they know all there is to know about railroading, and mostly, they DON'T! They know just enough to get themselves killed out there.

There was one young kid, just out of high school, who had hung around the tracks since he

could toddle. He, too, was "et up" with railroads, and hired out after I did.
He was going to show everybody how to run a railroad, and he spent hours downstairs at
the training center telling the engineer instructor how to run a locomotive! I had only become
acquainted with the instructor because the Coke machine was downstairs right in front of his
office. One day, I went down there to get a Coke and crackers at break, and "Jesse" (not
the boy's real name) passed me on the stairs on his way back up. The instructor was standing
in his doorway lighting his pipe and remarked to me, "That boy'll be dangerous out on the rail-
road!" Jesse lasted about 60 days out of his 90 day probation. I was working extra (I marked
up before Jess did), at an outlying station (agent), and the kid was "cubbing" the job with me.
You'd thought he was training ME by the gist of the conversation. He simply wouldn't listen
to anything the old heads tried to tell him; he already "knew" it! I marked off on vacation (I
already had 3 years service when I went clerking) for 2 weeks, and when I came back, Jess
had been fired! He had a habit of disappearing from his assigned duties and going out to watch
a freight pass. One day he exhausted all patience, and after an intense search, they found him
up in the TOWER calming discussing the yardmaster's job. So they told him to either resign
or be removed from service.

One morning I was called to protect the agent's job at an outlying station, and I arrived before
anyone else (there was another job that went on just before lunch). I was busy clearing my
switcher to go to work when this older gentleman (about 45) waltzed into the office. I had
left the door unlocked so the switcher conductor could come in and get his orders and work list. I was just finishing up on the dispatcher's line, and I noticed the fellow sit down over at the
mid-shift clerk's desk just like he belonged there and begin to reach for the waybills.

"WHOA!!, I cried, "Whaddya think you're doing?"

He looked at me funny and said, "I'm gonna line up these bills."

I said, "Oh, no you're not! YOU get outta here! You aren't even supposed to be in here!"

" Well, So-n-so lets me do this when I want to"

(I was getting upset by then) "Mister, I can't help what somebody else
does; that information
is confidential customer data, and you aren't supposed to mess with it! Now
LEAVE before I
call the cops!"

These sorts of fans are the ones I am talking about.

Again, the industry provided me a good living and a good pension. I was
hurt in 2000 and received my disability pension which far exceeds anything I
could have expected in most other
endeavors. THAT's what brought me to the rail industry--not some kind of
"fascination" with
trains. I suppose I did some things that fans could only wish they could
experience, but it was
in the course of doing my job (like deadheading from an assignment on an E8
or F7--even grabbing a ride home on NW611. Now the only time I ever come
close to the RR is when I
cross the bridge over it. LOL!

Jerry

eflorack <eflorack@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:bd154db5.0201171234.13f84932@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<6Ip18.55338$V55.3671463@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > Being a retired railroader, this thread just reminds me of all those
> > railfans we used to see hanging around the ROW all ga-ga eyed over a
> > freight train. They were easy to spot: striped
> > overalls, striped cap, red bandanna, and fake "railroad" pocket watch
(the
> > kind with the steam
> > train picture on the back). I swear, it was as if some of them would
start
> > foaming at the mouth
> > at the sight of a train! LOL! Usually, we would answer their questions
> > politely, and then snicker
> > at them behind their backs and call them names. Like "train queers" and
> > "National Railway Hysterical Society" because they got so beside
themselves
> > at the sight of a train.
>
> I'll bite my touunge, here, to some degree. I can understand the
> reaction but suggest you may be using a bit too wide a bruch, here.
>
>
> >And, of course, they hung on every word that came
> > from their requisite scanners hanging on their sides
> > as if to copy those of us who had to carry the things with us.
>
> Not really. In my experience it's simply to keep track of what's going
> on. It's easier to phtograph somehting if you know where it is... and

> also niceto know f it's worth snapping before it gets there so as to
> get yourself set up properly.
>
>
> > Nah Ya oughta try crossing thru a cut of cars with one of those old
Motorola >"lunchbox" radios we had in the '70s with the handset on
top--along with
> > lantern and switchlist.
>
> Yeah. I can imagine. I used a few of those in my broadcast days in
> downlink service. Bateries weren't worth much, heavy as they were. I
> recall using them covering a news event, back in '79, here in
> Rochester, when a bank holdup went terribly wrong. (Security Trust on
> Thurston, for those who know Rochester) Turned into a hostage
> situation. Lasted several hours, and by the end, nobody had any power
> in those things.
>
> > I mean no harm and I am not a fan-
>
> That much is clear, yes.
>
> >the railroad provided me a good living and a decent pension and I
> never could >understand the often obsessive interest people have about
> trains.
>
> So, what attracted you to that line of work?
>
>
> > However, I do know that familiarity often breeds contempt, and being
out on > the yard on the third trick while railfans came to watch the
Amtrak train at >3 in the morning did nothing to increase one's admiration
for railfans! LMAO!
>
>
> well, I know a night worker who does just that; brings his lunch with
> him, and sits out watching the night traffic. Gives hima chance to
> get out of the office, each night.
>
> And yes, while this is clearly a railfan fourum, here, our subject is
> almost off topic, because it more strictly involves the technicalities
> and logistics of radio in a specific environment, not so much trains
> themselves....and I suppose a discussion which could be followed and
> appriciated even by those who wouldn't know how to describe the
> difference between an E8 and a GP40, on a prayer. Such a design
> environment is not one people often run into; and the different cell
> dynamics, I thought made things somewhat ionteresting.
>
> That said, I'm not just a tech, I'm also a railfan. I have found
> raifanning to be a way of spending some QT with my kids, who get a
> MAJOR kick out of it, and lean quite a bit from it. Yes, they learn.

> They consider it fun, but I manage to get it turned into a learning
> session.
>
> Practical Math, and logic, for example:
> (OK, son... the dragger says 418 axles on that coal drag. How many
> cars does it have? Well, let's see, here. It had two 6 axle units on
> the front, which leaves 412 axles. Let's see, 4 axles per car, makes
> it 103 cars.)
>
> Weight measurements, (Assuming X lbs of coal per car, how much is he
> hauling altogether?) Etc.

1001. Looking for a police scanner disp. in South Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 01:13:35 UTC
From: alex <zasel28@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3FK18.3094$X4.245591@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53769

Looking for a police scanner disp. in South Florida

1002. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 01:21:29 UTC
From: Mark Walsh <mark@km6xu.die.spam.die.com>
Message-ID: <a27t56$ff2$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 53770
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

"N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote:
> <jr127@USA.net> wrote ...

> : N9NWO, you need to tell that too Billy Turzan from the
> : state of LA. He heads up the communications committee
> : in the U. S. Congress and pushed the cellular ban in the
> : scanner industry. Wonder how much he was paid by the
> : cellular industry for his push and vote? I do agree with
> : you.

> I am not sure who CTIA is but they seem
> to be the group that pushed these laws.

The Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association is
one of Billy Tauzin's greatest campaign contributors.
Their concern is to give the cellular user the illusion of privacy.
Yup, we've got the best democracy that money can buy.

Mark Walsh ***** mark@die.spam.die.km6xu.com ***** http://www.km6xu.com

1003. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 02:09:01 UTC
From: Matthew Delaney <delaney@ucs.net>
Message-ID: <1tL18.71802$Zh1.14114883@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53771

Perhaps someone will figure out the interface that Uniden's card uses and design an inexpensive interface for it so people can buy the card (to get the APCO-25 decoding) and then plug it in to an inexpesive interface that is attached to their current scanner's discriminator output...Then they don't need to buy a whole new scanner.

"Email to above or see post sig for address!" <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote in message news:jind4uo732mj9cpicadt41008am918bq9j@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 16 Jan 2002 22:15:50 -0500, "William Ferguson" <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Will digital scanning decoding be possible with a computer program or add on
> >board to a comp. interface?
>
> Is it possible yes, likely slightly.
>
> Unlikely that programs similar to Trunker, Treport, Wintone, etc. will appear
> that will add digital audio demodulation is unlikely. Unlikely, not impossible.
>
> The cost of licensing the needed codec from DVSI will keep many from developing
> such a device, and any software that does it would be infringing on DVSI's
> copyrighted work.
>
> Possible yes, likely no.
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Email To: wpascanner at yahoo dot com
> The Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
> http://www.wpascanner.com
> Western PA Scanner Mailing List -
> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> ---
> Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olympics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> ---
> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

1004. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 02:10:15 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c478263.608638730@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On 17 Jan 2002 12:05:39 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:

>igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c44e797.437912591@enews.newsguy.com>...

> A completely weird CBA, I suppose, where the number of
>actual users never really enters the discussion.

You're not the first one to get thrown for a loop by that :-)

>Consdier the extra heavy designs involved with RR radios over the
>years, and the extra heavy operational costs resulting from it.

Well, you know, that's not really a factor. The portables & the fixed equipment
aren't any different from what public safety types use, and the RR Spectra
mobile's only got a slight price difference from a normal mobile.

>> There's another issue: railroad equipment moves all across the country
>> (and into Canada & Mexico). The radio in the locomotive has to work
>> everywhere, so the radio system design has to be suitable for anywhere
>> on the continent.
>
>Easier these days then previously; dual mode systems are easier to get
>into; look at the number of multi-band two-ways out there on the HAM
>market;

Check Motorola's catalog. You won't find a single dual band radio in it, despite
years of pleading from the various Fed law enforcement types. It's a lot harder
to make a radio which meets public safety specs in multiple bands than it is to
make a low-spec ham radio (not meaning any offence to hams, here, it's just that
their requirements are different).

>> (* obviously in railroad yards the situation is reversed, but that makes
>> up a fairly small percentage of RR mileage).
>
>That's true, too. At the same time, consider that the yard will be at
>the heart of the congestion, since most yards are in cities. Perhaps
>another way of handling it would be placement of the 800 units into
>yard mules and units that don't tend to travel beyond the local area.

But why use 800 at all? Trunking is the solution, and trunking can work just as
well at VHF.

John

1005. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 02:13:58 UTC
From: Matthew Delaney <delaney@ucs.net>
Message-ID: <GxL18.71869$Zh1.14131728@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53772

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:kf5c4uk9au43trdct2tm0k8d951mokqhlm@4ax.com...
> RG-6 is merely adequate, what I recommended is what you need
> for the MAXIMUM signal into the radio.

I use RG-6 and found that for my 50' run it works very well..About the same as a ~75' run of RG-213. And the best thing about RG-6...CHEAP! (compared to the others :)

1006. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 02:15:34 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c4784af.609227327@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On Wed, 16 Jan 2002 20:25:07 -0500, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> Ya oughta try
>crossing thru a cut
>of cars with one of those old Motorola "lunchbox" radios we had in the '70s
>with the handset
>on top

Can't get those any more - some part of the gov't (OHSA?) decided that more than 5W of power was too much for a handheld radio - those things made 12 or 15W (or 20+ if "misadjusted"). Of course, that's also why they were hell on batteries.

John

1007. Re: Digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 02:32:09 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u4f28sbvo38gc0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53773

does anyone have a recording of some digital transmission that I could mess
with?
"William Ferguson" <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:u4cgeuas2ps510@corp.supernews.com...
> Will digital scanning decoding be possible with a computer program or add
on
> board to a comp. interface?
>
>

1008. Re: Service Scan Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 02:57:38 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C478F1F.44B1DCBE@amsat.org>
Source record: 53777

Erik wrote:

> About 2 years ago, I bought someone a BC120XLT as a gift. It had a
> Service Scan search. I remember it was pretty useful, because it gave
> me a bunch of active freqs that I put into my own BC3000XLT (which
> doesn't have a Service Scan).
>
> Question...how can I find out what freqs comrpise the service scans in
> today's scanners? You only know a freq if the scanner stops on one,
> but what's the complete set?

http://members.accessus.net/~090/awh/bc-780xlt.html#servscan

~Bart~

1009. Re: ooh ohh. Aircraft monitors under fire!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 03:17:57 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C4793B8.4EA02825@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53778

"Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
>
> Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<3C447854.4FADD661@worldnet.att.net>...
> > So the thing to do is identify yourself, then exercise your 5th
> > amendment right.
> >
> > Correct?
> >
> > Norm Melick
>
> That is perfectly legal.
>
> http:frs-usa.com

Thank you.

1010. LNIB Uniden 780XLT $280 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 03:22:30 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0201171922.bc2cc0d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53779

Uniden 780XLT, Excellent condition, box, manual, ac transformer, dc cable, antenna, dc cigar cable.

$280 with insured/tracked shipping Sorry no trades.

John

1011. Re: No action in belle chasse, La!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 03:26:00 UTC
From: shewscan@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020117222600.22134.00002228@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 53780

try this website....i live in houma and hear the state police troop trunked system...here is the website

http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl?state=LA

1012. I have same vehicle, here's how I did it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 03:32:37 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0201171932.4c06e185@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53781

Rich,

I have the exact same vehicle, I mounted my Uniden 780XLT in the extra trays in the center consol. You can carefully pry out the black top of the consol with a screwdriver between the base and the storage trays. It just snaps in. You will have to use a Dremel Tool to modify the plastic to fit your radio in this space. Dependent on depth you should have enough room to mount a radio. The top portion of the consol is replaceable from Ford parts (unknown price) or the junkyard. I also tapped into the accessory plug wiring inside the consol.

Hope that helps, as it's the only location in the vehicle for this type of option.

John

1013. Re: Most popular unblocked scanner????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 03:54:59 UTC
From: slime@slime.net
Message-ID: <3c47478d.3188775@news-server.new.rr.com>
Source record: 53783

I have purchased and had scanners shipped from overseas. They
usually arive within a week. On problems.

On Mon, 14 Jan 2002 14:49:15 GMT, "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote:

>This begs the question, what happens if something is shipped as opposed to
>carrying on their person? Seems that if it is shipped via some form of
>parcel post, that problem may be alleviated. Dunno if it will work, but
>just a thought.
>
>Ryan
>
>"John KB5AG" <jblack3@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:IkI%7.13499$mi7.2392755@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
>> Friend of mine bought a couple in Japan; Customs relieved him of them in
>> Chicago.
>>
>> "You pays your money and you takes your chances!"
>>
>>
>>
>> "j" <nctrnlboy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:11d9990e.0201092342.640874e6@posting.google.com...
>> > Hello
>> > I have dabbled in the scanning hobby for about 5 years now (still an
>> > amateur.....the only scanners I have owned are the bearcat sc150 &
>> > bct-7 ....I think those are the correct ones, I am typing this from
>> > memory here at work).
>> > Anyway I am planning a trip to the UK & was planning on purchasing a
>> > scanner (unblocked of course)for myself & i had an idea to buy a
>> > couple more to resell here in the US for a few extra bucks. I have
>> > more or less been out of the hobby for a year or so & am not up on
>> > what is a popular unblocked scanner that would be easy to sell here in
>> > the US. I know the importation of an unblocked scanner is
>> > illegal.....yada yada yada, but i figure i could recoup some of the
>> > cost of my trip by doing this. Any imput would help...........Thanks
>> > James
>>
>>
>>
>>

1014. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 04:03:57 UTC
From: Darrell Larose <cota348@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <N8N18.22536$h2c.9470@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53784
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

Can you prove this??? Sounds like a racist stereotype

"TOMMY Tutalidge" <j.shields@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3C47B72D.800B68BB@sympatico.ca...
> What the hell is ride doing out? The country can't afford it sober chinese
> drivers cause 1,000 more accidents than white drunk drivers so what
actually is
> the point of ride? Except to waste the taxpayers money the bastards don't
even
> give you anything free anymore.
>
> Champ wrote:
>
> > Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away
from
> > police dinner
> > Overheard on radio
> >
> > Adrian Humphreys
> > National Post
> >
> > The Hamilton chief of police has apologized after his officers scrapped
> > drinking-and-driving spot checks near a police social function out of
fear
> > they might nab senior police personnel.
> >
> > Two officers, whose chatter over police radios was overheard by a
citizen
> > who had been seriously injured by an impaired driver, will be
disciplined
> > for giving the impression police received special treatment from their
> > fellow officers, Chief Ken Robertson said yesterday after conducting an
> > internal probe.
> >
> > On the evening of a large banquet in November, given to honour retiring
> > police officers and attended by Chief Robertson and other senior
officers,
> > two constables questioned the wisdom of setting up a spot check close to
the
> > Canadian Warplane Heritage Museum, where the dinner was held.
> >
> > "I just thought of something funny ... the Retirement Dinner is tonight

at
> > the Warplane Heritage Museum.... Ha, ha, ha, ha," one constable said to
> > another.
> >
> > The officers were planning to set up a roadblock where drivers are
stopped
> > and checked for signs of intoxication. The program is called Reduce
Impaired
> > Driving Everywhere (RIDE) in Ontario, CheckStop in Western Canada,
Counter
> > Attack in British Columbia and Pas-Alcool in Quebec.
> >
> > A second officer then replied: "I don't like the idea of doing RIDE
lanes if
> > the chief and the retired people are partying out this way. We should go
> > somewhere else. What do you think?"
> >
> > The first officer then suggested an alternate location.
> >
> > After a two-month internal probe, Chief Robertson said that was
> > inappropriate. "The community has to believe that, when it comes to
things
> > like RIDE lanes, police will not be given preferential treatment," he
said.
> >
> > "The officers are going to be held accountable. We've not been as
> > professional as we should. I'm taking it hard on this one. You know how
how
> > proud I am in this service and this really hurts."
> >
> > Matt Burke, 22, complained about the officers after hearing it over his
> > radio scanner. He is sensitive to the issue after he was hit by a drunk
> > driver in 1997.
> >
> > "I was hurt pretty bad, broke both my legs and had a collapsed lung and
a
> > bunch of stuff. I've tons of metal in my legs and scars," Mr. Burke
said.
> >
> > He is satisfied with the chief's report and accepts the apology.
> >
> > "He seemed heartfelt when he said it; he seemed sincere," Mr. Burke
said.
> >
> > "I don't want to see these two officers fired or anything but I think it
was
> > inappropriate. The way it came over the scanner and what I heard, it
didn't
> > sit right with me."
> >

> > He is particularly bothered by the "Ha ha ha ha," quip of the officer.
> >
> > The fate of the constables -- one a veteran with close to 25 years'
service
> > and the other with about five years' experience -- is up to the force's
> > Discipline Review Committee. Punishment for officers can range from a
> > discussion with a supervisor to demotion or dismissal under the Police
> > Services Act.
> >
> > "These are outstanding officers that, up until this incident, have been
> > strong producers committed to public safety and highway enforcement. The
> > fact that they are outstanding officers certainly is in their favour,"
Chief
> > Robertson said.
> >
> > David Mitchell, a city councillor, remains concerned over how long it
took
> > the force to complete the investigation. "Why has it taken this long to
come
> > up with an answer? Because it has gone so long, the perception out there
to
> > the public is not a very positive one," Mr. Mitchell said.
> >
> > ahumphreys@nationalpost.com
> >
> > --
> > Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> >
> > National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> >
> > NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> > http://www.nysing.org
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02
>

1015. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 04:49:40 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <EPN18.1293$2n2.781999@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 53785
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

```
: > : N9NWO, you need to tell that too Billy Turzan from the
: > : state of LA. He heads up the communications committee
: > : in the U. S. Congress and pushed the cellular ban in the
: > : scanner industry. Wonder how much he was paid by the
: > : cellular industry for his push and vote? I do agree with
: > : you.
:
: > I am not sure who CTIA is but they seem
: > to be the group that pushed these laws.
:
: The Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association is
: one of Billy Tauzin's greatest campaign contributors.
: Their concern is to give the cellular user the illusion of privacy.
: Yup, we've got the best democracy that money can buy.

But who are they? The cellular service suppliers?
Who makes up CTIA?

And what this does show is that there are too few hams
in comparison to gun owners. Guess which group has
more influence in congress? And look at how the AARP
is so powerful yet it is just a bunch of old farts paying $25
each. Shows that even the little guys can have influence
if they band together.
```

1016. Re: Service Scan Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 05:19:13 UTC
From: James Rubin <jimrubin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <jimrubin-0F7555.00191318012002@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 53786

In article <3c4886ce.15786311@news-central.giganews.com>,
see@the.bottom.com (Erik) wrote:

> About 2 years ago, I bought someone a BC120XLT as a gift. It had a
> Service Scan search. I remember it was pretty useful, because it gave
> me a bunch of active freqs that I put into my own BC3000XLT (which
> doesn't have a Service Scan).
>
> Question...how can I find out what freqs comrpise the service scans in
> today's scanners? You only know a freq if the scanner stops on one,
> but what's the complete set?

Take the scanner in question. Put it into "Service Search". Open the
squelch, then hit "Hold". You may want to turn the volume all the way
down, because the open squelch audio can be annoying. Now, step through
the frequencies using the "Hold" button, and you will see all of the
discrete frequencies used in "Service Search" by that particular
scanner. Do that for each of the different segments in "Service Search"
(Police, Fire, News...whatever).
Happy Hunting!
Jim

--
--
James Rubin <jimrubin@mindspring.com>

1017. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 05:48:29 UTC
From: TOMMY Tutalidge <j.shields@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C47B72D.800B68BB@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 53775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

What the hell is ride doing out? The country can't afford it sober chinese drivers cause 1,000 more accidents than white drunk drivers so what actually is the point of ride? Except to waste the taxpayers money the bastards don't even give you anything free anymore.

Champ wrote:

> Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from
> police dinner
> Overheard on radio
>
> Adrian Humphreys
> National Post
>
> The Hamilton chief of police has apologized after his officers scrapped
> drinking-and-driving spot checks near a police social function out of fear
> they might nab senior police personnel.
>
> Two officers, whose chatter over police radios was overheard by a citizen
> who had been seriously injured by an impaired driver, will be disciplined
> for giving the impression police received special treatment from their
> fellow officers, Chief Ken Robertson said yesterday after conducting an
> internal probe.
>
> On the evening of a large banquet in November, given to honour retiring
> police officers and attended by Chief Robertson and other senior officers,
> two constables questioned the wisdom of setting up a spot check close to the
> Canadian Warplane Heritage Museum, where the dinner was held.
>
> "I just thought of something funny ... the Retirement Dinner is tonight at
> the Warplane Heritage Museum.... Ha, ha, ha, ha," one constable said to
> another.
>
> The officers were planning to set up a roadblock where drivers are stopped
> and checked for signs of intoxication. The program is called Reduce Impaired
> Driving Everywhere (RIDE) in Ontario, CheckStop in Western Canada, Counter
> Attack in British Columbia and Pas-Alcool in Quebec.
>
> A second officer then replied: "I don't like the idea of doing RIDE lanes if
> the chief and the retired people are partying out this way. We should go
> somewhere else. What do you think?"
>
> The first officer then suggested an alternate location.

>
> After a two-month internal probe, Chief Robertson said that was
> inappropriate. "The community has to believe that, when it comes to things
> like RIDE lanes, police will not be given preferential treatment," he said.
>
> "The officers are going to be held accountable. We've not been as
> professional as we should. I'm taking it hard on this one. You know how how
> proud I am in this service and this really hurts."
>
> Matt Burke, 22, complained about the officers after hearing it over his
> radio scanner. He is sensitive to the issue after he was hit by a drunk
> driver in 1997.
>
> "I was hurt pretty bad, broke both my legs and had a collapsed lung and a
> bunch of stuff. I've tons of metal in my legs and scars," Mr. Burke said.
>
> He is satisfied with the chief's report and accepts the apology.
>
> "He seemed heartfelt when he said it; he seemed sincere," Mr. Burke said.
>
> "I don't want to see these two officers fired or anything but I think it was
> inappropriate. The way it came over the scanner and what I heard, it didn't
> sit right with me."
>
> He is particularly bothered by the "Ha ha ha ha," quip of the officer.
>
> The fate of the constables -- one a veteran with close to 25 years' service
> and the other with about five years' experience -- is up to the force's
> Discipline Review Committee. Punishment for officers can range from a
> discussion with a supervisor to demotion or dismissal under the Police
> Services Act.
>
> "These are outstanding officers that, up until this incident, have been
> strong producers committed to public safety and highway enforcement. The
> fact that they are outstanding officers certainly is in their favour," Chief
> Robertson said.
>
> David Mitchell, a city councillor, remains concerned over how long it took
> the force to complete the investigation. "Why has it taken this long to come
> up with an answer? Because it has gone so long, the perception out there to
> the public is not a very positive one," Mr. Mitchell said.
>
> ahumphreys@nationalpost.com
>
> --
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> http://www.nysing.org
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02

1018. Scanner News Stories Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 06:20:28 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <M8P18.23151$h2c.15126@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to invite all of you to join my new Yahoo Scanner News Story Group.http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Scanner_News_Stories/

This group allows people from across the U.S. & Canada to post interesting news articles from Radio, Print, or TV Media that represent some part of the Scanner Hobby.

You will see what I mean by the first posting that was made.

I think this can be a very interesting group with the amount of activity that goes on throught both Countries.

Sorry for cross posting through many of the groups, but with a group like this, I wanted to try and reach out to as many people as possible that are interested in the scanner hobby.

So please don't flame any of the groups for this posting. Thanks for your understanding.

Moderator
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Scanner_News_Stories/

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/12/02

1019. Re: FS:...Yaesu VR-120 Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 09:42:26 UTC
From: Appie <ab.surd@freemeel.com>
Message-ID: <a28qnedbr1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 53789

Why are you selling after a month?

"NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> schreef in bericht
news:9c46f45b.0201171458.5791f6ee@posting.google.com...
> Excellent wideband communications scanning receiver. FM/WFM/AM
> 100KHZ-1300MHZ (USA version). Purchased new in Dec,2001. It is in
> perfect condition like new, includes an original box, manual, warranty
> card, BNC antenna, wrist strap, and belt clip. Asking $100 shipped
> CONUS. US Postal Money Order preferred.
> If interested, reply directly to me,
> mailto:nr6z@yahoo.com
>
> For more information, Visit Yaesu VR-120 website.
> http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr120tx.html

1020. Need help on Radiomax!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 10:23:28 UTC
From: John Kristian Snekvik <Cyber205@tiscali.no>
Message-ID: <AIS18.6223$N77.55756@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 53790

Hi!

Im trying to use this prgram but i cant get it to stop scanning when it hits an active channel!. The help file doesnt "help" me me much either..

Im using an Aor3000a with an Parallel-Com port cable..

Can anyone guide me to the solution?

1021. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 11:28:43 UTC
From: TOMMY Tutalidge <j.shields@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C4806EB.588AD360@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 53788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

I live in markham ontario make that a thousand times more instead of 1,000 more.
If anything it is an understatement.

Darrell Larose wrote:

> Can you prove this??? Sounds like a racist stereotype
>
> "TOMMY Tutalidge" <j.shields@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:3C47B72D.800B68BB@sympatico.ca...
> > What the hell is ride doing out? The country can't afford it sober chinese
> > drivers cause 1,000 more accidents than white drunk drivers so what
> actually is
> > the point of ride? Except to waste the taxpayers money the bastards don't
> even
> > give you anything free anymore.
> >
> > Champ wrote:
> >
> > > Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away
> from
> > > police dinner
> > > Overheard on radio
> > >
> > > Adrian Humphreys
> > > National Post
> > >
> > > The Hamilton chief of police has apologized after his officers scrapped
> > > drinking-and-driving spot checks near a police social function out of
> fear
> > > they might nab senior police personnel.
> > >
> > > Two officers, whose chatter over police radios was overheard by a
> citizen
> > > who had been seriously injured by an impaired driver, will be
> disciplined
> > > for giving the impression police received special treatment from their
> > > fellow officers, Chief Ken Robertson said yesterday after conducting an
> > > internal probe.
> > >
> > > On the evening of a large banquet in November, given to honour retiring
> > > police officers and attended by Chief Robertson and other senior
> officers,
> > > two constables questioned the wisdom of setting up a spot check close to

> the
> > > Canadian Warplane Heritage Museum, where the dinner was held.
> > >
> > > "I just thought of something funny ... the Retirement Dinner is tonight
> at
> > > the Warplane Heritage Museum.... Ha, ha, ha, ha," one constable said to
> > > another.
> > >
> > > The officers were planning to set up a roadblock where drivers are
> stopped
> > > and checked for signs of intoxication. The program is called Reduce
> Impaired
> > > Driving Everywhere (RIDE) in Ontario, CheckStop in Western Canada,
> Counter
> > > Attack in British Columbia and Pas-Alcool in Quebec.
> > >
> > > A second officer then replied: "I don't like the idea of doing RIDE
> lanes if
> > > the chief and the retired people are partying out this way. We should go
> > > somewhere else. What do you think?"
> > >
> > > The first officer then suggested an alternate location.
> > >
> > > After a two-month internal probe, Chief Robertson said that was
> > > inappropriate. "The community has to believe that, when it comes to
> things
> > > like RIDE lanes, police will not be given preferential treatment," he
> said.
> > >
> > > "The officers are going to be held accountable. We've not been as
> > > professional as we should. I'm taking it hard on this one. You know how
> how
> > > proud I am in this service and this really hurts."
> > >
> > > Matt Burke, 22, complained about the officers after hearing it over his
> > > radio scanner. He is sensitive to the issue after he was hit by a drunk
> > > driver in 1997.
> > >
> > > "I was hurt pretty bad, broke both my legs and had a collapsed lung and
> a
> > > bunch of stuff. I've tons of metal in my legs and scars," Mr. Burke
> said.
> > >
> > > He is satisfied with the chief's report and accepts the apology.
> > >
> > > "He seemed heartfelt when he said it; he seemed sincere," Mr. Burke
> said.
> > >
> > > "I don't want to see these two officers fired or anything but I think it
> was

> > > inappropriate. The way it came over the scanner and what I heard, it
> didn't
> > > sit right with me."
> > >
> > > He is particularly bothered by the "Ha ha ha ha," quip of the officer.
> > >
> > > The fate of the constables -- one a veteran with close to 25 years'
> service
> > > and the other with about five years' experience -- is up to the force's
> > > Discipline Review Committee. Punishment for officers can range from a
> > > discussion with a supervisor to demotion or dismissal under the Police
> > > Services Act.
> > >
> > > "These are outstanding officers that, up until this incident, have been
> > > strong producers committed to public safety and highway enforcement. The
> > > fact that they are outstanding officers certainly is in their favour,"
> Chief
> > > Robertson said.
> > >
> > > David Mitchell, a city councillor, remains concerned over how long it
> took
> > > the force to complete the investigation. "Why has it taken this long to
> come
> > > up with an answer? Because it has gone so long, the perception out there
> to
> > > the public is not a very positive one," Mr. Mitchell said.
> > >
> > > ahumphreys@nationalpost.com
> > >
> > > --
> > > Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> > >
> > > National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> > >
> > > NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> > > http://www.nysing.org
> > >
> > > ---
> > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 1/11/02
> >

1022. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 13:38:23 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <j29g4ugk4e4a6823rv7eteoj7dr73fndek@4ax.com>
Source record: 53793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 16:03:56 -0600, "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH"
<kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 09:53:12 -0500, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Yeah, but the cheapest radar detectors, those that merely detect
>>radiation in TRF fashion, can't be detected. They're hunting for the
>>radar detector LO. And the game plays on...
>
>Wouldn't it give a false positive on a ham receiver monitoring in the
>24GHz ham band?

Yes. But they'd have to be somewhere near the beam of the antenna.
Of the few hams I know who would be tinkering with such things, none
of them operate such things mobile nor would they have much reason to
point these things along a road, where a cop's radar detector-detector
might pick them up.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1023. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 13:40:58 UTC
From: eflorack@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <bd154db5.0201180540.13e393cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c478263.608638730@enews.newsguy.com>...
> On 17 Jan 2002 12:05:39 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:
>
> >igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c44e797.437912591@enews.newsguy.com>...
>
> > A completely weird CBA, I suppose, where the number of
> >actual users never really enters the discussion.
>
> You're not the first one to get thrown for a loop by that :-)

True. It does add a level of unique interest.

>
> >Consdier the extra heavy designs involved with RR radios over the
> >years, and the extra heavy operational costs resulting from it.
>
> Well, you know, that's not really a factor. The portables & the fixed equipment
> aren't any different from what public safety types use, and the RR Spectra
> mobile's only got a slight price difference from a normal mobile.

That's not the way it used to be. I have some older Morotorla Rail
gear, which I got in a warehouse deal many years ago. I forget the
model number the units in that case were VERY different than the
automtive mobiles of the day. I gather that a lot of it had to do
with the power supplies... 70 volt primaries, if I'm not mistaken.

> >> There's another issue: railroad equipment moves all across the country
> >> (and into Canada & Mexico). The radio in the locomotive has to work
> >> everywhere, so the radio system design has to be suitable for anywhere
> >> on the continent.
> >
> >Easier these days then previously; dual mode systems are easier to get
> >into; look at the number of multi-band two-ways out there on the HAM
> >market;
>
> Check Motorola's catalog. You won't find a single dual band radio in it, despite
> years of pleading from the various Fed law enforcement types. It's a lot harder
> to make a radio which meets public safety specs in multiple bands than it is to
> make a low-spec ham radio (not meaning any offence to hams, here, it's just that
> their requirements are different).

None taken. And yet the sensitivity/ transmit numbers I see on those
are quite good, even by today's single band standards. Perhaps the
issue, though is one of reliability, which by definition is harder to
maintain in a commercial or public saftey environment.

> >> (* obviously in railroad yards the situation is reversed, but that makes
> >> up a fairly small percentage of RR mileage).
> >
> >That's true, too. At the same time, consider that the yard will be at
> >the heart of the congestion, since most yards are in cities. Perhaps
> >another way of handling it would be placement of the 800 units into
> >yard mules and units that don't tend to travel beyond the local area.
>
> But why use 800 at all? Trunking is the solution, and trunking can work just as
> well at VHF.

The whole reason for the move in the first place was to releive
congestion on the VHF bands, from an FCC perspective. From the RR
perspective, their channels would end up being monitored less. Which
if you take the comments from our retiree in this thread seriously,
that's an issue. (Shrug)

> John

1024. FS: SMA-to-BNC custom fit antenna adapters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 14:03:13 UTC
From: gulyas@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <12235960.0201180603.593eddcb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

If you have a need for a high-quality SMA-to-BNC antenna adapter that is
custom made to fit and protect your H.T. or scanner radio, I have the part.

Adapter allows you to:
Quickly change antennas to base,mobile or different style use.
Use the more popular and wider selection available of BNC antennas.
Prevent chassis connector wear or from coming loose due to antenna
changes.

Adapter features:
Gold plated contacts with a teflon insulator.
A low profile design with a rubber covering for a factory antenna look.
The rubber base seals to the top of the radio with no spacers needed
for moisture and dirt protection.
The base also provides an excellent support for any length of antenna
or application.
No need to remove adapter once installed to make a quick and safe
antenna change.

Sizes to fit radio models:
Yaesu: VX-5, VX-1, FT-50-R, VX-10, VX-150, VX-110, VXA-100, VXA-120
Icom: IC-T8A, IC-T81A, IC-Q7A, IC-R2
Kenwood: TH-D7, TH-G71, TH-F6A
Alinco: DJ-V5
Standard: C508A, C510A
Radio Shack: HTX-200, HTX-400, HTX-245
Aor: AR-16

Previewed in the May 2000 Issue of CQ Magazine, and the Nov. 2000
Issue of QST Magazine.

$11.95 + $1.50 S+H(One to unlimited)
(Check or money order only in U.S.Funds)
(Specify-radio-model)

STEPHEN G.GULYAS
706 LALOR ST.
TRENTON, N.J. 08610
U.S.A.

A photo of the adapter is available upon request.
I welcome any questions or comments at my email:
gulyas@earthlink.net
Thanks for looking at my posting.
S.G.G. KC2BHO 73's

1025. re-aor ar8200 has been sold thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 15:34:46 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <fPM18.17172$k11.487586@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 53782

[No readable article body recovered]

1026. Electronic Workbench Multisim 6.1 now posted in alt.binariez.warez

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 15:53:42 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <c4hg4uoa1dg6tgmaoaq2k0o7pjthqriiue@4ax.com>
Source record: 53795

http://www.electronicworkbench.com/

1027. New Radio Device in S.F. Bay Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 16:15:46 UTC
From: burtons961@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020118111546.05495.00003895@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 53697

The article referenced below describes a radio system that will enable ALL Bay Area agencies to communicate instantly and seamlessly.
Does anyone know what it is??

http://www0.mercurycenter.com/premium/local/docs/radio18a.htm

Burt
Burt Smith

1028. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 16:24:28 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <R6Y18.8307$Y17.421757@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

I know that. Nobody was more relieved than we clerks when we got the little handhelds and
the chest packs so the radio was basically hands-free except for transmitting. Then they gave us belt clips and mikes we could clip to our collars.

Jerry
John McCoy <igopogo@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3c4784af.609227327@enews.newsguy.com...
> On Wed, 16 Jan 2002 20:25:07 -0500, "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> > Ya oughta try
> >crossing thru a cut
> >of cars with one of those old Motorola "lunchbox" radios we had in the '70s
> >with the handset
> >on top
>
> Can't get those any more - some part of the gov't (OHSA?) decided that more than
> 5W of power was too much for a handheld radio - those things made 12 or 15W (or
> 20+ if "misadjusted"). Of course, that's also why they were hell on batteries.
>
> John
>

1029. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 16:48:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <rtY18.8368$Y17.429372@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

LOL!

Point taken, but I don't think it is so much an issue of being monitored as it is the presence of
people on the ROW or RR property where they aren't supposed to be. It's not that RR people
hate "fans", it's just the fear that they will get hurt. (Or bad karma engendered by encounters
with aggressive fans)

Several years ago there was a segment on 20/20 about a young boy that went out onto a trestle
in spite of signs being posted about trespassing and the dangers it holds. He was out in the middle when a train came along, and he couldn't get in the clear. He was killed. The parents
sued the company, the negative slant that 20/20 took on the story (it was almost as if the train
jumped off the tracks and chased the boy down according to them), and the money it cost the
carrier pissed the company off mightily-along with the employees. Management became instantly hostile towards ANYBODY on the ROW not directly connected with the railroad,
and the railfans, towards whom they had previously been benevolent, were no longer welcome.
We as employees, were ordered to report ANY one we saw next to the tracks. If we saw someone walking along the tracks--as people have done for ages as a shortcut--we were to report them to the RR dicks. If somebody was parked taking photos on the ROW, he was to be asked to leave, or reported as well. It didn't make any difference.

Really, I don't think it is a matter of caring about people listening in (to us it would be quite boring), it was more the presence of people around the tracks that don't belong there--the
fact they may be carrying a scanner is immaterial.

Railroads have become, of necessity, extremely safety conscious. A combination of accidents,
federal scrutiny, costly injuries, and often undeserved bad publicity have caused this. So, if
a fan gets confronted by a RR detective and asked to leave, I don't think it's because of his scanner.

Best wishes,

Jerry

\eflorack <eflorack@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:bd154db5.0201180540.13e393cb@posting.google.com...
> igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c478263.608638730@enews.newsguy.com>...
> > On 17 Jan 2002 12:05:39 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack)
wrote:
> >
> > >igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c44e797.437912591@enews.newsguy.com>...
> >
> > > A completely weird CBA, I suppose, where the number of
> > >actual users never really enters the discussion.
> >
> > You're not the first one to get thrown for a loop by that :-)
>
> True. It does add a level of unique interest.
>
> >
> > >Consdier the extra heavy designs involved with RR radios over the
> > >years, and the extra heavy operational costs resulting from it.
> >
> > Well, you know, that's not really a factor. The portables & the fixed
equipment
> > aren't any different from what public safety types use, and the RR
Spectra
> > mobile's only got a slight price difference from a normal mobile.
>
> That's not the way it used to be. I have some older Morotorla Rail
> gear, which I got in a warehouse deal many years ago. I forget the
> model number the units in that case were VERY different than the
> automtive mobiles of the day. I gather that a lot of it had to do
> with the power supplies... 70 volt primaries, if I'm not mistaken.
>
>
> > >> There's another issue: railroad equipment moves all across the
country
> > >> (and into Canada & Mexico). The radio in the locomotive has to work
> > >> everywhere, so the radio system design has to be suitable for
anywhere
> > >> on the continent.
> > >
> > >Easier these days then previously; dual mode systems are easier to get
> > >into; look at the number of multi-band two-ways out there on the HAM
> > >market;
> >
> > Check Motorola's catalog. You won't find a single dual band radio in
it, despite
> > years of pleading from the various Fed law enforcement types. It's a
lot harder

> > to make a radio which meets public safety specs in multiple bands than
it is to
> > make a low-spec ham radio (not meaning any offence to hams, here, it's
just that
> > their requirements are different).
>
> None taken. And yet the sensitivity/ transmit numbers I see on those
> are quite good, even by today's single band standards. Perhaps the
> issue, though is one of reliability, which by definition is harder to
> maintain in a commercial or public saftey environment.
>
> > >> (* obviously in railroad yards the situation is reversed, but that
makes
> > >> up a fairly small percentage of RR mileage).
> > >
> > >That's true, too. At the same time, consider that the yard will be at
> > >the heart of the congestion, since most yards are in cities. Perhaps
> > >another way of handling it would be placement of the 800 units into
> > >yard mules and units that don't tend to travel beyond the local area.
> >
> > But why use 800 at all? Trunking is the solution, and trunking can work
just as
> > well at VHF.
>
> The whole reason for the move in the first place was to releive
> congestion on the VHF bands, from an FCC perspective. From the RR
> perspective, their channels would end up being monitored less. Which
> if you take the comments from our retiree in this thread seriously,
> that's an issue. (Shrug)
>
>
> > John

1030. Belgische frequenties / Belgian frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 16:51:47 UTC
From: Cleopatra <Cleo@saint.net>
Message-ID: <3c484491$0$226@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 53796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

De meest recente Belgische scannerfrequenties kunt u vinden op
http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/
Frequenties op deze pagina worden samengesteld door de Belgische scannerclub
" Belgascan "
Wordt ook lid en ontvang uw gratis paswoord.
Meer info: Belgascan@hotmail.com

Most recent Belgian scanner freq. you can find on the above URL.

1031. Re: SCANCAT GOLD USERS..USING XP? NOT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 16:52:26 UTC
From: BIG DADDY KING <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16b21effb043b16b9896a7@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 53699

In article <20020117163433.27685.00000039@mb-cj.aol.com>, cqdxer2
@aol.comjunkzapp says...
> In article <3c46755c.5457787@news-server.optonline.net>, gig@i-2000.com
> (GaijinGig) writes:
>
> >Hello all
> >Anybody using scancat gold with XP or NOT using XP? i'm having some
> >problems and would like to know if it's me(which it probabally is
> >beacuse i tried " winscan too with little or no success")
> >1. whole files vanishing w/o a trace after"saving them"
>
> I am using scancat gold 8.0 w/windows ME on a PRO-2052. I have the same
> problem. Files that I have saved disappear.
>
> >2.bank tag's and fleet id's vanishing too.
>
> This really stinks after plugging in all the talkgroup descriptions. Open up a
> file and there is nothing!
>
> Also after using Scancat gold if I try to use WinscanRS it will not work until
> I do a
> reset of the scanner and reload all my banks with WinscanRS. Needless to say
> after forking out $100.00 for scancat I am very disappointed. I have yet to
> contact
> Tech help at scancat but will do this soon.
>
> Jim
>
>

My advice is to save constantly and on a floppy. Sooner (I hope) or
later, you will come to the same conclusion I did. Scancat is a mess, I
had the old Dos version, and it worked fine, but I have tried 3 different
versions of it since, and they are all flawed/unstable in some way. I
have downloaded and purchased a few others that work great and are much
faster, simpler to use, and much more stable. Jim is a really nice guy,
he gave me a refund on the last one I bought (7.0), and I was sorry to
finally give up on it, I just wanted to run my radios, not mess with the
software over and over and over again..

Look through the ads in MT or POP COMM, and download the demos for the
different programs advertized. You won't regret it.

Good Luck,

BDK

1032. RS Pro 2004,5,6 mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 17:06:12 UTC
From: Steve <scannr1@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <u4glc5tev7gefc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53701

howdy people

back deep in my little pea brain I remember hearing of a modification for
the RS pro 2004,5,6
of turning off the backlight untill a signal is received
when a signal is received the backlight comes on

does some one out there have the info?

Thanks
Steve

1033. Re: SCANCAT GOLD USERS..USING XP? NOT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 17:21:32 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020118122132.21912.00001674@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 53702

In article <MPG.16b21effb043b16b9896a7@news-central.giganews.com>, BIG DADDY KING <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> writes:

>My advice is to save constantly and on a floppy. Sooner (I hope) or
>later, you will come to the same conclusion I did. Scancat is a mess, I
>had the old Dos version, and it worked fine, but I have tried 3 different
>versions of it since, and they are all flawed/unstable in some way.

I have yet to contact Jim at scancat with my issues with using scancat (they are many). I have heard that he has excellent tech support. I have given up on using the trunking module at this time. I am using scancat for unattended logging of frequency searches (Conventional) with marginal results. I use WinscanRS for control of my PRO-2052. It is pretty stable unless I try to run it after using the Scancat trunking module. WinscanRS will not run after using the trunking module of scancat and I have to reset the scanner and reload my banks using WinscanRS, it will then run. I will keep tinkering with it for now.

Jim

1034. Kuhn Electronics; Tune-a-verter; Regency "Bread Boxes" receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 17:50:39 UTC
From: Otis Cribblecobblis <alaininvc@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3C48606F.4A20D016@netscape.net>
Source record: 53724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello All!

Anyone in Cincinnati? Any "old timers" in Cincinnati? I just recently found an old diary (1966); I made a notation on a VHF, tunable receiver that I had just purchased from Kuhn. It was made of 1/2" plywood. The antenna was a 30", base loaded coil telescoping antenna. The radio had a squelch knob, volume and center tuning knob. It covered 30-80 MHz and 100-175MHz. Anyone else ever have one?

In the early to mid 1970's a guy in Refugio, Texas mass produced a small, tunable convertor that you could hook into your car radio (by connecting the car antenna to the convertor antenna input) and tuning your car radio to AM 1600 KHz. It came in 30-50MHZ, 118-136MHZ and 148-172MHZ; you could also purchase a tunable squelch control that attached to the bottom of the convertor. I gave mine away when I moved 5 years ago. ARGH! Anyone ever have one of these, or all 3 like I did, or STILL have them?

Lastly, a friend of mine had several Regency "Bread Box" tunable VHF radios. They were about the size of a bread box! They came in 30-50 MHz, 118-136MHz and 149-172MHz. Anyone have or remember these!?

Would love to hear your stories and share memories of staying up late Friday nights and weekends just listening to the glow-in-the-dark radios...

I know that there are books in print that illustrate "old" shortwave rigs. Any books on "old" scanners/tuners?

Thanks for taking the time to remember that far back!

Alain

1035. Re: bc860xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 18:11:37 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <tzZ18.42368$B61.14109834@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 53703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The 100 to 200 channel mod is the only one I've seen. IIRC, the 860 was introduced to replace the very-similar 855 especially BECAUSE the 855 was able to be too "easily modified" to receive cellular. So they glued and epoxied and otherwise tried to tie everything down in the 860, to make the FCC happy.

--
Harry
http://www.qsl.net/n6uru/lafire.htm <~~ Fire Communications, Links and News

"MRK" <me@you.com> wrote in message
news:u4f3fm2hg57kc4@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anybody have any mods for the BC860XLT other than the 100-200 channel
> mod? TNX
>
>

1036. Re: Need help on Radiomax!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 18:24:43 UTC
From: OceanaRadio <223bthp@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C486875.5C078398@home.com>
Source record: 53704

John Kristian Snekvik wrote:
> Im trying to use this prgram but i cant get it to stop scanning when it hits
> an active channel!. The help file doesnt "help" me me much either..
> Im using an Aor3000a with an Parallel-Com port cable..

Somewhere in the microscopic print of the AOR manual, should be the baud-rate of the radio and command set. If you let the software try to operate faster, it may allow manual selection ok, and even start scanning, but cannot squelch detect because of the timing differences. You can also try adjusting the dwell, delay, timing etc, and as a last resort, use an offset (that's scancat's miserable way of dealing with poor control). I have only experience with RadioMax's demo, which I am still considering as a promary control program. In the end, be satisfied with any reasonable scan rate that has 90% capture of an active signal - we get what we pay for after all, and for the price of most scan control softwares, we're not getting much reliability.

--
Jack Painter
Virginia Beach, Virginia
http://members.home.net/223bthp/OceanaRadio

BC-895XLT log periodic
Pro-2005 discone
Icom R-71A high wires
Icom R-72

1037. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 18:26:11 UTC
From: mdf@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <8ae4f1fb.0201181026.2d608b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote:

> Uh, is Canada's law that much different from ours here?

Quite: we can receive whatever the heck we like, break whatever
encryption we want (barring for-sale signals), in effect setup private
signals intelligence agencies. We just can't talk about what we hear,
or use what we hear for advantage.

> The PD is a party to their own transmissions, so it would be legal here
> to discuss such with them.

I wouldn't want to test this legal theory without professional advice.
[The police, everywhere, are a *very* insular club, not taking well
to outsiders intruding into their spaces..]

The reason why the guy in Hamilton isn't being charged with violating
the Radio Communications Act [forget which sections, etc] for
divulging the contents of a communication (I believe even to a party
of said communications) is the nature of the evidence that would be
put before the court/jury:

"Yes, your honour, the evidence is clear that our cops are
ass-kissing psychophants who have been well toilet-trained
to protect their fellow officers from the usual sort of
persecution that they dole out to other citizen-drivers.

But that doesn't change the fact that the accused is still a
nosy eavesdropper, guilty of an offence under the RCA."

There are also sections of our so-called constitution which alledgedly
preclude State action that would "bring the administration of justice
into disrepute".

Basically, no jury would convict, even if the judge didn't dismiss the
case upon filing.

1038. ARNewsline 1275 - Jan 18 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 18:47:11 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020118134711.25519.00003283@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 53706

AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm) REPORT #1275 - January 18 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1275 with a release date of
Friday, January 18th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. A new ham antenna on the I-S-S and a new microwave record down-under are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1275 coming your way right now!

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: NEW ANTENNAS INSTALLED ON THE ISS

An American astronaut and a Russian cosmonaut ventured into space on Monday January 14th to move a construction crane and install a new set of ham radio antennas on the International Space Station. Roy Neal, K6DUE, has the details.

--

THERE IT WAS, ON NASA TELEVISION, TWO WHITE SPACE SUITED CHARACTERS, DWARFED BY THE IMMENSITY OF THE INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION. FOR THE 32ND TIME ASTRONAUTS AND COSMONAUTS WERE OUTSIDE THE HUGE SPACECRAFT TO ASSEMBLE HARDWARE.

THEIR PRIMARY OBJECTIVE WAS TO MOVE A BIG CARGO CRANE FROM ITS TEMPORARY BERTH ON THE UNITED STATES SIDE OF THE ORBITING OUTPOST TO A PERMANENT LOCATION OUTSIDE THE DOCKING COMPARTMENT OF THE RUSSIAN CONTROL MODULE.

THAT ACCOMPLISHED, THEY WENT ON TO UNFURL A HAM RADIO ANTENNA. ASTRONAUT CARL WALTZ, KC5TIE AND COSMONAUT YURI ONUFRIENKO, RK3DUO, DEPLOYED THE RIBBON OF TAPE THAT SOON WILL BE IN USE ON A HAM RADIO STATION IN THE SERVICE MODULE,. WHERE THE CREWS LIVE AND SLEEP. A SECOND STATION HAS BEEN IN SERVICE FOR SOME TIME INSIDE THE SO CALLED FUNCTIONAL CARGO BLOCK, ANOTHER SECTION OF THE I S S.

FRANK BAUER, KA3HDO, THE CHAIRMAN OF ARISS, THE GROUP THAT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR AMATEUR RADIO ON THE SPACE STATION, CONGRATULATED THE INTERNATIONAL TEAM OF LICENSED HAMS FOR THE SUCCESSFUL CONCLUSION TO MONTHS OF HARD WORK.

ON JANUARY 25th ONUFRIENKO IS SCHEDULED TO GO OUTSIDE AGAIN, WITH FLIGHT ENGINEER DAN BURSCH, KD5PNU, TO INSTALL 3 MORE HAM RADIO ANTENNAS OUTSIDE THE MODULE THEY CALL ZVEZDA, THE CREW COMPARTMENT THAT'S THE SIZE OF A PASSENGER BUS HERE ON EARTH.

HAM RADIO IS GROWING RAPIDLY IN SPACE, ALONG WITH THE INTERNATIONAL STATION ON WHICH IT'S INSTALLED.

FOR THE AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE, ROY NEAL, K6DUE

--

The Expedition 4 crew will remain on board the ISS until May. And according to Frank Bauer, KA3HDO, another important task is to bring the automatic packet system back on line. He says that ground technicians are working with the astronauts and cosmonaut on that project and that task will continue as crew time permits. (ARNewsline from information provided by ARISS, NASA.)

**

HAM RADIO RECORDS: 24 GHZ DOWN-UNDER

A new distance record is set on a band where even commercial interests are only now starting to tread. And its a group of Australian hams who have set it. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has the details:

--

A new Queensland distance record on 24.048.1 GHz USB was established morning of Tuesday 08 January over a 72.8 Km path. Location 1 was at Wellington Point, with VK3ZQB and VK4ZHL portable. Location 2 was at Caloundra, with VK3XPD and VK5DK portable operating.

Signals strengths up to 5x5 after initial optimization with typical daytime QSB. The visiting team are continuing with local propagation experiments. The challenges of building and operating microwave amateur radio equipment are wonderfully rewarding in many ways!

From Q-News Australia, I’m Graham Kemp, VK4BB, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

Further experimentation is expected to bring even more record setting contacts in this very microwave ham radio band. (Q-News)

**

RESTRUCTURING: BIG LICENSING SUCCESS IN THE UK

A new slow-code license recently introduced in the United Kingdom is also setting records. This in the area of people flocking to get it. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, days that the new British Foundation License is on its way to becoming a major success:

--

The Radio Licensing Center reports that, as of the 7th of January, exactly 600 of the new M3 Foundation Licenses had been issued.

In the 'QSO Party' for Foundation Licensees that took place on the 1st of January it is estimated that about 100 M3 stations were active. Many joined the one-minute-past-midnight 80-meter net and many more were heard working DX during the subsequent days.

One person active on the 1st of January was Mike Marsden, M3BQH, who also holds the callsign G8BQH. Mike reports that he worked Alex, UR5EDU, in the Ukraine at

two seconds past midnight, using 10 watts on 40 meters. The report was 59+10dB. After a few hours' sleep M3BQH worked 66 stations on the first day, with only two short CQ calls.

"The pile-up queue was never ending, I even managed to work seven other M3s", Mike said.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH

--

To put this in perspective. The UK has 57,814 hams so 600 is about 1%. Here in the United States we have close to 625,000 licensees. That’s the equivalent of getting 6250 hams signing up for a new license class here in the USA in only a few short days. (GB2RS)

**

BREAK 1

This is ham radio news coming to you from the United States of America. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, including the W8GK repeater serving Charleston West Virginia.

(5 Sec Pause Here)

**

RADIO REGULATIONS: FCC'S APPROVES DRAFT WRC PROPOSALS

The FCC’s Advisory Committee for the year 2003 World Radiocommunications Conference has approved its preliminary draft proposals. The document has been assigned as D-A-02-101 and deals mainly with satellite and terrestrial allocations in the UHF and microwave bands. Amateur Radio is only briefly mentioned in the area of 890 to 1350 MHz allocations.

The Advisory Committee itself was formed in January of 2001 to assist in the development of United States proposals for WRC 2003. DA-02-101 is based primarily on the committee report. Comments on it are due on it no later than January 28th.

The complete of 55 page text of DA-02-101 is on the FCC website at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-02-101A1.txt (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: CLEAN UP THE INTERFERENCE - PLEASE

The FCC has written to an A-M radio station and an electric power provider to help resolve an unusual and long-standing interference situation. One affecting local amateurs in the Cincinnati and Mason Ohio areas. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, brings us up to date:

--

The letter suggests that multiple sources may be involved in this interference case. Also that two that are possibilities are Cinergy Corporation and radio station WLW. Cinergy is the electric company to the affected area and WLW is a Clear Channel Communications owned power-house AM station serving the greater Cincinnati area from a transmitter in Mason.

WLW operates on 700 kHz with 50,000 Watts into a single element diamond shaped half-wave vertical tower. This is only one of two such towers left in the United States, the other belonging to WSM AM in Nashville Tennessee. Both were constructed in the early 1930's by the now defunct Blaw Knox Construction Corporation.

One of the amateurs affected is Bob Reiff, WA8ULW, of Mason. Reiff told the ARRL Letter that while the noise is most noticeable on 160 meters, it's showing up elsewhere. He says that some local hams suspect it is even causing problems even on a two meter repeater.

In its letters, the Commission says that it recognizes this to be an unusual case. It says that the source of the interference could turn out to be something else unexpected. But the Commission adds that it is turning to the radio station and electric utility company and asking for their help in finding the source of the noise plaguing the Mason ham community. It's also asking that both cooperate with the technical experts from the American Radio Relay League in solving the problem.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA.

--

By the way, More information on this very interesting Blaw Knox diamond tower design and the ones installed at both WLW and WSM is available on-line. Simply take your browser to http://www.wsmonline.com/w_homepage/tower/index.html. Its very interesting reading. (FCC, ARRL, Amateur News Weekly)

**

ENFORCEMENT: UNLICENSED OPERATOR TOLD TO EXPLAIN

A former ham that the FCC says may have been on the air without a license has been asked by the agency to provide an explanation. The person posing the questions is FCC chief ham radio rules enforcer Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH. And in a recent Rain Enforcement log, Hollingsworth outlined the situation:

--
Hollingsworth: "We requested clarifying information from Brian Bailey, ex-KD5PXQ. His license was set aside by the Wireless Bureau on October 19th. We want to know his exact whereabouts on two specific days in September. Bailey has been in touch with us and is deciding weather to provide the information."
--

According to Hollingsworth, the information that the FCC wants from Bailey relates to allegations of unlicensed operations and malicious interference. (FCC, RAIN)

**

HELPING HAMS: HAMDIHAMS NEW E-MAIL ADDRESS

This note: The Courage HandiHam System has a new electronic mail address. You can now reach the group at handiham@courage.org. You may also contact its Director Pat Tice directly at wa0tda@arrl.net. (HandiHams)

**

CONVENTIONS: HAMVENTION SAYS BRING YOUR BUS AND LAVE THE PARKING SPOT TO US

Free parking at the HARA Arena for Hamvention? Well, kind of. Its free if you leave your car at home and charter a bus to bring in a pretty large group of people to the event. Amateur News Weekly's Phil Thomas, W8RMJ has the not so complex details:

--

For Amateurs who charter a bust to make the annual journey to Hamvention, the Dayton Hamvention Committee will reserve a parking place for your chartered bus for the entire three days of Hamvention. The reserved parking will be close to the HARA Arena Complex, allowing not only a place to secure your valued purchases, but also a place to rest those weary feet after walking many, many miles of Hamvention. Space is limited for the new charter bus parking area. Pre registration is required. Availability is on a first come, first served basis.

--

For more information on the new on-site charter bus parking offer or to reserve a space, please contact the Dayton Hamvention office. It's open normal business hours Eastern Standard Time at are code (937) 276-6930. You can also fax your request toll free at
1-800-491-4267. (Amateur News Weekly)

**

CONFERENCES: SE VHF SOCIETY IN APRIL

Greg Robinson KB4NVD says over the VHF Reflector that the Sixth Annual Southeastern VHF Society Conference will take place April 26th through the 27 Th. in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. The conference, hosted by the Southeastern VHF Society, promises to be an interesting and exciting event with presentations from accomplished VHF and up amateur radio enthusiasts from several parts of the country. Registration, program details, hotel and travel information can be found in cyberspace at www.svhfs.org. (SE VHF Society)

**

HAM RADIO EVENTS: KIDS DAY A BIG MID-WEST SUCCESS

The first Kids Day operating event for 2002 was a rousing success in many venues. But in one Mid-West city a bit of coverage on a local T-V station helped to make it even better. Again to Amateur News Weekly and reporter Jack Parker, W8ISH:

--

Kids Day at the Indianapolis Chapter of the American Red Cross got a boost when event coordinator Stece Wendt, KB9RDS, made an appearance on a local TV news program. During the two minute segment. Wendt told viewers that all kids and their parents were welcome to share in the Amateur Radio experience, including an introduction to Morse Code, VHF repeaters and HF:

--
Wendt: “And then we turn around tand take them over to the big radios -- the big base station radios where they can talk -- literally -- around the world.”
--

This is the second year the local Red Cross chapter has shown young people how to tune in the world.

Reporting from Indianapolis Indians for Amateur News Weekly, This is Jack Parker, W8ISH.

--

This is just one of many Kids Day success stories. We will have more in future Amateur Radio Newsline reports. (Amateur News Weekly)

**

BREAK 2

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website - www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ARNEWSLINE(tm) FINANCIAL UPDATE WITH N6TCQ

It’s time for a report on the financial situation here at Amateur Radio Newsline. With it is our Support Fund Administrator and Corporate Treasurer, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ:

--

It is January of 2002. A brand new year for ham radio. And the beginning of another year for us here at the Amateur Radio Newsline. And as we start off the new year, here are the current finances.

Looking in the new corporate checkbook, the balance shows us at about $700 give or take a few bucks. Not very much when you consider that it takes over a thousand dollars a month to produce these weekly 20 minute Q-S-T’s.

Obviously, Amateur Radio Newsline is going to need your help -- and -- need it quickly to keep it from going away and to keep the news of ham radio coming your way.

We have done all that is possible from our side to keep costs under control. But, some fixed expenses like the telephone and long distance calling are a must have. So are electronic mail and world wide web access. There is the cost of the routine maintenance on the tape machines and editing gear. And in a few months the Newsline sponsored Young Ham of the Year Award will be rolling our way. All of this adds up and some costs just keep going up. When you add it all up and average it out, it comes to that $1000 a month figure I just mentioned.

So now is the time when Amateur Radio Newsline has to ask to ask that you help to keep its newscasts coming your way. Your tax deductible contributions can be sent to the Newsline Support Fund, Post Office Box 660937, Arcadia California. The Zipcode is 91066.

Ill be back within the next few weeks with an update on how things are going. For now from the Amateur Radio Newsline support center, I’m Andy Jarema, N6-Tiny-California-Quake.

--

Don’t worry if you missed the address. It will be repeated in a few minutes at the end of this weeks newscast. (ARNewsline(tm) Support Fund)

**

ARNEWSLINE(tm): WE ARE GOING MP3

One other piece of news concerning Amateur Radio Newsline. As of March 1st, we will be dropping Real Audio and going exclusively MP3. Why the change? Well ever since we began posting a downloadable MP3 file at our own FTP site along side the Real Audio file, 9 out of 10 people have chosen the MP3. And with good reason. MP3 simply sounds a lot better than Real Audio to most of you. And by dropping the Real Audio, we can make the MP3 sound even better by encoding at a higher bit rate.

Right now, you can still compare the two formats if you like. Until February 22nd we will continue to post both Real Audio and MP3. Take the time to download both. And don’t worry. Most modern media players including Real Audio, Microsoft Media and Winamp play MP3 so the chances are that you are already equipped to cover of the digital format change. (ARNewsline(tm))

**

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: THE NOISE OF EARTH

According to Space Daily, researchers have known for three decades that the Earth is a potent radio transmitter, but they were never able to pinpoint where the noise was coming from. Recently, by using data from the four spacecraft of the European Space Agency's Cluster mission, NASA-funded scientists have precisely located the source of the radio noise along the magnetic field lines. The noise originates several thousand miles above bright regions in the Earth's
northern lights. (AMSAT, Space Daily)

**

INTERNATIONAL-CANADA: ARE AGREEMENT IN B.C.

Turning to international news, Radio Amateurs of Canada which sponsors that nation’s Amateur Radio Emergency Service has signed a Letter Of Understanding and the British Columbia Provincial Emergency Radio Communication Service. The letter represents the collaboration between Radio Amateurs of Canada’s British Columbia Section Manager Fred Orsetti VE7IO and Supervisor of Information and Communications Technology for the Provincial Emergency Program Andrew Bryan VE7KSN, to provide a cooperative plan to carry out emergency communication. The letter also further enhances the work of the Canadian ARES program and compliments the Memorandum of Understanding signed May of 1994 between Radio Amateurs of Canada and Red Cross. (RAC)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: VE1AWA RESIGNS AS MARITIME PROVENCES SM

Due to personal reason Roy MacIntosh, VE1AWA has stepped down from the position of Section Manager for the Maritimes. Tom Caithness, VE1GTC has been appointed acting Section Manager pending outcome of the upcoming election call. (RAC)

**

INTERNATIONAL - UK: AMSAT-UK COLLOQUIUM

The 17th AMSAT-UK Colloquium will be held at Surrey University in Guildford from the 26th to 28th of July this year. AMSAT-UK is now inviting speakers to submit papers about amateur radio space and associated activities for this event and for the Proceedings document. Offers of papers should be submitted as soon as possible and should be sent only to Richard Limebear, G3RWL. His e-mail goes to g3rwl@amsat.org. (AMSAT-UK)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: WEBSITE UPDATE

AMSAT reports that IK8XLD has updated his website with information about satellite operations, EME, the ham radio operation on the International Space Station, ATV and homebrew equipment. You can visit Rocco’s website at www.qsl.net/ik8xld. (ANS)

**

DX

In DX, DJ4KW and DK9GG are active from Belize as V31YN and V31GW respectively until about the 2nd of February. Activity is on CW, RTTY and PSK 31. (GB2RS)

And KE1F will be active on 10 to 160 meters CW, SSB and perhaps RTTY as ZF2LM from Grand Cayman Island from the 14th to 30th of January. (GB2RS)

Also R1ANF began operations as CE9 slash R1ANF on the 29th of December from a Chilean base in the South Shetland Islands. He is active on 10 to 28 MHz with 100 watts to an R6000 vertical. For all three, QSL as directed on the air. (OPDX)

Last but by no means least, word that JX7DFA, is on a job assignment to Jan Mayen. He is operating as LA7DFA. QSL via his home call. He will reply when he returns home in the Spring. (Modern DX)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: A CALL FOR ARDF HUNTERS FOR THE WORLD GAMES

And finally this week, 2002 may be the biggest year yet for fans of hidden transmitter hunts, especially the ones that are all on foot. Amateur Radio Newsline's Joe Moell K0OV helps coordinate happenings like these and has news of two major events.
--

Every two years, hams from around the world gather to see who is best at on-foot hidden transmitter hunting, also called foxtailing, radio-orienteering and ARDF. There are separate events on eighty meters and two meters, each with five transmitters scattered in a forest that can encompass 1000 acres or more.

Competitors are divided into five categories for males and four categories for females. Each country may have up to three persons per category on its team.

Back in Y2K, the championships were in China, with a dozen stateside hams among the competitors from 26 countries. This year, the excitement moves to Slovakia and takes place from September 2 through 7. Once again, ARDF Team USA will be there.

Would you like to be on that team? If so, contact me right away, because USA must submit its Letter of Intent to the Slovakian hosts by the end of January.

Of course you'll need lots of practice beforehand, and you can get plenty by attending USA's own national ARDF championships, which will be April 19-21 in Pine Mountain, Georgia, near Atlanta.

Find out about both championships -- Slovakia and Pine Mountain -- by visiting my Web site: www.homingin.com. Homingin is one word. Then apply for the Team USA roster at my e-mail address on that site, or by postal mail to my callbook address.

Maybe you'll bring home a medal this year. From southern California, where this year's local radio-orienteering training sessions will begin soon, this is Joe Moell K-zero-Oscar-Victor for Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

In case you missed it, Joe's website is www.homingin.com. "homeingin" is one word. (K0OV, ARNewsline(tm))

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More

information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

A reminder that the nominating season for the Dayton Hamvention Radio Amateur of the Year, Technical Achievement and Special Achievement Awards closes January 31st. Nominations should be sent to Post Office Box 964 Dayton Ohio 45401. They can also be filed using the e-form at the Hamvention website. Its at www.hamvention.org.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm _____ saying welcome to the year 2002, 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

**

Terminal Break

**
RAIN promo
**

1039. Re: New Radio Device in S.F. Bay Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 19:55:54 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020118145554.10888.00005135@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 53707

http://www.jps.com/products/radio.html

look at this link for your answer

1040. Re: SCANCAT GOLD USERS..USING XP? NOT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 20:24:16 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c488439.7295209@news.mint.net>
Source record: 53708

My RADIOMAX (2006/w OS456) is working flawlessy in both XP and another
one I have on Win 95 :O)

On Fri, 18 Jan 2002 16:52:26 GMT, BIG DADDY KING
<bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote:

>In article <20020117163433.27685.00000039@mb-cj.aol.com>, cqdxer2
>@aol.comjunkzapp says...
>> In article <3c46755c.5457787@news-server.optonline.net>, gig@i-2000.com
>> (GaijinGig) writes:
>>
>> >Hello all
>> >Anybody using scancat gold with XP or NOT using XP? i'm having some
>> >problems and would like to know if it's me(which it probabally is
>> >beacuse i tried " winscan too with little or no success")
>> >1. whole files vanishing w/o a trace after"saving them"
>>
>> I am using scancat gold 8.0 w/windows ME on a PRO-2052. I have the same
>> problem. Files that I have saved disappear.
>>
>> >2.bank tag's and fleet id's vanishing too.
>>
>> This really stinks after plugging in all the talkgroup descriptions. Open up a
>> file and there is nothing!
>>
>> Also after using Scancat gold if I try to use WinscanRS it will not work until
>> I do a
>> reset of the scanner and reload all my banks with WinscanRS. Needless to say
>> after forking out $100.00 for scancat I am very disappointed. I have yet to
>> contact
>> Tech help at scancat but will do this soon.
>>
>> Jim
>>
>>
>
>
>My advice is to save constantly and on a floppy. Sooner (I hope) or
>later, you will come to the same conclusion I did. Scancat is a mess, I
>had the old Dos version, and it worked fine, but I have tried 3 different
>versions of it since, and they are all flawed/unstable in some way. I
>have downloaded and purchased a few others that work great and are much
>faster, simpler to use, and much more stable. Jim is a really nice guy,
>he gave me a refund on the last one I bought (7.0), and I was sorry to
>finally give up on it, I just wanted to run my radios, not mess with the

>software over and over and over again..
>
>Look through the ads in MT or POP COMM, and download the demos for the
>different programs advertized. You won't regret it.
>
>Good Luck,
>
>BDK

1041. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 20:46:15 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <rQ%18.7801$8P3.1898890@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53709

Glad you've got so much time on your hands, Robert.

Please see the link below to see what "The Columbia Guide to Standard
American English" has to say on the subject.

http://www.bartleby.com/68/18/418.html

Art Harris

"Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote in message
news:a26ov7$4s2$1@nd.eastky.net...
> >> Harris wrote in message ...
> >
> >> >First off, insects have antennae. In radio we use antennas.
> >
>
> http://www.wallen-antennae.co.uk/ A manufacturer labels itself with
the
> 'not used' term...
>
> http://www.cs.usyd.edu.au/~jimd/ an illiterate Aussie ham, apparently.
>
> http://imagers.gsfc.nasa.gov/ems/radio.html MY GOD! Those fools at
NASA
> haven't been updated, either!
>
> http://www.the-saudi.net/communication/ant.htm Somebody forgot to
tell
> the Saudi Network about this..
>
> http://home.wanadoo.nl/dms/meetings/mac_april_2000.html The Dutch
Meteor
> Society lapses into using a term not used....the buffoons!
>
> http://image.gsfc.nasa.gov/rpi/ NASA does it again. When will this
> madness end?
>
>
>
http://www.css.tayloru.edu/~physics/picosat/technical_specs/communications/s
> um_from_pap.htm
> Stupid college kids! Whaddathey know!
>
> http://icarus.uom.ac.mu/mrt2.html
>

> http://www.wcbn.org/history/wcbntime.html OK, this is just an FM
> station...
>
>
>
http://spaceflight.nasa.gov/spacenews/reports/issreports/2002/iss02-03.html
>
> But dagnabit if NASA still isn't using this term that's 'not used'.
>
>

1042. N6JPA's NW Oregon Radio Page is Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 21:45:02 UTC
From: Keith <user@spam.eat>
Message-ID: <yH028.5232$5Z6.59130@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 53710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/nworradio/

The web page has information on Scanners, Ham
& SWL. I have lots of links and Frequencies for NW Oregon
and modifications for older ham radios and scanners. No ads
on the majority of pages, but the modification pages do have ads.

--
Best Regards,

Keith
==========
My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
==========

1043. Re: Cool New Toy (IBM-3330)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 21:48:21 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <8C028.12842$Ee7.657413@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

For those interested in the IBM-3330 but might not have found
one, I have found another phone by TT systems that appears
to have the same computer connections.

IBM 900FADS

It's not 2.4GHz but I found that even the IBM-3330 uses 900MHz
from the headset to the base.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com> wrote in message
news:0Z208.4926$V55.794242@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Found this link with more info for interested:
>
>
http://www.seed-solutions.com/gregordy/Amateur%20Radio/Experimentation/CLess
> Phone.htm
>
>
> "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com> wrote in message
> news:NO208.4923$V55.788441@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The
> > nice thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card
> > connections (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about
> > people using these things for amateur radio. I picked one up at
> > Sam's Club, made some cables and connected it to my ICOM 746.
> > It works great!! I have had several comments on how good the audio
> > quality was. It even works with the VOX so I can now walk around
> > the house while listening to and talking on my radio. No cords!
> >
> > I guess this thing can be used with any type of audio source
> > including scanners, shortwave radios, etc. Simply plug the radio
> > audio out into the phone Mic connection and you are free to roam
> > while listening.

> >
> > While I have not yet tested it yet, the documentation claims 5
> > hours talk time. Since you must make a connection to the base
> > to listen, this would apply while just listening as well.
> >
> > --
> > David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
> > Baton Rouge, LA
> >
> > Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> > http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis
> >
> > ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> > Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.
> >
> > Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1044. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 23:06:09 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <30A13C912EA1FAF0.AD476B00E0E59117.6D07AB1B96353788@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 53712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 18 Jan 2002 08:38:23 -0500, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>>Wouldn't it give a false positive on a ham receiver monitoring in the
>>24GHz ham band?
>
>Yes. But they'd have to be somewhere near the beam of the antenna.
>Of the few hams I know who would be tinkering with such things, none
>of them operate such things mobile nor would they have much reason to
>point these things along a road, where a cop's radar detector-detector
>might pick them up.

If the detector's picking up the LO, it's going to be getting it from
inadequately shielded internal parts, rather than the antenna.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

1045. Re: Two Hamilton officers face disciplinary action after RIDE moved away from police dinner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 23:09:44 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <970C07F37541E33E.21FDB80F5FF52593.C45D7DDE23E09832@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 53713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.online,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Jan 2002 10:26:11 -0800, mdf@my-deja.com wrote:

>"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote:

>> The PD is a party to their own transmissions, so it would be legal here
>> to discuss such with them.
>
>I wouldn't want to test this legal theory without professional advice.

The way the FCC rules are written, I am party to, and responsible for,
any transmissions made under my license with my permission. The
officers are using their radios under the department's license.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

1046. Re: SCANCAT GOLD USERS..USING XP? NOT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 23:23:22 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <9mbh4ugupapfkdvppftrgra97o01rf6kf8@4ax.com>
Source record: 53715

gig,

if you have a registered copy just contact the author
and he'll figure it out.

if you're using the demo copy .... then that's the problem ..
you can't save anything.

monty

gig@i-2000.com (GaijinGig) wrote:

>Hello all
>Anybody using scancat gold with XP or NOT using XP? i'm having some
>problems and would like to know if it's me(which it probabally is
>beacuse i tried " winscan too with little or no success")
>1. whole files vanishing w/o a trace after"saving them"
>2.bank tag's and fleet id's vanishing too.
>3. if "description" is the kind of agency(police fire etc) what is the
>" bank tag id" for?
>4. thanks Gig.

1047. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-18 23:50:50 UTC
From: eflorack@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <bd154db5.0201181550.68ced23d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<rtY18.8368$Y17.429372@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> LOL!
>
> Point taken, but I don't think it is so much an issue of being monitored as
> it is the presence of
> people on the ROW or RR property where they aren't supposed to be. It's not
> that RR people
> hate "fans", it's just the fear that they will get hurt. (Or bad karma
> engendered by encounters
> with aggressive fans)
>
> Several years ago there was a segment on 20/20 about a young boy that went
> out onto a trestle
> in spite of signs being posted about trespassing and the dangers it holds.
> He was out in the middle when a train came along, and he couldn't get in the
> clear. He was killed. The parents
> sued the company, the negative slant that 20/20 took on the story (it was
> almost as if the train
> jumped off the tracks and chased the boy down according to them), and the
> money it cost the
> carrier pissed the company off mightily-along with the employees.
> Management became instantly hostile towards ANYBODY on the ROW not directly
> connected with the railroad,
> and the railfans, towards whom they had previously been benevolent, were no
> longer welcome.
> We as employees, were ordered to report ANY one we saw next to the tracks.
> If we saw someone walking along the tracks--as people have done for ages as
> a shortcut--we were to report them to the RR dicks. If somebody was parked
> taking photos on the ROW, he was to be asked to leave, or reported as well.
> It didn't make any difference.

Common sense dictates, though, Jerry, that there is a major difference
between that case, and a case involving a railfan, who are fairly well
off, in terms of understanding where not to go for the most part. One
similar case happened here in Rochester not too far back... two kids
got killed on a bridge along the West Shore Branch.

Now, I happen to know this area very well indeed, because I used to
work in the parking lot in back of the place. The bridge is fairly
shootable, but the lighting angles are poor... and then only if you
shoot from a second brige about a 1/4 mile east down the canal, and
then only in the morning. Because of the lay of the land, distance

shots down track are impossible. In fact, lack of visability down track was tabbed as one reason for the accident. Traffic is fairly light, there, around 8 trains a day, more or less... the mains are just a few miles away, handling around 60 or so trains a day, at last count. It should be clear there aren't any railfans going to be hanging around this bit of track. These kids were diving off the bridge; they coudnb't have cared less about the trains.

Two kids killed.

Guess who the local cops were concerned about, afterwards?

> Really, I don't think it is a matter of caring about people listening in (to
> us it would be quite boring), it was more the presence of people around the
> tracks that don't belong there--the
> fact they may be carrying a scanner is immaterial.

Actually, around here it's a help. Where I live is between two draggers... about 5 miles apart. If you hear one barking, it's a pertty good indication something's on the way.... (smile)

> Railroads have become, of necessity, extremely safety conscious. A
> combination of accidents,
> federal scrutiny, costly injuries, and often undeserved bad publicity have
> caused this.

Our litegious society, I think, as a prerequiste for the rest, frankly. Take the McDonald's coffee case; The cour ruling on that one should have been:

"OK, gang, Coffee is hot and it'll burn your ass if you're not careful. If you don't understand this, you've got a problem that a 16.s million dollar settlement isn't going to solve. Ruling for the plaintiff, award not to exceed the cost of the coffee."

By the samke token, if you're going to be around a track, be aware of what's going on, for pity's sake. And while I agree, some people are not aware and misbehave... that's not the case for the vast majority.

1048. Ham Radio University 2002 for Long Island

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 01:55:13 UTC
From: GSBARC <info@gsbarc.org>
Message-ID: <5m428.9141$8P3.2812077@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ham Radio University 2002/ARRL New York City-Long Island Section Convention

North Babylon, New York - On Sunday, January 20, 2002 at 8AM, the doors of the Babylon Town Hall Annex on Phelps Lane will open for the third annual Ham Radio University. Ham Radio University 2002 is a day of education about Amateur Radio.

This year the forums have been expanded and new forums have been added. There will be twenty, one-hour presentations with special forums geared to the non-ham as well as the experienced ham radio operator. The focus will be "hands on" with many demonstrations.

Come join us at the Babylon Town Hall Annex, Phelps Lane, North Babylon, Long Island, and learn about everything from satellite communications, low power operating using radios as small as a tuna tin, how to put up an emergency antenna, the latest information on advanced digital communications, finding and fixing radio frequency interference problems to purchasing your first station equipment or handi-talkie and a special "Ask the Experts" forum. There will also be a Special Event Station W2V set up and operational on HF. There will live SSTV pictures uploaded to the NLI WWW during the event.

TALK-IN W2GSB/r 146.685 -600 110.9 PL

HRU 2002 is sponsored by the Great South Bay ARC and is a cooperative effort between over twenty clubs and organizations in the New York City- Long Island area. It is also the American Radio Relay League, New York City-Long Island Section Convention. Besides the forums, there will be tables set up with information about the different groups, ham radio classes, exam session schedules, public service and other activities.

Join us for a day of fun and education for the whole family!
Admission is open to all - donation is $2 per person.
(complimentary refreshments available)

For more information contact:
Phil Lewis N2MUN, Chairman, n2mun@arrl.net or call 631-226-0698
George Tranos N2GA, ARRL NLI Section Manager, n2ga@arrl.org or call 631-286-7562

Additional information on the WWW at http://hudson.arrl.org/nli
NNNN

1049. BC-560 Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 03:02:43 UTC
From: jmcrNOSPAM@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3C48E1D3.A5496231@nauticom.net>
Source record: 53717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I picked up the BC 560 scanner for my vehicle at a fair price. This unit will not hold the memory after programmed.

Does any one have an idea what I need to change so the scanner does retain it's memory? I thought it may have a lithium battery but I was wrong.

I don't have a print out of the board to do a search.

Any help would be appreciated.

1050. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 03:09:36 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <a2anq9$lv2$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 53728

Harris wrote in message:

>Glad you've got so much time on your hands, Robert.

A very simple exercise, using a search engine.

>Please see the link below to see what "The Columbia Guide to Standard
>American English" has to say on the subject.

>http://www.bartleby.com/68/18/418.html

>Art Harris

Well, that's a bit of a shift, isn't it? We've gone from "in the
engineering and electronics world' to the world of "Standard American
English".
Newsflash, Art - I posted links to the real engineering and
electronics world, places like NASA, where antennae is in common use. It's
also apparently very common in Europe.
If you want to make the argument that some Columbia U. english prof
is going to get persnickety about it if he uses the term, go ahead. That's
not the argument you made, though. You said "in the engineering and
electronics world, _we_ use antennas." I posted sufficient evidence to
demonstrate that statement is false, and you can post all the useage and
style references you want to, it won't change the fact that you're wrong.
"Antennae" absolutely IS used, quite commonly, in the engineering and
electronics world.

1051. BC 780 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 03:29:13 UTC
From: OLD SOP <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iK528.15012$qN3.127389@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 53718

Just got my new radio today and what a disappointment with the cheap antenna provided.

1052. Re: BC 780 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 03:50:15 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020118225015.03783.00001076@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 53719

DONT TELL US YOU REALLY USE THE BACK OF SET ANTENNA
LOL

1053. Re: Scannin' paid off today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 04:37:44 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <rick-colombo@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C48F818.1020505@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53720

3d_guy wrote:

-Story/Troll deleted-

> He then went back into the woods with flat tires, got stuck, tried
> to run, and was quickly caught with the K9. All this over drugs, he had a
> duffle bag full of cocaine. It was like the whole reason I bought my scanner
> happened right there inside a 30 min period. I WAS PUMPED. Anyways, hope
> this gets someone else as excited as I was...

I did not buy (5) scanners to listen to the cops chase drug runners and
I most certainly would "NOT" put myself and (in my case) my grand
daughter (you mentioned picking up your kids from school) in harms way.
Actually, I presume this was a troll because who would in their right
mind would put themselves (and family) in harms way of a police pursuit
with their kids in the car just because what, they think it's cool? NFW.

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov

1054. Re: SCANCAT GOLD USERS..USING XP? NOT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 04:38:32 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c48f7d7.29948063@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 53721

THANKS but this a registered copy.. i was able to get it to work..
this copy as well as win780.. another waste of money.. but i'm ok
now.. just remember to save everything on floppy..On Fri, 18 Jan 2002
23:23:22 GMT, Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote:

>
>gig,
>
>if you have a registered copy just contact the author
>and he'll figure it out.
>
>if you're using the demo copy .... then that's the problem ..
>you can't save anything.
>
>monty
>
>gig@i-2000.com (GaijinGig) wrote:
>
>>Hello all
>>Anybody using scancat gold with XP or NOT using XP? i'm having some
>>problems and would like to know if it's me(which it probabally is
>>beacuse i tried " winscan too with little or no success")
>>1. whole files vanishing w/o a trace after"saving them"
>>2.bank tag's and fleet id's vanishing too.
>>3. if "description" is the kind of agency(police fire etc) what is the
>>" bank tag id" for?
>>4. thanks Gig.
>

1055. Re: BC 780 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 04:45:12 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c48f8ad.30161950@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 53722

Mine broke.. while i am in an apt(short time) i use the RS cat no
20-006a then back to my discone D130J
good luck with the scanner i come from the BC 210/250 days// then i
bought a brand new RS 2006 then this BC 780 xlt... way too
complicated for me.. but i'm learning it...
any questions? perhaps we can help each other.. software wise or
other...
good luck.. gig@i-2000.comOn Fri, 18 Jan 2002 21:29:13 -0600, "OLD
SOP" <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Just got my new radio today and what a disappointment with the cheap antenna
>provided.
>
>
>

1056. Any CE-232 experts out there???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 05:09:45 UTC
From: sro@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <sro-1801022109450001@dialup-63.210.124.159.dial1.losangeles1.level3.net>
Source record: 53723

Hello,

I just picked up a pro-2006 with an OptoScan and a CE-232 interface (built externally). The scanner and OptoScan board works fine (with Probe) but I am having trouble with the CE-232 interface and I'm hoping someone might be able to give me some place to check in trouble shooting the problem.

Here is the setup:
I am using a portable Pavilian N5430 computer running Win ME.
ME:I run the CBOOTNEW program V1.3.
CBOOTNEW: A screen flashes too quickly for me to read what it says
CBOOTNEW: "Enter comm port number.
ME: I enter 1 <which IS the correct port number>
CBOOTNEW: "Turn on Scanner Interface Unit and press any key"
ME: I turn on scanner and press a key.

CBOOTNEW: "...Downloading Support Program to Scanner Interface Unit..."

CBOOTNEW: "Comm's established -(Press: F10 to continue -or- Q to Quit)-".
ME: I press a F10.
CBOOTNEW: a percent counter runs from 1-100
CBOOTNEW: "Enter comm port number.
ME: I enter 1
CBOOTNEW: A screen flashes too quickly for me to read what it says
CBOOTNEW: "Turn on Scanner/Interface Unit <if Unit is on - turn it off and back on>"
ME: I turn the scanner off and then back on. As soon as I turn the scanner back on the screen changes without any input from me to
CBOOTNEW: "Checking comm's - Press any key to abort"
ME: Now here is where I start to have trouble. I seem to hang in this screen. I wait a while and then hit a key to abort.
CBOOTNEW: "Unable to establish communication" then immediately I get the -BASIC SETUP screen where I enter the Comm Port 1 and the Personality file which is 2006V13.per
ME: I hit the esc key a few times until I get the CBOOTNEW: "Turn on Scanner/Interface Unit <if Unit is on - turn it off and back on>"
ME: I turn the scanner off and then back on. As soon as I turn the scanner back on the screen changes without any input from me to
CBOOTNEW: "Checking comm's - Press any key to abort"
ME: Again I seem to hang in this screen. I wait a while and then hit a key to abort.
CBOOTNEW: "Unable to establish communication"
CBOOTNEW: "Reading per file"
CBOOTNEW: "Download error - Press <ESC> to exit - Any other key to continue"
ME: I press a key to continue

CBOOTNEW: I am presented with the HB232 - SCANNER INTERFACE PROGRAM-
Version 1.3 screen.
ME: I press F4 which is the APRGM function.
ME: I enter select a file which came on the CE Disk
HB232: "Programming Scanner"
HB232: Division by zero in line 0 of module V12BIN at address 1584:45BB
Hit any key to return to system

Any ideas????
Any help would be GREATLY appreciated. Please email me at:
sro@ix.netcom.com
Thanks in advance!
--Scott

1057. Re: Scannin' paid off today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 06:19:34 UTC
From: Leonard <louis@prism.netxx>
Message-ID: <jt3i4ugfmn6j6jiegaoqldih9r8hm400u2@4ax.com>
Source record: 53725

On Sat, 19 Jan 2002 04:37:44 GMT, Rick Colombo
<rick-colombo@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>3d_guy wrote:
>
>-Story/Troll deleted-
>
>> He then went back into the woods with flat tires, got stuck, tried
>> to run, and was quickly caught with the K9. All this over drugs, he had a
>> duffle bag full of cocaine. It was like the whole reason I bought my scanner
>> happened right there inside a 30 min period. I WAS PUMPED. Anyways, hope
>> this gets someone else as excited as I was...
>
>
>
>I did not buy (5) scanners to listen to the cops chase drug runners and
>I most certainly would "NOT" put myself and (in my case) my grand
>daughter (you mentioned picking up your kids from school) in harms way.
> Actually, I presume this was a troll because who would in their right
>mind would put themselves (and family) in harms way of a police pursuit
>with their kids in the car just because what, they think it's cool? NFW.
>
>
>Rick Colombo@fnal.gov

It happens, not saying they are in their right mind though.

1058. RS 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 08:07:02 UTC
From: Mario <keystone591@home.com>
Message-ID: <fv9i4u47hj3uq2dculfd4c9vs64g0knbuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 53726

I just got a Radio Shack Pro 2035 1000 channel Scanner.
It was left in a house that I bought by the previous owner.
Is this a good unit?

Thanks in advance.
Mario.

1059. I need help finding out what frequency this radio is broadcasting on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 10:11:33 UTC
From: JoeyELP@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <326fa7a3.0201190211.54edd6cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53727

Hey, this is going to be pretty vauge, but lets say that I have a
radio. This radio's power is 3 watts, and it's constantly
broadcasting. What exactly do I have to do to find out what frequency
it's broadcasting on? Email me at JoeyELP@yahoo.com if you've got
Thanks for your help.

1060. Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 11:25:18 UTC
From: FT- 847 or IC-746 <na@na.com>
Message-ID: <Ilc28.43118$Hg7.4801188@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 53729
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Is it right to expect a HF tranceiver to have as good or even better sensitivity than a dedicated SWL receiver?

I'm interested in starting with Amateur Radio after listening to HF for years and want to buy a new receiver/tranceiver. Is there a sensitivity comprimise to use a HF tranceiver instead of a dedicated HF receiver.

1061. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 11:35:50 UTC
From: w.d.hines@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <HU4cdQdxQ4gO-pn2-3KHlYIoCTYP5@localhost>
Source record: 53730
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.rail.americas,rec.radio.scanner

In an earlier episode, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack)
wrote:

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<rtY18.8368$Y17.429372@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> > LOL!
> >
> > Point taken, but I don't think it is so much an issue of being monitored as
> > it is the presence of
> > people on the ROW or RR property where they aren't supposed to be. It's not
> > that RR people
> > hate "fans", it's just the fear that they will get hurt. (Or bad karma
> >

< snip >

> Our litegious society, I think, as a prerequiste for the rest,
> frankly. Take the McDonald's coffee case; The cour ruling on that one
> should have been:
>
> "OK, gang, Coffee is hot and it'll burn your ass if you're not
> careful. If you don't understand this, you've got a problem that a
> 16.s million dollar settlement isn't going to solve. Ruling for the
> plaintiff, award not to exceed the cost of the coffee."

I think that sums up the situation...incredibly stupid court
decisions. Someone ignores the warning signs, trespasses, and
gets smucked by a train, and the stupid judges and juries blame
the railroad. Perhaps there should be IQ tests for judges and
people who sit on juries. Wasn't there a case, following which
someone said, "The jury couldn't even spell 'DNA', let alone
understand it."?

gwh

--
G. Wayne Hines Kentville, Nova Scotia, Canada
#
w.d.hines@ns.sympatico.ca
#
Windows: A rich man's poor excuse for an operating system
#

1062. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 11:53:07 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3C495E23.CBF92E39@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 53731
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Most any ham transceiver will have equal or beter sensitivity than most
of the swl receivers. YOu need to look at things like selecitivity and
how well the noise blanker work. The newer (probably anything made in
the last 15 years) transceivers work very well for listining to the
short wave stations.

FT- 847 or IC-746 wrote:
>
> Is it right to expect a HF tranceiver to have as good or even better
> sensitivity than a dedicated SWL receiver?
>
> I'm interested in starting with Amateur Radio after listening to HF for
> years and want to buy a new receiver/tranceiver. Is there a sensitivity
> comprimise to use a HF tranceiver instead of a dedicated HF receiver.

1063. Re: RS 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 12:18:54 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C49642E.40002@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 53732

Mario wrote:

> I just got a Radio Shack Pro 2035 1000 channel Scanner.
> It was left in a house that I bought by the previous owner.
> Is this a good unit?
>
> Thanks in advance.
> Mario.
>

Its worth about $220 - $250 on eBay. I own two of them and the are excellent MilAir scanners. Great Public safety scanners as long as your system isn't trunk. The 2035 can not do trunk systems.

You made a very good find!

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1064. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 12:49:52 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QXd28.381051$W8.14073904@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53733
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"FT- 847 or IC-746" <na@na.com> wrote in message
news:lIc28.43118$Hg7.4801188@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Is it right to expect a HF tranceiver to have as good or even better
> sensitivity than a dedicated SWL receiver?
>
> I'm interested in starting with Amateur Radio after listening to HF for
> years and want to buy a new receiver/tranceiver. Is there a sensitivity
> comprimise to use a HF tranceiver instead of a dedicated HF receiver.
>
>

Sesitivity has never been a problem on SW freqs, but handling adjacent channel stuff is definitely the problem. In the unchannelized ham bands this is the name of the game so it is reasonable to suspect the two you mention would be pretty good at SWLing and probably so close to a dedicated unit at the same price as to require a remote location and Superman for an op to tell the difference.

Where they might be different is in filter bandwidth: it is not easy to tune a full-width AM signal with a tight SSB filter and tuning AM with a product detector is cute. I am not familiar enough with either in SWL mode to tell but I will bet some others have. They may have well made the provisions for SWLing.

Leave you with the standard three sites for those interested in Amateur Radio:

http://www.arrl.org
http://www.qrz.com for the sample exams.
http://ah0a.org for Morse Academy which is still required for privleges below 30 MHz

Enjoy

Dick NBK

1065. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 12:56:47 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <j2e28.21236$8P3.4364299@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53734
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sensistivity will not be a problem. What you need will be determined by what kind of SWLing you plan to do. If you're mainly interested in "utes" using ssb, any amateur transceiver will do a fine job.

For am reception, are you mainly interested in "DXing" (as in finding rare, low power regional stations), or listening to the major international broadcasters for news and music? Your answer will determine what type of I.F. filters you will need.

These days, I prefer to listen to the major broadcasters, and I'm most interested in getting the best audio quality. This dictates wide I.F. filters of AT LEAST 6 kHz at -6 dB, audio circuitry capable of low distortion and good frequency response, and a good external speaker. Check what optional I.F. filters are available for the radios you are considering.

The best sounding radios tend to be the old "hollow state" boat anchors. Modern "state-of-the-art" radios often have very restricted audio response. Make sure try out a radio before buying if good audio is a concern.

Art Harris N2AH

"FT- 847 or IC-746" <na@na.com> wrote in message
news:lIc28.43118$Hg7.4801188@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Is it right to expect a HF tranceiver to have as good or even better
> sensitivity than a dedicated SWL receiver?
>
> I'm interested in starting with Amateur Radio after listening to HF for
> years and want to buy a new receiver/tranceiver. Is there a sensitivity
> comprimise to use a HF tranceiver instead of a dedicated HF receiver.
>
>

1066. Re: Antennas on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 13:30:20 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <Mxe28.22277$8P3.4412317@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53735

<KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote in message
news:74gh4uob8lfknvddchre2agvlijo8nmgs1@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 17 Jan 2002 18:05:19 GMT, Harris
<aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
> wrote:
> >Try making insertion loss measurements on new 9913, and measure again
> >after a few years.
>
> I have and have had ZERO problems in 6+ years. Any increase of loss would
be
> immediately noticed as part of this system is to pickup very low power
simplex
> comms and any additional loss in the cable would be noticeable.

That sounds sort of unscientific. I doubt that a gradual increase of couple of dB of loss would be "immediately" noticeable. In fact, during the change of seasons, a couple of dB change in path loss would be expected due to foliage, etc. The fact that you've noticed NO difference indicates that it is indeed hard to determine a small, gradual increase in loss without proper instrumentation.

Try switching a 1 or 2 dB in-line attenuator in and out of your feedline and see what a small difference it makes. Now imagine how hard it would be to detect that change if it happened gradually over a year or more.

My point in all of this is that high-end, low loss cable has it's place in true weak signal work (ssb, moonbounce, etc.) when using top of the line receiving equipment with low noise figure. For the typical scanner used in FM mode, RG-6 or something similar makes sense for most applications.

Art Harris N2AH

1067. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 13:38:58 UTC
From: Tom2000 <tom2000@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <35si4u85dl16j07eekscen39d2gbn444sc@4ax.com>
Source record: 53737
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 19 Jan 2002 12:56:47 GMT, "Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote:

>Sensistivity will not be a problem. What you need will be determined by what
>kind of SWLing you plan to do. If you're mainly interested in "utes" using
>ssb, any amateur transceiver will do a fine job.
>
If you're interested in fundamental receiver performance, a transceiver won't leave you wanting. Remember that these radios have been designed to handle the chaos in the amateur bands during a contest - perhaps the most demanding conditions possible.

What you probably won't gain will be good performance in the MW and LW broadcast bands, and perhaps high-fidelity AM reception. These requirements just aren't factored into the design of a receive section designed primarily for amateur radio operation. But the manufaturers are starting to realize that their transceivers are being used for all-around listening, too, so I wouldn't be surprised if we started seeing more SWL-freindly receive sections in future ham transceivers.

If you're interested in listening above the AM broadcast band, though, you'll lose nothing by going with a good transceiver. Considering the prices of a good transceiver vs a new top-shelf receiver, the transceiver is the way to go if you plan to get on the air, too. You don't need both. Also, you don't need to mortgage the kids to buy the latest and greatest transceiver. A good used rig built within the last 15 years or so should provide lots of fun on both ham radio, SWBC, and ute bands.

If you have the bucks for only one large purchase, go for the transceiver. I did quite a bit of enjoyable SW listening on my last good transceiver, a TS-440S. That radio had absolutely no accommodation for the SWL, yet it was a terrific radio for ham, SWBC, and ute listening.

>The best sounding radios tend to be the old "hollow state" boat anchors.
>Modern "state-of-the-art" radios often have very restricted audio response.
>Make sure try out a radio before buying if good audio is a concern.
>

Art, try to get some "face time" with an R8B. The first time you hear that radio's rich audio from a weak SWBC broadcast will have you abandoning those glowing filaments forever. You'll have to find a better way to heat your shack in the wintertime :)

Very 73,

Tom AB9B

1068. RS Pro 93 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 13:40:41 UTC
From: Brian Haren <bharen@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RAe28.14916$Y17.1041695@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53736

All,

Popped into the local 'Shack last night and the manager was playing with one of the new Pro 93 scanners - seemed one of our local firefighters had 'cloned' his into the store display model and the manager (and, eventually, me) had a good time listening in, poking buttons, etc. Then the manager informed me that the scanner was on sale for $169. Hmmm... Time to get a trunking scanner?

Anyway, I know the Pro 93 is fairly new, but does anyone out there own one who can give a his/her impressoins of the unit, particularly compared to the other RS trunking radios? Good points/bad points? Is this a good one to buy?

Thanks in advance!

Brian

1069. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 13:46:52 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u4iu6nbh0dvibe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53738
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

sensitivity is not a problem today, selectivity can be though. i imagine many transceivers will be set up with 2.1 or 2.4 kHz filters for SSB. even with this you can listen to broadcasts but the fidelity will be cut a bit... i have done quite a bit of testing in this regard. the audio is not all that bad at about the level of ~2500 Hz.

you might want to look into the new (out in february) transceiver from ICOM... the 746 Pro which has 32-bit IF DSP... plus digital twin PBT, manual notch filter, digital noise reduction, 100 Watts output, digitally controllable AGC.

it will have 51 digital filters!... each with soft or hard filter edge shapes! the range is from 600-3600 Hz on SSB so using ECSS (listening to AM as if it were SSB) you should get good fidelity (equivalent of up to 7.2 kHz on AM). btw, sensitivity is excellent except for BCB.

both the units you picked look nice and unless you're real picky about audio fidelity i would go for the transceiver and use it as as a receiver also.

phil :)

1070. Re: I need help finding out what frequency this radio is broadcasting on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 14:28:08 UTC
From: "old time radio"
Message-ID: <gh0j4ugbiba262kre28nlf432j2e27pfe1@4ax.com>
Source record: 53739

find a local ham or two-way shop with a frequency counter would be the easiest..... if you can't tune it in with a receiver
otr

On 19 Jan 2002 02:11:33 -0800, JoeyELP@yahoo.com (Joseph Marcus) wrote:

>Hey, this is going to be pretty vauge, but lets say that I have a
>radio. This radio's power is 3 watts, and it's constantly
>broadcasting. What exactly do I have to do to find out what frequency
>it's broadcasting on? Email me at JoeyELP@yahoo.com if you've got
>Thanks for your help.

1071. Program Invert.exe (Cordless Scrambling Decode)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 14:40:04 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <he0j4u8lruhnu7c57h1rqg625kk8ekdqln@4ax.com>
Source record: 53740

PC HD suffered a major crash....Where was it? It was emailed by a guy
in AUS if ur reading this plse resend..thanks

1072. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 15:35:21 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u4j4fl8el7122e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Apparently they aren't that up with the times... Even the cheapest radar detectors these days are VG-2 (radar detector detector) undetectable. I know of no modern radar detector detector that can detect a VG-2 undetectable radar detector... Damn that's a lot of detecting! I think they need to come up with a little better strategy for busting the violating commercial carriers than that...

PH

"Skatebrat" <skatebrat@home.net> wrote in message news:dr5c4ugn01vv4mo1sq5thrtjla72tjl02e@4ax.com...
> The Texas Department of Public Safety unveiled
> its latest weapon in the battle: the radar-detector detector.
>
> "It picks up the waves off the radar detectors," said Tela Mange,
> the department's spokeswoman. "It gets very confusing,
> but it's great technology."
>
> "It's a game; that's what it is," he said while stopped
> near Dallas. "They get radar; we get a radar detector.
> They upgrade theirs; we upgrade ours."
>
> The U.S. government outlawed radar-detection
> devices in commercial vehicles about five years ago,
> according to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety
> Administration, the agency that works out
> transportation safety rules for interstate commerce.
>
> But that hasn't stopped the use of the devices among
> independent truck drivers. The rigs owned by trucking
> companies have speed-governing devices that keep
> the trucks within the speed limit.
>
> http://www.austin360.com/aas/metro/011602/16trucks.html
>
>
> Texas Department of Public Safety
> http://www.txdps.state.tx.us/

1073. Re: BC 780 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 15:48:00 UTC
From: OLD SOP <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Syg28.15067$qN3.128914@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 53742

Yes there's lots to know compared to the 895. Works great off of my ground plane. Have a discone to install next. Haven't tried software yet. Have EZ-Prog 895.

"GaijinGig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message news:3c48f8ad.30161950@news-server.optonline.net...
> Mine broke.. while i am in an apt(short time) i use the RS cat no
> 20-006a then back to my discone D130J
> good luck with the scanner i come from the BC 210/250 days// then i
> bought a brand new RS 2006 then this BC 780 xlt... way too
> complicated for me.. but i'm learning it...
> any questions? perhaps we can help each other.. software wise or
> other...
> good luck.. gig@i-2000.comOn Fri, 18 Jan 2002 21:29:13 -0600, "OLD
> SOP" <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Just got my new radio today and what a disappointment with the cheap antenna
> >provided.
> >
> >
> >
>

1074. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 15:58:26 UTC
From: Tim Kynerd <tim@tram.nu>
Message-ID: <slrna4ioaf.du.tim@linux.local>
Source record: 53743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

In article <bd154db5.0201181550.68ced23d@posting.google.com>, eflorack wrote:

-snip-

>
> Our litegious society, I think, as a prerequiste for the rest,
> frankly. Take the McDonald's coffee case; The cour ruling on that one
> should have been:
>
> "OK, gang, Coffee is hot and it'll burn your ass if you're not
> careful. If you don't understand this, you've got a problem that a
> 16.s million dollar settlement isn't going to solve. Ruling for the
> plaintiff, award not to exceed the cost of the coffee."

What a lot of people don't seem to know (or care) about this case is that McDonald's was serving their coffee at EXTREMELY high temperatures, 180 degreed Fahrenheit IIRC. That's obviously much hotter than the temperature you usually encounter in your home, for instance, and have reason to expect. It's also much hotter than the temperature at which other restaurants normally serve coffee. THOSE facts were the basis for the judgment against McDonald's.

McDonald's now serves its coffee at more normal temperatures.

>
> By the samke token, if you're going to be around a track, be aware of
> what's going on, for pity's sake.

Agree.

> And while I agree, some people are not aware and misbehave... that's not
> the case for the vast majority.

The vast majority have sense enough not to go near railroad tracks in the first place unless they have business being there.

--
Tim Kynerd Sundbyberg (smï¿½stan i storstan), Sweden tim@tram.nu
Sunrise in Stockholm today: 8:31
Sunset in Stockholm today: 15:24
My rail transit photos at http://www.kynerd.nu

1075. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 16:33:38 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <Cdh28.25120$8P3.4933483@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53744

"Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote in message
news:a2anq9$lv2$1@nd.eastky.net...
>
> A very simple exercise, using a search engine.
>

I suppose it is simple to find a handful of incorrect uses of the word among the millions cataloged on Google.

As for "shifting" the argument, you were the one who said: "Sheesh, I really wish you spelling/grammar lamers would at least pick up a dictionary before you spout off. Either form of the plural is acceptable."

So, I just pulled a dictionary from my bookshelf. The "Webster's New World Dictionary of the American Language (College Edition)" says the following:

antenna n. 1. [pl. ANTENNAE, ANTENNAS], either of a pair of jointed sense organs on the head of an insect, crab, lobster,etc.; feeler. 2. pl. [ANTENNAS], in radio & television, a wire or set of wires used in sending and receiving the electromagnetic waves; aerial.

In addition, we have:

"Kenneth G. Wilson (1923�). The Columbia Guide to Standard American English. 1993.
antenna (n.) has two semantically differentiated plurals: �the feelers of insects and crustaceans� are either antennae or antennas. In radio and television applications, the plural is always antennas."

http://www.bartleby.com/68/18/418.html

I think that should settle it.

Yes, I believe the British sometimes use "antennae." But then, they still "earth" their equipment. The NASA items you included appeared to be "feel good" pieces written for kids by non-technical PR people.

I can tell you, as a member of the IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society, I have never seen the word "antennae" used in any IEEE publications. Nor have I seen it in any other antenna text book published in the US.

If you want this poor newbie to go around talking about "antennae" and having people laugh at him, I don't think you have his beat interests at heart.

Art Harris

1076. Program Invert.exe (Cordless Scrambling Decode)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 17:15:12 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <ai9j4uccnfecr7a03f7movejhrtus2dpbq@4ax.com>
Source record: 53745

PC HD suffered a major crash....Where was it? It was emailed by a guy
in AUS if ur reading this plse resend..thanks

1077. Re: RS Pro 93 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 17:26:29 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <qjaj4u4sf82iqujs3jk4tguso5o5ouiig0@4ax.com>
Source record: 53649

On Sat, 19 Jan 2002 08:40:41 -0500, "Brian Haren"
<bharen@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Anyway, I know the Pro 93 is fairly new, but does anyone out there own one
>who can give a his/her impressoins of the unit, particularly compared to the
>other RS trunking radios? Good points/bad points? Is this a good one to
>buy?

Take a look at the pro-93 group at Yahoo! groups. There are well over
a thousand mesages so far. You'll get a good feel for all of its
plusses and minuses.

Ken Plotkin

1078. NiMH vs. NiCd charging mystery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 18:02:24 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Qwi28.3004$hp6.151@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53746

Calling all battery experts:

I'm already a fan of NiMH batteries, but wonder what is the difference in the chargers for them? Can I successfully recharge NiMH cells in my older Pro43 with the stock adapter/charger?

Are chargers that say "NiCd/NiMH" really different or are they marketing labels? And if different, how?

I upgraded my BC245 battery to a NiMH and it charges just great with the stock Uniden charger that I have to assume was designed for the radio's stock NiCd.

TIA and regards,

Fred

1079. Re: NiMH vs. NiCd charging mystery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 18:11:19 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C49B6EF.44B9AADC@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53647

Fred Zalupski wrote:
>
> Calling all battery experts:
>
> I'm already a fan of NiMH batteries, but wonder what is the difference in
> the chargers for them? Can I successfully recharge NiMH cells in my older
> Pro43 with the stock adapter/charger?
>
> Are chargers that say "NiCd/NiMH" really different or are they marketing
> labels? And if different, how?
>
> I upgraded my BC245 battery to a NiMH and it charges just great with the
> stock Uniden charger that I have to assume was designed for the radio's
> stock NiCd.
>
> TIA and regards,
>
> Fred

NiMH cells do not display negative delta V when they reach
capacity, as NiCads do. NiCad only chargers key on this.

Chargers that charge both cells interpret the cells differently
and key on other properties of the cell. So, no, NiCad/NiMH chargers
are not just NiCad chargers with a new marketing label.

Becareful, NiMH cells can be quick charged, but they can't be left
in the charger indefinitely. NiMH cells should be charged at a rate
of 10% of their capacity, and trickle charged at a rate much lower
than that. Otherwise their life span is cut by more than 75%.

You can use a NiCad charger for NiMH cells, but you need to insure
that the charging rate is low enough.

1080. Req: Winscan 2.0/1 crack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 18:30:22 UTC
From: AirborneRanger <tomll511@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2Xi28.28623$yy3.4992452@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Already tried astalavista.com...no luck. TIA

--
AB

"All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do
nothing." -- Edmund Burke, British philosopher

1081. WTT: YAMAHA ACOUSTIC GUITAR FOR SCANNER/HAM RADIO OR WHATNOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 18:55:43 UTC
From: scannerguy@c4.com
Message-ID: <70154b57.0201191055.5860fba0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53650
Cross-posted to: chi.forsale,austin.forsale,dfw.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a beautiful YAMAHA, six string acoustical guitar, model FG-351SB, I’m wanting to trade. I brought this up here to the Chicago area, with the intentions of trading someone, but have been unsuccessful in contacting that individual since I’ve been here, and am leaving on Sunday to return to Texas.

The guitar was given to me a few years back, when I had an interest in learning to play…unfortunately I never did, and it’s been sitting in a closet at home for the last several years. What I’ve got with it includes:

YAMAHA Six String Acoustical Guitar, Model FG-351SB
Guitar carrying case
JUSTINA Zen-On Quartz Electronic Guitar Tuner (battery operated)

What I’d consider trading for: Pro-2006 Scanner, Yaesu FT-50R ham radio, GPS unit that could attach to a PC, and provide driving instructions (turn here, etc), gun, a cool watch (Casio Color Wrist Camera would be really cool) or whatever else you might have that I might be interested in. I'm an electronics junkie, so email what you've got.

I’m visiting the Chicago area, until Sunday, so I could arrange to deliver or meet somewhere in the area, or could arrange to meet in Austin, or Dallas next week, once I’m back in Texas. Email what you’ve got, and I’ll get back to you immediately. I’d really prefer to trade it off, as I don’t really want to lug it with me back to the airport. Email preferred, as I'm on a REALLY slow dialup connection, and browsing the newsgroups for followups is going to take forever.

Thanks,
scannerguy@c4.com

1082. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 20:16:23 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a2ck6j$ukjk3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53652
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"FT- 847 or IC-746" <na@na.com> wrote in message
news:lIc28.43118$Hg7.4801188@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Is it right to expect a HF tranceiver to have as good or even better
> sensitivity than a dedicated SWL receiver?
>
> I'm interested in starting with Amateur Radio after listening to HF for
> years and want to buy a new receiver/tranceiver. Is there a sensitivity
> comprimise to use a HF tranceiver instead of a dedicated HF receiver.

I've used a ham transceiver for years as a main SW receiver, then later as a secondary SW receiver. It performs just as well as my dedicated receiver.

Pricewise, it would be worth it to pay around $1000 or more for a good ham transceiver, than pay that much and then another $1000 for a dedicated HF receiver. But, if you're really dedicated to HF listening like me, you'll get both.

Tom

1083. Re: Lose one right, lose All of our rights,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 20:38:58 UTC
From: dean arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Message-ID: <3C49D963.3922@silverstar.com>
Source record: 53653
Cross-posted to: talk.politics.guns,alt.law-enforcement,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc,alt.military

Similar group to CTIA but in Central America which was responsible for loss of 70 cm band to Central American hams. Frequencies were "sold" to LEO telecomm company.

1084. FS Regency Scanner Model HX 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 20:45:27 UTC
From: luna <luna@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3C49DAE7.870B30A2@ultinet.net>
Source record: 53654

```
30 channels
6 bands with manual
asking $50.00 with free S/H
```

1085. Re: NiMH vs. NiCd charging mystery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 21:02:32 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C49DEE8.58D41B87@optonline.net>
Source record: 53655

The NiCd charger in the PRO-92, for instance, charges at a rate which is 10% of the NiCd capacity. This amounts to about 5% of the NiMH capacity, since the PRO-92 uses 650 maHr NiCds or 1200maHr NiMHs. I have used the NiMHs in the PRO-92 for about 9 months now with no problem.

The recommended "slow charge" rate for NiMHs is also 10% of capacity.

Walt

Peter Maus wrote:
>
> Fred Zalupski wrote:
> >
> > Calling all battery experts:
> >
> > I'm already a fan of NiMH batteries, but wonder what is the difference in
> > the chargers for them? Can I successfully recharge NiMH cells in my older
> > Pro43 with the stock adapter/charger?
> >
> > Are chargers that say "NiCd/NiMH" really different or are they marketing
> > labels? And if different, how?
> >
> > I upgraded my BC245 battery to a NiMH and it charges just great with the
> > stock Uniden charger that I have to assume was designed for the radio's
> > stock NiCd.
> >
> > TIA and regards,
> >
> > Fred
>
> NiMH cells do not display negative delta V when they reach
> capacity, as NiCads do. NiCad only chargers key on this.
>
> Chargers that charge both cells interpret the cells differently
> and key on other properties of the cell. So, no, NiCad/NiMH chargers
> are not just NiCad chargers with a new marketing label.
>
> Becareful, NiMH cells can be quick charged, but they can't be left
> in the charger indefinitely. NiMH cells should be charged at a rate
> of 10% of their capacity, and trickle charged at a rate much lower
> than that. Otherwise their life span is cut by more than 75%.
>
> You can use a NiCad charger for NiMH cells, but you need to insure
> that the charging rate is low enough.

1086. Police Codes in West Windsor, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 21:08:46 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yfl28.32720$8P3.5761397@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53656
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have been unable to find a list of the codes used by the police in West Windsor, NJ. For example, they use "48" as the equivalent for "10-4". Is there another standard police code set that they're using? Where would I find the complete list?

TIA,
Doug

1087. Re: NiMH vs. NiCd charging mystery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 21:11:55 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vil28.32768$8P3.5771049@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53657

Also, some older NiCd chargers use timers to control the total charge, so higher capacity NiMHs may not receive a full charge before the charger shuts off.

"Fred Zalupski" <zalupski@verizon.net> wrote in message news:Qwi28.3004$hp6.151@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Calling all battery experts:
>
> I'm already a fan of NiMH batteries, but wonder what is the difference in
> the chargers for them? Can I successfully recharge NiMH cells in my older
> Pro43 with the stock adapter/charger?
>
> Are chargers that say "NiCd/NiMH" really different or are they marketing
> labels? And if different, how?
>
> I upgraded my BC245 battery to a NiMH and it charges just great with the
> stock Uniden charger that I have to assume was designed for the radio's
> stock NiCd.
>
> TIA and regards,
>
> Fred
>
>

1088. Unblocked 800Mhz Yaesu VX5R and accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 21:25:31 UTC
From: James Braun <jbraun@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C49E44B.B90E2F8D@localnet.com>
Source record: 53658

I have a Euro Model Yaesu VX-5R Triband Handheld w/ accessories for
sale,
This is a Export version with continuous (unblocked) coverage including
Cellular.
It is in mint condition with optional SU1 Module installed, and Free
Band modification for expanded RX /TX (and programmed w/ US Band Plan).
Comes with the following accessories:
(2) FNB58LI Batteries
(1) FBA23 "AA" Battery Pack
(1) CD15 Rapid Charger Base
(1) A/C Charger
(1) CSC73 Soft Case
(1) SU1 Altimeter / Barometer Module installed
(1) Yaesu Triband HT Antenna

Box, and Manual.

Asking $420 or best offer for the package.
Any Questions ?
I can be reached at :
jbraun@localnet.com

Thanks,
James

1089. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 21:26:36 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <a2co3aeiq1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 53660

Harris wrote in message ...
>"Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote in message
>news:a2anq9$lv2$1@nd.eastky.net...
>>
>> A very simple exercise, using a search engine.
>>
>
>I suppose it is simple to find a handful of incorrect uses of the word
among
>the millions cataloged on Google.

Handful? Try thousands.

>As for "shifting" the argument,

You were the one who claimed, "In radio, we use antennas".

>Yes, I believe the British sometimes use "antennae." But then, they still
>"earth" their equipment.

Yes, and I believe they have radios and the disciplines of
engineering and electronics, too.

>I can tell you, as a member of the IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society, I
>have never seen the word "antennae" used in any IEEE publications. Nor have
>I seen it in any other antenna text book published in the US.

The US isn't the whole world, and if I can give you an IEEE
published paper that uses the term 'antennae', will you give up this
charade?

>If you want this poor newbie to go around talking about "antennae" and
>having people laugh at him, I don't think you have his beat interests at
>heart.

Yeah, let's straighten him out before your friends make fun of him.

And the IEEE cite? Here it is for ya:

At the 1999 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference New
Orleans, LA, USA 21-24 Sept. 1999:
S.Q. Wu and J.Y. Zhang, "A new robust beamforming method with antennae
calibration errors."

1090. Re: Police Codes in West Windsor, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 21:36:17 UTC
From: Rick Hart <r3h@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3C49E812.9A4D5E25@hotmail.com>
Source record: 53659
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This site might be a place to start. I don't see "West Windsor, NJ" but it has several codes listing for the state of NJ.

http://911audio.com/radio_codes/new_jersey_police_fire_radio_codes.htm
Rick

DougSlug wrote:

> I have been unable to find a list of the codes used by the police in West
> Windsor, NJ. For example, they use "48" as the equivalent for "10-4". Is
> there another standard police code set that they're using? Where would I
> find the complete list?
>
> TIA,
> Doug

1091. Re: Scannin' paid off today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 21:54:55 UTC
From: exitusn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28426-3C49EB2F-159@storefull-212.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 53662

I think you ppl need to lighten up!!!...

PS Enjoy the chase...

Have a nice day.
God bless America.
Super Bos'n!!!...

http://community.webtv.net/exitusn/lookingatyou

1092. Re: RS Pro 93 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 22:13:52 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Acm28.22217$d16.4762135@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53661

The various radio related Yahoo Groups have made these newsgroups obsolete apparently.

Every time someone comes in and asks a question, they are referred to a search engone, Yahoo groups, or strong signals.

Time to close rec.radio.scanner and the others.

Then again, I may be wrong.

Dan

>
> >Anyway, I know the Pro 93 is fairly new, but does anyone out there own one
> >who can give a his/her impressoins of the unit, particularly compared to the
> >other RS trunking radios? Good points/bad points? Is this a good one to
> >buy?
>
> Take a look at the pro-93 group at Yahoo! groups. There are well over
> a thousand mesages so far. You'll get a good feel for all of its
> plusses and minuses.
>
> Ken Plotkin
>

1093. Houston Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 23:17:42 UTC
From: Frog3 <FrogRemailer@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <WL8VA6NI37276.0122916667@frog.gilgamesh.org>
Source record: 53663

Can anyone tell me where I can find a comprehensive list of
scanning frequencies for the Houston, TX area?

--

Scanner Enthusiast

1094. Sony scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-19 23:20:33 UTC
From: B <bpk8227@cox.net>
Message-ID: <5bn28.7817$rx6.612603@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 53664
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Did Sony come out with any new scanners lately? I sure love this Wavehawk.
It is better than and RS or Bearcat handheld I have used!

1095. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 00:08:21 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C4A0A88.3D940080@optonline.net>
Source record: 53665

Hoping to end this little exchange, let me put my 2 cents worth in.

The ..ae form of the plural is uncommon in normal American usage. It is mostly found in the biological area.

In the engineering field it is uncommon to find the ..ae form of the plural. It is mainly used by writers not trained in the US. So, yes, you will find references to antennae in US literature, but it is far more common to find antennas. They both work, and both are understood by working engineers.

Sort of like the inch, mil, micron, nanometer debates. Interesting, but irrelevant. Engineers use what works for them.

Walt

"Robert D. Baker" wrote:
>
> Harris wrote in message ...
> >"Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote in message
> >news:a2anq9$lv2$1@nd.eastky.net...
> >>
> >> A very simple exercise, using a search engine.
> >>
> >
> >I suppose it is simple to find a handful of incorrect uses of the word
> among
> >the millions cataloged on Google.
>
> Handful? Try thousands.
>
> >As for "shifting" the argument,
>
> You were the one who claimed, "In radio, we use antennas".
>
> >Yes, I believe the British sometimes use "antennae." But then, they still
> >"earth" their equipment.
>
> Yes, and I believe they have radios and the disciplines of
> engineering and electronics, too.
>
> >I can tell you, as a member of the IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society, I
> >have never seen the word "antennae" used in any IEEE publications. Nor have
> >I seen it in any other antenna text book published in the US.
>
> The US isn't the whole world, and if I can give you an IEEE

> published paper that uses the term 'antennae', will you give up this
> charade?
>
> >If you want this poor newbie to go around talking about "antennae" and
> >having people laugh at him, I don't think you have his beat interests at
> >heart.
>
> Yeah, let's straighten him out before your friends make fun of him.
>
> And the IEEE cite? Here it is for ya:
>
> At the 1999 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference New
> Orleans, LA, USA 21-24 Sept. 1999:
> S.Q. Wu and J.Y. Zhang, "A new robust beamforming method with antennae
> calibration errors."

1096. Re: 2000 pound bomb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 00:34:04 UTC
From: edmundelee@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3720e15b.0201191634.19acd6e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Brian Rueger <skypilot@writeme.com> wrote in message
news:<ej1l2uc2uinkrrrojqdrasug8dhn0lr8t9@4ax.com>...
> matt weber <matthewww50@home.com> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 26 Dec 2001 22:52:17 GMT, Brian Rueger <skypilot@writeme.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>"Karl" <usereplytoaddress@hotmail.com> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:
> >>
> >>>2000 pounds is nothing. You've heard about the 30,000 pound (yes, that's 15
> >>>tons) they are currently testing?
> >>>
> >>>Karl
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>"Delayed Thinking" <DT@ziipitydoodayzippity.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:g5nW7.3295$7p6.42748@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> >>>> What can how much a bomb weighs possibly have to do with how much it
> >>>> explodes, and the emotional impact it will have?
> >>>>
> >>>> Did the guy on the airline have 2000 pound shoes?
> >>>>
> >>>> The16Falcon said:
> >>>> ""A 2000-pound bomb, no matter where you drop it, is a significant
> emotional
> >>>> event for anyone within a square mile." - Navy officer""
> >>
> >>Karl,
> >>
> >>I'd sure like to read more about this 30000 lb bomb. Do you have a URL?
> >
> >Actually the weapon is quite old, it was used initially in Viet Nam to
> >create instant Helicopter pads. 30,000 pounds of Air Fuel Explosive
> >on a pallet, pushed out the back of a C130 with a parachute. While the
> >weapon is often called a Daisy Cutter, in reality that name refers to
> >the fuse. It creates a huge shockwave, which levels everything around,
> >and blows out eardrums.....
>
> A previous poster talked about a 30,000lb bomb. The BLU-82 weighs in at
> about 15,000 and has approx 12,000 of explosives.
>
> Contrary to some published reports, the BLU-82 is not a "fuel air
> explosive," a type of weapon that disperses an aerosol cloud of fuel and

> ignites a blast that can cause overpressure of 4,000 pounds per square inch.
> Fuel air munitions are made in sizes from 500 to 2,000 pounds.
>
> "A fuel air munition basically puts out an aerosol fuel that then is
> ignited, burning the oxygen in the atmosphere, whereas a BLU-82 is basically
> just a big barrel of blasting slurry," explained John Pike, director of the
> defense policy group GlobalSecurity.org.
>
> The above was found at:
> http://www.globalsecurity.org/org/news/2001/011107-attack01.htm
>
> A fuel air explosive would not create the shock wave associated with the
> BLU-82.
>
> Brian
>

> Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
> Lieutenant/Paramedic | Fire Communications Officer | Hampton, VA.
> B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
> Check out my home page: http://members.home.com/brueger3
> "Life's too short to drink LITE beer!"
>

Of course Im not %100 sure whats going into the "commando vaults",
but, from what they're saying, its being filled with an alluminium
powder, and not the slurry that was used in previous conflicts. A
fuel-air-explosive, I think, can be simplfied to the fact that it
needs oxygen to producing the oxidation required for the explosion to
occur. The slurry, as used in vietnam, had its own oxidizer, and
fuel, for the kaboom.. Filling the massive BLU-82 with aluminium
dust, deploying the dust before the 3-4 foot fuse hits the earth, and
then creating a small (relatively) explosion from the bombs ignitor,
creates an outward shock, which carries the dust further.. while
igniting it at the same time.

I think this works similiar to a grain-silo explosion... quite
devestating, using the simpliest of materials.

Aluminum dust, I would imagine, would be a little more effective than
grain particles/gases.

So, to wrap up my point, depending on what the BLU was filled with
would determine whether or not it was a true FAE.

I think the use in Afghanstan has been FAE.

Quite a blast for the buck, regardless...

ede

1097. Re: RS Pro 93 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 00:37:26 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wjo28.277$5p2.190190@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53667

I have a 93. I think it's great. I only hate the alpha tag problem, the way it overwrites the ones in bank 1 and 2 from 8 and 9 or whatever. But it doesn't really affect me, I use the first half for conventional, the last half for trunking, so I could care less. I figure the next version will fix that.

It picks up the 800MHz real well, much better than the 94. And after cracking it open and doing a mod to restore the headphone volume, it's works like a champ.

I'm glad I bought it.

"Brian Haren" <bharen@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:RAe28.14916$Y17.1041695@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> All,
>
> Popped into the local 'Shack last night and the manager was playing with one
> of the new Pro 93 scanners - seemed one of our local firefighters had
> 'cloned' his into the store display model and the manager (and, eventually,
> me) had a good time listening in, poking buttons, etc. Then the manager
> informed me that the scanner was on sale for $169. Hmmm... Time to get a
> trunking scanner?
>
> Anyway, I know the Pro 93 is fairly new, but does anyone out there own one
> who can give a his/her impressoins of the unit, particularly compared to the
> other RS trunking radios? Good points/bad points? Is this a good one to
> buy?
>
> Thanks in advance!
>
> Brian
>
>
>

1098. Re: Houston Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 00:41:57 UTC
From: Special Agent Fox Mulder <DONOTSPAMM0RME@poop.net>
Message-ID: <pno28.8741$HS4.466959@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 53668

try http://www.clarc.org/~kg5ai/
Frog3 <FrogRemailer@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:WL8VA6NI37276.0122916667@frog.gilgamesh.org...
> Can anyone tell me where I can find a comprehensive list of
> scanning frequencies for the Houston, TX area?
>
> --
>
> Scanner Enthusiast
>
>
>

1099. Re: Program Invert.exe (Cordless Scrambling Decode)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 01:00:47 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <op4k4ugo3m1c6g0gma4vlm5trles5dn5re@4ax.com>
Source record: 53669

got it now...thanks who replied

On Sat, 19 Jan 2002 17:15:12 GMT, David M Schertzer
<schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote:

>PC HD suffered a major crash....Where was it? It was emailed by a guy
>in AUS if ur reading this plse resend..thanks

1100. Re: Wanted: ICOM TV-R7100 TV unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 01:47:03 UTC
From: Bill Johnson <w3fi@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <3C4A2197.1C8632AE@compuserve.com>
Source record: 53670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I have one. Actually never used it.

NOSPAM wrote:

> Wanted: ICOM TV-R7100 TV unit
>
> jbeckett@(NOSPAM)stny.rr.com
> remove (NOSPAM) to email

1101. Re: Antennae on BC780xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 02:07:18 UTC
From: xman Charlie <xman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qDp28.8885$OS5.728248@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53671

Using one of them beam antennas, points at oakland, sanfran, ca, airports.
I'm about 45 miles east.

I tried that discone antenna, but Its not for me.

Get the local pd good, along with the airtraffic cntrls/pilots.

Using home depot:
Bonded Direct Burial Foam Super ColorDuct 6/U 100% Shielded U/V Low Loss
Coax 9549T

xman Charlie

"GaijinGig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3c45e141.3415811@news-server.optonline.net...
> I like the DIAMOND D130J!! never failed me!!On Wed, 16 Jan 2002
> 03:35:55 GMT, "Go_Slow" <slow_go@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Newbie scanner enthusiast.
> >
> >My new BC780 comes with a telescopic antennae. I am about
> >35 miles from a PD Tower ~800Mhz that was weak but I could listen
> >ok. About 10 miles away is DFW Airport. I can pick up
> >the planes on the ground and towers on some days but
> >usually not. My local PD is ~450Mhz and they are weak.
> >
> >I bought an "all band" disc/cone Radio Shack antannae.
> >I mounted it on a pole about 15 feet high.
> >
> >The 35mile away PD tower can no longer be heard. The
> >planes and tower can now be heard strong. The local PD
> >now comes in strong.
> >
> >According to the freq response diagram on the RS antennae,
> >it is fairly flat with no adnormal problems in the 800Mhz and
> >up range.
> >
> >Questions:
> >
> >Is it normal to expect this? The RS antennae seemed to be made
> >of quality materials. Not sure about the design.
> >
> >I realize finding an antennae that works superior in all ranges
> >is not practical. At least at the $70 I paid for the RS antennae.
> >
> >Should I expect better reception with the telescopic that comes

> >with the BC780 than the RS unit. I would have thought a coat
> >hanger would have been as good.
> >
> >How about the antennae wire. I am using RG-58 that is marked for
> >HAM/CB usage. Is that were I screwed up? It is a 50 foot lead.
> >
> >Should I expect to use a switch box that selects an antennae based
> >on the freq's I am listening to ?
> >
> >Thanks ahead for any answers or ideas.
>
>

1102. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 02:17:36 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c4a2757.781965161@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On 18 Jan 2002 05:40:58 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:

>igopogo@ix.netcom.com (John McCoy) wrote in message
news:<3c478263.608638730@enews.newsguy.com>...
>> On 17 Jan 2002 12:05:39 -0800, eflorack@rochester.rr.com (eflorack) wrote:

>> >Consdier the extra heavy designs involved with RR radios over the
>> >years, and the extra heavy operational costs resulting from it.
>>
>> Well, you know, that's not really a factor. The portables & the fixed equipment
>> aren't any different from what public safety types use, and the RR Spectra
>> mobile's only got a slight price difference from a normal mobile.
>
>That's not the way it used to be. I have some older Morotorla Rail
>gear, which I got in a warehouse deal many years ago. I forget the
>model number the units in that case were VERY different than the
>automtive mobiles of the day. I gather that a lot of it had to do
>with the power supplies... 70 volt primaries, if I'm not mistaken.

The only unit the RR's use that's different is the mobile that goes in the locomotive
(which, as you recall, has a 72V power input and some different ergonomics, and
is sized to fit the standard "cleancab" rack). I'm not sure what the current pricing
is, but it's only $400 or $500 more than the standard mobile.

Everything else - portables, base stations, even the mobiles in the hi-railers is
standard stuff (*).

>> But why use 800 at all? Trunking is the solution, and trunking can work just as
>> well at VHF.
>
>The whole reason for the move in the first place was to releive
>congestion on the VHF bands, from an FCC perspective.

But 800 is congested worse than VHF, now. You'd have no hope of getting an
800 channel near most of the big urban areas (probably couldn't even get a 700
channel, and that band isn't even open yet).

John

(* the dispatcher consoles are unique, however)

1103. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 02:20:50 UTC
From: igopogo@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3c4a2914.782410340@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

On 19 Jan 2002 16:58:26 +0100, Tim Kynerd <tim@tram.nu> wrote:

>What a lot of people don't seem to know (or care) about this case is that
>McDonald's was serving their coffee at EXTREMELY high temperatures, 180
>degreed Fahrenheit IIRC.

Correct. McDonalds brews their coffee in a pressure vessel, so they can brew it
at considerably higher temperatures than "boiling". Given that it starts a lot hotter,
it stays a lot hotter than your average cup of joe.

John

1104. Re: BC 780 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 03:34:03 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <LUq28.39461$8P3.6893444@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53674

At least they give you one.....

"OLD SOP" <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:iK528.15012$qN3.127389@news1.mts.net...
> Just got my new radio today and what a disappointment with the cheap
antenna
> provided.
>
>
>

1105. Re: RS Pro 93 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 03:47:38 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <4udk4u0dreqcl0tjc7t6fesf0np68v5neu@4ax.com>
Source record: 53675

On Sat, 19 Jan 2002 22:13:52 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
wrote:

>The various radio related Yahoo Groups have made these newsgroups obsolete
>apparently.

Nah - newsgroups will live forever. Yahoo Groups time may even be
coming to an end. We'll see what their "read the ad before the post"
thing does in the long run. Might drive uers away. Or it just might
be a symptom that Yahoo can't afford to run forums any more.

>Every time someone comes in and asks a question, they are referred to a
>search engone, Yahoo groups, or strong signals.

Ah - the old "use a search engine" thing. Instant rudeness. I've
never done that - just too cheap a shot. But that's different from
pointing to a specific place that has a whole bunch of info.

>Time to close rec.radio.scanner and the others.

Ever try to shut down a newsgroup?

>Then again, I may be wrong.

Impossible. This is usenet. The last bastion of free speech. Nobody
is wrong.

Just different degrees of being right. :-)

BTW, I don't have a 93, but I hang out on the 93 Yahoo group as an
extension of my owning a 92. 93 owners seem to be pretty happy. Good
sensitivity, ability to trunk by entering only the control channel,
text tags. Negatives are no PL tones, and text tags are usable only
on 256 of the 300 channels. Positive (for the time being) is the
dynamite sale price.

If I needed another scanner, I'd buy a 93.

Ken Plotkin

1106. asd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 05:10:24 UTC
From: StarGazer <pti@capnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C4A5140.E8732887@capnet.com>
Source record: 53676

```
fadsf
```

1107. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 05:52:23 UTC
From: randee <randee@zianet.com>
Message-ID: <3C4A5B17.A88E27F0@zianet.com>
Source record: 53677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

And the reason the coffee was so hot was that McD was using a steam process to brew the beans, rather than hot water. By using steam at high temperatures they could get by with a much cheaper grade of beans. A point that only true coffee fanatics might have caught. Coffee is normally brewed with water at about 180-190, but the brewing process itself cools the coffee down so that by the time you get it in the cup it is more like 140-150. McD has since apparently gone to a water brewing process. Some coffee site or other had all the details.

Tim Kynerd wrote:
>
> In article <bd154db5.0201181550.68ced23d@posting.google.com>, eflorack wrote:
>
> -snip-
>
> >
> > Our litegious society, I think, as a prerequiste for the rest,
> > frankly. Take the McDonald's coffee case; The cour ruling on that one
> > should have been:
> >
> > "OK, gang, Coffee is hot and it'll burn your ass if you're not
> > careful. If you don't understand this, you've got a problem that a
> > 16.s million dollar settlement isn't going to solve. Ruling for the
> > plaintiff, award not to exceed the cost of the coffee."
>
> What a lot of people don't seem to know (or care) about this case is that
> McDonald's was serving their coffee at EXTREMELY high temperatures, 180
> degreed Fahrenheit IIRC. That's obviously much hotter than the temperature
> you usually encounter in your home, for instance, and have reason to expect.
> It's also much hotter than the temperature at which other restaurants
> normally serve coffee. THOSE facts were the basis for the judgment against
> McDonald's.
>
> McDonald's now serves its coffee at more normal temperatures.
>
> >
> > By the samke token, if you're going to be around a track, be aware of
> > what's going on, for pity's sake.
>
> Agree.
>
> > And while I agree, some people are not aware and misbehave... that's not

> > the case for the vast majority.
>
> The vast majority have sense enough not to go near railroad tracks in the
> first place unless they have business being there.
>
> --
> Tim Kynerd Sundbyberg (småstan i storstan), Sweden tim@tram.nu
> Sunrise in Stockholm today: 8:31
> Sunset in Stockholm today: 15:24
> My rail transit photos at http://www.kynerd.nu

--
wf.
Wayne Flowers
Randee Greenwald
randee@zianet.com

1108. Re: FS Realistic Pro 2030 unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 07:58:19 UTC
From: vic <vaf@nt.net>
Message-ID: <Hnk28.34217$4i5.5161302@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 53651

SOLD!

"vic" <vaf@nt.net> wrote in message
news:6Xq18.15302$o_.2906198@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Realistic Pro 2030 - 800 mzh Scanner
> No gaps between 800 and 956 mzh
> Picks up all. In excellent condition.
> Canada, but will also ship to US.
> See post here.
> http://www.denverradio.com/used.pro
>
> vic
>
>
>

1109. WINRADIO X31B ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 09:50:50 UTC
From: Nichols <nichols@hawii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_pw28.267$lb.279174@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 53678

Anybody has any feedback (pos/neg) on the performance of the WINRADIO X31B antenna?

Thanks

1110. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 11:27:20 UTC
From: Pete Gianakopoulos <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sQx28.110570$fe1.1922095@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53679
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The newer transceivers that are on the market will provide adequate performance. The biggest difference between them and a receiver is that the receiver usually has an extra conversion stage at a lower I.F. provide more system gain. For the SW bands, this doesn't make too much difference, but if you are using a passive loop on the MW/LW bands, that extra gain will help. Noise figure probably won't be any better that the transceivers, though, unless the receiver has a quiet preamplifier ahead of the 1st mixer. I need to qualify these statements, because companies like Ten-Tec are coming out with triple conversion designs such as the Jupiter transceiver. Also, the extra gain in receivers means that you don't have to turn up the volume as much on those ultra weak signals. You can't go wrong with either approach, but I like to have that extra gain!

Pete KE9OA

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a2ck6j$ukjk3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "FT- 847 or IC-746" <na@na.com> wrote in message
> news:lIc28.43118$Hg7.4801188@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > Is it right to expect a HF tranceiver to have as good or even better
> > sensitivity than a dedicated SWL receiver?
> >
> > I'm interested in starting with Amateur Radio after listening to HF for
> > years and want to buy a new receiver/tranceiver. Is there a sensitivity
> > comprimise to use a HF tranceiver instead of a dedicated HF receiver.
>
> I've used a ham transceiver for years as a main SW receiver, then later as
a
> secondary SW receiver. It performs just as well as my dedicated receiver.
>
> Pricewise, it would be worth it to pay around $1000 or more for a good ham
> transceiver, than pay that much and then another $1000 for a dedicated HF
> receiver. But, if you're really dedicated to HF listening like me, you'll
> get both.
>
> Tom
>
>

1111. Re: WINRADIO X31B ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 12:05:13 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c4ab2a5$0$10904$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53680

Is that the flat one about the size of an A4 page with a pre-amp fitted??

"Nichols" <nichols@hawii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_pw28.267$Ib.279174@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> Anybody has any feedback (pos/neg) on the performance of the WINRADIO X31B
> antenna?
>
> Thanks
>
>

1112. Re: Winscan 2.0/1 crack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 12:50:44 UTC
From: AirborneRanger <tomll511@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <E2z28.30497$yy3.5644272@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes and no....bad link to the zip file.

--
AB

"All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do
nothing." -- Edmund Burke, British philosopher

1113. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 13:03:50 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <Wez28.44631$8P3.7984159@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53682
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Pete Gianakopoulos" <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:sQx28.110570$fe1.1922095@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Noise figure probably won't be any better that the transceivers,
> though, unless the receiver has a quiet preamplifier ahead of the 1st mixer.

Good point on the benefit of the extra gain at the lower freqs.

I wouldn't worry too much about noise figure at HF except maybe up at 10 meters. At VHF and up the noise generated in the receiver front end is almost always much greater than atmospheric noise; hence the concern for low noise figure. At HF, atmospheric noise is so high that noise figure (as long as it's not atrocious) isn't very critical to performance.

Art Harris N2AH

1114. Re: big boobs 5661

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 14:03:43 UTC
From: Gilly <GillyGillianXXX@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6bjl4ucg58vh53t6d4bapvblofatna626o@4ax.com>
Source record: 53683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running

On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 11:11:31 GMT fiydsj@yahoo.com from
rec.radio.shortwave wrote:

>I love big boobs

Then I'm sure you're happy with your 44Ds.
--

Gilly

"I still don't belong to anyone - I am mine"

1115. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 14:25:17 UTC
From: Frank Carson <traff11@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C4AD402.7D2024BB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 53684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Skatebrat wrote:
>
> The Texas Department of Public Safety unveiled
> its latest weapon in the battle: the radar-detector detector.
>

>
> The U.S. government outlawed radar-detection
> devices in commercial vehicles about five years ago,
> according to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety
> Administration, the agency that works out
> transportation safety rules for interstate commerce.

They were banned in vehicles involved in interstate commerce by the
Federal Govt - that's what the Federal Motor Carrier Safety
Administration
(FMCSA) has jurisdiction over.

1116. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 14:41:18 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3c4dd5a4.13500766@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Read this, then try again to convince me that people shouldn't have guns, radar detectors, etc.

'Sheetrock Scandal' Hits Dallas Police
Cases Dropped, Officers Probed After Cocaine 'Evidence' Turns Out to Be Fake

By Paul Duggan
Washington Post Staff Writer
Friday, January 18, 2002; Page A12

DALLAS -- After Jose Luis Vega was charged with possessing hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of cocaine in August, several of his relatives sought help from lawyer Cynthia Barbare. They insisted that Vega, an auto mechanic, was an honest, hard-working family man who must have been framed.

It wasn't the first time one of Barbare's clients claimed to be innocent. In 11 years as a defense attorney, representing scores of drug defendants, "I hear that kind of thing a lot," she said.

But in Vega's case, she and the district attorney's office made a surprising discovery. Lab tests ordered by prosecutors at Barbare's request showed that the white substance allegedly seized from Vega by Dallas police was 25 kilograms of the chalk-like material used in Sheetrock and other brands of wallboard. Someone had ground it into powder and packaged it to resemble cocaine.

Was Vega a drug dealer scheming to sell fake cocaine as the real thing? Prosecutors might have suspected so, if not for another, even more surprising discovery -- that in at least 18 cases involving alleged major cocaine seizures by the Dallas police narcotics unit in the past year, the purported evidence was all, or nearly all, ground-up wallboard material.

The revelations have led officials to announce the dismissal of drug charges against dozens of men and have prompted an internal police investigation aided by the district attorney's office and the Drug Enforcement Administration.

Back in the late summer and fall, when other Dallas defense lawyers heard about Vega's case, they similarly demanded lab tests of the supposed cocaine that their clients were charged with possessing. And it turns out that in at least 18 cases involving alleged major cocaine seizures by the police narcotics unit in the past year, the purported evidence was all, or nearly all, ground-up wallboard material.

"We're going to get to the bottom of every issue associated with this," Police Chief Terrell Bolton said at a news conference Tuesday at which he also announced that two narcotics officers involved in all or most of the cases have been suspended while authorities investigate the origin of the fake cocaine.

On Wednesday, the district attorney's office -- which had announced the dismissal of charges against the 18 men in the wallboard cases -- said it had decided to throw out drug cases involving 21 additional suspects. Officials said the decision was related to the inquiry into the wallboard cases, but they would not elaborate.

"The Sheetrock scandal," as Barbare and her colleagues call it, has been the buzz of Dallas's legal community since authorities acknowledged the questionable cases two weeks ago. According to the Dallas Morning News, the original 18 cases involve nearly 700 pounds of wallboard material. In each case, after allegedly seizing the powder, officers wrote in arrest reports that field tests showed it was cocaine.

"How could these field tests have been positive for drugs when there were no drugs?" Barbare said in an interview. Like other lawyers, she accused officers in the narcotics unit of trumping up cases against the men, hoping they would agree to plea bargains before thorough lab tests were done.

And some of the men did. One of them was Jamie Siguenza, charged with possession of cocaine with intent to distribute; he pleaded guilty to a reduced charge and agreed to be deported to Mexico. In a recent court filing seeking to withdraw the plea, Siguenza's attorney alleged that the wallboard revelations are evidence of "a conspiracy" by Dallas police "to frame individuals by planting Sheetrock designed to look like cocaine on their property. Had these facts been known to [Siguenza], he would not have entered into a plea bargain."

All the men arrested were blue-collar Mexican immigrants who speak little or no English, defense attorneys said, and few if any had arrest records. Several, like Vega, were auto mechanics who supposedly kept large amounts of cocaine in old vehicles where they worked.

"What I think is what a lot of people are thinking -- that we have some dirty police officers in this city," said lawyer C. Tony Wright, who represents one of the men.

Defense attorneys said most or all of the 18 arrests were made by the same two narcotics officers, who reported that they were led to the suspects by a longtime informant.

On Tuesday, Bolton announced that the two officers, whom he declined to identify, had been suspended with pay. He said the DEA had agreed to assist the Dallas police public integrity unit in investigating the wallboard cases. Unlike the police internal affairs division, which investigates alleged violations of department rules, the public integrity unit deals strictly with suspected criminal misconduct by

officers.

Bolton and prosecutors also have ordered a change in the testing process for drugs.

Before the questionable cases, lab tests were not done until shortly before a suspect's trial. By basing criminal charges on field tests alone and delaying expensive lab tests, authorities were able to save money because defendants often agreed to plea deals long before their scheduled trials. Police will continue to do field tests, Bolton said, but a lab analysis will be conducted as soon as possible after each arrest.

He said the investigation also is focusing on the longtime informant involved in the questionable cases. Bolton said the unidentified informant has worked with narcotics officers in more than 70 investigations in the past two years, for which he was paid about $200,000.

The wallboard cases came to light after Vega, 35, was arrested Aug. 16. As he sat behind bars, unable to come up with $500,000 in bail, his family turned to Barbare for help.

The two narcotics officers alleged that they found 25 kilograms of white powder in an old vehicle at the auto repair garage where Vega worked. They said they were led to the vehicle by a reliable informant who told them Vega was a drug trafficker. They said a field test of the powder showed it was cocaine.

But after interviewing Vega in jail, Barbare said, she agreed with his relatives that the case against him seemed bogus. She said she asked for a lab analysis of the alleged cocaine and arranged for Vega to take a privately administered polygraph test, which he passed. After the test, she said, the polygraph examiner told her he knew another lawyer, C. Tony Wright, who was handling a remarkably similar case.

Wright's client, Jacinto Mejia, 40, had been arrested in May by the same two narcotics officers in Vega's case. In an interview, Wright said the officers reported that they were led to Mejia by a longtime informant and that they found five kilograms of cocaine in an old vehicle at the auto repair shop where Mejia worked as a mechanic. Mejia was jailed on $175,000 bail.

Wright and Barbare said when they compared notes, they were dumbfounded.

Like Barbare, Wright asked for expedited lab tests. "And I got on the telephone and I called every attorney I know," he said. "I grabbed people in the halls [of the courthouse]. I told them if they have any clients with these facts, they needed to get the stuff tested and not to take any plea bargains."

As in Vega's case, the lab analysis of the powder allegedly seized from Mejia showed it to be pulverized wallboard material and charges against him were dismissed. Mejia, who spent five months behind bars, and Vega, who was jailed for two months, were freed in October. Other defendants who were locked up on bail in the wallboard cases also have been released, defense attorneys said.

Depending on what the official investigation discovers, Barbare and Wright said, their clients and the others who were charged may have strong grounds for lawsuits.

"None of this passes the smell test," Wright said. "Before it's over, somebody's going to go down."

ï¿½ 2002 The Washington Post Company

1117. Re: New Radio Device in S.F. Bay Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 14:43:40 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u4llr1mof9p58f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53686

Well, this looks to me to be the answer to your question....

http://www.jps.com/products/prodinfo/rru100.html

PH

"BurtonS961" <burtons961@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020118111546.05495.00003895@mb-mq.aol.com...
> The article referenced below describes a radio system that will enable ALL
Bay
> Area agencies to communicate instantly and seamlessly.
> Does anyone know what it is??
>
>
>
>
> http://www0.mercurycenter.com/premium/local/docs/radio18a.htm
>
> Burt
> Burt Smith
>

1118. Re: Program Invert.exe (Cordless Scrambling Decode)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 14:44:37 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u4llsin0aikr98@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53687

There are a couple of versions, which are you looking for?

PH

"David M Schertzer" <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:he0j4u8lruhnu7c57h1rqg625kk8ekdqln@4ax.com...
> PC HD suffered a major crash....Where was it? It was emailed by a guy
> in AUS if ur reading this plse resend..thanks

1119. Long Island NOAA WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 14:44:40 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <sJA28.485$Wu1.72126@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53688

Has anyone else noticed a marked decrease in the signal from the NOAA WX transmitter in Riverhead (WXM80, 162.475 MHz) lately? They're supposed to be running 1000 Watts, and always came in full scale in the past. Now they're down in the noise.

BTW, they are planning to introduce new "voices" this year. They even have names (Donna, Craig, and Paul). You can listen and vote for your favorite.

See:
http://205.156.54.206/nwr/newvoice.htm

Art Harris

1120. FS:Pro-2006/OS456 and PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 15:06:16 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c4adcc9.72746794@news.mint.net>
Source record: 53689

PRO2006 Excellent Condition (800 mod,Discriminator mod)
with Optoelectronics 456 board installed

120mhz HP PC soley dedicated to scanner

Maine area only

Best offer........

1121. Re: Houston Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 15:19:30 UTC
From: KD5LQW@HOTMAIL.COM
Message-ID: <6eB28.16623$TC1.1332419@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 53690

In article <WL8VA6NI37276.0122916667@frog.gilgamesh.org>, Frog3 <FrogRemailer@bigfoot.com> wrote:
> Can anyone tell me where I can find a comprehensive list of
>scanning frequencies for the Houston, TX area?
>

Go to http://www.clarc.org/%7Ekg5ai/freqs/hou_freq.html
You'll find everything you need there.

Freakazoid
mjlabo2@eatel.net

1122. BC-560XLT Keypad Functions Help Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 15:20:19 UTC
From: Gutt Brothers Towing <guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vknl4u4luullvhr4kmhogf4glgice87i68@4ax.com>
Source record: 53691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a BC-560XLT 16-channel scanner that's been
sitting in my closet for two or three years now.

When I took it out and fired it up it still works
great as expected except that the portion of the
keypad for priority, weather, lock-out, review,
scan & manual no longer work. The numerical
keys still work ok.

My question to the group is if anyone thinks this
is mechanical (the keypad itself) or electrical
(within the circuitry)?

Any helpful suggestions will be appreciated!

Thanks

---

http://www.geocities.com/SouthBeach/Keys/7889/

1123. Re: Unblocked 800Mhz Yaesu VX5R and accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 16:48:09 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <dxC28.3963$V_4.82033@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53692

420 US? brand new the radio itself. A Canadian model is 284 US. with accessories. add another 50 about. Atleast the canadian model automatically has the US bandplan and is way cheaper new. Don't forget the Canadian Model is automatically unblocked with NO mods to get it.

"James Braun" <jbraun@localnet.com> wrote in message news:3C49E44B.B90E2F8D@localnet.com...
> I have a Euro Model Yaesu VX-5R Triband Handheld w/ accessories for
> sale,
> This is a Export version with continuous (unblocked) coverage including
> Cellular.
> It is in mint condition with optional SU1 Module installed, and Free
> Band modification for expanded RX /TX (and programmed w/ US Band Plan).
> Comes with the following accessories:
> (2) FNB58LI Batteries
> (1) FBA23 "AA" Battery Pack
> (1) CD15 Rapid Charger Base
> (1) A/C Charger
> (1) CSC73 Soft Case
> (1) SU1 Altimeter / Barometer Module installed
> (1) Yaesu Triband HT Antenna
>
> Box, and Manual.
>
> Asking $420 or best offer for the package.
> Any Questions ?
> I can be reached at :
> jbraun@localnet.com
>
> Thanks,
> James
>

1124. Re: BC-560XLT Keypad Functions Help Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 17:01:17 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3C4AF7DD.B4D6C0B0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 53693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Gutt Brothers Towing wrote:

> I have a BC-560XLT 16-channel scanner that's been
> sitting in my closet for two or three years now.
>
> When I took it out and fired it up it still works
> great as expected except that the portion of the
> keypad for priority, weather, lock-out, review,
> scan & manual no longer work. The numerical
> keys still work ok.
>
> My question to the group is if anyone thinks this
> is mechanical (the keypad itself) or electrical
> (within the circuitry)?

Could be both. I had a Regency D310, that was in the closet for two years and I decided to bring it down from the shelf to see if it would work, so I could sell it to my neighbor. The radio performed as it should have, but the top row of keys would not work. I opened the radio up and found a cable that went from the keypad to the main circuit board. I could see that the connection was rather dirty and tarnished, so I cleaned it up and put the cable back in place and the keypad worked properly.

Your problem might be something very similar or more complex. You might want to reset the CPU and see if the problem clears itself up that way? Other than that, your going to have either send the radio in for repair or open it up, and see if you can diagnose the cause of the problem.

73's
--
==========

Ron Wilbanks

Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"

*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***

"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
==========

1125. Re: Program Invert.exe (Cordless Scrambling Decode)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 17:09:31 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <fbul4ugfp3ikln6cj2eff7vptpe4sq8oj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 53694

Can anyone tell me where I can download the latest version of
invert.exe (OR please upload it so I can grab it) ?

On Sat, 19 Jan 2002 14:40:04 GMT, David M Schertzer
<schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote:

>PC HD suffered a major crash....Where was it? It was emailed by a guy
>in AUS if ur reading this plse resend..thanks

1126. Re: Unblocked 800Mhz Yaesu VX5R and accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 17:22:57 UTC
From: Tim <Nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C4AFCF2.3BD7D685@home.com>
Source record: 53695

Dev wrote:
>
> 420 US? brand new the radio itself. A Canadian model is 284 US. with
> accessories. add another 50 about. Atleast the canadian model automatically
> has the US bandplan and is way cheaper new. Don't forget the Canadian Model
> is automatically unblocked with NO mods to get it.

Are you sure about the Canadian model being un-neutered? If so, please
do cite a source for obtaining one...

I didn't think Yaesu was really going to make that many versions of
their product, and it seems like manufacturers just make a model for
North America, without breaking it down into a neutered version for the
USA, and non-neutered for Canada. That seems to be the case with
scanners as well as ham radios, so if you're sure I'm wrong, please list
some Canadian sources.

I'm already aware of a couple vendors in Canada that special-order
unblocked models and sell them at a premium.

1127. Re: BC-560XLT Keypad Functions Help Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 17:38:38 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeper@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u4m049t6qq6e99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

a 16 channel scanner is hardly worth workin on so knowledge will probally be
short.
"Gutt Brothers Towing" <guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in
message news:vknl4u4luullvhr4kmhogf4glgice87i68@4ax.com...
>
>
> I have a BC-560XLT 16-channel scanner that's been
> sitting in my closet for two or three years now.
>
> When I took it out and fired it up it still works
> great as expected except that the portion of the
> keypad for priority, weather, lock-out, review,
> scan & manual no longer work. The numerical
> keys still work ok.
>
> My question to the group is if anyone thinks this
> is mechanical (the keypad itself) or electrical
> (within the circuitry)?
>
> Any helpful suggestions will be appreciated!
>
> Thanks
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> http://www.geocities.com/SouthBeach/Keys/7889/
>

1128. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 18:33:57 UTC
From: John O'Flaherty <wewelcomespam@blackhole.net>
Message-ID: <3C4B0D95.D6809A9@blackhole.net>
Source record: 53597
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Harris wrote:

{snipped}

> These days, I prefer to listen to the major broadcasters, and I'm most
> interested in getting the best audio quality. This dictates wide I.F.
> filters of AT LEAST 6 kHz at -6 dB, audio circuitry capable of low
> distortion and good frequency response, and a good external speaker. Check
> what optional I.F. filters are available for the radios you are considering.

If you are tuned to the center of the signal, a 6 KHz filter at -3db
would give audio response of less than 3 KHz at -3db, wouldn't it? So
with a spec of 6 KHz at -6db, the audio response would be somewhat worse
than 3 KHz?

--
john

1129. Re: BC-560XLT Keypad Functions Help Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 18:43:05 UTC
From: Gutt Brothers Towing <guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mf3m4ugu6e167jtki18s16kfu9kirod5pf@4ax.com>
Source record: 53598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thats my next move, to get in there and eyeball it just to see what I
can see. (Tarnnish, corrosion, ect.)

As for re-setting the cpu I don't have a clue how to ?

And for the person (W.F.) who said 16 channels isn't worth working on
I guess it's the same as the old saying about the eye of the beholder.
One scanner I own is a RS PRO-2004 that has 300 channels available
and I bet that I keep less than a dozen of them filled. So. . . ?

On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 17:01:17 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Gutt Brothers Towing wrote:
>
>> I have a BC-560XLT 16-channel scanner that's been
>> sitting in my closet for two or three years now.
>>
>> When I took it out and fired it up it still works
>> great as expected except that the portion of the
>> keypad for priority, weather, lock-out, review,
>> scan & manual no longer work. The numerical
>> keys still work ok.
>>
>> My question to the group is if anyone thinks this
>> is mechanical (the keypad itself) or electrical
>> (within the circuitry)?
>
>Could be both. I had a Regency D310, that was in the closet for two
>years and I decided to bring it down from the shelf to see if it would
>work, so I could sell it to my neighbor. The radio performed as it
>should have, but the top row of keys would not work. I opened the radio
>up and found a cable that went from the keypad to the main circuit
>board. I could see that the connection was rather dirty and tarnished,
>so I cleaned it up and put the cable back in place and the keypad worked
>properly.
>
>
>Your problem might be something very similar or more complex. You might
>want to reset the CPU and see if the problem clears itself up that way?
>Other than that, your going to have either send the radio in for repair
>or open it up, and see if you can diagnose the cause of the problem.

>
>
>73's

1130. Re: DC Power Dist. System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 19:24:07 UTC
From: strider@bree.com
Message-ID: <3c4b1176.14926627@news.kc.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 53599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 21:33:20 GMT, Email to above or see post sig for
address! <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote:

>I am so sick and tired of the collection of wall warts that the ever growing
>number of scanners, 2 ways and other electronics brings with them.
>
>What I am interested in is what type of setup of others may have decided to use
>for at least a radio room DC power distribution, and maybe DC power to other
>locations in the house to banish the ans. machine, electronic telephone etc.
>power supplies.
>
>I want to:
>
>1) Banish the wall warts!
>2) Provide battery back up in event of power failure
>3) Provide for a way to charge the battery backup, a small solar panel would
>even be considered here.
>4) Have a 13.8/12VDC as the primary level with say a 10-15A rating to start,
>expandable would be a plus, but to start may use a fixed level supply
>5) Multiple DC outlets in a rack panel
>6) Digital display of current voltage and current draw
>7) Digital display of current battery voltage
>8) DC-DC conversion ability to create needed DCV for other than 13.8/12V devices
>
>
>I'd like to hear what others have done in this area.
>
>Products you suggest from power supplies to DC power outlet panels, meters,
>chargers, etc.. Whats good, whats bad, what worked what didn't.
>

Hello,
This was a problem that I came up against a number of years ago. I
live in Oklahoma and the winds and tornadoes come sweeping down the
plains each year and communications under all conditions is essential
and this is what I came up with. All of my importent gear can run off
of 12VDC. These include a Yesumunsen FRG-7 short wave reciever,
small black and white TV with am/fm radio, Uniden BC890XLT scanner,
RS Pro-2022 scanner, RS pro41 handheld scanner, MFJ 959B short wave
antenna tuner and preamp,one JPS NIR-10 digital audio signal
processor, Dick Smith freq. counter, challenger base CB radio
station. There are also other items like a clock ,cordless phone, tape
recorder, a 4channel 50 watt per channel power amp for my computer's
sound card and more.A 1kw power inverter can supply AC if needed.

The basic power comes from a 30 amp regulated power supply and is supplied to two copper 16 connector buss strips of the type found in large breaker boxes.The buss strips are located up under the desk that I have for my communications center. A 50 amp reverse current diode on a large heat sink privides isolation. A large automotive type battery located just outside is floated accross the buss. The voltage is rock stable so I have not added a voltmeter to the setup after 7 years of running. I have been thinking about adding an amp meter.
I hope that this helps,

Best,
Dick

disharp@swbell.net

1131. Re: RS 2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 20:59:20 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u4mbtrchttgh82@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53600

Yeah, look around and see if you find another for me! 8^)

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========
"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3C49642E.40002@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
>
>
> Mario wrote:
>
> > I just got a Radio Shack Pro 2035 1000 channel Scanner.
> > It was left in a house that I bought by the previous owner.
> > Is this a good unit?
> >
> > Thanks in advance.
> > Mario.
> >
>
>
> Its worth about $220 - $250 on eBay. I own two of them and the are
> excellent MilAir scanners. Great Public safety scanners as long as
> your system isn't trunk. The 2035 can not do trunk systems.
>
>
> You made a very good find!
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

1132. Re: DC Power Dist. System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 21:00:55 UTC
From: Arthur Shulman <dentist@nornet.on.ca>
Message-ID: <3C4B3007.A59DDCEA@nornet.on.ca>
Source record: 53601
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I share the same dislike for wall warts that you do. With a collection of over 24 items that required 9, 12, & 24 vdc, & another dozen needing 115 vac, my choices were

a little more complicated.

I ended up with 2 APC Smart UPS units rated at 600 & 700 va. These take care of all line voltage correction, limited power outages, and brownouts. One is dedicated to powering 4 computer monitors, audio, test equipment, & other non-critical items.

The 700 va unit directly powers 4 computers, an adjustable Daiwa 14 amp supply (metered) for 12 vdc, and a R/S 12 vdc supply converted to deliver 24 vdc @ 2a.

The 12 vdc supply is left on 12 v all the time, and is fan cooled. It also feeds a 9vdc regulated supply for those 9v items.

Distribution is via R/S clip in speaker connectors, using the supplied DC cables, or leads clipped from the wall warts to plug into the DC equipment.

The UPS units are managed from one of the Win computers re testing, battery charge, and loading.

A bit cumbersome & involved, but it answers all my needs with plenty of reserve capacity for expansion.

Arthur

Strider the Ranger wrote:

> On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 21:33:20 GMT, Email to above or see post sig for
> address! <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote:
>
> >I am so sick and tired of the collection of wall warts that the ever growing
> >number of scanners, 2 ways and other electronics brings with them.
> >

1133. FA: Sony ICF-SC1PC "Wavehawk" PC-Controlled Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 21:19:57 UTC
From: Michael White <m.white66@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1wG28.4168$hp6.642@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

At auction on ebay, a Sony ICF-SC1PC "Wavehawk" PC-controlled scanner. Covers 25-1300 MHz continuously (less cell). Mint with all accessories and software. Please point your browser to:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1325035456

Thanks.

Mike, N4PDY

1134. Re: Kuhn Electronics; Tune-a-verter; Regency "Bread Boxes" receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 21:34:44 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c4b3aa4$0$43573$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 53603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Alain,

I recall seeing the adverts for Kuhn in 73 Magazine but I've not used one.

I do have several of the mobile converters
and older Regency gear and write about them occasionally
in Monitoring Times magazine.

For photos of older scanners, check these pages:

http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio

http://www.webdeals.net/scanpix.htm

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1135. Battery for (IBM-3330)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 21:43:42 UTC
From: kqphotovideo@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <51f146e2.0201201343.1dbab743@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Where can I get a battery for IBM-3330 ?
what is the battery model ?
Thanks
Lee

1136. FS: Pro 2021 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 21:53:15 UTC
From: fcathell@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020120165315.26612.00001597@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 53605

Radio Shack Pro 2021 Scanner in good condition. Excellent performance electrically, a few scratches on the case. With whip antenna and manual. $65 shipped in US.

Frank

1137. Manual for PAULDON PD-ATV-50 70 CM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 21:59:58 UTC
From: mrthoms7@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <3c4b3dda.5897715@news.sf.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 53606

I am looking For Schematic or Manual for a - 70 CM PD-ATV-50 PAULDON
or, and old broshures.
and any info about the bricks, Amps -M67728 or M67705M
Where can they be purcased how much they cost.. I have digital
pic if you need more info

Thank you

Tom KF6RTY email- mrthoms7@pacbell.net

1138. Re: FS:...Yaesu VR-120 Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 22:26:15 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201201426.79870078@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53607

Sold on Ebay auction.

"Appie" <ab.surd@freemeel.com> wrote in message news:<a28qnedbr1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>...
> Why are you selling after a month?
>
> "NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> schreef in bericht
> news:9c46f45b.0201171458.5791f6ee@posting.google.com...
> > Excellent wideband communications scanning receiver. FM/WFM/AM
> > 100KHZ-1300MHZ (USA version). Purchased new in Dec,2001. It is in
> > perfect condition like new, includes an original box, manual, warranty
> > card, BNC antenna, wrist strap, and belt clip. Asking $100 shipped
> > CONUS. US Postal Money Order preferred.
> > If interested, reply directly to me,
> > mailto:nr6z@yahoo.com
> >
> > For more information, Visit Yaesu VR-120 website.
> > http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr120tx.html

1139. Re: big boobs 5661

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 22:40:31 UTC
From: hdz@operamail.com
Message-ID: <3c4b46f9.2331215@news.kornet.net>
Source record: 53608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running

On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 09:03:43 -0500, Gilly
<GillyGillianXXX@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 11:11:31 GMT fiydsj@yahoo.com from
>rec.radio.shortwave wrote:
>
>>I love big boobs
>
>Then I'm sure you're happy with your 44Ds.
>--
>
>Gilly
>
>"I still don't belong to anyone - I am mine"

Shows what you think about too - maybe she means football
players.

1140. Re: FS:...Yaesu VR-120 Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 22:51:18 UTC
From: Appie <ab.surd@freemeel.com>
Message-ID: <a2fhm9ekb1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 53609

That wasn't my question..

"NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> schreef in bericht
news:9c46f45b.0201201426.79870078@posting.google.com...
> Sold on Ebay auction.
>
> "Appie" <ab.surd@freemeel.com> wrote in message
news:<a28qnedbr1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>...
> > Why are you selling after a month?
> >
> > "NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> schreef in bericht
> > news:9c46f45b.0201171458.5791f6ee@posting.google.com...
> > > Excellent wideband communications scanning receiver. FM/WFM/AM
> > > 100KHZ-1300MHZ (USA version). Purchased new in Dec,2001. It is in

1141. Mounting Hardware for Ford Explorer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 22:52:03 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <mcsebrad@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u4migsf4ee3m4e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53610
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Just wondering if anyone could point me to a vendor who sells a console mounting kit for mobile radio equipment. Specifically, for a Ford Explorer.

Not the typical mounting kit to mount a rig to under the dash, but a box-like container that would be just to right of me.... i.e. the center console. I would figure that the mobile rigs would be mounted vertically in this configuration.

Anyone heard of such a thing? or know where plans to build/modify such a thing would be? or even a person's/vendor's name that may not have the item, but could me headed in the right direction?

tnx

--
Brad Steinman N8ZRP
mcsebrad@hotmail.com

1142. Re: big boobs 5661

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 22:56:40 UTC
From: checkit-nospam@carolina.rr.com
Message-ID: <3c4b4bd3.469029652@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 53612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running

On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 11:11:31 GMT, fiydsj@yahoo.com wrote:

>I love big boobs

Go to the nearest mirror, look into it. Happy?

1143. Re: Unblocked 800Mhz Yaesu VX5R and accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-20 22:59:01 UTC
From: James Braun <jbraun@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C4B4BB5.14ACD020@localnet.com>
Source record: 53611

The Canadian model VX5R has 800Mhz (cellular) blocked.
This is a European model which does not have the blocks that a US model does.
And Band Plan is easy to edit with software..
Listed accessories total aprx $200 alone.

James

Tim wrote:

> Dev wrote:
> >
> > 420 US? brand new the radio itself. A Canadian model is 284 US. with
> > accessories. add another 50 about. Atleast the canadian model automatically
> > has the US bandplan and is way cheaper new. Don't forget the Canadian Model
> > is automatically unblocked with NO mods to get it.
>
> Are you sure about the Canadian model being un-neutered? If so, please
> do cite a source for obtaining one...
>
> I didn't think Yaesu was really going to make that many versions of
> their product, and it seems like manufacturers just make a model for
> North America, without breaking it down into a neutered version for the
> USA, and non-neutered for Canada. That seems to be the case with
> scanners as well as ham radios, so if you're sure I'm wrong, please list
> some Canadian sources.
>
> I'm already aware of a couple vendors in Canada that special-order
> unblocked models and sell them at a premium.

1144. Re: Mounting Hardware for Ford Explorer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 00:06:39 UTC
From: Justin Wolcott <Phreak480@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C4B5C0C.40BF1653@erols.com>
Source record: 53614
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I can't provide any definite answers but u should look for companies which cater to public safety (police, fire departments, and the like) they usually have these type of items one such company would be Galls www.galls.com but i don't believe they have anything for the explorer
i am also on a similar quest to find good mounting solutions for my explorer for now i have fabricated a rack out of lexan that spans the transmission hump and tucks under the front floor mats to keep it in place

if you would liek i can take some pictures of it for you
just drop me an email
also please keep me posted via email on what you find

-Justin
Phreak480@aol.com

Brad Steinman wrote:

> Just wondering if anyone could point me to a vendor who sells a console
> mounting kit for mobile radio equipment. Specifically, for a Ford
> Explorer.
>
> Not the typical mounting kit to mount a rig to under the dash, but a
> box-like container that would be just to right of me.... i.e. the center
> console. I would figure that the mobile rigs would be mounted vertically in
> this configuration.
>
> Anyone heard of such a thing? or know where plans to build/modify such a
> thing would be? or even a person's/vendor's name that may not have the
> item, but could me headed in the right direction?
>
> tnx
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> mcsebrad@hotmail.com

1145. Uniden BC300xlt- price?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 00:06:43 UTC
From: Jeff Foster <jeff_foster@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <a2flaa$2e5q$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 53613

Hi all, I am new to scanning and am looking to get my self a second hand portable scanner to begin with. I have found a scanner and want to know what is a fair price for a Uniden BC300xlt. I am in Australia if it matters.

Also I am happy ot hear your thoughts on models to look out for.

regards

Jeff

1146. tk8500, new open source software for ICOM IC-R8500 rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 00:12:26 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c4b5ca1$0$1612$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 53615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

The ICOM IC-R8500 is a high quality 0.1 - 2000 MHz receiver.

I wrote tk8500, a new open source computer program which lets you:

(1) Control the IC-R8500 from your computer.

(2) Download/upload the radio's memory channels from/to a
csv (comma-separated values) file.

(3) Log active frequencies, intercept time, and relative signal
strength automatically while scanning.

Though I've all but abandoned Windows 98 in favor of free Linux,
I wrote tk8500 in a language (Tcl/Tk) which permits it run on either
operating system. It may even run on Macs, Solaris, and other
environments, but I've only tested it on Linux and Windows 98.

You can read about and download a beta test version of tk8500
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1147. Re: Mounting Hardware for Ford Explorer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 00:19:11 UTC
From: Bob Woodson <wb5nia@nts.net>
Message-ID: <3C4B5E7E.5BE9A8F3@nts.net>
Source record: 53616
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Pop the end of the console off (the end with the cup holders). Bolt the mounting bracket to the dash end of this piece. Pop it back on, and mount the radio in the bracket. I have mounted dual banders in two Explorers, and this makes a nice convenient location for the rig.

Brad Steinman wrote:

> Just wondering if anyone could point me to a vendor who sells a console
> mounting kit for mobile radio equipment. Specifically, for a Ford
> Explorer.
>
> Not the typical mounting kit to mount a rig to under the dash, but a
> box-like container that would be just to right of me.... i.e. the center
> console. I would figure that the mobile rigs would be mounted vertically in
> this configuration.
>
> Anyone heard of such a thing? or know where plans to build/modify such a
> thing would be? or even a person's/vendor's name that may not have the
> item, but could me headed in the right direction?
>
> tnx
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> mcsebrad@hotmail.com

1148. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 00:23:02 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <GbJ28.11877$Wu1.1839750@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53617
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John O'Flaherty" <wewelcomespam@blackhole.net> wrote in message
news:3C4B0D95.D6809A9@blackhole.net...
>
>
> Harris wrote:
>
> {snipped}
>
> > These days, I prefer to listen to the major broadcasters, and I'm most
> > interested in getting the best audio quality. This dictates wide I.F.
> > filters of AT LEAST 6 kHz at -6 dB, audio circuitry capable of low
> > distortion and good frequency response, and a good external speaker.
Check
> > what optional I.F. filters are available for the radios you are
considering.
>
> If you are tuned to the center of the signal, a 6 KHz filter at -3db
> would give audio response of less than 3 KHz at -3db, wouldn't it? So
> with a spec of 6 KHz at -6db, the audio response would be somewhat worse
> than 3 KHz?
>
That's right. That's why I said AT LEAST 6 kHz. I'm not sure exactly what's
currently available in optional I.F. filters, but 6 kHz may be as wide as
you can get. Of course, you can tune off to the side a bit to improve audio
response. At one time, I had an I.F. strip that could be switched between 9
kHz and 15 kHz. of bandwidth. Now that's high fidelity AM!

Art Harris N2AH

1149. Re: Battery for (IBM-3330)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 00:28:58 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <ehJ28.11968$Wu1.1855670@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hmmm. I didn't know IBM made scanners :-)

"Lee" <kqphotovideo@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:51f146e2.0201201343.1dbab743@posting.google.com...
> Where can I get a battery for IBM-3330 ?
> what is the battery model ?
> Thanks
> Lee

1150. Re: Mounting Hardware for Ford Explorer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 00:43:15 UTC
From: Jim & Doris Piper <iamweare@cruzio.com>
Message-ID: <a2fo8o0vdv@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53619
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Brad --

I can't offere anything about console mounting kits. But, perhaps, the way I solved my Icom 2710 mounting problem would help: I bought the remoting kit for it, used the "indistrial strength" hook-n-loop adhesive-backed fasteners from Radio Trash to lount the control head to my dash directly under the conrols and mounted the radio under the passenger seat. In my case, my front passernger seat lacks electric controls. If it was fully adjustable with all the motors underneath, I would have otherwise mounted the radio under the rear seat. BTW: there are enough holes in the under-seat brackets that no drilling was necessary.

Hope that helps & 73 --

Jim Piper / KD6YKL / kd6ykl@arrl.net

Brad Steinman <mcsebrad@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u4migsf4ee3m4e@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if anyone could point me to a vendor who sells a console
> mounting kit for mobile radio equipment. Specifically, for a Ford
> Explorer.
>
> Not the typical mounting kit to mount a rig to under the dash, but a
> box-like container that would be just to right of me.... i.e. the center
> console. I would figure that the mobile rigs would be mounted vertically
in
> this configuration.
>
> Anyone heard of such a thing? or know where plans to build/modify such a
> thing would be? or even a person's/vendor's name that may not have the
> item, but could me headed in the right direction?
>
> tnx
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> mcsebrad@hotmail.com
>
>
>

1151. Re: big boobs 5661

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 01:16:57 UTC
From: Zoe <anon_femNOSPAM@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <fvqm4ugf6t3cmfdkt36p17jh2le6f0j0vi@4ax.com>
Source record: 53620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.roller-coaster,rec.running

On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 22:40:31 GMT hdz@operamail.com (H. Dziardziel)
from rec.radio.shortwave wrote:
>On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 09:03:43 -0500, Gilly
><GillyGillianXXX@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 11:11:31 GMT fiydsj@yahoo.com from
>>rec.radio.shortwave wrote:
>>
>>>I love big boobs
>>
>>Then I'm sure you're happy with your 44Ds.

>Shows what you think about too - maybe she means football
>players.

Yah right!
--

Zoe

It's so easy to hate.
It takes strength to be gentle and kind.
Over, over, over, over.
-Morrissey

1152. Re: Mounting Hardware for Ford Explorer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 01:19:21 UTC
From: "S.C. Harrison" <diverse_imaging@yahoo.comnahspam>
Message-ID: <t0K28.11375$OS5.965721@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Check out www.w9iix.com for a variety of mobile mounts.

"Brad Steinman" <mcsebrad@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u4migsf4ee3m4e@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if anyone could point me to a vendor who sells a console
> mounting kit for mobile radio equipment. Specifically, for a Ford
> Explorer.
>
> Not the typical mounting kit to mount a rig to under the dash, but a
> box-like container that would be just to right of me.... i.e. the center
> console. I would figure that the mobile rigs would be mounted vertically
in
> this configuration.
>
> Anyone heard of such a thing? or know where plans to build/modify such a
> thing would be? or even a person's/vendor's name that may not have the
> item, but could me headed in the right direction?
>
> tnx
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> mcsebrad@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>

1153. Re: Mounting Hardware for Ford Explorer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 01:20:39 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h2K28.131$dv4.276361@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Brad, go here. You might find something cool.

www.galls.com

Still like your 93?

Rob

"Brad Steinman" <mcsebrad@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u4migsf4ee3m4e@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if anyone could point me to a vendor who sells a console
> mounting kit for mobile radio equipment. Specifically, for a Ford
> Explorer.
>
> Not the typical mounting kit to mount a rig to under the dash, but a
> box-like container that would be just to right of me.... i.e. the center
> console. I would figure that the mobile rigs would be mounted vertically
in
> this configuration.
>
> Anyone heard of such a thing? or know where plans to build/modify such a
> thing would be? or even a person's/vendor's name that may not have the
> item, but could me headed in the right direction?
>
> tnx
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> mcsebrad@hotmail.com
>
>
>

1154. RELM MS-200 Scanner Software Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 02:36:45 UTC
From: Cris Hanna <crishanna@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u4mvn7gnoojvdf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53623

I am a licensed Relm Dealer and have the MSPCKIT v1.01 available for you for programming the discontinued RELM MS-200 Mobile Scanner.

Contact me by email for details

1155. Speech Inversion Descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 02:44:43 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020120214443.16036.00000260@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 53624

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

1156. Re: tk8500, new open source software for ICOM IC-R8500 rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 02:45:28 UTC
From: Rollo&#65533; <Rollo_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <a2fvc801bb1@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53626

In article <3c4b5ca1$0$1612$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>, Bob_Parnass_AJ9S says...
>I wrote tk8500, a new open source computer program which lets you:
>

Nice. I love you Tk guys! Wonder how it would port to Perl/Tk?

1157. Re: Battery for (IBM-3330)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 02:48:19 UTC
From: Rollo&#65533; <Rollo_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <a2fvhj01bl3@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 53627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <51f146e2.0201201343.1dbab743@posting.google.com>,
kqphotovideo@mediaone.net says...
>
>Where can I get a battery for IBM-3330 ?
>what is the battery model ?
>Thanks
>Lee

I lost touch with IBM after the 370/195

Does yours speak EBCDIC and respond with ABEND codes?

1158. Re: Re: NiMH vs. NiCd charging mystery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 03:00:01 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <tv0n4ucrdvnd2t3hrageutrsorm6m13djf@4ax.com>
Source record: 53625

What are the charging characteristics of Lithium Ion as compared to
the NiCad and the NiMH batteries ?

On Sat, 19 Jan 2002 18:11:19 GMT, Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>
>Fred Zalupski wrote:
>>
>> Calling all battery experts:
>>
>> I'm already a fan of NiMH batteries, but wonder what is the difference in
>> the chargers for them? Can I successfully recharge NiMH cells in my older
>> Pro43 with the stock adapter/charger?
>>
>> Are chargers that say "NiCd/NiMH" really different or are they marketing
>> labels? And if different, how?
>>
>> I upgraded my BC245 battery to a NiMH and it charges just great with the
>> stock Uniden charger that I have to assume was designed for the radio's
>> stock NiCd.
>>
>> TIA and regards,
>>
>> Fred
>
> NiMH cells do not display negative delta V when they reach
>capacity, as NiCads do. NiCad only chargers key on this.
>
> Chargers that charge both cells interpret the cells differently
>and key on other properties of the cell. So, no, NiCad/NiMH chargers
>are not just NiCad chargers with a new marketing label.
>
> Becareful, NiMH cells can be quick charged, but they can't be left
>in the charger indefinitely. NiMH cells should be charged at a rate
>of 10% of their capacity, and trickle charged at a rate much lower
>than that. Otherwise their life span is cut by more than 75%.
>
> You can use a NiCad charger for NiMH cells, but you need to insure
>that the charging rate is low enough.

1159. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 03:33:14 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <_ZL28.79$ON2.76483686@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53628
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <GbJ28.11877$Wu1.1839750@news02.optonline.net>,
"Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote:

> "John O'Flaherty" <wewelcomespam@blackhole.net> wrote in message
> news:3C4B0D95.D6809A9@blackhole.net...
> >
> >
> > Harris wrote:
> >
> > {snipped}
> >
> > > These days, I prefer to listen to the major broadcasters, and I'm most
> > > interested in getting the best audio quality. This dictates wide I.F.
> > > filters of AT LEAST 6 kHz at -6 dB, audio circuitry capable of low
> > > distortion and good frequency response, and a good external speaker.
> Check
> > > what optional I.F. filters are available for the radios you are
> considering.
> >
> > If you are tuned to the center of the signal, a 6 KHz filter at -3db
> > would give audio response of less than 3 KHz at -3db, wouldn't it? So
> > with a spec of 6 KHz at -6db, the audio response would be somewhat worse
> > than 3 KHz?
> >
> That's right. That's why I said AT LEAST 6 kHz. I'm not sure exactly what's
> currently available in optional I.F. filters, but 6 kHz may be as wide as
> you can get. Of course, you can tune off to the side a bit to improve audio
> response. At one time, I had an I.F. strip that could be switched between 9
> kHz and 15 kHz. of bandwidth. Now that's high fidelity AM!
>
> Art Harris N2AH
>

The AOR 7030 comes stock with:

2.2 KHz
5.5 KHz
7.0 KHz
10 KHz

The 10 KHz is meant for FM but any filter can be used for any mode and
the 7030 has two more positions for filters. The 7030 has pass band
tuning so filters can be used for best effect depending on conditions.

Another good radio for international broadcasts or domestic AM broadcast is the Drake R8, R8A, R8B. It comes stock with several filters and pass band tuning.

The AOR and Drake models have synchronous lock to reduce distortion caused by fading.

The highest fidelity I ever heard out of a radio was a crystal set connected to a high fidelity audio amplifier. No filters.

--
Telamon

1160. Re: Just got my ass kicked by Macys store Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 03:56:07 UTC
From: Gabe <radiohawg99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rjM28.33718$YQ2.1178647762@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Why don't you sue your self for stupidiy.

"Douglas Gavilanes" <gavilan1@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3C2FCD04.4F98848C@earthlink.net...
> Isn't this the same crap as the guy who writes that he drives up on a SWAT
> incident and cranks his scanner volume loud within earshot of the suspect,
> causing the suspect to make a bad move and get killed by the SWAT
officers,
> who do the same to his scanner (and where the writer asks "Should I feel
> guilty, or something?", and "Should I sue?")? Nobody is this dumb. He's
just
> stirring up the pot, the troll.
>
> John wrote:
>
> > OMG! What a terrible situation! I try to wear headphones in public if
at
> > all possible. But I would sue them if I were in your position. It's
not
> > like they can prove you were aiding and abetting a criminal.
> >
> > John
> >
> > "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldtein@sofa.NOSPAMnet> wrote in message
> > news:3C2574F3.AC634E6C@sofa.NOSPAMnet...
> > > Just got my ass kicked by Macys Security this afternoon while I out
> > > Xmas shopping for the family. They were following a shoplifter thru
> > > out their store and waiting for her to leave. Just as she was about
> > > to exit the store I walked by her with my scanner turned up all the
> > > way and so she could hear them talking about him. It was at that
> > > point that one of their security people came over and ripped the
> > > scanner out off my hand. He then proceeded to smash my scanner on
> > > the floor and dragged me off to their security office in handcuffs.
> > > They let me go after a couple hours of questioning and told me that
> > > I was banned from the store for life.
> > >
> > > Do I have a case to sue for damages? I just want my scanner replaced.
> > >
>
>

1161. Re: Long Island NOAA WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 04:19:30 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c4b9615.2250185@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 53630

Yes i have.. i'm not sure where they broadcast from... i was told that
they broadcast from brookhaven nat lab.. but i'm not sure about
that... but the signal ain't what it usta be...!!! neither is 162.40
mhz.. and about the voices? it's till that same computer generated
voice....
cheers GigOn Sun, 20 Jan 2002 14:44:40 GMT, "Harris"
<n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote:

>Has anyone else noticed a marked decrease in the signal from the NOAA WX
>transmitter in Riverhead (WXM80, 162.475 MHz) lately? They're supposed to be
>running 1000 Watts, and always came in full scale in the past. Now they're
>down in the noise.
>
>BTW, they are planning to introduce new "voices" this year. They even have
>names (Donna, Craig, and Paul). You can listen and vote for your favorite.
>
>See:
>http://205.156.54.206/nwr/newvoice.htm
>
>Art Harris
>
>

1162. Re: Long Island NOAA WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 04:32:08 UTC
From: James Rubin <jimrubin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <jimrubin-983990.23315320012002@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 53631

Here in Astoria, Queens they are weaker, too. In fact, much of the time
162.475 from L.I. is not readable at all. I have received stations from
Mass. instead!
Regards,
Jim

In article <sJA28.485$Wu1.72126@news02.optonline.net>,
"Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote:

> Has anyone else noticed a marked decrease in the signal from the NOAA WX
> transmitter in Riverhead (WXM80, 162.475 MHz) lately? They're supposed to be
> running 1000 Watts, and always came in full scale in the past. Now they're
> down in the noise.
>
> BTW, they are planning to introduce new "voices" this year. They even have
> names (Donna, Craig, and Paul). You can listen and vote for your favorite.
>
> See:
> http://205.156.54.206/nwr/newvoice.htm
>
> Art Harris
>
>

--
--
James Rubin <jimrubin@mindspring.com>

1163. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 04:36:22 UTC
From: Charlie Durand <charlesdurand@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <aVM28.6672$CW3.91347@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53632

[..stuff deleted..]

> policing that we now have to perform. Basically now, if we write a ticket,
> we have a good chance of having a complaint filed (on avg 40%) and may
even
> be labeled for such actions as a simple "no insurance, red light/stop" or
> any other violation.
>
> It is now VERY difficult to police without the problems a simple contact
may
> be.

No one is forced to be a cop. If you don't like the job, get a different job. I think it's great that people feel empowered to speak up when they feel they've been treatly badly by a cop. Cops aren't above the law. If there's no merit to a person's complaint you shouldn't have anything to worry about. Isn't that what cops say to the bad guys? "If you've done nothing wrong you have nothing to worry about."

> Another officer once had a complaint to settle a distrubance between two
> neighbors. One neighbor made a complaint saying the officer was NON-BIASED
> and that he did not take any one persons side in the distrubance. The
> complaint was sustained! I thought we were to be non-biased in any
conflict?
> sheeesh!

Cops are supposed to be biased. Protect the victims and arrest the criminals. If the complaint was sustained there must have been an obvious problem the cop was overlooking. If you've done nothing wrong then nothing should come from the complaint, right?

sheesh indeed.

1164. Re: Dedicated receiver / or Tranceiver radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 04:57:15 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u4n7tbljl1svaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53633
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John:

> If you are tuned to the center of the signal, a 6 KHz filter at -3db
> would give audio response of less than 3 KHz at -3db, wouldn't it?

you bring up a very important point that i found out while doing some audio bandwidth testing (yet another page on my future site). larger filters are necessary sometimes because of the filter skirts... to achieve your wanted fidelity.

phil :)

1165. Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 06:28:03 UTC
From: Delayed Thinking <delay@delaythinking.com>
Message-ID: <SxO28.2198$3u1.28311@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 53634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Since my wife and I lost our baby last year, we have both been at a tremendous loss. We sometimes stare at the children at the mall Anyway, I happened upon the frequency of our neighbor's baby monitor 2 months ago, and my wife and I have cried ourselves to sleep several times listening to the little baby go coo coo coo.

I never repeated what the baby said becuase that would be illegal, but I did find myself underneath the neighbor's window late one night with my scanner. It seems that the neighbor lady heard someone in the backyard and called the police. I have since paid for the damage to the fence (this fact was never reported in the local news), and yes I did appologize to my neighbor if only through a letter I wrote from my cell.

What I need help with is that I am being charged with:
IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:

(1) possesses a police radio;

(2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency

(3) possesses or uses a police radio:

(A) while committing a crime;

I don't believe I committed a crime because i never disclosed what the baby said. Therefore I did not break the scanner law.

My wife is in tears, and my only concern is for her. What can i tell her?

1166. Re: tk8500, new open source software for ICOM IC-R8500 rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 06:45:08 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a2gdd7$10rqrg$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53635

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
news:3c4b5ca1$0$1612$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> The ICOM IC-R8500 is a high quality 0.1 - 2000 MHz receiver.
>
> I wrote tk8500, a new open source computer program which lets you:
>
> (1) Control the IC-R8500 from your computer.
>

Any chance of an R75 version?

Tom

1167. Re: Unblocked 800Mhz Yaesu VX5R and accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 06:54:10 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <mWO28.7476$XG4.309347@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53636

my mistake. I doubled looked. I must of been tired this morning. I thoguht it said unblocked instead of blocked. When you posted above about yaesu blocking. Even in Canada. I remembered and relooked. Sorry again. I forgot that is one of the only makers besides Radio Shack that block most of their canadian model radios.

Dev

"James Braun" <jbraun@localnet.com> wrote in message news:3C4B4BB5.14ACD020@localnet.com...
> The Canadian model VX5R has 800Mhz (cellular) blocked.
> This is a European model which does not have the blocks that a US model does.
> And Band Plan is easy to edit with software..
> Listed accessories total aprx $200 alone.
>
> James
>
> Tim wrote:
>
> > Dev wrote:
> > >
> > > 420 US? brand new the radio itself. A Canadian model is 284 US. with
> > > accessories. add another 50 about. Atleast the canadian model automatically
> > > has the US bandplan and is way cheaper new. Don't forget the Canadian Model
> > > is automatically unblocked with NO mods to get it.
> > >
> > Are you sure about the Canadian model being un-neutered? If so, please
> > do cite a source for obtaining one...
> > >
> > I didn't think Yaesu was really going to make that many versions of
> > their product, and it seems like manufacturers just make a model for
> > North America, without breaking it down into a neutered version for the
> > USA, and non-neutered for Canada. That seems to be the case with
> > scanners as well as ham radios, so if you're sure I'm wrong, please list
> > some Canadian sources.
> > >
> > I'm already aware of a couple vendors in Canada that special-order
> > unblocked models and sell them at a premium.
>

1168. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 07:20:06 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u4ng746maes5b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That's pretty rich!

If this were true, your case would be thrown out... 1) You were not in possession of a police radio; 2) A scanner can not transmit; 3)(a) Again, it is NOT a police radio.

Oh, and you DID disclose what the baby said... To all of us here in the newsgroup... Way to go..

Anyway, back to monitoring the trash posts...
PH

P.S. You can tell her that your a terrible story teller, and really needs to find a different hobby to waist everyone's time...

"Delayed Thinking" <delay@delaythinking.com> wrote in message news:SxO28.2198$3u1.28311@rwcrnsc54...
> Since my wife and I lost our baby last year, we have both been at a
> tremendous loss. We sometimes stare at the children at the mall Anyway, I
> happened upon the frequency of our neighbor's baby monitor 2 months ago, and
> my wife and I have cried ourselves to sleep several times listening to the
> little baby go coo coo coo.
>
> I never repeated what the baby said becuase that would be illegal, but I did
> find myself underneath the neighbor's window late one night with my scanner.
> It seems that the neighbor lady heard someone in the backyard and called the
> police. I have since paid for the damage to the fence (this fact was never
> reported in the local news), and yes I did appologize to my neighbor if only
> through a letter I wrote from my cell.
>
> What I need help with is that I am being charged with:
> IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
>
> (1) possesses a police radio;
>
> (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
>
> (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
>

> (A) while committing a crime;
>
> I don't believe I committed a crime because i never disclosed what the
baby
> said. Therefore I did not break the scanner law.
>
> My wife is in tears, and my only concern is for her. What can i tell her?
>
>
>

1169. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 07:22:32 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <2bgn4u0jg46a8g9m7na4tlrj4374k9c62h@4ax.com>
Source record: 53638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Delayed Thinking"
<delay@delaythinking.com> spake thusly

>What I need help with is that I am being charged with:
>IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
>
>(1) possesses a police radio;
>
>(2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
>
>(3) possesses or uses a police radio:
>
>(A) while committing a crime;
>
>I don't believe I committed a crime because i never disclosed what the baby
>said. Therefore I did not break the scanner law.

I don't believe you for a second, let alone a minute,
but since you WERE trespassing, AND had the scanner, you're toast.

The truth is out there,

but it's not interesting enough for most people.

1170. Best Overseas Exporter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 07:48:17 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <wHP28.29994$Y17.2282467@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi groups,

I'm looking to purchase an AOR AR8200II from outside the country, and I was just wondering if anyone knows of any reputable companies overseas? Just looking for some recommendations, I've considered a few that sponsor strongsignals.net, but I'm still actively searching.

--
Thanks,
Michael A. Nunes
p@pcmike.net

1171. Re: Program Invert.exe (Cordless Scrambling Decode)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 07:48:50 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u4nhsrpnn5rh2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53639

If you have the group, alt.binaries.radio-scanner, you can get invert2.exe
there...

Power House

"X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
news:fbul4ugfp3ikln6cj2eff7vptpe4sq8oj9@4ax.com...
> Can anyone tell me where I can download the latest version of
> invert.exe (OR please upload it so I can grab it) ?
>
>
> On Sat, 19 Jan 2002 14:40:04 GMT, David M Schertzer
> <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> >PC HD suffered a major crash....Where was it? It was emailed by a guy
> >in AUS if ur reading this plse resend..thanks
>

1172. Re: DC Power Dist. System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 08:42:48 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c4bd45e$0$10075$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53641
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Arthur, I wish I had your enthusiasm. I just looked under the desk in my shack & found 4 X 6 socket extensions, 9 power supplies of various voltages, both AC & DC, what looks like 100 miles of assorted cables, and ALL this despite having 2 13.8 volt power supplies driving 2 X MFJ Deluxe DC Power Outlets!!!. How much do you think your airfare to Melbourne Australia would be? I NEED your help desperately before something terrible happens & a dead short destroys thousands of bucks worth of computers, radios, receivers, tuners & sundry other gizmos.
Maybe I'm too old for this hobby, as I near my 60th year. I think it must be a younger man's bag; someone like yourself who can whip up what sounds like a DC Dream. My hat goes off to you. Your blood's worth bottling!

Ruff

"Arthur Shulman" <dentist@nornet.on.ca> wrote in message news:3C4B3007.A59DDCEA@nornet.on.ca...
I share the same dislike for wall warts that you do. With a collection of over 24 items that required 9, 12, & 24 vdc, & another dozen needing 115 vac, my choices were a little more complicated.
I ended up with 2 APC Smart UPS units rated at 600 & 700 va. These take care of all line voltage correction, limited power outages, and brownouts. One is dedicated to powering 4 computer monitors, audio, test equipment, & other non-critical items.
The 700 va unit directly powers 4 computers, an adjustable Daiwa 14 amp supply (metered) for 12 vdc, and a R/S 12 vdc supply converted to deliver 24 vdc @ 2a.
The 12 vdc supply is left on 12 v all the time, and is fan cooled. It also feeds a 9vdc regulated supply for those 9v items.
Distribution is via R/S clip in speaker connectors, using the supplied DC cables, or leads clipped from the wall warts to plug into the DC equipment.
The UPS units are managed from one of the Win computers re testing, battery charge, and loading.
A bit cumbersome & involved, but it answers all my needs with plenty of reserve capacity for expansion.

Arthur

Strider the Ranger wrote:
On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 21:33:20 GMT, Email to above or see post sig for address! <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote:
I am so sick and tired of the collection of wall warts that the ever growing number of scanners, 2 ways and other electronics brings with them.

1173. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 08:48:14 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c4bd5a5$0$10077$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I smell a rat, but I can't remember it's name .....

Ruff.

"Delayed Thinking" <delay@delaythinking.com> wrote in message
news:SxO28.2198$3u1.28311@rwcrnsc54...
Since my wife and I lost our baby last year, we have both been at a
tremendous loss. We sometimes stare at the children at the mall Anyway, I
happened upon the frequency of our neighbor's baby monitor 2 months ago, and
my wife and I have cried ourselves to sleep several times listening to the
little baby go coo coo coo.
I never repeated what the baby said becuase that would be illegal, but I did
find myself underneath the neighbor's window late one night with my scanner.
It seems that the neighbor lady heard someone in the backyard and called the
police. I have since paid for the damage to the fence (this fact was never
reported in the local news), and yes I did appologize to my neighbor if only
through a letter I wrote from my cell.
What I need help with is that I am being charged with:
IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:

(1) possesses a police radio;

(2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency

(3) possesses or uses a police radio:

(A) while committing a crime;

I don't believe I committed a crime because i never disclosed what the baby
said. Therefore I did not break the scanner law.
My wife is in tears, and my only concern is for her. What can i tell her?

1174. SatCom antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 08:53:20 UTC
From: Brandon <brandon@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <4GQ28.28406$lg2.8462672@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 53643

Here is my situation:

I live upstairs in an apartment and have access to the attic. Right now I am using a Scantenna which is made by Antennacraft as my antenna and it seems to work ok. I am able to hear the static bursts from the encrypted comms in the 262 MHz range, so I know I can hear some of the satellites, and I did catch two OM's in SS on 269.650 along with more green comms. It seems all the satellites are rather noisy (steam/hiss-weak signals), but I am assuming I can expect this since I don't have a real antenna for monitoring the birds.

My question is: would getting a discone antenna help improve my reception? Is there anybody else using a Scantenna for SatCom reception? How did it work for you? I read some posts on a mailing list and it sounds like people had pretty good results using discone antennas. Since I am not able to put something up outside, an antenna in the attic is pretty much my only option. Would this work well in the attic, or should I just forget it and move to a house on a 10,000 foot mountain (hehe I wish!) and install some military grade 261 MHz directional satcom antennas?! Are there any good freqs to use that have constant transmissions (like a beacon type of thing?) so I could see how my reception is. For info-I am located in southern California.

Thanks in advance.

Brandon

1175. Re: Long Island NOAA WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 09:56:51 UTC
From: Justin Wolcott <Phreak480@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C4BE661.55B34981@erols.com>
Source record: 53644

both the riverhead and the nyc stations do seem to be putting out less power
the riverhead is unreadable form dix hills and the nyc is barely readable from
here

-Justin

James Rubin wrote:

> Here in Astoria, Queens they are weaker, too. In fact, much of the time
> 162.475 from L.I. is not readable at all. I have received stations from
> Mass. instead!
> Regards,
> Jim
>
> In article <sJA28.485$Wu1.72126@news02.optonline.net>,
> "Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote:
>
> > Has anyone else noticed a marked decrease in the signal from the NOAA WX
> > transmitter in Riverhead (WXM80, 162.475 MHz) lately? They're supposed to be
> > running 1000 Watts, and always came in full scale in the past. Now they're
> > down in the noise.
> >
> > BTW, they are planning to introduce new "voices" this year. They even have
> > names (Donna, Craig, and Paul). You can listen and vote for your favorite.
> >
> > See:
> > http://205.156.54.206/nwr/newvoice.htm
> >
> > Art Harris
> >
> >
>
> --
> --
> James Rubin <jimrubin@mindspring.com>

1176. Re: Mounting Hardware for Ford Explorer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 11:17:31 UTC
From: Possums <possums1@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3c4bf8cc$0$15842@echo-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 53645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

just my 2 cents worth

Check with a good and reputable custom stereo installer for cars
some of the shit they come up with is unbelievable

"Brad Steinman" <mcsebrad@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u4migsf4ee3m4e@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if anyone could point me to a vendor who sells a console
> mounting kit for mobile radio equipment. Specifically, for a Ford
> Explorer.
>
> Not the typical mounting kit to mount a rig to under the dash, but a
> box-like container that would be just to right of me.... i.e. the center
> console. I would figure that the mobile rigs would be mounted vertically
in
> this configuration.
>
> Anyone heard of such a thing? or know where plans to build/modify such a
> thing would be? or even a person's/vendor's name that may not have the
> item, but could me headed in the right direction?
>
> tnx
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> mcsebrad@hotmail.com
>
>
>

1177. Re: BC-560XLT Keypad Functions Help Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 12:10:37 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C4C053D.329B953F@USA.net>
Source record: 53646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A 16 channel is worth worth working on if one can't afford a new scanner.

That why I ask the BC-560XLT question originally and no one seems to know the answer.

"Question" was: How do I retain the memory after power is removed. My 560 began losing it's memory last week when I turn off the key in my vehicle. Any ideas?

Gutt Brothers Towing wrote:

> Thats my next move, to get in there and eyeball it just to see what I
> can see. (Tarnnish, corrosion, ect.)
>
> As for re-setting the cpu I don't have a clue how to ?
>
> And for the person (W.F.) who said 16 channels isn't worth working on
> I guess it's the same as the old saying about the eye of the beholder.
> One scanner I own is a RS PRO-2004 that has 300 channels available
> and I bet that I keep less than a dozen of them filled. So. . . ?
> >
> >

1178. Re: WINRADIO X31B ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 12:54:17 UTC
From: befeleme@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <3c4c0e4f.15766508@news-server>
Source record: 53547

On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 23:05:13 +1100, "Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
wrote:

>Is that the flat one about the size of an A4 page with a pre-amp fitted??
>
>
>"Nichols" <nichols@hawii.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:_pw28.267$Ib.279174@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
>> Anybody has any feedback (pos/neg) on the performance of the WINRADIO X31B
>> antenna?
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>>

Yes, I have one. I was originally sceptical, but I was pleasantly
surprised. It actually works very well. And doubles up as a good
indoor TV antenna.

The only problem is that the battery does not last very long (although
I suspect that the bright red LED consumes most of the current). So I
have connected it to a 9V AC/DC adaptor. It's on all the time and I
don't have to worry about it.

Frank

1179. FS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 13:39:30 UTC
From: Tom <tphvid@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <186o4u41uut969g028qcrddadfddfdmg06@4ax.com>
Source record: 53548

I have the following for sale, as I am shutting down most of the shack:

I am in the Boston area and would prefer to do local transactions. However, will ship with the expectation that the buyer pays shipping charges. Should have original boxes for all except the R-1000:

Email with questions if interested, and I will send pics with details. Cash or cashiers checks only, all prices firm! If I wanted to haggle, I would go to eBay!!

Item Price Cosmetic Operational
---------- ------- ---------- ----------
Radio Shack PRO-2066 $110.00 Excellent YES (hardly used)

Icom IC-R7100 $750.00 Very Good YES (stock, no gaps)

Icom IC-R71A $400.00 Excellent YES PBT Model (enhanced filters) w/Service and performance Manual

Radio Shack HTX-202 $100.00 Excellent YES (hardly used, less than 1 hour of air time (transmit)

Kenwood R-1000 $175.00 Good YES No manual

1180. Oklahoma City Fire Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 14:03:46 UTC
From: "NOSPAM @NOSPAM.COM" <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <m77o4ugh2tjt0ojlb46usu5mqtb9j2n43s@4ax.com>
Source record: 53549

Can anyone offer comments on confirmed usage (dispatch / operations / fireground/ channel identification / etc) for any of the following Oklahoma City Fire Frequencies:

453.15 - F1?
453.35 - F2?
453.60 - F3?
453.30 - F4?
453.45 - F5?
453.70 - F6?
154.445 - Airport?
153.89 - Mutual Aid?
155.055 - Dispatch?
453.625 - Emergency?
154.37 - County Fire?
453.7875 - Bethany Fire?
153.13 - Warr Acres Fire?
151.055 - Storm Alerts?
151.445 - Storm Alerts?

1181. Re: Best Overseas Exporter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 14:03:51 UTC
From: Bla Bla Bla <blablabla@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u4o7ubmmoq9e8d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53550
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Javiation or Waters and Stanton.

"Michael A. Nunes" wrote in message
news:wHP28.29994$Y17.2282467@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Hi groups,
>
> I'm looking to purchase an AOR AR8200II from outside the country, and I
was
> just wondering if anyone knows of any reputable companies overseas? Just
> looking for some recommendations, I've considered a few that sponsor
> strongsignals.net, but I'm still actively searching.
>
> --
> Thanks,
> Michael A. Nunes
> p@pcmike.net
>
>
>

1182. Re: tk8500, new open source software for ICOM IC-R8500 rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 14:21:53 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c4c26b2$0$43574$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 53551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Tom, et. al.,

Sorry, I don't own an IC-R75 so I probably won't
be writing software for it because you really need
the receiver for testing the software.

After comparing the CI-V instructions for the
IC-R75 and IC-R8500, I see although there are many instructions
in common, there several differences, too.

The IC-R75 has only one memory bank vs. several
for the IC-R8500, for example.

I'm sure it would be a fun project, though,
and it looks like modifying tk8500 into a "tk75"
would be straightforward.

Perhaps an IC-R75 owner who can write software will
step forward. Studying the tk8500 script
code would give him a giant head start and that's one
of the reasons I released it as open source.

It would great if there were more radio software
available for Linux and MacOS X.

On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 00:45:08 -0600, Tom Sevart wrote:

> "Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
> news:3c4b5ca1$0$1612$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
>> The ICOM IC-R8500 is a high quality 0.1 - 2000 MHz receiver.
>>
>> I wrote tk8500, a new open source computer program which lets you:
>>
>> (1) Control the IC-R8500 from your computer.
>>
>>
> Any chance of an R75 version?
>
> Tom

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1183. Re: tk8500, new open source software for ICOM IC-R8500 rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 14:31:10 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c4c25e5$0$1608$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 53552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks. Before writing tk8500 and tk545, I knew
Perl much better than Tcl.

Therefore, I considered using Perl/Tk instead of Tcl/Tk,
but decided to use Tcl/Tk for two main reasons:

1) Tcl/Tk is easier to install than Pearl/Tk and I thought
a non-programmer/non-SA would have trouble with Perl/Tk.

2) The Tk provided with Tcl looked like it was better
maintained than the Tk version provided with Perl,
though that could be a misperception.

No regrets so far. I'd like to learn Python/Tkinter,
which looks like a combination which could be used
to produce the same type of multi-platform, easy to install
radio software at Tcl/Tk.

On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 20:45:28 -0600, Rolloï¿½ wrote:

> In article <3c4b5ca1$0$1612$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>, Bob_Parnass_AJ9S
> says...
>>I wrote tk8500, a new open source computer program which lets you:
>>
>>
> Nice. I love you Tk guys! Wonder how it would port to Perl/Tk?
>

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1184. Re: DC Power Dist. System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 15:24:15 UTC
From: Arthur Shulman <dentist@nornet.on.ca>
Message-ID: <3C4C329F.1D56360F@nornet.on.ca>
Source record: 53553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Ruff,

What exactly do you need help with? It sounds like you already have it well squared away!

I suggest you might start with your AC power distribution. A 5 switch 'Computer Power Centre' is cheap and can feed the 4x6 outlets. Get the outlets and all the AC wiring off the floor and laced to the bottom of the desktop with long wire ties not snugged up - left as loops. Fasten with cable clips screwed to desk bottom. That's the first part. This neatens up the mess and you can begin to see the floor.

Now, if you want to add a UPS, you just have one line to plug into it!

Your 13.8 power supplies & MFJ strips are great. (I imagine you have two of them to supply the requisite current. If this is the reason, consider replacing these with a larger single unit. If not, let me know why not.)

Leave the supplies on a shelf or desktop, & put the strips & all the 13.8 wiring under the desktop, but a bit separate from the AC, so you can see what goes where. Important to lace this up off the floor as well, and if you have a labelmaker, it's nice to label each lead as it is connected.

Not a bad idea to fuse each outbound line to the equipment. You can get inline fuse holders cheap from surplus electronics or automotive shops. Make sure the fuses in the 13v supplies are correct size - a short could cause a fire.

Now look at the wall warts that remain. What have you really got there that can't be done another way? Are any of these AC supplies - better keep them for the moment, unless you have a bunch that are the same. The DC warts can usually be disposed of, and you can get the power through step-down regulators. If any of the DC warts are 12v, clip off the lead abt 6" from the wart, so you can use it again if need be, & use the lead to connect to the MFJ strips. Make sure you check polarity of the centre pin carefully before connecting, though.

If you've done all the above, you have the bulk of the wiring no longer hanging loos or on the floor. What you do with the rest is variable, but if you have multiple receivers and/or antennas with or without switching, I suggest you lace & label these leads as well.

If you provide more details, I might be able to make suggestions. I have a big box of wall warts I retired using the above methods.

Finally, how important is cost - some of this stuff will cost $$$$$.

Love a trip to AU, but I think you can do this one without me. I'm 61.

roughplanet wrote:

> Arthur, I wish I had your enthusiasm. I just looked under the desk in my
> shack & found 4 X 6 socket extensions, 9 power supplies of various voltages,
> both AC & DC, what looks like 100 miles of assorted cables, and ALL this
> despite having 2 13.8 volt power supplies driving 2 X MFJ Deluxe DC Power
> Outlets!!!. How much do you think your airfare to Melbourne Australia would
> be? I NEED your help desperately before something terrible happens & a dead
> short destroys thousands of bucks worth of computers, radios, receivers,
> tuners & sundry other gizmos.
> Maybe I'm too old for this hobby, as I near my 60th year. I think it must be
> a younger man's bag; someone like yourself who can whip up what sounds like
> a DC Dream. My hat goes off to you. Your blood's worth bottling!
>
> Ruff
>
> "Arthur Shulman" <dentist@nornet.on.ca> wrote in message
> news:3C4B3007.A59DDCEA@nornet.on.ca...
> I share the same dislike for wall warts that you do. With a collection of
> over 24 items that required 9, 12, & 24 vdc, & another dozen needing 115
> vac, my choices were a little more complicated.
> I ended up with 2 APC Smart UPS units rated at 600 & 700 va. These take care
> of all line voltage correction, limited power outages, and brownouts. One is
> dedicated to powering 4 computer monitors, audio, test equipment, & other
> non-critical items.
> The 700 va unit directly powers 4 computers, an adjustable Daiwa 14 amp
> supply (metered) for 12 vdc, and a R/S 12 vdc supply converted to deliver 24
> vdc @ 2a.
> The 12 vdc supply is left on 12 v all the time, and is fan cooled. It also
> feeds a 9vdc regulated supply for those 9v items.
> Distribution is via R/S clip in speaker connectors, using the supplied DC
> cables, or leads clipped from the wall warts to plug into the DC equipment.
> The UPS units are managed from one of the Win computers re testing, battery
> charge, and loading.
> A bit cumbersome & involved, but it answers all my needs with plenty of
> reserve capacity for expansion.
>
> Arthur
>
> Strider the Ranger wrote:
> On Wed, 09 Jan 2002 21:33:20 GMT, Email to above or see post sig for
> address! <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote:
> I am so sick and tired of the collection of wall warts that the ever growing
> number of scanners, 2 ways and other electronics brings with them.

1185. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 16:02:47 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy Jacobs <bljacobs2@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C4C5880.D556B4A2@home.com>
Source record: 53554

Assuming it is true, you are lucky you didn't get a bullet
between the eyes. Assuming it is false, you better hope
your neighbor doesn't see your posting that you would
even think of such a thing, or that bullet may still be
coming.

Delayed Thinking wrote:
>
> Since my wife and I lost our baby last year, we have both been at a
> tremendous loss. We sometimes stare at the children at the mall Anyway, I
> happened upon the frequency of our neighbor's baby monitor 2 months ago, and
> my wife and I have cried ourselves to sleep several times listening to the
> little baby go coo coo coo.
>
> I never repeated what the baby said becuase that would be illegal, but I did
> find myself underneath the neighbor's window late one night with my scanner.
> It seems that the neighbor lady heard someone in the backyard and called the
> police. I have since paid for the damage to the fence (this fact was never
> reported in the local news), and yes I did appologize to my neighbor if only
> through a letter I wrote from my cell.
>
> What I need help with is that I am being charged with:
> IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
>
> (1) possesses a police radio;
>
> (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
>
> (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
>
> (A) while committing a crime;
>
> I don't believe I committed a crime because i never disclosed what the baby
> said. Therefore I did not break the scanner law.
>
> My wife is in tears, and my only concern is for her. What can i tell her?

1186. Re: Best Overseas Exporter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 16:11:05 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <l4X28.5173$Wf1.1906209@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 53555
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Hands down, Javiation..
Greg
"Michael A. Nunes" wrote in message
news:wHP28.29994$Y17.2282467@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Hi groups,
>
> I'm looking to purchase an AOR AR8200II from outside the country, and I
was
> just wondering if anyone knows of any reputable companies overseas? Just
> looking for some recommendations, I've considered a few that sponsor
> strongsignals.net, but I'm still actively searching.
>
> --
> Thanks,
> Michael A. Nunes
> p@pcmike.net
>
>
>

1187. Re: Oklahoma City Fire Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 17:21:53 UTC
From: strider@bree.com
Message-ID: <3c4c4916.3084755@news.kc.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 53556

On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 14:03:46 GMT, "NOSPAM @NOSPAM.COM"
<NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM> wrote:

>Can anyone offer comments on confirmed usage (dispatch / operations /
>fireground/ channel identification / etc) for any of the following
>Oklahoma City Fire Frequencies:
>
>453.15 - F1?
>453.35 - F2?
>453.60 - F3?
>453.30 - F4?
>453.45 - F5?
>453.70 - F6?
>154.445 - Airport?
>153.89 - Mutual Aid?
>155.055 - Dispatch?
>453.625 - Emergency?
>154.37 - County Fire?
>453.7875 - Bethany Fire?
>153.13 - Warr Acres Fire?
>151.055 - Storm Alerts?
>151.445 - Storm Alerts?

Hello,
F2 and f3 are the main channels use here in OKC for fire dispatch.F1
is ocassionaly used if there are a lot units in opperations or they
are working with Mediflight. I have 30 hits on F4 in the last 30 days.
None on F4 or F6. 154.130 is used a lot for county mutual aid with
volunteer fire departments. 154.370 is Ok County Emergency Ops. Cntr.
and is active when needed. 151.455 is a HOT channel when the tornadoes
are skipping around. I have never loged any traffic on 153.890 or
155.050. The frequencies for Warr Acres and Bethany appear to be
correct, however because of all off tarffic here in OKC it is rare
that I have them activily scanned. Two great Freqs that you are
missing are 460.350, Ok. County Sherrif ,and 460.400 Ok County(eastern
net) dispatch for the small towns east of OKC that do not have
their own dispatchers. I hope that this helps.
Best,
Richard

disharp@swbell.net

1188. Re: SatCom antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 17:30:36 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3C4C503C.E2CCFE1E@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 53557

I don't know what type of antenna the Scantenna is but if you are
wanting to get beter results on the sats then you need an antenna that
will have a beam path that is up and not out. The discone will not help
much if any. I don't have the URL handy but you should go to some of
the ham radio sites that deal with the sats and see what they are
using. One of the more common type is called an eggbeater. IT looks
like two loops over a crossed dipole. YOu will have to scale the
antenna to the frequency you want to use...

I work the ham sats some but I have a place big enough that I can use
beam antennas on a rotator. YOu may be able to fit a small beam in the
attic for the 260 mhz stuff but you still have to work out a way to
track the sat unless you want to shell out a bunch of money for the
Yeasu AZEL rotator and interface it to the computer.

I just did a quick search and see the antenna I think you are using.
YOu might get beter results if you mount it horizontal instead of
vertical. If you try this , put a couple of reflector elements under it
about 3/8 wavelength and cut them for a frequency slightly (about5%)
longer than the 260 mhz you want to receive.
This shoud give you an antenna patern that is more up instead of out to
the horizon.

Brandon wrote:
>
> Here is my situation:
>
> I live upstairs in an apartment and have access to the attic. Right now I
> am using a Scantenna which is made by Antennacraft as my antenna and it
> seems to work ok. I am able to hear the static bursts from the encrypted
> comms in the 262 MHz range, so I know I can hear some of the satellites, and
> I did catch two OM's in SS on 269.650 along with more green comms. It seems
> all the satellites are rather noisy (steam/hiss-weak signals), but I am
> assuming I can expect this since I don't have a real antenna for monitoring
> the birds.
>
> My question is: would getting a discone antenna help improve my reception?

1189. Re: Oklahoma City Fire Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 17:58:23 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020121125823.08982.00000294@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 53558

I am at the other end of the pike but just found out that the city is getting ready for a big freq change over. See information at this web site.

www.newsok.com/cgi-bin/show_article?ID=810778&pic=none&TP=getarticle

1190. FA: Motorola Astro Portable 15-Ch.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 18:59:11 UTC
From: Joe P <jprotesvcds@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3yZ28.252$Fh4.23376@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53559
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

For auction on E-Bay now.

Motorola Astro HT, 15-Ch (no reserve)

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1693645327

1191. FA: MFJ-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 18:59:14 UTC
From: Joe P <jprotesvcds@aol.com>
Message-ID: <6yZ28.253$Fh4.23376@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53560
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

For Auction now on E-Bay:

MFJ-314 Convertor 220 mHz (no reserve)

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1325173803

1192. Shortwave aircraft frequency monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 19:03:10 UTC
From: otto@hei.org
Message-ID: <f0a613e2.0201211103.1ce826e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53561

Is anyone else interested in monitoring HF shortwave aircraft freqs?
Lately, I've heard a station that identifies as "San Francisco" on 5.547
Mhz (USB) that is particularly active at night. They seem to assist with pro-
viding or confirming position for commercial jet aircraft and routing to local
airport frequencies on the West Coast. I'd be interested in any info
or other frequencies you could share.

1193. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 19:54:01 UTC
From: Dave Hultberg <dave.hultberg@paonline.com>
Message-ID: <ggso4u0mukq5ftib9kdsgete29d1de5hrf@4ax.com>
Source record: 53562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 06:28:03 GMT, "Delayed Thinking" <delay@delaythinking.com>
wrote:

<<PLONK>> Troll!
Dave Hultberg W3DBH
ex KA3UZR, WN3BGJ & KHV8564

1194. MDT VER 1.6.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 20:11:18 UTC
From: Scanman51 <scanman51@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t6to4ukt9ps6ta15tbqsrlbu13l9lp0oa0@4ax.com>
Source record: 53563

Has anyone been able to get mdt monitor ver 1.6.1 to work? I am running windows- me, my data slicer woks fine and my disc. tap is good(works with other programs) I get monitoring on the bottom of the screen when i am on the mdt freq,but nothing in the display window .Can anyone help?

Thanks

1195. FS:Pro-2006/OS456 and PC (Possible Trades)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 20:29:14 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c4c79ce.24687959@news.mint.net>
Source record: 53564

PRO2006 Excellent Condition (800 mod,Discriminator mod)
with Optoelectronics 456 board installed

120mhz HP PC soley dedicated to scanner

Maine area only

Best offer..... or trades + cash considered maybe depends
:scanners,cb's,???

1196. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 20:55:19 UTC
From: eflorack@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <bd154db5.0201211255.4dbd971e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

randee <randee@zianet.com> wrote in message news:<3C4A5B17.A88E27F0@zianet.com>...
> And the reason the coffee was so hot was that McD was using a steam
> process to brew the beans, rather than hot water. By using steam at
> high temperatures they could get by with a much cheaper grade of beans.
>
> A point that only true coffee fanatics might have caught. Coffee is
> normally brewed with water at about 180-190, but the brewing process
> itself cools the coffee down so that by the time you get it in the cup
> it is more like 140-150. McD has since apparently gone to a water
> brewing process. Some coffee site or other had all the details.

Bordering on the OT, but you're right; I remember discussing that at
the time, and considering that the difference in the brewing process
wouldn't have made all that much difference on the severity of the
burns she got. I'm sorry she got burned but were her hands so numb
that she didn't notice the cup was a bit warm?

I've written on this point before:

http://groups.google.com/groups?q=eflorack++%22fellow+idiots%22&hl=en&selm=3490330c.15784236%4013.0.32.55&rnum=1

1197. Re: Motorola Astro Portable 15-Ch.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 21:02:37 UTC
From: Eddie Haskell <Wiseguy@Cleaver.com>
Message-ID: <NI%28.117333$WK1.30966411@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Source record: 53566
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Be advised...the model number (if correct) indicates (H) handheld (0)
RECEIVE ONLY (4) UHF......this is probably a receiver and NOT a
transceiver....Eddie

"Joe P" <jprotesvcds@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3yZ28.252$Fh4.23376@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> For auction on E-Bay now.
>
> Motorola Astro HT, 15-Ch (no reserve)
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1693645327
>
>

1198. Re: Long Island NOAA WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 21:14:32 UTC
From: n2ah@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ce47897e.0201211314.6ff7d091@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53567

Justin Wolcott <Phreak480@erols.com> wrote in message
news:<3C4BE661.55B34981@erols.com>...
> both the riverhead and the nyc stations do seem to be putting out less power
> the riverhead is unreadable form dix hills and the nyc is barely readable from
> here
>
> -Justin
>

I'm in the Smithtown area. NYC and CT come in fine. But I only hear
Riverhead with my good antenna and receiver (and noisy at that).

I suspect their Power Amp may have failed and they're just running a
low power exciter.

Art

1199. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 22:52:05 UTC
From: Kevin P <kpatt@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <pY038.959$ag5.88954@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

LOL The name that came to my mind was Emilio. Sounds like one of his. But
he usually takes credit for it.

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c4bd5a5$0$10077$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> I smell a rat, but I can't remember it's name ....
>
> Ruff.
>
>
> "Delayed Thinking" <delay@delaythinking.com> wrote in message
> news:SxO28.2198$3u1.28311@rwcrnsc54...
> Since my wife and I lost our baby last year, we have both been at a
> tremendous loss. We sometimes stare at the children at the mall Anyway, I
> happened upon the frequency of our neighbor's baby monitor 2 months ago,
and
> my wife and I have cried ourselves to sleep several times listening to the
> little baby go coo coo coo.
> I never repeated what the baby said becuase that would be illegal, but I
did
> find myself underneath the neighbor's window late one night with my
scanner.
> It seems that the neighbor lady heard someone in the backyard and called
the
> police. I have since paid for the damage to the fence (this fact was
never
> reported in the local news), and yes I did appologize to my neighbor if
only
> through a letter I wrote from my cell.
> What I need help with is that I am being charged with:
> IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
>
> (1) possesses a police radio;
>
> (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
>
> (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
>
> (A) while committing a crime;
>
> I don't believe I committed a crime because i never disclosed what the
baby
> said. Therefore I did not break the scanner law.

> My wife is in tears, and my only concern is for her. What can i tell her?
>
>
>

1200. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 23:00:53 UTC
From: Mike W <MNMikeW@aolspam.com>
Message-ID: <3c4c9cc5$1@MAIL.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 53568

```
breaker 1-9
```

1201. Re: Modification Realistic Pro-2022 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-21 23:31:02 UTC
From: Juan Skinner <Jumperbumper@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <a2i8blfqc1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53570
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

you could frig around with your ref. freq. crystal's trimmer and it might stretch this far but probably not before the VCO drops out and goes out of lock.
The following is an excerpt featured in www.mods.dk . I think D45 may be of interest to you. Try removing this and you possibly will be able to select 10kc steps in your target band.
>>>
After removing the two upper back screws and the top lid, locate the black cable just behind the front panel. Adjacent to the cable are four diodes locations: D42, D43, D44 and D45.

D42

is not present; installed, it adds the 68-88 MHz European mid band (which includes the US 76-82 MHz mid band), removing the US 30-54 MHz low band. Even if a switch were installed to allow the diode to be selected, additional components and realignment are necessary for that higher frequency coverage. Don't do it!

D43

is present, allowing the 800 MHz band to be received; the diode may be deleted so that the same microprocessor may be used in scanner models which don't have that 800 MHz capability. Don't touch it!

D44

is present, deleting the cellular mobile and base frequencies. If one lead is cut, total 806-960 frequency is restored, including 30 kHz steps in the cellular band. Keep in mind, however, that mobile telephone eavesdropping is prohibited by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act!

This modification may void your warranty; it is best to cut the lead carefully, gently separating the gap slightly so that it may be resoldered in case the radio needs warranty repair later.

D45

is not present; installed, it makes the cellular 30 kHz increments change to 12.5 kHz. A small SPST switch connected in series with one lead of a 1N914 or 1N4148 could allow selection of the 25 kHz step interval in those areas which utilise that channel spacing.

To do this, it is necessary to unsolder a shield under the circuit board which covers the solder pads for the diodes. Such a modification should only be attempted by someone familiar with electronic circuitry.

Excerpted from Monitoring Times, June 1990, page 89.

"Peter Hunt" <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl> wrote in message
news:a1vt14$7dp$1@news1.xs4all.nl...
> Hi,
>
> Another Pro-2022 question...
>
> Does anyone know how to adjust the frequency??
>
> The problem is that I'm decoding an EDACS-trunkingnetwork, which
> controlchannel switches between 466.8200 and 468.800 MHz.
>
> 468.8000 is no problem, but instead of 466.8200 my scanner
> tunes to 466.8250 due to the 12.5 kHz steps.
>
> No I want to try to decrease the frequency a bit, so that the
> scanner will be tuned to approximately 466.8225 and 468.7975,
> hoping that'll fix the problem.
>
> Peter Hunt
> Holland
>
>
>

1202. Re: FS:...Yaesu VR-120 Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 00:13:54 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0201211613.785f7374@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53571

please remove your message regarding your question.

"Appie" <ab.surd@freemeel.com> wrote in message news:<a2fhm9ekb1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>...
> That wasn't my question..
>
> "NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> schreef in bericht
> news:9c46f45b.0201201426.79870078@posting.google.com...
> > Sold on Ebay auction.
> >
> > "Appie" <ab.surd@freemeel.com> wrote in message
> news:<a28qnedbr1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>...
> > > Why are you selling after a month?
> > >
> > > "NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> schreef in bericht
> > > news:9c46f45b.0201171458.5791f6ee@posting.google.com...
> > > > Excellent wideband communications scanning receiver. FM/WFM/AM
> > > > 100KHZ-1300MHZ (USA version). Purchased new in Dec,2001. It is in

1203. FA: Bearcat BC890XLT w/ CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 00:48:35 UTC
From: Sean Gilligan <gilligan295@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DF238.35246$Wu1.5181030@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53572

200 channel base scanner with CTCSS decode installed. Check
it out, less than 3 days left, no bids yet.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1323744599

1204. WTB: PRO-53 or FR-105

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 01:11:16 UTC
From: JohnS <w6ibl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <U_238.1567$ag5.120828@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53573

Looking for reasonably-priced WORKING PRO-53 and Sonar FR-105 crystal receivers.

Would also consider non-scanning 150 MHz receiver which uses 10.7 MHz crystals.

Thank you.

Please respond off-line to w6ibl@earthlink.net

John

1205. Re: SatCom antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 01:39:50 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3C4CC2E5.9EEA8321@pacbell.net>
Source record: 53574

I scaled down an Eggbeater II antenna and use it with a pre amp at the antenna for mil satcon reception. If your roof is not too lossy the Eggbeater II should work great for you. Assembling one from scratch is not bad if you have some basic antenna/metal skills. Somewhere around here I have all the dimensions and several hundred feet of 93 ohm teflon coax that is used for the matching the antenna. Another antenna that may work well is a several turn helix. I know a 5 turn has plenty of gain for mil satcom reception and it's not too big. If you aim it at about 45deg up and to the south it will pick up the majority of the satellite arch without a rotor. There are several published articles on making one for the mil band and it would be easier than an Eggbeater. A pre amp in either case would be recommended.

1206. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 01:48:34 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a2igdi$73b$0@63.162.247.174>
Source record: 53575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 01:20:06 -0600, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:

>That's pretty rich!
>
>If this were true, your case would be thrown out... 1) You were not in
>possession of a police radio; 2) A scanner can not transmit; 3)(a) Again, it
>is NOT a police radio.
>

Define police radio?

And he was in the process of committing a crime.

1207. Ideas For Mounting A Discone Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 01:57:35 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@home.com>
Message-ID: <jG338.8098$Qc6.2695786@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 53576

I am probably going to buy a discone scanner antenna from either Radioshack
or Scanner Warehouse to mount somewhere outside my house.
I do not have a TV mast or antenna currently installed and I dont think
mounting on the chimney is a feasable idea because of the looooonnnnggg coax
run needed to get the feedline to where I want it.

Any Suggestions/Mounting Ideas?

Thanks
Dave Staples KB3HIU
dasflies1@home.com

1208. Re: BC-560XLT Keypad Functions Help Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 02:07:54 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C4CC98E.D063E3A9@optonline.net>
Source record: 53577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does it have an internal battery that needs replaced? Older scanners
used a battery to retain the info in a CMOS memory.

jr127@USA.net wrote:
>
> A 16 channel is worth worth working on if one can't afford a new scanner.
>
> That why I ask the BC-560XLT question originally and no one seems to know the
> answer.
>
> "Question" was: How do I retain the memory after power is removed. My 560
> began losing it's memory last week when I turn off the key in my vehicle.
> Any ideas?
>
> Gutt Brothers Towing wrote:
>
> > Thats my next move, to get in there and eyeball it just to see what I
> > can see. (Tarnnish, corrosion, ect.)
> >
> > As for re-setting the cpu I don't have a clue how to ?
> >
> > And for the person (W.F.) who said 16 channels isn't worth working on
> > I guess it's the same as the old saying about the eye of the beholder.
> > One scanner I own is a RS PRO-2004 that has 300 channels available
> > and I bet that I keep less than a dozen of them filled. So. . . ?
> > >
> > >

1209. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 02:23:27 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u4pj7glk3hlacc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:a2igdi$73b$0@63.162.247.174...
> On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 01:20:06 -0600, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:
>
> >That's pretty rich!
> >
> >If this were true, your case would be thrown out... 1) You were not in
> >possession of a police radio; 2) A scanner can not transmit; 3)(a) Again,
it
> >is NOT a police radio.
> >
>
> Define police radio?
>
A police radio (as defined by his 2nd "charge") is a device capable of
receiving and transmitting on police frequencies... A Scanner can not do
this...

> And he was in the process of committing a crime.
>
He certainly was; had this been a real post... For this he should have been
charged, but not with what he listed as the charges...

PH

1210. Re: Ideas For Mounting A Discone Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 02:30:00 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C4CCEBA.122A1BEB@optonline.net>
Source record: 53579

I have my discone hanging from the basement ceiling joists! I hung it
there when we moved, and wanted to keep it out of harm's way.

One day, having nothing better to do, I connected it to a radio. To my
surprise, it worked. I can use it to receive from medium wave (500 kHz)
to 800 MHz. And, it works as well as many other, more normally
situated, antennas I have tried. I can receive MW, 7, 9, 11,12, 17 &
MHz shortwave, VHF lo and hi, UHF, and 800MHz.

And, as I said, comparison with other antennas in conventional
locations, using the PCR1000/TalkPCR Spectrum Analyzer program, show
equivalent received signal strengths.

Walt

Dave Staples wrote:
>
> I am probably going to buy a discone scanner antenna from either Radioshack
> or Scanner Warehouse to mount somewhere outside my house.
> I do not have a TV mast or antenna currently installed and I dont think
> mounting on the chimney is a feasable idea because of the looooonnnnggg coax
> run needed to get the feedline to where I want it.
>
> Any Suggestions/Mounting Ideas?
>
> Thanks
> Dave Staples KB3HIU
> dasflies1@home.com

1211. FS: RS PRO-2006 with OS-456 board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 02:41:51 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <a2ijh6$6uv$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 53580

I have for sell a Radio ShackPRO-2006 with the Optoelectronics OS-456 board installed. I tested it once the board was installed but preferred using a different radio and it has been unused since. Asking $200 plus shipping. Includes the software that came with the board - no idea if that works with current operating systems-too busy to try.
--
David L. Wilson dlwilson@erols.com
AC4IU http://www.erols.com/dlwilson

1212. McCalester OK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 03:13:13 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a2ilc9$qb3$0@63.162.246.128>
Source record: 53581

Anyone close to Mccalester?

1213. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 03:15:48 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a2ilh4$qb3$1@63.162.246.128>
Source record: 53582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 20:23:27 -0600, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:

>"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:a2igdi$73b$0@63.162.247.174...
>> On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 01:20:06 -0600, "Power House"
>> <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:
>>
>> >That's pretty rich!
>> >
>> >If this were true, your case would be thrown out... 1) You were not in
>> >possession of a police radio; 2) A scanner can not transmit; 3)(a) Again,
>it
>> >is NOT a police radio.
>> >
>>
>> Define police radio?
>>
>A police radio (as defined by his 2nd "charge") is a device capable of
>receiving and transmitting on police frequencies... A Scanner can not do
>this...
>

And that is your interpetation. Someone else may interpet it to be
a CB in a police car. Charge 2 does not define anything but what
is in charge 2.

Of course, the guy is a troll anyways....

1214. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 03:39:59 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <17np4ukfq9tndhl95irnm7ua6cu9l9ovvl@4ax.com>
Source record: 53583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 18 Jan 2002 17:06:09 -0600, "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH"
<kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote:

>If the detector's picking up the LO, it's going to be getting it from
>inadequately shielded internal parts, rather than the antenna.

It's not the inadequate shielding. It's the typically unbalanced LO.
Of course, you could balance the LO and lose another 15 dB of
radiation. You could also put a low noise amplifier head of the
system and get another 20 dB of isolation or so. It won't help.

Antenna gain comes cheap in the microwave world. The radar detector I
just described would probably cost you around $1000 minimum. Police
can counter it by merely building a bigger antenna. They'd still
detect you. Get over it. You ain't gonna win this one.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1215. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 03:50:52 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <wk538.47129$B61.15792357@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 53584

I would suggest that your legal problem is a minor and temporary inconvenience that will have to play itself out through the system. Even if found guilty, your sentence for THAT violation will likely be very mild.

I would be more concerned about why you found yourself under the neighbor's window. I'm no psychologist or psychiatrist, but I think you need to resolve within yourselves the unfortunate loss of your child. Otherwise you could find youself in much worse trouble for possibly doing something far worse than eavesdropping or trespassing.

Get yourselves some help. Fast.

Harry

">
> Delayed Thinking wrote:
> >
> > Since my wife and I lost our baby last year, we have both been at a
> > tremendous loss. We sometimes stare at the children at the mall Anyway,
I
> > happened upon the frequency of our neighbor's baby monitor 2 months ago,
and
> > my wife and I have cried ourselves to sleep several times listening to
the
> > little baby go coo coo coo.
> >
> > I never repeated what the baby said becuase that would be illegal, but I
did
> > find myself underneath the neighbor's window late one night with my
scanner.
> > It seems that the neighbor lady heard someone in the backyard and called
the
> > police. I have since paid for the damage to the fence (this fact was
never
> > reported in the local news), and yes I did appologize to my neighbor if
only
> > through a letter I wrote from my cell.
> >
> > What I need help with is that I am being charged with:
> > IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
> >
> > (1) possesses a police radio;
> >
> > (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
> >

> > (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
> >
> > (A) while committing a crime;
> >
> > I don't believe I committed a crime because i never disclosed what the
baby
> > said. Therefore I did not break the scanner law.
> >
> > My wife is in tears, and my only concern is for her. What can i tell
her?

1216. Re: RS PRO-2006 with OS-456 board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 03:51:26 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <a2injippd1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 53585

It has been sold.

--
--
David L. Wilson dlwilson@erols.com
AC4IU http://www.erols.com/dlwilson
"David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com> wrote in message
news:a2ijh6$6uv$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> I have for sell a Radio ShackPRO-2006 with the Optoelectronics OS-456
board
> installed. I tested it once the board was installed but preferred using a
> different radio and it has been unused since. Asking $200 plus shipping.
> Includes the software that came with the board - no idea if that works
with
> current operating systems-too busy to try.
> --
> David L. Wilson dlwilson@erols.com
> AC4IU http://www.erols.com/dlwilson
>
>

1217. Re: SatCom antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 03:58:37 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c4ce39e$0$13430$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53586

Where can we get some more information and a diagram of the EggbeaterII antenna?

"Mike" <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote in message news:3C4CC2E5.9EEA8321@pacbell.net...
> I scaled down an Eggbeater II antenna and use it with a pre amp at the
> antenna for mil satcon reception. If your roof is not too lossy the
> Eggbeater II should work great for you. Assembling one from scratch is
> not bad if you have some basic antenna/metal skills. Somewhere around
> here I have all the dimensions and several hundred feet of 93 ohm teflon
> coax that is used for the matching the antenna. Another antenna that may
> work well is a several turn helix. I know a 5 turn has plenty of gain
> for mil satcom reception and it's not too big. If you aim it at about
> 45deg up and to the south it will pick up the majority of the satellite
> arch without a rotor. There are several published articles on making one
> for the mil band and it would be easier than an Eggbeater. A pre amp in
> either case would be recommended.
>

1218. Re: WINRADIO X31B ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 03:59:50 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c4ce3e6$0$13430$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53587

What frequencies are you using it for?

Is it very directional?

"Frank Greene" <befeleme@hushmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c4c0e4f.15766508@news-server...
> On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 23:05:13 +1100, "Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
> wrote:
>
> >Is that the flat one about the size of an A4 page with a pre-amp fitted??
> >
> >
> >"Nichols" <nichols@hawii.rr.com> wrote in message
> >news:_pw28.267$Ib.279174@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> >> Anybody has any feedback (pos/neg) on the performance of the WINRADIO
X31B
> >> antenna?
> >>
> >> Thanks
> >>
> >>
>
> Yes, I have one. I was originally sceptical, but I was pleasantly
> surprised. It actually works very well. And doubles up as a good
> indoor TV antenna.
>
> The only problem is that the battery does not last very long (although
> I suspect that the bright red LED consumes most of the current). So I
> have connected it to a 9V AC/DC adaptor. It's on all the time and I
> don't have to worry about it.
>
> Frank
>
>
>

1219. Realistic Pro-37 manual for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 04:24:21 UTC
From: dholloway <dholloway@futura.net>
Message-ID: <YP538.85$s7.59365@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53588

I don't need the manual anymore, some piece of scum STOLE my Pro-37. $4 + $1 shipping.

1220. Super Mp3 Recorder: Record whatever you hear into Mp3 or wav files!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 05:35:57 UTC
From: coldrg@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <504a4270.0201212135.724cb4cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53589

http://www.supermp3recorder.com

Super Mp3 Recorder makes a complete recording studio of your computer. With Super Mp3 Recorder you can record voice from microphone, webcasts from the Internet, music played by Winamp, Windows Media Player, Quick Time, Real Player, Flash, games, etc. Sound quality of the recordings remains excellent, or sounds even better for Super Mp3 Recorder reduces noise. Super Mp3 Recorder is able to automatically detect the recording formats your sound card supports and then set the application's parameters for the best possible performance. Your recordings are then saved as Mp3 or Wav files.

1221. **PRO-92**w/Alpha-numeric Display $175 !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 07:01:16 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020122020116.13158.00002480@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 53590

Radio Shack's PRO-92, 500Channel, TrunkTracking Scanner which does multiple 800 & 900 Trunking Systems, Alpha-Numeric Talk Group Readout (you program the name, application, dept. etc. of every talkgroup or convetnional channel).

This is one excellent HandHeld scanner. It does, of course, to all the usual bands as well.

$175.oo SHIPPING INCLUDED !

1222. PRO-2006 w/OS-456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 07:03:37 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020122020337.13158.00002481@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 53591

Radio Shack PRO-2006, 400Ch Scanner, unblocked, with Opto Electronics OS-456 board installed and working great. All software, accessories and origianl boxes included for both. This scanner also covers the Military Aircraft bands which are of great current interest.

$350.oo SHIPPING INCLUDED !!!!!!

1223. Uniden MR-8100, For Sale, hard to find

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 07:07:25 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020122020725.13158.00002482@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 53592

Uniden's MR-8100 Scanner originally made for Police use, programmed by software (included), unblocked for full 800MHz, does all other bands including Aircraft. It has STRONG audio, external speaker, and the Biggest Best Readoud of any scanner ever made. You can adjust the contrast of the readout to suit any angle of view, a big problem with other scanners.

These have become very rare as they were made for Police & Fire use.

$200.oo

1224. Re: Ideas For Mounting A Discone Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 07:11:59 UTC
From: Gary Poss <garyposs@io.com>
Message-ID: <3h838.42316$TC1.3654505@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 53595

****
I have used two Radio Shack discones for about 5 years, each located on
opposite ends of the house. One mounted on an extended length gable TV Mast
Mount and the other on a heavy duty chimney mount.
Each mount has a 10' (2 - 5' heavy duty masts) mast supporting the discones.

Do not be to concerned about long coax lengths. Use 75 ohm foam RG-6 coax
(as used in cable installations) with appropriate "F" connectors and
adapters. The cost is significantly lower than comparable 50 ohm cable. The
performance (i. e. - check out the loss in the coax charts) is equal to or
better than even with the slight VSWR mismatch which is not critical for
this application. The

You will be pleasantly surprised.

Regards,
Gary Poss - K5WWQ
Hutto, Texas

****

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3C4CCEBA.122A1BEB@optonline.net...
> I have my discone hanging from the basement ceiling joists! I hung it
> there when we moved, and wanted to keep it out of harm's way.
>
> One day, having nothing better to do, I connected it to a radio. To my
> surprise, it worked. I can use it to receive from medium wave (500 kHz)
> to 800 MHz. And, it works as well as many other, more normally
> situated, antennas I have tried. I can receive MW, 7, 9, 11,12, 17 &
> MHz shortwave, VHF lo and hi, UHF, and 800MHz.
>
> And, as I said, comparison with other antennas in conventional
> locations, using the PCR1000/TalkPCR Spectrum Analyzer program, show
> equivalent received signal strengths.
>
> Walt
>
> Dave Staples wrote:
> >
> > I am probably going to buy a discone scanner antenna from either
Radioshack
> > or Scanner Warehouse to mount somewhere outside my house.
> > I do not have a TV mast or antenna currently installed and I dont think
> > mounting on the chimney is a feasable idea because of the looooonnnnggg
coax

> > run needed to get the feedline to where I want it.
> >
> > Any Suggestions/Mounting Ideas?
> >
> > Thanks
> > Dave Staples KB3HIU
> > dasflies1@home.com
>

1225. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 08:03:02 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <BF311095A7225D15.6CECD460973D1F89.9108122E35154981@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 53497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 22:39:59 -0500, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>Antenna gain comes cheap in the microwave world. The radar detector I
>just described would probably cost you around $1000 minimum. Police
>can counter it by merely building a bigger antenna. They'd still
>detect you. Get over it. You ain't gonna win this one.

I don't have to at this point, since radar detectors are still OK in
private vehicles in TX. I do think it's amusing , though, that a
perfectly legal 24GHz rig in a car could cause false positives on
their fancy new toys.

Depending on what the actual LO frequency they're looking for is, hams
could easily - and unintentionally - overload these things several
miles away.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

1226. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 08:54:15 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C4D28E7.95F9496@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 22:39:59 -0500, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Antenna gain comes cheap in the microwave world. The radar detector I
> >just described would probably cost you around $1000 minimum. Police
> >can counter it by merely building a bigger antenna. They'd still
> >detect you. Get over it. You ain't gonna win this one.
>

Valentine One is about $400 and is, according to recent tests,
undetectable, by radar detector detectors.

1227. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 10:09:18 UTC
From: Do illigaf <dilligafdude@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a2j3hh$9cp$2@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53593

Me...testing tooo.....isn't there a place for that...?

--
N27 49.555 W81 35.222

1228. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 10:11:09 UTC
From: Do illigaf <dilligafdude@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a2j3bp$t2b$2@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just trolling along.....:)

--
N27 49.555 W81 35.222

1229. Re: splitting signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 10:16:09 UTC
From: Do illigaf <dilligafdude@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a2j3la$i7i$2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53596

I have several scanners and only 2 scanner antennas..How much signal will I lose if I split each antenna between 2 scanners.....Is it better to put up another antenna?

--
N27 49.555 W81 35.222

1230. Re: **PRO-92**w/Alpha-numeric Display $175 !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 11:01:18 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <2Eb38.4124$75.2786106@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53499

It is a 92, 92[A], or 92[B]?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"LEADENWAH" <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020122020116.13158.00002480@mb-cs.aol.com...
> Radio Shack's PRO-92, 500Channel, TrunkTracking Scanner which does
multiple 800
> & 900 Trunking Systems, Alpha-Numeric Talk Group Readout (you program the
> name, application, dept. etc. of every talkgroup or convetnional
channel).
>
> This is one excellent HandHeld scanner. It does, of course, to all the
usual
> bands as well.
>
> $175.oo SHIPPING INCLUDED !

1231. Re: splitting signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 13:05:10 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <Xns919E53C8FD0D1av8torlicensed4funco@65.82.44.7>
Source record: 53500

"Do illigaf" <dilligafdude@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:a2j3la$i7i$2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net:

> I have several scanners and only 2 scanner antennas..How much signal
> will I lose if I split each antenna between 2 scanners.....Is it
> better to put up another antenna?
>
> --
> N27 49.555 W81 35.222
>
>
>

You might want to check this webpage:
http://www.milaircomms.com/review_stridsberg_mca204.html as this is an
artical on splitting signals for multipul scanners.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1232. Re: splitting signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 13:27:41 UTC
From: n2ah@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ce47897e.0201220527.4e57f093@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53501

You will lose at least 3 dB (half of the signal) with a good splitter,
and more with a resistive type splitter.

That may not be as bad as it sounds. You can put a preamp in front of
the splitter to overcome the losses.

The bigger issue is isolation. You'd like to have about 30 dB of
isolation between the two output ports of the splitter. Otherwise,
local oscillator leakage from one scanner can cause "birdies" in the
other.

Art Harris

"Do illigaf" <dilligafdude@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<a2j3la$i7i$2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I have several scanners and only 2 scanner antennas..How much signal will I
> lose if I split each antenna between 2 scanners.....Is it better to put up
> another antenna?

1233. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 13:33:16 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <rupq4u888sia34r2bi0idigispi2fmcddo@4ax.com>
Source record: 53502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 22 Jan 2002 02:03:02 -0600, "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH"
<kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote:

>Depending on what the actual LO frequency they're looking for is, hams
>could easily - and unintentionally - overload these things several
>miles away.

No doubt. However you'd better be traveling in at least a two car convoy with the units clearly in the windshield. I've heard of a couple guys who once ran 10 GHz gunnplexers in WBFM mode from car to car just for fun. Aside of the dopplered noises and reflections, it worked about as well as a pair of cheap kiddie walkie talkies.

If you were taking something like this on the road in Texas, I'd be prepared to show regulations and original copies of licenses on request. Cops don't like it when you screw with their equipment. I would hate to try explaining mobile ham radio on microwave to a cop who barely understands what a radio is.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1234. Re: Realistic Pro-37 manual for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 13:35:35 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <HUd38.25892$zX1.14866323@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53503

Seeing as how you can get the manual for free on the RS website, why would anyone want to buy one?

--

"dholloway" <dholloway@futura.net> wrote in message
news:YP538.85$s7.59365@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> I don't need the manual anymore, some piece of scum STOLE my Pro-37. $4 + $1
> shipping.
>
>

1235. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 13:38:50 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <ihqq4u82rg83jm3ne8umebk9e5rbuu0ne0@4ax.com>
Source record: 53504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 22 Jan 2002 08:54:15 GMT, Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Valentine One is about $400 and is, according to recent tests,
>undetectable, by radar detector detectors.

As I posted earlier, radiation style, or tuned amplifier front ends do
not have an LO to find. These used to be more common than they are
today. Put a cheap LNA in front of these things and I'll bet they can
work surprisingly well.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1236. Re: Ideas For Mounting A Discone Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 13:49:24 UTC
From: n2ah@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ce47897e.0201220549.19ac3ba2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53505

A 10-foot chimney-mounted mast is nice because you don't have to guy it. How long of a cable run would you need? The losses would increase with frequency and could be a problem at 800 MHz. You COULD install an in-line preamp at the antenna. That would overcome the cable losses. (The voltage for the preamp is fed through the coax.)

Another option is to mount a 36-foot telescoping mast to the side of your house. Or a tripod on the roof.

In general, the increased signal strength you get from a higher antenna, more than offsets the losses from having a longer cable. I'm not a big fan of attic mounted antennas, but that is another option.

Use RG-6 cable, and weather proof the outdoor connector with "duct seal" or "coax seal," not tape. Tape the cable to the mast to keep it from flapping. Make a "drip loop" where the cable enters the house.

Art Harris N2AH

"Dave Staples" <dasflies1@home.com> wrote in message news:<jG338.8098$Qc6.2695786@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>...
> I am probably going to buy a discone scanner antenna from either Radioshack
> or Scanner Warehouse to mount somewhere outside my house.
> I do not have a TV mast or antenna currently installed and I dont think
> mounting on the chimney is a feasable idea because of the looooonnnnggg coax
> run needed to get the feedline to where I want it.
>
> Any Suggestions/Mounting Ideas?
>
> Thanks
> Dave Staples KB3HIU
> dasflies1@home.com

1237. Re: Ideas For Mounting A Discone Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 15:11:56 UTC
From: n2ah@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ce47897e.0201220711.a9218ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53506

Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<3C4CCEBA.122A1BEB@optonline.net>...
> I have my discone hanging from the basement ceiling joists! I hung it
> there when we moved, and wanted to keep it out of harm's way.
>
> One day, having nothing better to do, I connected it to a radio. To my
> surprise, it worked. I can use it to receive from medium wave (500 kHz)
> to 800 MHz. And, it works as well as many other, more normally
> situated, antennas I have tried. I can receive MW, 7, 9, 11,12, 17 &
> MHz shortwave, VHF lo and hi, UHF, and 800MHz.
>

This is interesting, Walt. On HF, the signal-to-noise ratio you hear in your headphones usually depends more on atmospheric noise than receiver-generated noise. Since a mediocre antenna picks up less signal AND less atmospheric noise, you still get a good readable signal (although the "S" meter won't move as much). This assumes your receiver has enough overall gain to deal with a weaker signal.

When you say the discone performed as well as other antennas on HF, do you mean in terms of readability or signal strength? It's hard to imagine that a discone in the basement would capture as much 7 MHz signal as a 1/2 wave dipole at 40 feet.

Art Harris N2AH

1238. Re: FS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 15:33:14 UTC
From: Tom <tphvid@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pj1r4ucq84j48ocftpcs512osd03oo0fbk@4ax.com>
Source record: 53507

HTX-202 has sold

On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 13:39:30 GMT, Tom <tphvid@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I have the following for sale, as I am shutting down most of the
>shack:
>
>I am in the Boston area and would prefer to do local transactions.
>However, will ship with the expectation that the buyer pays shipping
>charges. Should have original boxes for all except the R-1000:
>
>Email with questions if interested, and I will send pics with details.
>Cash or cashiers checks only, all prices firm! If I wanted to haggle,
>I would go to eBay!!
>
>
>Item Price Cosmetic Operational
>---------------------- ------- ---------- -----------------
>Radio Shack PRO-2066 $110.00 Excellent YES (hardly used)
>
>Icom IC-R7100 $750.00 Very Good YES (stock, no gaps)
>
>Icom IC-R71A $400.00 Excellent YES PBT Model (enhanced
> filters) w/Service and
> performance Manual
>
>Radio Shack HTX-202 $100.00 Excellent YES (hardly used, less
> than 1 hour of air time
> (transmit)
>
>Kenwood R-1000 $175.00 Good YES No manual

1239. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 15:34:19 UTC
From: "T.L.Wilson" <k9xyz@kiva.net>
Message-ID: <a2k0ra$kb5$1@topsy.kiva.net>
Source record: 53462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I think your a Freakin nut and should be charged with more than the scanner violation!!!!!.
"Delayed Thinking" <delay@delaythinking.com> wrote in message news:SxO28.2198$3u1.28311@rwcrnsc54...
> Since my wife and I lost our baby last year, we have both been at a
> tremendous loss. We sometimes stare at the children at the mall Anyway, I
> happened upon the frequency of our neighbor's baby monitor 2 months ago, and
> my wife and I have cried ourselves to sleep several times listening to the
> little baby go coo coo coo.
>
> I never repeated what the baby said becuase that would be illegal, but I did
> find myself underneath the neighbor's window late one night with my scanner.
> It seems that the neighbor lady heard someone in the backyard and called the
> police. I have since paid for the damage to the fence (this fact was never
> reported in the local news), and yes I did appologize to my neighbor if only
> through a letter I wrote from my cell.
>
> What I need help with is that I am being charged with:
> IC 35-44-3-12 Sec. 12. (a) A person who knowingly or intentionally:
>
> (1) possesses a police radio;
>
> (2) transmits over a frequency assigned for police emergency
>
> (3) possesses or uses a police radio:
>
> (A) while committing a crime;
>
> I don't believe I committed a crime because i never disclosed what the baby
> said. Therefore I did not break the scanner law.
>
> My wife is in tears, and my only concern is for her. What can i tell her?
>
>
>

1240. WTB: NYPD Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 15:48:22 UTC
From: Delayed Thinking <delay@delaythinking.com>
Message-ID: <aRf38.11104$Qs4.30371@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 53508
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am a collector. I'm looking for any NYPD Radios that may have become extras recently.

1241. Re: RAIL: American Assoc. of Railroads/Norfolk Southern UHF system FCC licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 15:59:56 UTC
From: Tim Kynerd <tim@tram.nu>
Message-ID: <slrna4qpm8.cc.tim@linux.local>
Source record: 53509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.transport.rail.americas

In article <bd154db5.0201211255.4dbd971e@posting.google.com>, eflorack wrote:
> randee <randee@zianet.com> wrote in message news:<3C4A5B17.A88E27F0@zianet.com>...
>> And the reason the coffee was so hot was that McD was using a steam
>> process to brew the beans, rather than hot water. By using steam at
>> high temperatures they could get by with a much cheaper grade of beans.
>>
>> A point that only true coffee fanatics might have caught. Coffee is
>> normally brewed with water at about 180-190, but the brewing process
>> itself cools the coffee down so that by the time you get it in the cup
>> it is more like 140-150. McD has since apparently gone to a water
>> brewing process. Some coffee site or other had all the details.
>
>
> Bordering on the OT, but you're right; I remember discussing that at
> the time, and considering that the difference in the brewing process
> wouldn't have made all that much difference on the severity of the
> burns she got. I'm sorry she got burned but were her hands so numb
> that she didn't notice the cup was a bit warm?

Possibly; as you probably know, she was elderly, and may have had one or
more health problems (diabetes, for instance) that caused reduced blood flow
in the extremities. That might have prevented her from realizing how hot
the coffee was.

Or the mug it was served in might have insulated her hands sufficiently that
she didn't realize how hot it was.

Either way, I'd be surprised if McDonald's didn't raise this defense at
trial. Presumably it got shot down.

--
Tim Kynerd Sundbyberg (smï¿½stan i storstan), Sweden tim@tram.nu
Sunrise in Stockholm today: 8:25
Sunset in Stockholm today: 15:31
My rail transit photos at http://www.kynerd.nu

1242. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 16:37:12 UTC
From: crtech@hot.XXX.rr.com
Message-ID: <3c4d94f9.87374843@news-server.hot.rr.com>
Source record: 53510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Actually to add to this DPS has had radar detector detector for quite some time. At least a year or so.

1243. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 16:49:55 UTC
From: Arthur Shulman <dentist@nornet.on.ca>
Message-ID: <3C4D9833.D53162E3@nornet.on.ca>
Source record: 53511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And to add to this further, Ontario has had the same for about 12 years.
Also, detectors illegal here. So what's new?

Arthur Shulman

Gus wrote:

> Actually to add to this DPS has had radar detector detector for quite
> some time. At least a year or so.

1244. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 17:10:33 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020122121033.15393.00006653@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 53512

>I don't have to at this point, since radar detectors are still OK in
>private vehicles in TX. I do think it's amusing , though, that a
>perfectly legal 24GHz rig in a car could cause false positives on
>their fancy new toys.
>
>Depending on what the actual LO frequency they're looking for is, hams
>could easily - and unintentionally - overload these things several
>miles away.
>
>
>
>Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
>kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

But how many hams are on 24GHz, let alone MOBILE? I could probably count them
on one hand.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1245. Re: Re: Program Invert.exe (Cordless Scrambling Decode)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 17:31:22 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <8d8r4uknao06emuf6057mce0f2vkr543dh@4ax.com>
Source record: 53513

thanks much for the tip.

On Mon, 21 Jan 2002 01:48:50 -0600, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:

>If you have the group, alt.binaries.radio-scanner, you can get invert2.exe
>there...
>
>Power House
>
>"X-Eliminator" <blalbla@aohell.com> wrote in message
>news:fbul4ugfp3ikln6cj2eff7vptpe4sq8oj9@4ax.com...
>> Can anyone tell me where I can download the latest version of
>> invert.exe (OR please upload it so I can grab it) ?
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 19 Jan 2002 14:40:04 GMT, David M Schertzer
>> <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote:
>>
>> >PC HD suffered a major crash....Where was it? It was emailed by a guy
>> >in AUS if ur reading this plse resend..thanks
>>
>

1246. Re: Long Island NOAA WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 17:52:04 UTC
From: n2ah@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ce47897e.0201220952.67616950@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53514

I asked NOAA about their weak 162.475 MHz signal (via the Guestbook on their website). Today (1/22/02), I got following response in an e-mail:

"The Riverhead transmitter had problems and is now completely off the air. We hope it will be fixed today. It depends on what parts are needed, etc.

Thank you for your e-mail and listening to NWR!!"

So hopefully, things will improve.

Yes, their operation center is at BNL at Upton. But the transmitter is in Riverhead or Manorville.

Art Harris

gig@i-2000.com (GaijinGig) wrote in message news:<3c4b9615.2250185@news-server.optonline.net>...
> Yes i have.. i'm not sure where they broadcast from... i was told that
> they broadcast from brookhaven nat lab.. but i'm not sure about
> that... but the signal ain't what it usta be...!!! neither is 162.40
> mhz.. and about the voices? it's till that same computer generated
> voice....

1247. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 18:31:07 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C4DAFEA.F98BA65B@home.com>
Source record: 53515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You have got to be shitting us. You dumb fuck. If I catch out outside of my window you will be eating high speed lead. Go away troll.

1248. Re: BC-560XLT Keypad Functions Help Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 18:55:30 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C4DB5A2.5ACDD59E@USA.net>
Source record: 53516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No Walt this 560 doesn't have an internal battery. I checked for a 9v and lithium battery back up but none. The only thing it could have is a cap that retains memory. I'm just not sure what cap it is.

Any ideas?

Walt Bertram wrote:

> Does it have an internal battery that needs replaced? Older scanners
> used a battery to retain the info in a CMOS memory.
>
> jr127@USA.net wrote:
> >
> > A 16 channel is worth worth working on if one can't afford a new scanner.
> >
> > That why I ask the BC-560XLT question originally and no one seems to know the
> > answer.
> >
> > "Question" was: How do I retain the memory after power is removed. My 560
> > began losing it's memory last week when I turn off the key in my vehicle.
> > Any ideas?
> >
> > Gutt Brothers Towing wrote:
> >
> > > Thats my next move, to get in there and eyeball it just to see what I
> > > can see. (Tarnnish, corrosion, ect.)
> > >
> > > As for re-setting the cpu I don't have a clue how to ?
> > >
> > > And for the person (W.F.) who said 16 channels isn't worth working on
> > > I guess it's the same as the old saying about the eye of the beholder.
> > > One scanner I own is a RS PRO-2004 that has 300 channels available
> > > and I bet that I keep less than a dozen of them filled. So. . . ?
> > > >
> > > > >

1249. 434 MHz Wireless Motion Detectors (LOT of 4)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 20:47:59 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3ek38.3953$ag5.330125@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Brand new in the box, emits "ringing signal" on wide FM (20KHz) when motion is detected. App notes also detail the addition of an external relay. 3 lots of 4 units

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1323047988

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1323048694

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1323049030

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewListedItems&userid=rafman&include=0&since=-1&sort=2&rows=25

1250. NEW 14 Channel FRS Base Units With VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 20:48:01 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5ek38.3954$ag5.330125@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Great for the home, room monitor or scanner audio relay with VOX:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1323051892

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1323052322

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewListedItems&us
erid=rafman&include=0&since=-1&sort=2&rows=25

1251. New Pro 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 20:56:00 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <Alk38.26014$zX1.14921148@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53519
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I finally retired my Pro 2026 and replaced it with a Pro 2067, are there any good resources on the web to get the most out of this
unit? I have a 2 way PC data cable on order and have been playing with the Win92 software.
TIA

--

_____

1252. Re: Ideas For Mounting A Discone Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 22:03:52 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C4DE1C7.AEDE270F@optonline.net>
Source record: 53521

At HF, I was referring to readability. The received atmospheric noise
levels and signals are 10 or more dB above the receiver noise level.

At VHF, UHF, and 800 MHz, I was referring to signal strength.

Walt

Art Harris wrote:
>
> Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<3C4CCEBA.122A1BEB@optonline.net>...
> > I have my discone hanging from the basement ceiling joists! I hung it
> > there when we moved, and wanted to keep it out of harm's way.
> >
> > One day, having nothing better to do, I connected it to a radio. To my
> > surprise, it worked. I can use it to receive from medium wave (500 kHz)
> > to 800 MHz. And, it works as well as many other, more normally
> > situated, antennas I have tried. I can receive MW, 7, 9, 11,12, 17 &
> > MHz shortwave, VHF lo and hi, UHF, and 800MHz.
> >
>
> This is interesting, Walt. On HF, the signal-to-noise ratio you hear
> in your headphones usually depends more on atmospheric noise than
> receiver-generated noise. Since a mediocre antenna picks up less
> signal AND less atmospheric noise, you still get a good readable
> signal (although the "S" meter won't move as much). This assumes your
> receiver has enough overall gain to deal with a weaker signal.
>
> When you say the discone performed as well as other antennas on HF, do
> you mean in terms of readability or signal strength? It's hard to
> imagine that a discone in the basement would capture as much 7 MHz
> signal as a 1/2 wave dipole at 40 feet.
>
> Art Harris N2AH

1253. Re: Winscan 2.0/1 crack...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 22:45:34 UTC
From: AirborneRanger <toml1511@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iYl38.39591$yy3.7505403@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53522
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No problem. Thanks anyway.

--
AB

"All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do
nothing." -- Edmund Burke, British philosopher

"John" <fltsatcom@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c4bc5bd_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> only trying to help, sorry
> "AirborneRanger" <toml1511@woh.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:E2z28.30497$yy3.5644272@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > Yes and no....bad link to the zip file.
> >
> > --
> > AB
> >
> > "All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do
> > nothing." -- Edmund Burke, British philosopher
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1254. Re: LOJACK freqs. needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 22:46:38 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iZI38.4220$ag5.357285@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53523

173.075 - short data bursts. No, it is just a numeric identifier anyway.

> Just wondering if anyone knows the freqs that Lojack uses.
> Also is there a interface that one can use to decode what
> is going on with Lojack when it is transmitting? Just wondering.

1255. 800MHZ cordless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-22 23:23:14 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <swm38.610$7Y5.202541@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53524

Were there any 800MHz cordless phones made? I can't remember if there were.

--
Thanks,

Rob T

(___\===O===/___)
http://www.robtaylor.cjb.net/

1256. Re: New Pro 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 00:34:48 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <cq0s4ukkrb41dj3nmk7e3oqglh7f24fitj@4ax.com>
Source record: 53529
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 22 Jan 2002 20:56:00 GMT, "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
wrote:

>I finally retired my Pro 2026 and replaced it with a Pro 2067, are there any good
resources on the web to get the most out of this
>unit? I have a 2 way PC data cable on order and have been playing with the Win92 software.

www.pro-92.com (include the hyphen) That's the handheld twin to the
2067. Lots of technical info, archived discussion and spg files.

There is also a pro92 (no hyphen) Yahoo group. A lot of the same
folks hang out both places.

Ken Plotkin

1257. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 00:37:41 UTC
From: crtech@hot.XXX.rr.com
Message-ID: <3c4e05bb.116244729@news-server.hot.rr.com>
Source record: 53526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 22 Jan 2002 11:49:55 -0500, Arthur Shulman
<dentist@nornet.on.ca> wrote:

>So what's new?

Not a whole lot new here. Just found a source for some transceiver
crystals.

1258. Re: Long Island NOAA WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 00:44:42 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <_Hn38.51790$Wu1.8154696@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53527

The signal is back to normal as of this evening.

Art

"Art Harris" <n2ah@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ce47897e.0201220952.67616950@posting.google.com...
> I asked NOAA about their weak 162.475 MHz signal (via the Guestbook on
> their website). Today (1/22/02), I got following response in an
> e-mail:
>
> "The Riverhead transmitter had problems and is now completely off the
> air. We hope it will be fixed today. It depends on what parts are
> needed, etc.
>
> Thank you for your e-mail and listening to NWR!!"
>
> So hopefully, things will improve.
>
> Yes, their operation center is at BNL at Upton. But the transmitter is
> in Riverhead or Manorville.
>
> Art Harris
>
>
> gig@i-2000.com (GaijinGig) wrote in message
news:<3c4b9615.2250185@news-server.optonline.net>...
> > Yes i have.. i'm not sure where they broadcast from... i was told that
> > they broadcast from brookhaven nat lab.. but i'm not sure about
> > that... but the signal ain't what it usta be...!!! neither is 162.40
> > mhz.. and about the voices? it's till that same computer generated
> > voice....

1259. Re: 800MHZ cordless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 00:48:08 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3C4E0848.73EEA23A@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 53525

Rob Taylor wrote:

> Were there any 800MHz cordless phones made? I can't remember if there were.
>
> --
> Thanks,
>
> Rob T
>
> (___\===O===/___)
> http://www.robtaylor.cjb.net/

No.. 43mhz 46mhz 900mhz 2.4ghz

John Medica - Listening-Post
Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

1260. Re: New Pro 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 01:27:10 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Ojo38.9403$At4.9392@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try www.pro-92.com There you will also find links to subscribe to the Yahoo groups for the Pro92 and Pro2067. I hope this is useful.

David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message news:Alk38.26014$zX1.14921148@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> I finally retired my Pro 2026 and replaced it with a Pro 2067, are there any good resources on the web to get the most out of this
> unit? I have a 2 way PC data cable on order and have been playing with the Win92 software.
> TIA
>
> --
>
>
> _____
>
>

1261. DDI ? any good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 01:31:12 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@unix01.voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <Ano38.3$F75.15352@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 53531

theres a DDI on ebay and was sondering would this open up cellular range
on my scanner or is it for somethin else

1262. Re: 800MHZ cordless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 02:30:59 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wgp38.257$DT5.136321@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53532

Cause I'm hearing the same 2 people all the time in the 800MHz range on my 93. I'm pretty sure it's not a cellular image. Yes, I know I can't get cell phones, blah blah blah, I know all that. But I can't figure out who these people are. One side is usually clear, the other side is scrambled. They talk about stupid stuff.

"John Medica - Listening-Post" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message news:3C4E0848.73EEA23A@cyberspace.org...
> Rob Taylor wrote:
>
> > Were there any 800MHz cordless phones made? I can't remember if there were.
> >
> > --
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Rob T
> >
> > (___\===O===/___)
> > http://www.robtaylor.cjb.net/
>
> No.. 43mhz 46mhz 900mhz 2.4ghz
>
> John Medica - Listening-Post
> Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
> http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg
>
>
>

1263. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 02:43:44 UTC
From: John Walker <Walker630@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Xns919ED1FD7CD38Walker630attbicom@204.127.68.17>
Source record: 53533
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

jr127@USA.net wrote in news:3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net:

> Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
> not descramble the data.
>
> Any idea how to do this?
>
>

I could not find that group, do you have a link ?

thanks

1264. Re: 800MHZ cordless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 02:51:07 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <vyp38.17682$V_4.740876@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53534

blah blah blah. you wouldn't say that if you understood imaging. if you are hearing one side scrambled and the other side normal. I have had that on one of those types of 2.4 gig phones where one side tx or rx on 900 and the other on 2.4. but could be other things. could be a cell. could be a image from a very close transmitter. say a 900 Mhz cordless tx'ing close by and receiving a image on 800. still sounds like a image no matter what. a cell always has a high pitched tone squelch on it.

Dev

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:wgp38.257$DT5.136321@news.uswest.net...
> Cause I'm hearing the same 2 people all the time in the 800MHz range on my
> 93. I'm pretty sure it's not a cellular image. Yes, I know I can't get
cell
> phones, blah blah blah, I know all that. But I can't figure out who these
> people are. One side is usually clear, the other side is scrambled. They
> talk about stupid stuff.
>
>
> "John Medica - Listening-Post" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message
> news:3C4E0848.73EEA23A@cyberspace.org...
> > Rob Taylor wrote:
> >
> > > Were there any 800MHz cordless phones made? I can't remember if there
> were.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Rob T
> > >
> > > (___\===O===/___)
> > > http://www.robtaylor.cjb.net/
> >
> > No.. 43mhz 46mhz 900mhz 2.4ghz
> >
> > John Medica - Listening-Post
> > Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
> > http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1265. Newbie & BC120XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 03:45:48 UTC
From: geraldjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020122224548.13154.00002598@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 53535

Hey Guys,

Want to join the scanner world and have been eavesdropping for a few days. With five kids my budget is a bit low. I live in rural Mississippi and only have local police, fire, sherrif's Dept, ambulance to listen in to and none of them use trunking systems. Would a BC120XLT be a fairly good low-end cost scanner to start with? Any suggestion would be welcomed.

Thanks

Gerald
GeraldJr@aol.com

1266. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 03:58:45 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Vxq38.45479$e4.12750943@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 53536

The best way for the police to detect a radar detector is with the switch. A cop with a radar gun off sits somewhere and sees a speeding motorist. When the car gets close he flips his radar on and the driver slams on the brakes when he hears his detector go off. That way the cop knows he has a detector. This was the way that the Alberta cops did it before they removed their laws. Nothing fancy or hi Tec. Just the stupidity of the owner.
The same is true for finding the scanner owners. The cops just look at who comes to rubber neck and gawk every time something goes down. It doesn't take long them to figure out who has the radios.
Also scanner nuts love to open their big mouths as to what is going on, (just look at some of the posts in this NG). I fell into this category about 12 years ago. I was listening to the local RCMP and I heard them talking about a guy I knew, we will call him ED. Ed was being arrested for drugs and was to be detained. The next day I was talking with one of his bosses and I told him that Ed was arrested for drugs. The boss asked me how I knew? I told him that I heard this on my scanner. This got Ed fired. The boss even told Ed that I was the one that heard it on the scanner. I was pulled over a few days latter and my car was searched by the police. When I asked what they were looking for they said, "your scanner". I was told that if they found it I would loose it and I started to quote law to them about my legal rights. I seemed to miss the law about repeating what I had heard and confidentially but the officer refreshed my memory very quickly.
Having a scanner or police receiver for more then 20 years I can tell you that, "chasing police cars" and repeating what you hear are the two best ways to lose your toy and face possible obstruction charges not a scanner detector but your own stupidity.

"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
> illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.
>
> It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they will
> seize the detector and give you a fine.
>
> --
> Brad.
> FeeD_BaK
>
> "Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
> news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> | first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of other
> | things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of

```
them
> | being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here in
> | Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces
that
> | have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other
> ones.
> | It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia,
> | Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
> |
> | Dev
> |
> | FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> | news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> | > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a
> | > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I
> | > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
> | >
> | > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that
is
> | > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
> | >
> | > --
> | > Brad.
> | > FeeD_BaK
> | > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
> | > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> | > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I
own
> | > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth
to
> | > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
> | > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
> | > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
> | > | Is this all hogwash or what?
> | > |
> | >
> | >
> |
> |
>
>
```

1267. Re: Newbie & BC120XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 04:09:27 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <XHq38.48258$B61.16193845@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 53537

Yea, a 120XLT wouldn't be a bad choice if the price is right...and IF
there's nobody you're interested in that's going to 800-band any time soon.
(Not everything on 800 is trunked).

Don't pay a whole lot over $100/$150 for it though. Uniden.com carries
refurbished 120s for just over $100, when they have em in stock.

What county/city do you live in? You can email me offlist if you like

harry
marnells@snowcrest.net

"Gerald Jr" <geraldjr@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020122224548.13154.00002598@mb-cs.aol.com...
> Hey Guys,
>
> Want to join the scanner world and have been eavesdropping for a few days.
> With five kids my budget is a bit low. I live in rural Mississippi and
only
> have local police, fire, sherrif's Dept, ambulance to listen in to and
none of
> them use trunking systems. Would a BC120XLT be a fairly good low-end cost
> scanner to start with? Any suggestion would be welcomed.
>
> Thanks
>
> Gerald
> GeraldJr@aol.com

1268. Re: Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 04:15:50 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <WNq38.45485$e4.12760520@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 53538

The best way for the police to detect a radar detector is with the switch. A cop with a radar gun off sits somewhere and sees a speeding motorist. When the car gets close he flips his radar on and the driver slams on the brakes when he hears his detector go off. That way the cop knows he has a detector. This was the way that the Alberta cops did it before they removed their laws. Nothing fancy or hi Tec. Just the stupidity of the owner.
The same is true for finding the scanner owners. The cops just look at who comes to rubber neck and gawk every time something goes down. It doesn't take long them to figure out who has the radios.
Also scanner nuts love to open their big mouths as to what is going on, (just look at some of the posts in this NG). I fell into this category about 12 years ago. I was listening to the local RCMP and I heard them talking about a guy I knew, we will call him ED. Ed was being arrested for drugs and was to be detained. The next day I was talking with one of his bosses and I told him that Ed was arrested for drugs. The boss asked me how I knew? I told him that I heard this on my scanner. This got Ed fired. The boss even told Ed that I was the one that heard it on the scanner. I was pulled over a few days latter and my car was searched by the police. When I asked what they were looking for they said, "your scanner". I was told that if they found it I would loose it and I started to quote law to them about my legal rights. I seemed to miss the law about repeating what I had heard and confidentially but the officer refreshed my memory very quickly.
Having a scanner or police receiver for more then 20 years I can tell you that, "chasing police cars" and repeating what you hear are the two best ways to lose your toy and face possible obstruction charges not a scanner detector but your own stupidity.

"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
> illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.
>
> It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they will
> seize the detector and give you a fine.
>
> --
> Brad.
> FeeD_BaK
>
> "Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
> news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> | first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of other
> | things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of

```
them
> | being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here in
> | Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces
that
> | have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other
> ones.
> | It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia,
> | Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
> |
> | Dev
> |
> | FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> | news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> | > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since a
> | > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with, I
> | > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
> | >
> | > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but that
is
> | > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
> | >
> | > --
> | > Brad.
> | > FeeD_BaK
> | > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
> | > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> | > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I
own
> | > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth
to
> | > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
> | > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
> | > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
> | > | Is this all hogwash or what?
> | > |
> | >
> | >
> |
> |
>
>

--
a
```

1269. Re: Motorola Astro Portable 15-Ch.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 04:34:21 UTC
From: nsimps2001 <nsimpso2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h3r38.5344$Fh4.439295@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53539
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

"Eddie Haskell" <Wiseguy@Cleaver.com> wrote in message
news:Nl%28.117333$WK1.30966411@typhoon.we.rr.com...
> Be advised...the model number (if correct) indicates (H) handheld (0)
> RECEIVE ONLY (4) UHF......this is probably a receiver and NOT a
> transceiver....Eddie

Model# might not be a gauge. I've note'd as Motorola
and other OEMs prices have gone thru the roof, the aftermarket
comm shops will buy new stripped down radios or used lots
at auction, then outsource or retrofit them selves with
unit options. Like if you bought a base model 5.0L Ford
Mustang and put a couple grand in aftermarket performance
equipment in later. Came across a lot of twenty Motorola
HT's at a industrial liquidation sale in Dec. Got them back
to the shop an they all had full option pkg retro-installed
aftermarket. a nice suprise. The 'box-o-chocolates
rule' sometimes applies ?

1270. Re: Newbie & BC120XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 06:49:10 UTC
From: rbecker@rushmore.com
Message-ID: <f6e636f6.0201222249.701bc843@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53540

geraldjr@aol.com (Gerald Jr) wrote in message
news:<20020122224548.13154.00002598@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> Hey Guys,
>
> Want to join the scanner world and have been eavesdropping for a few days.
> With five kids my budget is a bit low. I live in rural Mississippi and only
> have local police, fire, sherrif's Dept, ambulance to listen in to and none of
> them use trunking systems. Would a BC120XLT be a fairly good low-end cost
> scanner to start with? Any suggestion would be welcomed.
>
> Thanks
>
> Gerald
> GeraldJr@aol.com

As long as you don't have any trunked systems to follow, the BC120
should be a nice little scanner for you to start out with. I have
always been very happy with the Uniden scanners that I have owned.

Ryan

1271. EMI/RFI Shielding Paint question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 07:08:08 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <mcsebrad@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u4soaud74ceu4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53541
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My PRO2006 VHF/UHF receiver is getting pounded by 930Mhz Paging, causing interference even into the VHF range. This major annoyance occurs with no antenna connected to the receiver.

I plan on looking at improving the grounding for the rig - but could anyone relate their experience using something like the SuperShield spray http://www.lessemf.com/paint.html , to reduce interference from hi-powered UHF transmitters? I figure that it can only help, as the top and bottom of this receiver is only covered by plastic.

After I get this taken care of, I'll either need a low bandpass filter to allow <900Mhz thru, or a notch filter for ~930Mhz. If anyone has good ideas on this - pls let me know. No need for TX capability, just RX.

tnx

--
Brad Steinman N8ZRP
mcsebrad@hotmail.com

1272. FOR SALE: AR5000 Receiver with SDU5000 display unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 07:19:00 UTC
From: jdooley@novatics.com
Message-ID: <3c4e6390.110347002@news-server.stny.rr.com>
Source record: 53542

Hi All,

I have just placed an AR5000 communications receiver with SDU5000
spectrum display unit up for auction on ebay. If anyone is
interested, please follow the link below:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1326191068

Many Thanks

1273. Re: Icom R2 Just A Toy? - Why so Cheap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 09:25:03 UTC
From: Brian <res05h0x@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Ojv38.182$NW3.243242@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net>
Source record: 53543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Bill, I agree with - I guess - everyone else here - the R2 is definately NOT a toy. What nobody has addressed, though, is *why* it's so cheap!

What Icom did with this tiny babe is make the few controls multi-functional - there is only ONE dial, for instance, that serves a LOT of different functions, depending on what "mode" you're in. It is also sensitive to how fast you turn it: in some modes, turning it faster actually makes the numbers go faster. I really like that!

It's a great little radio - excellent quality, sensitivity, etc.

Brian

"Bill" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message news:89kT7.15133$ED6.2311362@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Is the Icom R2 a real radio or more of a toy? I guess the price ($130) makes
> me wonder.
>
> Bill
>
>
>

1274. Re: Radio Shack DX-394 question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 10:59:13 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a2m4t5$d41$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53544
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Do a search on DX-394 mods

Gil

R.Smith <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:3C4F24E5.5050906@dccnet.com...
> Hi there,
> Can anyone tell me...I heard that there were two models of this radio a
> A nad a B model the B being newer?..
> What is the differance between thses two?..
> I have just bought the B model and was wondering what modifications are
> recommended for the rig?..I have heard that there are a few.
> Any info would help!
> Thanks in advance
> -Russ
>

1275. Re: NEW 14 Channel FRS Base Units With VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 13:17:48 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u4s3r7lcd37cc0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yea, those are fun to play with. Find you neighbor with one of those and
reek havoc with two-way radio
"Rafman" <rafman2u@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5ek38.3954$ag5.330125@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Great for the home, room monitor or scanner audio relay with VOX:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1323051892
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1323052322
>
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewListedItems&us
> erid=rafman&include=0&since=-1&sort=2&rows=25
>
>

1276. Re: WINRADIO X31B ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 13:28:22 UTC
From: befeleme@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <3c4eb894.16509355@news-server>
Source record: 53545

On Tue, 22 Jan 2002 14:59:50 +1100, "Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
wrote:

>What frequencies are you using it for?

Mainly 400-480 MHz and 800-900 MHz. And sometimes FM radio
(not specified to work under 200 MHz, but it does).

>Is it very directional?

Yes, which is good because it has a good directional gain.
But you can still search for signals even if the antenna is not
exactly pointing at them. So, if I find a noisy signal, I just rotate
the antenna for the best reception.

One thing you have to be careful about, if you are going to use a
power adapter instead of the battery, use a quiet one. The first one I
tried (a switching type) was too noisy. Just a cheap *linear* adapter
is the best.

Frank

>
>"Frank Greene" <befeleme@hushmail.com> wrote in message
>news:3c4c0e4f.15766508@news-server...
>> On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 23:05:13 +1100, "Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Is that the flat one about the size of an A4 page with a pre-amp fitted??
>> >
>> >
>> >"Nichols" <nichols@hawii.rr.com> wrote in message
>> >news:_pw28.267$Ib.279174@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
>> >> Anybody has any feedback (pos/neg) on the performance of the WINRADIO
>X31B
>> >> antenna?
>> >>
>> >> Thanks
>> >>
>> >>
>>
>> Yes, I have one. I was originally sceptical, but I was pleasantly
>> surprised. It actually works very well. And doubles up as a good
>> indoor TV antenna.
>>
>> The only problem is that the battery does not last very long (although

>> I suspect that the bright red LED consumes most of the current). So I
>> have connected it to a 9V AC/DC adaptor. It's on all the time and I
>> don't have to worry about it.
>>
>> Frank
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1277. Re: Shortwave aircraft frequency monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 13:47:26 UTC
From: "Michael J. White" <mwhite@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C4EBEEE.DCDE774@mitre.org>
Source record: 53546

> Lately, I've heard a station that identifies as "San Francisco" on 5.547
> Mhz (USB) that is particularly active at night. They seem to assist with pro-
> viding or confirming position for commercial jet aircraft...

By international treaty, the US FAA provides air traffic control services over most of the Pacific; this is handled by Oakland and Honolulu air route traffic control centers (the US also controls part of the western Atlantic from New York center). Communications is via HF radio (ARINC operates the actual transmitter sites), and as there is no radar coverage over the ocean, surveillance is by voice position reports based on the aircraft's own navigation; that's what you're hearing. As the flight nears the coast and comes within VHF range, the oceanic controllers hand them off to domestic sectors for landing sequencing.

Here are the Pacific ATC frequencies (see http://www.microvoltradio.com/high_frequency.htm for details and more frequencies):

Central East Pacific One

3413 3452 5574 6673 8843 10057 13354

Central East Pacific Two

2869 5547 11282 13288

South Pacific

3467 5643 8867 13261 17904

North Pacific

2932 5628 5667 6655 8915 8951
10048 11330 13273 13339 17946 21925

Airline "company radio" frequencies used for internal "housekeeping" communications are:

3013 San Francisco
3494 New York
6640 San Francisco, New
York
8933 New York
11342 San Francisco, New York
13330 New York
13348 San Francisco
17925 San Francisco, New York
21964 San Francisco

Best of luck.

Mike

1278. Please help this Begineer w/ question and to choose:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 15:04:31 UTC
From: ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com
Message-ID: <2d2bbca8.0201230704.4c864f69@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53447

Hello. Im totally new to scanning and really want to get into the hobby. After looking from many alternatives, im stuck with two models: The UNIDEN BC-245XLT and the RADIO SHACK PRO-93.

Would you please help me with this questions:

1. Wich of those two models gives you more bands or frequencies to explore?

2. I live in Costa Rica, Central America. In my country there ISNT a guide book with frequencies listings as you have in the US and other countries, so I will have to search for myself all the frequencies that I could caught. Can you do this kind of EXPLORATION (that is, without not even a trace or data in no book) with these two models? Wich of the two models is better or allow for better and easy EXPLORATION when you dont have any kind of information and are ON YOUR OWN?

3. Wich of those two models give a better and louder sound?

4. Wich of those two models has better reception and sensitivity?

5. Wich of those two models are more user friendly and more intuitive?

6. Wich of those two models gives you more bang for the buck and is easier to use?

7. Is there a thing I can do with a model that I can do with the other once and viceversa?

I KNOW Im asking for to much, but I can afford the luxury of buying both, I just
want the model that will give me more fun and possibilities, both in conventional and trunking modes.

THANK YOU MUCH!

1279. Re: FOR SALE: AR5000 Receiver with SDU5000 display unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 16:44:04 UTC
From: Stockman Lieven <lieven.stockman@pandora.be>
Message-ID: <oLB38.90105$rt4.6703@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 53449

hello

is sending to europe possible

grtz
lieven

"John Dooley" <jdooley@novatics.com> schreef in bericht
news:3c4e6390.110347002@news-server.stny.rr.com...
>
> Hi All,
>
> I have just placed an AR5000 communications receiver with SDU5000
> spectrum display unit up for auction on ebay. If anyone is
> interested, please follow the link below:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1326191068
>
> Many Thanks

1280. Re: 800MHZ cordless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 17:02:06 UTC
From: Keith <user@spam.eat>
Message-ID: <h0C38.494$5B4.626@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53450

Rob Taylor wrote:

>Cause I'm hearing the same 2 people all the time in the 800MHz range on my
>93.

You are probably getting a image of another frequency.

--
Best Regards,

Keith
==========
My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
==========

1281. Re: EMI/RFI Shielding Paint question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 17:02:14 UTC
From: Keith <user@spam.eat>
Message-ID: <q0C38.166$T2.323@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 53451
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Brad Steinman wrote:

>I plan on looking at improving the grounding for the rig - but could anyone
>relate their experience using something like the SuperShield spray

You might as well as cover the radio with tin foil. No paint is going to
shield your radio from front-end overload.

--
Best Regards,

Keith
==========
My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
==========

1282. Re: EMI/RFI Shielding Paint question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 17:18:35 UTC
From: Rob <1no-spam@kscable.com>
Message-ID: <yeC38.1$Q9.5317@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 53452
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

We have the same problem in our area. There was a broad spectrum noise which was tripping our repeater. I went on a hunt and located the area from which the noise was coming from and reported back to the HAM club my findings. The club then rounded up a gentleman with some detection equipment and he pinpointed a pager tower 12 miles from the repeater which was causing the interference.

After locating the precise cause, the HAM club president contacted the pager company and they in turn checked their equipment to find a bad filter. They now have one on order and are fixing the problem.

The burden lies with whoever is causing the interference on the HAM spectrum. They recognize this responsibility and are reacting. If you have a good idea where it's oming from it would benefit you to contact them and diplomatically discuss the matter and request reconcilitation of the issue.

Rob
KCLPX

"Brad Steinman" <mcsebrad@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u4soaud74ceu4b@corp.supernews.com...
> My PRO2006 VHF/UHF receiver is getting pounded by 930Mhz Paging, causing
> interference even into the VHF range. This major annoyance occurs with no
> antenna connected to the receiver.
>
> I plan on looking at improving the grounding for the rig - but could
anyone
> relate their experience using something like the SuperShield spray
> http://www.lessemf.com/paint.html , to reduce interference from hi-powered
> UHF transmitters? I figure that it can only help, as the top and bottom
of
> this receiver is only covered by plastic.
>
> After I get this taken care of, I'll either need a low bandpass filter to
> allow <900Mhz thru, or a notch filter for ~930Mhz. If anyone has good
ideas
> on this - pls let me know. No need for TX capability, just RX.
>
> tnx
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> mcsebrad@hotmail.com
>

>
>

1283. ? fpr BC780XLT owners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 17:53:17 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <hMC38.26264$zX1.15123687@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53453

I just bought a Pro 2067 which I am returning to RS tomorrow because there is no way to have the unit start scanning automatically
when it is powered on (what the hell were they thinking here? I've owned probably 10-15 different scanners and this is a first),
this is a feature I must have. I have a question about the BC780.
1) I know it starts scanning when turned on (so there's one problem solved), my other must have is the priority channel, I just got
rid of a Pro 2026 that would not retain the priority on setting, every time I turned it on I had to hit the priority button. Does
the 780 retain the priority setting when it's turned off, then on again?
Thanks for your time.

--

1284. Re: From a former Trucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 18:09:43 UTC
From: dj <drfsun@wessnett.com>
Message-ID: <80D38.29$ro6.57242@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

LEARN HOW TO SNIP?!?!?!?!

LOLOLOLOLOLHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
LOLHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAH

Sheesh...'learn how to snip" !

learn!!!
how
to
snip

"Jim Weir" <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote in message
news:poi52u4609q2ksgj7irkvpe0e7jr72mqes@4ax.com...
> SDSpecialDeliveryJones@stalag13.com (SD SpecialDelivery Jones)
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> ->veep.....my ,my....i am impressed........really......also on the
> ->letters by your name thing......may i suggest the letters 'DH' be
> ->added....i feel it would be most appropriate.......
>
> I'm presuming that the above circumlocution means "no". I'd suggest some
> remedial education is in order.
>
> Learn how to snip, asshole.
>
> Jim
>
> Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
> A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup
>

1285. Re: NEW 14 Channel FRS Base Units With VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 18:28:03 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0201231028.6327a9cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Rafman?" <rafman2u@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<5ek38.3954$ag5.330125@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> Great for the home, room monitor or scanner audio relay with VOX:
>

Sec. 95.193 (FRS Rule 3) Types of communications.

(a) You may use an FRS unit to conduct two-way voice communications with another person. You may use the FRS unit to transmit one-way communications only to establish communications with another person, send an emergency message, provide traveler assistance, make a voice page, or to conduct a brief test.

Your idea of remote monitoring of a scanner is not allowed.

http://www.frs-usa.com

1286. Aor ar-8200 commuications receiver for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 18:43:31 UTC
From: KC6LSP@HOTMAIL.COM
Message-ID: <bcd54422.0201231043.4270d438@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53456

I am selling a AOR AR-8200 communications receiver.
It is in excellent condition (both electronically as well as in
appearance). I am the original owner of this radio, and the entire
package has very little use.
Included is all of the original packaging, manual, slow charger and
NiCad batteries for the radio price for the entire package is $350
plus shipping cost.

1287. Re: EMI/RFI Shielding Paint question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 18:51:28 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7e1u4usam5tp6ad3fg5f0mbql2dcji6qlf@4ax.com>
Source record: 53457
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 23 Jan 2002 02:08:08 -0500, "Brad Steinman"
<mcsebrad@hotmail.com> wrote:

>My PRO2006 VHF/UHF receiver is getting pounded by 930Mhz Paging, causing
>interference even into the VHF range. This major annoyance occurs with no
>antenna connected to the receiver.
>
>I plan on looking at improving the grounding for the rig - but could anyone
>relate their experience using something like the SuperShield spray
>http://www.lessemf.com/paint.html , to reduce interference from hi-powered
>UHF transmitters? I figure that it can only help, as the top and bottom of
>this receiver is only covered by plastic.
>
>After I get this taken care of, I'll either need a low bandpass filter to
>allow <900Mhz thru, or a notch filter for ~930Mhz. If anyone has good ideas
>on this - pls let me know. No need for TX capability, just RX.

Have you tried bypassing the power line and any speaker lines with a
ceramic capacitor or two? Don't use ferrites because they often don't
have any rejection capability at that frequency.

That might be easier than trying to shield the case...

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1288. Sony SW-55 TRADE/SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 19:35:33 UTC
From: Sorcerer <valair@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a2n3a4nus1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53458

I wish to sell or trade my Sony SW55, it is in immaculate condition and
works perfectly, I am asking $250.00 US + $18.00 shipping to the states
or ?180.00p + ?6.50 postage (by noon next day special delivery) within the
UK
Or I would like to trade for either a base 0-30MHz receiver or a good
scanner with SSB
NB..I am in the UK

The Sorcerer
remove Spam for reply

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 11/01/02

1289. Re: Icom R2 Just A Toy? - Why so Cheap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 20:51:06 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwk@nospamtesco.net>
Message-ID: <SnF38.9225$4i5.1178228@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 53459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Brian" <res05h0x@gte.net> wrote in message
news:Ojv38.182$NW3.243242@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net...
> Bill, I agree with - I guess - everyone else here - the R2 is definitely
NOT
> a toy. What nobody has addressed, though, is *why* it's so cheap!
<snip top post>
>
The strong pound has a lot to do with it, buys a lot of yen at the moment

Even better are Korean goods with the Korean dong against the pound so low
e.g. Samsong video recorders for 80quid, etc.

Steve Terry

1290. Radio Shack DX-394 question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 21:02:29 UTC
From: "R.Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C4F24E5.5050906@dccnet.com>
Source record: 53520
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi there,
Can anyone tell me...I heard that there were two models of this radio a
A nad a B model the B being newer?..
What is the differance between thses two?..
I have just bought the B model and was wondering what modifications are
recommended for the rig?..I have heard that there are a few.
Any info would help!
Thanks in advance
-Russ

1291. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 21:45:25 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <V9G38.26272$zX1.15151677@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What date was it posted?

--

_____
"John Walker" <Walker630@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Xns919ED1FD7CD38Walker630attbicom@204.127.68.17...
> jr127@USA.net wrote in news:3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net:
>
> > Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
> > not descramble the data.
> >
> > Any idea how to do this?
> >
> >
>
> I could not find that group, do you have a link ?
>
> thanks

1292. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 22:05:37 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C4F33E1.99F62EE0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 53461
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"David B." wrote:
>
> What date was it posted?
>
> --
>
> _____
> "John Walker" <Walker630@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Xns919ED1FD7CD38Walker630attbicom@204.127.68.17...
> > jr127@USA.net wrote in news:3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net:
> >
> > > Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
> > > not descramble the data.
> > >
> > > Any idea how to do this?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I could not find that group, do you have a link ?
> >
> > thanks

It was posted about 2 weeks ago. I managed to d/l the files and
burn them onto a cd. Contact me off list if you want a copy. :-)

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½

1293. About SENSITIVITY of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 22:26:24 UTC
From: ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com
Message-ID: <2d2bbca8.0201231426.7e8ec747@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53463

Hello. When I look for UNIDEN scanners sensitivity they say: e.g.

(at 12dB SINAD) : 29 TO 54 Mhz: 0.3 microvolts

When I look for the Radio Shack PRO-93 sensitivity their specs says:
e.g.

(at 20 dB S/N) : 25 TO 54 Mhz: 0.3 microvolts

Why the difference? Why one used SINAD at 12dB and the other 20 dB
S/N, what is the difference between SINAD and S/N?

Thank you very much.

P.S. Does someone knows the sensitivity rates of the Uniden bc-245xlt?

1294. Waters and Stanton "FBI -9" Watson Earpiece.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 22:33:59 UTC
From: dogmarty@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020123173359.13769.00000198@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 53464

Just wanted to share a great product that I found! I was looking for a 3.5 mm earpiece to wear with my Pro 90 scanner. I recently bought a FBI-9 Watson earpiece from a company called Waters and Stanton. (www.wsplc.com/products.html)

Their earpiece is great! Great audio! Comfortable. Has a coiled cord with a "relief" clip. The company is over-seas...but delivery was very, very prompt.

If in need...try this product.

1295. Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 22:48:29 UTC
From: paul@nospam.com
Message-ID: <aafu4uk5514crt9tqb9h2dqnm0btuhjj4k@4ax.com>
Source record: 53465

How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to emergency services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.

Thanks

1296. Re: About SENSITIVITY of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 23:00:09 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3C4F4079.DA7B238A@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 53466

The sinad method looks beter for advertising. For example a comercial FM manual gives both. SINAD .175, the 20 db method .25 uV
Another comercial receiver has this differance SINAD .35, the 20 db method .5 uV.

The SINAD is made by putting a signal into the receiver with a tone of 1000 hz. The 1000 hz tone is then nulled out so that you get a mesurment of the ammount of signal and distortion. The signal is then decreased to the sinad meter reads a value of 12 db. The 20 db method is just a carrier with no modulation and you start with no signal and set a audio voltmeter to a referance point on the noise. The carrier is raised tuil the noise voltage drops to 1/10 the voltage.

There is no direct conversion factor to get from teh sinad number to the 20 db number.

Faive wrote:
>
> Hello. When I look for UNIDEN scanners sensitivity they say: e.g.
>
> (at 12dB SINAD) : 29 TO 54 Mhz: 0.3 microvolts
>
> When I look for the Radio Shack PRO-93 sensitivity their specs says:
> e.g.
>
> (at 20 dB S/N) : 25 TO 54 Mhz: 0.3 microvolts
>
> Why the difference? Why one used SINAD at 12dB and the other 20 dB
> S/N, what is the difference between SINAD and S/N?
>
> Thank you very much.
>
> P.S. Does someone knows the sensitivity rates of the Uniden bc-245xlt?

1297. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 23:03:09 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <NiH38.22897$V_4.908129@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53467

you are using the input of the frequency of the repeater. well usually. look for the output of the repeater. This isn't always the case. but 95% of the time it is.

Dev

<paul@nospam.com> wrote in message news:aafu4uk5514crt9tqb9h2dqnm0btuhjj4k@4ax.com...
> How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to emergency
> services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.
>
> Thanks

1298. Re: Icom R2 Just A Toy? - Why so Cheap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 23:24:23 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HCH38.42247$d16.7408486@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Korean dong?

What that worth again? *)

"Steve Terry" <g4wwk@nospamtesco.net> wrote in message
news:SnF38.9225$4i5.1178228@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> "Brian" <res05h0x@gte.net> wrote in message
> news:Ojv38.182$NW3.243242@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net...
> > Bill, I agree with - I guess - everyone else here - the R2 is definitely
> NOT
> > a toy. What nobody has addressed, though, is *why* it's so cheap!
> <snip top post>
> >
> The strong pound has a lot to do with it, buys a lot of yen at the moment
>
> Even better are Korean goods with the Korean dong against the pound so low
> e.g. Samsong video recorders for 80quid, etc.
>
> Steve Terry
>
>
>
>
>

1299. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 23:29:40 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <4rbt4uo4n1pisqbs9dt43j6ua2sh0m8a3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 53469
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

your news server may not host it

On Wed, 23 Jan 2002 02:43:44 GMT, John Walker <Walker630@attbi.com>
wrote:

>jr127@USA.net wrote in news:3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net:
>
>> Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
>> not descramble the data.
>>
>> Any idea how to do this?
>>
>>
>
>I could not find that group, do you have a link ?
>
>thanks

1300. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-23 23:39:41 UTC
From: paul@nospam.com
Message-ID: <9aiu4u08039a9uqnneu8bisdaft2jf2pif@4ax.com>
Source record: 53470

Err, you lost me there.
I put in a frequency and hear one side of the conversation, is the other
side of the conversation on a different frequency?

What is a repeater?

"Dev" <.@..com> wrote:

>you are using the input of the frequency of the repeater. well usually. look
>for the output of the repeater. This isn't always the case. but 95% of the
>time it is.
>
>Dev
>
>
><paul@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:aafu4uk5514crt9tqb9h2dqnm0btuhjj4k@4ax.com...
>> How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to emergency
>> services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.
>>
>> Thanks
>

1301. Re: Icom R2 Just A Toy? - Why so Cheap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 00:08:00 UTC
From: Heath Kitt <hkitt@suckupon.com>
Message-ID: <3C4F5091.D127A365@suckupon.com>
Source record: 53471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Brian wrote:

> Bill, I agree with - I guess - everyone else here - the R2 is definately NOT
> a toy. What nobody has addressed, though, is *why* it's so cheap!

Just did a quick search, found it listed between $179 and $159. That's not cheap. Where are you finding it for under $50????

1302. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 00:26:49 UTC
From: Delayed Thinking <delay@delaythinking.com>
Message-ID: <dxI38.2296$5B4.2705@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I think you all misunderstand. I was in the neighbor lady's backyard at night because the baby monitor stopped broadcasting.. My wife and I were very concerned about the baby - especially after we lost our baby last year.

I was worried about the baby, and that's what I told police.
I was never near the bathroom window where the neighbor lady was taking a bath.
My wife can absoloutely verify that.
Besides, I wouldn't be able to hear the police radio if the bathwater was running nearby.

I just want to get this cleared up asap because it may affect our ability to adopt a thirteen year old girl we know.

Thanks

1303. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 00:28:43 UTC
From: Delayed Thinking <delay@delaythinking.com>
Message-ID: <%yI38.918$bP1.1739@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53473

I think you all misunderstand. I was in the neighbor lady's backyard at night because the baby monitor stopped broadcasting.. My wife and I were very concerned about the baby - especially after we lost our baby last year.

I was worried about the baby, and that's what I told police.
I was never near the bathroom window where the neighbor lady was taking a bath.
My wife can absoloutely verify that.
Besides, I wouldn't be able to hear the police radio if the bathwater was running nearby.

I just want to get this cleared up asap because it may affect our ability to adopt a thirteen year old girl we know.

Thanks

1304. Re: Radio Shack DX-394 question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 00:56:25 UTC
From: EZ2 <finalquest@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZYI38.7808$ag5.703966@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53474
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Greetings !
There's an "A" and a "B". Version. The "B" supposedly took care of some filtering and crosstalk however the "A" version is the one that has most of the mods. By the way... If the serial number is...
C008039 B
and you purchased it over the net.
It is stolen !!! If anyone comes across this radio be advised that the FBI is involved.

Take care and good luck !
Ed

"gil" <gilpi@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:a2m4t5$d41$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Do a search on DX-394 mods
>
> Gil
>
> R.Smith <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3C4F24E5.5050906@dccnet.com...
> > Hi there,
> > Can anyone tell me...I heard that there were two models of this radio a
> > A nad a B model the B being newer?..
> > What is the differance between thses two?..
> > I have just bought the B model and was wondering what modifications are
> > recommended for the rig?..I have heard that there are a few.
> > Any info would help!
> > Thanks in advance
> > -Russ
> >
>
>

1305. Re: Radio Shack DX-394 question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 01:05:27 UTC
From: EZ2 <finalquest@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <r5J38.7845$ag5.706198@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53475
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Oh... Dave... I'm on to you.
I'll be up north to testify.
Have a nice day !

"R.Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:3C4F24E5.5050906@dccnet.com...
> Hi there,
> Can anyone tell me...I heard that there were two models of this radio a
> A nad a B model the B being newer?..
> What is the differance between thses two?..
> I have just bought the B model and was wondering what modifications are
> recommended for the rig?..I have heard that there are a few.
> Any info would help!
> Thanks in advance
> -Russ
>

1306. 780 List Scan Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 03:00:06 UTC
From: jfaul@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3c4f78b4.1485162@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 53476

I have my 780 programmed with trunked frequencies and I have two list setup and I cannot get this thing to scan the Lists. It just says "scanning" at the bottom not "ID Scanning" and I am receiving no audio from the scanner and the word "trunk" is lit up. Please help.

Thanks,
jfaul@bellsouth.net

1307. Re: 780 List Scan Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 03:38:34 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020123223834.15521.00000144@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 53477

Is it getting the control channel. What happens when you search the trunk system?

1308. FA: MTX-800 w/desk charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 03:52:25 UTC
From: Joe P <jprotesvcds@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ZxL38.8489$ag5.741727@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53478
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

For auction on E-Bay
Motorola MTX-800 Portable with matching desk charger (no reserve)

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1694254542

1309. FA:STANDARD CCR708A VHF/UHF RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 03:53:40 UTC
From: PATRICK D SCHOUTEN <KA9LNP@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8zL38.21819$8Y2.1851051105@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53481

Thanks,

Pat K7LNP

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1326196730

1310. Re: EMI/RFI Shielding Paint question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 03:58:22 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u4tne7kj0t0h46@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53448
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You could find the antenna site that has the 930Mhz transmitter and contact the site manager. Tell him that one of the transimmters is causing harmonics and that he might need to install a circulator.
"Brad Steinman" <mcsebrad@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:u4soaud74ceu4b@corp.supernews.com...
> My PRO2006 VHF/UHF receiver is getting pounded by 930Mhz Paging, causing
> interference even into the VHF range. This major annoyance occurs with no
> antenna connected to the receiver.
>
> I plan on looking at improving the grounding for the rig - but could anyone
> relate their experience using something like the SuperShield spray
> http://www.lessemf.com/paint.html , to reduce interference from hi-powered
> UHF transmitters? I figure that it can only help, as the top and bottom of
> this receiver is only covered by plastic.
>
> After I get this taken care of, I'll either need a low bandpass filter to
> allow <900Mhz thru, or a notch filter for ~930Mhz. If anyone has good ideas
> on this - pls let me know. No need for TX capability, just RX.
>
> tnx
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> mcsebrad@hotmail.com
>
>
>

1311. Re: WINRADIO X31B ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 04:09:57 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c4f8947$0$8174$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53479

Thanks Frank

"Frank Greene" <befeleme@hushmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c4eb894.16509355@news-server...
> On Tue, 22 Jan 2002 14:59:50 +1100, "Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
> wrote:
>
> >What frequencies are you using it for?
>
> Mainly 400-480 MHz and 800-900 MHz. And sometimes FM radio
> (not specified to work under 200 MHz, but it does).
>
> >Is it very directional?
>
> Yes, which is good because it has a good directional gain.
> But you can still search for signals even if the antenna is not
> exactly pointing at them. So, if I find a noisy signal, I just rotate
> the antenna for the best reception.
>
> One thing you have to be careful about, if you are going to use a
> power adapter instead of the battery, use a quiet one. The first one I
> tried (a switching type) was too noisy. Just a cheap *linear* adapter
> is the best.
>
> Frank
>
> >
> >"Frank Greene" <befeleme@hushmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:3c4c0e4f.15766508@news-server...
> >> On Sun, 20 Jan 2002 23:05:13 +1100, "Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Is that the flat one about the size of an A4 page with a pre-amp
fitted??
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >"Nichols" <nichols@hawii.rr.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:_pw28.267$Ib.279174@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> >> >> Anybody has any feedback (pos/neg) on the performance of the
WINRADIO
> >X31B
> >> >> antenna?
> >> >>
> >> >> Thanks

> >> >>
> >> >>
> >>
> >> Yes, I have one. I was originally sceptical, but I was pleasantly
> >> surprised. It actually works very well. And doubles up as a good
> >> indoor TV antenna.
> >>
> >> The only problem is that the battery does not last very long (although
> >> I suspect that the bright red LED consumes most of the current). So I
> >> have connected it to a 9V AC/DC adaptor. It's on all the time and I
> >> don't have to worry about it.
> >>
> >> Frank
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>

1312. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 04:24:45 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <hu2v4uc5e54snet8f0ndki3c6f0r7ouo5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 53480

Depends on the type of system your public safety agencies use. If
they are trunking, I don't think you can follow the conversation with
an R2. If its just straight VHF/UHF, then this shouldn't be a problem
in the first place. It's likely your county's public safety system
uses one of the various Trunked systems.

If this is the case (trunking taking place), you will always only hear
one side of the conversation unless your scanner/reciever is able to
decipher what is being transmitted over the data channel for the
particular agency. At this point in time, only Uniden and Radio Shack
make scanners capable of following trunked systems. Even then though,
they are limited to only following analog trunked systems, as there is
no digital trunk scanner available yet.

On Wed, 23 Jan 2002 22:48:29 +0000 a plead for help arrived from
paul@nospam.com, in which he/she requested:

>How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to emergency
>services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.
>
>Thanks

/*********************
Michael A. Nunes
p@pcmike.net
*********************/

1313. Euro Yaesu VX5R unblocked 800Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 04:59:30 UTC
From: James Braun <jbraun@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C4F94B2.B5959CBD@localnet.com>
Source record: 53482

I have a Euro Model Yaesu VX-5R Triband Handheld w/ accessories for
sale,
This is a Export version with (unblocked) coverage including
Cellular.
It is in mint condition with optional SU1 Module installed, and Free
Band modification for expanded RX /TX (and programmed w/ US Band
Plan).
Comes with the following accessories:
(2) FNB58LI Batteries
(1) FBA23 "AA" Battery Pack
(1) CD15 Rapid Charger Base
(1) A/C Charger
(1) CSC73 Soft Case
(1) SU1 Altimeter / Barometer Module installed
(1) Yaesu Triband HT Antenna

Box, and Manual.

Asking $420 or best offer for the package.
Can answer questions or forward pictures upon request.
I can be reached at :
jbraun@localnet.com

Thanks,
James

1314. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 05:09:32 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020124000932.02227.00000118@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 53483

>Subject: Re: Both sides of conversation?

>From: paul@nospam.com

>rr, you lost me there.
>I put in a frequency and hear one side of the conversation, is the other
>side of the conversation on a different frequency?
>
>What is a repeater?

If it isn't 800 Mhz (which could be a "trunking" sytem - a computer controlled and relatively complex system that switches channel use and access among many mobile units on an as-needed & per-conversation basis) - it works like this:

A cental location has a tall tower, with a receiver on (say) 159.550 Mhz. It controls a powerful transmitter on 155.550 Mhz. When a mobile or hand held radio transmitts on 159.550, it goes into the receiver and is sent back out on 155.550. All the mobile receivers are tuned to 155.550. So a little low powered handheld on the western edge of the coverage area can talk to a handheld radio on the eastern edge of the area.
If your scanner is on 159.550 you might hear a handheld within a mile or two, but you'd not hear on 20 miles away. If you tune to 155.550, you could hear both through the repeater.
Now, the allocations on VHF high band (150-170 Mhz) weren't designed with repeater operation in mind back in the 40's, so the input and output frequencies are not logically spaced. I've seen them as close as 285 Kc (.285 Mhz) apart (and it took some big, expensive filters to make that one work!).
You basically need a local frequency guide to tell what's up. You'll see the police department with a large number of mobiles licensed on the input and only one base station licensed on the output.
On UHF (450-470 Mhz) the input is 5 Mhz higher than the output. You can probably get a clearer explaination in any frequency guide - Radio Shack sells one with a good basic primer on communications systems.
Neutrodyne

1315. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 05:09:58 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <GGM38.24204$V_4.1023075@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53484

ok. I will start over. but. what frequency are you trying to listen to. just need a example. in the 100 - 400 Mhz range or the 400 - 900 Mhz range. or above 900 Mhz or Below 100Mhz. I forgot about below hehe. Then it would be easier to tell you what might help

Dev

<paul@nospam.com> wrote in message news:9aiu4u08039a9uqnneu8bisdaft2jf2pif@4ax.com...
> Err, you lost me there.
> I put in a frequency and hear one side of the conversation, is the other
> side of the conversation on a different frequency?
>
> What is a repeater?
>
>
> "Dev" <.@..com> wrote:
>
> >you are using the input of the frequency of the repeater. well usually. look
> >for the output of the repeater. This isn't always the case. but 95% of the
> >time it is.
> >
> >Dev
> >
> >
> ><paul@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >news:aafu4uk5514crt9tqb9h2dqnm0btuhjj4k@4ax.com...
> >> How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to emergency
> >> services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.
> >>
> >> Thanks
> >
>

1316. Re: Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 05:29:00 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zYM38.691$7Y6.161012@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53485

I remember once on a ride along with the Strathmore RCMP this one constable... She would pull up behind drivers in her ghost car and then when she could see their radar defectors she would flick her radar gun on and off real fast a few times, and we could see the lights on the guys dash go crazy. He would then slam on his brakes..She just did that for fun. She didn't hand out 1 ticket that night.

And when my brother was in the RCMP, they would pull people over who would slam on their brakes when they saw the cops, because in their opinion (the police) if a motorist was driving along and saw a cop, and slammed on their brakes, they must have been hiding something. I mean, why else would they slam on their brakes, aside to avoid being pulled over? And why would any law abiding citizen want to avoid being pulled over? I don't care if I'm stopped. I only care if I did break a law. If I was a cop and I saw some guy slam on the brakes when he saw me, I'd stop him too. Must be hiding something...

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:WNq38.45485$e4.12760520@news0.telusplanet.net...
> The best way for the police to detect a radar detector is with the
> switch. A cop with a radar gun off sits somewhere and sees a speeding
> motorist. When the car gets close he flips his radar on and the driver
slams
> on the brakes when he hears his detector go off. That way the cop knows he
> has a detector. This was the way that the Alberta cops did it before they
> removed their laws. Nothing fancy or hi Tec. Just the stupidity of the
> owner.
> The same is true for finding the scanner owners. The cops just look at
> who comes to rubber neck and gawk every time something goes down. It
doesn't
> take long them to figure out who has the radios.
> Also scanner nuts love to open their big mouths as to what is going on,
> (just look at some of the posts in this NG). I fell into this category
about
> 12 years ago. I was listening to the local RCMP and I heard them talking
> about a guy I knew, we will call him ED. Ed was being arrested for drugs
and
> was to be detained. The next day I was talking with one of his bosses and
I
> told him that Ed was arrested for drugs. The boss asked me how I knew? I
> told him that I heard this on my scanner. This got Ed fired. The boss even
> told Ed that I was the one that heard it on the scanner. I was pulled over
a
> few days latter and my car was searched by the police. When I asked what
> they were looking for they said, "your scanner". I was told that if they

> found it I would loose it and I started to quote law to them about my
legal
> rights. I seemed to miss the law about repeating what I had heard and
> confidentially but the officer refreshed my memory very quickly.
> Having a scanner or police receiver for more then 20 years I can tell
you
> that, "chasing police cars" and repeating what you hear are the two best
> ways to lose your toy and face possible obstruction charges not a scanner
> detector but your own stupidity.
>
> "FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LwF08.93256$Z2.1329152@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > Sorry, I meant to say Ontario not Canada as a whole. In Ontario it is
> > illegal to posses a radar detector and OPP have devices to detect them.
> >
> > It also does not matter if you are from out of province either, they
will
> > seize the detector and give you a fine.
> >
> > --
> > Brad.
> > FeeD_BaK
> >
> > "Dev" <a@a.a> wrote in message
> > news:eYE08.4925$ST3.324498@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > | first. most scanners use a commin IF frequency. There are lots of
other
> > | things inyour car that could generate it too. But i have not heard of
> them
> > | being about to yet. What I don't understand. It is not illegal here
in
> > | Canada to own or use a Radar Detector. There is only 1 - 3 provinces
> that
> > | have them banned. Manitoba is one of them. I am not sure of the other
> > ones.
> > | It is definately NOT Illegal for a Radar Detector in British Columbia,
> > | Alberta, or Saskatchewan. I know those three forsure.
> > |
> > | Dev
> > |
> > | FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > | news:VvB08.93168$Z2.1327336@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > | > To my knowledge they cannot detect you have a scanner or not. Since
a
> > | > scanner only receives, such as a FM radio in your car to begin with,
I
> > | > cannot see them being able to detect just a scanner.
> > | >
> > | > I know in Canada they can tell if you have a radar detector, but
that

> is
> > | > because they are illegal and a scanner is not.
> > | >
> > | > --
> > | > Brad.
> > | > FeeD_BaK
> > | > "WiCkEd" <nunya@home.com> wrote in message
> > | > news:44i54us2nc23omv9i8clhuse92d7tcjjle@4ax.com...
> > | > | Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I
> own
> > | > | a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and
forth
> to
> > | > | work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working
in
> > | > | the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could
detect
> > | > | whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with
you.
> > | > | Is this all hogwash or what?
> > | > |
> > | >
> > | >
> > |
> > |
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> a
>
>

1317. Re: Supply dry capsicum and do to sample in a large amount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 05:39:00 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <56N38.692$7Y6.163055@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53486

Thanks for that info. We really needed that.

"LIU" <sdwhsblyj3721@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:a2nop9$30r6$671@news.cz.js.cn...
>
> Hello! We are a trading companies of China , engaged in
> agricultural product trade mainly.
> Now, there are a large amount of DRY Chilli in our
> company, quality is very good , the length is in 8 cm
> and 10 cm , ones that need please get in touch with us.
> Our telephone number is : 86 -631 -5212246 fax : 86
> -631 -5212246 our postboxes are : sdwhsblyj3721@hotmail.
> com
>
>

1318. WTB: REMOTE KIT GM300 & MAXTRAC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 07:03:11 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20020124020311.17841.00000289@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53487

Wanted for immediate purchase

Remote kits for:
1- GM300
1 - Maxtrac

both need to be complete in excellent shape

Please email me with what you have and pricing

Thanks!
Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

(remove NOSPAM to reply)

1319. Re: 780 List Scan Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 07:42:30 UTC
From: jfaul@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3c4fba10.18219271@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 53488

It has the control channel now and is working after being left on for several hours. My Pro-92 has no problem trunking on same antenna and gathering the control channel. Any known problems on the 780 in regards to this?

Thanks

ThanksOn 24 Jan 2002 03:38:34 GMT, mrg1std@aol.com (MrG1stD) wrote:

>Is it getting the control channel. What happens when you search the trunk
>system?

1320. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 09:19:56 UTC
From: paul@nospam.com
Message-ID: <t7kv4usuesp7pvef86d4hek52o6n1fl4es@4ax.com>
Source record: 53489

There are two frequency ranges I generally try to listen to,
140-150Mhz
450-460Mhz

I have frequencies for base and mobile which indicates that I hear the base
on one frequency and the mobile on another, do I have to flick between both
channels?

If the base is the other side of town I would never hear them so I guess
that this is what you meant by a repeater? Should I try and find that
frequency?

Thanks

"Dev" <.@..com> wrote:

>ok. I will start over. but. what frequency are you trying to listen to. just
>need a example. in the 100 - 400 Mhz range or the 400 - 900 Mhz range. or
>above 900 Mhz or Below 100Mhz. I forgot about below hehe. Then it would be
>easier to tell you what might help
>
>
>Dev
>
><paul@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:9aiu4u08039a9uqnneu8bisdaft2jf2pif@4ax.com...
>> Err, you lost me there.
>> I put in a frequency and hear one side of the conversation, is the other
>> side of the conversation on a different frequency?
>>
>> What is a repeater?
>>
>>
>> "Dev" <.@..com> wrote:
>>
>> >you are using the input of the frequency of the repeater. well usually.
>look
>> >for the output of the repeater. This isn't always the case. but 95% of
>the
>> >time it is.
>> >
>> >Dev
>> >
>> >
>> ><paul@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> >news:aafu4uk5514crt9tqb9h2dqnm0btuhjj4k@4ax.com...

>> >> How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to
>emergency
>> >> services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.
>> >>
>> >> Thanks
>> >
>>
>

1321. Icom R-3 users?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 10:33:30 UTC
From: dave holmes <dholmes52@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_pR38.9595$Fh4.814873@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53490

Anyone pick up anything on the video mode..?

Dave

1322. Re: Icom R-3 users?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 10:45:00 UTC
From: Appie <ab.surd@freemeel.com>
Message-ID: <a2oolf$2m1$2@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 53491

Only Paytv channels in hotels...

"dave holmes" <dholmes52@earthlink.net> schreef in bericht
news:_pR38.9595$Fh4.814873@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Anyone pick up anything on the video mode..?
>
> Dave
>
>

1323. FS: BC245 inc 1700ma battery pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 10:56:15 UTC
From: Bob <a727469@ntplx.net>
Message-ID: <RHR38.30$mG1.85187@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 53492

Just got a pro-93 so no longer using the 245. Brand new Lee 1700ma battery pack, all accessories and original box. $165 plus shipping in USA. Make an offer.

1324. FS:Relm HS 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 10:56:25 UTC
From: Bob <a727469@ntplx.net>
Message-ID: <%HR38.31$mG1.85659@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 53493

Relm includes all accessories in brand new condition..has ctcss etc.
Extremely sensitive set...$130 plus shipping in USA. Make an offer

1325. Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 12:11:22 UTC
From: Secret Squirrel <squirrel@echelon.alias.net>
Message-ID: <05ab7144c0358adaade74de5cdbbc385@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 53494

1. Frequencies used during illegal home break-ins by U.S. federal agents.

2. Computers in police cars receiving and transmitting.

3. Tracking devices secretly hidden under cars by police.

4. Small, hidden video surveillance cameras by police.

Thank you.

1326. Radio Sale !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 12:42:47 UTC
From: Robert <Robert.Sincere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <bjT38.23829$hp6.9698@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53495

I have lots of CB Radio equipment for sale..... Just sitting there and not being use. All for $300.00 but you also have to pay for shipping.

Here we go :

Emperor TS- 5010 with matching Power Supply TS-5011
D104 Silver & Black Eagle... Plus 1104C Desk Mic. Yes...3 Mic's !!
Astron MS-35A, Samlex RPS 12 15 amps. Yes 2 Power Supplies !!
RMS International SWR/Watt Meter with Match Box
RF Limited TV Low Pass Filter

Bonus !!!!

Uniden 800xlt scanner radio !!

All Must Be Sold Together !

1327. Re: Delayed Thinking is a "Techno-Creep"!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 13:14:43 UTC
From: Dave Accetta <Dontspamme@home.please>
Message-ID: <7NT38.12148$At4.494@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Y'all gotta put the block on Delayed thinking. He's a 100% bullshit
persona.
In Outlook express just click on a post, then Message, then "block sender."

--

Dave A
Pro-91
NYC

"Delayed Thinking Sucks" <Delayed_Thinking_Sucks@Delayed-Thinking-Sucks.com>
wrote in message news:qfuu4ustqii03cm9eb8hmvdls0asi36192@4ax.com...
> <delay@delaythinking.com> wrote:
>
> > I think you all misunderstand. I was in the neighbor lady's backyard at
> > night because the baby monitor stopped broadcasting.. My wife and I were
> > very concerned about the baby - especially after we lost our baby last
year.
>
> Your neighbor turned it off so you would stop eavesdropping on her baby,
> so than you became 1) a stalker, 2) a prowler and 3) a suspicious
person.
>
> Three strikes and you are OUT!
>
> > I was worried about the baby, and that's what I told police.
> > I was never near the bathroom window where the neighbor
> > lady was taking a bath.
> > My wife can absoloutely verify that. Besides, I wouldn't be
> > able to hear the police radio if the bathwater was
> > running nearby.
> >
> > I just want to get this cleared up asap because it may
> > affect our ability to adopt a thirteen year old girl we know.
> >
> >Thanks
>
> And give her a 46 MHz cordless phone so you can "spy" on her?
> And then lurk outside her bathroom window while she is taking a shower?
>
>
> Delayed Thinking has violated the "Scanner Hobbyist Code of Ethics".
> and has been Declared to be "The Enemy of the Scanning Hobby".
>

>
> D.T should sell his scanner on BidBay or Ubid,
> http://www.bidbay.com http://www.ubid.com
>
> If D.T really did lose his baby, he and wife should seek grief counseling
>
http://www.looksmart.com/r_search?look=&pin=020123x7f2a8501779c54d1b41&key=g
rief+counseling

1328. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 14:00:31 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020124090031.16392.00000180@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 53397

YOU DONT ASK MUCH

1329. Best mobile antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 14:19:12 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <AJU38.26309$zX1.15327237@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53398

Any recommendations? Scanner is a Pro 2067, frequency range is from about 150.000 to 465.000
Would prefer not to drill any holes in my truck.
TIA

--

1330. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 16:19:49 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c503391.5870921@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 53399

On Thu, 24 Jan 2002 09:19:56 +0000, paul@nospam.com wrote:

>There are two frequency ranges I generally try to listen to,
>140-150Mhz
>450-460Mhz
>
>I have frequencies for base and mobile which indicates that I hear the base
>on one frequency and the mobile on another, do I have to flick between both
>channels?

Yes.

>If the base is the other side of town I would never hear them so I guess
>that this is what you meant by a repeater? Should I try and find that
>frequency?
>
Yes. It could be that your area doesn't use repeaters and if a mobile
unit is not nearby then you won't hear them. It would help if you told
us where the hell you live so we all wouldn't be wasting our time on a
guessing game.

1331. Re: About SENSITIVITY of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 16:34:23 UTC
From: BIG DADDY KING <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16ba03bfeca252dd9896ca@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 53400

In article <2d2bbca8.0201231426.7e8ec747@posting.google.com>,
ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com says...
> Hello. When I look for UNIDEN scanners sensitivity they say: e.g.
>
> (at 12dB SINAD) : 29 TO 54 Mhz: 0.3 microvolts
>
>
> When I look for the Radio Shack PRO-93 sensitivity their specs says:
> e.g.
>
> (at 20 dB S/N) : 25 TO 54 Mhz: 0.3 microvolts
>
> Why the difference? Why one used SINAD at 12dB and the other 20 dB
> S/N, what is the difference between SINAD and S/N?
>
> Thank you very much.
>
> P.S. Does someone knows the sensitivity rates of the Uniden bc-245xlt?
>

Specs are pretty meaningless, individual radios vary quite a bit. I have
owned several of the same model scanner, and they were always different
in sensitivity. This could be minimized by alignment, but usually there
was at least one bad better on one than the other. Juat about all
scanners are sensitve enough, and some are too sensitive for their own
good, they get intermod when hooked up to almost any decent antenna, and
some have problems with just the rubber duck that comes with it.

Don't worry about specs, look at www.strongsignals.net and other sites
for reviews, and you can make a good choice..

BDK

1332. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 17:02:55 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <37X38.8953$eL.3762@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 53401

Why do you people go out and buy the most expensive radios on the market,
only to not no how to properly use them or know how to scan in in general.

Before going out and wasting all your money on a radio that you will only
half use, save yourself some dollars and go out buy a cheap scanner first,
learn the aspects of scanning, then go out and waste your money on an
expensive radio.

That's just my 2 cents here.

<paul@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:aafu4uk5514crt9tqb9h2dqnm0btuhjj4k@4ax.com...
> How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to emergency
> services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.
>
> Thanks

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.317 / Virus Database: 176 - Release Date: 21/01/02

1333. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 17:50:07 UTC
From: Mike W <MNMikeW@aolspam.com>
Message-ID: <3c504870@MAIL.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 53402

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
news:37X38.8953$eL.3762@news1.bloor.is...
> Why do you people go out and buy the most expensive radios on the market,
> only to not no how to properly use them or know how to scan in in general.
>
> Before going out and wasting all your money on a radio that you will only
> half use, save yourself some dollars and go out buy a cheap scanner first,
> learn the aspects of scanning, then go out and waste your money on an
> expensive radio.
>
> That's just my 2 cents here.
>
Probably good advice Champ. Im just getting into scanning and purchaced a
used
Bearcat 60xlt-1 via Ebay. Never had one before, figgured I could always
upgrade later. Have learned a lot already by hanging out here, and web
sites. Still have a long way to go as Im lost in this thread :-)

1334. Re: Icom R2 Just A Toy? - Why so Cheap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 18:03:22 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <K%X38.46159$yy3.9000227@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Burghardt's had them for $130 , but raised to $140.
DeWayne

> Brian wrote:
>
> > Bill, I agree with - I guess - everyone else here - the R2 is definately
NOT
> > a toy. What nobody has addressed, though, is *why* it's so cheap!
>
> Just did a quick search, found it listed between $179 and $159. That's
not
> cheap. Where are you finding it for under $50????
>
>
>

1335. Re: Best mobile antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 19:21:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <w8Z38.49863$B61.16821051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 53404

The "best" mobile antenna would be one that's tuned specifically for the frequencies (or band) you most want to monitor. And wanting no holes requires either a mag-mount or something like a window clip-mount.

If most of your listening is in VHF-high, I'd recommend that you go to a commercial radio shop and get either a quarter-wave (about 18") or 5/8 wave (bottom-"coil" loaded - about 40"), with a magnetic mount. Most shops should have them available.

Having said THAT, a quarter-wave VHF antenna will be close to 5/8-wave on UHF (without the loading), so it should work OK on both bands... UHF is mostly repeatered anyway, so may not be a problem.

A 5/8 wave VHF antenna will theoretically pick up weaker signals better (higher gain), but in real life that's only significant in flat, open country. In mountainous (or even big-city "canyons") the quarter wave has a much higher angle of reflection, so it picks up more signals coming in from above you.

Thoroughly confused? As I've mentioned here before, for years I've used the R/Shack "Magnet-Mount Mobile Scanner Antenna" Cat.#: 20-032, which sells for about $30. Check out http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D032

It has decent coverage on all bands. And in a pinch I've used it for satisfactory transmitting on 2-meter and 440 MHz ham bands as well as on, pardon the expression, CB.

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message news:AJU38.26309$zX1.15327237@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Any recommendations? Scanner is a Pro 2067, frequency range is from about 150.000 to 465.000
> Would prefer not to drill any holes in my truck.
> TIA
>
> --
>
>
> _____
>

>

1336. PAR OMNI HORZOTAL UHF ANTENNAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 19:40:55 UTC
From: WILLIAM C FORVIK <n0mnb@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ejrS6fdpBHA.1504@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 53369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

4 SALE USED 1 MONTH TWO PAR 432 ANTENNAS WITH CO-PHASING HARNESS
WORK GREAT BOTH MOBLIE AND FIXED
3DB GAIN SEE IT AT E-BAY
1326292265

SEE PICTURE

1337. Is new RS PRO-93 no better than my old Regency HX1500 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 19:45:25 UTC
From: nweiler@nac.net
Message-ID: <7225aa4b.0201241145.39022aee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53406

Hi,

My first and only hand-held has been my Regency HX1500, purchased around 1986. At the time I believe it was considered to pretty good. About 6 years ago I tried out a top-of-the-line Radio Shack with more channels, more banks, etc. I compared the two regarding their ability to bring in faint signals and returned the RS because I thought my HX1500 was still the better performer.

Now, being even more hampered by just 4 banks, 55 channels, and slow scan rate, I'm giving the new PRO-93 a shot. I'm in a rural area ï¿½ trunking is not a big concern to me (railroading is) - but I do expect the PRO-93 to pull in anything my HX can.

So here's my test ï¿½ maybe not to scientific ï¿½ no lab testing devices, etc. - just me, the two scanners, one in each hand, held outside about 5 feet apart, with a railroad crew drilling local industries about 8 miles away. They are using the loco's radio and a portable. Both are set on manual to freq 160.485. For every 5 transmissions the HX1500 picks up, the PRO-93 picks up the 1 or 2 stongest. I move around, keeping the scanners at the same height, swap from one hand to the other ï¿½ everything I can do to make sure one doesn't have an edge over the other. Results don't change - the PRO-93 doesn't see everything the HX does.

The specs from each manual seem to give the edge to the newer PRO-93, so why does the PRO-93 not pull in like the HX ?? Did I just happen to pick a band that the PRO-93 is deficient in ??

Is there a hand-held out there with the features of the PRO-93, but with the performance of the old Regency, in the $200 area ?

Thanks,
Norm in NJ

nweiler@nac.net

1338. Re: Is new RS PRO-93 no better than my old Regency HX1500 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:13:20 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020124161320.21782.00000200@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 53407

>Subject: Is new RS PRO-93 no better than my old Regency HX1500 ??

>From: nweiler@nac.net (Norman Weiler)

>Hi,
>
>My first and only hand-held has been my Regency HX1500, purchased
>around 1986. At the time I believe it was considered to pretty good.
>About 6 years ago I tried out a top-of-the-line Radio Shack with more
>channels, more banks, etc. I compared the two regarding their ability
>to bring in faint signals and returned the RS because I thought my
>HX1500 was still the better performer.

The HX1500 was a very hot receiver but even in 1986 it was also known for intermod problems. Congestion and strong signals would blow it away. For your situation it would be hard to beat it with anything.

>Now, being even more hampered by just 4 banks, 55 channels, and slow
>scan rate, I'm giving the new PRO-93 a shot. I'm in a rural area –
>trunking is not a big concern to me (railroading is) - but I do expect
>the PRO-93 to pull in anything my HX can.
>

The design considerations are different now. Intermod has to be carefully controlled (from an urban performance viewpoint and to insure cell frequency images can't be picked up). These considerations result in a somewhat less sensitive but (for 80% of the customers) better working radio. Maybe a new cheapy that has no 800 Mhz at all might come closer, but it won't gain you many features, these tend to be plain jane.

>The specs from each manual seem to give the edge to the newer PRO-93,
>so why does the PRO-93 not pull in like the HX ?? Did I just happen
>to pick a band that the PRO-93 is deficient in ??

Probably not, there are just compromises that crop up in the whole equation of cost/performance considerations. Most scanners are sold to hear the cops and most places the cops are going trunking. So the features & performance get concentrated there. Real world testing might uncover something for you - as you can see, bench tests don't tell the whole story.

Neutrodyne

1339. Re: Best mobile antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:17:22 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <CR_38.9$KA4.31710@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53408

Thanks for the info, unfortunately I am a local public safety official (LT on the FD), very few people have scanners in their cars,
and the ones that do, I installed them using RS glass mount antennas. I have the same antenna but am getting very poor performance
from it, compared to others, maybe my antenna is just bad?

--

_____
"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:a2poes$i00$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Antenna Specialists or Larsen trunk-lip or magnetic mount. Ask your local
> public safety officials what they prefer and that may also steer you in the
> right direction.
>
>
> David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message
> news:AJU38.26309$zX1.15327237@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> > Any recommendations? Scanner is a Pro 2067, frequency range is from about
> 150.000 to 465.000
> > Would prefer not to drill any holes in my truck.
> > TIA
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> >
>
>

1340. Re: EMI/RFI Shielding Paint question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:18:35 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <LS_38.300$S%3.11394@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 53409
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.scanner Brad Steinman <mcsebrad@hotmail.com> wrote:
> My PRO2006 VHF/UHF receiver is getting pounded by 930Mhz Paging, causing
> interference even into the VHF range. This major annoyance occurs with no
> antenna connected to the receiver.

This is very strange. The PRO-2006 has a series of tuned/switched preselector filters in the front end to minimize "intermod."

A couple of possibilities:

1) You are VERY close to the pager. If this is so, your situation may be hopeless.

2) The pager is putting out "spurs." If this is the case, nothing you do on your end will help.

3) RF getting in through the case, or on the power/speaker leads. (This sounds like what you're assuming.)

Do you have any other scanners? Do they pick up the same "interference" on VHF?

Try terminating the antenna port with a 50 resistive load and see if that makes a difference. If so, the rf is coming in via the antenna port.

Try to find the location of the pager via the FCC database using frequency/zip code/callsign or whatever other info you can get.

Try switching in the 10 dB attenuator on the PRO 2006 and see if it makes a difference.

Art Harris N2AH

1341. Re: Christmas scanner - make your own antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:20:16 UTC
From: Juan Skinner <Jumperbumper@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <a2ptqfocb1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53410
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You will need to adhere to a half wavelength for your centerfed halfwave dipole project to work. If you want more gain on higher frequencied antenna systems, you will need to stack elements via appropriate phasing harnesses or make your antenna directional.

"TMcmillan" <shellemc@gcnet.com> wrote in message news:2C7Z7.33$5E.7907@newshog.newsread.com...
> I just have a question about the formula for the length of the antenna... If
> I get a length from the formula that is less than a foot.... like 6 inches
> or something like that, shouldn't the antenna be longer? I guess I'm j ust
> asking if it would be okay to double the length you get so that it isn't too
> short.
>
> Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
> news:u3434lnek1oa7d@corp.supernews.com...
> > MAKING YOUR OWN ANTENNA
> >
> > BY N1CSS
> >
> >
> > LENGTH OF THE ANTENNA
> >
> > The length of the antenna will depend on just what frequency band you
> > want to listen to. Most people are interested in the Public Safety bands,
> > which are right around 155 MHZ. You can figure out the length of the
> antenna
> > for any band with the following formula:
> >
> >
> > 468 / Freq (in MHZ)
> >
> > This gives you a half wave, in feet and fractions of a foot. Using 155 MHZ
> > as an example, we would come out with:
> >
> > 468 / 155 = 3.019 feet
> >
> > Now, we can convert the fractional part of the answer into inches by

> > multiplying it by 12 (the number of inches in a foot). This comes out
to:
> >
> > .019 X 12 = .228
> >
> > .228 is close enough to a quarter inch so it won`t matter. so our
antenna
> > will be 3 feet, one-quarter inch long for 155 MHZ.
> >
>
>
>

1342. Re: About SENSITIVITY of Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:24:03 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <TX_38.301$S%3.11394@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 53411

I wouldn't get too hung up on published sensitivity "specs." In production, some radios will be better, and others will be worse. The published number depends on how conservative or honest the manufacturer is.

Anyway, intermod immunity and image rejection are usually more important.

Art Harris N2AH

Faive <ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com> wrote:
> Hello. When I look for UNIDEN scanners sensitivity they say: e.g.

> (at 12dB SINAD) : 29 TO 54 Mhz: 0.3 microvolts

> When I look for the Radio Shack PRO-93 sensitivity their specs says:
> e.g.

> (at 20 dB S/N) : 25 TO 54 Mhz: 0.3 microvolts

> Why the difference? Why one used SINAD at 12dB and the other 20 dB
> S/N, what is the difference between SINAD and S/N?

> Thank you very much.

> P.S. Does someone knows the sensitivity rates of the Uniden bc-245xlt?

1343. for sale icom71a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:36:22 UTC
From: williammarra@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020124163622.21364.00000232@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 53412

Subject: for sale icom71a
From: williammarra@aol.com (WilliamMarra)
Date: 1/24/02 1:33 PM Pacific Standard Time
Message-id: <20020124163346.21364.00000231@mb-ce.aol.com>

I have an Icom71a mint condition .org box manual.remote.with an UX-14
converter installed.(interface for computer). shipped
anywhere in U.S.450.00.(williammarra@aol.com)

1344. Re: Scanner law - I don't think so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:41:45 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c507f93$0$23775$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That's it..Emilio. Perhaps Deluded Thinking is an Emilio clone!

Ruff

"Kevin P" <kpatt@rconnect.com> wrote in message
news:pY038.959$ag5.88954@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
LOL The name that came to my mind was Emilio. Sounds like one of his. But
he usually takes credit for it.

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c4bd5a5$0$10077$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
I smell a rat, but I can't remember it's name ....

Ruff.

"Delayed Thinking" <delay@delaythinking.com> wrote in message
news:SxO28.2198$3u1.28311@rwcrnsc54...
> > Since my wife and I lost our baby last year, we have both been to a
<snip>

1345. Re: Best mobile antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:47:01 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C5080D5.FB8D4A27@USA.net>
Source record: 53414

Now it's my turn. From a professional, I/We have used the Hustler MOR model mounted on an "L" bracket between the front fender and the
hood for the past 15 years. There was times when the hustler would out perform the 1/4 wave on our county radios. All of our police
vehicles (all 15) use the same antenna and are mounted in the same area and work perfect on BC-560XLT scanners.

Why spend your money on an over priced commerical antenna just to receive when this Hustler MOR will do the same if not better at
times.

"God Bless America" for our Volunteers.

1346. Re: Pro-92 Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:59:56 UTC
From: S <SJ@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <wt%38.15276$At4.9566@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Blah Blah Blah, does your neat and pretty 93 do LTR? NO!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Some one MIGHT like the idea of BEING ABLE to do that IF they NEED to HEAR LTR in their area.What A dickhead.

1347. Re: Pro-92 Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 21:59:56 UTC
From: S <SJ@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <wt%38.15277$At4.13101@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

YATTA YATTA YATTA, Does your 93 do LTR? NO!!!!!!!!!! Someone MIGHT actually want that if they have LTR in their area. MORON.

1348. clinics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 22:07:59 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <3B%38.59$a%4.33@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone know the frequencies for the sex-change clinics? I'm just curious.

1349. Re: clinics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 22:13:22 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <mm115ukve8k2hu9he2lnrdiarnjtnak3i4@4ax.com>
Source record: 53418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Emilio Goldstein"
<egoldstein@sofa.net> spake thusly

>Does anyone know the frequencies for the sex-change clinics? I'm just
>curious.

I don't have the freqs, but I DO know they're on 'odd splits'.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1350. Re: Best mobile antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 22:38:59 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a2poes$i00$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53405

Antenna Specialists or Larsen trunk-lip or magnetic mount. Ask your local public safety officials what they prefer and that may also steer you in the right direction.

David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message news:AJU38.26309$zX1.15327237@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Any recommendations? Scanner is a Pro 2067, frequency range is from about 150.000 to 465.000
> Would prefer not to drill any holes in my truck.
> TIA
>
> --
>
>
> _____
>
>

1351. Re: clinics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 22:39:48 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c508d2e$0$23781$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:3B%38.59$a%4.33@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
Does anyone know the frequencies for the sex-change clinics? I'm just
curious.

Can't keep you down for long, eh Emilio? Try 142 MegaHurts LOL.

Ruff

1352. Re: Is new RS PRO-93 no better than my old Regency HX1500 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 22:48:41 UTC
From: richard dreyfuss <jquimby@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91A0AAE4D8EFEjquimbyhotmailcom@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 53420

nweiler@nac.net (Norman Weiler) wrote in
news:7225aa4b.0201241145.39022aee@posting.google.com:

> Hi,
>
> My first and only hand-held has been my Regency HX1500, purchased
> around 1986. At the time I believe it was considered to pretty good.
> About 6 years ago I tried out a top-of-the-line Radio Shack with more
> channels, more banks, etc. I compared the two regarding their ability
> to bring in faint signals and returned the RS because I thought my
> HX1500 was still the better performer.
>
> Now, being even more hampered by just 4 banks, 55 channels, and slow
> scan rate, I'm giving the new PRO-93 a shot. I'm in a rural area &#65533;
> trunking is not a big concern to me (railroading is) - but I do expect
> the PRO-93 to pull in anything my HX can.
>
> So here's my test &#65533; maybe not to scientific &#65533; no lab testing devices,
> etc. - just me, the two scanners, one in each hand, held outside about
> 5 feet apart, with a railroad crew drilling local industries about 8
> miles away. They are using the loco's radio and a portable. Both are
> set on manual to freq 160.485. For every 5 transmissions the HX1500
> picks up, the PRO-93 picks up the 1 or 2 stongest. I move around,
> keeping the scanners at the same height, swap from one hand to the
> other &#65533; everything I can do to make sure one doesn't have an edge over
> the other. Results don't change - the PRO-93 doesn't see everything
> the HX does.
>
> The specs from each manual seem to give the edge to the newer PRO-93,
> so why does the PRO-93 not pull in like the HX ?? Did I just happen
> to pick a band that the PRO-93 is deficient in ??
>
> Is there a hand-held out there with the features of the PRO-93, but
> with the performance of the old Regency, in the $200 area ?
>
> Thanks,
> Norm in NJ
>
> nweiler@nac.net

Try swapping their antennas? Maybe the 1500's antenna happens to be more efficient at that frequency for whatever reason. Not likely, but easy to test.

Ben

1353. Re: 780 List Scan Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-24 22:56:45 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020124175645.27809.00000346@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 53421

I have a 780 and a Pro-93. In my area the Pro-93 holds the control channel a little better.

1354. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 00:08:13 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <NI148.33569$6L5.5037353@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53422

ICOM R2 is only about $150 - hardly the most expensive. But I'll agree that scanning is more than just dialing the freq and listening.

pjw

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message news:37X38.8953$eL.3762@news1.bloor.is...
> Why do you people go out and buy the most expensive radios on the market,
> only to not no how to properly use them or know how to scan in in general.
>
> Before going out and wasting all your money on a radio that you will only
> half use, save yourself some dollars and go out buy a cheap scanner first,
> learn the aspects of scanning, then go out and waste your money on an
> expensive radio.
>
> That's just my 2 cents here.
>
>
> <paul@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:aafu4uk5514crt9tqb9h2dqnm0btuhjj4k@4ax.com...
> > How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to emergency
> > services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.
> >
> > Thanks
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.317 / Virus Database: 176 - Release Date: 21/01/02
>
>

1355. Radio Shack Pro-89 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 00:09:50 UTC
From: Worf <star5@jupiter.com>
Message-ID: <3c50a24e$1@news.snowhill.com>
Source record: 53423

I've just aquired a Radio Shack Pro-89 scanner. I am looking for information/web site that can give me information on restoring the 800 MHz range. Any information would be appreciated.

Worf

1356. Operation of Startac when you call 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 00:34:57 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <ld915us8kgbad2mnm40ef1u29c3m839omn@4ax.com>
Source record: 53424

Hi all,

I wonder if anyone knows intimate details of Startac cell phone operation?

Specifically I am referring to the model 7868W, on Verizon CDMA in the Baltimore system if it matters.

My question is: when I call 911, the phone's readout says 'Emergency Mode'. Even after I hang up, the readout continues to say this until I power down the phone. Operation doesn't seem to be affected.

The phone is in the car kit (the full Convertacom style, with an outboard electronics box, remote antenna, spkr, mic, etc.) when this happens. I haven't had occasion to call 911 when portable. I'm not sure if the message is generated in the phone itself or in the car kit electronics.

I wonder if anything changes in the phone after I call 911, and if so, what? Or did the cats who wrote the firmware merely forget to clear the readout after the 911 call terminates? And, if so, what is the purpose for indicating Emergency Mode in the readout? This pretty much implies something is going on.

Neither Verizon or Motorola tech support knows, nor does the local phone store.

Of course there is nothing mentioned in the manual.

Does anyone have any input on this?

Tks .. Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

1357. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 00:47:33 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <FW148.11306$eL.3013@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 53425

That $150 is american correct???? Thought so. That turns out to be about an almost $300 radio Canadian. I would think yes it would be considered top of the line.

"Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message news:Nl148.33569$6L5.5037353@news02.optonline.net...
> ICOM R2 is only about $150 - hardly the most expensive. But I'll agree that
> scanning is more than just dialing the freq and listening.
>
> pjw
>
>
> "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:37X38.8953$eL.3762@news1.bloor.is...
> > Why do you people go out and buy the most expensive radios on the market,
> > only to not no how to properly use them or know how to scan in in general.
> >
> > Before going out and wasting all your money on a radio that you will only
> > half use, save yourself some dollars and go out buy a cheap scanner first,
> > learn the aspects of scanning, then go out and waste your money on an
> > expensive radio.
> >
> > That's just my 2 cents here.
> >
> >
> > <paul@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:aafu4uk5514crt9tqb9h2dqnm0btuhjj4k@4ax.com...
> > > How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to
> emergency
> > > services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.
> > >
> > > Thanks
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.317 / Virus Database: 176 - Release Date: 21/01/02
> >

> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.317 / Virus Database: 176 - Release Date: 21/01/02

1358. Re: Radio Shack Pro-89 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 01:59:30 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <XZ248.666$17.515173@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 53426

If the 800 MHz band is not working, you need to send it in for repair.

"Worf" <star5@jupiter.com> wrote in message
news:3c50a24e$1@news.snowhill.com...
>
> I've just aquired a Radio Shack Pro-89 scanner. I am looking for
information/web site that can give me information on restoring the 800 MHz
range. Any information would be appreciated.
>
> Worf

1359. Re: Is new RS PRO-93 no better than my old Regency HX1500 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 02:02:30 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <W0348.36534$6L5.5414780@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53427

Get the $10 whip antenna from rat shack. It'll cure
some of your problems.

"Norman Weiler" <nweiler@nac.net> wrote in message
news:7225aa4b.0201241145.39022aee@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> My first and only hand-held has been my Regency HX1500, purchased
> around 1986. At the time I believe it was considered to pretty good.
> About 6 years ago I tried out a top-of-the-line Radio Shack with more
> channels, more banks, etc. I compared the two regarding their ability
> to bring in faint signals and returned the RS because I thought my
> HX1500 was still the better performer.
>
> Now, being even more hampered by just 4 banks, 55 channels, and slow
> scan rate, I'm giving the new PRO-93 a shot. I'm in a rural area -
> trunking is not a big concern to me (railroading is) - but I do expect
> the PRO-93 to pull in anything my HX can.
>
> So here's my test - maybe not to scientific - no lab testing devices,
> etc. - just me, the two scanners, one in each hand, held outside about
> 5 feet apart, with a railroad crew drilling local industries about 8
> miles away. They are using the loco's radio and a portable. Both are
> set on manual to freq 160.485. For every 5 transmissions the HX1500
> picks up, the PRO-93 picks up the 1 or 2 stongest. I move around,
> keeping the scanners at the same height, swap from one hand to the
> other - everything I can do to make sure one doesn't have an edge over
> the other. Results don't change - the PRO-93 doesn't see everything
> the HX does.
>
> The specs from each manual seem to give the edge to the newer PRO-93,
> so why does the PRO-93 not pull in like the HX ?? Did I just happen
> to pick a band that the PRO-93 is deficient in ??
>
> Is there a hand-held out there with the features of the PRO-93, but
> with the performance of the old Regency, in the $200 area ?
>
> Thanks,
> Norm in NJ
>
> nweiler@nac.net

1360. Re: Best mobile antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 02:19:26 UTC
From: "David B." <davejb@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Og348.24532$hp6.7250@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53428

I think I'll give their magnetic mount a try, can't beat the price. Would a pre-amp like the Dosy REC-7 do anything for me here?

--

_____
<jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C5080D5.FB8D4A27@USA.net...
> Now it's my turn. From a professional, I/We have used the Hustler MOR model mounted on an "L" bracket between the front fender
and the
> hood for the past 15 years. There was times when the hustler would out perform the 1/4 wave on our county radios. All of our
police
> vehicles (all 15) use the same antenna and are mounted in the same area and work perfect on BC-560XLT scanners.
>
> Why spend your money on an over priced commerical antenna just to receive when this Hustler MOR will do the same if not better at
> times.
>
> "God Bless America" for our Volunteers.
>
>

1361. NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 03:24:37 UTC
From: k3vr@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4bfc7bb.0201241924.4fdd5373@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. I label the package with the printed Label ups.com gives you when you have an account with them. I drop off the package at an "Authorized UPS Shipping Center" because it is insured for $3,400 and that is what UPS requires. I get a receipt from the shipping center stating that the item has been properly packed and labeled. OK so far... Four days later a guy in New Jersey at a communications business calls me. "We have your package and we don't know why it came here, but we opened it and read your letter inside. Looks like it is supposed to go to Colorado, what should we do with it?" I tell the guy thanks for calling me and I will call UPS and get back to him. I call 1-800-pickups. The first "support" person hangs up on me. The second says I "should take it up with the shipping center" (all they did was hold it for the truck pickup, the UPS driver in reality should have scanned it upon pickup). I ask to speak to a supervisor. The supervisor is cooperative and I tell her I want the thing overnighted from New Jersey to Colorado since it is "Guaranteed" to be there tomorrow by UPS. She says, "OK, I will call NJ UPS and set that up, they will call you in one hour or less." I thank her VERY much and ask for her name, title, and location. She complies. I am a happy camper. One hour passes, no call, two hours pass, no call. I call UPS back and ask to speak to this individual and... No such individual exists!! By this time it is late so I call and get another person in the morning who tells me to "take it up with the shipper..." I ask to speak to HER Supervisor. Her Supervisor says she will investigate and get back to me.... 7 hours go by. I call her and ask for a status report. Luckily, "she exists." Her answer? "Sir, since the package arrived in NJ and it was signed for; it is considered a completed delivery." I ask, incredulous... "What about my package?" "Sir," she says, "You need to contact the individual in NJ who has your package and ask him to forward it to Colorado for you. Since it was never scanned into our system there will be no charge." UPS at no time took responsibility for this transaction, despite my signed receipt. At no time did they offer a viable solution to a problem they created. At one time I received FALSE information from a UPS employee just to get me off the phone. Hence; I WILL NEVER DEAL WITH UPS AGAIN, and I would urge all persons to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything of value to UPS. Only through the kindness and honesty of an individual in NJ was I able to locate and send my package to its proper destination. Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will not have passed through UPS hands.

1362. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 03:33:19 UTC
From: Bart <bart99gt@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xj448.58989$Y17.4410135@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53430

<paul@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:t7kv4usuesp7pvef86d4hek52o6n1fl4es@4ax.com...
> There are two frequency ranges I generally try to listen to,
> 140-150Mhz
> 450-460Mhz
>
> I have frequencies for base and mobile which indicates that I hear the
base
> on one frequency and the mobile on another, do I have to flick between
both
> channels?
>
> If the base is the other side of town I would never hear them so I guess
> that this is what you meant by a repeater? Should I try and find that
> frequency?

That's the idea...I usually can't even pick up an individual cop's radio, and I usually don't have very good luck picking up the patrol car radios themselves...just about every public safety agency around here uses a trunked system, or has multiple repeater/remote base stations that reduces the need for using a higher powered car mounted radio. Usually, a larger county will install several repeaters around the county, to insure better radio reception, they are typically linked by a dedicated landline, microwave, or even another VHF channel.

Only a very small town is going to operate simplex these days...where everyone talks and listens on the same channel.

Bart

1363. Freq Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 03:53:17 UTC
From: Chris Norton <chris142@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NE448.10052$Xw4.2167965@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 53432

Are there any good frequency databases on the web.Thanks
Chris

1364. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 04:12:57 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <3C50DB49.830F0668@eznec.com>
Source record: 53433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I've been using UPS air services for around 10 years now. Everything I've ever sent has been delivered to the intended recipient. Only one package I know of was delivered late -- by a day -- and UPS refunded the shipping charge when I brought it to their attention.

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

1365. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 04:15:59 UTC
From: " .Your Friend Bill" <none@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3C50DBFF.884BD888@coqui.net>
Source record: 53434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Brian wrote:
>
> Get this:
I would urge all persons
> to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything
> of value to UPS.

Maybe they should lower their standards so that deserving minorities
could obtain these jobs.

:-)

Bill

1366. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 04:21:03 UTC
From: Bill Aycock <baycock@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <3C50DD2F.DB4322B7@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 53435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Fed-ex is fine if you are a business, have a city address, are in the
yellow pages, and have a sign out front. If you do not qualify, it's
just TS.
I live in a rural area- UPS found out how to find us country folk, a
long time ago. The first delivery FedEx tried, they got lost three
times, and I finally had to get them to sit still so I could find them,
instead of the other way.
The worst is RPS- they sent a semi to deliver a two pound package, and
got where they couldnt turn around.
Bill-W4BSG

Murray Neece wrote:
>
> I have only been using them two months, but so far, even though they lost
> a set of tubes...Im much more impressed....UPS damaged about 1 out of 8
> packages over 35 lbs. that I sent....THEY SUCK and now YOU KNOW....BOYCOTT
> em..use fedex they are pretty good so far..73 Murray
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. I label the package
> > with the printed Label ups.com gives you when you have an account with
> > them. I drop off the package at an "Authorized UPS Shipping Center"
> > because it is insured for $3,400 and that is what UPS requires. I get
> > a receipt from the shipping center stating that the item has been
> > properly packed and labeled. OK so far... Four days later a guy in New
> > Jersey at a communications business calls me. "We have your package
> > and we don't know why it came here, but we opened it and read your
> > letter inside. Looks like it is supposed to go to Colorado, what
> > should we do with it?" I tell the guy thanks for calling me and I will
> > call UPS and get back to him. I call 1-800-pickups. The first
> > "support" person hangs up on me. The second says I "should take it up
> > with the shipping center" (all they did was hold it for the truck
> > pickup, the UPS driver in reality should have scanned it upon pickup).
> > I ask to speak to a supervisor. The supervisor is cooperative and I
> > tell her I want the thing overnighted from New Jersey to Colorado
> > since it is "Guaranteed" to be there tomorrow by UPS. She says, "OK, I
> > will call NJ UPS and set that up, they will call you in one hour or
> > less." I thank her VERY much and ask for her name, title, and
> > location. She complies. I am a happy camper. One hour passes, no call,
> > two hours pass, no call. I call UPS back and ask to speak to this
> > individual and... No such individual exists!! By this time it is late
> > so I call and get another person in the morning who tells me to "take

> > it up with the shipper..." I ask to speak to HER Supervisor. Her
> > Supervisor says she will investigate and get back to me.... 7 hours go
> > by. I call her and ask for a status report. Luckily, "she exists." Her
> > answer? "Sir, since the package arrived in NJ and it was signed for;
> > it is considered a completed delivery." I ask, incredulous... "What
> > about my package?" "Sir," she says, "You need to contact the
> > individual in NJ who has your package and ask him to forward it to
> > Colorado for you. Since it was never scanned into our system there
> > will be no charge." UPS at no time took responsibility for this
> > transaction, despite my signed receipt. At no time did they offer a
> > viable solution to a problem they created. At one time I received
> > FALSE information from a UPS employee just to get me off the phone.
> > Hence; I WILL NEVER DEAL WITH UPS AGAIN, and I would urge all persons
> > to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything
> > of value to UPS. Only through the kindness and honesty of an
> > individual in NJ was I able to locate and send my package to its
> > proper destination. Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> > not have passed through UPS hands.

1367. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 05:01:28 UTC
From: Long Island Joe <joe@GETOUTverizon.net>
Message-ID: <IE548.24584$hp6.13778@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

>Murray Neece wrote snip:
>UPS damaged about 1 out of 8

Well Murray that pretty good for "OOPs"
Them SOB's just smashed a empty anvil flight case coming back from the R-390 (non A) buyer.
Looks like Godzilla took a big bite then a big _
2 huge holes with this black muck and red oil saturating the foam ...(fork lift ?)
The YL rep say's it was improperly packed...WHAT ?
I dont know, Perhaps this is the new R-390 ventilation mod.
.......Boy am I pissed off !
I cant ship the other radio now

-jOE

Murray Neece wrote in message <3C50F029.5FBB21D2@cableone.net>...
>I have only been using them two months, but so far, even though they lost
>a set of tubes...Im much more impressed....UPS damaged about 1 out of 8
>packages over 35 lbs. that I sent....THEY SUCK and now YOU KNOW....BOYCOTT
>em..use fedex they are pretty good so far..73 Murray
>
>Brian wrote:
>
>> Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. I label the package
>> with the printed Label ups.com gives you when you have an account with
>> them. I drop off the package at an "Authorized UPS Shipping Center"
>> because it is insured for $3,400 and that is what UPS requires. I get
>> a receipt from the shipping center stating that the item has been
>> properly packed and labeled. OK so far... Four days later a guy in New
>> Jersey at a communications business calls me. "We have your package
>> and we don't know why it came here, but we opened it and read your
>> letter inside. Looks like it is supposed to go to Colorado, what
>> should we do with it?" I tell the guy thanks for calling me and I will
>> call UPS and get back to him. I call 1-800-pickups. The first
>> "support" person hangs up on me. The second says I "should take it up
>> with the shipping center" (all they did was hold it for the truck
>> pickup, the UPS driver in reality should have scanned it upon pickup).
>> I ask to speak to a supervisor. The supervisor is cooperative and I
>> tell her I want the thing overnighted from New Jersey to Colorado
>> since it is "Guaranteed" to be there tomorrow by UPS. She says, "OK, I
>> will call NJ UPS and set that up, they will call you in one hour or
>> less." I thank her VERY much and ask for her name, title, and
>> location. She complies. I am a happy camper. One hour passes, no call,

>> two hours pass, no call. I call UPS back and ask to speak to this
>> individual and... No such individual exists!! By this time it is late
>> so I call and get another person in the morning who tells me to "take
>> it up with the shipper..." I ask to speak to HER Supervisor. Her
>> Supervisor says she will investigate and get back to me.... 7 hours go
>> by. I call her and ask for a status report. Luckily, "she exists." Her
>> answer? "Sir, since the package arrived in NJ and it was signed for;
>> it is considered a completed delivery." I ask, incredulous... "What
>> about my package?" "Sir," she says, "You need to contact the
>> individual in NJ who has your package and ask him to forward it to
>> Colorado for you. Since it was never scanned into our system there
>> will be no charge." UPS at no time took responsibility for this
>> transaction, despite my signed receipt. At no time did they offer a
>> viable solution to a problem they created. At one time I received
>> FALSE information from a UPS employee just to get me off the phone.
>> Hence; I WILL NEVER DEAL WITH UPS AGAIN, and I would urge all persons
>> to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything
>> of value to UPS. Only through the kindness and honesty of an
>> individual in NJ was I able to locate and send my package to its
>> proper destination. Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
>> not have passed through UPS hands.
>

1368. Re: Operation of Startac when you call 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 05:36:52 UTC
From: Bill <blicht01@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <U9648.1828$d_2.1326266537@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53437

Usually by hitting the 'end' button will clear the 911/Emergency mode, or scroll through your menu for 'end'.

This is done in case there is an emergency and the caller needs to re-dial it quickly. I'm not sure why, but Sprint has the same thing and it may have been mandated by the FCC.

"Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message news:ld915us8kgbad2mnm40ef1u29c3m839omn@4ax.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I wonder if anyone knows intimate details of Startac cell phone
> operation?
>
> Specifically I am referring to the model 7868W, on Verizon CDMA in the
> Baltimore system if it matters.
>
> My question is: when I call 911, the phone's readout says 'Emergency
> Mode'. Even after I hang up, the readout continues to say this until I
> power down the phone. Operation doesn't seem to be affected.
>
> The phone is in the car kit (the full Convertacom style, with an
> outboard electronics box, remote antenna, spkr, mic, etc.) when this
> happens. I haven't had occasion to call 911 when portable. I'm not
> sure if the message is generated in the phone itself or in the car kit
> electronics.
>
> I wonder if anything changes in the phone after I call 911, and if so,
> what? Or did the cats who wrote the firmware merely forget to clear
> the readout after the 911 call terminates? And, if so, what is the
> purpose for indicating Emergency Mode in the readout? This pretty much
> implies something is going on.
>
> Neither Verizon or Motorola tech support knows, nor does the local
> phone store.
>
> Of course there is nothing mentioned in the manual.
>
> Does anyone have any input on this?
>
> Tks .. Steve
>
>
> **********
> Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)

> Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
> mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
> tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
> "In God we trust, all others we monitor"
> **

1369. FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 05:42:01 UTC
From: Murray Neece <radioranch@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3C50F029.5FBB21D2@cableone.net>
Source record: 53431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: radioranch.vcf

I have only been using them two months, but so far, even though they lost
a set of tubes...Im much more impressed....UPS damaged about 1 out of 8
packages over 35 lbs. that I sent....THEY SUCK and now YOU KNOW....BOYCOTT
em..use fedex they are pretty good so far..73 Murray

Brian wrote:

> Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. I label the package
> with the printed Label ups.com gives you when you have an account with
> them. I drop off the package at an "Authorized UPS Shipping Center"
> because it is insured for $3,400 and that is what UPS requires. I get
> a receipt from the shipping center stating that the item has been
> properly packed and labeled. OK so far... Four days later a guy in New
> Jersey at a communications business calls me. "We have your package
> and we don't know why it came here, but we opened it and read your
> letter inside. Looks like it is supposed to go to Colorado, what
> should we do with it?" I tell the guy thanks for calling me and I will
> call UPS and get back to him. I call 1-800-pickups. The first
> "support" person hangs up on me. The second says I "should take it up
> with the shipping center" (all they did was hold it for the truck
> pickup, the UPS driver in reality should have scanned it upon pickup).
> I ask to speak to a supervisor. The supervisor is cooperative and I
> tell her I want the thing overnighted from New Jersey to Colorado
> since it is "Guaranteed" to be there tomorrow by UPS. She says, "OK, I
> will call NJ UPS and set that up, they will call you in one hour or
> less." I thank her VERY much and ask for her name, title, and
> location. She complies. I am a happy camper. One hour passes, no call,
> two hours pass, no call. I call UPS back and ask to speak to this
> individual and... No such individual exists!! By this time it is late
> so I call and get another person in the morning who tells me to "take
> it up with the shipper..." I ask to speak to HER Supervisor. Her
> Supervisor says she will investigate and get back to me.... 7 hours go
> by. I call her and ask for a status report. Luckily, "she exists." Her
> answer? "Sir, since the package arrived in NJ and it was signed for;
> it is considered a completed delivery." I ask, incredulous... "What
> about my package?" "Sir," she says, "You need to contact the
> individual in NJ who has your package and ask him to forward it to
> Colorado for you. Since it was never scanned into our system there
> will be no charge." UPS at no time took responsibility for this
> transaction, despite my signed receipt. At no time did they offer a
> viable solution to a problem they created. At one time I received
> FALSE information from a UPS employee just to get me off the phone.
> Hence; I WILL NEVER DEAL WITH UPS AGAIN, and I would urge all persons

> to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything
> of value to UPS. Only through the kindness and honesty of an
> individual in NJ was I able to locate and send my package to its
> proper destination. Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> not have passed through UPS hands.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1370. Major update for AFS780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 06:46:19 UTC
From: Avraam <avraam3334@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%a748.3098$a%4.12024@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The new version is V 0.0.1.88 B
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt

1)the serial communication object completely changed.
2)faster communication with the scanner
3)first try to make the AFS780 work with bc780xlt and bc245xlt

I need feed back from bc245xlt owners because I don't own a bc245xlt and I don't know if will work or not.

Thank you,
-avraam
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt

1371. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 07:38:33 UTC
From: rickm <rickm_msn@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ubglMNXpBHA.388@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 53439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Actually, you don't need a business address for Fed Ex.

Fed Ex now has a ground service that I've been using for a couple of years. Haven't had any problems with it at all, and it's a heck of a lot cheaper than UPS.

Several years ago, when I owned my own business, I had UPS deliver some C.O.D. packages. I made a mistake and paid for them with cash. A few weeks later, UPS comes back to me and tells me they lost my check and asks me to rewrite the check. When I explained that I paid in cash, they told me I was mistaken, and demanded another check. This went on for a couple of months and then I started getting harrasing phone calls from attorneys representing UPS. It was a total mess, and ended up costing me several thousand dollars. I agree, I'll never use UPS again.

Rick, K6SIX

"Bill Aycock" <baycock@hiwaay.net> wrote in message news:3C50DD2F.DB4322B7@hiwaay.net...
> Fed-ex is fine if you are a business, have a city address, are in the
> yellow pages, and have a sign out front. If you do not qualify, it's
> just TS.
> I live in a rural area- UPS found out how to find us country folk, a
> long time ago. The first delivery FedEx tried, they got lost three
> times, and I finally had to get them to sit still so I could find them,
> instead of the other way.
> The worst is RPS- they sent a semi to deliver a two pound package, and
> got where they couldnt turn around.
> Bill-W4BSG
>
> Murray Neece wrote:
> >
> > I have only been using them two months, but so far, even though they
lost
> > a set of tubes...Im much more impressed....UPS damaged about 1 out of 8
> > packages over 35 lbs. that I sent....THEY SUCK and now YOU
KNOW....BOYCOTT
> > em..use fedex they are pretty good so far..73 Murray
> >

1372. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 07:47:03 UTC
From: Donovan Smith <donovan@integratasolutions.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16babb66aa6cd8e3989680@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 53440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

In article <4bfc7bb.0201241924.4fdd5373@posting.google.com>,
k3vr@hotmail.com says...
> Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. I label the package
> with the printed Label ups.com gives you when you have an account with
> them. I drop off the package at an "Authorized UPS Shipping Center"
> because it is insured for $3,400 and that is what UPS requires. I get
> a receipt from the shipping center stating that the item has been
> properly packed and labeled. OK so far... Four days later a guy in New
> Jersey at a communications business calls me. "We have your package
> and we don't know why it came here, but we opened it and read your
> letter inside. Looks like it is supposed to go to Colorado, what
> should we do with it?" I tell the guy thanks for calling me and I will
> call UPS and get back to him. I call 1-800-pickups. The first
> "support" person hangs up on me. The second says I "should take it up
> with the shipping center" (all they did was hold it for the truck
> pickup, the UPS driver in reality should have scanned it upon pickup).
> I ask to speak to a supervisor. The supervisor is cooperative and I
> tell her I want the thing overnighted from New Jersey to Colorado
> since it is "Guaranteed" to be there tomorrow by UPS. She says, "OK, I
> will call NJ UPS and set that up, they will call you in one hour or
> less." I thank her VERY much and ask for her name, title, and
> location. She complies. I am a happy camper. One hour passes, no call,
> two hours pass, no call. I call UPS back and ask to speak to this
> individual and... No such individual exists!! By this time it is late
> so I call and get another person in the morning who tells me to "take
> it up with the shipper..." I ask to speak to HER Supervisor. Her
> Supervisor says she will investigate and get back to me.... 7 hours go
> by. I call her and ask for a status report. Luckily, "she exists." Her
> answer? "Sir, since the package arrived in NJ and it was signed for;
> it is considered a completed delivery." I ask, incredulous... "What
> about my package?" "Sir," she says, "You need to contact the
> individual in NJ who has your package and ask him to forward it to
> Colorado for you. Since it was never scanned into our system there
> will be no charge." UPS at no time took responsibility for this
> transaction, despite my signed receipt. At no time did they offer a
> viable solution to a problem they created. At one time I received
> FALSE information from a UPS employee just to get me off the phone.
> Hence; I WILL NEVER DEAL WITH UPS AGAIN, and I would urge all persons
> to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything
> of value to UPS. Only through the kindness and honesty of an
> individual in NJ was I able to locate and send my package to its
> proper destination. Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> not have passed through UPS hands.

I just use the US Postal Service. Not always the most gentle, the fastest, or the cheapest but it is the most convenient and reliable. I have heard too many horror stories about FedEx and UPS to want to use them for anything. And at least USPS can truly deliver anywhere in the country.

--
Donovan Smith
ICQ: 101193930
http://www.integratasolutions.com

1373. Wayne County, Michigan, Sheriff Dept.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 07:55:02 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3C510F4D.67D5DD0E@ameritech.net>
Source record: 53441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have a complete and up-to-date list of the unit id's used by the Wayne County Sheriff Dept.? Recently, they have added several new unit numbering series.

Any help will be greatly appreciated.

Thank you!

73's
--
==========

Ron Wilbanks

Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"

*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***

"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
==========

1374. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 09:18:46 UTC
From: Paul Ponting <paul@pponting.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <cn825u02mdcum7upc5049d9rvuigphrm6r@4ax.com>
Source record: 53442

I wasn't really sure if that was relevant, now that you ask, I live near Liverpool in the UK. I am not trying to waste anyone's time.

>>
>Yes. It could be that your area doesn't use repeaters and if a mobile
>unit is not nearby then you won't hear them. It would help if you told
>us where the hell you live so we all wouldn't be wasting our time on a
>guessing game.

1375. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 09:19:58 UTC
From: paul@nospam.com
Message-ID: <7p825uscpck5n1u85tudrlro3iks0t7ce5@4ax.com>
Source record: 53443

Chill out, for one, I hardly think the Icom R2 is the most expensive
scanner on the market.
I bought the R2 based on size and recommendation, then I will learn to use
it to it's full.

So what if I didn't buy a cheap second hand scanner first? would you be
flaming me if I had bought a cheap one and was asking the same question? I
guess the answer is No. Does it really matter what scanner I have? I only
mentioned it incase someone said "Oh, you can't do that with the Icom". If
I didn't mention what scanner I had, someone would have asked me which I
had.

Just my 5 cents worth (obviously I like to waste money)

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote:

>Why do you people go out and buy the most expensive radios on the market,
>only to not no how to properly use them or know how to scan in in general.
>
>Before going out and wasting all your money on a radio that you will only
>half use, save yourself some dollars and go out buy a cheap scanner first,
>learn the aspects of scanning, then go out and waste your money on an
>expensive radio.
>
>That's just my 2 cents here.
>
>
><paul@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:aafu4uk5514crt9tqb9h2dqnm0btuhjj4k@4ax.com...
>> How do you get both sides of the conversation when listening to emergency
>> services frequencies? I have an Icom R2.
>>
>> Thanks
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.317 / Virus Database: 176 - Release Date: 21/01/02
>

1376. Re: Both sides of conversation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 09:20:52 UTC
From: paul@nospam.com
Message-ID: <5r825u4djuebisg72rv2bfuphrj4ff2j9b@4ax.com>
Source record: 53444

I wasn't really sure if that was relevant, now that you ask, I live near Liverpool in the UK. I am not trying to waste anyone's time.

>>
>Yes. It could be that your area doesn't use repeaters and if a mobile
>unit is not nearby then you won't hear them. It would help if you told
>us where the hell you live so we all wouldn't be wasting our time on a
>guessing game.

1377. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 09:58:16 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a2ra84$13iqrf$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53445

"Secret Squirrel" <squirrel@echelon.alias.net> wrote in message
news:05ab7144c0358adaade74de5cdbbc385@anonymous.poster...
> 1. Frequencies used during illegal home break-ins by U.S. federal agents.

US Federal Agents do not do illegal home break-ins. They use their warrants
to do that. That makes it legal.
Be more specific. What agency are you talking about. DEA?

> 2. Computers in police cars receiving and transmitting.

Get a frequency counter and use it next time you are in the back seat of the
police car. Again what agency and radio equipment?
Take a look at their equipment over the front seat next time you are there.
Call the local department and ask. Its not a secret.I suggest yuou use one
of your clone cell phones since you home phone is probably tapped.

> 3. Tracking devices secretly hidden under cars by police.

Again use the frequency counter around your car, hopefully the police gave
it back when you got out.

> 4. Small, hidden video surveillance cameras by police.

The mounted cameras in cars? The ones in your house? Is it hard wired? It
wont be on RF then. Again use the frequency counter on the alarm clock by
your scales or microwave oven.

> Thank you.

This is just out of fun. ;)

1378. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 10:29:58 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Gsa48.50347$yy3.9655256@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

> > Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. I label the package
> > with the printed Label ups.com gives you when you have an account with
> > them. I drop off the package at an "Authorized UPS Shipping Center"
> > because it is insured for $3,400 and that is what UPS requires. I get
> > a receipt from the shipping center stating that the item has been
> > properly packed and labeled. OK so far... Four days later a guy in New
> > Jersey at a communications business calls me. "We have your package
> > and we don't know why it came here, but we opened it and read your
> > letter inside. Looks like it is supposed to go to Colorado, what
> > should we do with it?" I tell the guy thanks for calling me and I will
> > call UPS and get back to him. I call 1-800-pickups. The first
> > "support" person hangs up on me. The second says I "should take it up
> > with the shipping center" (all they did was hold it for the truck
> > pickup, the UPS driver in reality should have scanned it upon pickup).
> > I ask to speak to a supervisor. The supervisor is cooperative and I
> > tell her I want the thing overnighted from New Jersey to Colorado
> > since it is "Guaranteed" to be there tomorrow by UPS. She says, "OK, I
> > will call NJ UPS and set that up, they will call you in one hour or
> > less." I thank her VERY much and ask for her name, title, and
> > location. She complies. I am a happy camper. One hour passes, no call,
> > two hours pass, no call. I call UPS back and ask to speak to this
> > individual and... No such individual exists!! By this time it is late
> > so I call and get another person in the morning who tells me to "take
> > it up with the shipper..." I ask to speak to HER Supervisor. Her
> > Supervisor says she will investigate and get back to me.... 7 hours go
> > by. I call her and ask for a status report. Luckily, "she exists." Her
> > answer? "Sir, since the package arrived in NJ and it was signed for;
> > it is considered a completed delivery." I ask, incredulous... "What
> > about my package?" "Sir," she says, "You need to contact the
> > individual in NJ who has your package and ask him to forward it to
> > Colorado for you. Since it was never scanned into our system there
> > will be no charge." UPS at no time took responsibility for this
> > transaction, despite my signed receipt. At no time did they offer a
> > viable solution to a problem they created. At one time I received
> > FALSE information from a UPS employee just to get me off the phone.
> > Hence; I WILL NEVER DEAL WITH UPS AGAIN, and I would urge all persons
> > to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything
> > of value to UPS. Only through the kindness and honesty of an
> > individual in NJ was I able to locate and send my package to its
> > proper destination. Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> > not have passed through UPS hands.
>
> I just use the US Postal Service. Not always the most gentle, the

> fastest, or the cheapest but it is the most convenient and reliable. I
> have heard too many horror stories about FedEx and UPS to want to use
> them for anything. And at least USPS can truly deliver anywhere in the
> country.

I just ordered from Universal in Ohio. I asked them to ship via USPS as I have had problems with UPS as I stated in another recent thread. I did have a RS DX-398 stolen by USPS and the box was returned empty! Just so happened I was in a hurry and didn't insure it. Makes me wonder if an uninsured packages are targets for theft, since that's the only one stolen. I filed a complaint but to no avail. They told me there are some dishonest people working for them. :(
DeWayne KE9K

>
> --
> Donovan Smith
> ICQ: 101193930
> http://www.integratasolutions.com

1379. Re: Is new RS PRO-93 no better than my old Regency HX1500 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 13:08:28 UTC
From: gdrdear@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020125080828.26685.00000471@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 53347

>Is there a hand-held out there with the features of the PRO-93, but
>with the performance of the old Regency, in the $200 area ?

If you can't find a receiver to match the Regency but feel you need the features of the newer radios anyway a small preamp might be the ticket. I'm not sure who makes them at this time although the one I use I built from a Ramsey kit. Good luck.
Dennis

1380. PicBasic Pro 2.4 now posted in alt.binaries.warez.ibm-pc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 13:34:07 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <2mn25ucml7vg294gto62h0fm5l6sh89id0@4ax.com>
Source record: 53348

http://www.picbasic.co.uk/

1381. WTB scanner/receiver. PLEASE HELP!! Need recommendations!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 13:36:08 UTC
From: "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cbd48.49127$6L5.6863570@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I need to buy soon!!

I did'nt know it would be so hard to get scanner recommendations from a scanner newsgroup. Please recommend scanners for me, as I want to purchase soon. I found not even the scanner venders seemed willing to make sugestions.

I DON'T LIKE: ICOMs,YAESUs, or any "mini" recievers.

I LOVE: AR8200 SERIES-2, YUPITERU MVT-9000MKII, DJ-X2000

I'm looking for a full coverage scanner with a "desent" scan rate, good size and VOLUME. I want this for field use so volume and size are a consideration. These scanners should be able to fit into a "tactical" holster/case so the "mini" scanners won't do.

And now the PROBLEM, I want to stay under $399! In that price range all I find are Radio Shack, and "mini" recievers with slow scan rates. I'm upgraging from an old RS scanner so I'm not looking for too much in terms of features. 500+ channels/100+ lockout FQs/10hrs+ battery life...

1382. 780 and CCOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 14:08:53 UTC
From: jfaul@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3c5165be.2742685@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 53350

Thanks to everyone that helped with my previous scan list problem. Dummy(me) should have checked the frequencies to make sure they were all correct..no wonder i would lose the control channel and than it would come back. You would think i would have checked the frequencies after owning 3 scanners previous to the 780. This excercise leads me to believe that the control channel does change and if so, how effective can CCOM be if the control channel changes. Let me know your thoughts.

jfaul@bellsouth.net

FYI, I have winscan 780 and am not real happy with it..data has been lost sometimes when transferring between scanner and PC and vive versa.

1383. Re: Best mobile antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 15:01:40 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <ore48.48$9d.5019@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 53351

I have a scanner in my truck and use a 2 meter ham antenna. Works great over the rubber duckie. I pick up public service repeaters as far as 40-50 miles away and further on a good day! Radio Scam (Shack) sells a decent (not the best) 2 meter magnet mount for 39 bucks and just get the appropriate adapter for your particular scanner. (PL-259 to BNC etc.)

Ryan KC8PMX

"David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message news:CR_38.9$KA4.31710@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Thanks for the info, unfortunately I am a local public safety official (LT on the FD), very few people have scanners in their cars,
> and the ones that do, I installed them using RS glass mount antennas. I have the same antenna but am getting very poor performance
> from it, compared to others, maybe my antenna is just bad?
>
> --
>
>
> _____
> "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:a2poes$i00$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Antenna Specialists or Larsen trunk-lip or magnetic mount. Ask your local
> > public safety officials what they prefer and that may also steer you in the
> > right direction.
> >
> >
> > David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message
> > news:AJU38.26309$zX1.15327237@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> > > Any recommendations? Scanner is a Pro 2067, frequency range is from about
> > 150.000 to 465.000
> > > Would prefer not to drill any holes in my truck.
> > > TIA
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

>
>

1384. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 16:02:28 UTC
From: Ben <BennotCasey@ben.ben.net>
Message-ID: <3C5181B5.B4196F72@ben.ben.net>
Source record: 53352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Hi OM,

Why use a third party shipper like those stores ?
Pay the fee and call them for a UPS Pickup and you are using UPS
(or fedex or usps whoever picks the box up)

by using these 'authorized centers' you are under their thumb if an error

is made. Lost or damaged, that third party shipper has to file the claims

with ups/fedex/etc. not you because you are not shipping the box, the
third
party is shipper and selected the carrier ups etc.

Another tip, if you are buying an item from someone either on auction or
swap, do not let the seller ship the item, call UPS or FEDEX and have the
go and pick it up by using the call service. small fee BUT big
difference..

you are now the sender and you can file the claim if lost/stolen/damaged.

otherwise, you are under the thumb of the seller and only seller can file
the
claim with carrier.

Very complicated but once learned you can have your packages arrive !

73 ben

Brian wrote:

> Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. I label the package
> with the printed Label ups.com gives you when you have an account with
> them. I drop off the package at an "Authorized UPS Shipping Center"
> because it is insured for $3,400 and that is what UPS requires. I get
> a receipt from the shipping center stating that the item has been
> properly packed and labeled. OK so far... Four days later a guy in New
> Jersey at a communications business calls me. "We have your package
> and we don't know why it came here, but we opened it and read your
> letter inside. Looks like it is supposed to go to Colorado, what
> should we do with it?" I tell the guy thanks for calling me and I will
> call UPS and get back to him. I call 1-800-pickups. The first
> "support" person hangs up on me. The second says I "should take it up
> with the shipping center" (all they did was hold it for the truck
> pickup, the UPS driver in reality should have scanned it upon pickup).
> I ask to speak to a supervisor. The supervisor is cooperative and I
> tell her I want the thing overnighted from New Jersey to Colorado

> since it is "Guaranteed" to be there tomorrow by UPS. She says, "OK, I
> will call NJ UPS and set that up, they will call you in one hour or
> less." I thank her VERY much and ask for her name, title, and
> location. She complies. I am a happy camper. One hour passes, no call,
> two hours pass, no call. I call UPS back and ask to speak to this
> individual and... No such individual exists!! By this time it is late
> so I call and get another person in the morning who tells me to "take
> it up with the shipper..." I ask to speak to HER Supervisor. Her
> Supervisor says she will investigate and get back to me.... 7 hours go
> by. I call her and ask for a status report. Luckily, "she exists." Her
> answer? "Sir, since the package arrived in NJ and it was signed for;
> it is considered a completed delivery." I ask, incredulous... "What
> about my package?" "Sir," she says, "You need to contact the
> individual in NJ who has your package and ask him to forward it to
> Colorado for you. Since it was never scanned into our system there
> will be no charge." UPS at no time took responsibility for this
> transaction, despite my signed receipt. At no time did they offer a
> viable solution to a problem they created. At one time I received
> FALSE information from a UPS employee just to get me off the phone.
> Hence; I WILL NEVER DEAL WITH UPS AGAIN, and I would urge all persons
> to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything
> of value to UPS. Only through the kindness and honesty of an
> individual in NJ was I able to locate and send my package to its
> proper destination. Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> not have passed through UPS hands.

1385. Re: FED EX - UPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 16:04:02 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <a2rvn7$1v58$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 53353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

While I suppose there is a first time for everything, I've yet to have a problem "with UPS" - myself being a shipper. As for the others, I've had items delivered to me from shippers via Fed Ex, but not RPS. Not even sure if RPS is doing business in our immediate area. I've sent light and heavy items via UPS and never yet a problem or complaints from the receiving parties of any.

Two problems I "did" encounter.....

1. A package that was allegedly shipped and I never received it. NOR did my UPS delivery man recall it. According to the shipper of the item, the item was taken to shipping site. The package and ALL paperwork then disappeared. The tracking number supplied to me at drop off, kept showing "not a valid number". Assuming the shipper did ship it, which I had no reason to doubt as I dealt with them before, sounds more like a clerk problem to me. Though it did take a few weeks to get my money/items replaced, I can't say for certain whose fault that was..... as to the delay to conclude it. Could have been a delay in shipper to start the investigation, the shipping site to do their own investigation. I don't know. All in all, it wasn't too unreasonable an amount of time once I knew "something" was being done about it.

2. Around here, there used to be a few UPS shipping sites. Most stopped taking UPS.. (Mgmt changes they said) Down to about 3 sites within reasonable travel distance, two of them were reasonable in cost both small and large, even with
the site's service fee included. The third was ridiculous.

At the 3rd, I paid as much to send a small box out - as a box 25 times bigger than that - previously from one of the other sites. The other sites are now shut down. I think the 3rd shipping site was over charging on the service fee. I'm not aware of what they are allowed to charge for their fee, so I had no way to challenge it. I suppose I could have said forget it, but the clerk wrote it up before giving me an exact total INCLUDING their fee. Not wanting to look or feel like an idiot (cheap or confrontational) especially without facts to support my claim, I decided to swallow my pride and to let it go this time. But, I've yet to go back. I've been shipping primarily Postal only for that reason - unless I happen to be going near a different site which is a distance away from me.

Now I have to use the charts online for UPS and Postal to get the best rate for the individual package. Personally, I still would prefer UPS. And, if I AM going out and about, I will make the trip to the distant UPS site....

Unless some one can prove to me beyond a shadow of a doubt - otherwise, I don't believe the UPS service itself in any way, was responsible for the two

episodes I experienced.

--
Lou
E-Mail: Tech@DP.NET

"rickm" <rickm_msn@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:ubglMNXpBHA.388@cpimsnntpa03...
> Actually, you don't need a business address for Fed Ex.
>
> Fed Ex now has a ground service that I've been using for a couple of
years.
> Haven't had any problems with it at all, and it's a heck of a lot cheaper
> than UPS.
>
> Several years ago, when I owned my own business, I had UPS deliver some
> C.O.D. packages. I made a mistake and paid for them with cash. A few
weeks
> later, UPS comes back to me and tells me they lost my check and asks me to
> rewrite the check. When I explained that I paid in cash, they told me I
was
> mistaken, and demanded another check. This went on for a couple of months
> and then I started getting harrasing phone calls from attorneys
representing
> UPS. It was a total mess, and ended up costing me several thousand
dollars.
> I agree, I'll never use UPS again.
>
> Rick, K6SIX
>
>
> "Bill Aycock" <baycock@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
> news:3C50DD2F.DB4322B7@hiwaay.net...
> > Fed-ex is fine if you are a business, have a city address, are in the
> > yellow pages, and have a sign out front. If you do not qualify, it's
> > just TS.
> > I live in a rural area- UPS found out how to find us country folk, a
> > long time ago. The first delivery FedEx tried, they got lost three
> > times, and I finally had to get them to sit still so I could find them,
> > instead of the other way.
> > The worst is RPS- they sent a semi to deliver a two pound package, and
> > got where they couldnt turn around.
> > Bill-W4BSG
> >
> > Murray Neece wrote:
> > >
> > > I have only been using them two months, but so far, even though they
> lost
> > > a set of tubes...Im much more impressed....UPS damaged about 1 out of
8
> > > packages over 35 lbs. that I sent....THEY SUCK and now YOU

> KNOW....BOYCOTT
> > > em..use fedex they are pretty good so far..73 Murray
> > >
>
>

1386. Re: WTB scanner/receiver. PLEASE HELP!! Need recommendations!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 16:23:21 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <ZDf48.5682$b14.15591@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 53354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For the price and the size, you should definitely get an Icom!

"Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:cbd48.49127$6L5.6863570@news02.optonline.net...
> I need to buy soon!!
>
> I did'nt know it would be so hard to get scanner recommendations from a
> scanner newsgroup. Please recommend scanners for me, as I want to purchase
> soon. I found not even the scanner venders seemed willing to make
> sugestions.
>
> I DON'T LIKE: ICOMs,YAESUs, or any "mini" recievers.
>
> I LOVE: AR8200 SERIES-2, YUPITERU MVT-9000MKII, DJ-X2000
>
> I'm looking for a full coverage scanner with a "desent" scan rate, good
size
> and VOLUME. I want this for field use so volume and size are a
> consideration. These scanners should be able to fit into a "tactical"
> holster/case so the "mini" scanners won't do.
>
> And now the PROBLEM, I want to stay under $399! In that price range all I
> find are Radio Shack, and "mini" recievers with slow scan rates. I'm
> upgraging from an old RS scanner so I'm not looking for too much in terms
of
> features. 500+ channels/100+ lockout FQs/10hrs+ battery life...
>
>

1387. Re: WTB scanner/receiver. PLEASE HELP!! Need recommendations!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 16:28:27 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LIf48.1845$Ab1.112336@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53355
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Find yourself a Sony WaveHawk. They are around!
"Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:cbd48.49127$6L5.6863570@news02.optonline.net...
> I need to buy soon!!
>
> I did'nt know it would be so hard to get scanner recommendations from a
> scanner newsgroup. Please recommend scanners for me, as I want to purchase
> soon. I found not even the scanner venders seemed willing to make
> sugestions.
>
> I DON'T LIKE: ICOMs,YAESUs, or any "mini" recievers.
>
> I LOVE: AR8200 SERIES-2, YUPITERU MVT-9000MKII, DJ-X2000
>
> I'm looking for a full coverage scanner with a "desent" scan rate, good
size
> and VOLUME. I want this for field use so volume and size are a
> consideration. These scanners should be able to fit into a "tactical"
> holster/case so the "mini" scanners won't do.
>
> And now the PROBLEM, I want to stay under $399! In that price range all I
> find are Radio Shack, and "mini" recievers with slow scan rates. I'm
> upgraging from an old RS scanner so I'm not looking for too much in terms
of
> features. 500+ channels/100+ lockout FQs/10hrs+ battery life...
>
>

1388. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 16:45:19 UTC
From: TFW <frankjg@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <u532tcnmmgak58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

My company (TFW Inc) has stopped using UPS for similar reasons.

We were receiving a %20 lost shipping and damage rate.

All packages now go thru USPO Priority. Not a single failure in the last 300 ships.

Frank W9LVC

1389. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 16:52:53 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3C518D65.7A84DB36@qwest.net>
Source record: 53357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

You should have checked old messages within these groups, we have been
talking about avoiding UPS for YEARS!

For packages such as the one you describe, there are other very good
alternatives such as Osborne Air Freight who want to do a good job.

Welcome to the "piss on UPS" crowd.

Jon

Brian wrote:
>
> Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. <snip>

1390. Re: Freq Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 17:08:28 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u534tva9t8g36c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53359

"Chris Norton" <chris142@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:NE448.10052$Xw4.2167965@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Are there any good frequency databases on the web.Thanks
> Chris
>
>

Here's about your only option for a decent database:

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

1391. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 17:18:28 UTC
From: cpa7@qwest.net
Message-ID: <3c519299.5753799@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 53358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I ask EVERYONE that I do bussiness with to NOT use UPS. They lie
about many things, and if you do not keep copies of EVERYthing that
shows up on their tracking pages, they will deny any problems with
their service. .....Sam in Phoenix

On 24 Jan 2002 19:24:37 -0800, k3vr@hotmail.com (Brian) wrote:

>Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. I label the package
>with the printed Label ups.com gives you when you have an account with
>them. I drop off the package at an "Authorized UPS Shipping Center"
>because it is insured for $3,400 and that is what UPS requires. I get
>a receipt from the shipping center stating that the item has been
>properly packed and labeled. OK so far... Four days later a guy in New
>Jersey at a communications business calls me. "We have your package
>and we don't know why it came here, but we opened it and read your
>letter inside. Looks like it is supposed to go to Colorado, what
>should we do with it?" I tell the guy thanks for calling me and I will
>call UPS and get back to him. I call 1-800-pickups. The first
>"support" person hangs up on me. The second says I "should take it up
>with the shipping center" (all they did was hold it for the truck
>pickup, the UPS driver in reality should have scanned it upon pickup).
>I ask to speak to a supervisor. The supervisor is cooperative and I
>tell her I want the thing overnighted from New Jersey to Colorado
>since it is "Guaranteed" to be there tomorrow by UPS. She says, "OK, I
>will call NJ UPS and set that up, they will call you in one hour or
>less." I thank her VERY much and ask for her name, title, and
>location. She complies. I am a happy camper. One hour passes, no call,
>two hours pass, no call. I call UPS back and ask to speak to this
>individual and... No such individual exists!! By this time it is late
>so I call and get another person in the morning who tells me to "take
>it up with the shipper..." I ask to speak to HER Supervisor. Her
>Supervisor says she will investigate and get back to me.... 7 hours go
>by. I call her and ask for a status report. Luckily, "she exists." Her
>answer? "Sir, since the package arrived in NJ and it was signed for;
>it is considered a completed delivery." I ask, incredulous... "What
>about my package?" "Sir," she says, "You need to contact the
>individual in NJ who has your package and ask him to forward it to
>Colorado for you. Since it was never scanned into our system there
>will be no charge." UPS at no time took responsibility for this
>transaction, despite my signed receipt. At no time did they offer a
>viable solution to a problem they created. At one time I received
>FALSE information from a UPS employee just to get me off the phone.
>Hence; I WILL NEVER DEAL WITH UPS AGAIN, and I would urge all persons
>to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything

>of value to UPS. Only through the kindness and honesty of an
>individual in NJ was I able to locate and send my package to its
>proper destination. Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
>not have passed through UPS hands.

1392. Re: ? fpr BC780XLT owners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 17:30:46 UTC
From: Paul Lochner <paulsfd@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <aDg48.50808$6L5.7317801@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53360

Yes it does!!
"David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message
news:hMC38.26264$zX1.15123687@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> I just bought a Pro 2067 which I am returning to RS tomorrow because there
is no way to have the unit start scanning automatically
> when it is powered on (what the hell were they thinking here? I've owned
probably 10-15 different scanners and this is a first),
> this is a feature I must have. I have a question about the BC780.
> 1) I know it starts scanning when turned on (so there's one problem
solved), my other must have is the priority channel, I just got
> rid of a Pro 2026 that would not retain the priority on setting, every
time I turned it on I had to hit the priority button. Does
> the 780 retain the priority setting when it's turned off, then on again?
> Thanks for your time.
>
> --
>
>
> _____
>
>

1393. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 17:37:54 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <fj535ugrh9psg7al4u69mn742nk2t5j7el@4ax.com>
Source record: 53361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

:> 1. Frequencies used during illegal home break-ins by U.S. federal agents.
:
:US Federal Agents do not do illegal home break-ins. They use their warrants
:to do that. That makes it legal.
:Be more specific. What agency are you talking about. DEA?

Each agency has their own set of frequencies. However the federal
government is starting to used trunked radios in the 409 Mhz region.
Now you have to learn about what trunked radio is. The Uniden BC-245
is a good trunked radio receiver.

:> 2. Computers in police cars receiving and transmitting.
:
:Get a frequency counter and use it next time you are in the back seat of the
:police car. Again what agency and radio equipment?
:Take a look at their equipment over the front seat next time you are there.
:Call the local department and ask. Its not a secret.I suggest yuou use one
:of your clone cell phones since you home phone is probably tapped.

Easier to go to Radio Shack and get a copy of Police Call. Most MDTs
(mobile data terminals) use either 700 Mhz or 800 Mhz trunking
systems. However, we are seeing some departments use cellular radios
for their MDTs. That is going to be harder to intercept.

:> 3. Tracking devices secretly hidden under cars by police.
:
:Again use the frequency counter around your car, hopefully the police gave
:it back when you got out.

Even a freq. counter may not be legal to have on the streets. A
better way is to buy the Alinco 2000 radio as it is a scanner that
also has a freq. counter that react tunes the radio (it picks up the
most powerful radio transmitter in the near area and automatically
tunes the radio to that frequency). It is about $600. And you will
need a ham license to have in public.

:> 4. Small, hidden video surveillance cameras by police.
:
:The mounted cameras in cars? The ones in your house? Is it hard wired? It
:wont be on RF then. Again use the frequency counter on the alarm clock by
:your scales or microwave oven.

The cameras are either on 1.2 Ghz or 2.4 Ghz. The ICOM R-3 is a
scanner that also has a TV in it. Great for watching the in car
cameras at the Races.

:> Thank you.
:
:This is just out of fun. ;)
:

1394. Re: LNIB Uniden 780XLT $280 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 18:09:34 UTC
From: "David T. Hughes" <dhughes@ncc1701.net>
Message-ID: <ybh48.75882$n81.19853944@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 53362

SOLD! Please contact me at dhughes@ncc1701.net to complete.

Dave Hughes
Herndon, VA

1395. tk8500 v0.3 released. Open source IC-R8500 software.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 19:00:08 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c51acc8$0$35575$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 53363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Version 0.3 of tk8500 is now available at:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

tk8500 is open source software designed to control
and program the ICOM IC-R8500 receiver. Programmed using Tcl/Tk,
it runs on Linux, Windows 98, Windows XP, and perhaps MacOS X.

(You must install the free Tcl/Tk interpreter prior to running
tk8500. See web page or instructions.)

Experimental version 0.3 includes several new features, e.g,.:

Added the ability to scan multiple memory channel banks.
Tk8500 reads the bank names from the radio at startup and uses them as
radiobutton labels.

Added Auto Write Scan capability.

tk8500 now reads the 9 pairs of search limits from
the radio at startup, makes them visible in a menu,
and lets you choose among them or specify custom
frequency limits and step size.

Added options to View menu to view or hide the frequency
slew (autotune) buttons, single step frequency buttons,
and slide rule dial widget.

See Release Notes web page for more info.

I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1396. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 19:16:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <j4i48.84716$Ee7.5699711@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Brian <k3vr@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4bfc7bb.0201241924.4fdd5373@posting.google.com...

<<snip>>

Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> not have passed through UPS hands.

Having said that I, too, would not use UPS, I have broken my word quite a
lot! Most of the
Carolina Cyclone antennas I build and ship go out UPS. I use PVC pipe for
shipping containers, and that has proven to prevent the breakage they are so
notorious for. I used to
get lots of broken coil covers, either by simple mashing, or slinging them
on and off trucks. By
using plenty of packing material (bubble wrap, newspaper, etc), I've had
pretty good results so
far (knock, knock).

When I worked in the rail industry, I used to ship as many as 20 packages
per day. What got me torqued at them was the time I carefully packaged a
boiler water sample, cushioned the plastic jar with several layers of bubble
wrap, and put some foam sheet on the top. The package came back marked
"improperly packaged". The kicker was, it wasn't even the same
box I shipped it in and all that packing was missing! I called them and
received the "Yeah, right!" treatment from the rep, and I went ballistic.
With quite a bit of invective, I explained to
the smart-assed lady that the box wasn't even in the same SOBing box I
shipped it in. I got
pretty sassy (putting it mildly) with her! Finally, I told her, "Tell ya
what, lady. You pull your
records and see how much we ship with you. I happen to be the one that
decides who I am
gonna use, and YOU don't tell me what box I shipped a package in. And from
now on I will
use Fed Ex. YOU can turn it crossways and shove it-- I don't give a ****!"
I started shipping
via alternate shippers, and I guess UPS sort of "missed" our volume because
it wasn't long before one of their suit and tie types was in my warehouse
wanting to know what happened.
I had the chance to tell him, of course, and a chance to get a jab in at
their customer service,
telling him about how their smart-mouthed lady was "able" to tell me what
carton I shipped in.

He, too, was a little upset when he found out that they had switched boxes on me. It took a
lot of promises and begging and several visits from the customer rep before we shipped with them again.

If they pull a caper like that again on me, now that I am running my own business, it'll be a cold
day in you-know-where before I ship with them again.

73

Jerry
K4KWH
www.qsl.net/k4kwh

1397. Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 19:24:50 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on a particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs) that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?

I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign, transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be able to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all their frequencies.

I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.

Thanks!
John

1398. Re: WTB scanner/receiver. PLEASE HELP!! Need recommendations!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 19:27:11 UTC
From: "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jki48.52018$6L5.7516859@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53366
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:ZDf48.5682$b14.15591@rwcrnsc54...
> For the price and the size, you should definitely get an Icom!

First, thanks for the suggestion! For size I meant larger, NOT smaller. I
was happy with scanner sizes 7 years ago, and actually prefer those bigger
scanners. I rather have a bigger display for better visibility, more buttons
for easy of use, bigger speakers for more volume, bigger battery case to
hold more batteries for longer battery life, than give that all up for a
scanner that I can loose in my sofa, and need headphones and glasses for.

Thanks though! :)

> "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:cbd48.49127$6L5.6863570@news02.optonline.net...
> > I need to buy soon!!
> >
> > I did'nt know it would be so hard to get scanner recommendations from a
> > scanner newsgroup. Please recommend scanners for me, as I want to
purchase
> > soon. I found not even the scanner venders seemed willing to make
> > sugestions.
> >
> > I DON'T LIKE: ICOMs,YAESUs, or any "mini" recievers.
> >
> > I LOVE: AR8200 SERIES-2, YUPITERU MVT-9000MKII, DJ-X2000
> >
> > I'm looking for a full coverage scanner with a "desent" scan rate, good
> size
> > and VOLUME. I want this for field use so volume and size are a
> > consideration. These scanners should be able to fit into a "tactical"
> > holster/case so the "mini" scanners won't do.
> >
> > And now the PROBLEM, I want to stay under $399! In that price range all
I
> > find are Radio Shack, and "mini" recievers with slow scan rates. I'm
> > upgraging from an old RS scanner so I'm not looking for too much in
terms
> of
> > features. 500+ channels/100+ lockout FQs/10hrs+ battery life...
> >
> >
>
>

1399. Re: WTB scanner/receiver. PLEASE HELP!! Need recommendations!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 19:29:11 UTC
From: "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bmi48.52030$6L5.7520452@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While I must admit it looks quite impressive, I need SW/HF reception. Thanks though!

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:LIf48.1845$Ab1.112336@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Find yourself a Sony WaveHawk. They are around!
> "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:cbd48.49127$6L5.6863570@news02.optonline.net...
> > I need to buy soon!!
> >
> > I did'nt know it would be so hard to get scanner recommendations from a
> > scanner newsgroup. Please recommend scanners for me, as I want to purchase
> > soon. I found not even the scanner venders seemed willing to make
> > sugestions.
> >
> > I DON'T LIKE: ICOMs,YAESUs, or any "mini" recievers.
> >
> > I LOVE: AR8200 SERIES-2, YUPITERU MVT-9000MKII, DJ-X2000
> >
> > I'm looking for a full coverage scanner with a "desent" scan rate, good
> size
> > and VOLUME. I want this for field use so volume and size are a
> > consideration. These scanners should be able to fit into a "tactical"
> > holster/case so the "mini" scanners won't do.
> >
> > And now the PROBLEM, I want to stay under $399! In that price range all I
> > find are Radio Shack, and "mini" recievers with slow scan rates. I'm
> > upgraging from an old RS scanner so I'm not looking for too much in terms
> of
> > features. 500+ channels/100+ lockout FQs/10hrs+ battery life...
> >
> >
>
>

1400. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 19:56:20 UTC
From: Brian <lib_mrducks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ELi48.23316$ZK4.734531@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 53368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

To add more lunacy to the ranting:

I used to own a small computer business and had to have some parts shipped UPS red (overnight) from Seattle, Wa to Waco, Tx. The next day the package did not arrive, so I called my supplier who said the shipment went out with the other overnight pickups at 4pm. We checked the tracking # supplied, and it shows that the package never made it to the first checkpoint, but WAS picked up. I had a good supplier, so they overnighted me the part again and I received it the following day. Here's the gripper though....about 1 week later, a VERY confused FedEx employee walked into my store with my package. It did not have any FedEx shipping credentials on the box, just the UPS shipping labels and my address! The driver did not know how the package made it anywhere with UPS suff on it in the FedEx system, much less how it ended up in his truck for delivery.....all we can figure is that UPS and FedEx were at my supplier's dock at the same time and my package went with the wrong carrier....

Go figure...

Brian

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:j4i48.84716$Ee7.5699711@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
>
>
>
> Brian <k3vr@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4bfc7bb.0201241924.4fdd5373@posting.google.com...
>
> <<snip>>
>
> Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> > not have passed through UPS hands.
>
>
> Having said that I, too, would not use UPS, I have broken my word quite a
> lot! Most of the
> Carolina Cyclone antennas I build and ship go out UPS. I use PVC pipe for
> shipping containers, and that has proven to prevent the breakage they are
so
> notorious for. I used to
> get lots of broken coil covers, either by simple mashing, or slinging them
> on and off trucks. By

> using plenty of packing material (bubble wrap, newspaper, etc), I've had
> pretty good results so
> far (knock, knock).
>
> When I worked in the rail industry, I used to ship as many as 20 packages
> per day. What got me torqued at them was the time I carefully packaged a
> boiler water sample, cushioned the plastic jar with several layers of
bubble
> wrap, and put some foam sheet on the top. The package came back marked
> "improperly packaged". The kicker was, it wasn't even the same
> box I shipped it in and all that packing was missing! I called them and
> received the "Yeah, right!" treatment from the rep, and I went ballistic.
> With quite a bit of invective, I explained to
> the smart-assed lady that the box wasn't even in the same SOBing box I
> shipped it in. I got
> pretty sassy (putting it mildly) with her! Finally, I told her, "Tell ya
> what, lady. You pull your
> records and see how much we ship with you. I happen to be the one that
> decides who I am
> gonna use, and YOU don't tell me what box I shipped a package in. And from
> now on I will
> use Fed Ex. YOU can turn it crossways and shove it-- I don't give a ****!"
> I started shipping
> via alternate shippers, and I guess UPS sort of "missed" our volume
because
> it wasn't long before one of their suit and tie types was in my warehouse
> wanting to know what happened.
> I had the chance to tell him, of course, and a chance to get a jab in at
> their customer service,
> telling him about how their smart-mouthed lady was "able" to tell me what
> carton I shipped in.
> He, too, was a little upset when he found out that they had switched boxes
> on me. It took a
> lot of promises and begging and several visits from the customer rep
before
> we shipped with them again.
>
> If they pull a caper like that again on me, now that I am running my own
> business, it'll be a cold
> day in you-know-where before I ship with them again.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
> www.qsl.net/k4kwh
>
>
>

1401. Re: Operation of Startac when you call 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 20:30:22 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <9sf35u88ubgmlvvcvstvoptc6t0oa2vin7@4ax.com>
Source record: 53370

On Fri, 25 Jan 2002 05:36:52 GMT, "Bill" <blicht01@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Usually by hitting the 'end' button will clear the 911/Emergency mode, or
>scroll through your menu for 'end'.

I don't have a problem clearing it. I can do that merely by powering
the phone down and then back up. And probably by just pushing CLEAR or
END. Haven't tried. I don't call 911 that often to where I can play
with the thing to try to learn what is happening.

>This is done in case there is an emergency and the caller needs to re-dial
>it quickly. I'm not sure why, but Sprint has the same thing and it may have
>been mandated by the FCC.

What is done? The mere indication in the readout does nothing. Is the
phone placed in some sort of special mode? That is what I am trying to
determine. If I need to redial. all I need to do is push SEND again.

If anything was mandated by the FCC or anyone else in authority,
someone at either Motorola or Verizon should know about it.

Thanks for sharing, but I still don't understand.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

1402. Re: 780 and CCOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 20:50:37 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C51C52B.2D145D8C@optonline.net>
Source record: 53371

MOT systems have a maximum of four Control Channels. You need to put
all four CC frequencies into the CCOM scanner.

jfaul@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
> Thanks to everyone that helped with my previous scan list problem.
> Dummy(me) should have checked the frequencies to make sure they were
> all correct..no wonder i would lose the control channel and than it
> would come back. You would think i would have checked the frequencies
> after owning 3 scanners previous to the 780. This excercise leads me
> to believe that the control channel does change and if so, how
> effective can CCOM be if the control channel changes. Let me know
> your thoughts.
>
> jfaul@bellsouth.net
>
> FYI, I have winscan 780 and am not real happy with it..data has been
> lost sometimes when transferring between scanner and PC and vive
> versa.

1403. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 21:32:14 UTC
From: greg <greg@valkyrie.net>
Message-ID: <3c51cedb_2@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 53373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.perconcorp.com/resource/resource.htm#search

--
John <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on a
> particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
>

Help fight mailbots with these in your tagline:
tosspam@aol.com abuse@aol.com abuse@yahoo.com abuse@hotmail.com
abuse@msn.com abuse@sprintmail.com abuse@earthlink.net uce@ftc.gov
abuse@info.cep.cniba-u.ac.jp webmaster@envy.nu

1404. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 21:51:57 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <7qk35ug0pdkgt7jsibhcrlqqvlborfa6o0@4ax.com>
Source record: 53374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

On Thu, 24 Jan 2002 22:21:03 -0600, Bill Aycock <baycock@hiwaay.net>
wrote:

>Fed-ex is fine if you are a business, have a city address, are in the
>yellow pages, and have a sign out front. If you do not qualify, it's
>just TS.
>I live in a rural area- UPS found out how to find us country folk, a
>long time ago. The first delivery FedEx tried, they got lost three
>times, and I finally had to get them to sit still so I could find them,
>instead of the other way.
>The worst is RPS- they sent a semi to deliver a two pound package, and
>got where they couldnt turn around.
YOu are little behind the times, RPS is FEDEX these days...

1405. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 22:22:07 UTC
From: Ken Finney <kenneth.c.finney@boeing.com>
Message-ID: <3C51DA8F.1BDC@boeing.com>
Source record: 53375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Something that most people don't know about is that the radiation of
mail to eliminate anthrax WILL destroy electronic equipment and part.
There is a somewhat benign warning on www.jedec.org.

Bottom line, don't use the Post Office to mail electronics to zip codes
that begin 202 through 205.

1406. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 22:56:44 UTC
From: AB7RS <ab7rs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <u53om0ic9dr0d8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Many of the parcels I deliver for the US postal service are ones that the sender and probably the recipient wanted to go fedX...but fedX remailed it to save themselves a trip.Might as well use the postal service to begin with.
TG
"Murray Neece" <radioranch@cableone.net> wrote in message news:3C50F029.5FBB21D2@cableone.net...
> I have only been using them two months, but so far, even though they lost
> a set of tubes...Im much more impressed....UPS damaged about 1 out of 8
> packages over 35 lbs. that I sent....THEY SUCK and now YOU KNOW....BOYCOTT
> em..use fedex they are pretty good so far..73 Murray
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Get this: I send a package to Colorado via UPS. I label the package
> > with the printed Label ups.com gives you when you have an account with
> > them. I drop off the package at an "Authorized UPS Shipping Center"
> > because it is insured for $3,400 and that is what UPS requires. I get
> > a receipt from the shipping center stating that the item has been
> > properly packed and labeled. OK so far... Four days later a guy in New
> > Jersey at a communications business calls me. "We have your package
> > and we don't know why it came here, but we opened it and read your
> > letter inside. Looks like it is supposed to go to Colorado, what
> > should we do with it?" I tell the guy thanks for calling me and I will
> > call UPS and get back to him. I call 1-800-pickups. The first
> > "support" person hangs up on me. The second says I "should take it up
> > with the shipping center" (all they did was hold it for the truck
> > pickup, the UPS driver in reality should have scanned it upon pickup).
> > I ask to speak to a supervisor. The supervisor is cooperative and I
> > tell her I want the thing overnighted from New Jersey to Colorado
> > since it is "Guaranteed" to be there tomorrow by UPS. She says, "OK, I
> > will call NJ UPS and set that up, they will call you in one hour or
> > less." I thank her VERY much and ask for her name, title, and
> > location. She complies. I am a happy camper. One hour passes, no call,
> > two hours pass, no call. I call UPS back and ask to speak to this
> > individual and... No such individual exists!! By this time it is late
> > so I call and get another person in the morning who tells me to "take
> > it up with the shipper..." I ask to speak to HER Supervisor. Her
> > Supervisor says she will investigate and get back to me.... 7 hours go
> > by. I call her and ask for a status report. Luckily, "she exists." Her
> > answer? "Sir, since the package arrived in NJ and it was signed for;
> > it is considered a completed delivery." I ask, incredulous... "What
> > about my package?" "Sir," she says, "You need to contact the

> > individual in NJ who has your package and ask him to forward it to
> > Colorado for you. Since it was never scanned into our system there
> > will be no charge." UPS at no time took responsibility for this
> > transaction, despite my signed receipt. At no time did they offer a
> > viable solution to a problem they created. At one time I received
> > FALSE information from a UPS employee just to get me off the phone.
> > Hence; I WILL NEVER DEAL WITH UPS AGAIN, and I would urge all persons
> > to carefully consider all other alternatives before trusting anything
> > of value to UPS. Only through the kindness and honesty of an
> > individual in NJ was I able to locate and send my package to its
> > proper destination. Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> > not have passed through UPS hands.
>

1407. Pro 2005 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 22:56:56 UTC
From: Mike Smith <msmith32@home.com>
Message-ID: <Yol48.6019$gW4.4202088@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 53376

I have a Pro-2005 scanner for sale. I'm asking $175.00 for the scanner. It's
in great shape and works great too.
I don't have the original manual for it, just a copy.

msmith32@home.com

1408. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 23:30:22 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <iUl48.705$pb.55@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A cheap and very serviceable solution is the new Police Call book, available from Radio Shack that includes a CD ROM which does what you've described. Not as encyclopedic as Percon, but very good for $20. The books are published according to regions, but the CD is national. I use both constantly.

John <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on a
> particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
>
> I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be able
> to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all their
> frequencies.
>
> I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
>
> Thanks!
> John

1409. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 23:33:02 UTC
From: Bill Aycock <baycock@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <3C51EB2E.C51DEFF1@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 53379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I was not talking about "these days". The truck was marked "RPS", and
the operation was pretty stupid.
Bill-W4BSG

matt weber wrote:

> YOu are little behind the times, RPS is FEDEX these days...

1410. WTB: Radio SHack Pro-2042 base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 23:34:01 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JXI48.50268$d16.8544097@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53380
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I would like to buy a Radio Shack Pro-2042 1000 channel base scanner. Preferrable from a non-smoking environment with the manual. The box would also be nice, but not a big deal. SHould be in good shape and fully fucntional. if you have one you no longer use, or an extra you wish to turn into cash, send me an e-mail.

Dan

1411. Re: Ideas For Mounting A Discone Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 23:40:38 UTC
From: BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1533-3C51ECF6-78@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 53383

Well I have discone from Racky Shack, and love it. All I used for mounting was a 1 5/8's top fencing rail. Just go down to your local chain link fenceing company and tell them what length you need. Mine came in a 24ft. section, had it up in less then an hour. I use mine for both transmitting on vhf-uhf ham bands, and for some excellent scanning. Just make sure you mount it securely and possibly guy wire it down. Make sure you run a good ground wire with it to a water line or grounding rod for safety and BEST recieving results.

Good luck
Bruce

1412. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-25 23:57:45 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <familyradio@att.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91A1C14D05294familyradioattnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 53381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Fred Zalupski" <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net> wrote in
news:iUl48.705$pb.55@nwrddc01.gnilink.net:

> A cheap and very serviceable solution is the new Police Call book,
> available from Radio Shack that includes a CD ROM which does what
> you've described. Not as encyclopedic as Percon, but very good for
> $20. The books are published according to regions, but the CD is
> national. I use both constantly.
>
>

The CDROM is included with the book now?

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. <familyradio@att.net>
Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See websites:
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
FRS Mailing List: http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/frscomm

1413. Regency HX 1000 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 00:01:46 UTC
From: Larry Faehling <kl7ibv@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <u53sfjq62c8nac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53382

Hello all,

I have been given a HX 1000 hand held scanner. I can get the freqs programed, and it scans, reciever works good, etc, but I can't figure out how to block out the memory channels that I don't want to scan. Can anyone help? I don't have a manual for it, so if anyone would be able to help, let me know how much it cost you for the copy, and I'll gladly reimburse.

Larry

--

Larry Faehling, Capt, CAP, USAFAUX
Bluemound 97
Amateur Radio KL7IBV
Visit the KL7IBV Weather Page at
http://www.frontiernet.net/~lfaehl/weather.htm
"Look, we understand the enemy - they've made themselves clear:
they want to murder us. We don't sit around and get all gooey and
wonder if these people have been misunderstood in their childhood.
If they're going to try to kill us, that's bad."
- Roger Ailes, Fox News Chairman

1414. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 00:14:39 UTC
From: b7spectra <b7spectra@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <a2shug$lc2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try Percomm or Grove

--

/\/\|k.e

Webmaster
www.ScanAtlanta.com

mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@scanatlanta.com

"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on a
> particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
>
> I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be able
> to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all their
> frequencies.
>
> I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
>
> Thanks!
> John

1415. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 00:27:07 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <eht35ugp8lrih8k4f2t5ghced7uv385lam@4ax.com>
Source record: 53384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

```
:
:> Even a freq. counter may not be legal to have on the streets.
:> A better way is to buy the Alinco 2000 radio as it is a scanner that
:> also has a freq. counter that react tunes the radio (it picks up the
:> most powerful radio transmitter in the near area and automatically
:> tunes the radio to that frequency). It is about $600. And you will
:> need a ham license to have in public.
:
:A frequency counter is Legal to possess and use everywhere at all times.
:http://www.optoelectronics.com/products.htm

The near field receiver is interesting. But I would not suggest using
it in your car unless you have an exemption.

:
:Couldn't find the Alinco 2000, did find the DJ-X2000
:http://www.alinco.com/Products/DJ-X2000/

It is the DJ-X2000

:It does Not have a frequency counter.

It does. From http://www.javiation.co.uk/index2.html

DJ-X2000

The Alinco DJ-X2000 is the world's most advanced
multimode hand held receiver, so advanced Alinco say
they decided to call it Intelligent !

Coverage is 100 KHz to 2150 MHz continuous with no
gaps and 2000 memory channels are available in 50
banks of 40 channels. There are also several features in
the DJ-X2000 not available on any other unit - the in
built frequency counter is a first and allows the radio to
instantly tune to any detected frequency within range of
the counter (this is a near field capability).

Other built in features include CTCSS, two-mode "bug"
detector to allow hidden transmitters to be located, a
record facility that allows up to 160 seconds of audio to
be stored in digital memory and a speech inversion
decoder for analogue scrambled audio together with
other conventional features you would expect in a
modern receiver.
```

DATA between different DJ-X2000's can be cloned
whilst free software available from Alinco allows the unit
to be programmed from a PC - memory and other data
can be uploaded and changes can be made to settings
including the Auto Mode Bandplan.

Main Features

100 KHz ~ 2150 MHz continuous coverage
2000 memory channels in 50 banks, 40 channels
per bank
WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW modes in
many different tuning steps, including
user-programmable step.
Fast scan speed
Easy to read dot matrix LCD display
Alphanumeric channel names and name tag search
engine
PC programmable (See software details below)
Help Navigation so powerful, you may never need
the manual (enters directly to the set mode of
relative parameters from the help menu!)
Two-mode "Bug" detector finds hidden
transmitters
Instant search function locks onto the nearest,
strongest signal (new circuit design, patent
pending)
Frequency counter, accurate to 1 ppm, uses digital
TCXO first time in the receiver industry.
Field Strength meter
S-meter activated beep alerts you to nearby calls
Record up to 160 seconds of audio in digital
memory
Inversion decoder for scrambled audio
FM stereo receiver (requires optional stereo
headphones)
CTCSS decoder/tone scan
Two-level attenuator reduces strong or interfering
signals 6 or 20 dB
24 hour timer
Use 10 ~16 VDC external power or internal
batteries (an optional DC cable is required for
external power source)
Battery level indicator and battery save feature
Ni-Cd battery and quick charger included.
New design rubber-duck antenna for better
reception, especially at lower frequencies.

:It does Not transmit on Ham frequencies
:there no ham license is required

Under the laws in most states, hams are exempt from arrest for having a scanner in public. Police, fire fghters, journalists are also exempt. But it is illegal to have a scanner in public or in your car. You can have it in your home or at work.

1416. Re: Regency HX 1000 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 01:40:08 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <ONn48.684$17.545373@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 53385

I had one of those a long time ago. Try keying in the number of the channel to be locked out while the radio is scanning. Let me know if that works - my memory of this is a bit fuzzy.

"Larry Faehling" <kl7ibv@amsat.org> wrote in message news:u53sfjq62c8nac@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello all,
>
> I have been given a HX 1000 hand held scanner. I can get the freqs
> programed, and it scans, reciever works good, etc, but I can't figure out
> how to block out the memory channels that I don't want to scan. Can anyone
> help? I don't have a manual for it, so if anyone would be able to help, let
> me know how much it cost you for the copy, and I'll gladly reimburse.
>
> Larry

1417. Re: PAR OMNI HORZOTAL UHF ANTENNAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 01:49:34 UTC
From: ZX11D <w9jcm@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <OWn48.997$mv.7249@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 53386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

it it worked so great whats the reason your selling them?
"WILLIAM C FORVIK" <n0mnb@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:ejrS6fdpBHA.1504@cpimsnntpa03...
> 4 SALE USED 1 MONTH TWO PAR 432 ANTENNAS WITH CO-PHASING HARNESS
> WORK GREAT BOTH MOBLIE AND FIXED
> 3DB GAIN SEE IT AT E-BAY
> 1326292265
>
> SEE PICTURE
>
>

1418. Re: Regency HX 1000 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 01:56:00 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c520c63$0$98142$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 53387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Larry,

The HX-1000 portable scanner was made for Regency by
Azden. Like other Regency scanners, you lock out channels
by pressing the keys corresponding to the channel
number while scanning.

To reiterate, you can only lock out a channel
while the radio is scanning.

Have fun.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1419. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 02:26:40 UTC
From: "Security Unlimited Inc." <securit1951@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ato48.15843$ag5.1386642@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

LIKE I SAID UPS IS FULL OF SHIT 24/7!
"Brian" <lib_mrducks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ELi48.23316$ZK4.734531@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> To add more lunacy to the ranting:
>
> I used to own a small computer business and had to have some parts shipped
> UPS red (overnight) from Seattle, Wa to Waco, Tx. The next day the
package
> did not arrive, so I called my supplier who said the shipment went out
with
> the other overnight pickups at 4pm. We checked the tracking # supplied,
and
> it shows that the package never made it to the first checkpoint, but WAS
> picked up. I had a good supplier, so they overnighted me the part again
and
> I received it the following day. Here's the gripper though....about 1
week
> later, a VERY confused FedEx employee walked into my store with my
package.
> It did not have any FedEx shipping credentials on the box, just the UPS
> shipping labels and my address! The driver did not know how the package
> made it anywhere with UPS suff on it in the FedEx system, much less how it
> ended up in his truck for delivery.....all we can figure is that UPS and
> FedEx were at my supplier's dock at the same time and my package went with
> the wrong carrier....
>
> Go figure...
>
> Brian
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:j4i48.84716$Ee7.5699711@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Brian <k3vr@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:4bfc7bb.0201241924.4fdd5373@posting.google.com...
> >
> > <<snip>>
> >
> > Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> > > not have passed through UPS hands.

> >
> >
> > Having said that I, too, would not use UPS, I have broken my word quite
a
> > lot! Most of the
> > Carolina Cyclone antennas I build and ship go out UPS. I use PVC pipe
for
> > shipping containers, and that has proven to prevent the breakage they
are
> so
> > notorious for. I used to
> > get lots of broken coil covers, either by simple mashing, or slinging
them
> > on and off trucks. By
> > using plenty of packing material (bubble wrap, newspaper, etc), I've had
> > pretty good results so
> > far (knock, knock).
> >
> > When I worked in the rail industry, I used to ship as many as 20
packages
> > per day. What got me torqued at them was the time I carefully packaged a
> > boiler water sample, cushioned the plastic jar with several layers of
> bubble
> > wrap, and put some foam sheet on the top. The package came back marked
> > "improperly packaged". The kicker was, it wasn't even the same
> > box I shipped it in and all that packing was missing! I called them and
> > received the "Yeah, right!" treatment from the rep, and I went
ballistic.
> > With quite a bit of invective, I explained to
> > the smart-assed lady that the box wasn't even in the same SOBing box I
> > shipped it in. I got
> > pretty sassy (putting it mildly) with her! Finally, I told her, "Tell
ya
> > what, lady. You pull your
> > records and see how much we ship with you. I happen to be the one that
> > decides who I am
> > gonna use, and YOU don't tell me what box I shipped a package in. And
from
> > now on I will
> > use Fed Ex. YOU can turn it crossways and shove it-- I don't give a
****!"
> > I started shipping
> > via alternate shippers, and I guess UPS sort of "missed" our volume
> because
> > it wasn't long before one of their suit and tie types was in my
warehouse
> > wanting to know what happened.
> > I had the chance to tell him, of course, and a chance to get a jab in at
> > their customer service,
> > telling him about how their smart-mouthed lady was "able" to tell me

what
> > carton I shipped in.
> > He, too, was a little upset when he found out that they had switched
boxes
> > on me. It took a
> > lot of promises and begging and several visits from the customer rep
> before
> > we shipped with them again.
> >
> > If they pull a caper like that again on me, now that I am running my own
> > business, it'll be a cold
> > day in you-know-where before I ship with them again.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> > K4KWH
> > www.qsl.net/k4kwh
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1420. Re: Operation of Startac when you call 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 02:51:34 UTC
From: Bill <blicht01@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WQo48.36838$il4.2524453007@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53389

Yes, you just have to push send again. It will re-connect you to 911.

"Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message
news:9sf35u88ubgmlvvcvstvoptc6t0oa2vin7@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 25 Jan 2002 05:36:52 GMT, "Bill" <blicht01@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Usually by hitting the 'end' button will clear the 911/Emergency mode, or
> >scroll through your menu for 'end'.
>
> I don't have a problem clearing it. I can do that merely by powering
> the phone down and then back up. And probably by just pushing CLEAR or
> END. Haven't tried. I don't call 911 that often to where I can play
> with the thing to try to learn what is happening.
>
> >This is done in case there is an emergency and the caller needs to
re-dial
> >it quickly. I'm not sure why, but Sprint has the same thing and it may
have
> >been mandated by the FCC.
>
> What is done? The mere indication in the readout does nothing. Is the
> phone placed in some sort of special mode? That is what I am trying to
> determine. If I need to redial. all I need to do is push SEND again.
>
> If anything was mandated by the FCC or anyone else in authority,
> someone at either Motorola or Verizon should know about it.
>
> Thanks for sharing, but I still don't understand.
>
> Steve
>
>
> **
> Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
> Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
> mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
> tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
> "In God we trust, all others we monitor"
> **

1421. For Sale Radio Shack Pro-2042 and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 04:26:33 UTC
From: kc6lsp@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2b727482.0201252026.58547429@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53390

Pro-2042 with OS-535 installed*. $400, includes
shipping via fedex 2-day in the lower-48. Comes only with demo
versions of software, but is otherwise ready for plug & play
computer-controlled scanning. Physical condition is mint, comes
with original box, manuals, etc.

Please respond via EMail.

*Installed by Local tech in Los Angeles, btw i am in Long Beach

Also have a Aor ar-8200 Communications receiver for sale for $350 plus shipping

1422. Re: Operation of Startac when you call 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 05:32:36 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <mnf45uk9hbreo7ph5jedf6iclhqe86jst9@4ax.com>
Source record: 53391

On Sat, 26 Jan 2002 02:51:34 GMT, "Bill" <blicht01@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Yes, you just have to push send again. It will re-connect you to 911.

If I push SEND again it connects me anyway to the last number I
dialed, unless I push clear?

Still a mystery.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

1423. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 07:56:56 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <a2tng8$l4u$3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 53392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

In rec.radio.amateur.antenna rickm <rickm_msn@email.msn.com> wrote:

> Several years ago, when I owned my own business, I had UPS deliver some
> C.O.D. packages. I made a mistake and paid for them with cash. A few weeks
> later, UPS comes back to me and tells me they lost my check and asks me to
> rewrite the check. When I explained that I paid in cash, they told me I was
> mistaken, and demanded another check. This went on for a couple of months
> and then I started getting harrasing phone calls from attorneys representing
> UPS. It was a total mess, and ended up costing me several thousand dollars.
> I agree, I'll never use UPS again.

Why did it cost you any money? You had to pay the driver to get the
package. That is sufficient proof that you paid. If UPS lost the payment,
that is not your fault.

I have been that route. A quick letter from my attorney set them straight.

But I avoid UPS whenever possible. They often leave packages sitting on
my front doorstep in the rain. The sometimes leave packages that require
a signature - good thing that I am honest and don't claim that it was
never delivered.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1424. Is there a decent Cordless phone out there? (900mhz vs 2.4 ghz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 08:14:20 UTC
From: fj40dana@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9a118507.0201260014.45286890@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53393

Hello all. I'm a cordless phone junkie. I've had lots of them over the years, and I've found that some of the Uniden Digital Spread Spectrum 900Mhz units seemed to perform the best overall. They went the longest distance with decent clarity, etc. etc. I tried a bunch of the newer 2.4 ghz units (many different brands) and ALL of them have problems. As soon as I get about 75 feet away from the base unit, the signal will flutter, as long as you are moving. If you stop moving, the reception is crystal clear, but as soon as you start to move, it begins to break up again. This is unacceptable to me. My older 900DSS units will allow me to walk around the block and maintain a conversation. The 2.4 ghz units, I can't make it out to the sidewalk.

So, has anyone else found this to be the case? At my local Fry's store, I asked one of the guys in the customer service dept. about this, he said they get lots of 2.4 ghz returns, so I guess it's a common problem.

Anyone found a good phone that works as well as the older 900mhz dss units?

please email me directly at FJ40dana@hotmail.com

thanks

Dana

1425. Re: Is there a decent Cordless phone out there? (900mhz vs 2.4 ghz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 08:50:14 UTC
From: Kahuna <mjs1960@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <33u48.16041$qN3.153318@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 53394

I have had a few over the years....My current one is a 900Mhz Uniden Digital Spread Spectrum, best phone I have ever owned, superior range and clarity. I would personaly never buy anything but a 900Mhz DSS phone again.

Dana <fj40dana@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:9a118507.0201260014.45286890@posting.google.com...
> Hello all. I'm a cordless phone junkie. I've had lots of them over
> the years, and I've found that some of the Uniden Digital Spread
> Spectrum 900Mhz units seemed to perform the best overall. They went
> the longest distance with decent clarity, etc. etc. I tried a bunch
> of the newer 2.4 ghz units (many different brands) and ALL of them
> have problems. As soon as I get about 75 feet away from the base
> unit, the signal will flutter, as long as you are moving. If you stop
> moving, the reception is crystal clear, but as soon as you start to
> move, it begins to break up again. This is unacceptable to me. My
> older 900DSS units will allow me to walk around the block and maintain
> a conversation. The 2.4 ghz units, I can't make it out to the
> sidewalk.
>
> So, has anyone else found this to be the case? At my local Fry's
> store, I asked one of the guys in the customer service dept. about
> this, he said they get lots of 2.4 ghz returns, so I guess it's a
> common problem.
>
> Anyone found a good phone that works as well as the older 900mhz dss
> units?
>
> please email me directly at FJ40dana@hotmail.com
>
> thanks
>
> Dana

1426. Re: so is the USPS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 11:51:06 UTC
From: Midnight Rider <mrbig@tough.com>
Message-ID: <uLw48.24868$KC1.4373394@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 53395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I'm still waitting for my package shipped in june 2001 and still haven't arrived
!!!!
Global Priority means slow boat to China and never coming back !!!

"Security Unlimited Inc." <securit1951@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Ato48.15843$ag5.1386642@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> LIKE I SAID UPS IS FULL OF SHIT 24/7!
> "Brian" <lib_mrducks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ELi48.23316$ZK4.734531@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> > To add more lunacy to the ranting:
> >
> > I used to own a small computer business and had to have some parts shipped
> > UPS red (overnight) from Seattle, Wa to Waco, Tx. The next day the
> package
> > did not arrive, so I called my supplier who said the shipment went out
> with
> > the other overnight pickups at 4pm. We checked the tracking # supplied,
> and
> > it shows that the package never made it to the first checkpoint, but WAS
> > picked up. I had a good supplier, so they overnighted me the part again
> and
> > I received it the following day. Here's the gripper though....about 1
> week
> > later, a VERY confused FedEx employee walked into my store with my
> package.
> > It did not have any FedEx shipping credentials on the box, just the UPS
> > shipping labels and my address! The driver did not know how the package
> > made it anywhere with UPS suff on it in the FedEx system, much less how it
> > ended up in his truck for delivery.....all we can figure is that UPS and
> > FedEx were at my supplier's dock at the same time and my package went with
> > the wrong carrier....
> >
> > Go figure...
> >
> > Brian
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:j4i48.84716$Ee7.5699711@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian <k3vr@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> > > news:4bfc7bb.0201241924.4fdd5373@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > <<snip>>
> > >
> > > Rest assured, when it gets to Colorado, it will
> > > > not have passed through UPS hands.
> > >
> > >
> > > Having said that I, too, would not use UPS, I have broken my word quite
> a
> > > lot! Most of the
> > > Carolina Cyclone antennas I build and ship go out UPS. I use PVC pipe
> for
> > > shipping containers, and that has proven to prevent the breakage they
> are
> > so
> > > notorious for. I used to
> > > get lots of broken coil covers, either by simple mashing, or slinging
> them
> > > on and off trucks. By
> > > using plenty of packing material (bubble wrap, newspaper, etc), I've had
> > > pretty good results so
> > > far (knock, knock).
> > >
> > > When I worked in the rail industry, I used to ship as many as 20
> packages
> > > per day. What got me torqued at them was the time I carefully packaged a
> > > boiler water sample, cushioned the plastic jar with several layers of
> > bubble
> > > wrap, and put some foam sheet on the top. The package came back marked
> > > "improperly packaged". The kicker was, it wasn't even the same
> > > box I shipped it in and all that packing was missing! I called them and
> > > received the "Yeah, right!" treatment from the rep, and I went
> ballistic.
> > > With quite a bit of invective, I explained to
> > > the smart-assed lady that the box wasn't even in the same SOBing box I
> > > shipped it in. I got
> > > pretty sassy (putting it mildly) with her! Finally, I told her, "Tell
> ya
> > > what, lady. You pull your
> > > records and see how much we ship with you. I happen to be the one that
> > > decides who I am
> > > gonna use, and YOU don't tell me what box I shipped a package in. And
> from
> > > now on I will
> > > use Fed Ex. YOU can turn it crossways and shove it-- I don't give a
> ****!"
> > > I started shipping
> > > via alternate shippers, and I guess UPS sort of "missed" our volume
> > because

> > > it wasn't long before one of their suit and tie types was in my
> warehouse
> > > wanting to know what happened.
> > > I had the chance to tell him, of course, and a chance to get a jab in at
> > > their customer service,
> > > telling him about how their smart-mouthed lady was "able" to tell me
> what
> > > carton I shipped in.
> > > He, too, was a little upset when he found out that they had switched
> boxes
> > > on me. It took a
> > > lot of promises and begging and several visits from the customer rep
> > before
> > > we shipped with them again.
> > >
> > > If they pull a caper like that again on me, now that I am running my own
> > > business, it'll be a cold
> > > day in you-know-where before I ship with them again.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > > K4KWH
> > > www.qsl.net/k4kwh
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1427. FA: Motorola Astro Sabre HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 12:15:01 UTC
From: "Joe P." <jprotesvcds@aol.com>
Message-ID: <95x48.16775$ag5.1556277@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53396
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

For auction on E-Bay now.

Motorola Astro SABRE HT, 15-Ch (no reserve)

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1693645327

Note: since our last post someone gave us
a link to what looks like a product sheet online for this
radio. It is at the url below.

http://www.motorola.com/cgiss/docs/astro_saber_catsheet.pdf

1428. Re: WTB scanner/receiver. PLEASE HELP!! Need recommendations!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 13:07:34 UTC
From: Resister@crystal.net
Message-ID: <3C52AA16.5E049F0A@crystal.net>
Source record: 53297
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

With people and businesses being sued for things like the coffee was to hot, or your tree was growing over the fence and a host of other stupid things. I suggest you but a radio shack scanner, it doesn't perform the way you want, you sue me for erroneous information. People are gun shy. Yes, I know my comment seems stupid but think about how things are going across the country. By the way, I am not suggesting you go to radio shack.

If you like what you see go for it. As for these groups forget them, it's hard to get a straight answer out of these people.

Most use these groups to vent their frustrations. Why? Who knows..... their coffee was to hot, they didn't get _ _ _ _!!!
You decide.

"Orlando(320th TRS)" wrote:

> I need to buy soon!!
>
> I did'nt know it would be so hard to get scanner recommendations from a
> scanner newsgroup. Please recommend scanners for me, as I want to purchase
> soon. I found not even the scanner venders seemed willing to make
> sugestions.
>
> I DON'T LIKE: ICOMs,YAESUs, or any "mini" recievers.
>
> I LOVE: AR8200 SERIES-2, YUPITERU MVT-9000MKII, DJ-X2000
>
> I'm looking for a full coverage scanner with a "desent" scan rate, good size
> and VOLUME. I want this for field use so volume and size are a
> consideration. These scanners should be able to fit into a "tactical"
> holster/case so the "mini" scanners won't do.
>
> And now the PROBLEM, I want to stay under $399! In that price range all I
> find are Radio Shack, and "mini" recievers with slow scan rates. I'm
> upgraging from an old RS scanner so I'm not looking for too much in terms of
> features. 500+ channels/100+ lockout FQs/10hrs+ battery life...

1429. Want to trade BC235xlt for Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 13:44:09 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020126084409.24557.00000494@mb-mg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 53299

Hello

I have an almost new BC235xlt with two battery packs battery pack charger and wall adapter I'd like to trade fpor a Pro-26. Please email me at farmandjr69@cs.com for more info. Thanks in advance
Fred

1430. Icom R2 For $130 New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 13:44:21 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Voy48.3166$n46.741902@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53298
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, Burghardt's again has the R2 for $129.95. Great price!
DeWayne KE9K

http://www.burghardt-amateur.com/Burghardt/HTML/main_frame.html

1431. Re: so is the USPS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 13:50:46 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020126085046.24561.00000391@mb-mg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 53300

I use the US Post office most of the time for my shipping. Especially after in my community last christmas they worked on Christmas day to deliver packages that they thought were Christmas presents. I know for fact because they delived a Pro-39 I had bought on Christmas day.
Fly like an eagle use the US Post Office.

1432. Re: PAR OMNI HORZOTAL UHF ANTENNAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 14:42:00 UTC
From: Kent K9EZ <kwinrich@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Xez48.35034$bv.3363069@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 53301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Out of the mouths of feeble minds.....

"ZX11D" <w9jcm@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:OWn48.997$mv.7249@newsfeed.intelenet.net...
> it it worked so great whats the reason your selling them?
> "WILLIAM C FORVIK" <n0mnb@email.msn.com> wrote in message
> news:ejrS6fdpBHA.1504@cpimsnntpa03...
> > 4 SALE USED 1 MONTH TWO PAR 432 ANTENNAS WITH CO-PHASING HARNESS
> > WORK GREAT BOTH MOBLIE AND FIXED
> > 3DB GAIN SEE IT AT E-BAY
> > 1326292265
> >
> > SEE PICTURE
> >
> >
>
>

1433. First/Early Bearcat 210? (Bob Parnass)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 14:46:22 UTC
From: cleit273@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020126094622.07619.00000090@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 53302

Hi,
I have a Bearcat 210 in my collection that differs from the others I own. The back-up battery is mounted inside the scanner on the PCB, instead of the usual holder mounted on the rear panel. Also, instead of the usual " X1111" date code, it has a small white sticker stating "MFG July, 1977." Could this be one of the very early production models?
Lastly, is the Vintage Scanner group gone on Yahoo? I could not find it while doing a search.

Thanks in advance,

Craig

1434. WTB:800 mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 14:54:08 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <kqz48.6195$gW4.4610347@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 53303

im looking for a scanner that will receive 800 MHZ public service (not interested in cell)

prefer handheld,but,will consider all

Monte k9dzdd@home.com

1435. Re: RS Pro-79: Good Or Bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 14:57:32 UTC
From: cleit273@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020126095732.07619.00000091@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 53304

Donovan,
I've been into scannng for 13 years, and I own many scanners, including a Realistic (Radio Shack) PRO-2006, 2 Bearcat 780XLT's, and an Icom R-8500. I recently picked up a PRO-79 since I needed a handheld model. I'm quite satisfied with mine. It offers good performance, and I feel it's well worth what I paid for it.

- Craig

1436. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 15:37:42 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <a3A48.523$3Y3.158831@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 53305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

: >The near field receiver is interesting. But I would not
: > suggest using it in your car unless you have an exemption.
:
: exemption of what?

It is against the law in almost every state for an
individual to have a scanner that can receive police
and public safety frequencies in public or in a car.
Police, fire fighters, journalists and amateur radio
operators are exempted. This is state law, not federal.

: If you are talking about the tyranny of the ECPA?
: The frequency counter displays the only the frequency of the transmitter,
: without the ability to convert the signal into an analog audio signal.
:
: The near-field "reaction tuners" have already had
: the "forbidden frequencies" censored out.
:
: Okay, the Alinco DJ-X2000 from Javiation does have "reaction tune"
capability. The USA version is cellular-censored, there is no need for an
ECPA exemption.

The Alinco DJ-X2000 has those features, the react tune,
in all radios no matter where they are sold. It was just
that the Javiation site had a better review of the product.
Try reading http://www.strongsignals.net on a regular basis.

: > There are also several features in the DJ-X2000 not
: > available on any other unit - the in built frequency counter
: > is a first and allows the radio to instantly tune to any detected
: > frequency within range of the counter (this is a near field capability).
: >The 800MHz cellular band blocked on US models cannot be modified
: > by any means
:
: http://www.javiation.co.uk/dj-x2000.html
:
:
: > Under the laws in most states, hams are exempt from arrest for having
: > a scanner in public. Police, fire fghters, journalists are also exempt.
: > But it is illegal to have a scanner in public or in your car.
: > You can have it in your home or at work.
:
: Most of these laws were written & passed by Liberals, Socialist and
Democrats (LSD) These politicians have absolutely no understanding of

electronics, radio or the real world.
:
: A license from the FCC to transmit on Amateur Radio frequencies has
: now become a state license to receive public safety transmissions.
:
: State scanner laws: we have been through all of this before! Here, you
figure it out!
: http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/ > INDEX: > 2.The Laws >
State Scanner Laws
:
:
: Federal pre-emption of state scanner laws.
:
: Amateur Operator use of transceivers capable of reception
: beyond amateur service frequency allocations:
:
: PR Docket No. 91-36
: http://www.grove-ent.com/LL-FCCdocket.html
:
:
: The "Secret Squirrel" who started this thread is one of those "fear the
police" types.
:
: Maybe he could join the ARRL http://www.arrl.org/ and becomes a
Licensed Amateur Radio Operator. Then he could show his Ham ticket to the
cops and be "exempt from arrest".

True. But then I think that he is more on the edges of
society.

1437. Re: Icom R2 For $130 New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 16:24:59 UTC
From: Clay <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <3c52d8bd$1_2@news7.fast.net>
Source record: 53306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Voy48.3166$n46.741902@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Well, Burghardt's again has the R2 for $129.95. Great price!
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> http://www.burghardt-amateur.com/Burghardt/HTML/main_frame.html
>
>
>

Aw, I'd much rather pay 20-30% more on ebay..... :)

1438. ACARS Freqs for DFW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 16:26:41 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <SeeSig@Below.com>
Message-ID: <5NA48.5928$Om2.18010@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 53307

Anyone know what freqs to monitor for ACARS in the Dallas -Ft Worth area?

I've been searching 131-132 and don't find any digital signals.

Anyone have bitmap maps for the DFW area to use with WACARS?

Thanks!

Thanks.
--
**
** You know what to do to fix this email address: CPRitter-attbi-com
**

1439. Re: Icom R2 For $130 New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 16:49:48 UTC
From: snow <dlessard@powerlink.net>
Message-ID: <u55nenmrhg0e1d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

$130 would be a steal for the R2. I have used one and it picks up about any
kind of signal out there.
DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Voy48.3166$n46.741902@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Well, Burghardt's again has the R2 for $129.95. Great price!
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> http://www.burghardt-amateur.com/Burghardt/HTML/main_frame.html
>
>
>

1440. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 17:02:57 UTC
From: Ricky <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <a2ung1fve1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53309

Well the police are same the world over I imagine...God bless 'em, but
they're not the brightest buttons in the box... :)

"Charlie Durand" <charlesdurand@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:aVM28.6672$CW3.91347@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> [..stuff deleted..]
>
> > policing that we now have to perform. Basically now, if we write a
ticket,
> > we have a good chance of having a complaint filed (on avg 40%) and may
> even
> > be labeled for such actions as a simple "no insurance, red light/stop"
or
> > any other violation.
> >
> > It is now VERY difficult to police without the problems a simple contact
> may
> > be.
>
> No one is forced to be a cop. If you don't like the job, get a different
> job. I think it's great that people feel empowered to speak up when they
> feel they've been treatly badly by a cop. Cops aren't above the law. If
> there's no merit to a person's complaint you shouldn't have anything to
> worry about. Isn't that what cops say to the bad guys? "If you've done
> nothing wrong you have nothing to worry about."
>
> > Another officer once had a complaint to settle a distrubance between two
> > neighbors. One neighbor made a complaint saying the officer was
NON-BIASED
> > and that he did not take any one persons side in the distrubance. The
> > complaint was sustained! I thought we were to be non-biased in any
> conflict?
> > sheeesh!
>
> Cops are supposed to be biased. Protect the victims and arrest the
> criminals. If the complaint was sustained there must have been an obvious
> problem the cop was overlooking. If you've done nothing wrong then nothing
> should come from the complaint, right?
>
> sheesh indeed.
>
>
>
>

1441. Re: Radio Shack Pro-89 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 17:28:21 UTC
From: boo <cebone@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jLB48.36003$bv.3545953@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 53310

any mods for the cell on a pro 89

1442. Re: First/Early Bearcat 210? (Bob Parnass)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 18:28:55 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <HzC48.51615$B61.17563717@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 53311

Yes, if it's the 10-channel model it is one of the first. they upgraded it fairly quickly (but before July 77 it seems to me) to the 210 XL. I had one of the very early 10-channel 210s, but I thought the battery was in a black, circular "navel" on the back.

Hmmm. And yes, Vintage Scanners is at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/vintagescanners/

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Cleit273" <cleit273@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020126094622.07619.00000090@mb-fa.aol.com...
> Hi,
> I have a Bearcat 210 in my collection that differs from the others I
> own. The back-up battery is mounted inside the scanner on the PCB, instead of
> the usual holder mounted on the rear panel. Also, instead of the usual "X1111"
> date code, it has a small white sticker stating "MFG July, 1977." Could this be
> one of the very early production models?
> Lastly, is the Vintage Scanner group gone on Yahoo? I could not find it
> while doing a search.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Craig

1443. Where to buy online a PRO-93 not using Radio Shack online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 19:59:29 UTC
From: ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com
Message-ID: <2d2bbca8.0201261159.5241d645@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53312

Yes I know its weird. But can someone tell me a good and reputable online store where I can buy a Radio Shack PRO-93 that is not the RS website? Thanks!

1444. Re: Where to buy online a PRO-93 not using Radio Shack online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 20:02:39 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zXD48.53030$d16.9098172@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53313

I believe you are out of luck...

It's either the store, or online.

Dan
"Faive" <ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com> wrote in message
news:2d2bbca8.0201261159.5241d645@posting.google.com...
> Yes I know its weird. But can someone tell me a good and reputable
> online store where I can buy a Radio Shack PRO-93 that is not the RS
> website? Thanks!

1445. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 20:09:41 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <92E48.53032$d16.9102223@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53314

> Well the police are same the world over I imagine...God bless 'em, but
> they're not the brightest buttons in the box... :)
>
Think about it for a minute.....

How bright could a person be that would willingly do the following:

Accept a job where you work around the clock
Every weekend and holiday
All kinds of weather
For very little money
For absolutely NO support from the people they serve
Criticized at every turn for trying to do their jobs.
DAMNED if you do
DAMNED if you don't
Shot at
Stabbed
Assaulted
Verbally abused
Run over on the highway

And whose job description changes every week with the whims of the
politically correct liberals.....

Only a complete MORON would take that job.

Dan

1446. Icom R-3 Video question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 20:13:29 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020126151329.20550.00000559@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 53315

Can I receive the video signal from one of those Radio Shack 2.4 Gig transmitters on the R-3?

1447. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 20:29:20 UTC
From: Mitchell Enterprises <sales@kenmitchell.com>
Message-ID: <3C5311A0.149745FE@kenmitchell.com>
Source record: 53316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I had this very samething happen. They put the wrong label on my package and my label on another package thus, the two packages were sent to the wrong parties. I contacted UPS and they took care of it for me. Not willingly at first because they couldn't believe that could happen. They contacted both parties and explain their mistake, picked up the packages, and made it right.

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
Mitchell Enterprises
mailto:sales@kenmitchell.com
http://www.kenmitchell.com
(916) 772-1400
(916) 772-1401 (Fax)

1448. FS:Pro-2006/OS456 and PC (Possible Trades)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 21:33:27 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c532085.11514316@news.mint.net>
Source record: 53317

PRO2006 Excellent Condition (800 mod,Discriminator mod)
with Optoelectronics 456 board installed

120mhz HP PC soley dedicated to scanner

Maine area only

Best offer..... or trades + cash considered maybe depends
:scanners,cb's,???

1449. Re: Where to buy online a PRO-93 not using Radio Shack online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 21:58:48 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uEF48.10072$Wf1.2973930@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 53318

http://www.scannersunlimited.com/scanpr.html

"Faive" <ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com> wrote in message
news:2d2bbca8.0201261159.5241d645@posting.google.com...
> Yes I know its weird. But can someone tell me a good and reputable
> online store where I can buy a Radio Shack PRO-93 that is not the RS
> website? Thanks!

1450. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 22:07:10 UTC
From: michael jantzen <jantzen_michael@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iMF48.14490$RD5.35210@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

This is why I kinda like the usps - they take just about anything - they don't abuse packages like fedex and ups do and they are much cheaper. Plus they offer insurance, delivery confirmation and other online tracking services.

1451. 200ch pro-76 scanner fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 23:12:35 UTC
From: john sanders <jdsand@cowtown.net>
Message-ID: <u56dndgd7drmb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53320

have a clean pro-76 200 chn hand held scanner for $90.00 shipped

tnx john

1452. My News Server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 23:13:08 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry@puredamntexas.com>
Message-ID: <u56e07rvchdm3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53321

I have started a Texas ScanRadio news group on my news server, it is alt.texas.scanradio located at news://texasnews.no-ip.com

1453. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 23:34:53 UTC
From: katanaz <katanaz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u56f8jjdoaitab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I agree. I've been shipping my Ebay packages exclusively with Fed-Ex Ground and haven't had a single problem. They are faster, cheaper, and friendlier than UPS will ever be. I haven't had them once ask about how an item was packaged or opened a package at the counter to find out. Fed-Ex Ground will usually beat their "estimated delivery date" by a day or two and they've been known to deliver packages on Saturdays and Sundays at no extra charge.

"Murray Neece" <radioranch@cableone.net> wrote in message news:3C50F029.5FBB21D2@cableone.net...
> I have only been using them two months, but so far, even though they lost
> a set of tubes...Im much more impressed....UPS damaged about 1 out of 8
> packages over 35 lbs. that I sent....THEY SUCK and now YOU KNOW....BOYCOTT
> em..use fedex they are pretty good so far..73 Murray

1454. 4Sale: Euro Yaesu VX-5R w/ unblocked 800Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 23:42:07 UTC
From: James Braun <jbraun@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C533ECE.92BD2848@localnet.com>
Source record: 53323
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a Euro Model Yaesu VX-5R Triband Handheld w/ accessories for
sale, This is a Export version with (unblocked) coverage including
Cellular. It is in mint condition with optional SU1 Module installed,
and Free Band modification for expanded RX /TX (and programmed w/ US
Band Plan).
Comes with the following accessories:
(2) FNB58LI Batteries
(1) FBA23 "AA" Battery Pack
(1) CD15 Rapid Charger Base
(1) A/C Charger
(1) CSC73 Soft Case
(1) SU1 Altimeter / Barometer Module talled
(1) Yaesu Triband HT Antenna
Box, and Manual.

Asking $400 or best offer for the package.
Can forward pictures upon request.
I can be reached at :
jbraun@localnet.com

Thanks,
James

1455. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 23:49:32 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dgH48.223$es4.323894@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53324

In article <92E48.53032$d16.9102223@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>,
dconti@nj.rr.com says...
>
> > Well the police are same the world over I imagine...God bless 'em, but
> > they're not the brightest buttons in the box... :)
> >
> Think about it for a minute.....
>
> How bright could a person be that would willingly do the following:

Okay, let's take Tacoma Police and see...

> Accept a job where you work around the clock

They don't thought there is some overtime. They also get to drive
their car home and use it going to their second job.

> Every weekend and holiday

Not true in Tacoma. In fact our detectives are 9-5 no weekends.

> All kinds of weather

Tell that to the plumber. mailman, tow truck driver...well you get the
picture.

> For very little money

Depends where in the country you work.

> For absolutely NO support from the people they serve

Yawn.....

> Criticized at every turn for trying to do their jobs.

Not by all....a vocal minority imho. OTOH some departments have it
coming and LA is one that comes to mind.

> DAMNED if you do
> DAMNED if you don't

sometimes

> Shot at

so are teachers, bankers and deer

> Stabbed

same

> Assaulted

same

> Verbally abused

same and here too!

> Run over on the highway

Hell, that happened to me at Costco today! Well, almost.

> And whose job description changes every week with the whims of the
> politically correct liberals.....

Ahhh yes, those damn liberals! Only thing worse are those right wing
conservatives.

> Only a complete MORON would take that job.

Are you a cop Dan? :-)

Glenn

1456. Re: Where to buy online a PRO-93 not using Radio Shack online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-26 23:58:40 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%oH48.550$f11.92778@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53325

Or a place called "Scanners Unlimited" or something like that. Go to the strong signals site. They are a sponsor.

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:zXD48.53030$d16.9098172@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I believe you are out of luck...
>
> It's either the store, or online.
>
> Dan
> "Faive" <ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com> wrote in message
> news:2d2bbca8.0201261159.5241d645@posting.google.com...
> > Yes I know its weird. But can someone tell me a good and reputable
> > online store where I can buy a Radio Shack PRO-93 that is not the RS
> > website? Thanks!
>
>

1457. Does my Radio Shack PRO-93 have the alpha tag overlay bug ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 02:09:58 UTC
From: nweiler@nac.net
Message-ID: <7225aa4b.0201261809.64829c02@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53326

Hi again,

I have a new PRO-93, with 7 more days left on my 30-day return period. I've read a lot about the alpha tag problem. Some user group members have the problem - some don't. I have firmware version 1.00. I tried to determine if mine had the problem by putting a text tag on bank 0 chan 05, then putting a different tag on bank 9 chan 05. I returned to 005 - it was still OK. I then put a new tag on 005, then went back up to 905, and that tag was still OK.

Is my unit OK, or does the overlay occur with the downloading of tags from the computer. In other words, will all bank 0 channel tags overwrite the bank 9 channel tags on the download (or vice-versa)? I don't yet have the download cable to test this.

Thanks again for your help.
Norm in NJ

nweiler@nac.net

1458. Re: Where to buy online a PRO-93 not using Radio Shack online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 03:19:37 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dlK48.53095$d16.9342372@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53329

> Or a place called "Scanners Unlimited" or something like that. Go to the
> strong signals site. They are a sponsor.
>

Yes, if you want to pay 30 bucks more then they are sale for at the Shack.
The 2053 at Scanners Unlimited is 249 bucks, on sale at the shack for 179.

I guess Scanners unlimited is the way to go, instead of simply calling the
Rat Shack stores and having them hold one for you.

Dan

> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:zXD48.53030$d16.9098172@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > I believe you are out of luck...
> >
> > It's either the store, or online.
> >
> > Dan
> > "Faive" <ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com> wrote in message
> > news:2d2bbca8.0201261159.5241d645@posting.google.com...
> > > Yes I know its weird. But can someone tell me a good and reputable
> > > online store where I can buy a Radio Shack PRO-93 that is not the RS
> > > website? Thanks!
> >
> >
>
>

1459. Re: NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 03:24:56 UTC
From: andy <inthelead234@mickle.net>
Message-ID: <BhK48.345$Ub7.13005@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 53327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

"Security Unlimited Inc." <securit1951@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:Ato48.15843$ag5.1386642@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> LIKE I SAID UPS IS FULL OF SHIT 24/7!
> > Brian

I will never use them and I'll bet most of the people standing up for them either work there or own stock in the company! There is no way they can give proper care to your parcels as they hire college kids and most of the handlers are replaced every semester, I worked there and they had no training, no breaks, and you were told to run to the time clock when they got to the point they could spare you for the night.

I had a person ship an item to me ups and it arrived with a split in it, from a drop of at least 10 feet. They acted decent on the phone, but gave me the royal run around. They give you a step by step process that just goes on and on until most people give up. They wanted to have a driver pick the item up and then give it a ride back to the original shipper and have him deal with the damage----but I had already paid the individual for it, and he had little motivation to collect for me! I was told by ups that I had no rights to make a claim, as if I had no idea what the item was worth, although I had just paid for it!

At first they said that if the claim amount was less than $100, they could just pay me and let me keep the item. When I took the package up to the ups customer service office here, the girl started telling me she could not do what she had said earlier on the phone and again started to try to just send it back to the sender. I asked for her supervisor. Now I got the full UPS BS--it was probably shipped pre-damaged, it was re-used packaging, it was improperly packaged, etc. The fact you have insurance means nothing, they can still use all their delay tactics on you until you give up. They would only send it back to the shipper, and he had to spend a lot of time going around and around with them to get the claim paid a long time later.

The sick thing about this is that people use UPS authorized service centers that are conveniently nearby, and most people think it is the same rate everywhere just like the post office! In reality the Mailbox etc. and all of them charge whatever they want---and most people never question it. For what you are paying you could be paying less for FedEx overnight! The last time I went to Mailbox Etc. they wanted $36, I asked how much of that was their upcharge and they said, we don't know, it is figured in the computer! I drove it over to the real UPS shipping office and it was only $18!

1460. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 03:30:29 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pvK48.53096$d16.9349617@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53331

> > > Well the police are same the world over I imagine...God bless 'em, but
> > > they're not the brightest buttons in the box... :)
> > >
> > Think about it for a minute.....
> >
> > How bright could a person be that would willingly do the following:
>
> Okay, let's take Tacoma Police and see...
>
> > Accept a job where you work around the clock
>
> They don't thought there is some overtime. They also get to drive
> their car home and use it going to their second job.

Exception, not the rule. Are you saying that there are NO cops after 5PM in
Tacoma?
>
> > Every weekend and holiday
>
> Not true in Tacoma. In fact our detectives are 9-5 no weekends.

What about the Patrol Officers? Oh, I forgot, they close after 5PM and on
weekends and holidays in Tacoma.

> > All kinds of weather
>
> Tell that to the plumber. mailman, tow truck driver...well you get the
> picture.

Oh please, are you for real, or what.... ?
Tell you what, next time a cop comes to your house at 3 AM, ask him / her
for that $300.00 bill for the house call.

> > For very little money
>
> Depends where in the country you work.
>
Try NYC cops, for a start.

> > For absolutely NO support from the people they serve
>
> Yawn.....

Well, cat got your tognue there, eh?

> > Criticized at every turn for trying to do their jobs.
>

> Not by all....a vocal minority imho. OTOH some departments have it
> coming and LA is one that comes to mind.

LA is an anomoly.
>
> > DAMNED if you do
> > DAMNED if you don't
>
> sometimes

Always
>
> > Shot at
>
> so are teachers, bankers and deer

Again, please give me a break
>
> > Stabbed
>
> same
>
> > Assaulted
>
> same
>
> > Verbally abused
>
> same and here too!
>
> > Run over on the highway
>
> Hell, that happened to me at Costco today! Well, almost.

Now you're being silly.

> > And whose job description changes every week with the whims of the
> > politically correct liberals.....
>
> Ahhh yes, those damn liberals! Only thing worse are those right wing
> conservatives.

Your silliness here reeks of a bleeding heart liberal

> > Only a complete MORON would take that job.
>
> Are you a cop Dan? :-)

Yes I am, and a CERTIFIED moron to boot!
>
> Glenn
>

A good friend told me once,

A community that makes an enemy of it's police better become damn good friends with the criminals!

Unless, you have a better plan, of course. If you do, present it , and maybe we can eliminate those damn troublesome police departments, in favor of a kinder , gentler, more warm and fuzzy alternative offered up by Glen and his ilk.....

Haven't seen one yet, but still waiting...

Dan

1461. Re: 800MHZ cordless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 03:30:49 UTC
From: andy <inthelead234@mickle.net>
Message-ID: <5nK48.349$Ub7.12745@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 53328

> "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:wgp38.257$DT5.136321@news.uswest.net...
> > Cause I'm hearing the same 2 people all the time in the 800MHz range on
my
> > 93. I'm pretty sure it's not a cellular image. Yes, I know I can't get
> cell
> > phones, blah blah blah, I know all that. But I can't figure out who
these
> > people are. One side is usually clear, the other side is scrambled. They
> > talk about stupid stuff.
> >

my granny used to claim she could hear aliens talking on her hearing aid!

then one night she disappeared....go figure.

1462. Re: Realistic Pro-37 manual for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 03:35:25 UTC
From: andy <inthelead234@mickle.net>
Message-ID: <prK48.353$Ub7.13402@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 53330

A few of the manuals are pdf format exact reprints of the manual, but I can't figure out how to print them without the little targets all around them in the huge margins on each page. Most of the online information are NOT the original and not as good, although they will certainly get you by. I was amazed how much more stuff was in the original manuals once I got the real thing.

"David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message news:HUd38.25892$zX1.14866323@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Seeing as how you can get the manual for free on the RS website, why would anyone want to buy one?
>
> --
>
>
> _____
> "dholloway" <dholloway@futura.net> wrote in message news:YP538.85$s7.59365@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > I don't need the manual anymore, some piece of scum STOLE my Pro-37. $4 + $1
> > shipping.
> >
> >
>
>

1463. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 03:57:54 UTC
From: Chris <holman@townisp.com>
Message-ID: <u56uj03lrm9u4e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53332
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Here's the thing, no they are not getting rid of the entire line of components. They are trimming back some of the stuff that has little to no movement as these product take up 30-50% of most stores and only accounts for 2% of the revenue. You wouldn't make the biggest rooms in your house closets would you? No, you use them for what you do most; eat, sleep and recreation. Secondly alot of the stuff they are eliminating I think you will see return in the form of more variety pack (I know you're all gonna moan, "but I just want this one, why do I need to buy all the others?") Also some stores I have heard are going to be getting a drawer setup, kinda like what you might see at a hardware store to keep the packages in to minimize the space taken up, but maximize what they can carry. Most Shack customers tend to be understanding from my observation. Hopefully those of you who enjoy reason will find solace in this note.

1464. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 04:06:16 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u56v9a8q9vqu49@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Chris:

hey, don't patronize us... i want my germanium diodes back! where are they?
i want the whole drawer full... you can never have enough of 'em.

phil :p

1465. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 04:14:04 UTC
From: David Anderson <anderd@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <g8L48.4163$Ox4.18379@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Is radio Shack the only electronics store in town? I dont know about you, but i can buy hand fulls of damn near any resistor, cap, diode, choke, etc from one of at least 2 electronics stores that sell parts here in town. If nothing else, order them from offline lol.. i know, its rediculas to order 2 resistors and pay 4 or 5 bucks in S&H, but its amazed me how many people actually are bent over this. Well they've discontinued a number of small things i've bought tons of over the years that i recently couldnt find any of. What the hell happened to their cigarette adaptore ends that you wired yourself? Thats only one of about 6 things hehe.. anyway i can somewhat share the frustration, but i've bought 99.98% of all my parts from other sources, hell even a CB shop at the truck stop was a source way back in the day lol.

David

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
news:u56v9a8q9vqu49@corp.supernews.com...
> Chris:
>
> hey, don't patronize us... i want my germanium diodes back! where are
they?
> i want the whole drawer full... you can never have enough of 'em.
>
> phil :p
>
>
>

1466. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 04:29:44 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u570lggl048383@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53335
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

this thread shall be knoweth from hence this moment as: 'the Rat Shack thread that would not die'. and just as this thread will not perish neither shall the rodent Rat Shack. if worse comes to worse when you want parts you can always just go there, buy a $10 cellphone, and then crack it open. when this day comes to pass i shall write a book called 'SMD for dummies' and become rich. with my money i will open up my own line of Germanium Diode Shack's worldwide.

phil :p

You've got Questions? We've got Germanium Diodes!

1467. Re: ACARS Freqs for DFW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 04:31:34 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <GoL48.22111$Ar5.1244871@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 53336

http://www.acarslink.com/alfreq.htm

1468. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 04:38:32 UTC
From: David Anderson <anderd@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <cvL48.9555$Om2.34892@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 53337
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
news:u570lggl048383@corp.supernews.com...
> this thread shall be knoweth from hence this moment as: 'the Rat Shack
> thread that would not die'. and just as this thread will not perish
neither
> shall the rodent Rat Shack. if worse comes to worse when you want parts
you
> can always just go there, buy a $10 cellphone, and then crack it open.
when
> this day comes to pass i shall write a book called 'SMD for dummies' and
> become rich. with my money i will open up my own line of Germanium Diode
> Shack's worldwide.

ROFL

> You've got Questions? We've got Germanium Diodes!

They wish they had answers, what a stupid slogan for a company such as
this...
You would be lucky to find more than 1 radio shack employee per county
that has half a brain. The rest are drones that almost always have to ask
the manager, who has to call FW Texas for assistance before you can find
out if they have a Germanium diode they can mail you for $0.02 and $29.95
S&H or if they have a AAA battery.

1469. Re: Scanners for 200MHz range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 04:45:01 UTC
From: lousherry@lanset.com
Message-ID: <3C5385CD.B7519DAD@lanset.com>
Source record: 53338

BC 895

Listeningwatch wrote:

> BC9000XLT & 780 cover 200mhz

1470. Re: Where to buy online a PRO-93 not using Radio Shack online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 04:57:51 UTC
From: boo <cebone@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jNL48.322545$8w3.74593119@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 53339

well they r 249.00 at radioshack and where do u get 179....show me a ad...they all should be the same and if not...this will be a good one

1471. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 05:00:35 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <TPL48.4735$mU4.295642@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David Anderson wrote in message ...
>Is radio Shack the only electronics store in town? I dont know about you,
>but i can buy hand fulls of damn near any resistor, cap, diode, choke, etc
>from one of at least 2 electronics stores that sell parts here in town.

I live in NJ, and as populated as it is, RS is the only local store has discrete components. Just out of curiosity David, where are you from? Silicon Valley area?

Years ago there was a store about 1/2 hour away that had several hundred bins of components and
surplus parts. No shrink wrap, just the parts moderately priced.
Any electronic enthusiast who went there felt like a kid in a candy store.

Al

1472. Re: Where to buy online a PRO-93 not using Radio Shack online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 05:11:18 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WZL48.10109$Wf1.3045200@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 53341

On the RS web site the 93 is on sale for $169.99 and the 2053 is not currently on sale, although who knows what February will bring. The sale price on the 2053 was $179.99 last time it was on sale.

Greg
"boo" <cebone@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:jNL48.322545$8w3.74593119@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> well they r 249.00 at radioshack and where do u get 179....show me a
> ad...they all should be the same and if not...this will be a good one
>
>

1473. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 05:31:41 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <NgM48.329$es4.425667@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53342

In article <pvK48.53096$d16.9349617@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>,
dconti@nj.rr.com says...
>
> > > > Well the police are same the world over I imagine...God bless 'em, but
> > > > they're not the brightest buttons in the box... :)
> > > >
> > > Think about it for a minute.....
> > >
> > > How bright could a person be that would willingly do the following:
> >
> > Okay, let's take Tacoma Police and see...
> >
> > > Accept a job where you work around the clock
> >
> > They don't thought there is some overtime. They also get to drive
> > their car home and use it going to their second job.
>
> Exception, not the rule. Are you saying that there are NO cops after 5PM in
> Tacoma?

Are you saying cops work 24 hour shifts? Of that there are shifts all
hours. Cops aren't the only one Dan. Whine on.

> > > Every weekend and holiday
> >
> > Not true in Tacoma. In fact our detectives are 9-5 no weekends.
>
> What about the Patrol Officers? Oh, I forgot, they close after 5PM and on
> weekends and holidays in Tacoma.

You didn't forget anything Dan, you are being an ass because you
didn't understand me. Then you add crap. Yeah you are an upright guy
Dan.

> > > All kinds of weather
> >
> > Tell that to the plumber. mailman, tow truck driver...well you get the
> > picture.
>
> Oh please, are you for real, or what.... ?
> Tell you what, next time a cop comes to your house at 3 AM, ask him / her
> for that $300.00 bill for the house call.

Exception

> > > For very little money
> >
> > Depends where in the country you work.
> >
> Try NYC cops, for a start.

What do they make Dan? Start and top?

> > > For absolutely NO support from the people they serve
> >
> > Yawn.....
>
> Well, cat got your tognue there, eh?

No, bored with the wine. Absolutely NO (in CAPS!) support. YAWN!

> > > Criticized at every turn for trying to do their jobs.
> >
> > Not by all....a vocal minority imho. OTOH some departments have it
> > coming and LA is one that comes to mind.
>
> LA is an anomoly.

Isn't anything that contradicts your everybody hates the cops and are
out to kill them?

> >
> > > DAMNED if you do
> > > DAMNED if you don't
> >
> > sometimes
>
> Always

Always? Yawn.

> >
> > > Shot at
> >
> > so are teachers, bankers and deer
>
> Again, please give me a break

Teachers don't?

> >
> > > Stabbed
> >
> > same
> >
> > > Assaulted
> >
> > same
> >

> > > Verbally abused
> >
> > same and here too!
> >
> > > Run over on the highway
> >
> > Hell, that happened to me at Costco today! Well, almost.
>
> Now you're being silly.

Not to mention highway crews too.

>
> > > And whose job description changes every week with the whims of the
> > > politically correct liberals.....
> >
> > Ahhh yes, those damn liberals! Only thing worse are those right wing
> > conservatives.
>
> Your silliness here reeks of a bleeding heart liberal

You seem to be the one bleeding. Always

> > > Only a complete MORON would take that job.
> >
> > Are you a cop Dan? :-)
>
> Yes I am, and a CERTIFIED moron to boot!

That is really sad. Says a lot about a guy so negative about the world
carrying a gun. Maybe YOU don't get what you whine about because you
don't deserve it. Possibly you should get a job that you don't hate so
badly. You certainly can't be very good at what you do if you believe
what you are saying here.

> >
> > Glenn
> >
>
> A good friend told me once,
>
> A community that makes an enemy of it's police better become damn good
> friends with the criminals!

Sounds like another sicko paranoid (cop). Only a jackass would react
that way. Oh, he your friend, never mind.

> Unless, you have a better plan, of course. If you do, present it , and
> maybe we can eliminate those damn troublesome police departments, in favor
> of a kinder , gentler, more warm and fuzzy alternative offered up by Glen
> and his ilk.....

Alternative I offered? What the hell are you talking about Dan?? I just didn't agree that your perception of the public and its treatment of the police so you start the name calling and crap. Sorry, I did realize you are a expert on police departments throughout the country. Guessed you missed a few or were they just exceptions too?

Sorry you hate your job so much Dan. Must be hell!

Glenn

1474. Re: FED EX so much BETTER!! NO MORE UPS FOR ME / Rant / Long

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 06:38:25 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SfN48.1548$f11.147438@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

You paid in cash and never got a receipt?

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:a2tng8$l4u$3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna rickm <rickm_msn@email.msn.com> wrote:
>
> > Several years ago, when I owned my own business, I had UPS deliver some
> > C.O.D. packages. I made a mistake and paid for them with cash. A few
weeks
> > later, UPS comes back to me and tells me they lost my check and asks me
to
> > rewrite the check. When I explained that I paid in cash, they told me I
was
> > mistaken, and demanded another check. This went on for a couple of
months
> > and then I started getting harrasing phone calls from attorneys
representing
> > UPS. It was a total mess, and ended up costing me several thousand
dollars.
> > I agree, I'll never use UPS again.
>
> Why did it cost you any money? You had to pay the driver to get the
> package. That is sufficient proof that you paid. If UPS lost the payment,
> that is not your fault.
>
> I have been that route. A quick letter from my attorney set them straight.
>
> But I avoid UPS whenever possible. They often leave packages sitting on
> my front doorstep in the rain. The sometimes leave packages that require
> a signature - good thing that I am honest and don't claim that it was
> never delivered.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

1475. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 07:19:18 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WRN48.53738$d16.9464033@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53344

> > > They don't thought there is some overtime. They also get to drive
> > > their car home and use it going to their second job.
> >
> > Exception, not the rule. Are you saying that there are NO cops after
5PM in
> > Tacoma?
>
> Are you saying cops work 24 hour shifts? Of that there are shifts all
> hours. Cops aren't the only one Dan. Whine on.

Well Glenn, guess you missed my point. You know very well that cops don't actually work 24 hours a day ,literally. You cannot be that dumb. If my attempt to make my point here was whining, then so be it. In all the years I've been on the groups, every time I take a position someone else doesn't like, it's "whining"...Sheeesh, can't you be more original?

> > What about the Patrol Officers? Oh, I forgot, they close after 5PM and
on
> > weekends and holidays in Tacoma.
>
> You didn't forget anything Dan, you are being an ass because you
> didn't understand me. Then you add crap. Yeah you are an upright guy
> Dan.

I didn't? It is you who have the perception problem. I speak about the fact that cops work around the clock, weekends and holidays, and you tell me about Detectives working 9 - 5 in Tacoma. What didn't I understand? What did I add? BTW, in all my threads in this matter, and responses to you, did I ever call you a name? Once again, when you have nothing intelligent to add, call someone an ass. Typical. ALso, what does "upright" mean?
> > > > All kinds of weather
> > >
> > > Tell that to the plumber. mailman, tow truck driver...well you get the
> > > picture.
> >
> > Oh please, are you for real, or what.... ?
> > Tell you what, next time a cop comes to your house at 3 AM, ask him /
her
> > for that $300.00 bill for the house call.
>
> Exception

No exception, it never happens.

> > > > For very little money
> > >

> > > Depends where in the country you work.
> > >
> > Try NYC cops, for a start.
>
> What do they make Dan? Start and top?

NYC officers are among the lowest paid big city cops in the country. I do not know the exact figures, but if you check, you will see what I mean, and be surprised at what you find, but then again, you probably think ALL cops are overpaid anyway.

> > > > For absolutely NO support from the people they serve
> > >
> > > Yawn.....
> >
> > Well, cat got your tognue there, eh?
>
> No, bored with the wine. Absolutely NO (in CAPS!) support. YAWN!

Here we go with the wine, er I mean WHINE nonsense again. (actually, I like a nice Merlot) IS that the only response you know? If you are so bored with my whining, why are you responding to it?
And yes, we get NO support, but then, only a real cop would know that. The rest of the you simply YAWN.

> > > > Criticized at every turn for trying to do their jobs.
> > >
> > > Not by all....a vocal minority imho. OTOH some departments have it
> > > coming and LA is one that comes to mind.
> >
> > LA is an anomoly.
>
> Isn't anything that contradicts your everybody hates the cops and are
> out to kill them?

That made no sense.

> > >
> > > > DAMNED if you do
> > > > DAMNED if you don't
> > >
> > > sometimes
> >
> > Always
>
> Always? Yawn.

Keep yawning, Glenn.....
>
> > >
> > > > Shot at
> > >

> > > so are teachers, bankers and deer
> >
> > Again, please give me a break
>
> Teachers don't?

I understand the point you are trying to make here, Glenn, and I never said that the police are the only ones to get shot, stabbed, etc., and so on. Once again, you missed the point of my original statement. But let me ask you this....

Do teachers, bankers, deer, butchers and candlestick makers stop cars on the highway at 3 AM? Response to bank hold ups? Break up domestic violence calls? And so on? I don't think so...Well, at least I know the deer don't...
> > > > Stabbed
> > >
> > > same
> > >
> > > > Assaulted
> > >
> > > same
> > >
> > > > Verbally abused
> > >
> > > same and here too!
> > >
> > > > Run over on the highway
> > >
> > > Hell, that happened to me at Costco today! Well, almost.
> >
> > Now you're being silly.
>
> Not to mention highway crews too.

Yes, by the same boobs who cry every time a cop gives them a speeding ticket.
>
>
> >
> > > > And whose job description changes every week with the whims of the
> > > > politically correct liberals.....
> > >
> > > Ahhh yes, those damn liberals! Only thing worse are those right wing
> > > conservatives.
> >
> > Your silliness here reeks of a bleeding heart liberal
>
> You seem to be the one bleeding. Always
>
>

> > > > Only a complete MORON would take that job.
> > >
> > > Are you a cop Dan? :-)
> >
> > Yes I am, and a CERTIFIED moron to boot!
>
> That is really sad. Says a lot about a guy so negative about the world
carrying a gun.
Maybe YOU don't get what you whine about because you
> don't deserve it. Possibly you should get a job that you don't hate so
> badly. You certainly can't be very good at what you do if you believe
> what you are saying here.

You can believe what you want, you don't know me and you probably don't want
to. If you can see past your myopic vision of what it takes to make OUR
society safe, we might have a different conversation.
> > >
> > > Glenn
> > >
> >
> > A good friend told me once,
> >
> > A community that makes an enemy of it's police better become damn good
> > friends with the criminals!
>
> Sounds like another sicko paranoid (cop). Only a jackass would react
> that way. Oh, he your friend, never mind.

Once again, you make no sense and resort to calling me names. I get this
all the time from the likes of you on the job. YOU make all my points here
very well.

> > Unless, you have a better plan, of course. If you do, present it , and
> > maybe we can eliminate those damn troublesome police departments, in
favor
> > of a kinder , gentler, more warm and fuzzy alternative offered up by
Glen
> > and his ilk.....
>
> Alternative I offered? What the hell are you talking about Dan??

You never saw a rhetorical, sarcastic question before? I can't help you on
that one.

I
> just didn't agree that your perception of the public and its treatment
> of the police so you start the name calling and crap.

I challenge you to produce an example from this thread where I called you a
name. Politically correct liberal doesn't count, IMHO. You probably wear
that as a badge of honor. However, you calling me an ASS and JACKASS
really doesn't help your case at all.

>Sorry, I did realize you are a expert on police >departments throughout the country.

Well, I never professed to be an expert on the country, but my credentials in my field are quite numerous. But, if you DID realize my expertise, I commend you.

> Guessed you missed a few or were they just exceptions too?

Missed a few what, son?
>
>
> Sorry you hate your job so much Dan. Must be hell!

Actually, I love my job, and will defend it and the people who do it to the end. That was the point of my original response here, in it's sarcastic presentation. Unfortunately, you took the bait and fell into the trap. A minor troll at best...!

;) Dan
>
>
> Glenn

1476. Re: Operation of Startac when you call 911?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 08:39:05 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020127033905.09539.00001100@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 53345

My Nokia 5165i does that too.. I think it is too keep your line open if 9-1-1 needs to reestablish communication with you or you need to call them back quickly.

1477. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 12:52:39 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C53F817.C9720075@USA.net>
Source record: 53346

This thread was interesting until I got tired of reading through the various paragraphs to find the responses.

FACT about COPS: If you haven't been there you wouldn't understand.

Interesting thread although it was totally OFF the subject topic.

jr, RETIRED Law Enforcement (30 years)

Glenn wrote:

> In article <pvK48.53096$d16.9349617@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>,
> dconti@nj.rr.com says...
> >
> > > > > Well the police are same the world over I imagine...God bless 'em, but
> > > > > they're not the brightest buttons in the box... :)
> > > > >
> > > > Think about it for a minute.....
> > > >
> > > How bright could a person be that would willingly do the following:
> > >
> > Okay, let's take Tacoma Police and see...
> > >
> > > > Accept a job where you work around the clock
> > >
> > They don't thought there is some overtime. They also get to drive
> > their car home and use it going to their second job.
> >
> Exception, not the rule. Are you saying that there are NO cops after 5PM in
> Tacoma?
>
> Are you saying cops work 24 hour shifts? Of that there are shifts all
> hours. Cops aren't the only one Dan. Whine on.
>
> > > > Every weekend and holiday
> > >
> > Not true in Tacoma. In fact our detectives are 9-5 no weekends.
> >
> What about the Patrol Officers? Oh, I forgot, they close after 5PM and on
> weekends and holidays in Tacoma.
>
> You didn't forget anything Dan, you are being an ass because you
> didn't understand me. Then you add crap. Yeah you are an upright guy
> Dan.
>
> > > > All kinds of weather

> > >
> > > Tell that to the plumber. mailman, tow truck driver...well you get the
> > > picture.
> >
> > Oh please, are you for real, or what.... ?
> > Tell you what, next time a cop comes to your house at 3 AM, ask him / her
> > for that $300.00 bill for the house call.
>
> Exception
>
> > > > For very little money
> > >
> > > Depends where in the country you work.
> > >
> > Try NYC cops, for a start.
>
> What do they make Dan? Start and top?
>
> > > > For absolutely NO support from the people they serve
> > >
> > > Yawn.....
> >
> > Well, cat got your tognue there, eh?
>
> No, bored with the wine. Absolutely NO (in CAPS!) support. YAWN!
>
> > > > Criticized at every turn for trying to do their jobs.
> > >
> > > Not by all....a vocal minority imho. OTOH some departments have it
> > > coming and LA is one that comes to mind.
> >
> > LA is an anomoly.
>
> Isn't anything that contradicts your everybody hates the cops and are
> out to kill them?
>
> > >
> > > > DAMNED if you do
> > > > DAMNED if you don't
> > >
> > > sometimes
> >
> > Always
>
> Always? Yawn.
>
> > >
> > > > Shot at
> > >
> > > so are teachers, bankers and deer

> >
> > Again, please give me a break
>
> Teachers don't?
>
> > >
> > > > Stabbed
> > >
> > > same
> > >
> > > > Assaulted
> > >
> > > same
> > >
> > > > Verbally abused
> > >
> > > same and here too!
> > >
> > > > Run over on the highway
> > >
> > > Hell, that happened to me at Costco today! Well, almost.
> >
> > Now you're being silly.
>
> Not to mention highway crews too.
>
> >
> > > > And whose job description changes every week with the whims of the
> > > > politically correct liberals.....
> > >
> > > Ahhh yes, those damn liberals! Only thing worse are those right wing
> > > conservatives.
> >
> > Your silliness here reeks of a bleeding heart liberal
>
> You seem to be the one bleeding. Always
>
>
> > > > Only a complete MORON would take that job.
> > >
> > > Are you a cop Dan? :-)
> >
> > Yes I am, and a CERTIFIED moron to boot!
>
> That is really sad. Says a lot about a guy so negative about the world
> carrying a gun. Maybe YOU don't get what you whine about because you
> don't deserve it. Possibly you should get a job that you don't hate so
> badly. You certainly can't be very good at what you do if you believe
> what you are saying here.
>

> > >
> > > Glenn
> > >
> >
> > A good friend told me once,
> >
> > A community that makes an enemy of it's police better become damn good
> > friends with the criminals!
>
> Sounds like another sicko paranoid (cop). Only a jackass would react
> that way. Oh, he your friend, never mind.
>
> > Unless, you have a better plan, of course. If you do, present it , and
> > maybe we can eliminate those damn troublesome police departments, in favor
> > of a kinder , gentler, more warm and fuzzy alternative offered up by Glen
> > and his ilk.....
>
> Alternative I offered? What the hell are you talking about Dan?? I
> just didn't agree that your perception of the public and its treatment
> of the police so you start the name calling and crap. Sorry, I did
> realize you are a expert on police departments throughout the country.
> Guessed you missed a few or were they just exceptions too?
>
> Sorry you hate your job so much Dan. Must be hell!
>
> Glenn

1478. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 13:02:47 UTC
From: David Anderson <anderd@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <XTS48.10712$Om2.41337@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 53247
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Colorado

"Al, N2NKB" <n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:TPL48.4735$mU4.295642@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> David Anderson wrote in message ...
> >Is radio Shack the only electronics store in town? I dont know about
you,
> >but i can buy hand fulls of damn near any resistor, cap, diode, choke,
etc
> >from one of at least 2 electronics stores that sell parts here in town.
>
> I live in NJ, and as populated as it is, RS is the only local store has
discrete
> components. Just out of curiosity David, where are you from? Silicon
Valley area?
>
> Years ago there was a store about 1/2 hour away that had several hundred
bins of components and
> surplus parts. No shrink wrap, just the parts moderately priced.
> Any electronic enthusiast who went there felt like a kid in a candy store.
>
> Al
>
>
>

1479. Re: Does my Radio Shack PRO-93 have the alpha tag overlay bug ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 13:08:04 UTC
From: tester <tester@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91A35269ECF05tester@63.209.170.222>
Source record: 53248

nweiler@nac.net (Norman Weiler) wrote in
news:7225aa4b.0201261809.64829c02@posting.google.com:

> Hi again,
>
> I have a new PRO-93, with 7 more days left on my 30-day return period.
> I've read a lot about the alpha tag problem. Some user group members
> have the problem - some don't. I have firmware version 1.00. I tried
> to determine if mine had the problem by putting a text tag on bank 0
> chan 05, then putting a different tag on bank 9 chan 05. I returned to
> 005 - it was still OK. I then put a new tag on 005, then went back up
> to 905, and that tag was still OK.
>
> Is my unit OK, or does the overlay occur with the downloading of tags
> from the computer. In other words, will all bank 0 channel tags
> overwrite the bank 9 channel tags on the download (or vice-versa)? I
> don't yet have the download cable to test this.
>
> Thanks again for your help.
> Norm in NJ
>
> nweiler@nac.net
>

Yes, I am sure your radio has the bug. All the folks I have seen who have said theirs didn't later found out they did. The firmware in the radio is still the same for everyone (ver 1.00) so they ALL have it until there is a newer firmware released and that is not likely to happen anytime soon.

I think you may have some bad info. Alpha Tag Memory Map beginning with 000 is duplicated at positions 816 thru 930. So, your alphatag on chan 005 should overlay with channel 821. In order to see this, program what you want in channel 005. Then go a program a alphatag in channel 821 (don't just look at the default - you must program something in there). Then go back and look at channel 005 and it will have changed to match what you just put in 821. Also, this only affects Channel alphatags and not Trunking talkgroup tags. The problem has nothing to do with dowloading but it is the surest way to show it.

I still wouldn't return the radio since Radio Shack has no fix for the problem and you aren't likely to find a better deal even with the bug. Unless you can use a base station scanner. If so, the PRO-2053 is basically the same radio but it does have newer firmware without the bug. But that is just my opinion. You have to decide what is best for you.

John

1480. FS: SCOUT Optoelectronics Model 40 Mint $275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 14:09:22 UTC
From: Smitty <smitty@rREMOVETHISsmittyskennel.com>
Message-ID: <mST48.80476$6L5.13371646@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53249
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Optoelectronics SCOUT Model 40.
Never carried on person. Mint condition.
Case, charger. Stubby antennae.
Works perfectly.
Looking to buy Xplorer.
Asking $275 or make offer.
Can email photo if seriously interested.

DO NOT REPLY TO THIS GROUP.
Please email me direct or call.
Remove the REMOVETHIS to email me.
--
~`~`~`~`~`~`~`~`~`~`~`~`~~
"Smitty"
email@REMOVETHISsmittyskennel.com
www.smittyskennel.com
631-345-0000

1481. Re: Does my Radio Shack PRO-93 have the alpha tag overlay bug ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 15:11:59 UTC
From: nweiler@nac.net
Message-ID: <7225aa4b.0201270711.1d7dc9b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53250

nweiler@nac.net (Norman Weiler) wrote in message
news:<7225aa4b.0201261809.64829c02@posting.google.com>...
> Hi again,
>
> I have a new PRO-93, with 7 more days left on my 30-day return period.
> I've read a lot about the alpha tag problem. Some user group members
> have the problem - some don't. I have firmware version 1.00. I tried
> to determine if mine had the problem by putting a text tag on bank 0
> chan 05, then putting a different tag on bank 9 chan 05. I returned to
> 005 - it was still OK. I then put a new tag on 005, then went back up
> to 905, and that tag was still OK.
>
> Is my unit OK, or does the overlay occur with the downloading of tags
> from the computer. In other words, will all bank 0 channel tags
> overwrite the bank 9 channel tags on the download (or vice-versa)? I
> don't yet have the download cable to test this.
>
> Thanks again for your help.
> Norm in NJ
>
> nweiler@nac.net

I've just received the answer (thanks John) to my own post. The Alpha
Tag Memory Map beginning with 000 is duplicated at positions 816 thru
930. I've read through so many posts on the PRO-93 lately that I
probably just glossed over the actual range of freqs affected by the
overlay and somehow got it into my head that all channels in bank 9
overlaid all channels in bank 0.

Mine DOES have that problem after all.

I'm starting to see some posts on Yahoo's PRO-93 group that indicate
firmware 1.03 is now out.

Norm in NJ

nweiler@nac.net

1482. Fairhaven RD500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 16:05:08 UTC
From: Vince <radhamuk@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a318g4$14vg74$1@ID-121450.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

Anyone have views of this radio? Good, Bad or In-different.

Thanks...Vince

1483. FS: Yaesu VR 5000 US version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 17:28:46 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hNW48.10165$Wf1.3122520@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 53252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In like new condition, the US version comes with box, manual, power supply and I never mailed the warranty card. I am moving on to another radio so I am selling this one.

$500 shipped Conus via USPS Priority with tracking.

Greg

1484. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 17:45:44 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <V0X48.119$R45.179995@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53253

In article <WRN48.53738$d16.9464033@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>,
dconti@nj.rr.com says...

> Actually, I love my job, and will defend it and the people who do it to the
> end. That was the point of my original response here, in it's sarcastic
> presentation. Unfortunately, you took the bait and fell into the trap. A
> minor troll at best...!

Yes, I have seen you trolling for a long time Dan. You are good at
that.

BTW, we can then assume that honest cops are just an exception. All
cops are of the Rodney King variety and the rest are just fall under
the category of an anomaly (not anomoly). Thanks for proving that Dan.

A cop like you that feels that all the citizens are out to get them
and all the citizens disrespect them is a piss poor excuse for a cop.
You need to change occupations. Maybe a StopNGo clerk would fit you
better. :-)

Sorry I don't agree with your right wing conservative spew uhhh troll
Dan. You cops are all killers and on the take. You like to beat
prisoners and rape them with broom handles. Guess you cops made your
bed and now WHINE when you have to sleep in it. Or is that just an
anomaly

Glenn

1485. Re: Fairhaven RD500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 17:49:25 UTC
From: mike@corn2.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <3c543d90.7226666@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 53254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 27 Jan 2002 16:05:08 -0000, "Vince" <radhamuk@yahoo.co.uk>
wrote:

>Anyone have views of this radio? Good, Bad or In-different.
>
Expensive

1486. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 17:57:15 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%bX48.55016$TI2.9817486@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53255

> Sorry I don't agree with your right wing conservative spew uhhh troll
> Dan. You cops are all killers and on the take. You like to beat
> prisoners and rape them with broom handles. Guess you cops made your
> bed and now WHINE when you have to sleep in it. Or is that just an
> anomaly
>
> Glenn

Well Glenn, I will agree with another responder here that this has gotten way off topic and a bit out of hand. It has been a pleasure debating you on this topic, and although we obviously disagree, we can agree to disagree and still part as friends.

Your statement above, although troubling, is what I knew would be coming from you all along, and speaks better to this subject then I ever could.

Dan

1487. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 17:58:51 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vdX48.55017$TI2.9818212@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53256

> This thread was interesting until I got tired of reading through the
various
> paragraphs to find the responses.
>
> FACT about COPS: If you haven't been there you wouldn't understand.
>
> Interesting thread although it was totally OFF the subject topic.
>
> jr, RETIRED Law Enforcement (30 years)
>
Jr...

Glad to see you are enjoying your retirement after 30 years.

Sorry if this got out of hand, but I simply had to jump in, futile as it
was.

Dan

1488. <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:12:10 UTC
From: Marjorie Knoller <Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org>
Message-ID: <MPG.16bde2c43e6991f9896a4@24.2.2.74>
Source record: 53258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

.....

Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth

One of the statements of Adolf Hitler most often quoted by the Jewish media is the following from Mein Kampf, I:10:

"The great masses of people ... will more easily fall victims to a big lie than to a small one."

Of course, Hitler is quoted out of context in an attempt to portray this statement as Hitler's own, personal philosophy or strategy. But if we read this selection in context, we find that he is speaking of the Jews who had ruined his country, and he is trying to explain how the German people fell victim to Jewish lies. In fact, Herr Hitler even tells us what this great lie is that duped the German people into being controlled by the Jews. He continues:

"Those who know best this truth about the possibilities of the application of untruth and defamation, however, were at all times the Jews; for their entire existence is built on one single great lie, namely, that here one had to deal with a religious brotherhood, while in fact one has to deal with a race - what a race! As such they have been nailed down forever, in an eternally correct sentence of fundamental truth, by one of the greatest minds of mankind; he called them 'the great masters of lying.' He who does not realize this or does not want to believe this will never be able to help truth to victory in this world."

Hitler here was referring to Arthur Schopenhauer, the eminent 19th century German philosopher who was outspoken regarding the true nature of Jews. We do not need to rely upon the opinions of German philosophers and political leaders regarding this character trait of the Jews, for Jesus Christ has said of the Jews,

"You are of your father the Diabolical One, and the lusts of your father you wish to do. That one was a murderer from the beginning, and he has not stood in the truth because there is no truth in him. When he speaks a lie, he speaks of his own, because he is a liar, and the father of it" (John 8:44 AST).

Furthermore, the New Testament warns us not to listen to "Judaizing myths" (Titus 1:14). But Jewish myths are exactly what destroyed Germany and what have destroyed America today. Herr Hitler may have been correct in what he felt was the greatest Jewish lie, but there are many, many more which have had a damning effect on the white race.

One of the greatest is certainly the lie of the Hebrew Masoretic Text and the removal of the Greek Septuagint from the hands of white Christians, but each Jewish myth stings with the same poisonous venom. One of the great Jewish myths of the 20th century is Albert Einstein.

Albert Einstein is held up by the Jewish liars as a rare genius who drastically changed the field of theoretical physics. As such, he is made an idol to young people and his very name has become synonymous with genius. The truth, however, is very different. The reality is that Einstein was an inept, moronic Jew who could not even tie his own shoelaces; he contributed nothing original to the field of quantum mechanics or any other science, but on the contrary he stole the ideas of other men and the Jewish media made him a hero.

When we actually examine the life of Albert Einstein, we find that his only brilliance lies in his ability to plagiarize and steal other people's ideas, passing them off as his own.

Einstein's education, or lack thereof, is an important part of this story. The Encyclopedia Britannica says of Einstein's early education that he "showed little scholastic ability." It also says that at the age of 15, "with poor grades in history, geography, and languages, he left school with no diploma." Einstein himself wrote in a school paper of his "lack of imagination and practical ability." In 1895, Einstein failed a simple entrance exam to an engineering school in Zurich. This exam consisted mainly of mathematical problems, and Einstein showed himself to be mathematically inept in this exam. He then entered a lesser school hoping to use it as a stepping stone to the engineering school he could not get into, but after graduating in 1900, he still could not get a position at the engineering school! Unable to go to the school as he had wanted, he got a job (with the help of a friend) at the patent office in Bern. He was to be a technical expert third class, which meant that he was too incompetent for a higher qualified position. Even after publishing his so-called groundbreaking papers of 1905 and after working in the patent office for six years, he was only elevated to a second class standing. Remember, the work he was doing at the patent office, for which he was only rated third class, was not quantum mechanics or theoretical physics, but was reviewing technical documents for patents of every day things; yet he was barely qualified.

He would work at the patent office until 1909, all the while continuously trying to get a position at a university, but without success. All of these facts are true, but now begins the Jewish myth. Supposedly, while working a full time job, without the aid of university colleagues, a staff of graduate students, a laboratory, or any of the things normally associated with an academic setting, Einstein in his spare time wrote four ground-breaking essays in the field of theoretical physics and quantum mechanics that were published in 1905. Many people have recognized the impossibility of such a feat, including Einstein himself, and therefore Einstein has led people to

believe that many of these ideas came to him in his sleep, out of the blue, because indeed that is the only logical explanation of how an admittedly inept moron could have written such documents at the age of 26 without any real education. However, a simpler explanation exists: he stole the ideas and plagiarized the papers.

Therefore, we will look at each of these ideas and discover the source of each. It should be remembered that these ideas are presented by Einstein's worshippers as totally new and completely different, each of which would change the landscape of science. These four papers dealt with the following four ideas, respectively:

1. The foundation of the photon theory of light;
2. The equivalence of energy and mass;
3. The explanation of Brownian motion in liquids;
4. The special theory of relativity.

Let us first look at the last of these theories, the theory of relativity. This is perhaps the most famous idea falsely attributed to Einstein. Specifically, this 1905 paper dealt with what Einstein called the Special Theory of Relativity (the General Theory would come in 1915). This theory contradicted the traditional Newtonian mechanics and was based upon two premises: 1) in the absence of acceleration, the laws of nature are the same for all observers; and 2) since the speed of light is independent of the motion of its source, then the time interval between two events is longer for an observer in whose frame of reference the events occur at different places than for an observer in whose frame of reference the events occur in the same place. This is basically the idea that time passes more slowly as one's velocity approaches the speed of light, relative to slower velocities where time would pass faster.

This theory has been validated by modern experiments and is the basis for modern physics. But these two premises are far from being originally Einstein's. First of all, the idea that the speed of light was a constant and was independent of the motion of its source was not Einstein's at all, but was proposed by the Scottish scientist James Maxwell. Maxwell studied the phenomenon of light extensively and first proposed that it was electromagnetic in nature. He wrote an article to this effect for the 1878 edition of the Encyclopedia Britannica. His ideas prompted much debate, and by 1887, as a result of his work and the ensuing debate, the scientific community, particularly Lorentz, Michelson, and Morley reached the conclusion that the velocity of light was independent of the velocity of the observer. Thus, this piece of the Special Theory of Relativity was known 27 years before Einstein wrote his paper.

This debate over the nature of light also led Michelson and Morley to conduct an important experiment, the results of which could not be explained by Newtonian mechanics. They observed a phenomenon caused by relativity but they did not understand relativity. They had attempted to detect the motion of the earth through ether, which was a medium

thought to be necessary for the propagation of light.

In response to this problem, in 1889, the Irish physicist George FitzGerald, who had also first proposed a mechanism for producing radio waves, wrote a paper which stated that the results of the Michelson-Morley experiment could be explained if,

"... the length of material bodies changes, according as they are moving through the ether or across it, by an amount depending on the square of the ratio of their velocities to that of light."

This is the theory of relativity, 13 years before Einstein's paper!

Furthermore, in 1892, Hendrik Lorentz, from The Netherlands, proposed the same solution and began to greatly expand the idea. All throughout the 1890's, both Lorentz and FitzGerald worked on these ideas and wrote articles strangely similar to Einstein's Special Theory detailing what is now known as the Lorentz-FitzGerald Contraction. In 1898, the Irishman Joseph Larmor wrote down equations explaining the Lorentz-FitzGerald contraction and its relativistic consequences, 7 years before Einstein's paper. By 1904, Lorentz transformations, the series of equations explaining relativity, were published by Lorentz. They describe the increase of mass, the shortening of length, and the time dilation of a body moving at speeds close to the velocity of light. In short, by 1904, everything in Einstein's paper regarding the Special Theory of Relativity had already been published.

The Frenchman Poincar&#65533; had, in 1898, written a paper unifying many of these ideas. He stated seven years before Einstein's paper that,

"... we have no direct intuition about the equality of two time intervals. The simultaneity of two events or the order of their succession, as well as the equality of two time intervals, must be defined in such a way that the statements of the natural laws be as simple as possible."

Anyone who has read Einstein's 1905 paper will immediately recognize the similarity and the lack of originality on the part of Einstein. Thus we see that the only thing original about the paper was the term 'Special Theory of Relativity.' Everything else was plagiarized. Over the next few years, Poincar&#65533; became one of the most important lecturers and writers regarding relativity, but he never, in any of his papers or speeches, mentioned Albert Einstein. Thus, while Poincar&#65533; was busy bringing the rest of the academic world up to speed regarding relativity, Einstein was still working in the patent office in Bern and no one in the academic community thought it necessary to give much credence or mention to Einstein's work. Most of these early physicists knew that he was a fraud.

This brings us to the explanation of Brownian motion, the subject of another of Einstein's 1905 papers. Brownian motion describes the irregular motion of a body arising from the thermal energy of the

molecules of the material in which the body is immersed. The movement had first been observed by the Scottish botanist Robert Brown in 1827. The explanation of this phenomenon has to do with the Kinetic Theory of Matter, and it was the American Josiah Gibbs and the Austrian Ludwig Boltzmann who first explained this occurrence, not Albert Einstein. In fact, the mathematical equation describing the motion contains the famous Boltzmann constant, k. Between these two men, they had explained by the 1890s everything in Einstein's 1905 paper regarding Brownian motion.

The subject of the equivalence of mass and energy was contained in a third paper published by Einstein in 1905. This concept is expressed by the famous equation E=mc^2. Einstein's biographers categorize this as "his most famous and most spectacular conclusion." Even though this idea is an obvious conclusion of Einstein's earlier relativity paper, it was not included in that paper but was published as an afterthought later in the year. Still, the idea of energy-mass equivalence was not original with Einstein.

That there was an equivalence between mass and energy had been shown in the laboratory in the 1890s by both J.J. Thomsom of Cambridge and by W. Kaufmann in G�ttingen. In 1900, Poincar� had shown that there was a mass relationship for all forms of energy, not just electromagnetic energy. Yet, the most probable source of Einstein's plagiarism was Friedrich Hasen�hrl, one of the most brilliant, yet unappreciated physicists of the era. Hasen�hrl was the teacher of many of the German scientists who would later become famous for a variety of topics. He had worked on the idea of the equivalence of mass and energy for many years and had published a paper on the topic in 1904 in the very same journal which Einstein would publish his plagiarized version in 1905. For his brilliant work in this area, Hasen�rhl had received in 1904 a prize from the prestigious Vienna Academy of Sciences.

Furthermore, the mathematical relationship of mass and energy was a simple deduction from the already well-known equations of Scottish physicist James Maxwell. Scientists long understood that the mathematical relationship expressed by the equation E=mc^2 was the logical result of Maxwell's work, they just did not believe it. Thus, the experiments of Thomson, Kaufmann, and finally, and most importantly, Hasen�rhl, confirmed Maxwell's work. It is ludicrous to believe that Einstein developed this postulate, particularly in light of the fact that Einstein did not have the laboratory necessary to conduct the appropriate experiments.

In this same plagiarized article of Einstein's, he suggested to the scientific community, "Perhaps it will prove possible to test this theory using bodies whose energy content is variable to a high degree (e.g., salts of radium)." This remark demonstrates how little Einstein understood about science, for this was truly an outlandish remark. By saying this, Einstein showed that he really did not understand basic

scientific principles and that he was writing about a topic that he did not understand. In fact, in response to this article, J. Precht remarked that such an experiment "lies beyond the realm of possible experience."

The last subject dealt with in Einstein's 1905 papers was the foundation of the photon theory of light. Einstein wrote about the photoelectric effect. The photoelectric effect is the release of electrons from certain metals or semiconductors by the action of light. This area of research is particularly important to the Einstein myth because it was for this topic that he unjustly received his 1922 Nobel Prize.

But again, it is not Einstein, but Wilhelm Wien and Max Planck who deserve the credit. The main point of Einstein's paper, and the point for which he is given credit, is that light is emitted and absorbed in finite packets called quanta. This was the explanation for the photoelectric effect. The photoelectric effect had been explained by Heinrich Hertz in 1888. Hertz and others, including Philipp Lenard, worked on understanding this phenomenon. Lenard was the first to show that the energy of the electrons released in the photoelectric effect was not governed by the intensity of the light but by the frequency of the light. This was an important breakthrough.

Wien and Planck were colleagues and they were the fathers of modern day quantum theory. By 1900, Max Planck, based upon his and Wien's work, had shown that radiated energy was absorbed and emitted in finite units called quanta. The only difference in his work of 1900 and Einstein's work of 1905 was that Einstein limited himself to talking about one particular type of energy - light energy. But the principles and equations governing the process in general had been deduced by Planck in 1900. Einstein himself admitted that the obvious conclusion of Planck's work was that light also existed in discrete packets of energy. Thus, nothing in this paper of Einstein's was original.

After the 1905 papers of Einstein were published, the scientific community took little notice and Einstein continued his job at the patent office until 1909 when it was arranged for him to take a position at a school by World Jewry. Still, it was not until a 1919 newspaper headline that he gained any notoriety.

With Einstein's academic appointment in 1909, he was placed in a position where he could begin to use other people's work as his own more openly. He engaged many of his students to look for ways to prove the theories he had supposedly developed, or ways to apply those theories, and then he could present the research as his own or at least take partial credit. In this vein, in 1912, he began to try and express his gravitational research in terms of a new, recently developed calculus, which was conducive to understanding relativity. This was the beginning of his General Theory of Relativity, which he would publish in 1915. But the mathematical work was not done by

Einstein - he was incapable of it. Instead, it was performed by the mathematician Marcel Grossmann, who in turn used the mathematical principles developed by Berhard Riemann, who was the first to develop a sound non-Euclidean geometry, which is the basis of all mathematics used to describe relativity.

The General Theory of Relativity applied the principles of relativity to the universe; that is, to the gravitational pull of planets and their orbits, and the general principle that light rays bend as they pass by a massive object. Einstein published an initial paper in 1913 based upon the work which Grossmann did, adapting the math of Riemann to Relativity. But this paper was filled with errors and the conclusions were incorrect. It appears that Grossmann was not smart enough to figure it out for Einstein. So Einstein was forced to look elsewhere to plagiarize his General Theory. Einstein published his correct General Theory of Relativity in 1915, and said prior to its publication that he, "...completely succeeded in convincing Hilbert and Klein." He is referring to David Hilbert, perhaps the most brilliant mathematician of the 20th century, and Felix Klein, another mathematician who had been instrumental in the development of the area of calculus that Grossmann had used to develop the General Theory of Relativity for Einstein.

Einstein's statement regarding the two men would lead the reader to believe that Einstein had changed Hilbert's and Klein's opinions regarding General Relativity, and that he had influenced them in their thinking. However, the exact opposite is true. Einstein stole the majority of his General Relativity work from these two men, the rest being taken from Grossmann. Hilbert submitted for publication, a week before Einstein completed his work, a paper which contained the correct field equations of General Relativity. What this means is that Hilbert wrote basically the exact same paper, with the same conclusions, before Einstein did. Einstein would have had an opportunity to know of Hilbert's work all along, because there were Jewish friends of his working for Hilbert. Yet, even this was not necessary, for Einstein had seen Hilbert's paper in advance of publishing his own. Both of these papers were, before being printed, delivered in the form of a lecture.

Einstein presented his paper on November 25, 1915 in Berlin and Hilbert had presented his paper on November 20 in G&#65533;ttingen. On November 18, Hilbert received a letter from Einstein thanking him for sending him a draft of the treatise Hilbert was to deliver on the 20th. So, in fact, Hilbert had sent a copy of his work at least two weeks in advance to Einstein before either of the two men delivered their lectures, but Einstein did not send Hilbert an advance copy of his. Therefore, this serves as incontrovertible proof that Einstein quickly plagiarized the work and then presented it, hoping to beat Hilbert to the punch. Also, at the same time, Einstein publicly began to belittle Hilbert, even though in the previous summer he had praised him in an effort to get Hilbert to share his work with him. Hilbert

made the mistake of sending Einstein this draft copy, but still he delivered his work first.

Not only did Hilbert publish his work first, but it was of much higher quality than Einstein's. It is known today that there are many problems with assumptions made in Einstein's General Theory paper. We know today that Hilbert was much closer to the truth. Hilbert's paper is the forerunner of the unified field theory of gravitation and electromagnetism and of the work of Erwin Schr&#65533;dinger, whose work is the basis of all modern day quantum mechanics.

That the group of men discussed so far were the actual originators of the ideas claimed by Einstein was known by the scientific community all along. In 1940, a group of German physicists meeting in Austria declared that "before Einstein, Aryan scientists like Lorentz, Hasen&#65533;hrl, Poincar&#65533;, etc., had created the foundations of the theory of relativity..."

However, the Jewish media did not promote the work of these men. The Jewish media did not promote the work of David Hilbert, but instead they promoted the work of the Jew Albert Einstein. As we mentioned earlier, this General Theory, as postulated by Hilbert first and in plagiarized form by Einstein second, stated that light rays should bend when they pass by a massive object. In 1919, during the eclipse of the Sun, light from distant stars passing close to the Sun was observed to bend according to the theory. This evidence supported the General Theory of Relativity, and the Jewish-controlled media immediately seized upon the opportunity to prop up Einstein as a hero, at the expense of the true genius, David Hilbert.

On November 7th, 1919, the London Times ran an article, the headline of which proclaimed, "Revolution in science - New theory of the Universe - Newtonian ideas overthrown." This was the beginning of the force-feeding of the Einstein myth to the masses. In the following years, Einstein's earlier 1905 papers were propagandized and Einstein was heralded as the originator of all the ideas he had stolen. Because of this push by the Jewish media, in 1922, Einstein received the Nobel Prize for the work he had stolen in 1905 regarding the photoelectric effect.

The establishment of the Einstein farce between 1919 and 1922 was an important coup for world Zionism and Jewry. As soon as Einstein had been established as an idol to the popular masses of England and America, his image was promoted as the rare genius that he is erroneously believed to be today. As such, he immediately began his work as a tool for World Zionism. The masses bought into the idea that if someone was so brilliant as to change our fundamental understanding of the universe, then certainly we ought to listen to his opinions regarding political and social issues. This is exactly what World Jewry wanted to establish in its ongoing effort of social engineering. They certainly did not want someone like David Hilbert to be

recognized as rare genius. After all, this physicist had come from a strong German, Christian background. His grandfather's two middle names were 'F&#65533;rchtegott Leberecht' or 'Fear God, Live Right.' In August of 1934, the day before a vote was to be taken regarding installing Adolf Hitler as President of the Reich, Hilbert signed a proclamation in support of Adolf Hitler, along with other leading German scientists, that was published in the German newspapers. So the Jews certainly did not want David Hilbert receiving the credit he deserved.

The Jews did not want Max Planck receiving the credit he deserved either. This German's grandfather and great-grandfather had been important German theologians, and during World War II he would stay in Germany throughout the war, supporting his fatherland the best he could.

The Jews certainly did not want the up-and-coming Erwin Schr&#65533;dinger to be heralded as a genius to the masses. This Austrian physicist would go on to teach at Adolf Hitler University in Austria, and he wrote a public letter expressing his support for the Third Reich. This Austrian's work on the unified field theory was a forerunner of modern physics, even though it had been criticized by Einstein, who apparently could not understand it.

The Jews did not want to have Werner Heisenberg promoted as a rare genius, even though he would go on to solidify quantum theory and contribute to it greatly, as well as develop his famous uncertainty principle, in addition to describing the modern atom and nucleus and the binding energies that are essential to modern chemistry. No, the Jews did not want Heisenberg promoted as a genius because he would go on to head the German atomic bomb project and serve prison time after the war for his involvement with the Third Reich.

No, the Jews did not want to give credit to any of a number of white Germans, Austrians, Irishmen, Frenchmen, Scotsmen, Englishmen, and even Americans who had contributed to the body of knowledge and evidence from which Einstein plagiarized and stole his work. Instead, they needed to erect Einstein as their golden calf, even though he repeatedly and often embarrassed himself with his nonfactual or nearsighted comments regarding the work he had supposedly done. For example, in 1934, the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette ran a front page article in which Einstein gave an "emphatic denial" regarding the idea of practical applications for the "energy of the atom." The article says,

"But the 'energy of the atom' is something else again. If you believe that man will someday be able to harness this boundless energy-to drive a great steamship across the ocean on a pint of water, for instance-then, according to Einstein, you are wrong..."

Again, Einstein clearly did not understand the branch of physics he had supposedly founded, though elsewhere in the world at the time theoretical research was underway that would lead to the atomic bomb

and nuclear energy. But after Einstein was promoted as a god in 1919, he made no real attempts to plagiarize any other work. Rather, he began his real purpose - evangelizing for the cause of Zionism and World Jewry. Though he did publish other articles after this time, all of them were co-authored by at least one other person, and in each instance, Einstein had little if anything to do with the research that led to the articles; he was merely recruited by the co-authors in order to lend credence to their work. Thus freed of the pretense of academia, Einstein began his assault for World Zionism.

In 1921, Einstein made his first visit to the United States on a fund-raising tour for the Hebrew University in Jerusalem and to promote Zionism. In April of 1922, Einstein used his status to gain membership in a Commission of the League of Nations. In February of 1923, Einstein visits Tel Aviv and Jerusalem. In June of 1923, he becomes a founding member of the Association of Friends of the New Russia. In 1926, Einstein took a break from his Communist and Zionistic activities to again embarrass himself scientifically by criticizing the work of Schr&#65533;dinger and Heisenberg. Following a brief illness, he resumes his Zionistic agenda, wanting an independent Israel and at the same time a World Government.

In the 1930s he actively campaigns against all forms of war, although he would reverse this position during World War II when he advocated war against Germany and the creation of the atomic bomb, which he thought was impossible to build. In 1939 and 1940, Einstein, at the request of other Jews, wrote two letters to Roosevelt urging an American program to develop an atomic bomb to be used on Germany - not Japan. Einstein would have no part in the actual construction of the bomb, theoretical or practical, because he lacked the skills for either.

In December of 1946, Einstein rekindles his efforts for a World Government, with Israel apparently being the only autonomous nation. This push continues through the rest of the 1940s. In 1952, Einstein, who had been instrumental in the creation of the State of Israel, both politically and economically, is offered the presidency of Israel. He declines. In 1953, he spends his time attacking the McCarthy Committee, and he supports Communists such as J. Robert Oppenheimer. He encourages civil disobedience in response to the McCarthy trials. Finally, on April 18, 1955, this filthy Jewish demagogue dies.

Dead, the Jews no longer had to worry about Einstein making stupid statements. His death was just the beginning of his usage and exploitation by World Jewry. The Jewish-controlled media continued to promote the myth of this Super-Jew long after his death, and as more and more of the men who knew better died off, the Jews were more and more able to aggrandize his myth and lie more boldly. This brazen lying has culminated in the Jew controlled Time magazine naming Einstein "The Person of the Century" at the close of 1999. It may be demonstrated that the Jewish lies have become more bold with the

passage of time because Einstein was never named "Man of the Year" while he was alive, but now, over forty years after his death, he is named "Person of the Century."

Einstein was given this title in spite of the clear-cut choice for the "Person of the Century," Adolf Hitler. Hitler was indeed named "Man of the Year" while he was still living by Time magazine, and according to a December 27, 1999, article in the USA Today, Einstein was chosen over Adolf Hitler because of the perceived "nasty public relations fallout" that would accompany that choice; yet in internet polling by Time, Hitler finished third and was the top serious candidate. Still the issue of Time magazine dedicated to Einstein, which has articles by men with names like Isaacson, Golden, Stein, Rudenstine, and Rosenblatt, is interesting to read. For one, they found it necessary to include an article rationalizing why they did not pick the obvious choice, Adolf Hitler. But more interesting is the article by Stephen Hawking which purports to be a history of the theory of relativity. In it, Hawking admits many of the things in this article, such as the fact that Hilbert published the General Theory of Relativity before Einstein and that FitzGerald and Lorentz deduced the concept of relativity long before Einstein. Hawking also writes,

"Einstein...was deeply disturbed by the work of Werner Heisenberg in Copenhagen, Paul Dirac in Cambridge and Erwin Schr&#65533;dinger in Zurich, who developed a new picture of reality called quantum mechanics. ... Einstein was horrified by this ... Most scientists, however, accepted the validity of the new quantum laws because they showed excellent agreement with observations ... They are the basis of modern developments in chemistry, molecular biology and electronics and the foundation of the technology that has transformed the world in the past half-century."

This is all very true, yet the same magazine credits Einstein with all of the modern developments that Hawking names, even through Einstein was so stupid as to be vehemently against the most important idea of modern science, just as he opposed Schr&#65533;dinger's work in unified field theory which was far ahead of its time. The same magazine admits that "success eluded" Einstein in the field of explaining the contradictions between relativity and quantum mechanics. Today, these contradictions are explained by the unified field theory, but Einstein, who proves himself to be one of the least intelligent of 20th century scientists, refused to believe in either quantum theory or the unified field theory.

To name Einstein as "The Person of the Century" is one of the most ludicrous and absurd lies of all time, yet it has been successfully pulled off by Isaacson, Golden, Stein, Rudenstine, and Rosenblatt and the Jewish owners of Time magazine. If the Jews at Time wanted to give the title to an inventor or scientist, then the most obvious choice would have been men like Hilbert, Planck, or Heisenberg. If they wanted to give it to the scientist who most fundamentally changed the

landscape of 20th century science, then the obvious choice would be William Shockley. This Nobel prize winning scientist invented the transistor, which is the basis of all modern electronic devices and computers, everything from modern cars and telephones, VCRs and watches, to the amazing computers which have allowed incomprehensible advances in all fields of science. Without the transistor, all forms of science today would be basically in the same place that they were in the late 1940s.

However, the Jews cannot allow the due credit to go to William Shockley because he spent the majority of his scientific career demonstrating the genetic and mental inferiority of non-whites and arguing for their sterilization. His scientific, genetic views led the Jews to financially destroy Shockley who founded the first company in the Silicon Valley, his hometown, to develop computer chips. The Jews hired away his entire staff and used them to start Fairchild semiconductor, the company that today is known as Intel.

No the Jews could not let any of the truly great geniuses of our time be recognized, not the anti-Semite Henry Ford, not the great German scientists who helped the National Socialists in Germany, not Charles Lindbergh, who was sympathetic to National Socialist causes, and certainly not William Shockley, one of the most brilliant physicists and geneticists of our time. Instead, the Jews propped up the Zionist, Communist Albert Einstein who hated everything white.

After World War II, Einstein demonstrated his hatred of the White Race and of the Germans in particular in the following statements. He was asked what he thought about Germany and about re-educating the Germans after the war and said,

"The nation has been on the decline mentally and morally since 1870...Behind the Nazi party stands the German people, who elected Hitler after he had in his book and in his speeches made his shameful intentions clear beyond the possibility of misunderstanding. ... The Germans can be killed or constrained after the war, but they cannot be re-educated to a democratic way of thinking and acting..."

1489. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:12:55 UTC
From: Jesse Walker <techsonics@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HqX48.20346$ag5.1972757@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"David Anderson" <anderd@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:cvL48.9555$Om2.34892@rwcrnsc53...
> They wish they had answers, what a stupid slogan for a company such as
> this...
> You would be lucky to find more than 1 radio shack employee per county
> that has half a brain. The rest are drones that almost always have to ask
> the manager, who has to call FW Texas for assistance before you can find
> out if they have a Germanium diode they can mail you for $0.02 and $29.95
> S&H or if they have a AAA battery.
>
Oh Ye who paints with such a wide brush: Your right in that out of over 7000 stores nationwide your going to run into people who either a) haven't a clue or b) are new and still is learning. Has every employee at Autozone or PepBoys been an actual auto mechanic? Keep in mind also that over two thousand of the Radio Shack stores are privately owned Franchise stores of which mine is one. We are able to stock more items than the average company store simply because of available space. Additionally, as for having answers, out of eight employees at my store, Three are at least Juniors in electronics engineering at university, two others are extra class amateur radio operators, and two women that have been around it for years (my wife and my daughter). As for myself, 26 years as an Extra class(and very active) amateur radio operator, prior Navy communications tech, five years experience installing satellite systems, certified Hughes satellite system repair center, etc etc etc. So next time you start painting all of us with your broad brush, remember that there are some of us that do have answers, care about our customers, and are extremely proud of Radio Shack as a whole. Any other questions?

73's de N8XC
Jesse Walker
Proud Radio Shack Franchise Owner

1490. FS : Kenwood TK 805 D UHF 16 CH - Price reduction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:21:02 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iyX48.55047$TI2.9831391@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 53259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Will try this one more time with a price reduction before it's off to eBay...

I am selling a KENWOOD TK 805 D UHF 16 channel scanning mobile radio. This radio will do the 440 amateur band up through 470.
It is TOTALLY field programmable. No cables or software needed to program it. Commercial grade quality and audio in a nice small size. This radios are no longer made, and becoming hard to find. If you would like a picture, let me know and I will e-mail one to you. This one is in Excellent condition, like new, with microphone, cables, mounting bracket, etc. I will also include copies of the programming instructions.
You can check out my feedback on eBay, under OFFDAN.

$175.00, plus $10.00 to cover USPS Priority Mail, and insurance.

I will accept a US Postal money order, or a check. A check will delay shipment until it clears. I also can accept PAYPAL.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

1491. Re: Fairhaven RD500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:25:08 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <Xns91A3BB989CF3E26hb896lineonenet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

According to Bill Gates, on Sun 27 Jan 2002 04:05:08p "Vince"
<radhamuk@yahoo.co.uk> knelt at the big white telephone, and heaved this
into uk.radio.amateur:

> Anyone have views of this radio? Good, Bad or In-different.
>
> Thanks...Vince
>
>

As a database scanner (stand-alone) it's good.
As a receiver, there are better.

--

Mark Russell ''If you enjoy it - it's probably illegal''
Guzzi V50 Monza.
26HB896
OSOS#16 (Please castrate to e-mail)

1492. AOR AR-2002 Scanner Manual HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:29:48 UTC
From: 1ARTB <rbouder@dejazzd.com>
Message-ID: <heh85uk8pe9i0e18n5ajsoudib7omb2gum@4ax.com>
Source record: 53263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for the manual for the AOR AR-2002 Communications
Receiver (Scanner)
Can I download it from the Internet PDF file etc....?

Anyone have a copy they can scan? and send me???
Photo copy??

Ron
rbouder@dejazzd.com

1493. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:34:12 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u58i13m7ca1bca@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jesse:

most of us are just bitching for fun... we all go to Rat Shack and will continue to do so. its a great franchise. some of the employees are really good, others are not... this is no different than anywhere else... staff is the toughest part of running a business.

> care about our customers, and are extremely proud of Radio Shack as a whole.

excellent! too bad you can't run them all.

> Proud Radio Shack Franchise Owner

as well you should be! don't take any of our whining too personally.

phil :)

1494. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:37:57 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u58i89m34sto13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53262
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jesse:

> Any other questions?

actually... how is it owning a RS franchise? has business been getting better or worse? have you noticed a change in your types of customers? what is the most sold electronics components at your store? have you sold more radios since 9/11? any items in your store you do real well on if you sell? has corporate RS been helpful to you?

curious,
phil :)

1495. WTB: Icom TV-R7100 Television Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:52:07 UTC
From: marcus@northwestradio.com
Message-ID: <3c544bef.143332095@news.blarg.net>
Source record: 53264

Would also consider the TV-R7000. Please email if you can help.
Thanks.

marcus@northwestradio.com

1496. Re: Fairhaven RD500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:53:13 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C544C8A.941A9A58@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

Vince wrote:
>
> Anyone have views of this radio? Good, Bad or In-different.
>
> Thanks...Vince

General consensus of owners is: good idea, inadequate execution.

1497. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 18:58:35 UTC
From: Bart <bart99gt@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <n3Y48.88184$Y17.5656727@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 53266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Chris" <holman@townisp.com> wrote in message
news:u56uj03lrm9u4e@corp.supernews.com...
> Here's the thing, no they are not getting rid of the entire line of
> components. They are trimming back some of the stuff that has little to
no
> movement as these product take up 30-50% of most stores and only accounts
> for 2% of the revenue. You wouldn't make the biggest rooms in your house
> closets would you? No, you use them for what you do most; eat, sleep and
> recreation. Secondly alot of the stuff they are eliminating I think you
> will see return in the form of more variety pack (I know you're all gonna
> moan, "but I just want this one, why do I need to buy all the others?")
> Also some stores I have heard are going to be getting a drawer setup,
kinda
> like what you might see at a hardware store to keep the packages in to
> minimize the space taken up, but maximize what they can carry. Most Shack
> customers tend to be understanding from my observation. Hopefully those
of
> you who enjoy reason will find solace in this note.

Only 2% of the buisness? Try more like 15%...and that was in a smaller store
that I worked in. I really wouldn't notice it that much until I started
going over my month end reports when I was managing a store...but it does
stack up more than people would think.

Now, keep in mind, this would account for much more than just the electrical
components themselves, but also the project boxes, battery holders, wire
ties, and all the other little small electronic components sold in the
stores.

Bart

1498. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 19:03:52 UTC
From: "j.botham" <j.botham@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <k9Y48.35570$ka7.5985220@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 53267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

I can't wait for some good weather.....

Mike C

Marjorie Knoller <Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org> wrote in message
news:MPG.16bde2c43e6991f9896a4@24.2.2.74...
> .....
>
>
> Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth
>
>
> One of the statements of Adolf Hitler most often quoted by the Jewish
> media is the following from Mein Kampf, I:10:
>
> "The great masses of people ... will more easily fall victims to a big
> lie than to a small one."
>
> Of course, Hitler is quoted out of context in an attempt to portray
> this statement as Hitler's own, personal philosophy or strategy. But
> if we read this selection in context, we find that he is speaking of
> the Jews who had ruined his country, and he is trying to explain how
> the German people fell victim to Jewish lies. In fact, Herr Hitler
> even tells us what this great lie is that duped the German people into
> being controlled by the Jews. He continues:
>
> "Those who know best this truth about the possibilities of the
> application of untruth and defamation, however, were at all times the
> Jews; for their entire existence is built on one single great lie,
> namely, that here one had to deal with a religious brotherhood, while
> in fact one has to deal with a race - what a race! As such they have
> been nailed down forever, in an eternally correct sentence of
> fundamental truth, by one of the greatest minds of mankind; he called
> them 'the great masters of lying.' He who does not realize this or
> does not want to believe this will never be able to help truth to
> victory in this world."
>
> Hitler here was referring to Arthur Schopenhauer, the eminent 19th
> century German philosopher who was outspoken regarding the true nature
> of Jews. We do not need to rely upon the opinions of German
> philosophers and political leaders regarding this character trait of
> the Jews, for Jesus Christ has said of the Jews,
>

> "You are of your father the Diabolical One, and the lusts of your
> father you wish to do. That one was a murderer from the beginning, and
> he has not stood in the truth because there is no truth in him. When
> he speaks a lie, he speaks of his own, because he is a liar, and the
> father of it" (John 8:44 AST).
>
> Furthermore, the New Testament warns us not to listen to "Judaizing
> myths" (Titus 1:14). But Jewish myths are exactly what destroyed
> Germany and what have destroyed America today. Herr Hitler may have
> been correct in what he felt was the greatest Jewish lie, but there
> are many, many more which have had a damning effect on the white race.
> One of the greatest is certainly the lie of the Hebrew Masoretic Text
> and the removal of the Greek Septuagint from the hands of white
> Christians, but each Jewish myth stings with the same poisonous venom.
> One of the great Jewish myths of the 20th century is Albert Einstein.
>
> Albert Einstein is held up by the Jewish liars as a rare genius who
> drastically changed the field of theoretical physics. As such, he is
> made an idol to young people and his very name has become synonymous
> with genius. The truth, however, is very different. The reality is
> that Einstein was an inept, moronic Jew who could not even tie his own
> shoelaces; he contributed nothing original to the field of quantum
> mechanics or any other science, but on the contrary he stole the ideas
> of other men and the Jewish media made him a hero.
>
> When we actually examine the life of Albert Einstein, we find that his
> only brilliance lies in his ability to plagiarize and steal other
> people's ideas, passing them off as his own.
>
> Einstein's education, or lack thereof, is an important part of this
> story. The Encyclopedia Britannica says of Einstein's early education
> that he "showed little scholastic ability." It also says that at the
> age of 15, "with poor grades in history, geography, and languages, he
> left school with no diploma." Einstein himself wrote in a school paper
> of his "lack of imagination and practical ability." In 1895, Einstein
> failed a simple entrance exam to an engineering school in Zurich. This
> exam consisted mainly of mathematical problems, and Einstein showed
> himself to be mathematically inept in this exam. He then entered a
> lesser school hoping to use it as a stepping stone to the engineering
> school he could not get into, but after graduating in 1900, he still
> could not get a position at the engineering school! Unable to go to
> the school as he had wanted, he got a job (with the help of a friend)
> at the patent office in Bern. He was to be a technical expert third
> class, which meant that he was too incompetent for a higher qualified
> position. Even after publishing his so-called groundbreaking papers of
> 1905 and after working in the patent office for six years, he was only
> elevated to a second class standing. Remember, the work he was doing
> at the patent office, for which he was only rated third class, was not
> quantum mechanics or theoretical physics, but was reviewing technical

> documents for patents of every day things; yet he was barely
> qualified.
>
> He would work at the patent office until 1909, all the while
> continuously trying to get a position at a university, but without
> success. All of these facts are true, but now begins the Jewish myth.
> Supposedly, while working a full time job, without the aid of
> university colleagues, a staff of graduate students, a laboratory, or
> any of the things normally associated with an academic setting,
> Einstein in his spare time wrote four ground-breaking essays in the
> field of theoretical physics and quantum mechanics that were published
> in 1905. Many people have recognized the impossibility of such a feat,
> including Einstein himself, and therefore Einstein has led people to
> believe that many of these ideas came to him in his sleep, out of the
> blue, because indeed that is the only logical explanation of how an
> admittedly inept moron could have written such documents at the age of
> 26 without any real education. However, a simpler explanation exists:
> he stole the ideas and plagiarized the papers.
>
> Therefore, we will look at each of these ideas and discover the source
> of each. It should be remembered that these ideas are presented by
> Einstein's worshippers as totally new and completely different, each
> of which would change the landscape of science. These four papers
> dealt with the following four ideas, respectively:
>
>
> 1. The foundation of the photon theory of light;
> 2. The equivalence of energy and mass;
> 3. The explanation of Brownian motion in liquids;
> 4. The special theory of relativity.
> Let us first look at the last of these theories, the theory of
> relativity. This is perhaps the most famous idea falsely attributed to
> Einstein. Specifically, this 1905 paper dealt with what Einstein
> called the Special Theory of Relativity (the General Theory would come
> in 1915). This theory contradicted the traditional Newtonian mechanics
> and was based upon two premises: 1) in the absence of acceleration,
> the laws of nature are the same for all observers; and 2) since the
> speed of light is independent of the motion of its source, then the
> time interval between two events is longer for an observer in whose
> frame of reference the events occur at different places than for an
> observer in whose frame of reference the events occur in the same
> place. This is basically the idea that time passes more slowly as
> one's velocity approaches the speed of light, relative to slower
> velocities where time would pass faster.
>
> This theory has been validated by modern experiments and is the basis
> for modern physics. But these two premises are far from being
> originally Einstein's. First of all, the idea that the speed of light
> was a constant and was independent of the motion of its source was not

> Einstein's at all, but was proposed by the Scottish scientist James
> Maxwell. Maxwell studied the phenomenon of light extensively and first
> proposed that it was electromagnetic in nature. He wrote an article to
> this effect for the 1878 edition of the Encyclopedia Britannica. His
> ideas prompted much debate, and by 1887, as a result of his work and
> the ensuing debate, the scientific community, particularly Lorentz,
> Michelson, and Morley reached the conclusion that the velocity of
> light was independent of the velocity of the observer. Thus, this
> piece of the Special Theory of Relativity was known 27 years before
> Einstein wrote his paper.
>
> This debate over the nature of light also led Michelson and Morley to
> conduct an important experiment, the results of which could not be
> explained by Newtonian mechanics. They observed a phenomenon caused by
> relativity but they did not understand relativity. They had attempted
> to detect the motion of the earth through ether, which was a medium
> thought to be necessary for the propagation of light.
>
> In response to this problem, in 1889, the Irish physicist George
> FitzGerald, who had also first proposed a mechanism for producing
> radio waves, wrote a paper which stated that the results of the
> Michelson-Morley experiment could be explained if,
>
> "... the length of material bodies changes, according as they are
> moving through the ether or across it, by an amount depending on the
> square of the ratio of their velocities to that of light."
>
> This is the theory of relativity, 13 years before Einstein's paper!
>
> Furthermore, in 1892, Hendrik Lorentz, from The Netherlands, proposed
> the same solution and began to greatly expand the idea. All throughout
> the 1890's, both Lorentz and FitzGerald worked on these ideas and
> wrote articles strangely similar to Einstein's Special Theory
> detailing what is now known as the Lorentz-FitzGerald Contraction. In
> 1898, the Irishman Joseph Larmor wrote down equations explaining the
> Lorentz-FitzGerald contraction and its relativistic consequences, 7
> years before Einstein's paper. By 1904, Lorentz transformations, the
> series of equations explaining relativity, were published by Lorentz.
> They describe the increase of mass, the shortening of length, and the
> time dilation of a body moving at speeds close to the velocity of
> light. In short, by 1904, everything in Einstein's paper regarding the
> Special Theory of Relativity had already been published.
>
> The Frenchman Poincar&#65533; had, in 1898, written a paper unifying many of
> these ideas. He stated seven years before Einstein's paper that,
>
> "... we have no direct intuition about the equality of two time
> intervals. The simultaneity of two events or the order of their
> succession, as well as the equality of two time intervals, must be

> defined in such a way that the statements of the natural laws be as
> simple as possible."
>
> Anyone who has read Einstein's 1905 paper will immediately recognize
> the similarity and the lack of originality on the part of Einstein.
> Thus we see that the only thing original about the paper was the term
> 'Special Theory of Relativity.' Everything else was plagiarized. Over
> the next few years, Poincar&#65533; became one of the most important
> lecturers and writers regarding relativity, but he never, in any of
> his papers or speeches, mentioned Albert Einstein. Thus, while
> Poincar&#65533; was busy bringing the rest of the academic world up to speed
> regarding relativity, Einstein was still working in the patent office
> in Bern and no one in the academic community thought it necessary to
> give much credence or mention to Einstein's work. Most of these early
> physicists knew that he was a fraud.
>
> This brings us to the explanation of Brownian motion, the subject of
> another of Einstein's 1905 papers. Brownian motion describes the
> irregular motion of a body arising from the thermal energy of the
> molecules of the material in which the body is immersed. The movement
> had first been observed by the Scottish botanist Robert Brown in 1827.
> The explanation of this phenomenon has to do with the Kinetic Theory
> of Matter, and it was the American Josiah Gibbs and the Austrian
> Ludwig Boltzmann who first explained this occurrence, not Albert
> Einstein. In fact, the mathematical equation describing the motion
> contains the famous Boltzmann constant, k. Between these two men, they
> had explained by the 1890s everything in Einstein's 1905 paper
> regarding Brownian motion.
>
> The subject of the equivalence of mass and energy was contained in a
> third paper published by Einstein in 1905. This concept is expressed
> by the famous equation E=mc^2. Einstein's biographers categorize this
> as "his most famous and most spectacular conclusion." Even though this
> idea is an obvious conclusion of Einstein's earlier relativity paper,
> it was not included in that paper but was published as an afterthought
> later in the year. Still, the idea of energy-mass equivalence was not
> original with Einstein.
>
> That there was an equivalence between mass and energy had been shown
> in the laboratory in the 1890s by both J.J. Thomsom of Cambridge and
> by W. Kaufmann in G&#65533;ttingen. In 1900, Poincar&#65533; had shown that there
> was a mass relationship for all forms of energy, not just
> electromagnetic energy. Yet, the most probable source of Einstein's
> plagiarism was Friedrich Hasen&#65533;hrl, one of the most brilliant, yet
> unappreciated physicists of the era. Hasen&#65533;hrl was the teacher of many
> of the German scientists who would later become famous for a variety
> of topics. He had worked on the idea of the equivalence of mass and
> energy for many years and had published a paper on the topic in 1904
> in the very same journal which Einstein would publish his plagiarized

> version in 1905. For his brilliant work in this area, Hasen&#65533;rhl had
> received in 1904 a prize from the prestigious Vienna Academy of
> Sciences.
>
> Furthermore, the mathematical relationship of mass and energy was a
> simple deduction from the already well-known equations of Scottish
> physicist James Maxwell. Scientists long understood that the
> mathematical relationship expressed by the equation E=mc^2 was the
> logical result of Maxwell's work, they just did not believe it. Thus,
> the experiments of Thomson, Kaufmann, and finally, and most
> importantly, Hasen&#65533;rhl, confirmed Maxwell's work. It is ludicrous to
> believe that Einstein developed this postulate, particularly in light
> of the fact that Einstein did not have the laboratory necessary to
> conduct the appropriate experiments.
>
> In this same plagiarized article of Einstein's, he suggested to the
> scientific community, "Perhaps it will prove possible to test this
> theory using bodies whose energy content is variable to a high degree
> (e.g., salts of radium)." This remark demonstrates how little Einstein
> understood about science, for this was truly an outlandish remark. By
> saying this, Einstein showed that he really did not understand basic
> scientific principles and that he was writing about a topic that he
> did not understand. In fact, in response to this article, J. Precht
> remarked that such an experiment "lies beyond the realm of possible
> experience."
>
> The last subject dealt with in Einstein's 1905 papers was the
> foundation of the photon theory of light. Einstein wrote about the
> photoelectric effect. The photoelectric effect is the release of
> electrons from certain metals or semiconductors by the action of
> light. This area of research is particularly important to the Einstein
> myth because it was for this topic that he unjustly received his 1922
> Nobel Prize.
>
> But again, it is not Einstein, but Wilhelm Wien and Max Planck who
> deserve the credit. The main point of Einstein's paper, and the point
> for which he is given credit, is that light is emitted and absorbed in
> finite packets called quanta. This was the explanation for the
> photoelectric effect. The photoelectric effect had been explained by
> Heinrich Hertz in 1888. Hertz and others, including Philipp Lenard,
> worked on understanding this phenomenon. Lenard was the first to show
> that the energy of the electrons released in the photoelectric effect
> was not governed by the intensity of the light but by the frequency of
> the light. This was an important breakthrough.
>
> Wien and Planck were colleagues and they were the fathers of modern
> day quantum theory. By 1900, Max Planck, based upon his and Wien's
> work, had shown that radiated energy was absorbed and emitted in
> finite units called quanta. The only difference in his work of 1900

> and Einstein's work of 1905 was that Einstein limited himself to
> talking about one particular type of energy - light energy. But the
> principles and equations governing the process in general had been
> deduced by Planck in 1900. Einstein himself admitted that the obvious
> conclusion of Planck's work was that light also existed in discrete
> packets of energy. Thus, nothing in this paper of Einstein's was
> original.
>
> After the 1905 papers of Einstein were published, the scientific
> community took little notice and Einstein continued his job at the
> patent office until 1909 when it was arranged for him to take a
> position at a school by World Jewry. Still, it was not until a 1919
> newspaper headline that he gained any notoriety.
>
> With Einstein's academic appointment in 1909, he was placed in a
> position where he could begin to use other people's work as his own
> more openly. He engaged many of his students to look for ways to prove
> the theories he had supposedly developed, or ways to apply those
> theories, and then he could present the research as his own or at
> least take partial credit. In this vein, in 1912, he began to try and
> express his gravitational research in terms of a new, recently
> developed calculus, which was conducive to understanding relativity.
> This was the beginning of his General Theory of Relativity, which he
> would publish in 1915. But the mathematical work was not done by
> Einstein - he was incapable of it. Instead, it was performed by the
> mathematician Marcel Grossmann, who in turn used the mathematical
> principles developed by Berhard Riemann, who was the first to develop
> a sound non-Euclidean geometry, which is the basis of all mathematics
> used to describe relativity.
>
> The General Theory of Relativity applied the principles of relativity
> to the universe; that is, to the gravitational pull of planets and
> their orbits, and the general principle that light rays bend as they
> pass by a massive object. Einstein published an initial paper in 1913
> based upon the work which Grossmann did, adapting the math of Riemann
> to Relativity. But this paper was filled with errors and the
> conclusions were incorrect. It appears that Grossmann was not smart
> enough to figure it out for Einstein. So Einstein was forced to look
> elsewhere to plagiarize his General Theory. Einstein published his
> correct General Theory of Relativity in 1915, and said prior to its
> publication that he, "...completely succeeded in convincing Hilbert
> and Klein." He is referring to David Hilbert, perhaps the most
> brilliant mathematician of the 20th century, and Felix Klein, another
> mathematician who had been instrumental in the development of the area
> of calculus that Grossmann had used to develop the General Theory of
> Relativity for Einstein.
>
> Einstein's statement regarding the two men would lead the reader to
> believe that Einstein had changed Hilbert's and Klein's opinions

> regarding General Relativity, and that he had influenced them in their
> thinking. However, the exact opposite is true. Einstein stole the
> majority of his General Relativity work from these two men, the rest
> being taken from Grossmann. Hilbert submitted for publication, a week
> before Einstein completed his work, a paper which contained the
> correct field equations of General Relativity. What this means is that
> Hilbert wrote basically the exact same paper, with the same
> conclusions, before Einstein did. Einstein would have had an
> opportunity to know of Hilbert's work all along, because there were
> Jewish friends of his working for Hilbert. Yet, even this was not
> necessary, for Einstein had seen Hilbert's paper in advance of
> publishing his own. Both of these papers were, before being printed,
> delivered in the form of a lecture.
>
> Einstein presented his paper on November 25, 1915 in Berlin and
> Hilbert had presented his paper on November 20 in G�ttingen. On
> November 18, Hilbert received a letter from Einstein thanking him for
> sending him a draft of the treatise Hilbert was to deliver on the
> 20th. So, in fact, Hilbert had sent a copy of his work at least two
> weeks in advance to Einstein before either of the two men delivered
> their lectures, but Einstein did not send Hilbert an advance copy of
> his. Therefore, this serves as incontrovertible proof that Einstein
> quickly plagiarized the work and then presented it, hoping to beat
> Hilbert to the punch. Also, at the same time, Einstein publicly began
> to belittle Hilbert, even though in the previous summer he had praised
> him in an effort to get Hilbert to share his work with him. Hilbert
> made the mistake of sending Einstein this draft copy, but still he
> delivered his work first.
>
> Not only did Hilbert publish his work first, but it was of much higher
> quality than Einstein's. It is known today that there are many
> problems with assumptions made in Einstein's General Theory paper. We
> know today that Hilbert was much closer to the truth. Hilbert's paper
> is the forerunner of the unified field theory of gravitation and
> electromagnetism and of the work of Erwin Schr�dinger, whose work is
> the basis of all modern day quantum mechanics.
>
> That the group of men discussed so far were the actual originators of
> the ideas claimed by Einstein was known by the scientific community
> all along. In 1940, a group of German physicists meeting in Austria
> declared that "before Einstein, Aryan scientists like Lorentz,
> Hasen�hrl, Poincar�, etc., had created the foundations of the theory
> of relativity..."
>
> However, the Jewish media did not promote the work of these men. The
> Jewish media did not promote the work of David Hilbert, but instead
> they promoted the work of the Jew Albert Einstein. As we mentioned
> earlier, this General Theory, as postulated by Hilbert first and in
> plagiarized form by Einstein second, stated that light rays should

> bend when they pass by a massive object. In 1919, during the eclipse
> of the Sun, light from distant stars passing close to the Sun was
> observed to bend according to the theory. This evidence supported the
> General Theory of Relativity, and the Jewish-controlled media
> immediately seized upon the opportunity to prop up Einstein as a hero,
> at the expense of the true genius, David Hilbert.
>
> On November 7th, 1919, the London Times ran an article, the headline
> of which proclaimed, "Revolution in science - New theory of the
> Universe - Newtonian ideas overthrown." This was the beginning of the
> force-feeding of the Einstein myth to the masses. In the following
> years, Einstein's earlier 1905 papers were propagandized and Einstein
> was heralded as the originator of all the ideas he had stolen. Because
> of this push by the Jewish media, in 1922, Einstein received the Nobel
> Prize for the work he had stolen in 1905 regarding the photoelectric
> effect.
>
> The establishment of the Einstein farce between 1919 and 1922 was an
> important coup for world Zionism and Jewry. As soon as Einstein had
> been established as an idol to the popular masses of England and
> America, his image was promoted as the rare genius that he is
> erroneously believed to be today. As such, he immediately began his
> work as a tool for World Zionism. The masses bought into the idea that
> if someone was so brilliant as to change our fundamental understanding
> of the universe, then certainly we ought to listen to his opinions
> regarding political and social issues. This is exactly what World
> Jewry wanted to establish in its ongoing effort of social engineering.
> They certainly did not want someone like David Hilbert to be
> recognized as rare genius. After all, this physicist had come from a
> strong German, Christian background. His grandfather's two middle
> names were 'F�rchtegott Leberecht' or 'Fear God, Live Right.' In
> August of 1934, the day before a vote was to be taken regarding
> installing Adolf Hitler as President of the Reich, Hilbert signed a
> proclamation in support of Adolf Hitler, along with other leading
> German scientists, that was published in the German newspapers. So the
> Jews certainly did not want David Hilbert receiving the credit he
> deserved.
>
> The Jews did not want Max Planck receiving the credit he deserved
> either. This German's grandfather and great-grandfather had been
> important German theologians, and during World War II he would stay in
> Germany throughout the war, supporting his fatherland the best he
> could.
>
> The Jews certainly did not want the up-and-coming Erwin Schr�dinger to
> be heralded as a genius to the masses. This Austrian physicist would
> go on to teach at Adolf Hitler University in Austria, and he wrote a
> public letter expressing his support for the Third Reich. This
> Austrian's work on the unified field theory was a forerunner of modern

> physics, even though it had been criticized by Einstein, who
> apparently could not understand it.
>
> The Jews did not want to have Werner Heisenberg promoted as a rare
> genius, even though he would go on to solidify quantum theory and
> contribute to it greatly, as well as develop his famous uncertainty
> principle, in addition to describing the modern atom and nucleus and
> the binding energies that are essential to modern chemistry. No, the
> Jews did not want Heisenberg promoted as a genius because he would go
> on to head the German atomic bomb project and serve prison time after
> the war for his involvement with the Third Reich.
>
> No, the Jews did not want to give credit to any of a number of white
> Germans, Austrians, Irishmen, Frenchmen, Scotsmen, Englishmen, and
> even Americans who had contributed to the body of knowledge and
> evidence from which Einstein plagiarized and stole his work. Instead,
> they needed to erect Einstein as their golden calf, even though he
> repeatedly and often embarrassed himself with his nonfactual or
> nearsighted comments regarding the work he had supposedly done. For
> example, in 1934, the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette ran a front page article
> in which Einstein gave an "emphatic denial" regarding the idea of
> practical applications for the "energy of the atom." The article says,
>
> "But the 'energy of the atom' is something else again. If you believe
> that man will someday be able to harness this boundless energy-to
> drive a great steamship across the ocean on a pint of water, for
> instance-then, according to Einstein, you are wrong..."
>
> Again, Einstein clearly did not understand the branch of physics he
> had supposedly founded, though elsewhere in the world at the time
> theoretical research was underway that would lead to the atomic bomb
> and nuclear energy. But after Einstein was promoted as a god in 1919,
> he made no real attempts to plagiarize any other work. Rather, he
> began his real purpose - evangelizing for the cause of Zionism and
> World Jewry. Though he did publish other articles after this time, all
> of them were co-authored by at least one other person, and in each
> instance, Einstein had little if anything to do with the research that
> led to the articles; he was merely recruited by the co-authors in
> order to lend credence to their work. Thus freed of the pretense of
> academia, Einstein began his assault for World Zionism.
>
> In 1921, Einstein made his first visit to the United States on a
> fund-raising tour for the Hebrew University in Jerusalem and to
> promote Zionism. In April of 1922, Einstein used his status to gain
> membership in a Commission of the League of Nations. In February of
> 1923, Einstein visits Tel Aviv and Jerusalem. In June of 1923, he
> becomes a founding member of the Association of Friends of the New
> Russia. In 1926, Einstein took a break from his Communist and
> Zionistic activities to again embarrass himself scientifically by

> criticizing the work of Schr&#65533;dinger and Heisenberg. Following a brief
> illness, he resumes his Zionistic agenda, wanting an independent
> Israel and at the same time a World Government.
>
> In the 1930s he actively campaigns against all forms of war, although
> he would reverse this position during World War II when he advocated
> war against Germany and the creation of the atomic bomb, which he
> thought was impossible to build. In 1939 and 1940, Einstein, at the
> request of other Jews, wrote two letters to Roosevelt urging an
> American program to develop an atomic bomb to be used on Germany - not
> Japan. Einstein would have no part in the actual construction of the
> bomb, theoretical or practical, because he lacked the skills for
> either.
>
> In December of 1946, Einstein rekindles his efforts for a World
> Government, with Israel apparently being the only autonomous nation.
> This push continues through the rest of the 1940s. In 1952, Einstein,
> who had been instrumental in the creation of the State of Israel, both
> politically and economically, is offered the presidency of Israel. He
> declines. In 1953, he spends his time attacking the McCarthy
> Committee, and he supports Communists such as J. Robert Oppenheimer.
> He encourages civil disobedience in response to the McCarthy trials.
> Finally, on April 18, 1955, this filthy Jewish demagogue dies.
>
> Dead, the Jews no longer had to worry about Einstein making stupid
> statements. His death was just the beginning of his usage and
> exploitation by World Jewry. The Jewish-controlled media continued to
> promote the myth of this Super-Jew long after his death, and as more
> and more of the men who knew better died off, the Jews were more and
> more able to aggrandize his myth and lie more boldly. This brazen
> lying has culminated in the Jew controlled Time magazine naming
> Einstein "The Person of the Century" at the close of 1999. It may be
> demonstrated that the Jewish lies have become more bold with the
> passage of time because Einstein was never named "Man of the Year"
> while he was alive, but now, over forty years after his death, he is
> named "Person of the Century."
>
> Einstein was given this title in spite of the clear-cut choice for the
> "Person of the Century," Adolf Hitler. Hitler was indeed named "Man of
> the Year" while he was still living by Time magazine, and according to
> a December 27, 1999, article in the USA Today, Einstein was chosen
> over Adolf Hitler because of the perceived "nasty public relations
> fallout" that would accompany that choice; yet in internet polling by
> Time, Hitler finished third and was the top serious candidate. Still
> the issue of Time magazine dedicated to Einstein, which has articles
> by men with names like Isaacson, Golden, Stein, Rudenstine, and
> Rosenblatt, is interesting to read. For one, they found it necessary
> to include an article rationalizing why they did not pick the obvious
> choice, Adolf Hitler. But more interesting is the article by Stephen

> Hawking which purports to be a history of the theory of relativity. In
> it, Hawking admits many of the things in this article, such as the
> fact that Hilbert published the General Theory of Relativity before
> Einstein and that FitzGerald and Lorentz deduced the concept of
> relativity long before Einstein. Hawking also writes,
>
> "Einstein...was deeply disturbed by the work of Werner Heisenberg in
> Copenhagen, Paul Dirac in Cambridge and Erwin Schr�dinger in Zurich,
> who developed a new picture of reality called quantum mechanics. ...
> Einstein was horrified by this ... Most scientists, however, accepted
> the validity of the new quantum laws because they showed excellent
> agreement with observations ... They are the basis of modern
> developments in chemistry, molecular biology and electronics and the
> foundation of the technology that has transformed the world in the
> past half-century."
>
> This is all very true, yet the same magazine credits Einstein with all
> of the modern developments that Hawking names, even through Einstein
> was so stupid as to be vehemently against the most important idea of
> modern science, just as he opposed Schr�dinger's work in unified field
> theory which was far ahead of its time. The same magazine admits that
> "success eluded" Einstein in the field of explaining the
> contradictions between relativity and quantum mechanics. Today, these
> contradictions are explained by the unified field theory, but
> Einstein, who proves himself to be one of the least intelligent of
> 20th century scientists, refused to believe in either quantum theory
> or the unified field theory.
>
> To name Einstein as "The Person of the Century" is one of the most
> ludicrous and absurd lies of all time, yet it has been successfully
> pulled off by Isaacson, Golden, Stein, Rudenstine, and Rosenblatt and
> the Jewish owners of Time magazine. If the Jews at Time wanted to give
> the title to an inventor or scientist, then the most obvious choice
> would have been men like Hilbert, Planck, or Heisenberg. If they
> wanted to give it to the scientist who most fundamentally changed the
> landscape of 20th century science, then the obvious choice would be
> William Shockley. This Nobel prize winning scientist invented the
> transistor, which is the basis of all modern electronic devices and
> computers, everything from modern cars and telephones, VCRs and
> watches, to the amazing computers which have allowed incomprehensible
> advances in all fields of science. Without the transistor, all forms
> of science today would be basically in the same place that they were
> in the late 1940s.
>
> However, the Jews cannot allow the due credit to go to William
> Shockley because he spent the majority of his scientific career
> demonstrating the genetic and mental inferiority of non-whites and
> arguing for their sterilization. His scientific, genetic views led the
> Jews to financially destroy Shockley who founded the first company in

> the Silicon Valley, his hometown, to develop computer chips. The Jews
> hired away his entire staff and used them to start Fairchild
> semiconductor, the company that today is known as Intel.
>
> No the Jews could not let any of the truly great geniuses of our time
> be recognized, not the anti-Semite Henry Ford, not the great German
> scientists who helped the National Socialists in Germany, not Charles
> Lindbergh, who was sympathetic to National Socialist causes, and
> certainly not William Shockley, one of the most brilliant physicists
> and geneticists of our time. Instead, the Jews propped up the Zionist,
> Communist Albert Einstein who hated everything white.
>
> After World War II, Einstein demonstrated his hatred of the White Race
> and of the Germans in particular in the following statements. He was
> asked what he thought about Germany and about re-educating the Germans
> after the war and said,
>
> "The nation has been on the decline mentally and morally since
> 1870...Behind the Nazi party stands the German people, who elected
> Hitler after he had in his book and in his speeches made his shameful
> intentions clear beyond the possibility of misunderstanding. ... The
> Germans can be killed or constrained after the war, but they cannot be
> re-educated to a democratic way of thinking and acting..."
>
>

1499. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 19:55:19 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <3C545B27.E174B268@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 53268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Heh. KC8JBO was in panic mode when he first saw the subject line. He thought it said "Radio Shack stops selling dildoes ..."

1500. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 20:01:52 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <z0Z48.151$R45.222034@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53269

In article <%bX48.55016$TI2.9817486@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>,
dconti@nj.rr.com says...
> > Sorry I don't agree with your right wing conservative spew uhhh troll
> > Dan. You cops are all killers and on the take. You like to beat
> > prisoners and rape them with broom handles. Guess you cops made your
> > bed and now WHINE when you have to sleep in it. Or is that just an
> > anomaly
> >
> > Glenn
>
> Well Glenn, I will agree with another responder here that this has gotten
> way off topic and a bit out of hand. It has been a pleasure debating you on
> this topic, and although we obviously disagree, we can agree to disagree and
> still part as friends.
>
> Your statement above, although troubling, is what I knew would be coming
> from you all along, and speaks better to this subject then I ever could.
>

Can't handle sarcasm and bold generalities Dan? You seem a bit two
faced but aren't all trolls?

Enjoy

Glenn

1501. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 20:06:36 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a31nqs$ni2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lardassed fuckwit
"Stagger 'TexASS Turd' Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:3C545B27.E174B268@houston-hunks.com...
> Heh. KC8JBO [Projection]

Heh. KC8JBO OWNS you, TexASS TURD.

Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."

Faggot Stagger Lee, who fails to "grasp" the concept that "slander" is
spoken and "libel" is written, would be better served if he just stuck
to grasping nutsacks, incoherently babbled
in <3C4AE592.6A0CDA7E@houston-hunks.com>:

"COTSE seemed to have the laughable notion that what Wiseman was doing
was exercising freedom of speech. Slander is of course not protected
speech in the US, but the concept that even free speech has its limits
seemed to be impossible for Steve Gielda -- COTSE's owner -- to grasp."
--
Fuckwit Lee finally admits his SPANK at KC8JBO's hands yet another time in
3C4AE592.6A0CDA7E@houston-hunks.com:
"[Any followups by Wiseman will be ignored.]"

From: Stephen K. Gielda <steve@cotseNOSPAM.com>
Date: Sat, 17 Feb 2001 17:10:02 -0500
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.2600

"46 posts in there from cotse since 2/1/01 and you wonder why you are
ignored, you exaggerate and lie. I would not consider that excessive
posting to any group."
"Cotse does not care about flamewars, unless they violate our policies.
You are involved in a flamewar and you want to win it by terminating
your opponents account."

1502. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 20:26:37 UTC
From: headkase <woggurl@optus/spamtrap/net.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c546344$0$1481$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Marjorie Knoller" <Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org

wrote
a lot of dumb fuck white racist shit

go away troll
you is too obvious
and you is certainly beyond contempt

look at the groups you crossposted to
like
theres not going to be too much debating going on from the britney spears
groups is there

my advice choose your targets more wisely

headkase

1503. Contract Hit on David Monroe / CANADA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 20:49:25 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c5466ae.94980745@news.mint.net>
Source record: 53272

Hey David word has it your a marked man.....can I ask you this of
all the people you screwed ,why in the world did you mess with an
American Mafioso's Son.....man I'd be ah looking over your damn
shoulder if I was you!oh boy can you say S - T - U - P - I - D
boy oh boy oh boy..... :O)

1504. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 20:50:40 UTC
From: ki4ever@karels.org
Message-ID: <3c54633b.214577566@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 53273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 26 Jan 2002 22:57:54 -0500, "Chris" <holman@townisp.com>
wrote:

>Here's the thing, no they are not getting rid of the entire line of
>components. They are trimming back some of the stuff that has little to no
>movement as these product take up 30-50% of most stores and only accounts
>for 2% of the revenue.

Au contrare!!!

I was told by a Shack employee, who just happen to be a hobbyist like
us, that RS will be getting out of the electronic component biz at the
end of this year.

I just snipped a ling essay about such, just like to say that Steve at
my local parts house, oughtta welcome the news. Been hanging on, as
the TV shops have died out this last decade, and It'd be great for him
to take up the slack! His stuff is better (and less expensive) than
Shack anyway..

1505. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 20:59:05 UTC
From: jsinclair001@excite.nospam4me.com
Message-ID: <tSZ48.5686$S67.342406@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <u4j4fl8el7122e@corp.supernews.com>, Power_House@PrivateBox.NET says...
>
>Apparently they aren't that up with the times... Even the cheapest radar
>detectors these days are VG-2 (radar detector detector) undetectable. I
>know of no modern radar detector detector that can detect a VG-2
>undetectable radar detector... Damn that's a lot of detecting! I think
>they need to come up with a little better strategy for busting the violating
>commercial carriers than that...

According to http://www.speedzones.com/whatsnew.html

they have new "detectors".

1506. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 21:29:06 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ci_48.5452$Ab1.351114@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"headkase" <woggurl@optus/spamtrap/net.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c546344$0$1481$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>
> "Marjorie Knoller" <Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org
>
> wrote
> a lot of dumb fuck white racist shit
>
> go away troll
> you is too obvious
> and you is certainly beyond contempt
>
> look at the groups you crossposted to
> like
> theres not going to be too much debating going on from the britney
spears
> groups is there
>
> my advice choose your targets more wisely
>
>
> headkase
>
>

My advice is to look at the fairly improbable list this idiocy is
cross-posted to, realize your cahin is being tugged, have a couple of
pan-galactic gargle blasters, and go sanitize a telephone.

1507. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 21:38:24 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <3C547350.C7C377F6@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 53276
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

KC8JBO wrote:
[snip]

Let's see what Roger ran from:
===
Heh. KC8JBO was in panic mode when he first saw the subject line. He
thought it said "Radio Shack stops selling dildoes ..."

1508. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 21:41:28 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <cu_48.15991$jb.784692@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

here in British Columbia. I was told by the owner they were too. gettingout
of the electronic parts buisness. Everyday I see less and less parts.
Luckily I am now distributing hard to find electronic parts and common
electronic parts. Atleast someone has a place to turn to. Why pay almost 1
buck for a 10 cent capacitor.

73 Dev

"Big Nick" <ki4ever@karels.org> wrote in message
news:3c54633b.214577566@news.sonic.net...
> On Sat, 26 Jan 2002 22:57:54 -0500, "Chris" <holman@townisp.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Here's the thing, no they are not getting rid of the entire line of
> >components. They are trimming back some of the stuff that has little to
no
> >movement as these product take up 30-50% of most stores and only accounts
> >for 2% of the revenue.
>
> Au contrare!!!
>
> I was told by a Shack employee, who just happen to be a hobbyist like
> us, that RS will be getting out of the electronic component biz at the
> end of this year.
>
> I just snipped a ling essay about such, just like to say that Steve at
> my local parts house, oughtta welcome the news. Been hanging on, as
> the TV shops have died out this last decade, and It'd be great for him
> to take up the slack! His stuff is better (and less expensive) than
> Shack anyway..
>

1509. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 21:52:44 UTC
From: headkase <woggurl@optus/spamtrap/net.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c547772$0$1483$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Ci_48.5452$Ab1.351114@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "headkase" <woggurl@optus/spamtrap/net.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3c546344$0$1481$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> >
> > "Marjorie Knoller" <Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org
> >
> > wrote
> > a lot of dumb fuck white racist shit
> >
> > go away troll
> > you is too obvious
> > and you is certainly beyond contempt
> >
> > look at the groups you crossposted to
> > like
> > theres not going to be too much debating going on from the britney
> spears
> > groups is there
> >
> > my advice choose your targets more wisely
> >
> >
> > headkase
> >
> >
>
> My advice is to look at the fairly improbable list this idiocy is
> cross-posted to, realize your cahin is being tugged, have a couple of
> pan-galactic gargle blasters, and go sanitize a telephone.
>
>

i did
but my newsreader showed only five of the groups
which lead me astray
i am now seeing it in all groups
i has been had
i admit it
and hang my head in shame.

headkase

1510. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 22:01:27 UTC
From: David Anderson <anderd@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <XM_48.2726$W04.6366@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 53279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well i would like to say, i wish we had Radio Shack's such as your's here. I only knew of one franchised Radio Shack here in CO and he closed shop about 6 years ago and was about 30 miles from here. Nice guy though, wish he was still around, and no i do understand that not everyone there is going to be knowlegable, but around here, and im not being sarcastic, i havent found one radio shack that anyone there had a clue about anything they sold other than Cell phone's. To me, thats sad. I used to spend hours when i was younger, roaming around the radio shacks, after school on Mondays and Tuesdays they did stocking and i was able to help out there... So its not that i hate them, i just wish they were a little more like their ad's around here. RadioShack.Com stores are MUCH different, the people there usualy are fairly knowlegable or can find someone who can help you, unfortunatly they are closing that store down as quickly as Tech America disapeared heh.

And as for "Painting" im sorry i generalized there, but im serious when i say Colorado radio shacks are nearly worthless unless its stocked, and you know what and where it is. I still think they are rediculas when it comes to replacement components for scanners, etc. I spend 12 bucks on a stupid transformer (Audio) in a pro-2006, 5 bucks for a transistor in the same scanner and 35 for a EL replacement. the EL used to be like 10 bucks. I can understand those being pricing since they are scarse now, but come on.. a transistor and transformer that they probably still use any numerious products, priced that high is beyond me. I will say that i've never had a bad experience as far as employee's go, they are fairly nice people on most occasions :)

And if you ever deside to move to CO, let me know, i would much rather visit your store..

David
> Oh Ye who paints with such a wide brush: Your right in that out of over
> 7000 stores nationwide your going to run into people who either a) haven't
a
> clue or b) are new and still is learning. Has every employee at Autozone
or
> PepBoys been an actual auto mechanic? Keep in mind also that over two
> thousand of the Radio Shack stores are privately owned Franchise stores of
> which mine is one. We are able to stock more items than the average
company
> store simply because of available space. Additionally, as for having
> answers, out of eight employees at my store, Three are at least Juniors
in
> electronics engineering at university, two others are extra class amateur
> radio operators, and two women that have been around it for years (my wife

> and my daughter). As for myself, 26 years as an Extra class(and very
active)
> amateur radio operator, prior Navy communications tech, five years
> experience installing satellite systems, certified Hughes satellite system
> repair center, etc etc etc. So next time you start painting all of us
with
> your broad brush, remember that there are some of us that do have answers,
> care about our customers, and are extremely proud of Radio Shack as a
whole.
> Any other questions?
>
> 73's de N8XC
> Jesse Walker
> Proud Radio Shack Franchise Owner
>
>
>

1511. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 22:03:23 UTC
From: "j.botham" <j.botham@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <nN_48.38789$Ph2.6450352@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 53280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> i did
> but my newsreader showed only five of the groups
> which lead me astray
> i am now seeing it in all groups
> i has been had
> i admit it
> and hang my head in shame.
>
>
> headkase

Me too.

I still can't wait for good weather though.

Mike

1512. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 22:07:36 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IS_48.5507$Ab1.354153@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"headkase" <woggurl@optus/spamtrap/net.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c547772$0$1483$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Ci_48.5452$Ab1.351114@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "headkase" <woggurl@optus/spamtrap/net.com.au> wrote in message
> > news:3c546344$0$1481$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > >
> > > "Marjorie Knoller" <Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org
> > >
> > > wrote
> > > a lot of dumb fuck white racist shit
> > >
> > > go away troll
> > > you is too obvious
> > > and you is certainly beyond contempt
> > >
> > > look at the groups you crossposted to
> > > like
> > > theres not going to be too much debating going on from the britney
> > spears
> > > groups is there
> > >
> > > my advice choose your targets more wisely
> > >
> > >
> > > headkase
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > My advice is to look at the fairly improbable list this idiocy is
> > cross-posted to, realize your cahin is being tugged, have a couple of
> > pan-galactic gargle blasters, and go sanitize a telephone.
> >
> >
>
> i did
> but my newsreader showed only five of the groups
> which lead me astray
> i am now seeing it in all groups
> i has been had
> i admit it

> and hang my head in shame.
>
>
> headkase
>
>

You won't be the first or the last and I am on the list as well. First gargle-blaster is on me.

1513. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 22:10:09 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <6ju85u8sjsr1hspftalshf8ecfbghrn8gl@4ax.com>
Source record: 53282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Marjorie Knoller
<Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org> spake thusly

WHAT the hell does this have to do with scanners,
OR any of the other groups it was spammed to??

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1514. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 23:15:56 UTC
From: Sped <NOSPAM_edman@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16be55ebbda343f6989786@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 53283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

```
.
> ..........................................................
>
>
> Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth
>
>
> One of the statements of Adolf Hitler most often quoted by the Jewish
> media is the following from Mein Kampf, I:10:
>
> "The great masses of people ... will more easily fall victims to a big
> lie than to a small one."

(Bunch of stuff in here removed for practicality)

> Furthermore, the New Testament warns us not to listen to "Judaizing
> myths" (Titus 1:14). But Jewish myths are exactly what destroyed
> Germany and what have destroyed America today. Herr Hitler may have
> been correct in what he felt was the greatest Jewish lie, but there
> are many, many more which have had a damning effect on the white race.
> One of the greatest is certainly the lie of the Hebrew Masoretic Text
> and the removal of the Greek Septuagint from the hands of white

I cant believe I read the whole thing!!

--
The light at the end of the tunnel is the light of an oncoming train
--------------------------------------------------
Ed "Sped" Hauck
D-12662
THEedman@NOSPAM.nettally.com
http://www.vistech.net/users/edman/halo/default.html
```

1515. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 23:26:43 UTC
From: AV <av@sover.net>
Message-ID: <3C548CB3.ADA@sover.net>
Source record: 53211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Marjorie Knoller wrote:
>
> ....
snip

http://www.cnn.com/2001/LAW/03/28/dog.mauling.arrests.01/

Must be a different Marjorie Knoller, right? RIGHT?

1516. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-27 23:59:07 UTC
From: andy <inthelead234@mickle.net>
Message-ID: <Am058.503$Ub7.19758@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 53284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"David Anderson" <anderd@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:XTS48.10712$Om2.41337@rwcrnsc53...
> Colorado
>

No, what he meant was, in the middle of DENVER? Or do you live in a hamlet of 87 people? It makes all the difference in the world. You guys that live in the middle of big cities all you lives have no idea what it is like to drive 2 hours to buy a shirt. You say United WE STAND USA but you have never really been there.

1517. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 00:02:04 UTC
From: Mark <mburggra1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <0y058.15303$RF.2129338470@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

DON'T repost the same 35K post moron...

Learn a little netiquette...

j.botham <j.botham@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:k9Y48.35570$ka7.5985220@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> I can't wait for some good weather.....
>
> Mike C
>
>
> Marjorie Knoller <Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org> wrote in message
> news:MPG.16bde2c43e6991f9896a4@24.2.2.74...
> > .....

1518. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 00:28:11 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a328lbrd3@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

TexASS TURD
"Stagger HIV positive drug user Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in
message news:3C547350.C7C377F6@houston-hunks.com...
> KC8JBO wrote:
> [snip]
>Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."
>
>

Let's see what the Taliban supporting Faggot Lee waddled away from:

Lardassed fuckwit
"Stagger 'TexASS Turd' Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:3C545B27.E174B268@houston-hunks.com...
> Heh. KC8JBO [Projection]

Heh. KC8JBO OWNS you, TexASS TURD.

Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."

Faggot Stagger Lee, who fails to "grasp" the concept that "slander" is
spoken and "libel" is written, would be better served if he just stuck
to grasping nutsacks, incoherently babbled
in <3C4AE592.6A0CDA7E@houston-hunks.com>:

"COTSE seemed to have the laughable notion that what Wiseman was doing
was exercising freedom of speech. Slander is of course not protected
speech in the US, but the concept that even free speech has its limits
seemed to be impossible for Steve Gielda -- COTSE's owner -- to grasp."
--
Fuckwit Lee finally admits his SPANK at KC8JBO's hands yet another time in
3C4AE592.6A0CDA7E@houston-hunks.com:

"[Any followups by Wiseman will be ignored.]"

From: Stephen K. Gielda <steve@cotseNOSPAM.com>
Date: Sat, 17 Feb 2001 17:10:02 -0500
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.2600

"46 posts in there from cotse since 2/1/01 and you wonder why you are ignored, you exaggerate and lie. I would not consider that excessive posting to any group."
"Cotse does not care about flamewars, unless they violate our policies. You are involved in a flamewar and you want to win it by terminating your opponents account."

1519. Re: Yaesu VR 5000 US version SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 00:35:59 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <O1158.10200$Wf1.3193997@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 53286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sold
"Red TR1" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hNW48.10165$Wf1.3122520@ruti.visi.com...
> In like new condition, the US version comes with box, manual, power supply
> and I never mailed the warranty card. I am moving on to another radio so
I
> am selling this one.
>
> $500 shipped Conus via USPS Priority with tracking.
>
> Greg
>
>

1520. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 00:45:41 UTC
From: George Csahanin <georgec@dyb.com>
Message-ID: <Va158.6122$gD3.2221625447@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Boy, that's the truth. There isn't a Radio Shack employee anywhere, I'd bet, with any knowledge of what the name represents, or what a real parts house is. Damn shame. Once a good name, Tandy pretty much ruined it when he bought it, but the JC Penny approach to "electronics" is sad. Thankfully I still have a couple of parts houses, and a Frys here in Austin. But Tandy has been aiming for lowest common for years, and got there finally.

Their slogan would be much more accurate if it was:

"You've got questions?...We've got blank stares"

-G

"David Anderson" <anderd@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:cvL48.9555$Om2.34892@rwcrnsc53...
> "phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
> news:u570lggl048383@corp.supernews.com...
> > this thread shall be knoweth from hence this moment as: 'the Rat Shack
> > thread that would not die'. and just as this thread will not perish
> neither
> > shall the rodent Rat Shack. if worse comes to worse when you want parts
> you
> > can always just go there, buy a $10 cellphone, and then crack it open.
> when
> > this day comes to pass i shall write a book called 'SMD for dummies' and
> > become rich. with my money i will open up my own line of Germanium Diode
> > Shack's worldwide.
>
> ROFL
>
> > You've got Questions? We've got Germanium Diodes!
>
> They wish they had answers, what a stupid slogan for a company such as
> this...
> You would be lucky to find more than 1 radio shack employee per county
> that has half a brain. The rest are drones that almost always have to ask
> the manager, who has to call FW Texas for assistance before you can find
> out if they have a Germanium diode they can mail you for $0.02 and $29.95
> S&H or if they have a AAA battery.
>
>
>
>

1521. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 00:54:06 UTC
From: David Anderson <anderd@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <Ni158.3822$aF1.12422@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 53288
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I've lived all over the state, if you look in out phonebook there are a number of electronics shops all over the state, in the "Big City" as you call it and out on the plains. Sure they are less common the further you go into the country, but i've never had much of a hard time finding parts no matter where i lived. Either way, i see your point and the irritance level but if i needed parts or did alot of work, i would purchase at least $100 worth of parts that are fairly common from MCM Electronics or any number of other stores online. And yes before anyone asks, there are parts you can't get because its either A: not in stock or B: not a stocked part. So i have had to order stuff online and spend a little extra, but at least i have my parts. I dont always have to order online if i cant find it, generally the shop/store will order ir for me and i only pay the normal rate and no S&H or minimum ammounts. Guess i would be a little spoiled being here in the "Burbs" heh, but i do have friends who live in the mountains and they drive a couple hours for parts so i do know what you are talking about and understand.

73's and hopefully you will get a local parts house other than radio shack because i KNOW they cant/wont/dont stock or even carry everything you need :)

David

"andy" <inthelead234@mickle.net> wrote in message
news:Am058.503$Ub7.19758@eagle.america.net...
>
> "David Anderson" <anderd@qwest.net> wrote in message
> news:XTS48.10712$Om2.41337@rwcrnsc53...
> > Colorado
> >
>
>
> No, what he meant was, in the middle of DENVER? Or do you live in a hamlet
> of 87 people? It makes all the difference in the world. You guys that live
> in the middle of big cities all you lives have no idea what it is like to
> drive 2 hours to buy a shirt. You say United WE STAND USA but you have
never
> really been there.
>
>

1522. Re: AOR AR-2002 Scanner Manual HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 01:29:11 UTC
From: Richard Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HP158.6124$mU4.382759@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try this site: http://www.aorusa.com/support.html

"1ARTB" <rbouder@dejazzd.com> wrote in message
news:heh85uk8pe9i0e18n5ajsoudib7omb2gum@4ax.com...
> I am looking for the manual for the AOR AR-2002 Communications
> Receiver (Scanner)
> Can I download it from the Internet PDF file etc....?
>
> Anyone have a copy they can scan? and send me???
> Photo copy??
>
> Ron
> rbouder@dejazzd.com
>

1523. pro 2006 service manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 01:35:19 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u59an29kblpg2e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53291

Is the pro2006 service manual availble on the internet in .pdf or something like that?

1524. Re: Does my Radio Shack PRO-93 have the alpha tag overlay bug ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 02:31:53 UTC
From: Al Kyder <OsamaYomama@al.kyder.net>
Message-ID: <270120022031533078%OsamaYomama@al.kyder.net>
Source record: 53292

My understanding is that the number of text tags available is 256 (a nice 8 bit number, huh?) This scanner has 300 channels so the overlay will not correspond as 005 = 905. There would be 44 channels overlapping. bank 8 channel 16 would be the 256th channel. 005 would equal 821. Look there to see if a change had been made.

the In article <7225aa4b.0201261809.64829c02@posting.google.com>, Norman Weiler <nweiler@nac.net> wrote:

> Hi again,
>
> I have a new PRO-93, with 7 more days left on my 30-day return period.
> I've read a lot about the alpha tag problem. Some user group members
> have the problem - some don't. I have firmware version 1.00. I tried
> to determine if mine had the problem by putting a text tag on bank 0
> chan 05, then putting a different tag on bank 9 chan 05. I returned to
> 005 - it was still OK. I then put a new tag on 005, then went back up
> to 905, and that tag was still OK.
>
> Is my unit OK, or does the overlay occur with the downloading of tags
> from the computer. In other words, will all bank 0 channel tags
> overwrite the bank 9 channel tags on the download (or vice-versa)? I
> don't yet have the download cable to test this.
>
> Thanks again for your help.
> Norm in NJ
>
> nweiler@nac.net

1525. Re: Fairhaven RD500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 02:39:58 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2S258.11877$a07.4814165@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 53293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

Then again....I actually have one and I like it very much.

HF performance is not quite up to the AR7030, but very good, audio quality
is excellent. Decent wideband receiver, too. Easy to operate for what it
does, very complete set of features.

I am very happy with mine, and I use it as much as my ICOM R8500.

John KB5AG
"Vince" <radhamuk@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
news:a318g4$14vg74$1@ID-121450.news.dfncis.de...
> Anyone have views of this radio? Good, Bad or In-different.
>
> Thanks...Vince
>
>

1526. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 02:57:02 UTC
From: Plastic Soul <plastic_soul@msn.com>
Message-ID: <a32du2$14t6hl$1@ID-92830.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"headkase" <woggurl@optus/spamtrap/net.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c546344$0$1481$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>
> "Marjorie Knoller" <Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org
>
> wrote
> a lot of dumb fuck white racist shit
>
> go away troll
> you is too obvious
> and you is certainly beyond contempt
>
> look at the groups you crossposted to
> like
> theres not going to be too much debating going on from the britney spears
> groups is there
>
> my advice choose your targets more wisely

actually there are a handful of people on AFBS that are just here to talk
about racist bullshit. It's kinda weird really. They never participate in
the other discussions, and we never participate in theirs. go figure.

-Maitland

1527. Re: WTB: Icom TV-R7100 Television Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 03:23:43 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3C54C42D.3030303@pacbell.net>
Source record: 53295

Hi Marcus,
The TV video demodulators for the 7000 & 7100 are the worst I have ever used. They have very noisy video even with a signal strong enough to lite up a light bulb. I hope you experience one before buying.

1528. Re: WTB: Icom TV-R7100 Television Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 03:39:18 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qJ358.316$R45.334314@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53296

In article <3C54C42D.3030303@pacbell.net>, km6ab@pacbell.net says...
> Hi Marcus,
> The TV video demodulators for the 7000 & 7100 are the worst I have ever
> used. They have very noisy video even with a signal strong enough to
> lite up a light bulb. I hope you experience one before buying.

What do you mean noisy video Mike? I have the 7000 adapter and use it
all the time with great results. Crystal clear picture on the locals.
Not HDTV but. :-)

Glenn

1529. Re: WTB: Icom TV-R7100 Television Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 03:53:19 UTC
From: Tim <Nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C54CB37.821C1A99@home.com>
Source record: 53197

Glenn wrote:
>
> In article <3C54C42D.3030303@pacbell.net>, km6ab@pacbell.net says...
> > Hi Marcus,
> > The TV video demodulators for the 7000 & 7100 are the worst I have ever
> > used. They have very noisy video even with a signal strong enough to
> > lite up a light bulb. I hope you experience one before buying.
>
> What do you mean noisy video Mike? I have the 7000 adapter and use it
> all the time with great results. Crystal clear picture on the locals.
> Not HDTV but. :-)

Ditto for the 7100...

1530. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 04:02:54 UTC
From: Jesse Walker <techsonics@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O3458.374$By6.40502@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53198
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
news:u58i89m34sto13@corp.supernews.com...
> Jesse:
>
> > Any other questions?
>
> actually... how is it owning a RS franchise? has business been getting
> better or worse? have you noticed a change in your types of customers?
what
> is the most sold electronics components at your store? have you sold more
> radios since 9/11? any items in your store you do real well on if you
sell?
> has corporate RS been helpful to you?
>
> curious,
> phil :)
>
Well, actually Phil, things have been pretty good overall in our business.
The slowing economy has had some effect flattening out sales, but 2001 was
as good as 2000. Cell phone sales are up, satellite sales down, computer
sales almost non-existent but computer accessories are doing well. I have
been thinking about carrying more amateur radio related items but have held
off for the last five years in respect to my former employers at R & L
Electronics, they are only 40 minutes drive away. Try my website at
http://www.rsdealer.com/b621-techsonics/
73's de jesse N8XC

1531. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 04:04:05 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <a32ijl$mm2$3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 53199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.cb Jesse Walker <techsonics@earthlink.net> wrote:

> repair center, etc etc etc. So next time you start painting all of us with
> your broad brush, remember that there are some of us that do have answers,
> care about our customers, and are extremely proud of Radio Shack as a whole.

Hi, Jesse.

I am glad that you insist on such well qualified employees at your store.
You are to be commended for that. By the way, being a junior or senior in
electrical engineering does not mean that the person knows anything at all
about practical electronics.

But your store is a rarity. In almost every instance where I have overheard
someone asking a question - they get an answer. The problem is that the
answer is often totally wrong or incomplete. I don't think I have ever heard
a RS employee answer with "I don't know."

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1532. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 04:46:58 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a33f3bmak6@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 53214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020127205146.291$Xv@newsreader.com...
> "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
> >>
> > "COTSE seemed to have the laughable notion that what Wiseman was doing
> > was exercising freedom of speech. Slander is of course not protected
> > speech in the US, but the concept that even free speech has its limits
> > seemed to be impossible for Steve Gielda -- COTSE's owner -- to grasp."
> >
> Man,COTSE has been a trolls den from the get go. They've finally started
> listening to their abuse dept. They were facing a UDP,that gets attention.
>
> --
> ~Moparholic~

Really? That's not what I heard.

1533. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 04:53:00 UTC
From: jim menning <jmenning@new.rr.com>
Message-ID: <MO458.40188$ax5.1193928@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 53201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:a32ijl$mm2$3@quark.scn.rain.com...

> But your store is a rarity. In almost every instance
where I have overheard
> someone asking a question - they get an answer. The
problem is that the
> answer is often totally wrong or incomplete. I don't
think I have ever heard
> a RS employee answer with "I don't know."
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

While shopping in Kenosha, WI two weeks ago, my brother and I stopped at the local Radio-Shack to pick up a ground-loop isolator to solve a hum problem with his turntable. Not spotting it right away, when a clerk walked up to us we asked about it. He responded by asking what application we had planned it for, described the problem, and he confirmed our diagnosis. He then told us that the R-S isolator was pretty much "junk", and said it may not solve the problem, but to go ahead and try it, as it can always be returned. I asked a couple of other questions about where in the store certain parts were to be found, and he knew immediately where each one was. To say the least, I was impressed and remarked to my brother what a rare find this clerk was. Back home in the Fox Valley of Wisconsin, I find most R-S employees totally clueless---a trait they share with their managers. This is probably one of the reasons most R-S stores have such incompetent employees: No employer wants employees that are smarter than they are.

So we have to look at all R-S stores carefully. Find those stores with employees that know their products, and patronize them. Don't shop at the R-S stores that are run by clowns. Maybe the trend will become that the knowledgeable stores will rise above the rest, and the R-S chain will learn from it. But then again, most customers are also clueless (Why else would they be asking R-S employees for answers?) and will make almost

any R-S store profitable.

(Special message to R-S Corporate management that may be following these threads: Don't punish the Kenosha employee for telling us one of your products is "junk". You all know you sell some great products as well as some junk. The Kenosha employees' honesty was greatly appreciated.)

Jim Menning

1534. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 04:57:01 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u59n6aa71ptee3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks for the link. It's been a while since I had visited the site, and
wasn't aware that there had been some new detector detectors developed...

PH

"Justin" <jsinclair001@excite.nospam4me.com> wrote in message
news:tSZ48.5686$S67.342406@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> In article <u4j4fl8el7122e@corp.supernews.com>, Power_House@PrivateBox.NET
> says...
> >
> >Apparently they aren't that up with the times... Even the cheapest radar
> >detectors these days are VG-2 (radar detector detector) undetectable. I
> >know of no modern radar detector detector that can detect a VG-2
> >undetectable radar detector... Damn that's a lot of detecting! I think
> >they need to come up with a little better strategy for busting the
violating
> >commercial carriers than that...
>
> According to http://www.speedzones.com/whatsnew.html
>
> they have new "detectors".
>

1535. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 05:17:24 UTC
From: AstroMan <AstroMan@dont.bug.me.with.spam.nyet>
Message-ID: <u59nnl2782n15f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And what does your daughter look like? How about posting a pic?

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
news:u58i89m34sto13@corp.supernews.com...
> Jesse:
>
> > Any other questions?
>
> actually... how is it owning a RS franchise? has business been getting
> better or worse? have you noticed a change in your types of customers?
what
> is the most sold electronics components at your store? have you sold more
> radios since 9/11? any items in your store you do real well on if you
sell?
> has corporate RS been helpful to you?
>
> curious,
> phil :)
>
>
>

1536. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 06:18:40 UTC
From: Thomas Friedman <Thomas_Friedman@optonline.com>
Message-ID: <43658.99471$6L5.16158604@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"headkase" <woggurl@optus/spamtrap/net.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c546344$0$1481$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
>
> "Marjorie Knoller" <Marjorie_Knoller@sybil.brand.org
>
> wrote

Well, clearly you don't know her name. Marjorie Knoller is on trial for allowing her dog to eat a lesbian in San Francisco. She also stands accused, though not in court, of having sex with the dog. Further, though Marjorie is a Jew, or to quote her attorney "a nice Jewish girl from Brooklyn", she has adopted as her son a high level member of the Aryan Brotherhood prison gang currently doing a near life term in Pelican Bay.

1537. Re: pro 2006 service manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 06:46:51 UTC
From: John <fltsatcom@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c54f41c_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 53204

http://yourpage.blazenet.net/pro2006/
"William Ferguson" <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:u59an29kblpg2e@corp.supernews.com...
> Is the pro2006 service manual availble on the internet in .pdf or
something
> like that?
>
>
>
>

1538. Re: WTB: Icom TV-R7100 Television Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 06:47:47 UTC
From: John <fltsatcom@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c54f452_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 53205

I disagree as I use mine every night with great results !
"Mike" <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:3C54C42D.3030303@pacbell.net...
> Hi Marcus,
> The TV video demodulators for the 7000 & 7100 are the worst I have ever
> used. They have very noisy video even with a signal strong enough to
> lite up a light bulb. I hope you experience one before buying.
>

1539. Re: pro 2006 service manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 07:28:06 UTC
From: Do illigaf <dilligafdude@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a32k70$s9s$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53200

Should be on the Radio Shack page

1540. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 07:57:33 UTC
From: "j.botham" <j.botham@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <pu758.39583$ka7.6494592@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 53206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> Learn a little netiquette...

Teach me..........

1541. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 07:58:25 UTC
From: "j.botham" <j.botham@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <av758.39584$ka7.6494949@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 53207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

asswipe

1542. Re: Icom TV-R7100 Television Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 09:53:45 UTC
From: Bob <onapplicationonly@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a33702$r6p$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 53208

Where abouts are you (North West?) and what sort of money are they worth?

I love mine (7000) but no longer have a real need for it.

Bob (Brighton)

"Marcus" <marcus@northwestradio.com> wrote in message
news:3c544bef.143332095@news.blarg.net...
> Would also consider the TV-R7000. Please email if you can help.
> Thanks.
>
> marcus@northwestradio.com

1543. Re: Is there a decent Cordless phone out there? (900mhz vs 2.4 ghz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 09:55:20 UTC
From: Bob <onapplicationonly@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a33730$7l7$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 53209

Do we have DSS in the UK or are these DECT phones different.
I.E. can you listen in on a DECT phone?

1544. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 10:13:16 UTC
From: AV <av@sover.net>
Message-ID: <3C55243C.552A@sover.net>
Source record: 53245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message news:3C548CB3.ADA@sover.net...
>
> Will you clowns never read the newsgroups this is sent to and realize you
> have been had and no one cares?

No one cared in 20's or 30's Germany either.

Sometimes spam is just spam, but whomever wrote this, whether or not it is in fact Marjorie Knoller (judging from the clear email address it very well could be), certainly, in the very least, did just enough research to outwit the uninformed. There are lunatics and then there are lunatics. It is the "smart" lunatics that you have to watch out for.

I care far less as to what newsgroups this has been sent to than its illegitimate content.

av

1545. small mobile VHF/UHF receiving antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 10:51:44 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <steinman@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <u5aba1hq0qra47@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53210
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks to all who responded to my query on how to mount rigs in my Explorer.

I'm searching around for a mobile scanner antenna. I was wondering if I could get some opinions/feedback on scanner antennae. I tried to list considerations/requirements to minimize questions :)

Primary considerations are (in order):
1. Size: Short! (14in/35cm max), for roof-mounting. I have 14in of clearance at my garage door opening.
2. Mounting: it needs to be permanently mounted (i.e. cable feed thru hole in roof) to vehicle ('97 Ford Explorer XLT)
3. Flexible: The whip needs able tolerate bending a bit, for those infrequent parking garages visits,etc
4. Not really interested in trunk or mirror mounted antennae

Primary bands of interest would be (in order):
1. VHF Hi ~155Mhz
2. UHF ~460Mhz & ~860Mhz
3. VHF-Lo (~44Mhz) band is also of interest but I realize the small size consideration pretty much nullifies any good performance here

I realize that the bigger the antenna (generally) the better it will perform. However, I'm primarily looking for *low profile* solution and can readily accept an antennna that doesn't have optimum gain. What I'm hoping to find is something that performs better than the rubber duck antenna in inside of the car (yuk). I have mag-mount antennae that I can whip out if I need the gain, however mounting/unmounting gets very old doing it every single day.

Some potential solutions I've thought about:

1. 2m/440 or 440 Ham antenna - any model # suggestions? Comet B-10 http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/hamantm/3918.html ?
I've only used basic magmounts here in the past for ham activities. A drawback here would be that I plan to have a 2m/440 transmitter in the vehicle, and would be wary of permanently destroying the scanner's front end with 25w of RF by using a resonant receiving antenna!?!?

2. AntennaPlus Mobile Antennas http://www.antennaplus.com/
Some interesting low profile antennae here. Only designed for 220Mhz and UHF though.

3. Splitter for existing FM Broadcast band antenna. Something like http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanants/4534.html
Anyone had inter-radio interference with this solution? What IFs do typical factory AM/FM radios use anyways? I plan to use a triple-conversion

receiver, probably a 780XLT,2006,etc.

Comments or suggestions on anything above?

Brad Steinman N8ZRP
steinman@speakeasy.net
For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu

1546. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 11:45:40 UTC
From: Jesse Walker <techsonics@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ERa58.923$By6.155203@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"AstroMan" <AstroMan@dont.bug.me.with.spam.nyet> wrote in message
news:u59nnl2782n15f@corp.supernews.com...
> And what does your daughter look like? How about posting a pic?
>
Is that "Astro" as in the Jetson's dog?

1547. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 12:14:37 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a33ig4$2gl$0@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 53216
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jesse Walker" <techsonics@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ERa58.923$By6.155203@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "AstroMan" <AstroMan@dont.bug.me.with.spam.nyet> wrote in message
> news:u59nnl2782n15f@corp.supernews.com...
> > And what does your daughter look like? How about posting a pic?
> >
> Is that "Astro" as in the Jetson's dog?

"Rhuh Roah!" LOL!!!!!

1548. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 12:30:11 UTC
From: binx@wanadoo.fr
Message-ID: <75b8bd42.0201280430.22dc6d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Marjorie Knoller is a crazed neo-nazi in prison in California. Check her history on:

http://dailynews.yahoo.com/h/nm/20020123/ts/crime_dogs_dc_1.html

1549. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 13:17:12 UTC
From: AV <av@sover.net>
Message-ID: <3C554F58.280C@sover.net>
Source record: 53152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

```
Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message news:3C55243C.552A@sover.net...
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > >
> > > "AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message news:3C548CB3.ADA@sover.net...
> > >
> > > Will you clowns never read the newsgroups this is sent to and realize
> you
> > > have been had and no one cares?
> >
> > No one cared in 20's or 30's Germany either.
> >
> > Sometimes spam is just spam, but whomever wrote this, whether or not it
> > is in fact Marjorie Knoller (judging from the clear email address it
> > very well could be), certainly, in the very least, did just enough
> > research to outwit the uninformed. There are lunatics and then there are
> > lunatics. It is the "smart" lunatics that you have to watch out for.
> >
> > I care far less as to what newsgroups this has been sent to than its
> > illegitimate content.
> >
> > av
> >
>
> And there are largemouth bass waiting to be hooked.
>
> With your Enforcer approach, do yourself a favor -- don't play poker.

My sincere apologies that my posts and concerns offend you so much. I
will next time ask your permission to make any posts.

av
```

1550. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 13:39:17 UTC
From: rsdalton1 <rsdalton1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9wc58.1027$By6.174610@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It is getting harder to find local sources,RadioShack is now more of an
appliance store and fewer components,don't even ask about doublegang
capacitors
anymore:-) Do they still sell electrolytics?
"David Anderson" <anderd@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:g8L48.4163$0x4.18379@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Is radio Shack the only electronics store in town? I dont know about
you,
> but i can buy hand fulls of damn near any resistor, cap, diode, choke, etc
> from one of at least 2 electronics stores that sell parts here in town.
If
> nothing else, order them from offline lol.. i know, its rediculas to order
2
> resistors and pay 4 or 5 bucks in S&H, but its amazed me how many people
> actually are bent over this. Well they've discontinued a number of small
> things i've bought tons of over the years that i recently couldnt find any
> of. What the hell happened to their cigarette adaptore ends that you
wired
> yourself? Thats only one of about 6 things hehe.. anyway i can somewhat
> share the frustration, but i've bought 99.98% of all my parts from other
> sources, hell even a CB shop at the truck stop was a source way back in
the
> day lol.
>
> David
>
> "phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
> news:u56v9a8q9vqu49@corp.supernews.com...
> > Chris:
> >
> > hey, don't patronize us... i want my germanium diodes back! where are
> they?
> > i want the whole drawer full... you can never have enough of 'em.
> >
> > phil :p
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1551. AOR DA3000 Wideband Discone Good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 14:33:36 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0201280633.161d8ea0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I want an outdoor antenna for my BC780XLT. Grove has the AOR DA3000 Wideband Discone for $130. Is there anything better in this price range?

I'm in an office building so I'll have a cable run of about 125 feet. I use Beldin 9913 for all my HF stuff. Can I use this cable for the scanner too or is there something better I should get?

Thanks,
John

1552. Re: AOR AR-2002 Scanner Manual HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 14:44:11 UTC
From: Richard Hillier <richard@aoruk.com>
Message-ID: <a33olc$q8g$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 53219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I am looking for the manual for the AOR AR-2002 Communications
> Receiver (Scanner)
> Can I download it from the Internet PDF file etc....?
>
> Anyone have a copy they can scan? and send me???
> Photo copy??
>
> Ron
> rbouder@dejazzd.com

You can download a PDF version (373kb) from:

http://www.aoruk.com/pdf/ar2002m.pdf

Also a quick reference sheet in PDF format (69kb):

http://www.aoruk.com/pdf/20012.pdf

For information regarding computer control etc, have a look at the technical support page for the AR2002:

http://www.aoruk.com/2002bull.htm

Regards, Richard - AOR UK

1553. Railroad freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 15:00:44 UTC
From: wb5cew@webtv.net
Message-ID: <86753bd0.0201280700.72f9949f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53220

Can anyone give me frequencies for railroads operating in the Vicksburg/Jackson Mississippi area. Thanks.

1554. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 15:22:41 UTC
From: Ricky <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <a33qc0$k8j$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53230

"Ricky" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
news:a2ung1fve1@helle.btinternet.com...
> Well the police are same the world over I imagine...God bless 'em, but
> they're not the brightest buttons in the box... :)
>
>

Wow, I didn't mean to open a can of worms here. My apologies. :)

1555. FS: AOR AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 15:37:20 UTC
From: Soozin <soozin@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <Qee58.20891$F01.1378156@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 53221

I decided to sell several of my scanners, one of which is the AR8000. I
have the box and all accessories and manual and it is in like new condition.
I'm asking $350.00 or best reasonable offer (plus shipping from NJ).

Email me at soozin@ptd.net

Thank you,
Susan

1556. What to buy for 780XLT: ScanCat Gold or Win Scan 780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 16:11:01 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0201280811.3a42659e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What's the best software to buy for the scanner? I want to use the software to program the scanner and it would be really cool if I could record audio files too.

I already have the ScanCat SE Upgrade (I don't have the base Scan Cat software though). So I need to either buy WinScan780 or ScanCat Gold. What should I do?

Thanks,
John

1557. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 17:15:06 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uGf58.6693$Ab1.436700@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message news:3C548CB3.ADA@sover.net...

Will you clowns never read the newsgroups this is sent to and realize you have been had and no one cares?

1558. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 17:22:31 UTC
From: bliben <gumby4615dontspam@aol.com>
Message-ID: <rNf58.1326$By6.224840@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53224
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The name is "Radio Shack"....RADIO SHACK! It originally was a store for hobbyists and radio amateurs.
Now you walk in and ask a simple radio-related question and they look at you like you are from outer space.
Obviously thier customer demographics have changed over the years and they had to change to remain financially viable, but they need to change thier name now, as they no longer serve the people who have spent so much time in those little structures they use as thier name.

How about changing it from "Radio Shack" to "Useless Crap"?

Bliben

1559. Re: Fairhaven RD500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 17:41:54 UTC
From: Dave@devans.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <3c558c8c.36863587@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 53225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

Another option is to get a rig like say, an IC706 and some control
s/ware off the internet, and link to pc via the CI-V interface.
Probably a better rx, certainly better with an extra filter added.
Plus you can tx if u want.

<added to hopefully avoid 99% of stupid follow ups: Yes we all know
M3s can't use 10M ok? >

Dave

On Sun, 27 Jan 2002 16:05:08 -0000, "Vince" <radhamuk@yahoo.co.uk>
wrote:

>Anyone have views of this radio? Good, Bad or In-different.
>
>Thanks...Vince
>
>

1560. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 17:47:43 UTC
From: Michael Smith <wsmith7@satx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <39g58.30040$Ar5.1539313@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 53226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You can always go to the FCC web site and look under who is assigned the
freq.
"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on a
> particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
>
> I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be able
> to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all their
> frequencies.
>
> I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
>
> Thanks!
> John

1561. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 17:57:37 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C559111.5E5DEC35@USA.net>
Source record: 53227

Yes I am and yes it is.

Dan Conti wrote:

> > This thread was interesting until I got tired of reading through the
> various
> > paragraphs to find the responses.
> >
> > FACT about COPS: If you haven't been there you wouldn't understand.
> >
> > Interesting thread although it was totally OFF the subject topic.
> >
> > jr, RETIRED Law Enforcement (30 years)
> >
> Jr...
>
> Glad to see you are enjoying your retirement after 30 years.
>
> Sorry if this got out of hand, but I simply had to jump in, futile as it
> was.
>
> Dan

1562. Re:Marjorie re: Albert Einstein:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 18:00:46 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C5591CE.96022571@USA.net>
Source record: 53228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

This bull crap doesn't belong in a scanner radio group.

And the groups you sent it to I wouldn't be surprised if you received the same information from other groups.

Get a life. Get laid.

Marjorie Knoller wrote:

> .....
>
> Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth

1563. Re: Re:Marjorie re: Albert Einstein:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 18:07:00 UTC
From: Cybervision XP <cybervisionbritney@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7rg58.42224$TI.502005@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 53229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

<jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C5591CE.96022571@USA.net...
> This bull crap doesn't belong in a scanner radio group.
>

I did the Bill Cheek mod to my Pro-2006, now it have 6400 channels.

> And the groups you sent it to I wouldn't be surprised if you received the
> same information from other groups.
>
> Get a life. Get laid.
>
> Marjorie Knoller wrote:
>
> > ....
> >
> > Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth
>

to bad Albert Einstein didn't live long enough to play with scanner radios
but Albert Einstein would of liked Britney Spears for sure

1564. Re: Re:Marjorie re: Albert Einstein:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 18:13:55 UTC
From: Das Rat <lordrat@SQUEEKratidol.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91A4681AEC2F4monkeyvsrobot@207.217.77.21>
Source record: 53231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Cybervision XP" <cybervisionbritney@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:7rg58.42224$Tl.502005@news.easynews.com:

>
> <jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C5591CE.96022571@USA.net...
>> This bull crap doesn't belong in a scanner radio group.
>>
>
> I did the Bill Cheek mod to my Pro-2006, now it have 6400 channels.
>
>> And the groups you sent it to I wouldn't be surprised if you received
>> the same information from other groups.
>>
>> Get a life. Get laid.
>>
>> Marjorie Knoller wrote:
>>
>> > ....
>> >
>> > Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth
>>
>
> to bad Albert Einstein didn't live long enough to play with scanner
> radios but Albert Einstein would of liked Britney Spears for sure
>
>

Britney Spears is smarter than Einstein.

--

I was going to commit seppuku but I accidentally committed bukkake.

http://ratidol.com

1565. BC-780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 18:50:47 UTC
From: Bill <lowline909@REMOVEyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u5b7cfcbkicm51@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53232

I'm using ARC-780 software with my BC780. I was wondering what the PL/DPL settings were used for? I'm really impressed with my new toy. It reminds me of my first puter and I'm almost as lost. One of my goals is to know if it's not working properly or if it's just me :).....TIA, Bill

1566. ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 19:28:10 UTC
From: satan777us@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c55a588.6931176@news.sunflower.com>
Source record: 53233

Am I missing something here? For instance: You can get a NEW Pro-93 form Radio Shack for $170. Some the the older used scanners(non-cell) capable are selling for close to that price without shipping. I was thinking of purchasing a new Pro-93 at the $170 price, can someone tell me if I'm missing something about these scanners I don't understand before taking the plunge?

Sincerely,
Satan

1567. Re: small mobile VHF/UHF receiving antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 19:55:08 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0201281155.37b92fd6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53234
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Have you thought about a "thru-the-glass" scanner antenna? Radio
Shack sells one. Others are made too.

"Brad Steinman" <steinman@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:<u5aba1hq0qra47@corp.supernews.com>...
> Thanks to all who responded to my query on how to mount rigs in my Explorer.
>
> I'm searching around for a mobile scanner antenna. I was wondering if I
> could get some opinions/feedback on scanner antennae. I tried to list
> considerations/requirements to minimize questions :)
>
> Primary considerations are (in order):
> 1. Size: Short! (14in/35cm max), for roof-mounting. I have 14in of
> clearance at my garage door opening.
> 2. Mounting: it needs to be permanently mounted (i.e. cable feed thru hole
> in roof) to vehicle ('97 Ford Explorer XLT)
> 3. Flexible: The whip needs able tolerate bending a bit, for those
> infrequent parking garages visits,etc
> 4. Not really interested in trunk or mirror mounted antennae
>
> Primary bands of interest would be (in order):
> 1. VHF Hi ~155Mhz
> 2. UHF ~460Mhz & ~860Mhz
> 3. VHF-Lo (~44Mhz) band is also of interest but I realize the small size
> consideration pretty much nullifies any good performance here
>
> I realize that the bigger the antenna (generally) the better it will
> perform. However, I'm primarily looking for *low profile* solution and can
> readily accept an antennna that doesn't have optimum gain. What I'm hoping
> to find is something that performs better than the rubber duck antenna in
> inside of the car (yuk). I have mag-mount antennae that I can whip out if I
> need the gain, however mounting/unmounting gets very old doing it every
> single day.
>
> Some potential solutions I've thought about:
>
> 1. 2m/440 or 440 Ham antenna - any model # suggestions? Comet B-10
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/hamantm/3918.html ?
> I've only used basic magmounts here in the past for ham activities. A
> drawback here would be that I plan to have a 2m/440 transmitter in the
> vehicle, and would be wary of permanently destroying the scanner's front end
> with 25w of RF by using a resonant receiving antenna!?!?
>

> 2. AntennaPlus Mobile Antennas http://www.antennaplus.com/
> Some interesting low profile antennae here. Only designed for 220Mhz and UHF
> though.
>
> 3. Splitter for existing FM Broadcast band antenna. Something like
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanants/4534.html
> Anyone had inter-radio interference with this solution? What IFs do typical
> factory AM/FM radios use anyways? I plan to use a triple-conversion
> receiver, probably a 780XLT,2006,etc.
>
> Comments or suggestions on anything above?
>
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> steinman@speakeasy.net
> For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu

1568. FOR SALE: SCANNER RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 20:00:15 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020128150015.25935.00000907@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 53235

hello,
i have for sale:

1. uniden bearcat 235xlt trunktracker handheld. unit is in like brand new condition, comes with (2) 850 ma/h batteries, charging stand, ant, original box, and all documentation also included is a bearcat real leather case thats made for the unit. $110.00

2. sony icf-sc1pc 25-1300mhz computer controlled handheld. this is the sony scanner everybody wants!!! like brand new condition. with box and documentation and everything else it came with new
$145.00

these both are non-smoker
need to sell fast. lost my job and need the money.
please e-mail me @ bobar8@aol.com
or call 561-434-7994
thanks

1569. Re: What to buy for 780XLT: ScanCat Gold or Win Scan 780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 20:08:20 UTC
From: Avraam <delphi657645@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c55ac26$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 53236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,
If you want to buy then ARC780 from http://www.butel.nl/ is the best.
If you want one free, then try mine:
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt

-avraam
http://www.barghis.com
news://209.95.36.131/afs780

"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0201280811.3a42659e@posting.google.com...
> What's the best software to buy for the scanner? I want to use the
> software to program the scanner and it would be really cool if I could
> record audio files too.
>
> I already have the ScanCat SE Upgrade (I don't have the base Scan Cat
> software though). So I need to either buy WinScan780 or ScanCat Gold.
> What should I do?
>
> Thanks,
> John

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1570. FA Bearcat 855XLT 800 mzh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 20:09:48 UTC
From: vic <vaf@nt.net>
Message-ID: <6fi58.3581$9y2.1038487@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 53237

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/60786475

vic

1571. Re: BC-780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 20:29:06 UTC
From: Michael Smith <wsmith7@satx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mwi58.30245$Ar5.1553826@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 53239

I think that's the same a encode/decode?
"Bill" <lowline909@REMOVEyahoo.com> wrote in message
news:u5b7cfcbkicm51@corp.supernews.com...
>
> I'm using ARC-780 software with my BC780. I was wondering what the PL/DPL
> settings were used for? I'm really impressed with my new toy. It reminds
me
> of my first puter and I'm almost as lost. One of my goals is to know if
it's
> not working properly or if it's just me :).....TIA, Bill
>
>

1572. Re: Icom R2 For $130 New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 20:30:16 UTC
From: Brian <res05h0x@gte.net>
Message-ID: <sxi58.946$ru5.489335@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net>
Source record: 53238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That's a GRET deal on a GREAT little scanner! I started running mine in the car with the CD-Cassette adapter plugged in to it, and it performs superbly!
Brian

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Voy48.3166$n46.741902@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Well, Burghardt's again has the R2 for $129.95. Great price!
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> http://www.burghardt-amateur.com/Burghardt/HTML/main_frame.html
>
>
>

1573. Re: Icom R2 Just A Toy? - Why so Cheap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 20:36:47 UTC
From: Brian <res05h0x@gte.net>
Message-ID: <zDi58.975$ru5.497160@paloalto-snr2.gtei.net>
Source record: 53240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Wow, your handle just took me back a lotta years! Can one still get Heath Kit radios? I haven't heard of thos in 20 years...

ANYway, I was responding to someone ELSE saying the R2 is so cheap, and that made them think maybe it wasn't a "serious" scanner. I didn't see anyone talking about FIFTY bucks, but it seems the R2 can be gotten for $130 - pretty cheap.

Brian
"Heath Kitt" <hkitt@suckupon.com> wrote in message
news:3C4F5091.D127A365@suckupon.com...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Bill, I agree with - I guess - everyone else here - the R2 is definately
NOT
> > a toy. What nobody has addressed, though, is *why* it's so cheap!
>
> Just did a quick search, found it listed between $179 and $159. That's
not
> cheap. Where are you finding it for under $50????
>
>
>

1574. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 20:53:58 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a34erblca0@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 53241
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Stagger TexASS TURD' Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:a346uc$r87$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> On Sun, 27 Jan 2002 23:46:58 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
> :
> : <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> : news:20020127205146.291$Xv@newsreader.com...
> : > "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
> : > >>
> : > > "COTSE seemed to have the laughable notion that what Wiseman was
doing
> : > > was exercising freedom of speech. Slander is of course not
protected
> : > > speech in the US, but the concept that even free speech has its
limits
> : > > seemed to be impossible for Steve Gielda -- COTSE's owner -- to
grasp."
> : > >
> : > Man,COTSE has been a trolls den from the get go. They've finally
started
> : > listening to their abuse dept. They were facing a UDP,that gets
attention.
> : >
> : > --
> : > ~Moparholic~
> :
> : Really? That's not what I heard.
>
> That's [Babbling incoherently]

Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."

From: "Stephen K. Gielda" <steve@cotse.com>
Reply-To: "Stephen K. Gielda" <steve@cotse.com>
Subject: Threading
To: kc8jbo@cotse.com

"I saw a message posted to 2600 by that stagger lee idiot."

1575. Re: small mobile VHF/UHF receiving antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 21:33:07 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <AF9D98C6B3D31362.D438807B2847C96B.B7D191A9C4C125E8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 53242
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 28 Jan 2002 05:51:44 -0500, "Brad Steinman"
<steinman@speakeasy.net> wrote:

>Primary considerations are (in order):
>1. Size: Short! (14in/35cm max), for roof-mounting. I have 14in of
>clearance at my garage door opening.
>2. Mounting: it needs to be permanently mounted (i.e. cable feed thru hole
>in roof) to vehicle ('97 Ford Explorer XLT)
>3. Flexible: The whip needs able tolerate bending a bit, for those
>infrequent parking garages visits,etc
>4. Not really interested in trunk or mirror mounted antennae

Diamond NR72B; dual-band, 13.75" overall, NMO mount, and outperforms
quite a few taller antennas.

Not cheap, but well worth it. I normally use an MFJ-1412, (41" with
the NMO adapter) but carry the Diamond as a quite acceptable in-town
substitute when I know I'll be using parking garages, drive-thru
windows, etc.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

1576. Re: Realistic Pro-37 manual for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 21:52:56 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <YKj58.2234$KA4.809250@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53244

Well, there's my answer!

--

_____
"andy" <inthelead234@mickle.net> wrote in message
news:prK48.353$Ub7.13402@eagle.america.net...
> A few of the manuals are pdf format exact reprints of the manual, but I
> can't figure out how to print them without the little targets all around
> them in the huge margins on each page. Most of the online information are
> NOT the original and not as good, although they will certainly get you by. I
> was amazed how much more stuff was in the original manuals once I got the
> real thing.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message
> news:HUd38.25892$zX1.14866323@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> > Seeing as how you can get the manual for free on the RS website, why would
> anyone want to buy one?
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> > _____
> > "dholloway" <dholloway@futura.net> wrote in message
> news:YP538.85$s7.59365@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > I don't need the manual anymore, some piece of scum STOLE my Pro-37. $4
> + $1
> > > shipping.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1577. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 22:32:05 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Fjk58.7561$mU4.469099@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message news:3C55243C.552A@sover.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message news:3C548CB3.ADA@sover.net...
> >
> > Will you clowns never read the newsgroups this is sent to and realize
you
> > have been had and no one cares?
>
> No one cared in 20's or 30's Germany either.
>
> Sometimes spam is just spam, but whomever wrote this, whether or not it
> is in fact Marjorie Knoller (judging from the clear email address it
> very well could be), certainly, in the very least, did just enough
> research to outwit the uninformed. There are lunatics and then there are
> lunatics. It is the "smart" lunatics that you have to watch out for.
>
> I care far less as to what newsgroups this has been sent to than its
> illegitimate content.
>
> av
>

And there are largemouth bass waiting to be hooked.

With your Enforcer approach, do yourself a favor -- don't play poker.

1578. Price for Pro 74

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 22:36:51 UTC
From: Robert Clark <clarkro@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <7ok58.332$Wn.6822@news2>
Source record: 53147

Hi, Can anyone tell me what a fair price is for a Pro 74 scanner???

Thanks, BoB.

1579. RS Pro-7b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 22:52:39 UTC
From: Howard Kowall <hkowall@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <XCk58.524$gb.39196@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53148

does any one know if the pro 7b can be converted to pro 7a
thanx
howard

hkowall@shaw.ca

1580. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 23:11:45 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <RUk58.12712$n46.2929333@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 53149

<jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C53F817.C9720075@USA.net...
> This thread was interesting until I got tired of reading through the
various
> paragraphs to find the responses.
>
> FACT about COPS: If you haven't been there you wouldn't understand.

I've been there. Most of the cops I've known are criminals and have no idea
what truth means!
DeWayne KE9K

>
> Interesting thread although it was totally OFF the subject topic.
>
> jr, RETIRED Law Enforcement (30 years)
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > In article <pvK48.53096$d16.9349617@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>,
> > dconti@nj.rr.com says...
> > >
> > > > > > Well the police are same the world over I imagine...God bless
'em, but
> > > > > > they're not the brightest buttons in the box... :)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Think about it for a minute.....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How bright could a person be that would willingly do the
following:
> > > > >
> > > > > Okay, let's take Tacoma Police and see...
> > > > >
> > > > > > Accept a job where you work around the clock
> > > > >
> > > > > They don't thought there is some overtime. They also get to drive
> > > > > their car home and use it going to their second job.
> > > >
> > > > Exception, not the rule. Are you saying that there are NO cops after
5PM in
> > > > Tacoma?
> > >
> > > Are you saying cops work 24 hour shifts? Of that there are shifts all
> > > hours. Cops aren't the only one Dan. Whine on.
> > >
> > > > > > Every weekend and holiday

> > > >
> > > > Not true in Tacoma. In fact our detectives are 9-5 no weekends.
> > >
> > > What about the Patrol Officers? Oh, I forgot, they close after 5PM
and on
> > > weekends and holidays in Tacoma.
> >
> > You didn't forget anything Dan, you are being an ass because you
> > didn't understand me. Then you add crap. Yeah you are an upright guy
> > Dan.
> >
> > > > > All kinds of weather
> > > >
> > > > Tell that to the plumber. mailman, tow truck driver...well you get
the
> > > > picture.
> > >
> > > Oh please, are you for real, or what.... ?
> > > Tell you what, next time a cop comes to your house at 3 AM, ask him /
her
> > > for that $300.00 bill for the house call.
> >
> > Exception
> >
> > > > > For very little money
> > > >
> > > > Depends where in the country you work.
> > > >
> > > Try NYC cops, for a start.
> >
> > What do they make Dan? Start and top?
> >
> > > > > For absolutely NO support from the people they serve
> > > >
> > > > Yawn.....
> > >
> > > Well, cat got your tognue there, eh?
> >
> > No, bored with the wine. Absolutely NO (in CAPS!) support. YAWN!
> >
> > > > > Criticized at every turn for trying to do their jobs.
> > > >
> > > > Not by all....a vocal minority imho. OTOH some departments have it
> > > > coming and LA is one that comes to mind.
> > >
> > > LA is an anomoly.
> >
> > Isn't anything that contradicts your everybody hates the cops and are
> > out to kill them?
> >

> > > >
> > > > > DAMNED if you do
> > > > > DAMNED if you don't
> > > > >
> > > > > sometimes
> > > >
> > > > Always
> > >
> > Always? Yawn.
> >
> > > > >
> > > > > > Shot at
> > > > >
> > > > > so are teachers, bankers and deer
> > > >
> > > > Again, please give me a break
> > >
> > Teachers don't?
> >
> > > > >
> > > > > > Stabbed
> > > > >
> > > > > same
> > > > >
> > > > > > Assaulted
> > > > >
> > > > > same
> > > > >
> > > > > > Verbally abused
> > > > >
> > > > > same and here too!
> > > > >
> > > > > > Run over on the highway
> > > > >
> > > > > Hell, that happened to me at Costco today! Well, almost.
> > > >
> > > > Now you're being silly.
> > >
> > Not to mention highway crews too.
> >
> > > >
> > > > > > And whose job description changes every week with the whims of the
> > > > > > politically correct liberals.....
> > > > >
> > > > > Ahhh yes, those damn liberals! Only thing worse are those right wing
> > > > > conservatives.
> > > >
> > > > Your silliness here reeks of a bleeding heart liberal
> > >
> > You seem to be the one bleeding. Always

> >
> >
> > > > > Only a complete MORON would take that job.
> > > > >
> > > > Are you a cop Dan? :-)
> > > >
> > > Yes I am, and a CERTIFIED moron to boot!
> >
> > That is really sad. Says a lot about a guy so negative about the world
> > carrying a gun. Maybe YOU don't get what you whine about because you
> > don't deserve it. Possibly you should get a job that you don't hate so
> > badly. You certainly can't be very good at what you do if you believe
> > what you are saying here.
> >
> > > > >
> > > > Glenn
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > A good friend told me once,
> > > >
> > > A community that makes an enemy of it's police better become damn good
> > > friends with the criminals!
> >
> > Sounds like another sicko paranoid (cop). Only a jackass would react
> > that way. Oh, he your friend, never mind.
> >
> > > Unless, you have a better plan, of course. If you do, present it ,
and
> > > maybe we can eliminate those damn troublesome police departments, in
favor
> > > of a kinder , gentler, more warm and fuzzy alternative offered up by
Glen
> > > and his ilk.....
> >
> > Alternative I offered? What the hell are you talking about Dan?? I
> > just didn't agree that your perception of the public and its treatment
> > of the police so you start the name calling and crap. Sorry, I did
> > realize you are a expert on police departments throughout the country.
> > Guessed you missed a few or were they just exceptions too?
> >
> > Sorry you hate your job so much Dan. Must be hell!
> >
> > Glenn
>

1581. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-28 23:22:47 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0201281522.be383cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Do you have a URL? I've never had much success navigating the FCC site.

John

"Michael Smith" <wsmith7@satx.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<39g58.30040$Ar5.1539313@typhoon.austin.rr.com>...
> You can always go to the FCC web site and look under who is assigned the
> freq.
> "John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> > Hi,
> >
> > I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on a
> > particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> > that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
> >
> > I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> > transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be able
> > to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all their
> > frequencies.
> >
> > I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> > Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
> >
> > Thanks!
> > John

1582. Re: Railroad freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 00:27:41 UTC
From: OLD SOP <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <J%l58.16927$qN3.164122@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 53151

here ya go:
Channel 7 (160.2150); Channel 8 (160.2300); Channel 9 (160.2450); Channel
10 (160.2600);
Channel 11 (160.2750); Channel 12 (160.2900); Channel 13 (160.3050);
Channel 14 (160.3200);
Channel 15 (160.3350); Channel 16 (160.3500); Channel 17 (160.3650);
Channel 18 (160.3800);
Channel 19 (160.3950); Channel 20 (160.4100); Channel 21 (160.4250);
Channel 22 (160.4400);
Channel 23 (160.4550); Channel 24 (160.4700); Channel 25 (160.4850);
Channel 26 (160.5000);
Channel 27 (160.5150); Channel 28 (160.5300); Channel 29 (160.5450);
Channel 30 (160.5600);
Channel 31 (160.5750); Channel 32 (160.5900); Channel 33 (160.6050);
Channel 34 (160.6200);
Channel 35 (160.6350); Channel 36 (160.6500); Channel 37 (160.6650);
Channel 38 (160.6800);
Channel 39 (160.6950); Channel 40 (160.7100); Channel 41 (160.7250);
Channel 42 (160.7400);
Channel 43 (160.7550); Channel 44 (160.7700); Channel 45 (160.7850);
Channel 46 (160.8000);
Channel 47 (160.8150); Channel 48 (160.8300); Channel 49 (160.8450);
Channel 50 (160.8600);
Channel 51 (160.8750); Channel 52 (160.8900); Channel 53 (160.9050);
Channel 54 (160.9200);
Channel 55 (160.9350); Channel 56 (160.9500); Channel 57 (160.9650);
Channel 58 (160.9800);
Channel 59 (160.9950); Channel 60 (161.0100); Channel 61 (161.0250);
Channel 62 (161.0400);
Channel 63 (161.0550); Channel 64 (161.0700); Channel 65 (161.0850);
Channel 66 (161.1000);
Channel 67 (161.1150); Channel 68 (161.1300); Channel 69 (161.1450);
Channel 70 (161.1600);
Channel 71 (161.1750); Channel 72 (161.1900); Channel 73 (161.2050);
Channel 74 (161.2200);
Channel 75 (161.2350); Channel 76 (161.2500); Channel 77 (161.2650);
Channel 78 (161.2800);
Channel 79 (161.2950); Channel 80 (161.3100); Channel 81 (161.3250);
Channel 82 (161.3400);
Channel 83 (161.3550); Channel 84 (161.3700); Channel 85 (161.3850);
Channel 86 (161.4000);
Channel 87 (161.4150); Channel 88 (161.4300); Channel 89 (161.4450);
Channel 90 (161.4600);
Channel 91 (161.4750); Channel 92 (161.4900); Channel 93 (161.5050);

Channel 94 (161.5200);
Channel 95 (161.5350); Channel 96 (161.5500); Channel 97 (161.5650);
452.9000; 452.9125; 452.9250; 452.9375;
452.9500; 452.9625; 457.9000; 457.9250;
457.9500
Error in preprogrammed Railroad service search - Missing the following
channels:
Channel 2 (159.8100); Channel 3 (159.9300); Channel 4 (160.0500);
Channel 5 (160.1850); Channel 6 (160.2000)

"wb5cew" <wb5cew@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:86753bd0.0201280700.72f9949f@posting.google.com...
> Can anyone give me frequencies for railroads operating in the
> Vicksburg/Jackson Mississippi area. Thanks.

1583. WTB: Simple scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 00:37:12 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a34gn7sca1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53243

I work in public safety and am looking for a simple handheld to carry while on the job. Must be in working condition, capable or alkaline or rechargeable batteries, and cover basic freqs (VHF low and high, 400MHz UHF; 800MHz nice but not needed). The more compact the better. Something along the lines of my PRO26 or 60 in size but I want something CHEAP in case it is lost or damaged. Thanks...

1584. Re: What to buy for 780XLT: ScanCat Gold or Win Scan 780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 01:30:58 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3c55faf7.5923357@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 53153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hey.. i have both.. i like scancat gold better than winscan..780 but
had many problems with BOTH but i have settled on scancat gold..
ALWAYS SAVE WHAT U ARE DOING ON A 3.5 DISK...!!!
gig@i-2000.comOn Mon, 28 Jan 2002 12:08:20 -0800, "Avraam"
<delphi657645@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Hi,
>If you want to buy then ARC780 from http://www.butel.nl/ is the best.
>If you want one free, then try mine:
>http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt
>
>-avraam
>http://www.barghis.com
>news://209.95.36.131/afs780
>
>"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:d89364bd.0201280811.3a42659e@posting.google.com...
>> What's the best software to buy for the scanner? I want to use the
>> software to program the scanner and it would be really cool if I could
>> record audio files too.
>>
>> I already have the ScanCat SE Upgrade (I don't have the base Scan Cat
>> software though). So I need to either buy WinScan780 or ScanCat Gold.
>> What should I do?
>>
>> Thanks,
>> John
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1585. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 01:37:44 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <I1n58.7768$mU4.486750@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message news:3C554F58.280C@sover.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message news:3C55243C.552A@sover.net...
> > > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message
news:3C548CB3.ADA@sover.net...
> > > >
> > > > Will you clowns never read the newsgroups this is sent to and
realize
> > you
> > > > have been had and no one cares?
> > >
> > > No one cared in 20's or 30's Germany either.
> > >
> > > Sometimes spam is just spam, but whomever wrote this, whether or not
it
> > > is in fact Marjorie Knoller (judging from the clear email address it
> > > very well could be), certainly, in the very least, did just enough
> > > research to outwit the uninformed. There are lunatics and then there
are
> > > lunatics. It is the "smart" lunatics that you have to watch out for.
> > >
> > > I care far less as to what newsgroups this has been sent to than its
> > > illegitimate content.
> > >
> > > av
> > >
> >
> > And there are largemouth bass waiting to be hooked.
> >
> > With your Enforcer approach, do yourself a favor -- don't play poker.
>
> My sincere apologies that my posts and concerns offend you so much. I
> will next time ask your permission to make any posts.
>
> av
>

The only thing that offends me is righteous stupidity. Feel free to
indulge to your heart's content.

1586. FA: HB-232/CE-232 Assembled for PRO-2004/5/6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 01:41:46 UTC
From: RC <nospam@me.com>
Message-ID: <u5n58.150630$_w.22834608@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 53155

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1328751559

Any questions, contact me directly.

Thanks,

Robert
spectrum@cfl.rr.com
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/

1587. List of equipment for sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 01:50:24 UTC
From: KC8LYG@Hotvoice.com
Message-ID: <ffb4653e.0201281750.44df4dad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53156

For Sale in Findlay,OH. Receipts, manuals, box. All equipment in excellent condition and used little. Must sell now!

Kenwood 570D HF Transceiver with YK-88SN SSB Filter.Sell for $550 plus shipping.

Kenwood Desk Microphone MC-60A Sell for $70 plus shipping.

Astron 70 amp Power Supply.Sell for $150 plus shipping.

Cushcraft A3S with 40 meter add-on A743 Sell for $200.Also have new RG8 cable.

Yaesu G-450XL Rotor and cable purchased new from HRO 12-13-96 for $305. Sell for $150 plus shipping.

Radio Shack PRO-2042 Scanner purchased new 12-8-96 for $462. Sell for $250 plus shipping.

Thanks,Richard Stacy Garner KC8LYG

1588. Re: ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 02:31:29 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020128213129.06259.00000332@mb-mt.news.cs.com>
Source record: 53157

That's a hell of a name there!

Like PT Barnam said there's a sucker born every day!

1589. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 02:45:56 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jdander@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E1o58.1015$r55.50290@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 53158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0201281522.be383cb@posting.google.com...
> Do you have a URL? I've never had much success navigating the FCC site.
>
> John
>
> "Michael Smith" <wsmith7@satx.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<39g58.30040$Ar5.1539313@typhoon.austin.rr.com>...
> > You can always go to the FCC web site and look under who is assigned the
> > freq.
> > "John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on a
> > > particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> > > that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
> > >
> > > I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> > > transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be able
> > > to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all their
> > > frequencies.
> > >
> > > I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> > > Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > > John

1590. Re: Fairhaven RD500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 02:51:23 UTC
From: blugrn12@aol.com
Message-ID: <59433c0a.0201281851.44b83b18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

Dave@devans.demon.co.uk (Dave) wrote in message
news:<3c558c8c.36863587@news.demon.co.uk>...
> Another option is to get a rig like say, an IC706 and some control
> s/ware off the internet, and link to pc via the CI-V interface.
> Probably a better rx, certainly better with an extra filter added.
> Plus you can tx if u want.
>
> <added to hopefully avoid 99% of stupid follow ups: Yes we all know
> M3s can't use 10M ok? >
>
> Dave
>
> On Sun, 27 Jan 2002 16:05:08 -0000, "Vince" <radhamuk@yahoo.co.uk>
> wrote:
>
> >Anyone have views of this radio? Good, Bad or In-different.
> >
> >Thanks...Vince
> >
> >
Dave,

Trying looking at the review by this website on the Fairhaven.
I was impressed.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi

Regards,

Bruce

1591. Can anyone help me choose my next scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 02:55:37 UTC
From: Ricky <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <a352v8$3sm$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53160

What I'm looking for is something with similar features to my Yupi MVT-7100, but with the ability to follow duplex channels (and preferably less problems with internally generated noise, the 7100 has more birdies than an aviary. :)

R

1592. You have questions, they DON'T have answers--it IS RARE if dey do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 03:02:20 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3c5613a2@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 53161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

MOST of the stuff they have there IS JUNK; especially if it is made in RED
CHINA !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"jim menning" <jmenning@new.rr.com> wrote in message
news:MO458.40188$ax5.1193928@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
>
> "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> news:a32ijl$mm2$3@quark.scn.rain.com...
>
> > But your store is a rarity. In almost every instance
> where I have overheard
> > someone asking a question - they get an answer. The
> problem is that the
> > answer is often totally wrong or incomplete. I don't
> think I have ever heard
> > a RS employee answer with "I don't know."
> >
> > --
> > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
> >
>
> While shopping in Kenosha, WI two weeks ago, my brother
> and I stopped at the local Radio-Shack to pick up a
> ground-loop isolator to solve a hum problem with his
> turntable. Not spotting it right away, when a clerk
> walked up to us we asked about it. He responded by
> asking what application we had planned it for,
> described the problem, and he confirmed our diagnosis.
> He then told us that the R-S isolator was pretty much
> "junk", and said it may not solve the problem, but to
> go ahead and try it, as it can always be returned. I
> asked a couple of other questions about where in the
> store certain parts were to be found, and he knew
> immediately where each one was. To say the least, I
> was impressed and remarked to my brother what a rare
> find this clerk was. Back home in the Fox Valley of
> Wisconsin, I find most R-S employees totally
> clueless---a trait they share with their managers.
> This is probably one of the reasons most R-S stores
> have such incompetent employees: No employer wants
> employees that are smarter than they are.
>

> So we have to look at all R-S stores carefully. Find
> those stores with employees that know their products,
> and patronize them. Don't shop at the R-S stores that
> are run by clowns. Maybe the trend will become that
> the knowledgeable stores will rise above the rest, and
> the R-S chain will learn from it. But then again, most
> customers are also clueless (Why else would they be
> asking R-S employees for answers?) and will make almost
> any R-S store profitable.
>
> (Special message to R-S Corporate management that may
> be following these threads: Don't punish the Kenosha
> employee for telling us one of your products is "junk".
> You all know you sell some great products as well as
> some junk. The Kenosha employees' honesty was greatly
> appreciated.)
>
> Jim Menning
>
>

1593. just got a bc 245xlt and I am bummed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 03:21:02 UTC
From: Steve Knight <stevek@knight-toolworks.com>
Message-ID: <035c5u49pjebviof3unr5j7offu1sletg2@4ax.com>
Source record: 53162

After two trips to radioshack for the right connector (I goofed and grabbed
the wrong one) glad I picked up the null modem adaptor just to make sure the
second time. Well get it working and dial out the 1900 and no answer after
several tries.
Well can't do anything about it tonight. I can't find any software that I can
buy and download. any idea's or just wait till I can call tomorrow? any idea's?
if so email me so I can get the answer soon the wife is waiting (G)

--
Knight-Toolworks & Custom Planes
Custom made wooden planes at reasonable prices
See http://www.knight-toolworks.com
For prices and ordering instructions.
To subscribe to my good deals/beta testing/seconds email list send a email to
gooddeal-request@knight-toolworks.com
Subject: subscribe

1594. Re: Railroad freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 03:31:06 UTC
From: Tommy Bevins <bevinst@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <C781F053B085E2B3.D8858A7526BBEFA9.9AFB6FDB319FCC78@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 53163

wb5cew@webtv.net (wb5cew) wrote:

>Can anyone give me frequencies for railroads operating in the
>Vicksburg/Jackson Mississippi area. Thanks.

Two that I've found active in Vicksburg are 160.545 and 161.010.

---
* Tommy Bevins
* bevinst at vicksburg.com
* 76510.1526@compuserve.com

1595. Re: You have questions, they DON'T have answers--it IS RARE if dey do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 03:33:26 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <3C561806.C26B2D62@mindless.com>
Source record: 53164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> MOST of the stuff they have there IS JUNK; especially if it is made in RED
> CHINA !
Actually, CHINA is mostly dirt-colored! Apparently, only
folks stuck in the 1950's are unaware of this. :)

As for quality, what you say used to be true, but
it's less and less so every passing year.

Ross!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "jim menning" <jmenning@new.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:MO458.40188$ax5.1193928@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> >
> > "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> > news:a32ijl$mm2$3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> >
> > > But your store is a rarity. In almost every instance
> > where I have overheard
> > > someone asking a question - they get an answer. The
> > problem is that the
> > > answer is often totally wrong or incomplete. I don't
> > think I have ever heard
> > > a RS employee answer with "I don't know."
> > >
> > > --
> > > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
> > >
> >
> > While shopping in Kenosha, WI two weeks ago, my brother
> > and I stopped at the local Radio-Shack to pick up a
> > ground-loop isolator to solve a hum problem with his
> > turntable. Not spotting it right away, when a clerk
> > walked up to us we asked about it. He responded by
> > asking what application we had planned it for,
> > described the problem, and he confirmed our diagnosis.
> > He then told us that the R-S isolator was pretty much
> > "junk", and said it may not solve the problem, but to
> > go ahead and try it, as it can always be returned. I
> > asked a couple of other questions about where in the
> > store certain parts were to be found, and he knew

> > immediately where each one was. To say the least, I
> > was impressed and remarked to my brother what a rare
> > find this clerk was. Back home in the Fox Valley of
> > Wisconsin, I find most R-S employees totally
> > clueless---a trait they share with their managers.
> > This is probably one of the reasons most R-S stores
> > have such incompetent employees: No employer wants
> > employees that are smarter than they are.
> >
> > So we have to look at all R-S stores carefully. Find
> > those stores with employees that know their products,
> > and patronize them. Don't shop at the R-S stores that
> > are run by clowns. Maybe the trend will become that
> > the knowledgeable stores will rise above the rest, and
> > the R-S chain will learn from it. But then again, most
> > customers are also clueless (Why else would they be
> > asking R-S employees for answers?) and will make almost
> > any R-S store profitable.
> >
> > (Special message to R-S Corporate management that may
> > be following these threads: Don't punish the Kenosha
> > employee for telling us one of your products is "junk".
> > You all know you sell some great products as well as
> > some junk. The Kenosha employees' honesty was greatly
> > appreciated.)
> >
> > Jim Menning
> >
> >

1596. Re: You have questions, they DON'T have answers--it IS RARE if dey do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 03:35:42 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <3C56188C.48001566@mindless.com>
Source record: 53165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> MOST of the stuff they have there IS JUNK; especially if it is made in RED
> CHINA !
Actually, CHINA is mostly dirt-colored! Apparently, only
folks stuck in the 1950's are unaware of this. :)

As for quality, what you say used to be true, but
it's less and less so every passing year.

Ross!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "jim menning" <jmenning@new.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:MO458.40188$ax5.1193928@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> >
> > "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> > news:a32ijl$mm2$3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> >
> > > But your store is a rarity. In almost every instance
> > where I have overheard
> > > someone asking a question - they get an answer. The
> > problem is that the
> > > answer is often totally wrong or incomplete. I don't
> > think I have ever heard
> > > a RS employee answer with "I don't know."
> > >
> > > --
> > > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
> > >
> >
> > While shopping in Kenosha, WI two weeks ago, my brother
> > and I stopped at the local Radio-Shack to pick up a
> > ground-loop isolator to solve a hum problem with his
> > turntable. Not spotting it right away, when a clerk
> > walked up to us we asked about it. He responded by
> > asking what application we had planned it for,
> > described the problem, and he confirmed our diagnosis.
> > He then told us that the R-S isolator was pretty much
> > "junk", and said it may not solve the problem, but to
> > go ahead and try it, as it can always be returned. I
> > asked a couple of other questions about where in the
> > store certain parts were to be found, and he knew

> > immediately where each one was. To say the least, I
> > was impressed and remarked to my brother what a rare
> > find this clerk was. Back home in the Fox Valley of
> > Wisconsin, I find most R-S employees totally
> > clueless---a trait they share with their managers.
> > This is probably one of the reasons most R-S stores
> > have such incompetent employees: No employer wants
> > employees that are smarter than they are.
> >
> > So we have to look at all R-S stores carefully. Find
> > those stores with employees that know their products,
> > and patronize them. Don't shop at the R-S stores that
> > are run by clowns. Maybe the trend will become that
> > the knowledgeable stores will rise above the rest, and
> > the R-S chain will learn from it. But then again, most
> > customers are also clueless (Why else would they be
> > asking R-S employees for answers?) and will make almost
> > any R-S store profitable.
> >
> > (Special message to R-S Corporate management that may
> > be following these threads: Don't punish the Kenosha
> > employee for telling us one of your products is "junk".
> > You all know you sell some great products as well as
> > some junk. The Kenosha employees' honesty was greatly
> > appreciated.)
> >
> > Jim Menning
> >
> >

1597. Re: <**Albert Einstein: A Jewish Myth**>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 03:54:20 UTC
From: Thomas Friedman <Thomas_Friedman@optonline.com>
Message-ID: <M1p58.12200$ff5.1960277@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"binx" <binx@wanadoo.fr> wrote in message
news:75b8bd42.0201280430.22dc6d2@posting.google.com...
> Marjorie Knoller is a crazed neo-nazi in prison in California. Check her history on:

Marjorie Knoller in the words of her attorney is "a nice Jewish girl from Brooklyn."

Her husband Robert converted to Judaism.

"I hope so," said Knoller's attorney, Nedra Ruiz, who added that she disagreed with the judge and said that bail of $1 million is "unnecessary" because of no flight risk. "She's stunned; she feels she deserves release on her own recognizance," Ruiz said about her client's reaction.

At the hearing, Ruiz described Knoller as a "nice Jewish girl from Brooklyn" with ties to the community and no criminal record.

---

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/chronicle/archive/2001/09/01/MN19935.DTL

Woman's bail cut in half in dog case
Knoller no more risk than Noel, judge says

Jaxon Van Derbeken, Chronicle Staff Writer Saturday, September 1, 2001

San Francisco -- In a surprise move, a San Francisco judge cut bail in half yesterday for a woman facing second-degree murder charges in the dog-mauling death of her neighbor.

Superior Court Judge James Warren reduced Marjorie Knoller's bail to $1 million from the $2 million originally set when she was jailed in March along with her husband, Robert Noel.

Warren said that while Knoller may be facing more serious charges than Noel -- who is still being held on $1 million bond on manslaughter charges -- she is no more of a risk to jump bail.

The ruling followed a daylong, often heated hearing in which prosecutors argued that the accused couple had strong ties to the "vile, vicious, murderous" Aryan Brotherhood prison gang. Warren kept details of prosecutors' accusations secret to avoid adding to pretrial publicity surrounding the case.

In arguing against cutting Knoller's bail, the prosecution also said that the couple are flight risks and that Knoller had done nothing to help Diane Whipple as she lay dying in her Pacific Heights apartment building after the dog attack.

The defense countered that the prosecution was "cruel" for suggesting that Knoller let Whipple die after the Jan. 26 attack by the Presa Canario dog that Noel and Knoller had

been keeping.

The defense stressed that both defendants had an unblemished reputation and strong ties to the community. Far from letting Whipple die, they said, Knoller had acted heroically.

In the end, Warren acknowledged prosecution arguments about the risks involved but ordered the bail reduced based on his theory of equal flight risk.

Prosecutors had no comment on the ruling, citing Warren's gag order in the case. But a private attorney suing the couple for wrongful death was puzzled by the decision.

"It doesn't make sense. . . . I don't understand it," said Michael Cardoza, who along with his client, Sharon Smith, attended the first part of the hearing.

It is unclear whether Knoller can raise even the reduced bail sum.

"I hope so," said Knoller's attorney, Nedra Ruiz, who added that she disagreed with the judge and said that bail of $1 million is "unnecessary" because of no flight risk.

"She's stunned; she feels she deserves release on her own recognizance," Ruiz said about her client's reaction.

At the hearing, Ruiz described Knoller as a "nice Jewish girl from Brooklyn" with ties to the community and no criminal record.

"There's nothing in this evidence to show she was anything but brave in the face of a tragedy that would strike most people speechless, that might dement a person," Ruiz told Warren.

Later she said Knoller "put her body on (Whipple) to protect her from this crazed, damned dog."

Prosecutor James Hammer bristled at the suggestion that Knoller valiantly fought to protect Whipple's life.

"If Marjorie Knoller's such a hero, why didn't she give first aid to Diane Whipple while she was dying?" Hammer said. "If Marjorie Knoller's such a hero, why didn't she call 911? And if Marjorie Knoller's such a hero, why did she go on national television and blame Diane Whipple for her own death?"

When he again stressed Knoller's failure to help Whipple, Knoller shouted, "I did too, you son of a bitch!" That brought a threat from the judge to remove her from court.

Much of the testimony in the hearing concerned the alleged ties the accused may have to the Aryan Brotherhood, in part through a Pelican Bay State Prison inmate they adopted.

The judge appeared to agree with the prosecution that there was cause for concern, saying, "The court does find that Aryan Brotherhood is a danger, that people are in danger and that witnesses in this case are in danger. . . . The Aryan Brotherhood is potentially a resource of some value to the defense."

He also noted prosecutors' argument on the couple's alleged flight risk. Prosecutors pointed out that the couple drove at high speeds while being followed by police after testifying before a grand jury that would later indict them.

That was not enough, however, for Warren to order Knoller's bail kept at $2 million.

1598. Re: You have questions, they DON'T have answers--it IS RARE if dey do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 04:03:04 UTC
From: " .Your Friend Bill" <none@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3C561EF8.7CCC4090@coqui.net>
Source record: 53167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> MOST of the stuff they have there IS JUNK; especially if it is made in RED
> CHINA !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Yeah. The good American-made electronic stuff is at the store down the
street.
-Bill

1599. FREQUENCY INFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 04:07:56 UTC
From: INFOSPY <infospy@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u5c819i3aa3u4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53168

Is there a site on the web I can get frequencies? Need NE Wisconsin.
Manitowoc county, city and area. Police, fire and med.

Thanks

1600. Re: FREQUENCY INFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 04:17:37 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <of8c5uo6ihr3qst057flm7a2bqg4d5bjjr@4ax.com>
Source record: 53169

On Mon, 28 Jan 2002 22:07:56 -0600, "INFOSPY" <infospy@charter.net>
wrote:

>Is there a site on the web I can get frequencies? Need NE Wisconsin.
>Manitowoc county, city and area. Police, fire and med.
>
>Thanks
>

might want to try these:

http://wisscanner.freeservers.com/Mark/manitowoc.htm

http://my.athenet.net/~dvaneven/scanner/

hope that helps

greg in illinois

1601. Re: Icom TV-R7100 Television Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 04:28:41 UTC
From: marcus@northwestradio.com
Message-ID: <3c562468.4385062@news.blarg.net>
Source record: 53170

Hello Bob. Yes I would be interested. I tried sending you email
but it bounced. Please email me and we can discuss further.
Thanks.

-Marcus

marcus@northwestradio.com

On Mon, 28 Jan 2002 09:53:45 -0000, "Bob"
<onapplicationonly@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Where abouts are you (North West?) and what sort of money are they worth?
>
>I love mine (7000) but no longer have a real need for it.
>
>Bob (Brighton)
>
>"Marcus" <marcus@northwestradio.com> wrote in message
>news:3c544bef.143332095@news.blarg.net...
>> Would also consider the TV-R7000. Please email if you can help.
>> Thanks.
>>
>> marcus@northwestradio.com
>
>

1602. Re: Arizona Agency frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 05:02:18 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C54DA93.E87F11C1@yahoo.com>
Source record: 53171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

www.scannerstuff.com publishes a good frequency directory for Arizona

JA Moran wrote:

> anyone have a list of Arizona agencies State and Federal they went to
> share
>
> I believe I found a game and fish frequency Saturday while I was
> searching it's a 151mhz frequency.
>
> the active ops/security frequencies for Hoover dam and other attractions
> too... I have a database but want to update it..... I have several
> scanners in my truck and often listen to the scanners on long road
> trips.....On the roof are 4 tall antennas.... I ahve difficulty
> getting into
> parking structures and my antennas also will hit the overhangs at some
> fast food palces..
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> **----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com
> |
> --Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is
> OK!
> Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is
> Deadly!
> --

--
Charles Simmont - ICP815 Incident Command Page of Arizona
http://www.icp-az.org
Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated

1603. Re: BC-780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 05:06:48 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <steinman@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <u5cbffdjtvfbad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53172

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Here's an excellent page by WA8PYR listing analog and digital squelch
systems, including PL (generically known as CTCSS).

http://www.qsl.net/wa8pyr/neato.htm
http://www.qsl.net/wa8pyr/

- --
Brad Steinman N8ZRP
steinman@speakeasy.net
For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
"Bill" <lowline909@REMOVEyahoo.com> wrote in message
news:u5b7cfcbkicm51@corp.supernews.com...
>
> I'm using ARC-780 software with my BC780. I was wondering what the
> PL/DPL settings were used for? I'm really impressed with my new
> toy. It reminds me of my first puter and I'm almost as lost. One of
> my goals is to know if it's not working properly or if it's just me
> :).....TIA, Bill
>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP 7.1

iQA/AwUBPFYt5jYy/JlFvZmLEQLflgCfWu0I8JuUNltQiGaQD7ovNrXA7sEAoOrf
2Oh1TnpmXupP0T5+75vP86XO
=pJ5v
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1604. Re: RS 2030 Cheap, NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 05:22:08 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C54DF38.8B8966D0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 53173

What lead was cut? Transistor or ? Not familiar with the process of a
800mhz mod on that scanner

K9ike wrote:

> have rs 2030. looks like new. botched 800mhz mod. one lead cut
> now rig will not fire up. have original box and manual .$ 20.00 u ship.
> 73, Ike

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@yahoo.com> ICP815
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated

1605. Re: ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 05:25:15 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C56323A.1A994E49@home.com>
Source record: 53174

> Yep,

thats the free enterprise system for ya.

1606. Re: Trunk Tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 05:28:15 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C54E0A7.B285249C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 53175

Radio Shack has a couple of models, some are made by Uniden for Radio Shack and the others are made by GRE. The Radio Shack website will give you more info.

"Eric H." wrote:

> Are there other trunk tracking radios on the market other than the
> Uniden radios?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Eric

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@yahoo.com> ICP815
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated

1607. Re: scanners vs motorola radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 05:32:17 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C54E199.EA5CFF27@yahoo.com>
Source record: 53176

Scanners are designed to recieve a broad range of frequencies some go from 25mhz to 1.3Ghz (thats alot of space). Motorola and other brands of radios used by PD & etc are designed to only operate in a specific range on a peticular band. So the scanner is more versitile to scan a broader range as where the portable radio is tuned specificly to the frequencies that it is programed for.

Rob Taylor wrote:

> So why is it that when my scanner is right next to a Motorola MTS2000 radio,
> and the Motorola is a police radio, both hearing the same frequencies, same
> channel, the Motorola has always a better signal receiving quality than the
> scanner? I know the Motorola has a better speaker and sound quality than a
> scanner, and it picks up better too. Why?

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@yahoo.com> ICP815
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated

1608. Re: BC-780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 05:37:18 UTC
From: Bill <lowline909@REMOVEyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u5cd8bhifi4ce2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53177

Thanks Brad, Those are great links and explained everything perfectly.

Bill

"Brad Steinman" <steinman@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:u5cbffdjtvfbad@corp.supernews.com...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Here's an excellent page by WA8PYR listing analog and digital squelch
> systems, including PL (generically known as CTCSS).
>
> http://www.qsl.net/wa8pyr/neato.htm
> http://www.qsl.net/wa8pyr/
>
> - --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> steinman@speakeasy.net
> For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
> "Bill" <lowline909@REMOVEyahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:u5b7cfcbkicm51@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > I'm using ARC-780 software with my BC780. I was wondering what the
> > PL/DPL settings were used for? I'm really impressed with my new
> > toy. It reminds me of my first puter and I'm almost as lost. One of
> > my goals is to know if it's not working properly or if it's just me
> > :).....TIA, Bill
> >
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGP 7.1
>
> iQA/AwUBPFYt5jYy/JlFvZmLEQLflgCfWu0I8JuUNltQiGaQD7ovNrXA7sEAoOrf
> 2Oh1TnpmXupP0T5+75vP86XO
> =pJ5v
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>

1609. Re: Police and Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 05:40:06 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C54E36E.F462651E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 53178

Thats a funny one, almost as if it came from a hollywood movie. No there isn't anyway for a cop to tell if you have a scanner in your car (unless you have the mag mount antenna on your trunk of course). Be warned some states have laws reguarding having a scanner inside your vehicle and they can fine you for it. But no super scanner detection device on officer friendly's belt.

WiCkEd wrote:

> Can police detect that you have a scanner inside your vehicle? I own
> a bearcat handheld and enjoy listening to it driving back and forth to
> work with it. A friend of mine told me when he wife was working in
> the motorcarrrier division that they had something that could detect
> whether or not you were driving around carrying a scanner with you.
> Is this all hogwash or what?

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@yahoo.com> ICP815
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated

1610. got winscan but are there databases out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 05:51:22 UTC
From: Steve Knight <stevek@knight-toolworks.com>
Message-ID: <1ebc5u8irtpdk4ij5tso2atut38t58jfc6@4ax.com>
Source record: 53179

are the databases out there to load for winscan? If I can't get the 900 number
to work can I download the database?

--
Knight-Toolworks & Custom Planes
Custom made wooden planes at reasonable prices
See http://www.knight-toolworks.com
For prices and ordering instructions.
To subscribe to my good deals/beta testing/seconds email list send a email to
gooddeal-request@knight-toolworks.com
Subject: subscribe

1611. usb/serial cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 07:26:31 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_8s58.698$j_4.193846@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone bought the above cable from pfranc.com, installed it in XP and had it work? Anyone had problems?

thx.

1612. Re: What to buy for 780XLT: ScanCat Gold or Win Scan 780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 11:26:25 UTC
From: Darrell <darrellblack@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <BFv58.15452$Zc.5786111@news2.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 53181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.scanstar.com/trunkstar780.htm

I have all three and Truckstar is the best for me.

"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0201280811.3a42659e@posting.google.com...
> What's the best software to buy for the scanner? I want to use the
> software to program the scanner and it would be really cool if I could
> record audio files too.
>
> I already have the ScanCat SE Upgrade (I don't have the base Scan Cat
> software though). So I need to either buy WinScan780 or ScanCat Gold.
> What should I do?
>
> Thanks,
> John

1613. Re: FOR SALE:- ICOM PCR-1000 with DSP UNIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 11:30:12 UTC
From: David <dstanley23@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <8Jv58.704$fL5.1345@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 53182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why sell it? Don't like it? I am thinking of buying one for $349 new. What is that in ?

Thanks, David
"Wizard" <ishaqx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:f8ed3f1d.0201100809.2599844f@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I've got a icom pcr 1000 with a dsp unit for sale. It is in very good
> condition and comes boxed complete with manuals, areial, power supply
> PC link and software. If anyone is interested please drop me an
> e-mail. I'm looking for around 200, but any reasonable offer will be
> concidered.
>
> Kind Regards

1614. Re: FOR SALE:- ICOM PCR-1000 with DSP UNIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 11:36:14 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <392d5uktt1suas4gu4abe3leeop5vphh03@4ax.com>
Source record: 53183
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

$281.

On Tue, 29 Jan 2002 11:30:12 GMT a plead for help arrived from "David"
<dstanley23@attbi.com>, in which he/she requested:

>Why sell it? Don't like it? I am thinking of buying one for $349 new. What
>is that in ï¿½?
>
>Thanks, David
>"Wizard" <ishaqx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:f8ed3f1d.0201100809.2599844f@posting.google.com...
>> Hi,
>>
>> I've got a icom pcr 1000 with a dsp unit for sale. It is in very good
>> condition and comes boxed complete with manuals, areial, power supply
>> PC link and software. If anyone is interested please drop me an
>> e-mail. I'm looking for around ï¿½200, but any reasonable offer will be
>> concidered.
>>
>> Kind Regards
>

1615. Bush to Visit - Frequencies Needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 12:31:45 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C569631.3040508@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 53184

I'm looking for current secert service freqs that would be used during
Bush's visit to Daytona Beach.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1616. cobra sr900 16 ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 13:55:43 UTC
From: robertgann1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4640-3C56A9DF-24@storefull-2238.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 53185

No manual how to promgrame scanne
THANKS

1617. Re: ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 14:25:35 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <zhy58.3789$0V6.1249@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53186

"Richard",

I have to agree with you: ebay pricing is way out of whack. Buying new certainly makes sense compared to used prices for "classic" scanners.

As to the Pro93, I understand that not all of the channels can be alpha-tagged and some folks have compalained about buggy performance. Later models of the Pro92 get good reports, if alpha tags are important to you. Otherwise, the Uniden BC245 is certainly a reliable performer. I own a 2067 (mobile cousin to the Pro92) and a BC 245 and am happy with both.

Good shopping!

Richard Gozinya <satan777us@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3c55a588.6931176@news.sunflower.com...
> Am I missing something here? For instance: You can get a NEW Pro-93
> form Radio Shack for $170. Some the the older used scanners(non-cell)
> capable are selling for close to that price without shipping. I was
> thinking of purchasing a new Pro-93 at the $170 price, can someone
> tell me if I'm missing something about these scanners I don't
> understand before taking the plunge?
>
> Sincerely,
> Satan

1618. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 14:31:34 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <any58.3791$0V6.3240@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 53187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes, the CD is incuded with the book. A bargain, wouldn't you say?

John L. Wilkerson Jr. <familyradio@att.net> wrote in message
news:Xns91A1C14D05294familyradioattnet@204.127.36.1...
> "Fred Zalupski" <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net> wrote in
> news:iUl48.705$pb.55@nwrddc01.gnilink.net:
>
> > A cheap and very serviceable solution is the new Police Call book,
> > available from Radio Shack that includes a CD ROM which does what
> > you've described. Not as encyclopedic as Percon, but very good for
> > $20. The books are published according to regions, but the CD is
> > national. I use both constantly.
> >
> >
>
> The CDROM is included with the book now?
>
> --
> John L. Wilkerson Jr. <familyradio@att.net>
> Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See websites:
> http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
> FRS Mailing List: http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/frscomm

1619. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 14:41:51 UTC
From: SLee@houston-hunks.com
Message-ID: <Y48.88184$Y17.5656@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 53188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Back to the topic of RS, I can recall an odd scene I witnessed in a Radio Shack store in Cypress, Texas (a suburb of Houston). I was just looking around when a couple of guys came into the store and purchased all of the resistors they could of a certain size. It turned out that they were from Compaq -- a startup computer company (the year was 1984) -- and that they needed the resistors to complete a production run on time.

The clerk told me that the Compaq guys used to come into his store for parts all of the time.

1620. Scan Pro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 14:52:29 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NGy58.9$S27.6581@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 53189

Anyone got a copy of ScanPro software that they are willing to let me try?I would like to try it before I go ahead and dedicate my scanner to the computer.

--
Thank You
Dick (N1RSP)

GOD BLESS AMERICA !!!
United We Stand!!!
All Others May Fall!!

1621. Scanner help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 16:12:44 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0Sz58.37$S27.28967@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 53190

I need help on setting up my cable on the BC-895 scanner.I just found the cable after a year being among the missing and can't find directions on how to set it up again.I am also looking for a good logging program to use it on.Any help is appreciated.

--
Thank You
Dick (N1RSP)

GOD BLESS AMERICA !!!
United We Stand!!!
All Others May Fall!!

1622. Re: just got a bc 245xlt and I am bummed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 16:16:02 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u5dj1vq7b8cs3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53191

Supposedly from what I have read is that Uniden's dial-up 1900 service is down, and has been for some time. When questioned about it, they supposedly said there is no forecasted time for it to be put back up, if it ever will be...

Sorry for the bad news...
PH

"Steve Knight" <stevek@knight-toolworks.com> wrote in message news:035c5u49pjebviof3unr5j7offu1sletg2@4ax.com...
> After two trips to radioshack for the right connector (I goofed and grabbed
> the wrong one) glad I picked up the null modem adaptor just to make sure the
> second time. Well get it working and dial out the 1900 and no answer after
> several tries.
> Well can't do anything about it tonight. I can't find any software that I can
> buy and download. any idea's or just wait till I can call tomorrow? any idea's?
> if so email me so I can get the answer soon the wife is waiting (G)
>
> --
> Knight-Toolworks & Custom Planes
> Custom made wooden planes at reasonable prices
> See http://www.knight-toolworks.com
> For prices and ordering instructions.
> To subscribe to my good deals/beta testing/seconds email list send a email to
> gooddeal-request@knight-toolworks.com
> Subject: subscribe

1623. Re: Scan Pro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 16:23:14 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u5dj20ftlp533f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53192

I wouldn't bother... I have a copy of it, and it's very buggy, awkwardly set up, and hard to use... If you really want it, I'll compress it up and post it in the binary group... Not really worth the time though in my opinion...

PH

"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:NGy58.9$S27.6581@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Anyone got a copy of ScanPro software that they are willing to let me try?I
> would like to try it before I go ahead and dedicate my scanner to the
> computer.
>
> --
> Thank You
> Dick (N1RSP)
>
> GOD BLESS AMERICA !!!
> United We Stand!!!
> All Others May Fall!!
>
>
>

1624. Re: You have questions, they DON'T have answers--it IS RARE if dey do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 16:53:16 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3c56d667@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 53193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You mean the store that WALLY Mart put out of biziness? Better to support the small parts store, than the "state's parts shop," which is Rats Shack! Dave!!!!!!!!!!

" .Your Friend Bill" <none@coqui.net> wrote in message news:3C561EF8.7CCC4090@coqui.net...
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> >
> > MOST of the stuff they have there IS JUNK; especially if it is made in RED
> > CHINA !
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Yeah. The good American-made electronic stuff is at the store down the
> street.
> -Bill
>

1625. Re: You have questions, they DON'T have answers--it IS RARE if dey do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 16:54:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3c56d6cd$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 53194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Archer Brand:
Dirt colored? What do you mean by that? I thought it was BLOOD colored!
Actually I'm stuck in the 1900's !!!!!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Ross Archer" <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
news:3C561806.C26B2D62@mindless.com...
>
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> >
> > MOST of the stuff they have there IS JUNK; especially if it is made in
RED
> > CHINA !
> Actually, CHINA is mostly dirt-colored! Apparently, only
> folks stuck in the 1950's are unaware of this. :)
>

1626. Re: Scan Pro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 17:10:55 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C56D79F.7090408@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 53195

Dick wrote:

> Anyone got a copy of ScanPro software that they are willing to let me try?I
> would like to try it before I go ahead and dedicate my scanner to the
> computer.
>
> --
> Thank You
> Dick (N1RSP)

Dick,
I'm sorry to say you should save both your time and money. I have a copy of this software. Full of bugs, just like a moggy summers evening under the lights in Flordia, you swat one bug and 5 more come to takes its place.

And the biggest problem I have with the software I have yet to get an answer to correct that problem. It starts up in this funny screen size regardless of the size it was in when it shut down. But that is only one of many problems I've had with the software.

I would have done a review of this product for my website (www.MilAirComms.com) but didn't want to run the risk of being labled such a negitive person :0)

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- Current HF, VHF, UHF Military Freqs!

1627. Re: What to buy for 780XLT: ScanCat Gold or Win Scan 780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 17:14:35 UTC
From: Appie <ab.surd@freemeel.com>
Message-ID: <a36lbh$26f$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 53196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check our ARC780 at www.butel.nl

"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> schreef in bericht
news:d89364bd.0201280811.3a42659e@posting.google.com...
> What's the best software to buy for the scanner? I want to use the
> software to program the scanner and it would be really cool if I could
> record audio files too.
>
> I already have the ScanCat SE Upgrade (I don't have the base Scan Cat
> software though). So I need to either buy WinScan780 or ScanCat Gold.
> What should I do?
>
> Thanks,
> John

1628. FS : AOR AR8200 full version MINT 475.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 17:20:10 UTC
From: luna <luna@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3C56D9CA.5AF9A3EB@ultinet.net>
Source record: 53097

In mint condition

AOR AR 8200 full version no gaps pocket scanner

0.5 - 2040 mhz

1000 channels

computer controled

alpha display

can take a card for ctcss search and input, card for delete tones,
bursts
and beeps, card for up to 4000 channels, card for decrambling speech
inversion.

has programmable scan - when to resume, listen for voice, sensitivity,

has all the modes am, sam, fm, cq, usb, lbb wfm, sfm
has all the programmable steps
has 2 vfo controls

this is a sensitive scanner with great selectivity

one of the best conventional scanners around - this is a professional
wide range receiver

has dc input, earphone, computer control

comes with car original car adapter, original wall adapter, rubberduck
antenna and ferrite rod antenna, batteries and manual. I cannot find
the
box.

This is a continious receiver - no gaps, pickup cellphones, military
fighter
jets etc.

MINT condition and express shipping included
475.00US

thanks
janet

1629. Re: Scan Pro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 17:40:39 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <r8B58.74$S27.49382@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 53098

I want to cancel the request for ScanPro after listening to the results of other users.Thank you all for the input.
I can't even get my scanner to even go into the remote mode.It shows the baud rate of 9600 and won't let me go into remote mode to read the scanner.I have a BC-895 XLT.
Any help is welcomed.
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:NGy58.9$S27.6581@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Anyone got a copy of ScanPro software that they are willing to let me try?I
> would like to try it before I go ahead and dedicate my scanner to the
> computer.
>
> --
> Thank You
> Dick (N1RSP)
>
> GOD BLESS AMERICA !!!
> United We Stand!!!
> All Others May Fall!!
>
>
>

1630. RS PRO-43 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 18:09:45 UTC
From: J T <Donovan2TPS@cs.com>
Message-ID: <a36ohtcli1@suaar1ab.prod.compuserve.com>
Source record: 53099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1328437133

One owner - All Mods

1631. usb/serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 18:14:45 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LEB58.20$024.118332@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53100
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone bought the above cable from pfranc.com, installed it in XP and had it work? Anyone had problems?

thx.

1632. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 18:16:19 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a36qs0ojp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Stagger 'TexASS TURD Douglas Jerry" Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in
message news:Y48.88184$Y17.5656@houston-hunks.com...
>
> Back to <>

Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."
--
Chickenshit COWARD Faggot Lee pathetically tries to justify his hiding
behind anonimity in <3B8FFFF5.143FA347@houston-hunks.com>:

"...readers have already had uninvited people show up at their
homes, have had people subscribe them to magazines and book clubs, have
received hang-up calls in the middle of the night, have had poison pen
letters written to their neighbors and employers, and have had poison
pen email sent to their ISPs in an attempt to get their accounts
canceled. That's not a "what if" scenario either: It has happened
repeatedly to certain members of these news groups, yours truly included
(one person has emailed repeated lies to Altopia in an attempt to learn
my identity). One of our readers has even had to go to the courts and
obtain an injunction against one of those nuts.
I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in
physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are
only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of
people who read these posts."

Then the no-balls coward ends his feminine cuntly whining with:
"And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR
TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN. Until you learn a few things, you ought to
shut your arrogant mouth."

1633. Re: FOR SALE:- ICOM PCR-1000 with DSP UNIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 18:35:44 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <Xns91A5BC6E0601D26hb896lineonenet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 53101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

According to Bill Gates, on Tue 29 Jan 2002 11:30:12a "David"
<dstanley23@attbi.com> knelt at the big white telephone, and heaved this
into alt.radio.scanner.uk:

>Why sell it? Don't like it? I am thinking of buying one for $349 new. What
>is that in ?

Strangely enough at Pound for Dollar exchange rates,
$349 = 349.
They call this Rip-Off-Britain.
Can't think why !

--

Mark Russell ''If you enjoy it - it's probably illegal''
Guzzi V50 Monza.
26HB896
OSOS#16 (Please castrate to e-mail)

1634. BC60XLT-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 18:51:48 UTC
From: Smokin Cash <ira20@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <8bC58.4483$By6.592543@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53103

Found this scanner I got a few years back as a gift. Was wondering is there
a way to modify so I can listen CB? Anyway to access protcols via PC?

Thanks,

--
Slower Traffic Keep Right

1635. FS/FT AR8200MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 19:47:58 UTC
From: George <tronic@boxfrog.com>
Message-ID: <a36u9doji1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53104

sell or trade my AR8200 MK2 H/Held Scanner, as new, 3 months old.
Price=£275 uk.pounds=$390 us.dollars + ship
would trade for general coverage receiver or other scanner, (must have SSB)
I am in the UK, if you are not, you must be prepared to ship.
George
MW1BCP

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 11/01/02

1636. Re: usb/serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 20:11:45 UTC
From: Richard <richard@loelectrics.com.au>
Message-ID: <5mD58.21831$Ni2.115248@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 53105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No I haven't bought the same unit as you, what you might need to check is
the settings under windows. I have installed a usb-serial adapter under xp
and the only way I could get it to work was to set baud rate to either 9600,
or 115200 baud, 1,8,none, and Hardware.
Regards,
Richard

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:LEB58.20$024.118332@news.uswest.net...
> Has anyone bought the above cable from pfranc.com, installed it in XP and
> had it work? Anyone had problems?
>
> thx.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1637. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 20:17:40 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <go0e5uk18bfqeipth7tv1poi7eli0fa78g@4ax.com>
Source record: 53106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Fred Zalupski"
<zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net> spake thusly

>Yes, the CD is incuded with the book. A bargain, wouldn't you say?

Not really, if the San Diego County data is any indication.

Outdated and just plain WRONG info isn't a bargain even when free.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1638. Re: FREQUENCY INFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 21:00:04 UTC
From: INFOSPY <infospy@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u5e3b0ha8jho67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53107

Outstanding Thanks much.

Greg <greg@aiomedia.com> wrote in message
news:of8c5uo6ihr3qst057flm7a2bqg4d5bjjr@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Jan 2002 22:07:56 -0600, "INFOSPY" <infospy@charter.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Is there a site on the web I can get frequencies? Need NE Wisconsin.
> >Manitowoc county, city and area. Police, fire and med.
> >
> >Thanks
> >
>
> might want to try these:
>
>
> http://wisscanner.freeservers.com/Mark/manitowoc.htm
>
> http://my.athenet.net/~dvaneven/scanner/
>
>
> hope that helps
>
> greg in illinois

1639. Re: Scan Pro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 21:50:10 UTC
From: "J. Harley" <harley@jharleydavidson.com>
Message-ID: <IOE58.3048$k62.1107721309@twister2.starband.net>
Source record: 53108

One more voice:
They are right. It is clumsy and difficult and buggy. The control screen
is pretty.... BUT what
it does is NOT!!!
Look around... you may find a freebue,,,, that works!!
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:NGy58.9$S27.6581@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Anyone got a copy of ScanPro software that they are willing to let me
try?I
> would like to try it before I go ahead and dedicate my scanner to the
> computer.
>
> --
> Thank You
> Dick (N1RSP)
>
> GOD BLESS AMERICA !!!
> United We Stand!!!
> All Others May Fall!!
>
>
>

1640. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 22:26:08 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a378gqikp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Stagger 'TexASS TURD' Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:i.own.kc8jbo@houston-hunks.com...
>
> On Tue, 29 Jan 2002 13:16:19 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
> >
[Restore what the Taliban-supporting Faggot Lee waddled his fatass away
from]

"Stagger 'TexASS TURD Douglas Jerry" Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in
message news:Y48.88184$Y17.5656@houston-hunks.com...
>
> Back to <>

Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."
--
Chickenshit COWARD Faggot Lee pathetically tries to justify his hiding
behind anonimity in <3B8FFFF5.143FA347@houston-hunks.com>:

"...readers have already had uninvited people show up at their
homes, have had people subscribe them to magazines and book clubs, have
received hang-up calls in the middle of the night, have had poison pen
letters written to their neighbors and employers, and have had poison
pen email sent to their ISPs in an attempt to get their accounts
canceled. That's not a "what if" scenario either: It has happened
repeatedly to certain members of these news groups, yours truly included
(one person has emailed repeated lies to Altopia in an attempt to learn
my identity). One of our readers has even had to go to the courts and
obtain an injunction against one of those nuts.
I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in
physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are
only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of
people who read these posts."

Then the no-balls coward ends his feminine cuntly whining with:
"And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR
TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN. Until you learn a few things, you ought to
shut your arrogant mouth."
[End restore]

> Don't pay[drivelsnip]

Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous

--
From: Rev Turd Fredericks <turdfred@catholic.org>
Message-ID: <PM000391D524FF3746@dhcppc1.home.com>

"Stagger Lee is anti-free speech because he originally came in here
demanding that Steve Geilda, a regular in this group, cancel Roger
Wiseman's account on his server because he didn't like what Roger was
saying. That is anti-free speech."

1641. Re: FS/FT AR8200MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 22:49:23 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <am9e5u8dv6i36g1mvin8j4ghboq08iiqc5@4ax.com>
Source record: 53110

Why are you looking to get rid of your AR8200II? I just ordered one,
I hope there isn't something bad about them!

- pcmike
p@pcmike.net

On Tue, 29 Jan 2002 19:47:58 +0000 (UTC) a plead for help arrived from
"George" <tronic@boxfrog.com>, in which he/she requested:

>sell or trade my AR8200 MK2 H/Held Scanner, as new, 3 months old.
>Price=ï¿½275 uk.pounds=$390 us.dollars + ship
>would trade for general coverage receiver or other scanner, (must have SSB)
>I am in the UK, if you are not, you must be prepared to ship.
>George
>MW1BCP
>
>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 11/01/02
>

1642. Re: small mobile VHF/UHF receiving antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 22:57:13 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <u5ea6m2jmaed9a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53111
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Look, resonance isn't going to matter that much.

I'd just put an NMO mount in the roof. If you're willing to drill a hole. If not, then an NMO mag mount. Then get a Larson NMO 'base' that accepts a plain whip. These look like a thick 'coin' with a nipple in the middle that accepts a wire whip. There's a small setscrew in the side of the nipple.

Or you can get the 1/4" stud mount, with a short spring. Again, from a couple of sources, but Larson is easy to find at HAM shops. And again, cut the whip to whatever fits your garage or whatever.

Me? I'd use the NMO. However the 1/4" stud mount has a lot 'smaller' springs available. In fact, I think I have everything in a junk box except for
the stud body mount. I used it on a 'stud' type mag base from Larson for my 220 HT a number of years ago.

Then drive into your garage and cut the whip to the height that just barely clears your door or whatever, and it should work fine.

Mike

"Brad Steinman" <steinman@speakeasy.net> wrote in message news:u5aba1hq0qra47@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks to all who responded to my query on how to mount rigs in my Explorer.
>
> I'm searching around for a mobile scanner antenna. I was wondering if I
> could get some opinions/feedback on scanner antennae. I tried to list
> considerations/requirements to minimize questions :)
>
> Primary considerations are (in order):
> 1. Size: Short! (14in/35cm max), for roof-mounting. I have 14in of
> clearance at my garage door opening.
> 2. Mounting: it needs to be permanently mounted (i.e. cable feed thru hole
> in roof) to vehicle ('97 Ford Explorer XLT)
> 3. Flexible: The whip needs able tolerate bending a bit, for those
> infrequent parking garages visits,etc
> 4. Not really interested in trunk or mirror mounted antennae
>
> Primary bands of interest would be (in order):
> 1. VHF Hi ~155Mhz
> 2. UHF ~460Mhz & ~860Mhz
> 3. VHF-Lo (~44Mhz) band is also of interest but I realize the small size

> consideration pretty much nullifies any good performance here
>
> I realize that the bigger the antenna (generally) the better it will
> perform. However, I'm primarily looking for *low profile* solution and
can
> readily accept an antennna that doesn't have optimum gain. What I'm
hoping
> to find is something that performs better than the rubber duck antenna in
> inside of the car (yuk). I have mag-mount antennae that I can whip out if
I
> need the gain, however mounting/unmounting gets very old doing it every
> single day.
>
> Some potential solutions I've thought about:
>
> 1. 2m/440 or 440 Ham antenna - any model # suggestions? Comet B-10
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/hamantm/3918.html ?
> I've only used basic magmounts here in the past for ham activities. A
> drawback here would be that I plan to have a 2m/440 transmitter in the
> vehicle, and would be wary of permanently destroying the scanner's front
end
> with 25w of RF by using a resonant receiving antenna!?!?
>
> 2. AntennaPlus Mobile Antennas http://www.antennaplus.com/
> Some interesting low profile antennae here. Only designed for 220Mhz and
UHF
> though.
>
> 3. Splitter for existing FM Broadcast band antenna. Something like
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanants/4534.html
> Anyone had inter-radio interference with this solution? What IFs do
typical
> factory AM/FM radios use anyways? I plan to use a triple-conversion
> receiver, probably a 780XLT,2006,etc.
>
> Comments or suggestions on anything above?
>
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> steinman@speakeasy.net
> For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
>
>
>

1643. Re: ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-29 23:54:44 UTC
From: ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com
Message-ID: <2d2bbca8.0201291554.62f7d790@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53112

In my opinion, after doing some research, even as I like very much the brand UNIDEN and have heard (and experienced) bad things from some RS products (havent yet tried their scanners) I think the PRO-93 give you something that the bc245xlt JUST can give:

- More frequencies to explore
- Better sound
- 4AA batteries.

Just knowing that with a bc245xlt I wont have access to frequencies I could access with the PRO-93, in HANDHELD scanners right now, I think the choice is PRO-93.

I also bet that soon this year UNIDEN is going to bring us their newest handheld scanner with new technology who would definitely blow all the other handheld scanners. The PRO-93 is a 2001 model, the bc245xlt is a 1999 model. So as for the moment, is the PRO-93 reign.

"Fred Zalupski" <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net> wrote in message news:<zhy58.3789$0V6.1249@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>...
> "Richard",
>
> I have to agree with you: ebay pricing is way out of whack. Buying new
> certainly makes sense compared to used prices for "classic" scanners.
>
> As to the Pro93, I understand that not all of the channels can be
> alpha-tagged and some folks have compalained about buggy performance. Later
> models of the Pro92 get good reports, if alpha tags are important to you.
> Otherwise, the Uniden BC245 is certainly a reliable performer. I own a 2067
> (mobile cousin to the Pro92) and a BC 245 and am happy with both.
>
> Good shopping!
>
> Richard Gozinya <satan777us@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3c55a588.6931176@news.sunflower.com...
> > Am I missing something here? For instance: You can get a NEW Pro-93
> > form Radio Shack for $170. Some the the older used scanners(non-cell)
> > capable are selling for close to that price without shipping. I was
> > thinking of purchasing a new Pro-93 at the $170 price, can someone
> > tell me if I'm missing something about these scanners I don't
> > understand before taking the plunge?
> >
> > Sincerely,
> > Satan

1644. Help with BC-760 hysteresis mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 01:23:46 UTC
From: GORDON SMITH <kc2bw@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C574B4C.9A0AA67A@att.net>
Source record: 53113

I've been going over Bob Parnass' mod for reducing squelch hysteresis. My 760 has a (IC2) 3359da IC, but no 22k resistor. There are different values for two resistors located at either end of the IC. Which is R58? Also Bob says in the article that a higher value of resistance reduces the hysteresis, but the chart in the article says to go from 22k to 6.8k a lower value. Is this a mis print? My two manufacture codes are OKIB and OAIE. My friends Pro 2066 is out performing my two 760s in letting weak signals through the squelch and its starting to get to me! Thanks for any help.

Gordon
--
To reply take the 33 from the address

1645. 895 question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 02:42:32 UTC
From: ppcdoc <ppcdoc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s4J58.12539$gd.2854436@news2.nash1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 53114

is it normal for my 2 trunktracker 895s and my 235 to have a slight delay before picking up traffic...probably 1/4 to 1/2 second. I have 26 freqs programmed for my system (type II). If it's normal for these units, are there any trackers that catch it instantly? If not normal, any suggestions for a fix???

1646. All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 02:57:07 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C576103.5070900@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 53115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The most complete VHF & UHF ARTCC center directory is now complete! I've finished working on a Clickable Map System for displaying the ARTCC Frequencies for the center you click on. Along with the frequencies for each ARTC-Center you will also see an enlarged map of that centers airspace complete with the boundries of Military Operations Areas (MOAs), Prohibited, Restricted, Alert Areas, and Warning Areas.

Please check it out at http://www.MilAirComms.com
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1647. Re: usb/serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 03:00:54 UTC
From: Doug Brecht <pantsbrecht@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <GlJ58.23287$M3.3952759@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 53116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If your talking about a usb to serial connection for radio purpose. I.E
Trunkstar etc. etc. It took a while before i found a model that worked.
100% it cost $ 39.00 Can buck or 1.50 U.S. Ha......but it did

"Richard" <richard@loelectrics.com.au> wrote in message
news:5mD58.21831$Ni2.115248@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> No I haven't bought the same unit as you, what you might need to check is
> the settings under windows. I have installed a usb-serial adapter under xp
> and the only way I could get it to work was to set baud rate to either
9600,
> or 115200 baud, 1,8,none, and Hardware.
> Regards,
> Richard
>
> "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LEB58.20$024.118332@news.uswest.net...
> > Has anyone bought the above cable from pfranc.com, installed it in XP
and
> > had it work? Anyone had problems?
> >
> > thx.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1648. Re: What to buy for 780XLT: ScanCat Gold or Win Scan 780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 03:09:08 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <a37nsp$qj7$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 53125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Darrell wrote in message ...
>http://www.scanstar.com/trunkstar780.htm
>
>I have all three and Truckstar is the best for me.
>
>"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:d89364bd.0201280811.3a42659e@posting.google.com...
>> What's the best software to buy for the scanner? I want to use the
>> software to program the scanner and it would be really cool if I could
>> record audio files too.
>>
>> I already have the ScanCat SE Upgrade (I don't have the base Scan Cat
>> software though). So I need to either buy WinScan780 or ScanCat Gold.
>> What should I do?

I have WinScan780 - save your money, buy something (anything) else.
POZILLA, are you noticing any TRENDS? Try supporting your product. Explain to people why your software generates illegal filenames when saving audio files. Put a patch up on your website. Answer e-mails. Hell, just issue a press release saying _something_. You think you can ignore any lack of repeat business, but word of mouth is going to _kill_ first-time buyers.

1649. Re: just got a bc 245xlt and I am bummed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 03:10:04 UTC
From: Steve Knight <stevek@knight-toolworks.com>
Message-ID: <9foe5us95auk6i11ui9va11k8c4inoa1gi@4ax.com>
Source record: 53117

On Tue, 29 Jan 2002 10:16:02 -0600, "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
wrote:

>Supposedly from what I have read is that Uniden's dial-up 1900 service is
>down, and has been for some time. When questioned about it, they supposedly
>said there is no forecasted time for it to be put back up, if it ever will
>be...

I kind of figured that (G) Ok I can program the frequencies in but I don't have
a clue about what to do with the trunk tracking I mean what order and are they
associated with the frequencies or what? ok here is a page
http://www.oregonlive.com/policescanner/index.ssf?/policescanner/police_codes.frame
no problem punching in the frequencies but how do I set them up in the trunking
mode and the talk groups?

--
Knight-Toolworks & Custom Planes
Custom made wooden planes at reasonable prices
See http://www.knight-toolworks.com
For prices and ordering instructions.
To subscribe to my good deals/beta testing/seconds email list send a email to
gooddeal-request@knight-toolworks.com
Subject: subscribe

1650. Re: Scannin Paid off today....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 04:40:42 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C57794A.6299DEA9@USA.net>
Source record: 53118

DeWayne you just haven't met the good cops. There are thousands of them around the country.

From you comment, what I read into it is you got caught and your pissed at all cops.

I see you like using you middle name, or is it.

DeWayne wrote:

> <jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C53F817.C9720075@USA.net...
> > This thread was interesting until I got tired of reading through the
> various
> > paragraphs to find the responses.
> >
> > FACT about COPS: If you haven't been there you wouldn't understand.
>
> I've been there. Most of the cops I've known are criminals and have no idea
> what truth means!
> DeWayne KE9K
>

1651. Re: usb/serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 05:15:58 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YkL58.211$l06.159282@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53119
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hmm, Canadian eh? Who makes it and where is it from? I'm a Canadian living in the US and if

that's in Canadian I should get it for real cheap in USD.

My notebook has no serial port. I have a Pro93 scanner and I need this cable

to work so I can upload to it. I can't do it all the time at my work computer.

I miss Alberta. I used to have a telusplanet account too...

"Doug Brecht" <pantsbrecht@telusplanet.net> wrote in message news:GlJ58.23287$M3.3952759@news1.telusplanet.net...
> If your talking about a usb to serial connection for radio purpose. I.E
> Trunkstar etc. etc. It took a while before i found a model that worked.
> 100% it cost $ 39.00 Can buck or 1.50 U.S. Ha......but it did
>
> "Richard" <richard@loelectrics.com.au> wrote in message
> news:5mD58.21831$Ni2.115248@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> > No I haven't bought the same unit as you, what you might need to check is
> > the settings under windows. I have installed a usb-serial adapter under xp
> > and the only way I could get it to work was to set baud rate to either
> 9600,
> > or 115200 baud, 1,8,none, and Hardware.
> > Regards,
> > Richard
> >
> > "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:LEB58.20$024.118332@news.uswest.net...
> > > Has anyone bought the above cable from pfranc.com, installed it in XP
> and
> > > had it work? Anyone had problems?
> > >
> > > thx.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

>
>
>

1652. FS: Optoelectronics 3000A+ 3GHz Frequency Counters $225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 05:32:31 UTC
From: David Tharrett <davidthNS@NOterraworldSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <6oL58.401$5y.205849@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 53120
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

I still have some of these available. Hurry while they last. It is a great deal. Remove CAPS to email me. Go to this link for more information - http://www.datadeliverydevices.com/opto.htm

1653. Sold: HB-232/CE-232 Assembled for PRO-2004/5/6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 07:25:47 UTC
From: RC <nospam@me.com>
Message-ID: <%dN58.160653$_w.25174069@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 53121

Sold, thank you.

"RC" <nospam@me.com> wrote in message
news:u5n58.150630$_w.22834608@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1328751559
>
> Any questions, contact me directly.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Robert
> spectrum@cfl.rr.com
> http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/
>
>
>

1654. Re: Bush to Visit - Frequencies Needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 07:30:40 UTC
From: RC <nospam@me.com>
Message-ID: <AiN58.160668$_w.25178854@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 53122

You can bet they will be using digitally encripted radios. We are
going to the same thing on 400 MHz at the Kennedy
Space Center shortly. We are picking up new radios for our shop
this week. Many of the users are HAM radio operators and
scanner nuts. We are all bummed but the move is not our choice.

:-(

Robert

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3C569631.3040508@licensed4fun.com...
> I'm looking for current secert service freqs that would be used during
> Bush's visit to Daytona Beach.
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
>

1655. Re: Frequency Information Needed - for Hawaii

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 09:49:09 UTC
From: jkporter@hawaii.rr.com
Message-ID: <91A5F2F27jkporterhawaiirrcom@66.8.133.2>
Source record: 53123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

N9NWO@amsat.org (N9NWO) wrote in
<fj535ugrh9psg7al4u69mn742nk2t5j7el@4ax.com>:

I am looking for a list of scanner freqs for Hawaii as well. I only have 50
chanels but they will do for what I want to do ;-) Like most here cops
would be nice but not nessary and yep it is FUN!

Aloha!!

>
>:> 1. Frequencies used during illegal home break-ins by U.S. federal
>:> agents.
>:
>:> 2. Computers in police cars receiving and transmitting.
>:
>:Get a frequency counter and use it next time you are in the back seat
>:of the police car. Again what agency and radio equipment?
>:Take a look at their equipment over the front seat next time you are
>:there. Call the local department and ask. Its not a secret.I suggest
>:yuou use one of your clone cell phones since you home phone is probably
>:tapped.
>
>Easier to go to Radio Shack and get a copy of Police Call. Most MDTs
>(mobile data terminals) use either 700 Mhz or 800 Mhz trunking
>systems. However, we are seeing some departments use cellular radios
>for their MDTs. That is going to be harder to intercept.
>

1656. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 10:09:52 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a38gpp$16he41$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

"N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:a3A48.523$3Y3.158831@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> It is against the law in almost every state for an
> individual to have a scanner that can receive police
> and public safety frequencies in public or in a car.
> Police, fire fighters, journalists and amateur radio
> operators are exempted. This is state law, not federal.

So ALL those wrecker drivers are in violation? Some of those guys have about 6 radios in their trucks, mounted. If they get arrested and their scanners confiscated, could we buy them at the police auction? Woohoo!

1657. Re: Fairhaven RD500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 11:21:21 UTC
From: Martin <mab@delete-this.capita.nildram.co.uk>
Message-ID: <u5flams852r031@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

Spec. looks good on paper - I was seriously thinking of buying one - but when I got a chance to play with one at a rally, I was disappointed with the apparent standard of construction - it just felt too flimsy...

martin

"Vince" <radhamuk@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message news:a318g4$14vg74$1@ID-121450.news.dfncis.de...
> Anyone have views of this radio? Good, Bad or In-different.
>
> Thanks...Vince
>
>

1658. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 12:08:20 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C57E234.20406@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 53127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Lurking Fool wrote:

> "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:a3A48.523$3Y3.158831@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
>
>>It is against the law in almost every state for an
>>individual to have a scanner that can receive police
>>and public safety frequencies in public or in a car.
>>Police, fire fighters, journalists and amateur radio
>>operators are exempted. This is state law, not federal.
>>
>

The above is a VERY incorrect statement. Most states do NOT have an
anti scanner law. MOST states you can have a scanner in your car.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1659. Samsung SRP 7200 pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 12:34:50 UTC
From: Matt Robert <msr05@uow.edu.au>
Message-ID: <3c57e8a7$1@news.uow.edu.au>
Source record: 53130

Can anyone point me in the direction of information on how to reprogram a Samsung SRP-7200 pager?

Info on how to program the capcode, how the frequnecy is changed (is it a crystal type??)
would be most appreciated.

1660. Re: small mobile VHF/UHF receiving antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 13:55:45 UTC
From: clinchfield@att.net
Message-ID: <b2b2aa37.0201300555.24cdba57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53128
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A faced a similar situation with a Chevy Astro van. I like the professional appearance of a permanent roof mount and wanted to use a 1/4 wave NMO antenna but did not have enough clearance on my 4 panel garage door. Even with a short spring, the constant folding of the antenna looked like a problem and the trim and weather stripping of the door would become damaged over time.

Instead I mounted a Diamond dual swivel bracket with an NMO mount (sorry don't have model number handy) on the rear tailgate. The bracket was about $65 I think and will swivel on both the x and y axis so you can adjust for the curvature of the door and make the antenna plumb. Ran the attached cable behing the interior trim panels up to the dash area. I parked the van with the tailgate under the door opening and mounted the antenna at the highest point that will still clear the door. My van has a metal rear door so no problem with the grounding screws on the mount. Is the Explorer metal?

The antenna I use is a black Larsen dual band 1/4 wave with a small black spring. For scanner use I also have a black Maxrad scanner antenna with a short spring. It is harder to find the black antennas and springs but my experience with the silver ones is that they become dirty is short time. The black is also less visible.

I looked into the previously mentioned on-glass mount antennas but my van has privacy glass and all manufacturers of these antennas advise against their use due to the metal coatings of the glass. I used cheap Radio Shack ones on a previous vehicle with poor restults.

The other point is to make sure that the antenna clears the operating garage door. If you mount the antenna to the rear of the vehicle, it may bind up as the door folds to go down.

Wish I had 5 or 6 panel garage doors like some of my neighbors.

Good luck and contact off line if you need any more info.

1661. UHF Trunked System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 14:32:49 UTC
From: John Gerstner <gerstjo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <luT58.161533$_w.25529668@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 53129

I am receiving the following 3 UHF systems in the Melbourne/Palm Bay,
Florida area. The data channels only
transmit a short burst of data. It sounds like it might be a water control
monitoring system or other type
of control system?

All 3 control channels are active at the same time with the same signal
strength. They all tranmsit continuously
and sound like Motorola trunked systems. The tone of the control channels is
slightly different from the Motorola
400 MHz Astro Trunked System used at the Kennedy Space Center.

Is there any way to figure out the base and offset frequencies from this
mess. If I can get them I
can probably break down the frequencies into three systems. I tried using
some of the standard base and
offset frequencies, but could not get it to track correctly.

406.1750
406.3625c
406.5625
406.7625
406.9625
407.1625
407.1750
407.7625
407.9625
408.1625c
408.3625
408.5625
408.7625
408.9625
409.1625c
409.3625
409.4250
409.5625
409.7625
410.1625
410.3625
410.5625
410.7625

--

John Gerstner
East Central Florida Scanner Page

http://home.cfl.rr.com/scanner/brevard.htm

1662. Re: just got a bc 245xlt and I am bummed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 16:15:42 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u5g6vl4pv2vcc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53131

"Steve Knight" <stevek@knight-toolworks.com> wrote in message
news:9foe5us95auk6i11ui9va11k8c4inoa1gi@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 29 Jan 2002 10:16:02 -0600, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
> wrote:
>
> >Supposedly from what I have read is that Uniden's dial-up 1900 service is
> >down, and has been for some time. When questioned about it, they
supposedly
> >said there is no forecasted time for it to be put back up, if it ever
will
> >be...
>
> I kind of figured that (G) Ok I can program the frequencies in but I don't
have
> a clue about what to do with the trunk tracking I mean what order and are
they
> associated with the frequencies or what? ok here is a page
>
http://www.oregonlive.com/policescanner/index.ssf?/policescanner/police_code
s.frame
> no problem punching in the frequencies but how do I set them up in the
trunking
> mode and the talk groups?
>
Well, I have to say that I can't help you in that department. My area
doesn't have TT yet, so I have very little knowledge about it... Sorry...
:(

PH

1663. Re: FREQUENCY INFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 16:16:34 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u5g718m3foiqd9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53132

What part of NE W are you in? I'm just across the border in MI myself...

PH

"INFOSPY" <infospy@charter.net> wrote in message
news:u5c819i3aa3u4d@corp.supernews.com...
> Is there a site on the web I can get frequencies? Need NE Wisconsin.
> Manitowoc county, city and area. Police, fire and med.
>
> Thanks
>
>

1664. Re: Scan Pro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 16:21:17 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u5g7a4ofa1qe49@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53133

Not totally sure what you are referring to or asking here... To get into remote mode, press and hold the ALRT/RMT button on the scanner for 2-seconds... Perhaps a little more info like what software you are using, etc. would help...

PH

"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:r8B58.74$S27.49382@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I want to cancel the request for ScanPro after listening to the results of
> other users.Thank you all for the input.
> I can't even get my scanner to even go into the remote mode.It shows the
> baud rate of 9600 and won't let me go into remote mode to read the scanner.I
> have a BC-895 XLT.
> Any help is welcomed.

1665. Scanner for dect-phones and GSM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 18:09:26 UTC
From: sbe14082 <sbe14082@post.netlink.se>
Message-ID: <3C5836D6.70B8E9F8@post.netlink.se>
Source record: 53134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Are there any scanners or PC-software for sale that can decode
dect-phone
conversation into voice audio?
I have read on the Internet that an old Motorola GSM-phone have
been used to decode (in servicmode)GSM-phone conversation,but I have
not found any closer information how to do it.
Grateful for more information.

1666. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 18:53:18 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <familyradio@att.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91A68DD1622C1familyradioattnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 53135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Fred Zalupski" <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net> wrote in
news:any58.3791$0V6.3240@nwrddc02.gnilink.net:

> Yes, the CD is incuded with the book. A bargain, wouldn't you say?
>

Yes, very much so. After reading this, I went out and got one. It also
seems the bugs in the previous versions have been worked out. I love it.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. <familyradio@att.net>
Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See websites:
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
FRS Mailing List: http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/frscomm

1667. Re: move a hard disk of boxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 19:02:10 UTC
From: Doug <Newsgroups@thelawlessboys.com>
Message-ID: <1tX58.17213$qN3.172363@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 53137

I'm thinking lui needs a better translation program.....

"liu" <sdwhsblyj3721@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:a386u7$1gqt$671@news.cz.js.cn...
>
> Newest moving is stored , the price is low , capacity is
> large , can be according to the needs of yours the
> wanton size of adjustment capacity. This kind of
> equipment is to move a hard disk of boxes. Moving the
> box appearance of the hard disk exquisitly, succeed
> through alloy material, anti-vibration is designed , to
> dispel the heat is good, little volume, easy to hold
> under the arm and bring and to install is convenient,
> Namely insert and use USB interface promptly, price is .
> e-mail:sdwhsblyj3721@hotmail.com
>
>

1668. Re: FS/FT AR8200MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 19:03:53 UTC
From: George <tronic@boxfrog.com>
Message-ID: <a39g2obum1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53136
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

No, Nothing wrong at all with them, on the contrary they are excellent. I also have a AR8000/yupi9000MKII and a Icom R1/R3 Yaesu vr500 Just thought I would change one for a base receiver. or base scanner
George

"Michael A. Nunes" wrote in message
news:am9e5u8dv6i36g1mvin8j4ghboq08iiqc5@4ax.com...
> Why are you looking to get rid of your AR8200II? I just ordered one,
> I hope there isn't something bad about them!
>
> - pcmike
> p@pcmike.net
>
> On Tue, 29 Jan 2002 19:47:58 +0000 (UTC) a plead for help arrived from
> "George" , in which he/she requested:
>
> >sell or trade my AR8200 MK2 H/Held Scanner, as new, 3 months old.
> >Price=£275 uk.pounds=$390 us.dollars + ship
> >would trade for general coverage receiver or other scanner, (must have SSB)
> >I am in the UK, if you are not, you must be prepared to ship.
> >George
> >MW1BCP
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 11/01/02
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 11/01/02

1669. Re: Fairhaven RD500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 19:06:20 UTC
From: George <tronic@boxfrog.com>
Message-ID: <a39g7c$cb9$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 53138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

True my friend, they are good radio's, but for the price, they just don't make the grade. As soon as I saw the PL antenna connector that was it for me, any receiver worth its salt would have either a N type or the more popular BNC

George

"Martin" <mab@delete-this.capita.nildram.co.uk> wrote in message news:u5flams852r031@corp.supernews.com...
> Spec. looks good on paper - I was seriously thinking of buying one - but
> when I got a chance to play with one at a rally, I was disappointed with the
> apparent standard of construction - it just felt too flimsy...
>
> martin
>
> "Vince" <radhamuk@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:a318g4$14vg74$1@ID-121450.news.dfncis.de...
> > Anyone have views of this radio? Good, Bad or In-different.
> >
> > Thanks...Vince
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.314 / Virus Database: 175 - Release Date: 11/01/02

1670. Boston MA Area Scanner Meeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 19:35:43 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8924-3C584B0F-8@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 53139

February 12, 2002 - 6PM to 8PM - Robbins Library in Arlington Center - top floor conference room - all are welcome - the library is handicap accessible

Peter S

1671. Re: What to buy for 780XLT: ScanCat Gold or Win Scan 780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 20:00:31 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C5850DF.16C41EA7@yahoo.com>
Source record: 53140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Robert D. Baker" wrote:
>
> I have WinScan780 - save your money, buy something (anything) > else. POZILLA, are you noticing any TRENDS? Try supporting your product. > Explain to people why your software generates illegal filenames when > saving audio files. Put a patch up on your website. Answer e-mails. > Hell, just issue a press release saying _something_. You think you can > ignore any lack of repeat business, but word of mouth is going to _kill_ > first-time buyers.

Well, that did it for me....
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½

1672. FDNY Portables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 20:51:45 UTC
From: wnyf76@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020130155145.09904.00000426@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 53141

Have the re programed XTS 3500 radios (in non Digital mode)been sent out to the units in the field as of yet? and if so how are they working out now, compared to the sabers an mx's.

Also does anyone know what PL is being used (if any) for fireground use.

Thanks

1673. Re: What to buy for 780XLT: ScanCat Gold or Win Scan 780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 20:54:11 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <IHbQTDCz1FW8EwJZ@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 53142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3C5850DF.16C41EA7@yahoo.com>, Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
writes

(...)

>> POZILLA, are you noticing any TRENDS? Try supporting your product.
>> Explain to people why your software generates illegal filenames
>> when saving audio files.
>> Put a patch up on your website. Answer e-mails.
>> Hell, just issue a press release saying _something_.
>> You think you can ignore any lack of repeat business, but word of
>> mouth is going to _kill_ first-time buyers.
>
>Well, that did it for me....

I must admit it took me SIX MONTHS to get a solution out of them, even
then the guy running it blamed his problems on everybody else, said he
was going to get rid of his staff and hire new folks, well that was 18
months ago - seems he still has the same problem... Oh yes, if enough
people complain, his wife announces to the world that he is seriously
ill in hospital and will not be able to answer emails for a while...

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

1674. For Sale: PRO-2004 with HB-232 Interface/many modifications $300 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 21:05:02 UTC
From: halligan@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <7490b6ed.0201301305.2ea6059e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Greetings,

I have a Realistic PRO-2004 scanner for sale. The following info describes it's condition, modifications, accompanying HB232 Interface, included items, and payment method. If you are interested after reading this long-winded message, please contact me at kc1ua@scancapecod.net. Thanks.

Condition
---
1. Case is in good condition. Some minor scratches.
2. Display is in good shape. Not as bright as day #1 but doing well.
3. An analog signal meter has been mounted on the lower left front of the radio. This eliminates the earphone jack.
4. A blue keypad overlay has been placed over the original yellow keypad. This overlay shows a 400 channel plan instead of the 300 on the original yellow.
5. Radio does use a 9 volt battery as memory backup. Care must be taken to replace this battery. I would recommend twice a year.

Modifications
---
1. Full coverage restoration.
2. Removal of power transformer to reduce heat issues, facilitate HB-232 interface components, as well as toggle switches mentioned in #4 mod below.
3. 300 to 400 channel modification.
4. Modification that creates 64 independent "banks" of 400 channels each. Controlled by toggle switches on rear of radio. Diagram for operation is included.
5. Pushbutton switch on back of radio defeats keypad beep.
6. Discriminator tap (RCA jack).
7. Analog S-Meter mounted on front lower left. Eliminates earphone jack.
8. Radio did have problems with cold solder joints, which is common in this radio. They have been repaired.

HB-232 (a.k.a CE-232) Interface
---
1. Allows for computer control of PRO-2004 through supplied DOS software. Demo of Windows software is available for download from www.steaksandwich.com.
2. HB-232 Interface is mounted in a project box, 8" wide, 6" deep, and 3" high. Short DB25 connection from box to rear of PRO-2004. DB-9

connection from interface box to computer.
3. Supplied software is able to program radio and will display a "virtual" panel that controls radio's functions. Again, it is DOS based software. I would primarily recommend using it for programming/backup of memory banks. Many scripts that can make the HB-232 perform various functions are included.
4. It IS NOT imperative that you use this interface. The radio operates just fine standalone. However, it is included as part of the package for obvious reasons.

Included Items
---
1. Original manual.
2. Service manual.
3. Power supply is NOT included. You will need a 12V positive tip wall wort type supply. I would recommend one that handles about 1 AMP just to be on the safe side. I was powering the radio from a 12V power system in my shack. Transformer was removed as outlined above, so this radio DOES NOT have 120 VAC capability. Radio did not come with a wall wort type supply.
4. HB232 software and scripts burned on CD.
5. My support for your first days of operating this radio! I won't disappear on you.

Payment Method
---
1. $300. Will ship UPS Ground USA only on receipt of US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY!
2. Shipping and insurance included in asking price.
3. I will provide you with a UPS tracking number when the radio is enroute.
4. Reason for selling - I am simply not using this setup any longer. It is sitting here doing nothing.

This is a unique setup. I would not recommend this setup for anyone looking for their first scanner, but the radio works fine as a standalone unit and/or with the HB-232 interface. Please contact me if you have any questions. I can provide you with photos if you would like them.

Thanks for reading this saga!

Scott/KC1UA
kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Scan Cape Cod

1675. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 21:39:23 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fKZ58.8127$ks5.788626@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This guy has an updated CD of the no longer available Percon who stupidly went "pay-per-view". Bids start at $4.95 & it is taken from FCC data & much more accurate than the PoliceCall CD! Too bad Percon screwed all of us who used their CD's in the field! Pay-per-view SUCKS!

Check out:

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=*voyager*&include=0&since=-1&sort=2&rows=25

Cut & paste if necessary & look for freq CD. I have the last Percon CD produced & this one as well.

John <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on a
> particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
>
> I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be able
> to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all their
> frequencies.
>
> I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
>
> Thanks!
> John
>

1676. Re: usb/serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 22:36:15 UTC
From: "old time radio"
Message-ID: <tatg5u4l7b6fstvhslaaist872bfrn3e4v@4ax.com>
Source record: 53146

On Wed, 30 Jan 2002 03:00:54 GMT, "Doug Brecht"
<pantsbrecht@telusplanet.net> wrote:

>If your talking about a usb to serial connection for radio purpose. I.E
>Trunkstar etc. etc. It took a while before i found a model that worked.
>100%

What brand/model did you find?
otr

1677. Re: Bush to Visit - Frequencies Needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 22:46:08 UTC
From: chairborne6455@webespammed.com
Message-ID: <3c58761d.6522020@news-server>
Source record: 53047

The Prez recently visited here, and was only a mile or so away.
Nothing at all was ever picked up, except normal police traffic. Not
even police traffic covering the motorcade. Leads me to believe that
Robert is correct. Makes sense though, when you think about it.

On Wed, 30 Jan 2002 07:30:40 GMT, "RC" <nospam@me.com> wrote:

>You can bet they will be using digitally encripted radios. We are
>going to the same thing on 400 MHz at the Kennedy
>Space Center shortly. We are picking up new radios for our shop
>this week. Many of the users are HAM radio operators and
>scanner nuts. We are all bummed but the move is not our choice.
>
>:-(
>
>Robert
>
>
>"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
>news:3C569631.3040508@licensed4fun.com...
>> I'm looking for current secert service freqs that would be used during
>> Bush's visit to Daytona Beach.
>> George
>> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>>
>>
>>
>

1678. Help from the Olde Hands: Base Units

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 23:03:24 UTC
From: chairborne6455@webespammed.com
Message-ID: <3c597877.7124075@news-server>
Source record: 53048

What is the difference (other than the obvious) between portable and hand-held scanners? Sensitivity? Shielding? Are the older models (2004-05-06) any better than the newer ones for any reason other than the full coverage?

1679. 4Sale: Euro Yaesu VX-5R w/ unblocked 800Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-30 23:53:47 UTC
From: James Braun <jbraun@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C58878A.7C1FBC23@localnet.com>
Source record: 53049

I have a Euro Model Yaesu VX-5R Triband Handheld w/ accessories for
sale, This is a Export version with (unblocked) coverage including
cellular.
It is in mint condition with optional SU1 Module installed, and
Free Band modification for expanded RX /TX (and programmed w/ US Band
Plan).
Comes with the following accessories:
(2) FNB58LI Batteries
(1) FBA23 "AA" Battery Pack
(1) CD15 Rapid Charger Base
(1) A/C Charger
(1) CSC73 Soft Case
(1) SU1 Altimeter / Barometer Module installed
(1) Yaesu Triband HT Antenna

Box, and Manual.

Asking $400 or best offer for the package.
Can forward pictures or answer questions upon request.

Thanks,
James

1680. Re: 895 question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 00:05:28 UTC
From: b7spectra <b7spectra@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <a39n45bhf1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53144

Definitely! Even my Motorola MTX8000 and 800 MHz B7 Spectra have different
"scan" pickup times.

--

/\/\|k.e

Webmaster
www.ScanAtlanta.com

mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@scanatlanta.com

"ppcdoc" <ppcdoc@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:s4J58.12539$gd.2854436@news2.nash1.tn.home.com...
> is it normal for my 2 trunktracker 895s and my 235 to have a slight delay
> before picking up traffic...probably 1/4 to 1/2 second. I have 26 freqs
> programmed for my system (type II). If it's normal for these units, are
> there any trackers that catch it instantly? If not normal, any
suggestions
> for a fix???
>
>

1681. Cablevision channel 24 audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 00:08:23 UTC
From: dogmarty@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020130190823.15936.00000410@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53050

Does anyone know if it is possible to listen to just the audio portion of Long Island Cablevision's channel 24...which is CNBC?

1682. Re: Cablevision channel 24 audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 01:07:18 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C5898C6.BA4AEAEE@optonline.net>
Source record: 53051

yes, if you have a receiver capable of receiving wideband FM
transmissions, such as FM radio. tune to 227.7500, Wideband FM mode.

Walt

Dogmarty wrote:
>
> Does anyone know if it is possible to listen to just the audio portion of Long
> Island Cablevision's channel 24...which is CNBC?

1683. Anyone familiar with the Alinco DJ-X3T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 02:17:35 UTC
From: Alan <alackey@NOSPAM.home.com>
Message-ID: <3P168.15825$gd.3537832@news2.nash1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 53052

Anyone have this unit??

1684. handheld BC-780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 02:46:18 UTC
From: scandude <scandude@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_d268.8764$By6.1019698@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53053

anybody know if they (UNIDEN) will make one?

after @ an hour of studying the 780 manual, I am a master of my new toy.
just wish they made one in a hand held model.

1685. Re: ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 03:26:01 UTC
From: Scott in AZ <qinencnyn@lnubb.pbz>
Message-ID: <a9eh5ukvtl6h45kn8jd9otfbtdaq03s3q7@4ax.com>
Source record: 53054

On Mon, 28 Jan 2002 19:28:10 GMT, satan777us@yahoo.com (Richard Gozinya) wrote:

>Am I missing something here?

Not a thing. Go buy the PRO-93, Dick.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1686. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 05:03:19 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a3aj6v$16giri$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Rats...

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3C57E234.20406@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> Lurking Fool wrote:
>
> > "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
> > news:a3A48.523$3Y3.158831@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> >
> >>It is against the law in almost every state for an
> >>individual to have a scanner that can receive police
> >>and public safety frequencies in public or in a car.
> >>Police, fire fighters, journalists and amateur radio
> >>operators are exempted. This is state law, not federal.
> >>
> >
>
>
> The above is a VERY incorrect statement. Most states do NOT have an
> anti scanner law. MOST states you can have a scanner in your car.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

1687. Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 07:04:05 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <F%568.11454$bIE.4813@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 53056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would like everyone if they can to send the following letter or something like it to your local radio and tv stations, or newspaper office, to try and get more services like this throughout the U.S. & Canada With alot of people in these groups, if we can spread this out a little bit throughout these 2 countries to the media. Then more interesting sites will pop up that have either alerts or online scanners through the media. I have been only able to catch my interest in a select few.

I would also like your thoughts on this. Does this sound like a corny idea or do you think a letter like this would have an effect on news editors or webmasters for these stations. email me at the following owner-livescanneraudio@yahoogroups.com

Here is the attached letter folks. Oh and once again, sorry for the cross post.

Randy

I have thought of a wonderful idea for your tv station and or newspaper. KTLA (Http://ktla.com) in L.A. California always broadcasts live police chases along with other live incidents, fires', standoffs, etc. Along with many other tv stations out there.

It would be nice to see your tv station or newspaper have this sort of action too. KTLA in L.A. Calif. is one of the top tv stations there.

If you mix that along with alerts to people via email and pagers and cell phone pagers, you can increase your audience. If you want to know a little bit about what I'm talking about you should visit these sites. http://www.incidentpage.net or Http://www.pursuitwatch.com , it would be however be a bit better if they were free services though.

I think doing something like this would certainly pick up more ratings or readers for your region. Another good point to would be providing live scanner audio on the website also such as http://www.policescanner.com , that would totally enhance the site as well as your tv station or media. If you look at our group http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio you can learn how to do this at NO COST to your station or paper. I would think that your news dept. already has a scanner in it.

I hope you take these ideas into consideration, as they would in my mind bring in more viewers and readers, I know that if a station locally would have this sort of action, I would become more of a viewer or reader or listener.
I would think that in a Metro area like yours and surrounding areas, there would be plenty of action going on. Many of the sites below, do have some

chit chat about different incident's that do take place in your region.

Thank you for your consideration,

Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio>
National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan>
NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
<http://www.nysing.org>
Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories>
Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
<http://www.thebeerstore.ca>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

--

Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca

1688. Re: handheld BC-780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 07:06:57 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com>
Message-ID: <I2668.56893$B61.19473339@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 53057

I very, very seriously doubt it... their eggs will all be in the "digital scanner" basket coming up later this year... the 250D handheld and 785D base/mobile

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"scandude" <scandude@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:_d268.8764$By6.1019698@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> anybody know if they (UNIDEN) will make one?
>
> after @ an hour of studying the 780 manual, I am a master of my new toy.
> just wish they made one in a hand held model.
>
>

1689. Re: Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 07:29:18 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <in668.3591$2x2.143847@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

one problem is. In Canada and the US. Both have a law about rebrodcasting transmissions. In Canada it is not illegal to listen to what you want. But it is illegal to rebroadcast the transmission. without 3rd party consent. rebroadcast in any form. phone, frequency wise. internet. I am not sure if you remember a user from Calgary Alberta got in huge trouble for it. It was within the last three years. But I forget when it was exactly. He was rebroadcast live on the internet, Ambulance transmissions. A employee from the Ambulance stumbled on his site. All Hell broke lose. He had many court appearances and fines.
It may be a fun thing to listen to. But with the laws. who knows. Then there is no privacy.

Dev

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
news:F%568.11454$bIE.4813@news1.bloor.is...
> I would like everyone if they can to send the following letter or
something
> like it to your local radio and tv stations, or newspaper office, to try
and
> get more services like this throughout the U.S. & Canada With alot of
> people in these groups, if we can spread this out a little bit throughout
> these 2 countries to the media. Then more interesting sites will pop up
> that have either alerts or online scanners through the media. I have been
> only able to catch my interest in a select few.
>
> I would also like your thoughts on this. Does this sound like a corny
idea
> or do you think a letter like this would have an effect on news editors or
> webmasters for these stations. email me at the following
> owner-livescanneraudio@yahoogroups.com
>
> Here is the attached letter folks. Oh and once again, sorry for the cross
> post.
>
> Randy
>
>
>
> I have thought of a wonderful idea for your tv station and or newspaper.
> KTLA (Http://ktla.com) in L.A. California always broadcasts live police
> chases along with other live incidents, fires', standoffs, etc. Along
with
> many other tv stations out there.

>
> It would be nice to see your tv station or newspaper have this sort of
> action too. KTLA in L.A. Calif. is one of the top tv stations there.
>
> If you mix that along with alerts to people via email and pagers and cell
> phone pagers, you can increase your audience. If you want to know a
little
> bit about what I'm talking about you should visit these sites.
> http://www.incidentpage.net or Http://www.pursuitwatch.com , it would be
> however be a bit better if they were free services though.
>
> I think doing something like this would certainly pick up more ratings or
> readers for your region. Another good point to would be providing live
> scanner audio on the website also such as http://www.policescanner.com ,
> that would totally enhance the site as well as your tv station or media.
If
> you look at our group http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio you
can
> learn how to do this at NO COST to your station or paper. I would think
> that your news dept. already has a scanner in it.
>
> I hope you take these ideas into consideration, as they would in my mind
> bring in more viewers and readers, I know that if a station locally would
> have this sort of action, I would become more of a viewer or reader or
> listener.
> I would think that in a Metro area like yours and surrounding areas, there
> would be plenty of action going on. Many of the sites below, do have some
> chit chat about different incident's that do take place in your region.
>
> Thank you for your consideration,
>
>
>
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio>
> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> <http://www.nysing.org>
> Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories>
> Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> <http://www.thebeerstore.ca>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

>
>
> --
>
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> http://www.nysing.org
>
> Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories
>
> Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> http://www.thebeerstore.ca
>
>

1690. Re: move a hard disk of boxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 07:34:55 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <zs668.3518$cy1.141501@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53059

lol. is he selling boxes. Boxes of hard drives. or hard drive cases to protect hard drives. hehe

Dev

"Doug" <Newsgroups@thelawlessboys.com> wrote in message news:1tX58.17213$qN3.172363@news1.mts.net...
> I'm thinking lui needs a better translation program.....
>
>
> "liu" <sdwhsblyj3721@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:a386u7$1gqt$671@news.cz.js.cn...
> >
> > Newest moving is stored , the price is low , capacity is
> > large , can be according to the needs of yours the
> > wanton size of adjustment capacity. This kind of
> > equipment is to move a hard disk of boxes. Moving the
> > box appearance of the hard disk exquisitly, succeed
> > through alloy material, anti-vibration is designed , to
> > dispel the heat is good, little volume, easy to hold
> > under the arm and bring and to install is convenient,
> > Namely insert and use USB interface promptly, price is .
> > e-mail:sdwhsblyj3721@hotmail.com
> >
> >
>
>

1691. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 07:40:05 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <px668.3521$cy1.143379@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53060
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

not listening to the whole thing. cause this thread is long enough. If you want to be anonymous. Why do you have your callsign as your username and email ? I wouldn't even think of using my callsign on a newsgroup. since the info is readily available of your name. address and location. but you are pretty poular on one site. Lookups: 934. my oh my.

"KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:a378gqikp0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Stagger 'TexASS TURD' Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
> news:i.own.kc8jbo@houston-hunks.com...
> >
> > On Tue, 29 Jan 2002 13:16:19 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
> > >
> [Restore what the Taliban-supporting Faggot Lee waddled his fatass away
> from]
>
> "Stagger 'TexASS TURD Douglas Jerry" Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote
in
> message news:Y48.88184$Y17.5656@houston-hunks.com...
> >
> > Back to <>
>
> Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
> "Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
> revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no
credibility
> in message
> <3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :
>
> "Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
> status makes the story even less credible."
> --
> Chickenshit COWARD Faggot Lee pathetically tries to justify his hiding
> behind anonimity in <3B8FFFF5.143FA347@houston-hunks.com>:
>
> "...readers have already had uninvited people show up at their
> homes, have had people subscribe them to magazines and book clubs, have
> received hang-up calls in the middle of the night, have had poison pen
> letters written to their neighbors and employers, and have had poison
> pen email sent to their ISPs in an attempt to get their accounts
> canceled. That's not a "what if" scenario either: It has happened
> repeatedly to certain members of these news groups, yours truly included
> (one person has emailed repeated lies to Altopia in an attempt to learn

> my identity). One of our readers has even had to go to the courts and
> obtain an injunction against one of those nuts.
> I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in
> physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are
> only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of
> people who read these posts."
>
> Then the no-balls coward ends his feminine cuntly whining with:
> "And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT YOUR
> TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN. Until you learn a few things, you ought to
> shut your arrogant mouth."
> [End restore]
>
> > Don't pay[drivelsnip]
>
> Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
> "Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
> revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no
credibility
> in message
> <3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :
>
> "Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
>
> --
> From: Rev Turd Fredericks <turdfred@catholic.org>
> Message-ID: <PM000391D524FF3746@dhcppc1.home.com>
>
> "Stagger Lee is anti-free speech because he originally came in here
> demanding that Steve Geilda, a regular in this group, cancel Roger
> Wiseman's account on his server because he didn't like what Roger was
> saying. That is anti-free speech."
>
>
>
>

1692. PRO-93 Hiss Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 08:11:41 UTC
From: squirrelmaster@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <466f1411.0201310011.5dbcfdd8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53061

I just got a PRO-93 and noticed that it emits a slight hiss sound (whith no signal received), is this normal? It's a bit annoying in a quiet environment.

Also the audio (while receiving a signal) seems to have more hiss in it as compared my PRO-43 scanner. The PRO-43 audio sounds better because of this.

Is all this normal or do I have a bad unit?

Thanks, SM

1693. Re: Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 08:31:11 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <u5i0g0lsur4jd6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It is, simply and clearly, illegal in the USA for them to engage in the re-broadcasting of this material, under the communications act of 1934, which clearly states that you may not "divulge" what you hear to anyone. I would say that re-broadcasting this to hundreds or thousands of people is "divulging", on a large scale !

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message news:F%568.11454$bIE.4813@news1.bloor.is...
> I would like everyone if they can to send the following letter or something
> like it to your local radio and tv stations, or newspaper office, to try and
> get more services like this throughout the U.S. & Canada With alot of
> people in these groups, if we can spread this out a little bit throughout
> these 2 countries to the media. Then more interesting sites will pop up
> that have either alerts or online scanners through the media. I have been
> only able to catch my interest in a select few.
>
> I would also like your thoughts on this. Does this sound like a corny idea
> or do you think a letter like this would have an effect on news editors or
> webmasters for these stations. email me at the following
> owner-livescanneraudio@yahoogroups.com
>
> Here is the attached letter folks. Oh and once again, sorry for the cross
> post.
>
> Randy
>
>
>
> I have thought of a wonderful idea for your tv station and or newspaper.
> KTLA (Http://ktla.com) in L.A. California always broadcasts live police
> chases along with other live incidents, fires', standoffs, etc. Along with
> many other tv stations out there.
>
> It would be nice to see your tv station or newspaper have this sort of
> action too. KTLA in L.A. Calif. is one of the top tv stations there.
>
> If you mix that along with alerts to people via email and pagers and cell
> phone pagers, you can increase your audience. If you want to know a little

> bit about what I'm talking about you should visit these sites.
> http://www.incidentpage.net or Http://www.pursuitwatch.com , it would be
> however be a bit better if they were free services though.
>
> I think doing something like this would certainly pick up more ratings or
> readers for your region. Another good point to would be providing live
> scanner audio on the website also such as http://www.policescanner.com ,
> that would totally enhance the site as well as your tv station or media.
If
> you look at our group http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio you
can
> learn how to do this at NO COST to your station or paper. I would think
> that your news dept. already has a scanner in it.
>
> I hope you take these ideas into consideration, as they would in my mind
> bring in more viewers and readers, I know that if a station locally would
> have this sort of action, I would become more of a viewer or reader or
> listener.
> I would think that in a Metro area like yours and surrounding areas, there
> would be plenty of action going on. Many of the sites below, do have some
> chit chat about different incident's that do take place in your region.
>
> Thank you for your consideration,
>
>
>
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio>
> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> <http://www.nysing.org>
> Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories>
> Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> <http://www.thebeerstore.ca>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02
>
>
> --
>
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>

> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> http://www.nysing.org
>
> Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories
>
> Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> http://www.thebeerstore.ca
>
>

1694. Re: Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 09:04:11 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <fM768.8599$liz.3613@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

So you tell me then , how a company such as this advance.net, who owns a
few newspapers, doesn't get into trouble like this along with other
newspapers in the states. Now come an back this up will you. These
scanners have been on for a very long time now.

http://advance.net/index.ssf?/advance_internet/about.html

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:u5i0g0lsur4jd6@corp.supernews.com...
> It is, simply and clearly, illegal in the USA for them to engage in the
> re-broadcasting of this material, under the communications act of 1934,
> which clearly states that you may not "divulge" what you hear to anyone. I
> would say that re-broadcasting this to hundreds or thousands of people is
> "divulging", on a large scale !
>
> "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:F%568.11454$bIE.4813@news1.bloor.is...
> > I would like everyone if they can to send the following letter or
> something
> > like it to your local radio and tv stations, or newspaper office, to try
> and
> > get more services like this throughout the U.S. & Canada With alot of
> > people in these groups, if we can spread this out a little bit
throughout
> > these 2 countries to the media. Then more interesting sites will pop up
> > that have either alerts or online scanners through the media. I have
been
> > only able to catch my interest in a select few.
> >
> > I would also like your thoughts on this. Does this sound like a corny
> idea
> > or do you think a letter like this would have an effect on news editors
or
> > webmasters for these stations. email me at the following
> > owner-livescanneraudio@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Here is the attached letter folks. Oh and once again, sorry for the
cross
> > post.
> >
> > Randy
> >
> >
> >

> > I have thought of a wonderful idea for your tv station and or newspaper.
> > KTLA (Http://ktla.com) in L.A. California always broadcasts live
police
> > chases along with other live incidents, fires', standoffs, etc. Along
> with
> > many other tv stations out there.
> >
> > It would be nice to see your tv station or newspaper have this sort of
> > action too. KTLA in L.A. Calif. is one of the top tv stations there.
> >
> > If you mix that along with alerts to people via email and pagers and
cell
> > phone pagers, you can increase your audience. If you want to know a
> little
> > bit about what I'm talking about you should visit these sites.
> > http://www.incidentpage.net or Http://www.pursuitwatch.com , it would be
> > however be a bit better if they were free services though.
> >
> > I think doing something like this would certainly pick up more ratings
or
> > readers for your region. Another good point to would be providing live
> > scanner audio on the website also such as http://www.policescanner.com ,
> > that would totally enhance the site as well as your tv station or media.
> If
> > you look at our group http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio you
> can
> > learn how to do this at NO COST to your station or paper. I would think
> > that your news dept. already has a scanner in it.
> >
> > I hope you take these ideas into consideration, as they would in my mind
> > bring in more viewers and readers, I know that if a station locally
would
> > have this sort of action, I would become more of a viewer or reader or
> > listener.
> > I would think that in a Metro area like yours and surrounding areas,
there
> > would be plenty of action going on. Many of the sites below, do have
some
> > chit chat about different incident's that do take place in your region.
> >
> > Thank you for your consideration,
> >
> >
> >
> > Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> > <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio>
> > National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> > <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan>
> > NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> > <http://www.nysing.org>

> > Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> > <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories>
> > Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> > <http://www.thebeerstore.ca>
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> > Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> >
> > National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> >
> > NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> > http://www.nysing.org
> >
> > Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories
> >
> > Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> > http://www.thebeerstore.ca
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

1695. Re: Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 09:07:53 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <JP768.8616$liz.3620@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53064
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I also hate how you trollers, do nothing but complain about the stupid laws that are out there, and just don't stick with what's being posted. I don't need or care to hear about your stupid laws. You know that these broadcasts are out there. So those that do want to contibute to this. I thank you. And to the others that just like to complain about the laws that they barely know about.

To hell with ya..

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

1696. Re: handheld BC-780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 09:26:52 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <uc3i5uctd6tltdtngqau356nakmjcl4c81@4ax.com>
Source record: 53065

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Harry Marnell" <marnells@home.com>
spake thusly

>I very, very seriously doubt it... their eggs will all be in the "digital
>scanner" basket coming up later this year... the 250D handheld and 785D
>base/mobile

The new radios will NOT be digital,
they WILL be digital-capable with the use of the add-on digital board.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1697. Re: Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 09:32:41 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c590f28$0$9738$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53066
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey 'Champ', I think you're on a short fuse fella. All I was trying to do was let people know how fraught with problems a scheme such as yours is. If anyone cares to check out a number of the 'affiliates' of advance.net, they will see that the cams & radio cover items like

- talkback radio
- ski cams
- radio shows
- music programs
- news reports
- etc.

I couldn't find any live cams/radio covering broadcasts by police, ambulance, fire services or any other utilities, and I suggest to you that the reason for this is as quite a few folks (including myself) have suggested; the legal ramifications are simply too onerous to contemplate such transmissions. If all that still fails to convince you of the fact that there are many problems associated with live utility broadcasts, then may I respectfully suggest you change your handle from 'Champ' to 'Chump', and ask your doctor for a script for some valium to tide you over your S.O.L.
Regards,

Ruff

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
news:JP768.8616$liz.3620@news2.bloor.is...
I also hate how you trollers, do nothing but complain about the stupid laws that are out there, and just don't stick with what's being posted. I don't need or care to hear about your stupid laws. You know that these broadcasts are out there. So those that do want to contibute to this. I thank you.
And to the others that just like to complain about the laws that they barely know about.
To hell with ya..

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

1698. Re: Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 12:04:37 UTC
From: Richard Troha <devnull@nwsup.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16c2fc3260e877a7989691@mail.nwsup.com>
Source record: 53067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3c590f28$0$9738$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>,
roughplanet@optushome.com.au says...
> Hey 'Champ', I think you're on a short fuse fella. All I was trying to do
> was let people know how fraught with problems a scheme such as yours is. If
> anyone cares to check out a number of the 'affiliates' of advance.net, they
> will see that the cams & radio cover items like
>
> - talkback radio
> - ski cams
> - radio shows
> - music programs
> - news reports
> - etc.
>
> I couldn't find any live cams/radio covering broadcasts by police,
> ambulance, fire services or any other utilities, and I suggest to you that
> the reason for this is as quite a few folks (including myself) have
> suggested; the legal ramifications are simply too onerous to contemplate
> such transmissions. If all that still fails to convince you of the fact that
> there are many problems associated with live utility broadcasts, then may I
> respectfully suggest you change your handle from 'Champ' to 'Chump', and ask
> your doctor for a script for some valium to tide you over your S.O.L.
> Regards,

http://radio.broadcast.com/radio/embedded/scanner_signals

http://www.scanohio.com/live/index.htm

1699. Re: PRO-93 Hiss Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 14:04:48 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nospam.iname.com>
Message-ID: <9rji5ukunom68g5l8rtv3dr1j6ks6kkhsp@4ax.com>
Source record: 53068

On 31 Jan 2002 00:11:41 -0800, squirrelmaster@hushmail.com (Squirrel)
wrote:

>I just got a PRO-93 and noticed that it emits a slight hiss sound
>(whith no signal received), is this normal? It's a bit annoying in a
>quiet environment.
>
>Also the audio (while receiving a signal) seems to have more hiss in
>it as compared my PRO-43 scanner. The PRO-43 audio sounds better
>because of this.
>
>Is all this normal or do I have a bad unit?
>
>Thanks, SM

I'm not sure about the 93, but all Pro-92's have that annoying "hiss".

1700. How do I find trunk control channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 14:11:16 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0201310611.38118454@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

I have a BC 780XLT and I have Scancat SE edition software.

I'd like to set up the scanner and/or the software to scan all the bands where trunk systems might exist to learn what's out there. Is there a way to set the scanner (maybe using Scancat, maybe not) to scan through the bands and look for and log control channels?

If the scanner hears a control channel can it try all the different trunking modes it knows about to figure out what kind of control channel it hears?

Thanks,
John

1701. Re: Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 14:35:40 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c59562a$0$9744$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 53070
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Richard Troha" <devnull@nwsup.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.16c2fc3260e877a7989691@mail.nwsup.com...

http://radio.broadcast.com/radio/embedded/scanner_signals

http://www.scanohio.com/live/index.htm

Thanks Richard. I couldn't find any 'live' scanner transmissions as I don't know the US net setup very well, but even with the sites you gave me, still didn't manage one police broadcast, and only one Fire Department. However, they ARE there, and presumably are re-transmitting the signals of many police & other utility stations live, despite the risks involved (legal that is). Given the propensity for litigation that is so much part of the American way of life these days, I consider their decision courageous to say the least. Undoubtedly they have thoroughly investigated the legality of these broadcasts, and must have been given the green light, but still I confess my amazement at finding anyone who would do as they have done. I applaud their actions, which fly in the face of so many US 'hardliners' who are working tirelessly to remove what little 'freedom of the airways' still exist. I also agree with 'Champ' in that as many readers of this newsgroup as possible should be trying to encourage their local radio, television & net broadcasters to 'go with the flow' now that precedents have been set. Very little 'news' has the excitement factor of real time utility broadcasts, a fact that I'm sure could be communicated to many of the aforementioned organizations with little effort.
So strike while the iron is hot (read: utility re-broadcasting is still legal). It can only do immeasurable good to the hobby of scanning.

Ruff

1702. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 14:49:17 UTC
From: jim menning <jmenning@new.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NPc68.53504$ax5.1265752@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 53071
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Stagger Lee is the anonymous one, everyone else already
knows Roger!

Jim Menning

"Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
news:px668.3521$cy1.143379@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> not listening to the whole thing. cause this thread
is long enough. If you
> want to be anonymous. Why do you have your callsign
as your username and
> email ? I wouldn't even think of using my callsign on
a newsgroup. since the
> info is readily available of your name. address and
location. but you are
> pretty poular on one site. Lookups: 934. my oh my.
>

1703. Radio Shack Repair Service question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 14:58:58 UTC
From: Bob Masse <kh6zv9@pe.net>
Message-ID: <C1.91.sH2k62TzHa55bZ6.NR@pe.net>
Source record: 53072

1 1/2 months ago I sent my Pro-92 packing to the radio shack repair
center. The store at the time told me it would be 3 weeks. Any
one have experience with the repair turnover with Ratshack?

--

Bob Masse Kh6zv9@pe.net

1704. Visual 780 live feeds from the Boston Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 15:22:27 UTC
From: John Mullaney <john@attbroadband.com>
Message-ID: <Tid68.16288$%h1.6746301@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Visual 780 live feeds from the Boston Area:

http://www.scanboston.com/bosscan.asx ScanBoston.com Scanner

http://www.scancapecod.net/scancapecod.asx ScanCapeCod.net Scanner

http://www.firstduephotos.com/firstduelivescanner.asx (intermittent feed right now....) FirstDuePhotos.com Scanner

The rest of the Boston Area live feeds: http://www.scanboston.com/live.htm

http://www.scanboston.com

http://www.scancapecod.net

1705. Re: Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 15:53:46 UTC
From: Press Releases <pr@shadeslanding.com>
Message-ID: <u5ips6csfqh9f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out: http://www.watchingthemwatchingus.com/

The site is currently being completed... but when finished it WILL feature live scanner broadcasts for much of the public service frequencies in Dakota County Minnesota.

The 1934 U.S. law everyone in this thread is talking about centers on divulging information contained in the transmissions (i.e. As if you or I were to tell someone about what WE heard). Here, the transmissions are being broadcast LIVE - and the contents are not being "divulged" to others. Granted a fine distinction - but one that I've been told by legal counsel can withstand legal interpretation.

We had an issue with Farmington MN who would not give us their 10-codes used in public radio transmissions under a request we made under MN Data Practices statutes. They claimed 10-codes were security information which is protected information. We say hog-wash and will post the 10-codes they use that we have knowledge of when the site opens.

One of the things we are fighting to protect in America is our freedom... and that includes public access to public data. Public service transmissions and the explanation of 10-codes used in those transmissions so the public can understand them is in our opinion essential to a free society.

One other note... in nearly every state in the U.S., it is illegal to have a radio capable of receiving police transmissions in a vehicle... UNLESS you are a licensed Amateur Radio Operator. So get your license and enjoy mobile scanning once you have checked the laws for your state.

Regards,
GS

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
news:F%568.11454$bIE.4813@news1.bloor.is...
> I would like everyone if they can to send the following letter or
something
> like it to your local radio and tv stations, or newspaper office, to try
and
> get more services like this throughout the U.S. & Canada With alot of
> people in these groups, if we can spread this out a little bit throughout
> these 2 countries to the media. Then more interesting sites will pop up
> that have either alerts or online scanners through the media. I have been
> only able to catch my interest in a select few.
>
> I would also like your thoughts on this. Does this sound like a corny

idea
> or do you think a letter like this would have an effect on news editors or
> webmasters for these stations. email me at the following
> owner-livescanneraudio@yahoogroups.com
>
> Here is the attached letter folks. Oh and once again, sorry for the cross
> post.
>
> Randy
>
>
>
> I have thought of a wonderful idea for your tv station and or newspaper.
> KTLA (Http://ktla.com) in L.A. California always broadcasts live police
> chases along with other live incidents, fires', standoffs, etc. Along
with
> many other tv stations out there.
>
> It would be nice to see your tv station or newspaper have this sort of
> action too. KTLA in L.A. Calif. is one of the top tv stations there.
>
> If you mix that along with alerts to people via email and pagers and cell
> phone pagers, you can increase your audience. If you want to know a
little
> bit about what I'm talking about you should visit these sites.
> http://www.incidentpage.net or Http://www.pursuitwatch.com , it would be
> however be a bit better if they were free services though.
>
> I think doing something like this would certainly pick up more ratings or
> readers for your region. Another good point to would be providing live
> scanner audio on the website also such as http://www.policescanner.com ,
> that would totally enhance the site as well as your tv station or media.
If
> you look at our group http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio you
can
> learn how to do this at NO COST to your station or paper. I would think
> that your news dept. already has a scanner in it.
>
> I hope you take these ideas into consideration, as they would in my mind
> bring in more viewers and readers, I know that if a station locally would
> have this sort of action, I would become more of a viewer or reader or
> listener.
> I would think that in a Metro area like yours and surrounding areas, there
> would be plenty of action going on. Many of the sites below, do have some
> chit chat about different incident's that do take place in your region.
>
> Thank you for your consideration,
>
>
>

> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio>
> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> <http://www.nysing.org>
> Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories>
> Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> <http://www.thebeerstore.ca>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02
>
>
> --
>
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> http://www.nysing.org
>
> Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories
>
> Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> http://www.thebeerstore.ca
>
>

1706. gorgeousmack@hotmail.com RIPOFF ALERT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 18:11:33 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c59887a.125651377@news.mint.net>
Source record: 53075

Hey check this ripoff piece of shit's identity out,don't be ripped off
by this person.......ah hahahahaah har har har....woooo hoooo ,they
have been posting bogus items and are under investigation for Federal
Mail Fraud...DON"T GET RIPPED.....check it out he's QUEER
..ahahahahahahahahahaahahahhar ahar ahara ahhahahahahahahahahar ahar
ahahahahaha har ahar

alt.personals.transgendered
Single Black Male looking for TS!
Your location doesn't matter as long as you are single and not about
games!

alt.transgendered
Black Man wants TS FOR RELATIONSHIP!
Email me with pics!

rec.radio.scanner
FS:Radio Shack Pro 2006
Radio Shack Pro 2006
Great shape,800 mod done,money order,cashier's check,western
union,$200 plus shipping email
me at Gorgeousmack@hotmail.com

rec.radio.cb
FS:Texas Star DX 3200 $600 or best offer!
gorgeousmack@hotmail.com (Allen) wrote in message
news:<d0345038.0112281943.51be1466@posting.google.com>...
3 YEARS OLD WORKS PERFECT! EMAIL ME WITH SERIOUS INQURIES ONLY!

1707. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 18:46:58 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <Cig68.5780$cy1.180379@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ahhhh. Ok. that is wy I said I didn't know. I read almost all this thread since it started a few months back. but got lost half way thru.

sorry

Dev

"jim menning" <jmenning@new.rr.com> wrote in message news:NPc68.53504$ax5.1265752@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> Stagger Lee is the anonymous one, everyone else already
> knows Roger!
>
> Jim Menning
>
>
> "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
> news:px668.3521$cy1.143379@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > not listening to the whole thing. cause this thread
> is long enough. If you
> > want to be anonymous. Why do you have your callsign
> as your username and
> > email ? I wouldn't even think of using my callsign on
> a newsgroup. since the
> > info is readily available of your name. address and
> location. but you are
> > pretty poular on one site. Lookups: 934. my oh my.
> >
>
>
>

1708. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 18:48:00 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3c6cu$t85$2@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 53078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"jim menning" <jmenning@new.rr.com> wrote in message
news:NPc68.53504$ax5.1265752@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> Stagger Lee is the anonymous one, everyone else already
> knows Roger!

Says the anonymous coward who posts anonymously.....from your rr.com isp.

> Jim Menning
>
>
> "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
> news:px668.3521$cy1.143379@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...

It's Al Spencer:Blowjobs for hire, Industry Canada's well-known repeater
jammer.

> > not listening to the whole thing. cause this thread
> is long enough. If you
> > want to be anonymous. Why do you have your callsign
> as your username and
> > email ? I wouldn't even think of using my callsign on
> a newsgroup. since the
> > info is readily available of your name. address and
> location. but you are
> > pretty poular on one site. Lookups: 934. my oh my.

Aww, do you really want to lick my ass just like you did to that balck
master in prison?

1709. Re: Radio Shack Repair Service question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 19:29:34 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020131142934.17002.00000299@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 53077

>Subject: Radio Shack Repair Service question

>From: "Bob Masse" kh6zv9@pe.net

>1 1/2 months ago I sent my Pro-92 packing to the radio shack repair
>center. The store at the time told me it would be 3 weeks. Any
>one have experience with the repair turnover with Ratshack?
>
>
>--
> --------------------------
> Bob Masse Kh6zv9@pe.net
> --------------------------

Completely variable. There are several repair centers nationwide, some are fast, some are good, some are fast & good, some are slow & good and some are just slow. There is no reason to tolerate 6 weeks for a repair on their own product.They are limited in what they can do on a scanner anyway (they don't do much component level work, they change boards usually). Let then know you are not happy and ask for the District Manager's phone number. If that doesn't get it back for you right away, call the DM & ask for some action.
Neutrodyne

1710. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 19:41:09 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3c734$1j9$0@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 53080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
news:Cig68.5780$cy1.180379@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> ahhhh. Ok. that is wy I said I didn't know. I read almost all this thread
> since it started a few months back. but got lost half way thru.
>
> sorry
>
> Dev

And I'm not afraid to give my callsign...which is more credible.

> "jim menning" <jmenning@new.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:NPc68.53504$ax5.1265752@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> > Stagger Lee is the anonymous one, everyone else already
> > knows Roger!
> >
> > Jim Menning
> >
> >
> > "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
> > news:px668.3521$cy1.143379@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > > not listening to the whole thing. cause this thread
> > is long enough. If you
> > > want to be anonymous. Why do you have your callsign
> > as your username and
> > > email ? I wouldn't even think of using my callsign on
> > a newsgroup. since the
> > > info is readily available of your name. address and
> > location. but you are
> > > pretty poular on one site. Lookups: 934. my oh my.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

1711. Airforce One & Secret Service Photos and Freqs!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 19:45:29 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C599ED9.4070003@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 53079

President Bush was in Daytona Beach. While here I had a chance to research frequencies used by the Secret Service. I also took some interesting photos of AirForce One, Secret Service Comm Vehicle, and the President's car. For detailed photos and what I learned about communications during his visit please visit http://www.MilAirComms.com

Before to click on the pictures in order to see the full sized image, there are some good ones!

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1712. Re: Send this to your local media affiliates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 19:50:02 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <Kdh68.12106$bIE.8756@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 53081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are you ever dumb then if you can't search through a friggin site properly. Here are the scanners presented by the http://www.advance.net affiliates I am talking about. Also if you go to shoutcast.com and do a search for scanner, you will find many.

Here is my list:

http://www.al.com/huntsvillepolice/
http://www.cleveland.com/policescanner/
http://www.masslive.com/police/springfield/
http://www.masslive.com/police/holyoke/
http://www.nj.com/policescanner/
http://www.oregonlive.com/policescanner/
http://www.pennlive.com/policescanner/
http://www.silive.com/policescanner/
http://www.syracuse.com/police/

And other newspapers such as The Caller Times from Chorpus Christi Texas, they've got there scanner in the clear, and very interesting one I might add as well. http://www.caller2.com/multimedia/police/

And don't tell me that any of that are opposed the broadcasting and divulging crap that you mentioned haven't yet listened to the scanner broadcasts of the tragic WTC events. Your telling me you didn't listen to these for your enjoyment on the net. BS.

What about the numerous police and fire dept.'s that are putting there own communications on the net. Take http://www.Firedispatch.com for instance those are all done straight from the communication centres.

You know these are all out there broadcasting scanner signals, so just go with it and for god sakes people. When some posts something to these boards, can ya please stay on the topic of what is being asked rather than posting something not related to what's being asked of...

So again, to all you folks, I would like to ask you to get in touch with your local media affiliates and ask them all to put a live scanner feed online as well.

Thanks,

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message news:3c590f28$0$9738$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Hey 'Champ', I think you're on a short fuse fella. All I was trying to do
> was let people know how fraught with problems a scheme such as yours is.
If

> anyone cares to check out a number of the 'affiliates' of advance.net,
they
> will see that the cams & radio cover items like
>
> - talkback radio
> - ski cams
> - radio shows
> - music programs
> - news reports
> - etc.
>
> I couldn't find any live cams/radio covering broadcasts by police,
> ambulance, fire services or any other utilities, and I suggest to you that
> the reason for this is as quite a few folks (including myself) have
> suggested; the legal ramifications are simply too onerous to contemplate
> such transmissions. If all that still fails to convince you of the fact
that
> there are many problems associated with live utility broadcasts, then may
I
> respectfully suggest you change your handle from 'Champ' to 'Chump', and
ask
> your doctor for a script for some valium to tide you over your S.O.L.
> Regards,
>
> Ruff
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

1713. Re: How do I find trunk control channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 21:38:12 UTC
From: Eric Cartman <ec@cheesypoofs.com>
Message-ID: <3101200213381256555%ec@cheesypoofs.com>
Source record: 53082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <d89364bd.0201310611.38118454@posting.google.com>,
johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:

> Hi,
>
> I have a BC 780XLT and I have Scancat SE edition software.
>
> I'd like to set up the scanner and/or the software to scan all the
> bands where trunk systems might exist to learn what's out there. Is
> there a way to set the scanner (maybe using Scancat, maybe not) to
> scan through the bands and look for and log control channels?
>
> If the scanner hears a control channel can it try all the different
> trunking modes it knows about to figure out what kind of control
> channel it hears?
>
> Thanks,
> John

The best way to find control channels in your area is to use the FCC database at the FCC website. Search for freqs in your area, or do a radio service search for YB, this will yield trunks for you to try. You can do it the old fashioned way, by listening. By listening to control channels of known systems you will get an idea of what to listen for. You then can use the 780s control channel only feature to probe the system...this works for motorola only.

For what you want to do you need more expensive software. They sell a program for around 500.00US that will do it. Depending on where you live this may be worth it. If you live in a city with lots of trunk traffic and no one has done the job for you yet, you could publish your findings in a scanner guide and sell it to the local stores that sell scanners.....I live in Northern California and have done just that. Over the years I have sold about 2000 copies of the guide and that buys a lot of radio gear. I have kept it low key but now Radio Shack is interested in carrying them so I may be making a lot more, which in turn would pay for that trunking software!

Who said your hobby has to cost you money!

1714. Re: How do I find trunk control channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 21:46:39 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C59BB3E.648FC8DD@amsat.org>
Source record: 53083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Eric Cartman wrote:

>
>
> The best way to find control channels in your area is to use the FCC
> database at the FCC website. Search for freqs in your area, or do a
> radio service search for YB, this will yield trunks for you to try. You
> can do it the old fashioned way, by listening. By listening to control
> channels of known systems you will get an idea of what to listen for.
> You then can use the 780s control channel only feature to probe the
> system...this works for motorola only.
>
> For what you want to do you need more expensive software. They sell a
> program for around 500.00US that will do it. Depending on where you
> live this may be worth it. If you live in a city with lots of trunk
> traffic and no one has done the job for you yet, you could publish your
> findings in a scanner guide and sell it to the local stores that sell
> scanners.....I live in Northern California and have done just that.
> Over the years I have sold about 2000 copies of the guide and that buys
> a lot of radio gear. I have kept it low key but now Radio Shack is
> interested in carrying them so I may be making a lot more, which in
> turn would pay for that trunking software!
>
> Who said your hobby has to cost you money!

Here in San Diego there used to be several individuals and groups that
would periodically publish such information,
sadly, they have all gone to "coming out soon" status.

~Bart~

1715. Re: Radio Shack Repair Service question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 22:38:02 UTC
From: katanaz <katanaz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u5jhqenmt5urc1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53084

Several years ago I took a PRO-2005 to RS for repair. They first quoted $150 which I agreed to. I didn't hear anything for about a month and finally called them again. They said the estimate had increased to $250, which I declined, and asked them for the scanner back. After another month of waiting and a second phone call I finally got the scanner back in working shape! The store honestly told me one of their service center techs took it home thinking I wouldn't want it back. Apparently the tech fixed it for their own use and had to give it back when they learned I officially wanted it back. From how the conversation sounded, I think they fired him.

"Bob Masse" <kh6zv9@pe.net> wrote in message
news:C1.91.sH2k62TzHa55bZ6.NR@pe.net...
> 1 1/2 months ago I sent my Pro-92 packing to the radio shack repair
> center. The store at the time told me it would be 3 weeks. Any
> one have experience with the repair turnover with Ratshack?
>
>
> --
> -------------------------
> Bob Masse Kh6zv9@pe.net
> -------------------------

1716. Re: ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 22:39:48 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0201311439.346ed4a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53085

Like any real live auction I've attended over the years people get caught up in the fever pitch and sometimes bid way over what an item costs new. It's the same on e-bay. It's real fun to watch two bidders "duke it out " at the last min of an e-bay auction and pay much more that the item is really worth......but that's the pleasure of having the money, time.... As far as cell scanners I really believe they are old news so to speak, after all most cell systems are digital , yes some analog systems across the US. Unless you live in a rural area your chances of hearing both sides of the conversation after they switch cell sites is pretty remote. I just think listening to cell conversation is pretty much boring. But it is amazing what people will pay on e-bay.

JM

1717. NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-01-31 23:47:07 UTC
From: allbell@vnet.net
Message-ID: <41c36732.0201311547.671f6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53086

I bought a little Radio Shack scanner because the whole Sept. 11 thing freaked me out and I want to have some vague idea what's going on in my area.

I've noticed when I'm listening that the cops a) Sometimes say stuff like "Go to Channel 6," or "Call me on the phone," and b) "Don't use names on the air."

My understanding is that, if the cops, NSA, etc. really want to know whether I'm scanning, they can probably detect my scanner with the same sorts of trucks that detect unlicensed television sets in the United Kingdom.

Questions:

1) Is it likely, in the NYC area, that my scanner is ever the only one scanning, or are there so many scanners that mine is always one of many? I.e., am I freaking out the cops all by my little self, or are cops just conscious of the likelihood that dozens or hundreds of people are always listening in?

2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the level of stress that my radio might cause?

1718. !FS: Uniden 895 XLT-Like New-Make Offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 00:08:45 UTC
From: sctreact@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020131190845.15961.00000843@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53087

I have a like new Uniden 895 XLT base/mobile scanner for sale.

Includes scanner and power supply. Scanner is in like new condition, never used mobile (not really used at all for that matter)

Just got the 780XLT and do not need the scanner anymore.

Make me an offer I can refuse!

Please reply via private email.

THANKS

-Peter

1719. Re: usb to serial seeking info for level 4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 00:10:29 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c59de89$0$35574$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 53088
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I, too, needed more serial ports for radio control. This week,
I installed a CompUSA brand "PCI High Speed Serial and Parallel Port
Adapter" card in my computer. It adds 2 serial and one
parallel port. The local CompUSA store had several
of them in stock. At $29, it's a good deal.

The UPC code on the box label is "0 49696 10155 4." The box
and primitive instruction sheet
claim it requires Windows, but experimentation
shows it works both on Windows and Linux (kernel 2.4.9-121)
for me.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1720. Re: usb to serial seeking info for level 4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 00:20:31 UTC
From: Edward Sulikowski <gibstahluvspam@home.net>
Message-ID: <jbl68.15720$X64.5393152@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 53089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks a lot.
Ye old group wiseman
:>

1721. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 00:53:40 UTC
From: Gerry Grzyb <grzyb@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <sipj5u8jjbmq0cplmq189mnum9m89b8u1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 53090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 29 Jan 2002 21:57:07 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>The most complete VHF & UHF ARTCC center directory is now complete! I've
>finished working on a Clickable Map System for displaying the ARTCC
>Frequencies for the center you click on. Along with the frequencies
>for each ARTC-Center you will also see an enlarged map of that centers
>airspace complete with the boundries of Military Operations Areas
>(MOAs), Prohibited, Restricted, Alert Areas, and Warning Areas.
>
>Please check it out at http://www.MilAirComms.com
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>

But your frequency list is the "official" one, and has numerous
omissions and outdated frequencies. For example, 121.375 has been THE
high-altitude frequency for south-central Wisconsin for many years,
but you won't find it in any list.

Oshkosh Gerry

1722. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 01:02:19 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C59E91B.3090503@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 53091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Gerry Grzyb wrote:

> But your frequency list is the "official" one, and has numerous
> omissions and outdated frequencies. For example, 121.375 has been THE
> high-altitude frequency for south-central Wisconsin for many years,
> but you won't find it in any list.
>
> Oshkosh Gerry
>

Well I guess it doesn't get any more "official" than that. Yes it is from the current FAA database

which they use. I just again checked and 121.375 is not in their database.

You say there are numerous "outdated frequencies". Please let me know of these. I'll then check the US IFR Supplement. It might not be that they are outdated, it might be that they just are not "normally" used but still in the ATC system and can be called into service at the "flip of a switch" when a high volume of airtraffic is present making them needed.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1723. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 01:46:07 UTC
From: "R.U. Amused?" <n6ttd@spamsuxarrl.net>
Message-ID: <zrm68.3984$IZ4.5814@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 53092

Someone is a little bit wrapped up in himself.

"A.L. Bell" <allbell@vnet.net> wrote in message
news:41c36732.0201311547.671f6d@posting.google.com...
> I bought a little Radio Shack scanner because the whole Sept. 11 thing
> freaked me out and I want to have some vague idea what's going on in
> my area.
>
> I've noticed when I'm listening that the cops a) Sometimes say stuff
> like "Go to Channel 6," or "Call me on the phone," and b) "Don't use
> names on the air."
>
> My understanding is that, if the cops, NSA, etc. really want to know
> whether I'm scanning, they can probably detect my scanner with the
> same sorts of trucks that detect unlicensed television sets in the
> United Kingdom.
>
> Questions:
>
> 1) Is it likely, in the NYC area, that my scanner is ever the only one
> scanning, or are there so many scanners that mine is always one of
> many? I.e., am I freaking out the cops all by my little self, or are
> cops just conscious of the likelihood that dozens or hundreds of
> people are always listening in?
>
> 2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is
> there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
> level of stress that my radio might cause?

1724. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 01:46:41 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <5sm68.9124$liz.6312@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53093

hahahahahaha

This is so funny. You Americans get so paranoid.

"Will" <k7kkg@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
news:3snj5uchsigfgrpmiru071hs0gpobjjf53@4ax.com...
>
> It's too late for you. Your phone is tapped, they read all your e-mail
> and inspect everything that comes out the sewer pipe of your house.
> They've got you, there's nothing you can do now, you're toast.
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

1725. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 01:48:57 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <dum68.9126$liz.2665@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53094

If you don't want to be paranoid anymore, You are more than welcome to send me your scanner. I would be glad to take it off your hands, and then you don't have to worry your little self to death over this.

Canadian Scanner Freak

"A.L. Bell" <allbell@vnet.net> wrote in message news:41c36732.0201311547.671f6d@posting.google.com...
> I bought a little Radio Shack scanner because the whole Sept. 11 thing
> freaked me out and I want to have some vague idea what's going on in
> my area.
>
> I've noticed when I'm listening that the cops a) Sometimes say stuff
> like "Go to Channel 6," or "Call me on the phone," and b) "Don't use
> names on the air."
>
> My understanding is that, if the cops, NSA, etc. really want to know
> whether I'm scanning, they can probably detect my scanner with the
> same sorts of trucks that detect unlicensed television sets in the
> United Kingdom.
>
> Questions:
>
> 1) Is it likely, in the NYC area, that my scanner is ever the only one
> scanning, or are there so many scanners that mine is always one of
> many? I.e., am I freaking out the cops all by my little self, or are
> cops just conscious of the likelihood that dozens or hundreds of
> people are always listening in?
>
> 2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is
> there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
> level of stress that my radio might cause?

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

1726. Re: WTB:800 mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 01:55:49 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020131205549.28713.00000509@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 53095

hi monte,
i have a bearcat 235 300 ch. trunktracker
for sale for $100
let me know.
bob

1727. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 01:56:07 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RBm68.93$rA5.247261@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53096

Police all over the country talk like that. It has nothing to do with you, NYC, 9/11 or anything. Ch6 is usually a simplex or talkaround from dispatch. They can talk about what they ate for lunch, talk about their coworkers or how good their girlfriend was in bed last night, and dispatch won't hear a thing. They also use cell phones a lot. Nothing wrong with that. They don't pay for it. They send a lot of e-mails back and forth with their MDT's in their cars.Cops have other things to worry about besides someone with a scanner. Your scanner is a radio receiver. It's not illegal to have. No one has the manpower or money or even the equipment to drive around to try to detect a radio someone might have.I can guarantee you 100% that there are no police agencies that have equipment to detect whether or not you have a scanner. I have a scanner. It covers from 88Mhz to 108Mhz in the FM band .No one tries to detect that one. Same with my 93.

2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is
> there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
> level of stress that my radio might cause?

I have NO idea what your talking about. Sounds like 9/11 really freaked you out.

"A.L. Bell" <allbell@vnet.net> wrote in message
news:41c36732.0201311547.671f6d@posting.google.com...
> I bought a little Radio Shack scanner because the whole Sept. 11 thing
> freaked me out and I want to have some vague idea what's going on in
> my area.
>
> I've noticed when I'm listening that the cops a) Sometimes say stuff
> like "Go to Channel 6," or "Call me on the phone," and b) "Don't use
> names on the air."
>
> My understanding is that, if the cops, NSA, etc. really want to know
> whether I'm scanning, they can probably detect my scanner with the
> same sorts of trucks that detect unlicensed television sets in the
> United Kingdom.
>
> Questions:
>
> 1) Is it likely, in the NYC area, that my scanner is ever the only one
> scanning, or are there so many scanners that mine is always one of
> many? I.e., am I freaking out the cops all by my little self, or are
> cops just conscious of the likelihood that dozens or hundreds of
> people are always listening in?
>
> 2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is

> there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
> level of stress that my radio might cause?

1728. Re: usb to serial seeking info for level 4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 02:40:28 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qfn68.101$rA5.260991@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a question, because I'm having a problem.

1: Are you downloading to a scanner?
2: What's your OS?

You see, my notebook has no serial ports. I have a 93 I need to download to,
and am using XP. I've tried 2 usb/serial cables, none want to work for me.
Right now I have this cable http://www.pfranc.com/usb/usb.shtml and others
say it works for them, but not me. I'm at wits end trying to add a serial
port (or a reasonable facsimile) to my notebook and have it work properly.

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
news:3c59de89$0$35574$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> I, too, needed more serial ports for radio control. This week,
> I installed a CompUSA brand "PCI High Speed Serial and Parallel Port
> Adapter" card in my computer. It adds 2 serial and one
> parallel port. The local CompUSA store had several
> of them in stock. At $29, it's a good deal.
>
> The UPC code on the box label is "0 49696 10155 4." The box
> and primitive instruction sheet
> claim it requires Windows, but experimentation
> shows it works both on Windows and Linux (kernel 2.4.9-121)
> for me.
>
>
> --
> ===
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

1729. ? Best Deal On Icom PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 02:44:29 UTC
From: ddmga@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <296ea75a.0201311844.4ba8bfc6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Where can I find the best deal on an Icom IC-PCR100? I am in the US now but will be in Germany in the near future.

Thanks for any help!

-David

1730. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 02:49:34 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <2nn68.7325$cy1.235643@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 52999

I wish our cop shop used MDT terminals. Here they use CDPD and a laptop for their typing fun. :(

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:RBm68.93$rA5.247261@news.uswest.net...
> Police all over the country talk like that. It has nothing to do with you,
> NYC, 9/11 or anything. Ch6 is usually a simplex or talkaround from dispatch.
> They can talk about what they ate for lunch, talk about their coworkers or
> how good their girlfriend was in bed last night, and dispatch won't hear a
> thing. They also use cell phones a lot. Nothing wrong with that. They don't
> pay for it. They send a lot of e-mails back and forth with their MDT's in
> their cars.Cops have other things to worry about besides someone with a
> scanner. Your scanner is a radio receiver. It's not illegal to have. No one
> has the manpower or money or even the equipment to drive around to try to
> detect a radio someone might have.I can guarantee you 100% that there are no
> police agencies that have equipment to detect whether or not you have a
> scanner. I have a scanner. It covers from 88Mhz to 108Mhz in the FM band
> .No one tries to detect that one. Same with my 93.
>
> 2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is
> > there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
> > level of stress that my radio might cause?
>
> I have NO idea what your talking about. Sounds like 9/11 really freaked you
> out.
>
>
>
> "A.L. Bell" <allbell@vnet.net> wrote in message
> news:41c36732.0201311547.671f6d@posting.google.com...
> > I bought a little Radio Shack scanner because the whole Sept. 11 thing
> > freaked me out and I want to have some vague idea what's going on in
> > my area.
> >
> > I've noticed when I'm listening that the cops a) Sometimes say stuff
> > like "Go to Channel 6," or "Call me on the phone," and b) "Don't use
> > names on the air."
> >
> > My understanding is that, if the cops, NSA, etc. really want to know

> > whether I'm scanning, they can probably detect my scanner with the
> > same sorts of trucks that detect unlicensed television sets in the
> > United Kingdom.
> >
> > Questions:
> >
> > 1) Is it likely, in the NYC area, that my scanner is ever the only one
> > scanning, or are there so many scanners that mine is always one of
> > many? I.e., am I freaking out the cops all by my little self, or are
> > cops just conscious of the likelihood that dozens or hundreds of
> > people are always listening in?
> >
> > 2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is
> > there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
> > level of stress that my radio might cause?
>
>

1731. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 02:53:31 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <Lqn68.7330$cy1.236585@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 53000
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

lol. you must be some drunk or a troll. It is none of my concern what you
did in prison. I don't really want to know either. Do you think your a bit
low on vodka. You better buy some more before you get sober. Since you are
way too funny drunk.

"KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:a3c6cu$t85$2@dosa.alt.net...
>
> "jim menning" <jmenning@new.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:NPc68.53504$ax5.1265752@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> > Stagger Lee is the anonymous one, everyone else already
> > knows Roger!
>
> Says the anonymous coward who posts anonymously.....from your rr.com isp.
>
>
> > Jim Menning
> >
> >
> > "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
> > news:px668.3521$cy1.143379@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
>
> It's Al Spencer:Blowjobs for hire, Industry Canada's well-known repeater
> jammer.
>
>
> > > not listening to the whole thing. cause this thread
> > is long enough. If you
> > > want to be anonymous. Why do you have your callsign
> > as your username and
> > > email ? I wouldn't even think of using my callsign on
> > a newsgroup. since the
> > > info is readily available of your name. address and
> > location. but you are
> > > pretty poular on one site. Lookups: 934. my oh my.
>
> Aww, do you really want to lick my ass just like you did to that balck
> master in prison?
>
>

1732. Re: ? Best Deal On Icom PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 02:56:57 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a3d056$179c6h$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 53001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

I dunno about best deal but you may want to wait until you go to Germany. If
you buy one now it will be blocked of the freq that are cell in the US.
I hear of the below url concerning UK purchases. You may want to check them
out.

www.javiation.co.uk/

"David" <ddmga@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:296ea75a.0201311844.4ba8bfc6@posting.google.com...
> Where can I find the best deal on an Icom IC-PCR100? I am in the US
> now but will be in Germany in the near future.
>
> Thanks for any help!
>
> -David

1733. Probe V 6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 03:08:30 UTC
From: Biancado <Biancado@aol.com>
Message-ID: <OEn68.30141$B94.4527503@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53002

Can someone upload Probe version 6! TIA

1734. HELP! We need your Trunked Radio Information HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 03:15:42 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <yLn68.3$e72.7111822@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Everyone,

The Trunked Radio Information Homepage Database has grown to over 1450 systems with info from all over the world. We need YOUR help in verifying data on these pages to insure that only the most accurate up-to-date data is presented.

Please see the following link to view the database:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/db

We need YOUR help identifying new trunked radio systems, and insuring that the existing systems are kept up to date. Submissions of data can be made at the link above. A BIG Thank You to all those who have made the Trunked Radio Information Database the #1 source of Trunked Radio Data.

Warm regards,

The Trunked Radio Database Team

The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net
The Trunked Radio Information User Forums
http://www.trunkedradio.net/groups

1735. Re: Radio Shack Repair Service question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 03:38:05 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t5o68.109$rA5.279875@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 53004

I sent in my 93 last night (30th) cause my display went blank and I'm not messing around with it. My nearest service center is in Murray Utah, about an hour away from me. Mgr @ my local RS told me to come in on Tuesday (Feb 5) and it should be there. We'll see...

"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020131142934.17002.00000299@mb-fr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Radio Shack Repair Service question
>
> >From: "Bob Masse" kh6zv9@pe.net
>
> >1 1/2 months ago I sent my Pro-92 packing to the radio shack repair
> >center. The store at the time told me it would be 3 weeks. Any
> >one have experience with the repair turnover with Ratshack?
> >
> >
> >--
> > -------------------------
> > Bob Masse Kh6zv9@pe.net
> > -------------------------
>
> Completely variable. There are several repair centers nationwide, some are
> fast, some are good, some are fast & good, some are slow & good and some
are
> just slow. There is no reason to tolerate 6 weeks for a repair on their
own
> product.They are limited in what they can do on a scanner anyway (they
don't do
> much component level work, they change boards usually). Let then know you
are
> not happy and ask for the District Manager's phone number. If that doesn't
get
> it back for you right away, call the DM & ask for some action.
> Neutrodyne
>

1736. Re: usb to serial seeking info for level 4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 04:17:36 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c5a1874$0$35565$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 53005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am controlling IC-R8500 and NRD-545 receivers
using tk8500 and tk545 software I wrote (available
on my web page).

I use RedHat Linux 7.2 about 95% of the time and Windows 98 second
edition the remaining 5%. The PC is a 1400 MHz AMD Althon
system with 1 GB RAM and 2 60 GB hard drives (plus CD-RW, CD,
mag tape, etc.)

A buddy had a Compaq laptop which did not have serial ports.
He found this so limiting for his radio
uses that he replaced it with serial port-equipped HP model.

On Thu, 31 Jan 2002 20:40:28 -0600, Rob Taylor wrote:

> I have a question, because I'm having a problem.
>
> 1: Are you downloading to a scanner?
> 2: What's your OS?
>
> You see, my notebook has no serial ports. I have a 93 I need to download
> to, and am using XP. I've tried 2 usb/serial cables, none want to work
> for me. Right now I have this cable http://www.pfranc.com/usb/usb.shtml
> and others say it works for them, but not me. I'm at wits end trying to
> add a serial port (or a reasonable facsimile) to my notebook and have it
> work properly.

>

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1737. Re: ? Best Deal On Icom PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 04:31:46 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <SSo68.2867$9F4.727355501@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 53006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Who cares about listening to CELLULAR?? Why is this such a BIG DEAL?? Most
Cell phones are DIGITAL NOW ANYWAY on 1.6 ghz and higher..

Steve
"F73Yi8wT3" <AtHome@Excite.com> wrote in message
news:a3d056$179c6h$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> I dunno about best deal but you may want to wait until you go to Germany.
If
> you buy one now it will be blocked of the freq that are cell in the US.
> I hear of the below url concerning UK purchases. You may want to check
them
> out.
>
> www.javiation.co.uk/
>
> "David" <ddmga@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:296ea75a.0201311844.4ba8bfc6@posting.google.com...
> > Where can I find the best deal on an Icom IC-PCR100? I am in the US
> > now but will be in Germany in the near future.
> >
> > Thanks for any help!
> >
> > -David
>
>

1738. Re: usb to serial seeking info for level 4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 04:34:31 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <rVo68.30896$B94.4816263@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 53007
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As long as your laptop has a PCMCIA slot
you should be able to find some PCMCIA serial
adapters. I use one and it works perfectly.

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qfn68.101$rA5.260991@news.uswest.net...
> I have a question, because I'm having a problem.
>
> 1: Are you downloading to a scanner?
> 2: What's your OS
>
> You see, my notebook has no serial ports. I have a 93 I need to download
to,
> and am using XP. I've tried 2 usb/serial cables, none want to work for me.
> Right now I have this cable http://www.pfranc.com/usb/usb.shtml and others
> say it works for them, but not me. I'm at wits end trying to add a serial
> port (or a reasonable facsimile) to my notebook and have it work properly.
>
>
> "Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
> news:3c59de89$0$35574$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> > I, too, needed more serial ports for radio control. This week,
> > I installed a CompUSA brand "PCI High Speed Serial and Parallel Port
> > Adapter" card in my computer. It adds 2 serial and one
> > parallel port. The local CompUSA store had several
> > of them in stock. At $29, it's a good deal.
> >
> > The UPC code on the box label is "0 49696 10155 4." The box
> > and primitive instruction sheet
> > claim it requires Windows, but experimentation
> > shows it works both on Windows and Linux (kernel 2.4.9-121)
> > for me.
> >
> >
> > --
> >
==
> > Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
http://members.core.com/~parnass
> > Linux user
>
>

1739. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 04:38:28 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8Zo68.4015$I5.299455@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a3aj6v$16giri$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Rats...
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3C57E234.20406@licensed4fun.com...
> >
> >
> > Lurking Fool wrote:
> >
> > > "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
> > > news:a3A48.523$3Y3.158831@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > >
> > >>It is against the law in almost every state for an
> > >>individual to have a scanner that can receive police
> > >>and public safety frequencies in public or in a car.
> > >>Police, fire fighters, journalists and amateur radio
> > >>operators are exempted. This is state law, not federal.
> > >>
> > >
> >
> >
> > The above is a VERY incorrect statement. Most states do NOT have an
> > anti scanner law. MOST states you can have a scanner in your car.
> >
> > George
> > http://www.MilAirComms.com

6 states you cannot have it mobile either at all or you can have it with a
permit or ham liscense.

8 more it is illegal when used while commit a crime.

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

1740. To all that are interested in Live Scanner Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 05:16:42 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <_wp68.9512$liz.7908@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 53009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here is a list of active Scanners online. If you know of any that are not posted here, please let me know or you can join my live scanner audio group listed below. Thanks and enjoy.

Randy

--

Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca

I know some of these may be repeated here but here is all my bookmarks I have for Live Scanner Broadcasts. If I have missed your site or scanner audio on this list. Please let me know so I can update it. All these links are currently active scanner links. So if you have a website that you want to update for live scanners online. Here are some links to use.

Randy

http://www.scanbeaverpa.us.tt/
http://www.firstduephotos.com/firstduelivescanner.asx
http://www.brookfieldpd.org/scanners.htm
http://www.clickonbrooks.com/scanner.html
http://people.ne.mediaone.net/n1riw/ccfdlive.asx
http://www.caller2.com/multimedia/police/
http://www.cleveland.com/policescanner/
http://radioscanning.wox.org/Live_audio/Cpd_zone_4_live_audio.htm
http://radioscanning.wox.org/Live_audio/cpd_zone_5_live_audio.htm
http://coralsprings.com/scanner/index.htm
http://www.publicsafetycommunications.net/
http://www.bright.net/~mudsock/
http://evansvillescannerclub.freeservers.com/
http://www.firedispatch.com/
http://www.hernandoliving.com/scanner.shtml

http://www.masslive.com/police/holyoke/
http://www.chron.com/content/interactive/space/vdo/
http://www.al.com/huntsvillepolice/
http://www.nj.com/policescanner/
http://noboundriesradio.bravepages.com/
http://broadcast-weather.net/win/index.html
http://nypd.com/news.htm
http://omahasun.com/frontpage.html#
http://www.phoenix360.com/cgi-bin/vembed.pl?vurl=http://real.scripps.com:848
0/ramgen/encoder/phx360scanner.ra
http://www.policescanner.com/fire.stm
http://www.policescanner.com/police.stm
http://www.oregonlive.com/policescanner/
http://www.intap.net/~pcfn/live/live.htm
http://www.intap.net/~pcfn/live/pvdpd/pvdpd.htm
http://www.geocities.com/mack505a/scan.htm
http://www.geocities.com/saskatoonscanner/
http://www.scanboston.com/live.ram
http://www.scanboston.com/bosscan.asx
http://www.scancapecod.net/scancapecod.asx
http://www.masslive.com/police/springfield/
http://www.silive.com/policescanner/
http://www.syracuse.com/police/
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm
http://www.thebravest.com/bos/bos.htm
http://www.thebravest.com/bronx/bronx.htm
http://www.thebravest.com/brooklyn/brooklyn.htm
http://www.thebravest.com/manhattan/manhattan.htm
http://www.thebravest.com/pvd/pvd.htm
http://www.thebravest.com/queens/queens.htm
http://www.scanbc.com
http://www.scrantonfire.com/scanner1.html
http://www.tulsametromedia.net/scanner/
http://members.home.net/heatherandgreg/scanner.htm
http://www.pennlive.com/policescanner/
http://web.njctech.com:8080/

Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio>
National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan>
NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
<http://www.nysing.org>
Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories>
Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
<http://www.thebeerstore.ca>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).

Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.320 / Virus Database: 179 - Release Date: 30/01/02

1741. Re: How do I find trunk control channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 07:02:15 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <q9fk5ugtrs3dhvoke1v8hpo2e2aqghd3fi@4ax.com>
Source record: 53010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, f@l.se spake thusly

>Here in San Diego there used to be several individuals and groups that
>would periodically publish such information,
>sadly, they have all gone to "coming out soon" status.

I haven't ever said that. But then, no one has asked me for info recently.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1742. Re: Best mobile antenna? Commercial dualbander & 5" mag mount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 08:42:27 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Txs68.12908$By6.1403311@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53011

David,

I have a 2067 I use mobile. You do not need a preamp as that radio is about as sensitive as a radio can get & not overload in a high signal environment. I have an excellent setup that works great for me & it is under $50.00. There is a commercial dualband antenna available from a guy in Louisiana that retails for ~$22.00. It is cut for 150/450 commercial bands & has 3dB gain on VHF & 5dB on UHF. He also sells 5" magnet mounts for ~$20.00 with 17' of coax & a soldered PL-259 connector. These antennas are every bit as good as the ones suggested by others but the quality & performance are superb! He is also on eBay & I will include his master listing URL so you can see the items I am talking about:

His name is Jerry AD5AQ
Email address is pixmann2@yahoo.com
Palmetto Antennas
1107 Gains Ave
Ruston, La 71270

His eBay Master Listing:
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=pixmann&include=0&since=-1&sort=2&rows=25

You will not be disappointed. He sells an excellent antenna line. Tell him Rafman sent you! Enjoy!

David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in a message:
> Any recommendations? Scanner is a Pro 2067, frequency range is from about 150.000 to 465.000
> Would prefer not to drill any holes in my truck.
> TIA

1743. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 08:47:11 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020201034711.15936.00000740@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53012

>
>This is so funny. You Americans get so paranoid.

Yeah, but thats the cool thing about being American: We can be paranoid and don't have to worry about getting locked up if we openly admit we have reservations about our goverment.

1744. Re: Best mobile antenna? Commercial dualbander & 5" mag mount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 08:59:27 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <PNs68.12916$By6.1406874@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53013

The antenna I am talking about is 3dB on 150 MHz VHF & 6 dB on 450 MHz UHF (not 5), priced at $23.99 BIN & can be found at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1328859499

Jerry has just about anything you need for base & mobile antennas. Good guy, great products!

Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:Txs68.12908$By6.1403311@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> David,
>
> I have a 2067 I use mobile. You do not need a preamp as that radio is about
> as sensitive as a radio can get & not overload in a high signal environment.
> I have an excellent setup that works great for me & it is under $50.00.
> There is a commercial dualband antenna available from a guy in Louisiana
> that retails for ~$22.00. It is cut for 150/450 commercial bands & has 3dB
> gain on VHF & 5dB on UHF. He also sells 5" magnet mounts for ~$20.00 with
> 17' of coax & a soldered PL-259 connector. These antennas are every bit as
> good as the ones suggested by others but the quality & performance are
> superb! He is also on eBay & I will include his master listing URL so you
> can see the items I am talking about:
>
> His name is Jerry AD5AQ
> Email address is pixmann2@yahoo.com
> Palmetto Antennas
> 1107 Gains Ave
> Ruston, La 71270
>
> His eBay Master Listing:
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=pixmann&inc
> lude=0&since=-1&sort=2&rows=25
>
> You will not be disappointed. He sells an excellent antenna line. Tell him
> Rafman sent you! Enjoy!
>
> David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in a message:
> > Any recommendations? Scanner is a Pro 2067, frequency range is from about
> 150.000 to 465.000
> > Would prefer not to drill any holes in my truck.

> > TIA
>
>
>
>

1745. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 11:17:01 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3e370tju1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 53014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
news:Lqn68.7330$cy1.236585@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> lol. you must be some drunk or a troll.

LOL, you must be some kind of drunk or a retard.

>It is none of my concern what you did in prison. I don't really want to
know either.

IKYABWAI, Pee Wee. Try harder, or is that milliwatt output in that tiny
brain cell the maximum output?

> Do you think your

"You're," hoser.

>a bit low on vodka. You better buy some more before you get sober. Since
you are
> way too funny drunk.

Sorry to disappoint you, but I don't drink, STUPID.

> "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:a3c6cu$t85$2@dosa.alt.net...
> >
> > "jim menning" <jmenning@new.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:NPc68.53504$ax5.1265752@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> > > Stagger Lee is the anonymous one, everyone else already
> > > knows Roger!
> >
> > Says the anonymous coward who posts anonymously.....from your rr.com
isp.
> >
> >
> > > Jim Menning
> > >
> > >
> > > "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
> > > news:px668.3521$cy1.143379@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> >
> > It's Al Spencer:Blowjobs for hire, Industry Canada's well-known
repeater
> > jammer.
> >
> >
> > > > not listening to the whole thing. cause this thread

> > > is long enough. If you
> > > > want to be anonymous. Why do you have your callsign
> > > as your username and
> > > > email ? I wouldn't even think of using my callsign on
> > > a newsgroup. since the
> > > > info is readily available of your name. address and
> > > location. but you are
> > > > pretty poular on one site. Lookups: 934. my oh my.
> >
> > Aww, do you really want to lick my ass just like you did to that black
> > master in prison?

Dev's prison admission, noted.

1746. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 13:36:05 UTC
From: Gerry Grzyb <grzyb@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <qp4l5usomrp8kajkkvb1ev31thro4q3abl@4ax.com>
Source record: 53015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 31 Jan 2002 20:02:19 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Gerry Grzyb wrote:
>
> > But your frequency list is the "official" one, and has numerous
>> omissions and outdated frequencies. For example, 121.375 has been THE
>> high-altitude frequency for south-central Wisconsin for many years,
>> but you won't find it in any list.
>>
>> Oshkosh Gerry
>>
> Well I guess it doesn't get any more "official" than that. Yes it is from the current FAA
database
>
>which they use. I just again checked and 121.375 is not in their
>database.
>
>You say there are numerous "outdated frequencies". Please let me know
>of these. I'll then check the US IFR Supplement. It might not be that
>they are outdated, it might be that they just are not "normally" used
>but still in the ATC system and can be called into service at the "flip
>of a switch" when a high volume of airtraffic is present making them needed.
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>

First, let me make it clear that all of my information is from
listening, and not from a database. That means that what I know about
these frequencies is "voice verified"--I heard pilots acknowledge
hand-offs to them, and then checked to make sure they went to that
frequency. I alsdo used flight tracking to try to ascertain where the
remote transmitter might be in each case.

I should've been more clear. Your list had numerous omissions. And
outdated frequencies (not numerous outdated frequencies). Let's start
with some omissions. I have never seen any of them in the A/FDs or
Percon's listings or anybody's listings, for that matter, and I'm
really scratching my head as to why the FAA has never included them:

As I said, 121.375 is THE hot high-alt freq in these parts. Most
ORD-MSP traffic passes through it (MSP-ORD traffic is out of my range,

because it generally slides down the Mississippi and turns toward ORD near the WI/IL state line). Given the existing transmitter locations, 121.375 is almost certainly coming out of Horicon (between Milwaukee and Fond du Lac, WI).

135.975 is a hot freq that handles a lot of transcons plus traffic westbound from ORD. Therefore, the transmitter must be far enough west of ORD that ORD departures are already at high altitude, so my best guess is simply somewhere in Iowa (Dubuque, most likely).

126.275 also handles many transcons, and most be fairly near here (south central WI) because I hear handoffs from Horicon transmitters to 126.275.

127.625 I've voice-verified as a ZAU freq, but I don't hear enough on it to get a good sense of where it might be.

127.675 gets eastbound traffic handed off from this area, so I'm guessing it might be a Grand Rapids transmitter.

The number-one outdated freq on your list would have to be 135.35, listed as a South Bend transmitter. That used to be quite hot for transcons, but I haven't heasrd anything on it in many years, not even when I passed through South Bend!

Now, here's a couple of mysteries I hope someone can solve.
128.5 (a lo-alt channel transmitting at Pullman in western MI) used to be THE channel that served as the funnel for westbound traffic inbound to ORD. I still receive 128.5 just fine, but that ORD traffic seems to have gone elsewhere. Try as I might, I haven't heard a hand-off that would reveal where. For example, most of the traffic from Europe comes in over the northern tip of lower MI, and slides down the west coast of MI. While I can hear Tony and the Alitalians just fine at high altitudes over the northern tip, I've never been able to catch what channel they're handed to as they descend to ORD (the channel just before they're in ORD's TRACON).

On the west side of ORD, I used to hear traffic coming in over Janesville, WI, with instructions to cross the Crenna intersection (NW of ORD) at 10,000. This was on 134.2, but now seems to have gone elsewhere as well.

I'm hoping some Chicago-area air traffic listeners might be able to solve these two mysteries.

Oshkosh Gerry

1747. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 14:37:26 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <fs9l5u095htkfpldod88u96bm6ccu0lbtp@4ax.com>
Source record: 53016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 01 Feb 2002 07:36:05 -0600, Gerry Grzyb <grzyb@athenet.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 31 Jan 2002 20:02:19 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Gerry Grzyb wrote:
>>
>> > But your frequency list is the "official" one, and has numerous
>>> omissions and outdated frequencies. For example, 121.375 has been THE
>>> high-altitude frequency for south-central Wisconsin for many years,
>>> but you won't find it in any list.
>>>
>>> Oshkosh Gerry
>>>
>> Well I guess it doesn't get any more "official" than that. Yes it is from the current
FAA database
>>
>>which they use. I just again checked and 121.375 is not in their
>>database.
>>
>>You say there are numerous "outdated frequencies". Please let me know
>>of these. I'll then check the US IFR Supplement. It might not be that
>>they are outdated, it might be that they just are not "normally" used
>>but still in the ATC system and can be called into service at the "flip
>>of a switch" when a high volume of airtraffic is present making them needed.
>>George
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>>
>
>First, let me make it clear that all of my information is from
>listening, and not from a database. That means that what I know about
>these frequencies is "voice verified"--I heard pilots acknowledge
>hand-offs to them, and then checked to make sure they went to that
>frequency. I alsdo used flight tracking to try to ascertain where the
>remote transmitter might be in each case.
>
>I should've been more clear. Your list had numerous omissions. And
>outdated frequencies (not numerous outdated frequencies). Let's start
>with some omissions. I have never seen any of them in the A/FDs or
>Percon's listings or anybody's listings, for that matter, and I'm

>really scratching my head as to why the FAA has never included them:
>
>As I said, 121.375 is THE hot high-alt freq in these parts. Most
>ORD-MSP traffic passes through it (MSP-ORD traffic is out of my range,
>because it generally slides down the Mississippi and turns toward ORD
>near the WI/IL state line). Given the existing transmitter
>locations, 121.375 is almost certainly coming out of Horicon (between
>Milwaukee and Fond du Lac, WI).
>
>135.975 is a hot freq that handles a lot of transcons plus traffic
>westbound from ORD. Therefore, the transmitter must be far enough
>west of ORD that ORD departures are already at high altitude, so my
>best guess is simply somewhere in Iowa (Dubuque, most likely).
>
>126.275 also handles many transcons, and most be fairly near here
>(south central WI) because I hear handoffs from Horicon transmitters
>to 126.275.
>
>127.625 I've voice-verified as a ZAU freq, but I don't hear enough on
>it to get a good sense of where it might be.
>
>127.675 gets eastbound traffic handed off from this area, so I'm
>guessing it might be a Grand Rapids transmitter.
>
>The number-one outdated freq on your list would have to be 135.35,
>listed as a South Bend transmitter. That used to be quite hot for
>transcons, but I haven't heasrd anything on it in many years, not even
>when I passed through South Bend!
>
>Now, here's a couple of mysteries I hope someone can solve.
>128.5 (a lo-alt channel transmitting at Pullman in western MI) used to
>be THE channel that served as the funnel for westbound traffic inbound
>to ORD. I still receive 128.5 just fine, but that ORD traffic seems
>to have gone elsewhere. Try as I might, I haven't heard a hand-off
>that would reveal where. For example, most of the traffic from Europe
>comes in over the northern tip of lower MI, and slides down the west
>coast of MI. While I can hear Tony and the Alitalians just fine at
>high altitudes over the northern tip, I've never been able to catch
>what channel they're handed to as they descend to ORD (the channel
>just before they're in ORD's TRACON).
>
>On the west side of ORD, I used to hear traffic coming in over
>Janesville, WI, with instructions to cross the Crenna intersection (NW
>of ORD) at 10,000. This was on 134.2, but now seems to have gone
>elsewhere as well.
>
>I'm hoping some Chicago-area air traffic listeners might be able to
>solve these two mysteries.
>
>

>
>
>Oshkosh Gerry

I've got 121.375 programmed in as Horicon. I've heard them a few times
- can't remember though what list I picked it up from.

134.2 is supposed to be Hampshire, IL.

1748. ExportRadios.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 14:42:02 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <_Ox68.3823$KA4.1510214@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone had any dealing with these people? I placed an order for an antenna over a week ago, my order hasn't been processed, they
won't respond to my emails, and I can't cancel my order via the site, just send an unhappy letter to their admin.

--

_____

1749. Re: Best mobile antenna? Commercial dualbander & 5" mag mount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 14:46:26 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <6Tx68.3824$KA4.1510499@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 53019

If I can get my problem solved with export radios (see new post) I might get one of these.

--

_____

"Rafman" <rafman2u@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:PNs68.12916$By6.1406874@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> The antenna I am talking about is 3dB on 150 MHz VHF & 6 dB on 450 MHz UHF
> (not 5), priced at $23.99 BIN & can be found at:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1328859499
>
> Jerry has just about anything you need for base & mobile antennas. Good guy,
> great products!
>
> Rafman <rafman2u@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Txs68.12908$By6.1403311@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > David,
> >
> > I have a 2067 I use mobile. You do not need a preamp as that radio is
> about
> > as sensitive as a radio can get & not overload in a high signal
> environment.
> > I have an excellent setup that works great for me & it is under $50.00.
> > There is a commercial dualband antenna available from a guy in Louisiana
> > that retails for ~$22.00. It is cut for 150/450 commercial bands & has 3dB
> > gain on VHF & 5dB on UHF. He also sells 5" magnet mounts for ~$20.00 with
> > 17' of coax & a soldered PL-259 connector. These antennas are every bit as
> > good as the ones suggested by others but the quality & performance are
> > superb! He is also on eBay & I will include his master listing URL so you
> > can see the items I am talking about:
> >
> > His name is Jerry AD5AQ
> > Email address is pixmann2@yahoo.com
> > Palmetto Antennas
> > 1107 Gains Ave
> > Ruston, La 71270
> >
> > His eBay Master Listing:
> >
> http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=pixmann&inc
> > lude=0&since=-1&sort=2&rows=25
> >
> > You will not be disappointed. He sells an excellent antenna line. Tell him

> > Rafman sent you! Enjoy!
> >
> > David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in a message:
> > > Any recommendations? Scanner is a Pro 2067, frequency range is from
> about
> > 150.000 to 465.000
> > > Would prefer not to drill any holes in my truck.
> > > TIA
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1750. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 15:13:10 UTC
From: Gerry Grzyb <grzyb@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <osbl5ugrc40iklolnjei6net9v0qf16dam@4ax.com>
Source record: 53020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 01 Feb 2002 14:37:26 GMT, Greg <greg@aiomedia.com> wrote:

>
>I've got 121.375 programmed in as Horicon. I've heard them a few times
>- can't remember though what list I picked it up from.
>
>134.2 is supposed to be Hampshire, IL.

You might have picked up the 121.375 listing frome me. I once posted it here as a part of my set of freqs for folks attending EAA. But it isn't in any FAA list that I know of.

Yes, 134.2 is Hampshire, which is a logical place for a transmitter controlling traffic being given instructions for crossoing the Crenna intersection. My point is that I don't hear that traffic on 134.2 any more.

Are you located in the Chicago area Greg?
Oshkosh Gerry

1751. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 15:49:18 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <o5Y4sbB+jrW8Ewuq@btinternet.com>
Source record: 53021

In article <20020201034711.15936.00000740@mb-dh.aol.com>, Matthew W.
Este <glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM> writes
>>
>>This is so funny. You Americans get so paranoid.
>
>Yeah, but thats the cool thing about being American: We can be paranoid and
>don't have to worry about getting locked up if we openly admit we have
>reservations about our goverment.

We have reservations about your government as well...

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

1752. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 16:23:05 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5AC0E9.8000906@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 53022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Gerry Grzyb wrote:

> As I said, 121.375 is THE hot high-alt freq in these parts. Most
> ORD-MSP traffic passes through it (MSP-ORD traffic is out of my range,
> because it generally slides down the Mississippi and turns toward ORD
> near the WI/IL state line). Given the existing transmitter
> locations, 121.375 is almost certainly coming out of Horicon (between
> Milwaukee and Fond du Lac, WI).
>
> 135.975 is a hot freq that handles a lot of transcons plus traffic
> westbound from ORD. Therefore, the transmitter must be far enough
> west of ORD that ORD departures are already at high altitude, so my
> best guess is simply somewhere in Iowa (Dubuque, most likely).
>

Could this be the confusion: My list is ONLY ARTCC (Center) frequencies. My list

does not (nor was it intended to) include TRACON, Approach, Departure,
or Tower freqs.

I'm wondering, are you sure 121.375 and 135.975 aren't part of someones
TRACON system? If so that would explain why it not in the FAA's
database of ARTCC freqs.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

--

____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
____

1753. Whats the best mag mount antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 16:35:11 UTC
From: soupman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020201113511.12897.00000509@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 53023

I have a radio shack antenna and want to get something that picks up more. Who makes the best one and where can I get one.

1754. Re: ? Best Deal On Icom PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 16:41:59 UTC
From: DBN <dnicholson@socket.net>
Message-ID: <u5la4dtkjchkb9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

I also do not understand this need to listen to cell phone calls. A few minutes of listening to cordless phones on 49mhz was enough to bore me to death. Now of course if you are trying to do something less than straight I guess you might want to know if the cops are coming or if your husband/wife is treating the mailperson to a afternoon break....

Dan

1755. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 17:21:23 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <k4jl5uggvlsgico5m371ablk34pemv4p47@4ax.com>
Source record: 53025

On 31 Jan 2002 15:47:07 -0800, allbell@vnet.net (A.L. Bell) wrote:

>My understanding is that, if the cops, NSA, etc. really want to know
>whether I'm scanning, they can probably detect my scanner with the
>same sorts of trucks that detect unlicensed television sets in the
>United Kingdom.

Only from a limited distance. Yes, your scanner is detectable if
you're looking for one on the air, but you almost have to be within a
few hundred feet to see the signal.

>1) Is it likely, in the NYC area, that my scanner is ever the only one
>scanning, or are there so many scanners that mine is always one of
>many? I.e., am I freaking out the cops all by my little self, or are
>cops just conscious of the likelihood that dozens or hundreds of
>people are always listening in?

They don't know how many are listening in. One thing is certain: if a
media hack hears some interesting traffic, they'll investigate. They
listen, even if they don't always admit it.

>2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is
>there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
>level of stress that my radio might cause?

hee hee hee, you so funny.

Laws of nature concerning radio:

1) What you say on the air is public.

2) You're free to speak in whatever codes or ciphers you care to use,
however, you have assurances that you won't have someone cracking your
codes, modulation, or ciphers. If in doubt, remember law 1.

3) Mother nature doesn't give a hoot what legislation our societies
make. See law number 1.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1756. Re: ? Best Deal On Icom PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 17:50:36 UTC
From: Eric Cartman <ec@cheesypoofs.com>
Message-ID: <010220020950368015%ec@cheesypoofs.com>
Source record: 53027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

In article <SSo68.2867$9F4.727355501@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>,
"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote:

> Who cares about listening to CELLULAR?? Why is this such a BIG DEAL?? Most
> Cell phones are DIGITAL NOW ANYWAY on 1.6 ghz and higher..
>
> Steve
> "F73Yi8wT3" <AtHome@Excite.com> wrote in message
> news:a3d056$179c6h$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > I dunno about best deal but you may want to wait until you go to Germany.
> If
> > you buy one now it will be blocked of the freq that are cell in the US.
> > I hear of the below url concerning UK purchases. You may want to check
> them
> > out.
> >
> > www.javiation.co.uk/
> >
> > "David" <ddmga@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:296ea75a.0201311844.4ba8bfc6@posting.google.com...
> > > Where can I find the best deal on an Icom IC-PCR100? I am in the US
> > > now but will be in Germany in the near future.
> > >
> > > Thanks for any help!
> > >
> > > -David
> >
> >

Yeah, with the advent of cheaper Digital PCS phones the cell phone band
on 800mhz is not what it used to be. It used to be non-stop hits but
now I can scan thru most of the band and only hit a couple (3 or 4).

If you do get a PCR-100 then you must download TalkPCR this is the
software ICOM should bundle with the unit! It does everything the icom
software does and does it better and faster. It also adds some features
not found with the icom software. Good Stuff!

1757. Re: Uniden 895 XLT-Like New-Make Offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 17:52:17 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u5llclj1d87r43@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 53028

"SCT REACT" <sctreact@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020131190845.15961.00000843@mb-dh.aol.com...
> I have a like new Uniden 895 XLT base/mobile scanner for sale.
>
> Includes scanner and power supply. Scanner is in like new condition, never
used
> mobile (not really used at all for that matter)
>
> Just got the 780XLT and do not need the scanner anymore.
>
> Make me an offer I can refuse!
>
> Please reply via private email.
>
> THANKS
>
> -Peter

An offer you CAN refuse? Ok, how's $50 grab you? Let me know...

PH

1758. Re: Uniden 895 XLT-Like New-Make Offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 17:54:43 UTC
From: sctreact@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020201125443.15940.00000808@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 53029

LOL, ok, ok.

Make me an offer I CANT Refuse.

Thanks

-Peter

<<
"SCT REACT" <sctreact@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020131190845.15961.00000843@mb-dh.aol.com...
> I have a like new Uniden 895 XLT base/mobile scanner for sale.
>
> Includes scanner and power supply. Scanner is in like new condition, never
used
> mobile (not really used at all for that matter)
>
> Just got the 780XLT and do not need the scanner anymore.
>
> Make me an offer I can refuse!
>
> Please reply via private email.
>
> THANKS
>
> -Peter

An offer you CAN refuse? Ok, how's $50 grab you? Let me know...

PH
>>

1759. Re: ExportRadios.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:03:02 UTC
From: justme@thisplace.net
Message-ID: <3c5af376.19774562@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 53031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not only that, but they sell antennas which can only be said
to operate by voodoo, certainly not physics or electronic
principles. I would highly advise anyone to pull out a copy
of the ARRL handbook and read a little bit, then go back
and look at this junk based on a little knowledge gained
from the book. Engineers who have looked at that opening
page must be rolling on the floor laughing.

On Fri, 1 Feb 2002 12:16:58 -0800, "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>I personally would never do business with them, too many negative stories I
>have heard at the local "outlaw CB shop" as well as on the 'net. Since they
>specialize in quasi-legal items and blatantly sell to unlicensed amateurs
>without pause for thought, it should make one question their integrity to
>begin with.
>
>
>David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message
>news:_Ox68.3823$KA4.1510214@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
>> Anyone had any dealing with these people? I placed an order for an antenna
>over a week ago, my order hasn't been processed, they
>> won't respond to my emails, and I can't cancel my order via the site, just
>send an unhappy letter to their admin.
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>> _____________________________
>>
>>
>
>

1760. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:04:54 UTC
From: Gerry Grzyb <grzyb@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <4gsl5ucdsuh0adsggs7qi4jk5vi4f5ckpq@4ax.com>
Source record: 53030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 01 Feb 2002 11:23:05 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Gerry Grzyb wrote:
>
>
>> As I said, 121.375 is THE hot high-alt freq in these parts. Most
>> ORD-MSP traffic passes through it (MSP-ORD traffic is out of my range,
>> because it generally slides down the Mississippi and turns toward ORD
>> near the WI/IL state line). Given the existing transmitter
>> locations, 121.375 is almost certainly coming out of Horicon (between
>> Milwaukee and Fond du Lac, WI).
>>
>> 135.975 is a hot freq that handles a lot of transcons plus traffic
>> westbound from ORD. Therefore, the transmitter must be far enough
>> west of ORD that ORD departures are already at high altitude, so my
>> best guess is simply somewhere in Iowa (Dubuque, most likely).
>>
>Could this be the confusion: My list is ONLY ARTCC (Center) frequencies. My list
>
>does not (nor was it intended to) include TRACON, Approach, Departure,
>or Tower freqs.
>
>I'm wondering, are you sure 121.375 and 135.975 aren't part of someones
>TRACON system? If so that would explain why it not in the FAA's
>database of ARTCC freqs.
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com

No, they are definitely ARTCC freqs. Now check this out:

http://www.carmachicago.com/center.txt

It's from the Chicago Area RMA, and it lists 3 of the mystery freqs
I've mentioned! 121.375 is indeed listed as Horicon (as I suspected),
135.975 is listed as a super high freq transmitting from Cedar Rapids
(I guessed Iowa), and 127.625 is the "new" South Bend hi-alt freq that
replaced the dead 135.35 in your list. All of these have been in use
for as many as 10 years, yet have never appeared in FAA lists. That
leads to two questions: why doesn't the FAA have complete, up to date
lists, and what was the source for the CARMA list? I'm going to seek

an answer to the second question.

Of course, that leaves three other mystery freqs. They are definitely ARTCC (I couldn't hear them otherwise--we're talking about planes at FL 310 or higher in general, and I'm picking them up with just a built-in antenna on a Bearcat 890 in Oshkosh).

Anyway, my word and the CARMA list ought to convince you not to put too much faith in FAA lists--I've never found them to be more than 80-90% accurate.

Oshkosh Gerry

1761. Re: Whats the best mag mount antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:14:25 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a3ein8cdn1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53024

Read the archive of this group...

...or just take my word for it (and many others will agree, I am sure) and shop for an Antenna Specialists or Larsen.

SOUPMAN67 <soupman67@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020201113511.12897.00000509@mb-mk.aol.com...
> I have a radio shack antenna and want to get something that picks up more. Who
> makes the best one and where can I get one.

1762. Re: ExportRadios.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:16:58 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a3eis1omq1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 53026
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I personally would never do business with them, too many negative stories I have heard at the local "outlaw CB shop" as well as on the 'net. Since they specialize in quasi-legal items and blatantly sell to unlicensed amateurs without pause for thought, it should make one question their integrity to begin with.

David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message news:_Ox68.3823$KA4.1510214@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Anyone had any dealing with these people? I placed an order for an antenna over a week ago, my order hasn't been processed, they
> won't respond to my emails, and I can't cancel my order via the site, just send an unhappy letter to their admin.
>
> --
>
>
> _____
>
>

1763. BC 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:34:50 UTC
From: Lippy <lippy4@gte.net>
Message-ID: <KZC68.406$AR5.331358@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 53032
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Uniden 200XLT. When I program 167.412 it resorts to 167.410.

I heard if you add a number to the frequency you can actually program 167.412.

Any Ideas?

1764. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:36:15 UTC
From: allbell@vnet.net
Message-ID: <41c36732.0202011236.247aa229@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53033

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
news:<5sm68.9124$liz.6312@news2.bloor.is>...
> hahahahahaha
>
> This is so funny. You Americans get so paranoid.

I guess if you're former military or something that you're used to
seeing giant buildings burn down and state troopers with rifles and
attacks dogs close off tunnels like the Holland Tunnel, but I'm not.

1765. Re: ExportRadios.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:48:20 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oaD68.14032$By6.1539299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 53034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you paid by credit card, advise the credit card company & refuse delivery. As part of customer service, they can't charge your card unless they have shipped the product & must accept cancellations as part of their credit card contract. If you then refuse delivery, you are covered. Too bad you ordered from this vendor. They are very famous for greed without response!

Good Luck!

David B. <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com> wrote in message news:_Ox68.3823$KA4.1510214@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Anyone had any dealing with these people? I placed an order for an antenna over a week ago, my order hasn't been processed, they
> won't respond to my emails, and I can't cancel my order via the site, just send an unhappy letter to their admin.
>
> --
>
>
> _____
>
>
>

1766. FS.ICOM.R71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:49:23 UTC
From: williammarra@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020201154923.17451.00000470@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 53035

price is $450.00 if interested e-mail (williammarra@aol.com) MINT.

1767. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:53:32 UTC
From: SLee@houston-hunks.com
Message-ID: <woger.is.a.weetard@houston.hunks.com>
Source record: 53036
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 1 Feb 2002 06:17:01 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
:
: "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
: news:Lqn68.7330$cy1.236585@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
: > lol. you must be some drunk or a troll.
:
: LOL, you must be some kind of drunk or a retard.
:
: >It is none of my concern what you did in prison. I don't really want to
: know either.
:
: IKYABWAI, Pee Wee. Try harder, or is that milliwatt output in that tiny
: brain cell the maximum output? {snip}

Spoken by Roger, the 2001 Golden Pewee Winner!

////
(o o)
===oOO==(_)==OOo===
In message <a19ubh$e7u$0@pita.alt.net>, the regulars voting for the
alt.flame awards elected Roger Wiseman as: Most Boring Writer, Worst
Flamer, Fuckhead of the Year, Most Tenuous Spankclaim, Best Punching
Bag, Most Unwelcome Comeback, and gave him the Golden Peewee Award for
most Blatant IKYABWAI.

1768. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 20:55:34 UTC
From: allbell@vnet.net
Message-ID: <41c36732.0202011255.6507550c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53037

Thanks for your response.

I understand that, in the old days, it might have been hard to detect consumer police-band scanners, but is that really true today? Example: See this 1998 UK TV detector trucks: http://groups.google.com/groups?q=trucks+detect+group:rec.radio.scanner+group:rec.radio.scanner&hl=en&scoring=d&selm=3530ef34.1376063%40news.usit.net&rnum=6

Is the stuff the scanner gives off correlated with the frequencies it's scanning? If so, and if it was possible in 1998 for the UK to pick out TV receivers, which are extremely common, it seems bizarre to think that the FBI can't pick out the one in 500 households that has a police scanner. I guess what you're really saying here is that, of course, the government can physically detect my scanner, but won't bother because it has better things to do. But I still think the cops or whoever on the radio sometimes sound as if they've received some kind of specific warnings about scanning, not just that they're following routine security procedures.

> their cars.Cops have other things to worry about besides someone with a
> scanner. Your scanner is a radio receiver. It's not illegal to have. No one
> has the manpower or money or even the equipment to drive around to try to
> detect a radio someone might have.I can guarantee you 100% that there are no
> police agencies that have equipment to detect whether or not you have a

1769. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 21:17:11 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020201161711.12939.00000483@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 53038

WE HAVE RESERVATIONS ABOUT TONY BLAIR AS WELL

1770. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 21:31:25 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <bSsEmKCtkwW8Ew7u@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 53040

In article <20020201161711.12939.00000483@mb-bh.aol.com>, JER1538A
<jer1538a@aol.com> writes
>WE HAVE RESERVATIONS ABOUT TONY BLAIR AS WELL

And you think we don't ??

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

1771. Motorola Smartnet Protocol: Where can I get the spec?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 21:31:33 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0202011331.edd2313@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53039
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know where to get the spec? I can demodulate the signal, but I need some help doing the decoding.

Thanks,
John

1772. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 21:41:57 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020201164157.14475.00000547@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 53041

>Subject: Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

>From: allbell@vnet.net (A.L. Bell)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

>I understand that, in the old days, it might have been hard to detect
>consumer police-band scanners, but is that really true today? Example:
>See this 1998 UK TV detector trucks:
>
>http://groups.google.com/groups?q=trucks+detect+group:rec.radio.scanner+g
roup:rec.radio.scanner&hl=en&scoring=d&selm=3530ef34.1376063%40news.usit.n
et&rnum=6
>
>Is the stuff the scanner gives off correlated with the frequencies
>it's scanning? If so, and if it was possible in 1998 for the UK to
>pick out TV receivers, which are extremely common, it seems bizarre to
>think that the FBI can't pick out the one in 500 households that has a
>police scanner. I guess what you're really saying here is that, of
>course, the government can physically detect my scanner, but won't
>bother because it has better things to do.

Frequency counters escalate in cost exponentially based on how weak a
signal they can lock on and how fast they can lock on. TV's are relatively easy
to detect because they stay on a frequency for minutes to hours at a time. A
newer scanner is going through dozens of channels a second and stopping on
random ones for at most a few seconds at a time. The local oscillator (which is
what the receiver hunters look for) in a scanner is weaker and quite often much
better physically shielded & better isolated from the antenna than the one in a
TV. It would take much more expensive equipment and personell to hunt down
scanners than it does to hunt down TV's.
TVs are harder to find now than in the days of tubes (the local oscillator
in some of the early tube tuners put out upwards of half a watt of RF!), but
not nearly so hard as scanners. Given the proliferation of signals leaking out
of everything digital, wireless this and that in every house & just hash and
noise, they'd have to want to find scaner users pretty badly to spend the
money and effort to do it.
Neutrodyne

1773. Wanted: Computer-Controlled Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 22:16:18 UTC
From: ddmga@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <296ea75a.0202011416.20515bcd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Wanted to buy:

Icom PCR100, PCR1000, R7000, R7100, R9000 or Winradio.

In US or international.

Please contact via e-mail DDMGA@YAHOO.COM.

Any help is much appreciated!

1774. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-01 23:26:21 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3famo$89c$1@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 53043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Stagger 'TexASS TURD' Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:faggot.lee.is.a.retard@houston.hunks.com...
>
> On Fri, 1 Feb 2002 06:17:01 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
> :
> : IKYABWAI, Pee Wee. Try harder, or is that milliwatt output in that tiny
> : brain cell the maximum output?

>
> Spoken by an ANONYMOUS chickenshit COWARD..just like all those in the
South.

Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."

No wonder they lost the Civil war.

--
Fuckwit Lee finally admits his SPANK at KC8JBO's hands yet another time in
3C4AE592.6A0CDA7E@houston-hunks.com:
"[Any followups by Wiseman will be ignored.]"

1775. Re: handheld BC-780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 00:24:23 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XkG68.5760$I5.414959@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 53044

Harry Marnell <marnells@home.com> wrote in message
news:l2668.56893$B61.19473339@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> I very, very seriously doubt it... their eggs will all be in the "digital
> scanner" basket coming up later this year... the 250D handheld and 785D
> base/mobile
>
> "scandude" <scandude@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:_d268.8764$By6.1019698@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > anybody know if they (UNIDEN) will make one?
> >
> > after @ an hour of studying the 780 manual, I am a master of my new toy.
> > just wish they made one in a hand held model.

The 250D handheld is supposed to have the same features.

1776. Has anybody done this mod? Pro-2006 s-meter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 00:36:35 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c5b3454.235180972@news.mint.net>
Source record: 53045

Parts:
10Kohm 1/8w resistor, 0.1 uf capacitor

Locate D33 on the linear PCB. Solder in the 10K resistor to the ANODE of this diode. Note this is not the same as TP2. Connect a wire from the free end of this resistor to a rear panel jack (RCA). Solder the 0.1uf capacitor from the jack's center terminal to ground. Output on this jack follows the AGC voltage. Negative for no signal, goes positive when a signal is present, increasing with signal strength. A 1Volt full scale panel meter makes a good "S" meter

has anyone tried to do this particular mod to the 2006?I am curious as to if it worked and how well.The mod says to hook the resistor up to the RCA jack but where,in the center or on the lug that's off to the side.that comes off the jack?am wondering if the 9 volt battery ground would be sufficient,I tried it myself but I get a steady signal on the meter and sometimes it goes up when it "hits" but the stronger signals go backwards??if that ground is wrong can anyone tell me where would be the best place to ground the capacitor? also it states D33 is not the same as TP2,is D33 actually on the bottom of the pc board or ??any help appreciated from an "expert" that has done this mod to thier own 2006!!

Thanks in Advance,Tom

1777. AD:For Sale Radio Shack Pro-2042 scanner plus more items!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 00:38:15 UTC
From: kc8lyg@hotvoice.com
Message-ID: <e6d3c4fe.0202011638.7125f2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 53046

For Sale in Findlay,OH. Receipts, manuals, box. All equipment in excellent condition and used little. Must sell now!

Kenwood 570D HF Transceiver with YK-88SN SSB Filter.Sell for $550 plus shipping.

Kenwood Desk Microphone MC-60A Sell for $70 plus shipping.

Astron 70 amp Power Supply.Sell for $150 plus shipping.

Cushcraft A3S with 40 meter add-on A743 Sell for $200.Also have new RG8 cable.

Yaesu G-450XL Rotor and cable $150 plus shipping.

Radio Shack PRO-2042 Scanner $250 plus shipping.

Thanks,Richard Stacy Garner KC8LYG

1778. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 00:53:01 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <NLG68.9983$cy1.380214@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 52947
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh my. are you still in the 1900's. Damn it is now 2002. What does the war have to do with it. You seem like a teeny weeny OLD man that is a pervert, that is racist & cannot leave the past alone. You seem to be blaming everyone for the war and your mistakes. Get over it. It is 2002 now. You probably think that the world was going to end in 2000. There may still be racism now. Like you. But most accept other races and other mistakes. Oh well. You can live in the past and look at your girly porn. Ut, or is it boy porn. But you are too funny to listen to and I love laughing at trolls that want to argue. Atleast I am not getting bent out of shape over your whining.

Dev

"KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:a3famo$89c$1@dosa.alt.net...
>
> "Stagger 'TexASS TURD' Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
> news:faggot.lee.is.a.retard@houston.hunks.com...
> >
> > On Fri, 1 Feb 2002 06:17:01 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
> > :
> > : IKYABWAI, Pee Wee. Try harder, or is that milliwatt output in that
tiny
> > : brain cell the maximum output?
>
> >
> > Spoken by an ANONYMOUS chickenshit COWARD..just like all those in the
> South.
>
> Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
> "Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
> revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no
credibility
> in message
> <3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :
>
> "Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
> status makes the story even less credible."
>
>
> No wonder they lost the Civil war.
>
> --
> Fuckwit Lee finally admits his SPANK at KC8JBO's hands yet another time in
> 3C4AE592.6A0CDA7E@houston-hunks.com:
> "[Any followups by Wiseman will be ignored.]"

>
>
>

1779. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 01:33:28 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <TeH68.26934$Up4.1498884@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

If I'm not mistaken, FCC preempted the laws of states who prohibited the
mobile installations
if you have a ham license. This was because some states became a bit
overzealous and started
seizing ham VHF/UHF rigs, not because they necessarily had polices
frequencies in them, but
because they could scan. Not kosher!

Jerry
K4KWH
Terry <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:8Zo68.4015$I5.299455@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
> news:a3aj6v$16giri$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > Rats...
> >
> > "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C57E234.20406@licensed4fun.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Lurking Fool wrote:
> > >
> > > > "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:a3A48.523$3Y3.158831@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > > >
> > > > >It is against the law in almost every state for an
> > > > >individual to have a scanner that can receive police
> > > > >and public safety frequencies in public or in a car.
> > > > >Police, fire fighters, journalists and amateur radio
> > > > >operators are exempted. This is state law, not federal.
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > The above is a VERY incorrect statement. Most states do NOT have an
> > > anti scanner law. MOST states you can have a scanner in your car.
> > >
> > > George
> > > http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
> 6 states you cannot have it mobile either at all or you can have it with a
> permit or ham liscense.
>

> 8 more it is illegal when used while commit a crime.
>
> http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/
>
>

1780. FS: Realistic Pro-2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 02:03:39 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <%NH68.85079$XZ1.3878899@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 52949

Realistic Pro 2006 scanner. Works fine, used daily.
Unit has the S-meter modification. Lighted S meter is included.
Case has a few mounting holes in it. Previous owner was a volunteer fireman
and had it mounted in his vehicle.
$300.00 shipped from Austin, Texas anywhere CONUS.

pics available upon email request.

mark
mtaustin@SPAMonr.com remove SPAM please.

1781. Re: ? Best Deal On Icom PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 02:24:09 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <75I68.14058$Wf1.4258019@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 52950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

What if what is now the 800 MHz cellular band is reallocated for some other use in the future. After all it used to be the high end of UHF TV.
Maybe it might be used for garage door opener frequencies, now that is some great listening.
Greg

"Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote in message
news:SSo68.2867$9F4.727355501@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> Who cares about listening to CELLULAR?? Why is this such a BIG DEAL??
Most
> Cell phones are DIGITAL NOW ANYWAY on 1.6 ghz and higher..
>

1782. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 02:31:09 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5B4F6D.1010206@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> No, they are definitely ARTCC freqs. Now check this out:
>
> http://www.carmachicago.com/center.txt
>
> It's from the Chicago Area RMA, and it lists 3 of the mystery freqs
> I've mentioned! 121.375 is indeed listed as Horicon (as I suspected),
> 135.975 is listed as a super high freq transmitting from Cedar Rapids
> (I guessed Iowa), and 127.625 is the "new" South Bend hi-alt freq that
> replaced the dead 135.35 in your list. All of these have been in use
> for as many as 10 years, yet have never appeared in FAA lists. That
> leads to two questions: why doesn't the FAA have complete, up to date
> lists, and what was the source for the CARMA list? I'm going to seek
> an answer to the second question.
>
> Of course, that leaves three other mystery freqs. They are definitely
> ARTCC (I couldn't hear them otherwise--we're talking about planes at
> FL 310 or higher in general, and I'm picking them up with just a
> built-in antenna on a Bearcat 890 in Oshkosh).
>
> Anyway, my word and the CARMA list ought to convince you not to put
> too much faith in FAA lists--I've never found them to be more than
> 80-90% accurate.
>
> Oshkosh Gerry

These freqs are on the CARMA list because someone living in the area heard them and listed them. I'm located in Daytona Beach, FL and have no way to actually monitor ARTCC freqs in Chicago. Therefore to put together this list I needed to rely on the available information which was not copyright (or the Bob Grove Klan would be down my neck).

In short I still feel that is probably the most accurate list which contains all 20 CONUS US ARTCC Center areas. And from the vast amounts of email I've received it appears that the project was of great help to many people.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

--

_____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----

1783. Re: Frequency Information Needed - N9NWO Misinformation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 02:42:52 UTC
From: Rafman<antispam> <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MmI68.572$3E5.38625@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

N9NWO (admitted career politician & ham radio blowhard) wrote in message
>
> :> 2. Computers in police cars receiving and transmitting.
> :
> :Get a frequency counter and use it next time you are in the back seat of
the
> :police car. Again what agency and radio equipment?
> :Take a look at their equipment over the front seat next time you are
there.
> :Call the local department and ask. Its not a secret.I suggest yuou use
one
> :of your clone cell phones since you home phone is probably tapped.
>
> Easier to go to Radio Shack and get a copy of Police Call.

Police Call is outdated trash! Go to the ONLY source for everything but
Federal listings http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

> :> 3. Tracking devices secretly hidden under cars by police.
> :
> :Again use the frequency counter around your car, hopefully the police
gave
> :it back when you got out.
>
> Even a freq. counter may not be legal to have on the streets. A
> better way is to buy the Alinco 2000 radio as it is a scanner that
> also has a freq. counter that react tunes the radio (it picks up the
> most powerful radio transmitter in the near area and automatically
> tunes the radio to that frequency). It is about $600. And you will
> need a ham license to have in public.

Only a self-righteous ham radio moron would say such an ignorant thing in
public. It figures you are an admitted career politician as you have
described in your bio on http://www.qrz.com under N9NWO. Nothing can be
further from the truth. Maybe you have such a stupid law in the People's
Republik of Indiana but the other states' residents are free to use one
wherever they damn well please! Next time you preach rules & laws, it might
be helpful to read them first!

> :> 4. Small, hidden video surveillance cameras by police.
> :
> :The mounted cameras in cars? The ones in your house? Is it hard wired? It
> :wont be on RF then. Again use the frequency counter on the alarm clock by
> :your scales or microwave oven.

>
> The cameras are either on 1.2 Ghz or 2.4 Ghz. The ICOM R-3 is a
> scanner that also has a TV in it. Great for watching the in car
> cameras at the Races.

Again, WRONG. The idiots at Icom, out of fear for Federal Government intervention, deleted the FM-TV feature on the R-3. This was discussed on this newsgroup & on Strong Signals. Icom is paranoid of politicians' products of love crafted for a perfect police state!

I suspect you might like such laws since you seem to think they exist everywhere in the US. Just like only 6 states have restrictive scanner laws, you are wrong, wrong, wrong. Hams like you give all of us a bad name for being arrogant know-it-alls without a clue OB!

> :This is just out of fun. ;)

No, this is the joke, YOU N9NWO

1784. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 02:44:33 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <1f6xy1y.ul9wmvs4zvlsN%Garvin@Truss.net>
Source record: 52953

A.L. Bell <allbell@vnet.net> wrote:

> I bought a little Radio Shack scanner because the whole Sept. 11 thing
> freaked me out and I want to have some vague idea what's going on in
> my area.
>
> I've noticed when I'm listening that the cops a) Sometimes say stuff
> like "Go to Channel 6," or "Call me on the phone," and b) "Don't use
> names on the air."
>
> My understanding is that, if the cops, NSA, etc. really want to know
> whether I'm scanning, they can probably detect my scanner with the
> same sorts of trucks that detect unlicensed television sets in the
> United Kingdom.

Don't let the paranoia bug bite you. Chill out and stop worrying about
it. Honestly.

> Questions:
>
> 1) Is it likely, in the NYC area, that my scanner is ever the only one
> scanning, or are there so many scanners that mine is always one of
> many?

Your's is one of MANY. Paranoia is not needed in this case.

>I.e., am I freaking out the cops all by my little self, or are
> cops just conscious of the likelihood that dozens or hundreds of
> people are always listening in?

Simply put the cops know people listen to scanners and don't want to
take chance giving out certain things over the air. Some criminals use
scanners too you know. It is not illegal to listen to the police on a
scanner, unless you are doing it mobile, it IS illegal in some states to
have a scanner in your automobile.

> 2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is
> there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
> level of stress that my radio might cause?

No.

--
What's the difference between light & hard?
You can sleep with a light on...

1785. Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 02:55:54 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <_yI68.42867$B94.7636336@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm looking for feedback on this hand held from
those that have used it. It seems from what i've
read that it's built great and has a ton of really cool
features. Some say it performs pretty well along
the HF bands for what it is. From looking at the specs
and reading Bob Parnass's review in the June 2001 MT
my only concern is the sensitivity 900Mhz and greater,
it really looks to drop off.

I'm debating whether to buy the ~ $500 DJ-X2000 or go for the current
bargian Icom R2 ~$150 (on sale and Icom is offering a coupon for free PC
cable and S/W) .

Anybody regret buying it ?

Thanks

1786. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 02:56:53 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <68lm5ugcbh6s0jc46dc4umlgsojp4re3md@4ax.com>
Source record: 52954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Jerry Oxendine"
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> spake thusly

>If I'm not mistaken, FCC preempted the laws of states who prohibited the
>mobile installations if you have a ham license.

No, that ONLY covers Ham radios that ALSO receive 'out of band'.

It does not cover scanners.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1787. FS scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 03:07:45 UTC
From: a.ciesynski@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <1b69ced2.0202011907.1fb90d90@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52956

I have for sale the following scanners
a yupiteru mvt 9000 mk2
pro 2004 400 chnnel no box or manual 2 step light works great
a pro 26 uk version
a icom r10 uk version
all above like new with boxes and manuals

1788. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 03:32:54 UTC
From: Gerry Grzyb <grzyb@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <63nm5uo3bhpvigudoe3bnme1alemq45bgo@4ax.com>
Source record: 52957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 01 Feb 2002 21:31:09 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>
>> No, they are definitely ARTCC freqs. Now check this out:
>>
>> http://www.carmachicago.com/center.txt
>>
>> It's from the Chicago Area RMA, and it lists 3 of the mystery freqs
>> I've mentioned! 121.375 is indeed listed as Horicon (as I suspected),
>> 135.975 is listed as a super high freq transmitting from Cedar Rapids
>> (I guessed Iowa), and 127.625 is the "new" South Bend hi-alt freq that
>> replaced the dead 135.35 in your list. All of these have been in use
>> for as many as 10 years, yet have never appeared in FAA lists. That
>> leads to two questions: why doesn't the FAA have complete, up to date
>> lists, and what was the source for the CARMA list? I'm going to seek
>> an answer to the second question.
>>
>> Of course, that leaves three other mystery freqs. They are definitely
>> ARTCC (I couldn't hear them otherwise--we're talking about planes at
>> FL 310 or higher in general, and I'm picking them up with just a
>> built-in antenna on a Bearcat 890 in Oshkosh).
>>
>> Anyway, my word and the CARMA list ought to convince you not to put
>> too much faith in FAA lists--I've never found them to be more than
>> 80-90% accurate.
>>
>> Oshkosh Gerry
>
>
>These freqs are on the CARMA list because someone living in the area
>heard them and listed them. I'm located in Daytona Beach, FL and have
>no way to actually monitor ARTCC freqs in Chicago. Therefore to put
>together this list I needed to rely on the available information which
>was not copyright (or the Bob Grove Klan would be down my neck).
>
>In short I still feel that is probably the most accurate list which
>contains all 20 CONUS US ARTCC Center areas. And from the vast amounts
>of email I've received it appears that the project was of great help to
>many people.
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com

I wasn't trying to detract from your effort--I really appreciated it.

You're wrong about where those freqs came from, though. According to the CARMA webmaster, they came direct from Chicago ARTCC. So how do you like that--the center hands out a slightly different set of frequencies than the FAA lists for the center on its FTP site. I wonder why, or is it just the usual governmental malfunctioning....
Oshkosh Gerry

1789. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 03:59:56 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <0vJ68.43292$B94.7836551@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"isobad" wrote in message
news:_yI68.42867$B94.7636336@news02.optonline.net...
> I'm looking for feedback on this hand held from
> those that have used it. It seems from what i've
> read that it's built great and has a ton of really cool
> features.

All I can say is that if I had the money, I would get a DJ-X2000, BUT ONLY because the AOR 8200 MKII costs more. I would personally NOT compare the DJ-X2000 with the R-10. The R-10 is a really good, but also really funky radio. It's super-small with a speaker that probably came from headphones(exaggerating of course), and it's really slow.

The DJ-X2000 is supposedly a "little" faster than the R-10. Simply put buy the DJ-X2000 ONLY if you want a LOT more from your scanner than what the R-10 offers, you don't care for "mini" scanners and like the idea of "all in one" products.

1790. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 05:26:18 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <HHK68.527$mO2.324439@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52960

Just as an additional thought, the vans mentioned in England are
economically feasible because the British government licenses TV sets. (Or
used to, anyway.) The vans paid for themselves in increased licensing fees
and fines. That economic incentive doesn't exist in the U.S.

Mark

"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020201164157.14475.00000547@mb-ck.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?
>
> >From: allbell@vnet.net (A.L. Bell)
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>
> >I understand that, in the old days, it might have been hard to detect
> >consumer police-band scanners, but is that really true today? Example:
> >See this 1998 UK TV detector trucks:
> >
> >http://groups.google.com/groups?q=trucks+detect+group:rec.radio.scanner+g
> roup:rec.radio.scanner&hl=en&scoring=d&selm=3530ef34.1376063%40news.usit.n
> et&rnum=6
> >
> >Is the stuff the scanner gives off correlated with the frequencies
> >it's scanning? If so, and if it was possible in 1998 for the UK to
> >pick out TV receivers, which are extremely common, it seems bizarre to
> >think that the FBI can't pick out the one in 500 households that has a
> >police scanner. I guess what you're really saying here is that, of
> >course, the government can physically detect my scanner, but won't
> >bother because it has better things to do.
>
> Frequency counters escalate in cost exponentially based on how weak a
> signal they can lock on and how fast they can lock on. TV's are relatively
easy
> to detect because they stay on a frequency for minutes to hours at a time.
A
> newer scanner is going through dozens of channels a second and stopping on
> random ones for at most a few seconds at a time. The local oscillator
(which is
> what the receiver hunters look for) in a scanner is weaker and quite often
much
> better physically shielded & better isolated from the antenna than the one
in a
> TV. It would take much more expensive equipment and personell to hunt down
> scanners than it does to hunt down TV's.
> TVs are harder to find now than in the days of tubes (the local

oscillator
> in some of the early tube tuners put out upwards of half a watt of RF!),
but
> not nearly so hard as scanners. Given the proliferation of signals leaking
out
> of everything digital, wireless this and that in every house & just hash
and
> noise, they'd have to want to find scaner users pretty badly to spend the
> money and effort to do it.
> Neutrodyne
>

1791. Re: Wanted: Computer-Controlled Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 06:43:31 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <3c5b8a45.257182538@news.mint.net>
Source record: 52961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Hey are you in CANADA.....whew hey send me a money order for
568.00 and you can have one tooooooooooo

On 1 Feb 2002 14:16:18 -0800, ddmga@yahoo.com (David) wrote:

>Wanted to buy:
>
>Icom PCR100, PCR1000, R7000, R7100, R9000 or Winradio.
>
>In US or international.
>
>Please contact via e-mail DDMGA@YAHOO.COM.
>
>Any help is much appreciated!

1792. Scan Atlanta ScanIn7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 07:03:02 UTC
From: b7spectra <b7spectra@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <a3fobajvd1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52959

It's here! ScanIn7!

Through hard negotiations and the greasing of several palms, ScanAtlanta will be having ScanIn7 (SI7) on SATURDAY FEBRUARY 23rd at 10:00 AM at WGCL TV-46!

Richard Marlar has graciously worked out the details for us to take the $5.98 grand tour of the station. I've been there, and believe you me, there is lots of goodies there to see!

MARK YOUR CALENDARS! SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 23rd AT 1000 AM at WGCL TV-46.

The address is 425 14th St., N.W., at the corner of 14th Street and Mecaslin, across from the mosque.

We will either have lunch next door at Bob and Junes, the Varsity or Silver Skillet!

I'll post a map for those who need it on the ScanAtlanta Website in the next day or two.

/\/\|k.e

Webmaster
www.ScanAtlanta.com

mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@scanatlanta.com

1793. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 07:08:34 UTC
From: KH7WU <kh7wu@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SfM68.11472$lb.2163385@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 52962

Please stop. Your killing me...LOL...calm down and take a valium.

I can tell you that EVERYONE in American law enforcement today is being careful what they say on the air, in the clear. It is not anything new, it is just being more emphasized nowadays. Within a 10 block radius of any part of NYC, there would most likely be about 50 different types of VHF/UHF transceivers (Taxi's/NYPD/FDNY/Cell Towers, etc..) all turned on and radiating small amounts of RF.

P.S. Don't believe everything you hear about detection sniffers, these are very sophisticated, delicate, sensitive devices that would not be used to search city blocks for law abiding citizens using legal scanner radios. LOL you are very funny! |-)

> I understand that, in the old days, it might have been hard to detect
> consumer police-band scanners, but is that really true today? Example:
> See this 1998 UK TV detector trucks:
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?q=trucks+detect+group:rec.radio.scanner+grou
p:rec.radio.scanner&hl=en&scoring=d&selm=3530ef34.1376063%40news.usit.net&rn
um=6
>
> Is the stuff the scanner gives off correlated with the frequencies
> it's scanning? If so, and if it was possible in 1998 for the UK to
> pick out TV receivers, which are extremely common, it seems bizarre to
> think that the FBI can't pick out the one in 500 households that has a
> police scanner. I guess what you're really saying here is that, of
> course, the government can physically detect my scanner, but won't
> bother because it has better things to do. But I still think the cops
> or whoever on the radio sometimes sound as if they've received some
> kind of specific warnings about scanning, not just that they're
> following routine security procedures.

1794. Re: ? Best Deal On Icom PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 07:11:05 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a3g3eb$187flr$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

US dollar to German Deutch Mark is 88=200. That seems pretty strong but I am no world traveller... If he waits to go to Germany, it may cost him less due to the fact of US import taxes and other FCC costs to have the unit comply and paperwork filed. This adds to the US end cost doesn't it?

Having a full freq radio never hurt in if you ever decided to get out of the hobby.

"F73Yi8wT3" <AtHome@Excite.com> wrote in message
news:a3d056$179c6h$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> I dunno about best deal but you may want to wait until you go to Germany.
If
> you buy one now it will be blocked of the freq that are cell in the US.
> I hear of the below url concerning UK purchases. You may want to check
them
> out.
>
> www.javiation.co.uk/
>
> "David" <ddmga@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:296ea75a.0201311844.4ba8bfc6@posting.google.com...
> > Where can I find the best deal on an Icom IC-PCR100? I am in the US
> > now but will be in Germany in the near future.
> >
> > Thanks for any help!
> >
> > -David
>
>

1795. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 07:31:10 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020202023110.06179.00000291@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 52964

>
>We have reservations about your government as well...
>

Good thing yall did not have reservations in the 40s.

1796. Static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 08:17:22 UTC
From: Smokin Cash <ira20@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <mgN68.1226$3E5.114348@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52965

My scanner has an unusual amount of hiss, snap, crackle, and pop while scanner. Become extremely clear once signal is caught. What would cause this?

Thanks in advance for any advice.

--
Slower Traffic Keep Right

1797. Icom R2 Price - $119.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 08:51:43 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zMN68.6761$Hu6.1591529@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.chq-inc.com/ $119.95 + $6 shipping. I just ordered one from Burghardt's for $130. Oh well. A year or so ago they were selling for around $200.
DeWayne KE9K

1798. Re: Static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 09:18:36 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <M9O68.10538$2x2.532522@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 52967

well. all depends.
If you can answer a few questions. that may help.

1) about what frequency range does it happen on ?

2) is it a handheld scanner or a base ? this doesn't really matter.

3) are you using a internal antenna. or external ? external meaning do you have your scanner hooked up to a outside antenna. or do you use a rubber ducky or something connected to your scanner in the house.

4) is it by a computer, monitor or a hub. Newer Hubs have been giving off bad RF lately ?

5) Give more detail. Location, Antenna, Frequency area.

If you use a rubber ducky or a telescopic antenna in the house. you will or may get popping sounds especcially between 43 - 49 Mhz. and 137 - 150 Mhz. I am speaking on Canadian RF though. If it is below 29. It could be a few things. If you don't get any RFI above 400 Mhz. then it is something around you or your vehicle.
Not all of us are rude. Most of us will help you too :).

Dev

"Smokin Cash" <ira20@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:mgN68.1226$3E5.114348@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> My scanner has an unusual amount of hiss, snap, crackle, and pop while
> scanner. Become extremely clear once signal is caught. What would cause
> this?
>
> Thanks in advance for any advice.
>
> --
> Slower Traffic Keep Right
>
>

1799. Re: Icom R2 Price - $119.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 10:56:14 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <servfsr@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <a3ggkc$q7b$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>
Source record: 52791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And still aren't half the radio of the Yaesu VR-500 at under $200. This one receives CW/SSB, etc...
"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message news:zMN68.6761$Hu6.1591529@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> http://www.chq-inc.com/ $119.95 + $6 shipping. I just ordered one from
> Burghardt's for $130. Oh well. A year or so ago they were selling for around
> $200.
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

1800. Re: Icom R2 Price - $119.95 - Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 11:58:26 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CvQ68.7531$Hu6.1674176@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry but I erred. The price was for the IC Q7A that looks just like the R2.
DeWayne KE9K

> http://www.chq-inc.com/ $119.95 + $6 shipping. I just ordered one from
> Burghardt's for $130. Oh well. A year or so ago they were selling for
around
> $200.
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

1801. Strange Freq Found?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 12:02:02 UTC
From: Eric Haney <ehaney@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <o5kn5us0g27434pr6ad7jpo2qqou9qih9l@4ax.com>
Source record: 52969

I found a strange frequency last night scanning the 900 MHz band in all my years of scanning have never heard. Does anyone know what this is?

It was at 947.7500 and had a continuous carrier with what sounded like a 1.5Khz to 2KHz beep every 5 seconds or so that maybe had a duration of .5 seconds. Not a very strong signal but went on for hours...

This was not a repeater or like anything I have ever heard before. If I hear it again I will record it for reference. Checking the frequency this morning I have the continuous carrier but no tone, it's kind of like an open mic but no background noise heard.

Eric

1802. Re: MDT monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 13:25:41 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C5BE8D5.9DA0454D@yahoo.com>
Source record: 52970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

K-man wrote:
>
> OK thanks
>
> Could you tell me about some of the best software programs to do this
> also if anyone would know what they are using for police in Toronto
> let me know
>
> On Sat, 02 Feb 2002 03:52:28 GMT, "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Yes, if you know the frequency and use proper decoding software on your PC.
> >You also need to know what kind of MDTs they use.
> >
> >"K-man" <k4kman@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:msnm5ug7la8ca4e5fv0k212pud5pa9l1q9@4ax.com...
> >> Is there anyway to view the stuff they are sending to the MDT's and
> >> stuff in a trunk system?
> >>
> >> I'm from Toronto and monitor but would love to be able to see what
> >> they are seeing on the MDT's
> >>
> >>
> >

Try this:

http://chroot.ath.cx/fade/projects/decoding/mdtmonprog.zip
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½

1803. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 13:59:48 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3h40aojv2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
news:NLG68.9983$cy1.380214@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> Oh my. are you still in the 1900's. Damn it is now 2002. What does the war
> have to do with it. You seem like a teeny weeny OLD man that is a pervert,
> that is racist & cannot leave the past alone. You seem to be blaming
> everyone for the war and your mistakes. Get over it. It is 2002 now. You
> probably think that the world was going to end in 2000. There may still be
> racism now. Like you. But most accept other races and other mistakes. Oh
> well. You can live in the past and look at your girly porn. Ut, or is it
boy
> porn. But you are too funny to listen to and I love laughing at trolls
that
> want to argue. Atleast I am not getting bent out of shape over your
whining.
>
> Dev

No, you're making an ASS of yourself by your illiterate, kiddie-porn loving
replies.
Fuckwit.

> "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:a3famo$89c$1@dosa.alt.net...
> >
> > "Stagger 'TexASS TURD' Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
> > news:faggot.lee.is.a.retard@houston.hunks.com...
> > >
> > > On Fri, 1 Feb 2002 06:17:01 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
> > > :
> > > : IKYABWAI, Pee Wee. Try harder, or is that milliwatt output in that
> tiny
> > > : brain cell the maximum output?
> >
> > >
> > > Spoken by an ANONYMOUS chickenshit COWARD..just like all those in the
> > South.
> >
> > Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
> > "Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
> > revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no
> credibility
> > in message
> > <3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :
> >

> > "Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
> > status makes the story even less credible."
> >
> >
> > No wonder they lost the Civil war.
> >
> > --
> > Fuckwit Lee finally admits his SPANK at KC8JBO's hands yet another time
in
> > 3C4AE592.6A0CDA7E@houston-hunks.com:
> > "[Any followups by Wiseman will be ignored.]"
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1804. Outdoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 14:35:02 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13478-3C5BF916-8@storefull-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52972

What is the best outdoor base scanner antenna??? I used a RS all band groundplane for years and the recent ice storm took it out..Can anyone recommend anything?? Must be able to receive 25-1300MHz..Thanks!

1805. Re: FS scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 14:39:57 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1TS68.137$Ls.128336@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 52971

So you got them for sale,What are the prices????
"Al Ciesynski" <a.ciesynski@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:1b69ced2.0202011907.1fb90d90@posting.google.com...
> I have for sale the following scanners
> a yupiteru mvt 9000 mk2
> pro 2004 400 chnnel no box or manual 2 step light works great
> a pro 26 uk version
> a icom r10 uk version
> all above like new with boxes and manuals

1806. Re: Outdoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 15:33:32 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5C06CC.2060000@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52973

scanner freak wrote:

> What is the best outdoor base scanner antenna??? I used a RS all band
> groundplane for years and the recent ice storm took it out..Can anyone
> recommend anything?? Must be able to receive 25-1300MHz..Thanks!
>

You might want to look at the review I did on the Antenna Warehouse
Scanner Beam at http://www.milaircomms.com/review_scanner_beam.html

I have one and it made a world of difference over other antennas I've
used included a discone.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Pictures and more!

1807. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 15:41:38 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C5C08B3.1996AB12@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52974

> I bought a little Radio Shack scanner because the whole Sept. 11 thing
> freaked me out and I want to have some vague idea what's going on in
> my area.
>
> I've noticed when I'm listening that the cops a) Sometimes say stuff
> like "Go to Channel 6," or "Call me on the phone," and b) "Don't use
> names on the air."
>
> My understanding is that, if the cops, NSA, etc. really want to know
> whether I'm scanning, they can probably detect my scanner with the
> same sorts of trucks that detect unlicensed television sets in the
> United Kingdom.
>
> Questions:
>
> 1) Is it likely, in the NYC area, that my scanner is ever the only one
> scanning, or are there so many scanners that mine is always one of
> many? I.e., am I freaking out the cops all by my little self, or are
> cops just conscious of the likelihood that dozens or hundreds of
> people are always listening in?
>
> 2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is
> there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
> level of stress that my radio might cause?

First, there are no scanner licenses in the US. Not for receivers. There are some special considerations for licensed hams possessing scanners in cars, but there are no specific licenses for scanning receivers.

Second, the technology for detecting receivers has been around for decades. And not just in the UK. In the US, it was used commercially by a company called Audiscan, which was, in fact, an alternative ratings service for radio, which claimed to be more accurate than Arbitron. There was also a TV service, called Vidiscan, that didn't last very long at all. Audiscan would park a car, or a van at a busy intersection, and point what looked like a radar gun at a passing lane of traffic. This device would key on the LO of the car's radio, and Audiscan claimed that they could read the actual frequency of the station currently being listened to. This, of course assumed that all car radios used the same IF, and that there were no other frequencies being generated by either the radio, or other systems in the cars. With as many frequencies that are generated by multiple digital systems in cars, today, this type of system is pretty useless today.

Audiscan never really caught on.

Vidiscan was similar, with the same kind of device being driven through residential neighborhoods, keying on LO radiations from TV sets in use. Helicopters were experimented with for a while, too. Vidiscan was a miserable failure.

So this kind of technology is actually pretty accessible. If the authorities want to know that you're listening to a scanner, they have easy access to the technology that can detect it. It's the same type of technology used in the VG-2 radar detector detector that's in use around the country.

However, it's perfectly legal to use a wideband or scanning receiver in this country. At least until Billy Tauzin finds away to snuff that out, too. And given the various and multiple conversion modes in use by manufactureres of widebands or scanners, it's difficult, from the street, reading LO radiations, to determine whether an occupant of a residence is using a wideband scanner, or any one of a number of other radio devices, all legal, most of which have nothing to do with police frequencies.

So, the answer to your question is, yes, the technology exists to detect your radio, and yes the police and other enforcement agencies have access to it (and likely have it in-house for ready use), but no, you're not freaking them out. What you hear is the caution of anyone who realizes that they're on a generally accessible frequency, and discretion is the better part of avoiding trouble.

Interesting that cell phone users can't remember that...and that we need laws banning access to these frequencies so cell phone users can live in ignorant bliss.

1808. OS-456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 15:56:47 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <ts2o5us47213stug4c52ahek0t4jemji4h@4ax.com>
Source record: 52975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Before I spend the money on an Optoelectronics OS-456 Lite, does anyone know of an OS-456 for sale (or one they have that they'd be willing to part with) for a reasonable price?

I've been looking on eBay and hamfests for over a year, and you can find plenty with the scanner already attached. I have the scanner, and don't really want another.

Thanks.

1809. Re: AOR DA3000 Wideband Discone Good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 16:25:57 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C5C1315.DC578E8@erols.com>
Source record: 52976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have one Diamond Discone antenna in good condition for sale. It is designed for 25-2,000 mhz. operation and takes a PL259 male connector. It was one of 3 I removed from my tower because they were mounted on crossbars and negatively impacted my tower wind loading parameters. I have sold two of three antennas. By design discones are broadband but have very little gain. Therefore a RF preamp should be used. I use the Radio Shack VHF/FM/UHF mast mounted preamp and it works great. Since I am not transmitting on the discones, I use RG-6 coax. The Diamond discone is $65 plus shipping. If anyone is interested contact me at <jwilson9@erols.com> ASAP. My area's annual hamfest is 09 Feb 02 and I have two tables for selling a bunch of stuff and this will be one of the items taken to sell.

John wrote:

> I want an outdoor antenna for my BC780XLT. Grove has the AOR DA3000
> Wideband Discone for $130. Is there anything better in this price
> range?
>
> I'm in an office building so I'll have a cable run of about 125 feet.
> I use Beldin 9913 for all my HF stuff. Can I use this cable for the
> scanner too or is there something better I should get?
>
> Thanks,
> John

--
==========
Interesting Items on my web page:http://users.erols.com/jwilson9
(INMARSAT,GOES/Polar Wefax,Radio Frequencies,Metal Detecting)
(C/Ku Band/MPEG2-FTA Satellite Reception,FM-SCPC,Amateur Radio)
John Wilson(W4UVV) email: jwilson9@erols.com
6413 Bull Hill Road, Prince George, Virginia, USA, 23875
Tel: 804-862-1262
==========

1810. Re: FS scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 16:28:25 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C5C13A9.9F1DE513@USA.net>
Source record: 52978

Exactly my point Dick. How do these people expect to sell there scanners and don't put the price on them.

Maybe we are expected to beg for the numbers. They can keep them, I don't need another scanner that bad.

Dick wrote:

> So you got them for sale,What are the prices????

>
> "Al Ciesynski" <a.ciesynski@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:1b69ced2.0202011907.1fb90d90@posting.google.com...
> > I have for sale the following scanners
> > a yupiteru mvt 9000 mk2
> > pro 2004 400 chnnel no box or manual 2 step light works great
> > a pro 26 uk version
> > a icom r10 uk version
> > all above like new with boxes and manuals

1811. Re: Outdoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 18:02:42 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6316-3C5C29C2-169@storefull-136.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52980

Thanks George,actually I read your review about that antenna about 1 1/2 months ago and purchased one,works great but I would also like a omni-directional antenna as well...

1812. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 18:17:40 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <qtao5uk13c2bqo6lgqi0tl3pq9tchrpr9d@4ax.com>
Source record: 52981

On Sat, 02 Feb 2002 15:41:38 GMT, Peter Maus
<DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

[snip]
>no, you're not freaking them out. What you hear is the caution of
>anyone who realizes that they're on a generally accessible
>frequency, and discretion is the better part of avoiding trouble.

While scanning at a dirt track auto race, one of the officials started
to get hot under the collar after an incident. He was just on the
edge of using some of the Seven Words when another official said
something like "Stay cool. We've got a thousand folks in the stands
with scanners."

> Interesting that cell phone users can't remember that...and that
>we need laws banning access to these frequencies so cell phone users
>can live in ignorant bliss.

The average cell phone user does not have the situation awareness of
the average dirt track flagman. :-)

Ken Plotkin

1813. Re: Scannin' paid off today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 18:22:13 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3c5c2cf6.38740018@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 52982

On Tue, 15 Jan 2002 21:13:56 GMT, "3d_guy" <scgraphx@netzero.net>
wrote:

>While picking my kids up from school today I was listening to the local
>Sheriff.

yeah, i had an experience like that here in allentown, pa...listening
to the scanner one night, i heard the cops catch a serial killer. guy
had raped and killed several women, and the cops had stationed a cop
at the house of one woman who this guy had visited several times.
about 1:30 AM i hear the cop screaming into his radio that he's in a
gun fight with the guy...next thing i hear the cop huffing and puffing
as he chases the guy down the street...the area was staturated with
cops and they had little problem nailing the guy...

one of the funniest experiences i had was listening to the airport
cops at the big(!) airport here...a drug running plane had planned to
land at a very small local airport and fill up with gas...they
mistakenly landed at the big airport without clearance, since the
small airport's control tower closed at sundown...boy, were THEY
surprised!

"This difference between liberalism and conservatism
must not be obscured by the fact that in the United
States it is still possible to defend individual
liberty by defending long-established institutions.
To the liberal they are valuable not mainly because
they are long established or because they are
American but because they correspond to the
ideals which he cherishes."

F. A. Hayek in "Why I am not a Conservative"

1814. Re: San Francisco Bay Area Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 18:24:23 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3c5c2e4a.39080046@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 52984

On Tue, 15 Jan 2002 13:36:33 -0800, "Charlie Durand"
<charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote:

>Hey there,
>
>I have been listening to the cops on my scanners for a very long time now.
>I currently have two BC200xlt's and another Uniden base model something
>something... An old old model with 40 channels, don't remember the model
>number right now which is strange but anyhow.. they're all old radios. They
>still work so I can't complain.

was sitting eating dinner at tarantino's restaurant about 5:30 the
other day...saw 2 small planes circling the harbor. gave some thought
to calling it in, but didnt. saw in the morning paper they scrambled
f16's to intercept those guys....if you have a scanner in the SF
area...lots of things going on...

"This difference between liberalism and conservatism
must not be obscured by the fact that in the United
States it is still possible to defend individual
liberty by defending long-established institutions.
To the liberal they are valuable not mainly because
they are long established or because they are
American but because they correspond to the
ideals which he cherishes."

F. A. Hayek in "Why I am not a Conservative"

1815. Re: Shortwave aircraft frequency monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 18:29:59 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3c5c2fcb.39465306@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 52985

On 21 Jan 2002 11:03:10 -0800, otto@hei.org (Steve) wrote:

>Is anyone else interested in monitoring HF shortwave aircraft freqs?
>Lately, I've heard a station that identifies as "San Francisco" on 5.547
>Mhz (USB) that is particularly active at night. They seem to assist with pro-
>viding or confirming position for commercial jet aircraft and routing to local
>airport frequencies on the West Coast. I'd be interested in any info
>or other frequencies you could share.

try listening to the middle east on 11300. planes calling to land in
cairo and khartoum are clearly hearable on the east coast.

"This difference between liberalism and conservatism
must not be obscured by the fact that in the United
States it is still possible to defend individual
liberty by defending long-established institutions.
To the liberal they are valuable not mainly because
they are long established or because they are
American but because they correspond to the
ideals which he cherishes."

F. A. Hayek in "Why I am not a Conservative"

1816. ARNewsline 1277 - Feb 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 18:31:30 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020202133130.28179.00001339@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 52983

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1277 – February 1, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1277 with a release date of Friday, February 1st, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

The President of the United States speaks to hams in Florida and is ham radio seeing a second Solar Max? These stoies are first on amateur Radio Newsline report number 1277 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

BREAKING NEWS: PRESIDENT BUSH COMES TO HAM RADIO

The President of the United States has come to ham radio. Bill Burnett, KT4SB, has the details:

--

President George W. Bush has taken to the ham radio airwaves. This, to thank the Florida Amateur Radio community for being ready to serve the public when it is needed.

The President’s comments were made during a stopover the morning of January 31st at the Volusia County Fire Services and Training Center near Daytona. Around 9:15 AM Eastern Time, President Bush checked in to the Northern Florida Amateur Radio Emergency Service Net on 3.950 MHz using a portable station set up John Schmidt, AF4PU. Then the President made these remarks to the 40 or so stations listening to the net:

--

President George W. Bush:

"I want to thank all the volunteers who help make sure that Florida is prepared for any kind of emergency. I also want to assure you that your Federal government is doing everything we can to make sure that there is not an emergency--starting with unleashing the mighty U.S. military overseas to bring evil ones to justice. But should there be a need for a response, I want to thank you all for helping our communities be prepared. And finally, I want to tell you--we are lucky to be Americans and may God continue to bless this great land of ours. Thank you very much."

--

Needless to say the net members were delighted to have the President of the United States address them. More important, hams nationwide can now be sure that President Bush knows that Amateur Radio operators are ready to serve whenever he, and the nation, needs to call on them for help.

In Miami, I’m Bill Burnett, KT4SB, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

The complete story is on the web at
http://firstcoastcommunity.jacksonville.com/northfloridaamateurradiosocietynofars (Tnx NU4F, CQ Magazine)

**

HAM RADIO SCIENCE: TWO SOLAR MAXIMUMS THIS SEASON

In case you are wondering why the current solar cycle is still providing such amazing VHF and UHF DX, one researcher may have the answer. He says that the current cycle appears to be having a second peak and that this is really not very unusual. Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, looks at the scientist and his latest data.

--

The most recent and ongoing Solar Maximum -- or Solar Max -- theoretically crested in mid-2000. Sunspot counts were higher than they had been in 10 years, and solar activity was intense. One remarkable eruption on July 14, 2000 caused brilliant auroras as far south as Texas. But after that, sunspot counts slowly declined and the Sun was relatively quiet for month-long stretches. Most scientists said that the Solar Max was over. But was it?

Now, as 2002 unfolds, the National Aeronautics and Space Administrations solar foorecasters say that it looks as if the Solar Max is back with us again. The Sun is again peppered with spots, eruptions are frequent and hams notice that the VHF DX keeps rolling in.

Why is this happening? Well David Hathaway, a solar physicist at the NASA Marshall Space Flight Center in Huntsville Alabama has one theory. Hathaway says that the current solar cycle appears to be double-peaked, and the second peak has arrived.

--
Hathaway Audio Here
In-Q: We have seen other cycles...
Out-Q: ...define this maximum
--

Scientists track solar cycles by counting sunspots and Hathaway is an expert forecaster of sunspot numbers. He says that sunspot counts peaked in 2000 some months earlier than we expected. He says that the subsequent dip toward solar minimum seemed premature and before long, sunspot counts reversed course and began to climb toward a second maximum that now appears to be only a few percent smaller than the first.

--
Hathaway Audio Here
In-Q: Its a bigger than average...
Out-Q: ...smaler than 19, 21 and 22.
--

Hathaway notes that there is a widespread misconception that solar activity varies every eleven years like a pure sinusoidal wave. It isn't. He says that solar activity is chaotic and there can be more than one peak in any given period. Translated for ham radio: Enjoy the VHF DX while you can.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.

--

Hathaway says that the Solar Max eleven years ago was much the same as this one. The first peak arrived in mid-1989 followed by a smaller maximum in early 1991. And if you are interested in hearing more about this interesting phenomena from David Hathaway its as easy as taking your web browser over to www.rainreport.com. Or you can call on the phone to area code 847-827-7246. (NASA, RAIN)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: HF ANTENNA INSTALLED ON ISS

High Frequency ham radio operations from the International Space Station could be on the not to distant horizon. This, after a successful space walk on January 25th to install another antenna on the orbiting outpost. Amateur Radio Newsline's Roy Neal, K-6-D-U-E, has the details.

--

The spacewalkers have had another good day in space. On Friday the 25th of January, Cosmonaut Yuri Onufrienko, RK3DUO and Astronaut Dan Bursch, KD5PNU, successfully mounted a second ham radio antenna near a docking port amidships on the International Space Station. It is made of flexible tape and is similar to but longer than another antenna installed on January 14th. That one tunes both VHF and UHF frequencies. The new antenna will tune HF frequencies.

ARISS Board Chairman Fran Bauer, KA3HDO, says it definitely will work on ten meters and may even be made to work on 15 and 20 meters. BUT right now there is no gear on board to handle those frequencies. Experiments using the lower frequencies are on the list of futures for ARISS but no timetable has been assigned to design and build them.

Owen Garriott, W5LFL, the first man to operate ham equipment in space, once prophesied that a five watt transmitter in orbit might well be heard simultaneously world wide. The great number of hams with good stations on the lower frequencies also makes them enticing to ARISS. It's a safe bet that serious consideration now is being given to expedite such a project. Once a station is sent up, the antenna will be waiting.

The new antenna is the second of four to be installed on board the space station. No timetable has been announced for the final installation. All of

these amateur antennas were built by Italy, tested and qualified in the US, to fit ports built by Russia, as befits the international nature of the ISS.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Roy Neal, K6DUE

--

Installation of the 2.5-meter long flexible tape antenna involved not only the mechanical deployment but routing cables and establishing the R-F connection as well. (ARISS)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: THAT ANNOYING SATELLITE IS OFF 2 METERS

An annoying mystery satellite transmitting AFSK, frequency modulated Morse on 144.100 MHz for several days has been identified. It turned out to be a signal from the MAROC-TUBSAT satellite. This is an Earth-sensing spacecraft owned by a Moroccan government agency called he Royal Center for Remote Sensing. It was placed into a polar orbit by a Russian launcher on December 10, 2001.

As soon as he learned of the interference to amateur operations, Professor Udo Renner of the Center had the 144.100 MHz transmitter turned off. He then notified AMSAT Germany that TUBSAT was no longer on two meters.

But TUBSAT also has a downlink at 436.075 MHz. This system is only busy over North Africa and Europe and only when the control stations in Rabat and Berlin are activated. It has not been heard elsewhere in the world. (AMSAT-NA)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Pentucket Radio Club repeater serving Groveland Massachusetts

(5 sec pause here)

**

SPECTRUM PRESERVATION: ARRL SAYS IT WILL FIGHT TO KEEP RFID OFF 70 CM

The ARRL says that it will go all the way to protect the 70 centimeter ham band from a threat brought by commercial interests. At issue is a plan from SAVI Technology and already tentatively agreed to by the FCC to deploy unlicensed transient R-F identification tag transmitters operating between 425 and 435 MHz. These tags run at much higher field strengths and duty cycles than Part 15 rules now permit.

According to a League bulletin issued on January 29th, ARRL officials have already met with members of the FCC staff as part of the League's effort to stave off a band threat. The bulletin says that General Counsel Chris Imlay, W3KD, and ARRL Technical Relations Manager Paul Rinaldo, W4RI, delivered an ex parte presentation to FCC Office of Engineering and Technology staffers January

14th outlining the opposition of the ham radio community. (ARRL)

**

FCC: CHAIRMAN POWELL HOME AFTER HOSPITAL TESTS

Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael Powell was discharged from the hospital on Friday January 25th. This, after a two-night stay in the to undergo tests because of a lingering stomach bug.

Stomach discomfort caused him to cancel appearances at the Consumer Electronics Show in Las Vegas on January 8th and again at the annual conference of the National Association of Television Program Executives on Wednesday January 23rd. Doctors advised precautionary tests that required overnight stays at Walter Reed Army Medical Center in suburban Washington. (Published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: THAT SONG WAS NOT AS BAD AS WE THOUGHT

The Federal Communications Commission has dropped an indecency fine against a Colorado radio station. KKMG FM of Colorado Springs had been fined seven-thousand dollars for airing the edited version of Eminem's "The Real Slim Shady." This, after a listener wrote the regulatory agency to complain.

At the time the fine was issued federal regulators said the edited version still included some expletives and references to violence and graphic sex. But the FCC now says it has reconsidered. It now says that the lyrics in the edited version didn't violate its rules by being "patently offensive." (FCC)

**

RESCUE RADIO: BRAZIL RADIO OPERATORS HELP IN POWER OUTAGE CRISIS

A major electricity blackout hit at least five Brazilian states on January 21st, and it was hobby radio enthusiasts that let people know about it.

The blackout, which began at 1:30 p.m., hit hardest in the industrial southeast and the major cities of Sao Paulo, Belo Horizonte, Rio De Janeiro, Goiania, Vitoria and the capital, Brasilia.

According to news reports, Brazil’s National Energy Agency blamed the outage on transmission line failures. The same news report credited Brazilian hobby radio operators as informing authorities that subways in Rio De Janero and Sao Paulo were halted and that traffic lights were out snarling commuters in several areas.

The outage was caused by a failure at the Itaipu hydroelectric station in southwestern Brazil. A power-line failure caused thirteen of the dam's eighteen turbines to stop, cutting off nearly 20 percent of the country's energy. Power was restored about three hours later. The news story did not identify the radio operators as being hams. (Various shortwave news reports)

**

RESCUE RADIO: NEW INTER-JURISDICTION RADIO SYSTEMS BEING INTRODUCED

Santa Clara County California, police, fire and emergency medical personnel have never been able to communicate with one another at the scene of a major disaster. This is because each agency uses a separate radio system. That is expected to change this year.

Santa Clara County will be leading the nation in using new digital radio technology to solve the inter-agency communications problem. The new system will allow up to eighteen participating jurisdictions in any county to talk to one another instantly.

By way of example, once the system is up and running, officers involved in one of California's famed high-speed car chase will be able to talk directly to police in the neighboring jurisdiction as the suspect approaches that town. They won't have to switch channels or go through dispatch centers. This means less time wasted and the probability that lives will be saved.

While Santa Clara county is at the head of the pack in adopting this new digital communications technology, the same news reports say that and Washington, D-C is not far behind. (Cal-State Info.)

**

PUBLIC RELATIONS: A CHEAP SATELLITE

A Cheap Satellite is the name of an Associated Press item that highlighted the work of the faculty and students at the United States Naval Academy in the development and launch of the P C Sat ham radio satellite. The story tells how the twenty-five pound bird was built using off the shelf products including a tape measure for its antenna and rechargeable double A cell batteries for power.

The story was written by Tom Stuckey and moved on the wire on Wednesday January 23rd. It noted that PCSat, was the 44th amateur satellite put in orbit. It is one of more than a dozen built by university students around the world. (VHF Reflector)

**

CONVENTIONS & HAMFESTS: ORLANDO HAMCATION WEAK SIGNAL FORUM

The Orlando HamCation will host its first ever Weak Signal VHF and UHF forum. Beginning at 2 p.m. on Saturday Ferbruary 9th, the one hour session will be moderated by N2CEI, of Down East Microwave. Also, Tom Haddon, K5VH, will be on hand to motivate more Florida hams to become active on the Microwave bands.

If you are in the Orlando Florida area on February 8th to the 10th drop in on the Orlando Hamcation. For more details and or advance reservations, go to oarc.org/hamcat.html on the World Wide Web (W2BZY)

**

CONVENTIONS & HAMFESTS: DATE CHANGE FOR RADIOFEST 2002

Brian Broggie, W6FVI, asks that we pass along a date change for RadioFest 2002 sponsored by the Naval Post Graduate School Amateur Radio Club in Monterey California. The new date is February 23rd. More information is in cyberspace at k6ly.org/radiofest. (W6FVI)

**

BREAK 2

Here when you need us 52 weeks a year. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website at www .arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM RADIO SCHOLARSHIPS: THE DARA SCHOLARSHIPS

The Dayton Amateur Radio Association is one of three ham radio organizations seeking nominations for its scholarship program for the 2002-2003 academic year. According to DARA, applicants must be graduating high school seniors in 2002 and hold any class of an FCC issued mateur Radio license. The scholarship awards run up to $2000, as determined by the scholarship committee. Money must be used toward tuition at an institution of higher education.

To obtain an application, send a self-addressed, stamped envelope to the Dayton Amateur Radio Association Scholarship Program, 9873 Lower Valley Pike, Medway, Ohio 45341. You can also obtain an application by e-mail to n8emo1@msn.com. Completed applications must be postmarked no latter than June 1st to be eligible for this years scholarship program. (DARA)

**

HAM RADIO SCHOLARSHIPS: THE FOUNDATION FOR AMATEUR RADIO

Another organization offering scholarships to hams id the Foundation For Amateur Radio. The Washington, D.C. area group will administer sixty-two scholarships for the academic year running from 2002 through 2003. Licensed Radio Amateurs may compete for these awards if they plan to pursue a full-time course of studies beyond high school and are enrolled in or have been accepted for enrollment at an accredited university, college or technical school. The awards range from $500 to $2500 with preference given in some cases to residents of specified geographical areas or the pursuit of certain study programs. Additional information and an application form may be requested by letter or QSL card to the FAR Scholarships, Post Office Box 831, Riverdale, Maryland, 20738. (Foundation For Amateur Radio)

**

INTERNATIONAL - SOUTH AFRICA: SA RADIO AMATEURS OF THE YEAR NAMED

Turning to international news, South Africa is honoring two of its own as that nations Radio Amateurs of the Year. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, brings us the details:

--
The South African Amateur Radio Development Trust have announced the winners of the 2001 Siemens Radio Amateur of the Year Award. This award is made annually to a Radio Amateur who has made a major contribution to the Amateur Service either on a technical or development basis in ZS land.

The award has been bestowed jointly on Bradley Phillips, ZS5BP, and Garth Wilson, ZR6CY. ZS5BP for his work on the introduction of Iphone and the development of the Internet Radio Linked project. ZR6CY for his continuous efforts to develop communication skills amongst the youth. He has to date presented no less than 80 camps for Voortrekkers to learn the Morse code and basic communication skills.

For Q-News Australia, I'm Graham Kemp, VK4BB, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

This award is considered as South Africa's equivalent to the Dayton awards presented here in the United States. (SARL News, Q-News)

**
INTERNATIONAL - UK: KENWOOD TROPHY AWARDED TO G3XWH

Meantime, over in the U-K, Richard Horton, G3XWH, who chaired the RSGB's Future Licensing and Examination Forum has been awarded the Kenwood Trophy. This, for his significant contribution to training and development in amateur radio.

Horton recently retired from the Radio Society of Great Britain's Board where he was responsible for amateur radio development. His committee was responsible for much of the work that went into the creation of the new U-K Foundation Class license. (GB2RS)

**
DX

In DX, DJ4KW and DK9GG are currently active from Belize as V31YN and V31GW, respectively, through early February. Activity is on CW, RTTY and PSK 31. (RSGB)

And IN3QBR will be active from Vientiane as XW3QBR until the 31st of July. He will be joined, from the 20th of April until the 10th of May by IN3ZNR operating as XW3ZNR. (OPDX)

And R1ANF began operations as CE9 slash R1ANF on the 29th of December from a Chilean base in the South Shetland Islands. He is active on 10 to 28MHz with 100 watts to an R6000 vertical. For all three QSL as directed on the air. (RSGB)

**
THAT FINAL ITEM: CELEBRATING THE LIFE OF ED CLEGG W2LOY

And finally this week, if you were on the VHF bands in the 50's, 60's and 70's then the name Clegg Labs touched your ham radio operations. And as we hear in

this report, the family of the man behind the gear is inviting you to help celebrate his life.

--

Even if you did not own any Clegg gear, the chances are that you talked to someone on the air who did. Whether it was the top of the line Interceptor receiver and Zeus transmitter or the entry level 99'er transceiver, it was easy to spot a Clegg signal by its almost overpowering modulation that seemed just right for the R-F carrier it was on.

Almost every product that carried the Clegg label was designed by the company's founder Edward T. Clegg, W2LOY. When he moved the company to Pennsylvania in the 1970's, Ed took the call W3LOY. Likewise, after retiring to Ohio he traded the 3 for an 8, but kept the famed L-O-Y suffix.

And regardless of the call area, Ed Clegg was first and foremost a communications designer well ahead of his time. Even more important, he was a positive influence on just about everyone with whom he came in contact.

Ed Clegg left us last September at age 80. Now, his wife Martie will be hosting a gathering in March near the Clegg home in Lancaster Ohio. The purpose is to celebrate the life of Edward T. Clegg. And they are asking you to help.

Martie, her daughter Linda and Linda's husband Rob MacGuffie, K2HZN, are asking anyone who knew Ed to share in the celebration. They know that most of you won't be able to be in Lancaster Ohio on March 30th. But some of you do have memories, stories, photos and other Ed Clegg memorabilia that would help to make this day even more unforgettable.

If you have something to share, please contact Linda and Rob before the end of February. Their address is 70 Province Road, Gilmanton New Hampshire with a zipcode of 03237. Rob's cyperspace address is K2HZN@yahooo.com.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Cathy Anno, KB0FDU.

--

By the way, a number of us here at the Amateur Radio Newsline began our ham radio careers using gear designed by Ed Clegg. In fact, our producer Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, got to know Ed while Bill was still a teenager operating A-M on the 6 meter band. (Clegg family)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at

Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm _____
saying welcome to the year 2002, 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur
Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

1817. FS: W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory 2002 Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 18:49:08 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C5C34A4.344D1540@erols.com>
Source record: 52986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory 2002 edition is in its' 16th year of publication and now is available on CD ROM. The master file currently contains approximately, 101,000 listings for both private sector and government users. The directory does not exclude any "sensitive" frequencies such as surveillance, etc. Major services include but are not limited to: Public Service(Police, Fire, Rescue), Aviation, Business, Federal, Marine, Military, Press and Utilities. Among many past users have been three TV station news departments that use it as a "Bible" frequency/user reference some commercial two way radio businesses. The directory covers the frequency range 25-2,500 mhz. with some additional frequencies of interest below and above that frequency range. Some additional adjacent state frequency information such as federal and military and Press users in the DC/Maryland area are included. The directory, where known, also provides information as to the location of the control station for a remote site transmitter.

The W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory was reviewed in the October, 2001 issue of Monitoring Times magazine under "Product Review".

File data record fields and information contained where known are: FREQ1(T or R or S or X(trunked output)), FREQ2(T or R or S or Y(trunked input)), SV(Service), CALL(Callsign), USER, CITY, COUNTY (or Independent City), LAT(Latitude), LON(Longitude), TAH(Total Antenna Height), R(Repeater),B(Base), M(Mobile), P(Portable), PL(CTSSC analog or digital) and CH(Channel). Master file sort sequence ascending: FREQ1(Major), FREQ2,(Intermediate 1), SV,(Intermediate 2), USER (Intermediate 3), CITY (Intermediate 4), COUNTY (Intermediate 4), LAT, (Intermediate 5), LON (Intermediate 5) and CH (Minor).

For MS DOS users, the Master File and file extract files Aviation, Business, Federal, Marine, Military, Press and Utilities are in DBASE3/DBASE4 format. Also in "dbf" format are file extracts for every county and independent city in Virginia. This new feature allows the user to customize a "local" frequency file for monitoring selected counties or cities. The "local" file can further be customized, for example, to delete paging or broadcast or other services or frequencies of non-interest.

For Win 95/98 and ME users, the aforementioned files, excluding the county/independent city extract files, are available in MS EXCEL and text
formats. MS EXCEL can import DBASE3/DBASE4 format files for record data processing, editing and printing. Because MS EXCEL does not support front/back page printing but only one side printing, the use of

a separate software file print program called CLICKBOOK2000 is highly recommended. Files are also provided in CLICKBOOK2000 format. CLICKBOOK2000 is reasonably priced and available at <www.bluesquirrel.com>. Printed at 4 font, 160 records per page, the master file is approximately 1,120 pages. It is huge!

Now a few comments about the FCC database. News flash! It is not 100% accurate. Where errors are obvious, entries on the W4UVV VFD have been corrected. Additionaly, it does NOT allow the user to search by the name of an independent city except by a radius of entered latitude and longitude option. I have discussed this problem on more than one occasion with the FCC reps. Their response were arguments as to why they couldn't (read wouldn't} change the database query programs. The FCC ASSUMES that every city in the county is located within a county. This is not the situation in Virginia. This independent city status causes problems for license application filing. Often an independent city is listed in an adjoining county and this is not correct. To further muddy up things, actual off air monitoring of a number of licensees has disclosed that some are not using the frequency assigned for output, but as input. Some are licensed for repeater operation, but operate simplex. Others are operating on frequencies for which they were never licensed and some still operating without renewing their license.

In our opinion, the W4UVV VFD has no significant competition because no publication or frequency source comes close in providing the scope and detail of information provided in the W4UVV VFD. Did you say Police Call? Please! Police Call basically extracts FCC database information and depends upon individuals to submit corrections. Except rarely, does it tell you who the user is of a specific frequency? Does it tell you the analog or digital CTCSS tone? Does it tell you the Fire/Rescue station ids? Does it give you the latitude, longitude and total antenna height of the structure? Does it tell you where the remote transmitter and/or control site is located for an licensee? Can you tell who the users are of the "PW" bundled frequency assignments? Is it Fire? Rescue? Police? Utilities? Animal Control? Where known, the W4UVV VFD identifies them. You know the answers to those questions and it is "NO".

Within the past 3 months, off air monitoring has discovered two new analog in the clear federal users 24/7 on high VHF in state. Recently a surveillance body mike has been monitored on high VHF.

The W4UVV VFD is a dynamic document. Literally hundreds of hours have been spent updating this latest edition. The CD ROM files size is approximately 640 mbs! That is almost a FULL CD ROM of information! If you spent 10 seconds sight checking each record on the database currently at approximately 101,000 records, it would take you approximately 280 hours or 35 eight hour days or approximately one month and one week or approximately five weeks to view the entire file.

The W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory is available for $75.00 which includes shipping via USPS. The CD ROM is shipped within 24 hours of order receipt of a cashier's check or USPS money order. Sorry no CODs or credit card purchases or refunds. Allow 5 work days for personal checks to clear. Include legibly the name and mailing address and telephone an/or or email address in your order in case we need to contact you.

If you wish to purchase a copy of the W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory, send an email to: <jwilson9@erols.com> for specific mail ordering information. Upon request, a copy of the "Readme1st.doc", "User's.doc" and "DBASE3instructions.doc" files will be emailed for your review prior to purchase.

1818. FS: Realistic Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 18:53:48 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <0BW68.90412$XZ1.4024426@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 52988

Realistic Pro 2006 scanner. Works fine, used daily.
Unit has the S-meter modification. Lighted S meter is included.
Case has a few mounting holes in it. Previous owner was a volunteer fireman
and had it mounted in his vehicle.
$300.00 shipped from Austin, Texas anywhere CONUS.

pics available upon email request.

mark
mtaustin@SPAMonr.com remove SPAM please.

1819. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 18:54:19 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C5C35DB.576E765C@erols.com>
Source record: 52987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

Correct. The post by N9NWO is INCORRECT. Where do these individuals
come up with these irresponsible incorrect statements? Just because
YOUR state may have restrictions does mean most other states do!

GeorgeF wrote:

> Lurking Fool wrote:
>
> > "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
> > news:a3A48.523$3Y3.158831@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > >
> >>It is against the law in almost every state for an
> >>individual to have a scanner that can receive police
> >>and public safety frequencies in public or in a car.
> >>Police, fire fighters, journalists and amateur radio
> >>operators are exempted. This is state law, not federal.
> >>
> > >
>
> The above is a VERY incorrect statement. Most states do NOT have an
> anti scanner law. MOST states you can have a scanner in your car.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

--
==========
Interesting Items on my web page:http://users.erols.com/jwilson9
(INMARSAT,GOES/Polar Wefax,Radio Frequencies,Metal Detecting)
(C/Ku Band/MPEG2-FTA Satellite Reception,FM-SCPC,Amateur Radio)
John Wilson(W4UVV) email: jwilson9@erols.com
6413 Bull Hill Road, Prince George, Virginia, USA, 23875
Tel: 804-862-1262
==========

1820. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 19:06:02 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <uMW68.11550$cy1.575487@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 52990
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I said girly porn or guy morn. I never said anything about kiddie porn. You are a very dirty old man if you look at kiddie porn. That is SICK. I guess thst would go along with you though always bringing up the war and crap. And I can't type. LOL. I don't have to say fuckwit every second word like you. I don't need to be a baby and swear every second word either. Does it make you feel cool trying to fight with everyone? Do you feel cool swearing to everyone? I think you need to go into some rehab for your problems, What a very dirty old man.

Dev

"KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message news:a3h40aojv2@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
> news:NLG68.9983$cy1.380214@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > Oh my. are you still in the 1900's. Damn it is now 2002. What does the
war
> > have to do with it. You seem like a teeny weeny OLD man that is a
pervert,
> > that is racist & cannot leave the past alone. You seem to be blaming
> > everyone for the war and your mistakes. Get over it. It is 2002 now. You
> > probably think that the world was going to end in 2000. There may still
be
> > racism now. Like you. But most accept other races and other mistakes. Oh
> > well. You can live in the past and look at your girly porn. Ut, or is it
> boy
> > porn. But you are too funny to listen to and I love laughing at trolls
> that
> > want to argue. Atleast I am not getting bent out of shape over your
> whining.
> >
> > Dev
>
> No, you're making an ASS of yourself by your illiterate, kiddie-porn
loving
> replies.
> Fuckwit.
>
>

1821. Re: Good/Bad ICOM R-3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 19:06:04 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C5C389C.4E8FA1FD@erols.com>
Source record: 52989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have been researching the various aspects 2.4 ghz. reception. In doing so, I search various websites. According to one website that did "hands on" testing the R-3's performance on 2.4 ghz. is AWFUL! It is "deaf", i.e. poor sensitivity and the tuning range is not high enough. Some interesting monitoring occurs ABOVE 2450 mhz. In one test the R-3 had to be within 3 ft. of the test transmission on 2400 mhz. Contrary to the ads showing reception of "red neck" in car video transmissions, it is a TERRIBLE performer on 2400 mhz. according to the webpage posting.

There are better choices but they are not bundled into a handheld receiver and are only for the serious monitors willing to configure a S band monitoring system. I am in contact with a manufacturer of a really neat unit for the 2 ghz. range. If ordering details can be worked out, I may write an article on S band monitoring and submit it for publication.

capemayjim wrote:

> would like any feedback on this model

1822. Re: Icom R2 Just A Toy? - Why so Cheap...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 19:12:04 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020202141204.21672.00000915@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 52991

I just bought the R2 the other day from AES on DEMO. Spent $130 and let me say its an awesome sounding scanner!

CHEAP and for all the features that its has.. its worth every dime. I have spent 100's more on scanners, that don't even have the features this one has.

Jeffrey

1823. NEED ELECTRONIC COUNTER MOD 4 PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 19:13:48 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020202141348.21672.00000916@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 52992

Hello,
I used to own a modification handbook that showed a modification for the PRO-2004,5,6 I forgot which. It was a mod that you wired in a electronic counting module to your scanner and it would count the number of hits on a specific frequency you were monitoring. Basically, you leave your scanner on a channel in the beginning of the day and come back after work and see how busy that channel was. Its nice for finding out if a frequency/repeater is active or not.

Has anyone else heard of this modification before? If so... what is the mod? How do I wire it into the scanner?

Please email me details on wiring it up.

Thanks so much for your time and sure hope someone can help!

73 de N9MXT - Jeffrey
email: N9MXT1@AOL.COM

1824. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 19:30:09 UTC
From: "So, you want to be anonymous" <dev@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <57X68.19533$dh.5708670@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 52993
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you guys wanted to be anonymous, you are going about it all wrong.
Make sure you know what you are doing.
For one Dev, you live in Canada, near Calgary and you use the Shaw
Residential Internet service.
Come on, guys. Keep it clean, keep it on track and remember that this
is a Radio Shack newsgroup. You guys haven't mentioned anything about Radio
Shack in all of your flames.

"Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message...
> I said girly porn or guy morn. I never said anything about kiddie porn.
You
> are a very dirty old man if you look at kiddie porn. That is SICK. I guess
> thst would go along with you though always bringing up the war and crap.
And
> I can't type. LOL. I don't have to say fuckwit every second word like you.
I
> don't need to be a baby and swear every second word either. Does it make
you
> feel cool trying to fight with everyone? Do you feel cool swearing to
> everyone? I think you need to go into some rehab for your problems, What a
> very dirty old man.
>
> Dev
>
>
> "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
news:a3h40aojv2@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
> > news:NLG68.9983$cy1.380214@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > > Oh my. are you still in the 1900's. Damn it is now 2002. What does the
> war
> > > have to do with it. You seem like a teeny weeny OLD man that is a
> pervert,
> > > that is racist & cannot leave the past alone. You seem to be blaming
> > > everyone for the war and your mistakes. Get over it. It is 2002 now.
You
> > > probably think that the world was going to end in 2000. There may
still
> be
> > > racism now. Like you. But most accept other races and other mistakes.
Oh
> > > well. You can live in the past and look at your girly porn. Ut, or is

it
> > boy
> > > porn. But you are too funny to listen to and I love laughing at trolls
> > that
> > > want to argue. Atleast I am not getting bent out of shape over your
> > whining.
> > >
> > > Dev
> >
> > No, you're making an ASS of yourself by your illiterate, kiddie-porn
> loving
> > replies.
> > Fuckwit.
> >
> >
>
>

1825. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 19:37:24 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u5oftq1ep92mf3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52994

This may be a really dumb question, but what exactly is an unlicensed TV? The whole idea behind it makes little to no sense to me... From what I gather, you had to have a license to own a TV in the UK? Pretty ridiculous if you ask me... Were they afraid you were going to watch too many soap operas or something and corrupt your brain?? Some shed some light on this half-assed idea here for me would ya...

PH

> >Example: See this 1998 UK TV detector trucks:
> >
> >http://groups.google.com/groups?q=trucks+detect+group:rec.radio.scanner+g
> roup:rec.radio.scanner&hl=en&scoring=d&selm=3530ef34.1376063%40news.usit.n
> et&rnum=6
> >
> >Is the stuff the scanner gives off correlated with the frequencies
> >it's scanning? If so, and if it was possible in 1998 for the UK to
> >pick out TV receivers, which are extremely common, it seems bizarre to
> >think that the FBI can't pick out the one in 500 households that has a
> >police scanner. I guess what you're really saying here is that, of
> >course, the government can physically detect my scanner, but won't
> >bother because it has better things to do.
>
> Frequency counters escalate in cost exponentially based on how weak a
> signal they can lock on and how fast they can lock on. TV's are relatively
easy
> to detect because they stay on a frequency for minutes to hours at a time.
A
> newer scanner is going through dozens of channels a second and stopping on
> random ones for at most a few seconds at a time. The local oscillator
(which is
> what the receiver hunters look for) in a scanner is weaker and quite often
much
> better physically shielded & better isolated from the antenna than the one
in a
> TV. It would take much more expensive equipment and personell to hunt down
> scanners than it does to hunt down TV's.
> TVs are harder to find now than in the days of tubes (the local
oscillator
> in some of the early tube tuners put out upwards of half a watt of RF!),
but
> not nearly so hard as scanners. Given the proliferation of signals leaking
out
> of everything digital, wireless this and that in every house & just hash
and

> noise, they'd have to want to find scaner users pretty badly to spend the
> money and effort to do it.
> Neutrodyne
>

1826. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 19:42:12 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3hhegpug0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52995
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
news:uMW68.11550$cy1.575487@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> I said {Poor Fatass Al}

Dev's admission he downloads kiddie porn noted.

1827. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 19:44:09 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3hhehpug1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52996
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"So, you want to be anonymous" <dev@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:57X68.19533$dh.5708670@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> If you guys wanted to be anonymous, you are going about it all wrong.
> Make sure you know what you are doing.
> For one Dev, you live in Canada, near Calgary and you use the Shaw
> Residential Internet service.

BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

> Come on, guys. Keep it clean, keep it on track and remember that this
> is a Radio Shack newsgroup. You guys haven't mentioned anything about
Radio
> Shack in all of your flames.

Ok, Dev goes to radio shack to buy his writable CD's that he makes the
kiddie porn on.

1828. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 20:19:23 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u5oieen3rdo56b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52897
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

why all the fighting? see! Rat Shack stops selling germanium diodes and the whole world goes to pot... damn the Shack! i'll never shop there again **.

phil :p

** again meaning until i need some electronic components

1829. Re: ExportRadios.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 20:38:20 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020202153820.16526.00001276@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 52898

>Subject: ExportRadios.com
>From: "David B." ddrew@nh.ultranet.com
>Date: 2/1/02 7:42 AM Mountain Standard Time
>Message-id: <_Ox68.3823$KA4.1510214@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
>
>Anyone had any dealing with these people? I placed an order for an antenna
>over a week ago, my order hasn't been processed, they
>won't respond to my emails, and I can't cancel my order via the site, just
>send an unhappy letter to their admin.

Take a look at some of the postings about
ExportRadios.com in rec.radio.cb. Seems you're not the only person who's had a
bad experience with this business.

73,
David

1830. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 20:39:35 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <b8Y68.11586$2x2.625842@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 52899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ya. actually I live in British Columbia. In the kootenays. but far from
calgary.

have a great day So, you want to be anonymous" <dev@shaw.ca>.

I guess I won't use dev@shaw.ca . may be confusing now.

73 to ya
Devin

"So, you want to be anonymous" <dev@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:57X68.19533$dh.5708670@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> If you guys wanted to be anonymous, you are going about it all wrong.
> Make sure you know what you are doing.
> For one Dev, you live in Canada, near Calgary and you use the Shaw
> Residential Internet service.
> Come on, guys. Keep it clean, keep it on track and remember that this
> is a Radio Shack newsgroup. You guys haven't mentioned anything about
Radio
> Shack in all of your flames.
>
> "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message...
> > I said girly porn or guy morn. I never said anything about kiddie porn.
> You
> > are a very dirty old man if you look at kiddie porn. That is SICK. I
guess
> > thst would go along with you though always bringing up the war and crap.
> And
> > I can't type. LOL. I don't have to say fuckwit every second word like
you.
> I
> > don't need to be a baby and swear every second word either. Does it make
> you
> > feel cool trying to fight with everyone? Do you feel cool swearing to
> > everyone? I think you need to go into some rehab for your problems, What
a
> > very dirty old man.
> >
> > Dev
> >
> >
> > "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote in message
> news:a3h40aojv2@pita.alt.net...
> > >

> > > "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
> > > news:NLG68.9983$cy1.380214@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > > > Oh my. are you still in the 1900's. Damn it is now 2002. What does
the
> > war
> > > > have to do with it. You seem like a teeny weeny OLD man that is a
> > pervert,
> > > > that is racist & cannot leave the past alone. You seem to be blaming
> > > > everyone for the war and your mistakes. Get over it. It is 2002 now.
> You
> > > > probably think that the world was going to end in 2000. There may
> still
> > be
> > > > racism now. Like you. But most accept other races and other
mistakes.
> Oh
> > > > well. You can live in the past and look at your girly porn. Ut, or
is
> it
> > > boy
> > > > porn. But you are too funny to listen to and I love laughing at
trolls
> > > that
> > > > want to argue. Atleast I am not getting bent out of shape over your
> > > whining.
> > > >
> > > > Dev
> > >
> > > No, you're making an ASS of yourself by your illiterate, kiddie-porn
> > loving
> > > replies.
> > > Fuckwit.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1831. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 20:41:34 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <2aY68.11593$2x2.626467@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 52900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I miss that out here. rat shack used to sell them. now I can't even find a max 232 there. or even the basic 2n2222. All that they have here are cells and other electronic stuff.

Devin

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message news:u5oieen3rdo56b@corp.supernews.com...
> why all the fighting? see! Rat Shack stops selling germanium diodes and the
> whole world goes to pot... damn the Shack! i'll never shop there again **.
>
> phil :p
>
> ** again meaning until i need some electronic components
>
>

1832. Re: ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 20:47:13 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020202154713.16526.00001281@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 52901

>Subject: ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????
>From: satan777us@yahoo.com (Richard Gozinya)
>Date: 1/28/02 12:28 PM Mountain Standard Time
>Message-id: <3c55a588.6931176@news.sunflower.com>
>
>Am I missing something here? For instance: You can get a NEW Pro-93
>form Radio Shack for $170. Some the the older used scanners(non-cell)
>capable are selling for close to that price without shipping. I was
>thinking of purchasing a new Pro-93 at the $170 price, can someone
>tell me if I'm missing something about these scanners I don't
>understand before taking the plunge?

Outrageous prices for scanners on ePay is nothing new. A year or two ago when Sony discontinued their Wavehawk scanners, people were paying $50-$100 more for Wavehawks on eBay than the scanners were selling for at various online retailers. Apparently some people just don't want to spend a little time looking around the net to see where the real bargains can be had.

73,
David

1833. Need radio programmed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 21:06:44 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a3ha49$cb7$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52979

I just bought a G.E. model PSX-200 UHF mobile and no shops in my area do programming.
Anyone out there know where I can get it done? Willing to mail out the radio as long as the place is reputable.

1834. Re: ? Best Deal On Icom PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 21:06:53 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <EuY68.89940$h31.6027690@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

> Most
> Cell phones are DIGITAL NOW ANYWAY on 1.6 GHz and higher..

Is this true? Which ones?

Roland

1835. Re: Outdoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 21:34:02 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5C5B4A.8080407@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52903

scanner freak wrote:

> Thanks George,actually I read your review about that antenna about 1 1/2
> months ago and purchased one,works great but I would also like a
> omni-directional antenna as well...
>

Great! I have the cheaper (not VHF Lo version) of AntennaWarehouse
Discone. I like it as well for an omni. If you find something better
than a Discone for omni-directional let us know I'd be interested in
hearing about it.

About 10 years ago I had a Radio Shack vertical. Can't remember the
model and don't know if its made anymore. It had three vertical
elements, one for VHF-Lo, VHF-Hi, and UHF. It also had 3 (maybe 4)
radials which were 90 degrees to the vertical element. That was a super
great antenna. I wish I didn't leave it at a house I moved out of 10
years ago!

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF, VHF, UHF Military Freq and Pictures!

--

_____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
_____

1836. Re: How do I find trunk control channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 21:38:17 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C5C5C48.8B8DC3D0@amsat.org>
Source record: 52904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Never anonymous Bud wrote:

> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, f@l.se spake thusly
>
> >Here in San Diego there used to be several individuals and groups that
> >would periodically publish such information,
> >sadly, they have all gone to "coming out soon" status.
>
> I haven't ever said that. But then, no one has asked me for info recently.

Actually it was a mutual friend (you know who)
that indicated the next ScanFan would be out sometime between thanksgiving and
xmas.
That was back last fall, so certainly doesn't qualify as recently.

While I'm taking this moment of respite from Fredricks, ;-)
maybe you can offer up an ETA, or are we both awaiting Fred's recovery?
For the time being, I've been using this:
http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl?sid=330
last updated Jan 06 2002

~Bart~

1837. FS: Bearcat 800 XLT (Full 800 mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 22:26:47 UTC
From: David Ausley <dausley@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <3C5C67A7.CEB385A8@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 52905

For Sale:
Mint condition Bearcat BC 800 XLT scanner, AC/DC power, 40 programmable channels, all the "normal" scanner bands (29 - 912 mhz) including unrestricted 800 mhz cellular band. This was one of the last scanners manufactured with legal 800 mhz. Radio is like new and includes original box and manuals. $85.00 You ship. Email for picture or questions.

--
David O. Ausley
Athens, AL
Amateur Radio Call: WB4NCT
E-Mail: dausley@hiwaay.net
Homepage: http://home.hiwaay.net/~dausley/index.html

1838. FS: Bearcat "Sportcat" Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 22:39:29 UTC
From: David Ausley <dausley@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <3C5C6AA1.B0AA0EC6@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 52906

For Sale:
Mint condition Bearcat SC 150B "Sportcat" handheld scanner. 100
channels, 29 - 956 mhz in 12 bands, (cell blocked) Radio is like new and
includes original box , manuals, leather case, AC and DC charging cords.
$75.00 You ship. Email for picture or questions.

--
David O. Ausley
Athens, AL
Amateur Radio Call: WB4NCT
E-Mail: dausley@hiwaay.net
Homepage: http://home.hiwaay.net/~dausley/index.html

1839. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 23:32:21 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C5C7704.AC8641E7@amsat.org>
Source record: 52907

Champ wrote:

> If you don't want to be paranoid anymore, You are more than welcome to send
> me your scanner. I would be glad to take it off your hands, and then you
> don't have to worry your little self to death over this.
>
> Canadian Scanner Freak

Or better yet,
if you don't want to get caught sending it out of the country to one of those
sneaky canuck terrorists,
you can send it to me in here San Diego.
There's lots of military around here, so it should be safe.
Just be sure to wrap it in tin foil first ;-)

>
>
> "A.L. Bell" <allbell@vnet.net> wrote in message
> news:41c36732.0201311547.671f6d@posting.google.com...
> > I bought a little Radio Shack scanner because the whole Sept. 11 thing
> > freaked me out and I want to have some vague idea what's going on in
> > my area.
> >
> > I've noticed when I'm listening that the cops a) Sometimes say stuff
> > like "Go to Channel 6," or "Call me on the phone," and b) "Don't use
> > names on the air."
> >
> > My understanding is that, if the cops, NSA, etc. really want to know
> > whether I'm scanning, they can probably detect my scanner with the
> > same sorts of trucks that detect unlicensed television sets in the
> > United Kingdom.
> >
> > Questions:
> >
> > 1) Is it likely, in the NYC area, that my scanner is ever the only one
> > scanning, or are there so many scanners that mine is always one of
> > many? I.e., am I freaking out the cops all by my little self, or are
> > cops just conscious of the likelihood that dozens or hundreds of
> > people are always listening in?
> >
> > 2) If my scanner is enough, all by itself, to freak out the cops, is
> > there any way (like getting a license or something) to decrease the
> > level of stress that my radio might cause?
>
> ---

> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.319 / Virus Database: 178 - Release Date: 28/01/02

~Bart~

1840. FS: AOR AR8600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 23:37:52 UTC
From: Karl <karl-schmidt>
Message-ID: <2J_68.74022$bv.13854457@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 52908

I have an AOR AR8600 Wideband reciever / scanner for sale.
Unit is a US version 2 months old. It is in like new condition and includes the box, manual, antennas and all original accesories. I will also include the ARC8600 progaming and remote control software for the scanner from Butel software. Asking $650 including shipping in the lower 48 states. Will accept paypal or US postal money order.

1841. SPAMMER Re: *E*R*O*T*I*C F-R-E-E ? *H*A*C*K the H*O*T Pages ! 2027

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-02 23:50:15 UTC
From: "tw��,.," <.tw>, <>, yahoomsnATTBI.org.com
Message-ID: <XW_68.7603$S06.26610@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 52909

```
Path:
rwcrnsc53!rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net!attbi_slave52!attbi_master51!attbi_feed4
!attbi.com!204.127.161.1!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!199.45.45.8!cyclone1.gnili
nk.net!newsfeed4.cidera.com!newsfeed1.cidera.com!Cidera!newsfeed.media.kyoto
-u.ac.jp!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!n
ews.vol.cz!not-for-mail
From: bpndmh@yahoo.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: *E*R*O*T*I*C F-R-E-E ? *H*A*C*K the H*O*T Pages ! 2027
Date: Sat, 2 Feb 2002 20:32:16 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: Video On Line
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <a3hicg$2vlj$1171@news.vol.cz>
NNTP-Posting-Host: fes.customer.vol.cz
X-Trace: news.vol.cz 1012681936 97971 195.122.200.51 (2 Feb 2002 20:32:16
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@vol.cz
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 2 Feb 2002 20:32:16 +0000 (UTC)
Xref: attbi_master51 rec.radio.scanner:5228
X-Received-Date: Sat, 02 Feb 2002 20:41:35 GMT (rwcrnsc53)

http://x-x-x-h-a-c-k.4u.to

ypsiwjgksypnjlvndvvlhcemyrwddmyoxskflejuirqjufkrjibvwznjvtqydpylocqsiiniwvvb
ycssbognucpfzfmuleypnrheqkdsbjcvyqgmxojuhvvuccixfqjwmpivnzpsmigczljspkgxkvqy
ukcpnqnbbvozfnjfvthbezlftcvfkolmtfpdppxvxmyytquxurbxruyszqbwiqpcqnvsoewbtmly
k

<bpndmh@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:a3hicg$2vlj$1171@news.vol.cz...
> http://x-x-x-h-a-c-k.4u.to
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

>
ypsiwjgksypnjlvndvvlhcemyrwddmyoxskflejuirqjufkrjibvwznjvtqydpylocqsiiniwvvb
ycssbognucpfzfmuleypnrheqkdsbjcvyqgmxojuhvvuccixfqjwmpivnzpsmigczljspkgxkvqy
ukcpnqnbbvozfnjfvthbezlftcvfkolmtfpdppxvxmyytquxurbxruyszqbwiqpcqnvsoewbtmly
k
>

1842. OS-456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 00:00:10 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <2cvo5u8ae3ba8qffrs7q7pvdqrc7g5rfau@4ax.com>
Source record: 52910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Before I spend the money on an Optoelectronics OS-456 Lite, does anyone know of an OS-456 for sale (or one they have that they'd be willing to part with) for a reasonable price?

I've been looking on eBay and hamfests for over a year, and you can find plenty with the scanner already attached. I have the scanner, and don't really want another.

Thanks.

1843. Re: FS: W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory 2002 Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 00:06:01 UTC
From: tmartin831@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020202190601.14112.00000873@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 52911

I have purchased this CD and it is awesome!!! It would be a bargain at twice the price.

1844. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 00:45:34 UTC
From: Splinter <outdoorkindaguy@SPAMLESS.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <QK%68.50475$yi5.4899766@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 52912

We are watching ALL of you.....

bwahahahahahhahahahahahahahahahahah... cof....cof

:-)

1845. FS:Yaesu VR120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 00:46:45 UTC
From: Angela Leyland <Davegee2001@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a3i19kjnl1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52913

For Sale ?100 Uk or swap for Yupi 7100 or Realistic Pro 2042

1846. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 00:50:52 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3i89q$12k$0@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 52918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message
news:u5oieen3rdo56b@corp.supernews.com...
> why all the fighting? see! Rat Shack stops selling germanium diodes and
the
> whole world goes to pot... damn the Shack! i'll never shop there again **.
>
> phil :p
>
> ** again meaning until i need some electronic components

LOL!!!!! You mean while you can get them.

1847. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 00:51:51 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3i89t$12k$1@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 52919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Path:
news.alt.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!pd2nf1so.cg.shawca
ble.net!residential.shaw.ca!news3.calgary.shaw.ca.POSTED!not-for-mail
X-Trace-PostClient-IP: 24.84.192.42
From: "Dev" <.@..com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ra
dio.shortwave
References:

<3C545B27.E174B268@houston-hunks.com>
<20020127205146.291$Xv@newsreader.com>

<57X68.19533$dh.5708670@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????
Lines: 86
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
Message-ID:
Date: Sat, 02 Feb 2002 20:39:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.64.2.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@shaw.ca
X-Trace: news3.calgary.shaw.ca 1012682375 24.64.2.78 (Sat, 02 Feb 2002
13:39:35 MST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 02 Feb 2002 13:39:35 MST
Organization: Shaw Residential Internet
Xref: news alt.radio-shack:1728 rec.radio.amateur.misc:185530
rec.radio.cb:285165 rec.radio.scanner:227065 rec.radio.shortwave:242802

"Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
news:b8Y68.11586$2x2.625842@news3.calgary.shaw.ca...
> ya. actually I live in British Columbia. In the kootenays. but far from
> calgary.
>
> have a great day So, you want to be anonymous" .
>
> I guess I won't use dev@shaw.ca . may be confusing now.
>
> 73 to ya
> Devin
>

>
>
> "So, you want to be anonymous" wrote in message
> news:57X68.19533$dh.5708670@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> > If you guys wanted to be anonymous, you are going about it all
wrong.
> > Make sure you know what you are doing.
> > For one Dev, you live in Canada, near Calgary and you use the Shaw
> > Residential Internet service.
> > Come on, guys. Keep it clean, keep it on track and remember that
this
> > is a Radio Shack newsgroup. You guys haven't mentioned anything about
> Radio
> > Shack in all of your flames.
> >
> > "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message...
> > > I said girly porn or guy morn. I never said anything about kiddie
porn.
> > You
> > > are a very dirty old man if you look at kiddie porn. That is SICK. I
> guess
> > > thst would go along with you though always bringing up the war and
crap.
> > And
> > > I can't type. LOL. I don't have to say fuckwit every second word like
> you.
> > I
> > > don't need to be a baby and swear every second word either. Does it
make
> > you
> > > feel cool trying to fight with everyone? Do you feel cool swearing to
> > > everyone? I think you need to go into some rehab for your problems,
What
> a
> > > very dirty old man.
> > >
> > > Dev
> > >
> > >
> > > "KC8JBO" wrote in message
> > news:a3h40aojv2@pita.alt.net...
> > > > >
> > > > "Dev" <.@..com> wrote in message
> > > > news:NLG68.9983$cy1.380214@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > > > > Oh my. are you still in the 1900's. Damn it is now 2002. What does
> the
> > > war
> > > > > have to do with it. You seem like a teeny weeny OLD man that is a
> > > pervert,
> > > > > that is racist & cannot leave the past alone. You seem to be

blaming
> > > > > everyone for the war and your mistakes. Get over it. It is 2002
now.
> > You
> > > > > probably think that the world was going to end in 2000. There may
> > still
> > > be
> > > > > racism now. Like you. But most accept other races and other
> mistakes.
> > Oh
> > > > > well. You can live in the past and look at your girly porn. Ut, or
> is
> > it
> > > > boy
> > > > > porn. But you are too funny to listen to and I love laughing at
> trolls
> > > > that
> > > > > want to argue. Atleast I am not getting bent out of shape over
your
> > > > whining.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dev
> > > >
> > > > No, you're making an ASS of yourself by your illiterate, kiddie-porn
> > > loving
> > > > replies.
> > > > Fuckwit.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1848. Re: Outdoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 00:55:56 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14408-3C5C8A9C-6@storefull-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52914

Yes thats the antenna I was using untill 2 days ago when the ice storm hit a RS groundplane 3 vert. elements and 3 horz. elements I have owned 3 over the years and now RS don't make them anymore,I am looking for something similer so far no luck...It work VERY well....I have a photo on my website check it out here http://community.webtv.net/pro2036/dansshack

Talk to you George...

1849. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 01:19:16 UTC
From: Paul Young <young@ion.com.au>
Message-ID: <3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au>
Source record: 52915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and deaf on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E and I am glad I did.
The speed has improved, stations that I could not pick up before now can be heard. It does not suck the batteries dry in a few hours.
Heaps more features which you are obviously aware of, I would not even compare this to any Icom or AOR gear.
The HF side is as good as my desktop HF Reviver if not better. Although there is not a lot that is worth listing to above 1200 Mhz it still pulls in the signals, great.
This scanner can decode CCST, de-scrambling and flash tune is great.
Yes it does not come with a program cable but here in Australia they are only A$50.00
Unless Alinco have produced Amateur radio gear for years and are great.

So yes go and but the DJX-2000, you wont be disappointed.

Paul

isobad wrote:

> I'm looking for feedback on this hand held from
> those that have used it. It seems from what i've
> read that it's built great and has a ton of really cool
> features. Some say it performs pretty well along
> the HF bands for what it is. From looking at the specs
> and reading Bob Parnass's review in the June 2001 MT
> my only concern is the sensitivity 900Mhz and greater,
> it really looks to drop off.
>
> I'm debating whether to buy the ~ $500 DJ-X2000 or go for the current
> bargian Icom R2 ~$150 (on sale and Icom is offering a coupon for free PC
> cable and S/W) .
>
> Anybody regret buying it ?
>
> Thanks

--

Paul Young

Mailto:young@ion.com.au

Radio Scanner Frequencies - http://young.ion.tm

On the Gold Coast, Queensland, AUSTRALIA.

1850. low vhf antenna- any ideas?.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 01:45:48 UTC
From: Paul Logan <Paul.Logan4@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a3i4ocqsl1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52916

Hi all,

can anyone give some suggestions on building a good low vhf antenna?.

Also incredible scanner skip this week here in Ireland, California CHP in on
a couple of days at 42 mhz!.

--
Paul Logan,
Lisnaskea, N. Ireland

Located 54 15 N 7 27 W in IO64GF.
Interests: FM and TV-DX, SWL, Scanning.

Low VHF Skip and Scanning page: http://www.geocities.com/yogi540

1851. Re: Strange Freq Found?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 02:32:34 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kk178.551$tt5.99226@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52917

Check the FCC site to see who the owner is?

"Eric Haney" <ehaney@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:o5kn5us0g27434pr6ad7jpo2qqou9qih9l@4ax.com...
>
> I found a strange frequency last night scanning the 900 MHz band in
> all my years of scanning have never heard. Does anyone know what this
> is?
>
> It was at 947.7500 and had a continuous carrier with what sounded like
> a 1.5Khz to 2KHz beep every 5 seconds or so that maybe had a duration
> of .5 seconds. Not a very strong signal but went on for hours...
>
> This was not a repeater or like anything I have ever heard before. If
> I hear it again I will record it for reference. Checking the
> frequency this morning I have the continuous carrier but no tone, it's
> kind of like an open mic but no background noise heard.
>
> Eric
>
>

1852. Re: How do I find trunk control channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 02:50:34 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <e89p5uo5engum9mblru9dsos3glqhtpmrl@4ax.com>
Source record: 52920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, f@l.se spake thusly

>Actually it was a mutual friend (you know who)
>that indicated the next ScanFan would be out sometime between thanksgiving and
>xmas.
>That was back last fall, so certainly doesn't qualify as recently.

Yep, I had indicated maybe 7-8 months ago that there might be a new version by
end of year, but then Fred had some health issues.

I'm hoping he'll get to work on it again soon, but I'm NOT promising anything
this time.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1853. Re: Strange Freq Found?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 03:22:01 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020202222201.05304.00000266@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 52921

It was Santa Clause testinghis new GPS system out while Rudolp was crusing around trying to find the Raindeer Food

1854. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 03:56:11 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

"Paul Young" <young@ion.com.au> wrote in message news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and deaf on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E and I am glad I did.

I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow, but that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it. I'll also be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity during a quit time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have convenient bank linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a scanner that is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in one bank at a time with 5 channels/sec!

What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??

1855. Re: low vhf antenna- any ideas?.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 04:14:44 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <UO278.27849$ae1.2914185339@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52923

I live in California. If you would like to know what part of the state you were listening to, I might be able to help. Good Catch!!!!!

Eric Ferguson
Appox. 38 N 122 W

1856. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 04:29:42 UTC
From: allbell@vnet.net
Message-ID: <41c36732.0202022029.40c6766e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52924

neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne) wrote in message
news:<20020201164157.14475.00000547@mb-ck.aol.com>...

Thanks. I understand that a lot of folks on this thread know all of
these details, but they're not all that easy for a newbie to turn up
on Google.

> The local oscillator (which is
> what the receiver hunters look for) in a scanner is weaker and quite often much
> better physically shielded & better isolated from the antenna than the one in a
> TV. ... TVs are harder to find now than in the days of tubes (the local oscillator
> in some of the early tube tuners put out upwards of half a watt of RF!), but
> not nearly so hard as scanners. Given the proliferation of signals leaking out
> of everything digital, wireless this and that in every house & just hash and
> noise, they'd have to want to find scaner users pretty badly to spend the
> money and effort to do it.

1857. Re: Cool New Toy: same old legal stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 04:53:38 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mn378.4242$3E5.348371@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry to be late to this discussion but I just realized what the thread was about. Cool stuff but...

Why is it that everything innovative must be contested & squashed by some idiot ham with his rulebook surgically attached? The fact is 2.4 GHz is a ham band that is shared with Part 15 devices such as the IBM-3330. SO what is the problem? There is none for those who can read & understand, without paranoia setting in. Wanna-be radio police need to get a real life, a job or take a bath!

Reading into things that aren't there. Good God, no wonder we can't bring younger folks into the service! I don't blame them for sticking to computers because old, anal retentive ham own ham radio!

Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:a20374$v9g$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Lemme put this on the table, just for discussion. What about that whole
> thing of not rebroadcasting amateur radio transmissions on non-amateur
bands
> (i.e., the 2.4GHz cordless telephone "band")?
>
> The thought just hit me that this might be the same thing. I know that
> rebroadcasting non-amateur radio transmission on amateur bands is not
> allowed (except in the case for example of NASA's ARC here in Cleveland
who
> got special permission to rebroadcast live shuttle transmissions in the
144
> band). Does the inverse apply, like in the 2.4GHz phone example? Perhaps
> it does not apply to devices intended as telephones, since amateur radio
> repeater telephone patches are legal - and by definition might also wind
up
> being rebroadcast on the land-line end via cordless phone. Maybe public
> wireless telephony (i.e., cordless phones) are an exception even though
they
> are radios on non-amateur bands.
>
> Regardless, it's still a very cool idea.
>
> Mike
>
> "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com> wrote in message
> news:NO208.4923$V55.788441@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The
> > nice thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card

> > connections (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about
> > people using these things for amateur radio. I picked one up at
> > Sam's Club, made some cables and connected it to my ICOM 746.
> > It works great!! I have had several comments on how good the audio
> > quality was. It even works with the VOX so I can now walk around
> > the house while listening to and talking on my radio. No cords!
> >
> > I guess this thing can be used with any type of audio source
> > including scanners, shortwave radios, etc. Simply plug the radio
> > audio out into the phone Mic connection and you are free to roam
> > while listening.
> >
> > While I have not yet tested it yet, the documentation claims 5
> > hours talk time. Since you must make a connection to the base
> > to listen, this would apply while just listening as well.
> >
> > --
> > David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
> > Baton Rouge, LA
> >
> > Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> > http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis
> >
> > ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> > Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.
> >
> > Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1858. Trunking freqs for NJSP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 04:55:49 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020202235549.09347.00000572@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 52926

Can anyone give me an accurate listing of New Jersey State Police trunked frequencies in the Ocean County area? I have lots of freqs, entered them in and went to trunk tracking mode, but I only pick up occasional weak signals apparently from barracks far away. I am particularly interested in the freqs used by the barracks on the GSP, just south of the Toms River toll booth, not sure of the troop letter.

Also, any non-trunked frequencies (besides SPEN) that they use would be nice.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1859. Re: Cool New Toy: same old legal stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 05:20:04 UTC
From: " .Your Friend Bill" <none@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3C5CC884.C94FB55E@coqui.net>
Source record: 52928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Uh, Rafman,
From the posts you are quoting I don't see the gripe. Not that your
gripe is without merit but you'll have to work harder to make a case
about being repressed by 'anal-retentive radio police' that are in
agreement with your point of view.

-Bill

"Rafmanï¿½" wrote:
>
> Sorry to be late to this discussion but I just realized what the thread was
> about. Cool stuff but...
>
> Why is it that everything innovative must be contested & squashed by some
> idiot ham with his rulebook surgically attached? The fact is 2.4 GHz is a
> ham band that is shared with Part 15 devices such as the IBM-3330. SO what
> is the problem? There is none for those who can read & understand, without
> paranoia setting in. Wanna-be radio police need to get a real life, a job or
> take a bath!
>
> Reading into things that aren't there. Good God, no wonder we can't bring
> younger folks into the service! I don't blame them for sticking to computers
> because old, anal retentive ham own ham radio!
>
> Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:a20374$v9g$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Lemme put this on the table, just for discussion. What about that whole
> > thing of not rebroadcasting amateur radio transmissions on non-amateur
> bands
> > (i.e., the 2.4GHz cordless telephone "band")?
> >
> > The thought just hit me that this might be the same thing. I know that
> > rebroadcasting non-amateur radio transmission on amateur bands is not
> > allowed (except in the case for example of NASA's ARC here in Cleveland
> who
> > got special permission to rebroadcast live shuttle transmissions in the
> 144
> > band). Does the inverse apply, like in the 2.4GHz phone example? Perhaps
> > it does not apply to devices intended as telephones, since amateur radio
> > repeater telephone patches are legal - and by definition might also wind
> up
> > being rebroadcast on the land-line end via cordless phone. Maybe public
> > wireless telephony (i.e., cordless phones) are an exception even though
> they

> > are radios on non-amateur bands.
> >
> > Regardless, it's still a very cool idea.
> >
> > Mike
> >
> > "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com> wrote in message
> > news:NO208.4923$V55.788441@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The
> > > nice thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card
> > > connections (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about
> > > people using these things for amateur radio. I picked one up at
> > > Sam's Club, made some cables and connected it to my ICOM 746.
> > > It works great!! I have had several comments on how good the audio
> > > quality was. It even works with the VOX so I can now walk around
> > > the house while listening to and talking on my radio. No cords!
> > >
> > > I guess this thing can be used with any type of audio source
> > > including scanners, shortwave radios, etc. Simply plug the radio
> > > audio out into the phone Mic connection and you are free to roam
> > > while listening.
> > >
> > > While I have not yet tested it yet, the documentation claims 5
> > > hours talk time. Since you must make a connection to the base
> > > to listen, this would apply while just listening as well.
> > >
> > > --
> > > David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
> > > Baton Rouge, LA
> > >
> > > Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> > > http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis
> > >
> > > ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> > > Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.
> > >
> > > Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

1860. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 06:11:27 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Gr478.2$JD4.2018@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52929

Really quite simple. In England, each television (and possibly each radio broadcast receiver, the AM/FM/SW type - not sure) had to be licensed by the British government, just like you have to license a car or motorcycle. It is a yearly license, I believe. A registry of all permits is maintained, and the British version of the FCC uses a fleet of vans to drive around and detect the oscillators of TV sets. If a set was detected in a residence that didn't possess a permit, WHAM!, they got a fine. Just another way for the government to tax the people.

Various cable companies in the U.S. use the same technique to determine if somebody has bootleg cable.
In my area the old cable provider, Multimedia Cablevision, had a Ford Taurus stationwagon with a PVC tube-encased antenna on the roof. They would drive it around during Pay-For-View events and document bootleggers, then file complaints with the District Attorney's Office for Theft Of Utility Service, which had been made a violation of city ordinances.

Mark

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
news:u5oftq1ep92mf3@corp.supernews.com...
> This may be a really dumb question, but what exactly is an unlicensed TV?
> The whole idea behind it makes little to no sense to me... From what I
> gather, you had to have a license to own a TV in the UK? Pretty
ridiculous
> if you ask me... Were they afraid you were going to watch too many soap
> operas or something and corrupt your brain?? Some shed some light on this
> half-assed idea here for me would ya...
>
> PH

1861. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 07:16:19 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020203021619.27082.00000681@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 52930

>
>The Brits didn't need us to bail them out in WWII, the Soviets would
>have done as well as us in beating the Nazis for them. ;-)
>

I am no WWII expert, but from what I have been reading, the Soviets barely had
the funds to fight thier own fronts.

1862. Re: PRO-93 Hiss Sound

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 07:26:40 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203022640.05137.00000394@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 52931

my 93 has the 'hiss' also
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

1863. Re: Outdoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 08:06:30 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a3igpb$8pi$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52927

They were on clearance about three years ago for about $6.00 at Rat Shack...I think I have some left in the boxes, new, I bought all I could get because my cousin was a district manager. I know I have one brand new, unused, assembled in my attic if you are interested. Could probably be mailed for $5.00 or so, it weighs almost nothing.

scanner freak <pro2036@webtv.net> wrote in message news:14408-3C5C8A9C-6@storefull-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Yes thats the antenna I was using untill 2 days ago when the ice storm
> hit a RS groundplane 3 vert. elements and 3 horz. elements I have owned
> 3 over the years and now RS don't make them anymore,I am looking for
> something similer so far no luck...It work VERY well....I have a photo
> on my website check it out here
> http://community.webtv.net/pro2036/dansshack
>
> Talk to you George...
>

1864. Re: bigger penis in 3 weeks . 4258

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 11:47:52 UTC
From: ds30tx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203064752.22277.00000891@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 52932

must work, you seem like a big dick

1865. FS Hustler Discone scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 11:55:30 UTC
From: bvkirk@grapevine.net
Message-ID: <3c5d24ef.133991009@news.grapevine.net>
Source record: 52933

This antenna is in new condition, never installed. Covers 24 to 999mhz. I will ship for $23.00. email bvkirk@grapevine.net

1866. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 11:58:52 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c5d25e6$0$11840$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 52934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

"Paul Young" <young@ion.com.au> wrote in message
news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and deaf on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E and I am glad I did.

"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net...
I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow, but that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it. I'll also be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity during a quit time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have convenient bank linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a scanner that is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in one bank at a time with 5 channels/sec!
What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??

Hello. I had an Alinco DJX-10E several years ago together with an Icom R-10, and eventually sold both due to (a) their slow scanning rates, and, in the case of the Alinco, the 'selective deafness' the receiver exhibited on several bands. I recently bought an Alinco DJX-2000E, and frankly, whilst it is faster than the DJX-10E, it's not by a factor of 2 or anything like it. Also, the further up the band you go, the poorer the sensitivity becomes. At anything over 900 MHz it's 2 V @12 dB SINAD (NFM) and 4 V @ 12 dB SINAD (WFM) which are nothing to boast about. Mine's for sale if anyone's silly enough to part with AUS$1100. It comes with three (yes three) Alinco EBP-37N 700 mAH batteries ($95 each), the computer cable & software for Cloning or Computer Control, ($79) EDC-88 Fast Charger, (included) ESC-29 case ($30) and is boxed with manual & in as-new condition. The Bug Detector is a farce; whoever heard of a bug detector in which you had to know the frequency of the bug first! Hah! And likewise, the Frequency Counter only works with signals over 100 dB and even then, the menu settings you need to go through to get it to work are so onerous no-one could be bothered. This is a yuppie scanner & I'm sorry I wasted over $1400 for the thing. Because I'm honest I'll probably die with it. Anyone contemplating buying this unit, save your money & get an Icom R-10 if you don't want trunk tracking & don't care too much about the scanning rates, pay a bit more & get an AR-8200 MK II, or a lot less & get a Uniden BC245XLT. Any of them would be a better buy than the Alinco.

Ruff

1867. Re: Outdoor Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 12:48:38 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7104-3C5D31A6-3@storefull-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52936

Yes I am interested,please email me at pro2036@webtv.net and we can talk more about this...Thanks!

1868. Re: Need radio programmed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 12:51:30 UTC
From: Andy Brinkley <andy@brinkleyelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <3C5D3140.59040C0D@brinkleyelectronics.com>
Source record: 52935

Toscano wrote:

> I just bought a G.E. model PSX-200 UHF mobile and no shops in my area do
> programming.
> Anyone out there know where I can get it done? Willing to mail out the radio
> as long as the place is reputable.

Please check out :

http://www.brinkleyelectronics.com/prog/ge/psx.htm

Since 1988 we have specialized in programming older Motorola, GE, Uniden,
Kenwood, Vertex, Johnson, Fujitsu and Midland commercial radios. References
available.

Andy

NC Certified Firefighter III / Instructor II / EMT-A
FCC Licensed Technician / Amateur Call NC4AB
http://www.brinkleyelectronics.com

1869. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 13:38:27 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a3jhtq$j7v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 52939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020202234517.402$Gd@newsreader.com...
> "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
> >
> Hey KC8,stop cross posting your drivel!
>
> --
> ~Moparholic~

Hey, Morphadite<sic>, stop whining.

1870. Re: Putting the X2k in perpspecrtive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 14:22:28 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <EIb78.66389$B94.12797809@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

What about if it's replacing a RADIO SHACK Pro-62 from 7 years ago?? Is either the DJ-X10 or the DJ-X2000 at LEAST a good replacement for my old scanner? I realize that there may be other better scanners, but they also cost more money. What I REALLY want is a AOR 8200 MKII or the new Yupiteru, but they cost too much!. So is the DJ-X10 simply "worth" the money for what you get and definitely considered a significant upgrade from probably any scanner from 7 years ago ESPECIALLY a RS scanner minus the fact that scanners got MUCH SLOWER in 7 years, and I'm listening to mainly "Lo UHF", MilAir and HF??

"Are we saying that a 2002 Toyota Camry sucks and is slow, because we have brand new Jaguar? If we are, then we must realize that a Camry which sucks in comparison to a new Jaguar actually rocks if you are replacing a 1993 Corolla AND if you can't yet afford a Jag. "

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c5d25e6$0$11840$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> "Paul Young" <young@ion.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
> I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and
deaf
> on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E and I
am
> glad I did.
>
>
> "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
> news:vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net...
> I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow, but
> that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it. I'll
also
> be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity during a
> quit time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have convenient bank
> linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a scanner that
> is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in one
bank
> at a time with 5 channels/sec!
> What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??
>
>
> Hello. I had an Alinco DJX-10E several years ago together with an Icom
R-10,
> and eventually sold both due to (a) their slow scanning rates, and, in the

> case of the Alinco, the 'selective deafness' the receiver exhibited on
> several bands. I recently bought an Alinco DJX-2000E, and frankly, whilst
it
> is faster than the DJX-10E, it's not by a factor of 2 or anything like it.
> Also, the further up the band you go, the poorer the sensitivity becomes.
At
> anything over 900 MHz it's 2 V @12 dB SINAD (NFM) and 4 V @ 12 dB SINAD
> (WFM) which are nothing to boast about. Mine's for sale if anyone's silly
> enough to part with AUS$1100. It comes with three (yes three) Alinco
EBP-37N
> 700 mAH batteries ($95 each), the computer cable & software for Cloning or
> Computer Control, ($79) EDC-88 Fast Charger, (included) ESC-29 case ($30)
> and is boxed with manual & in as-new condition. The Bug Detector is a
farce;
> whoever heard of a bug detector in which you had to know the frequency of
> the bug first! Hah! And likewise, the Frequency Counter only works with
> signals over 100 dB and even then, the menu settings you need to go
through
> to get it to work are so onerous no-one could be bothered. This is a
yuppie
> scanner & I'm sorry I wasted over $1400 for the thing. Because I'm honest
> I'll probably die with it. Anyone contemplating buying this unit, save
your
> money & get an Icom R-10 if you don't want trunk tracking & don't care too
> much about the scanning rates, pay a bit more & get an AR-8200 MK II, or
a
> lot less & get a Uniden BC245XLT. Any of them would be a better buy than
the
> Alinco.
>
> Ruff
>
>

1871. Re: BC-560XLT Keypad Functions Help Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 14:23:15 UTC
From: mstgnman@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203092315.04169.00000882@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 52937

Hello,

If you can disassemble the scanner and clean the rubber underside of the keypad,
you may fix this problem.

1872. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 14:47:55 UTC
From: Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3C5D4D9A.A86DD933@i-55.com>
Source record: 52940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The problem with the FCC database site is two fold. First, in
order to use it, you need to have Netscape 4.7. It will not work
with anything else, or a newer version. It will not work with
Internet Explorer.
Next, the first time you use it, you need to shut off all your
virus protection. The site will download several files that the
virus programs stop. After the first time you use the site, you
can turn the virus protection back on.

Hope this helps. I had to call the commission the first time I
tried to use it.

Jim

John wrote:
>
> Do you have a URL? I've never had much success navigating the FCC site.
>
> John
>
> "Michael Smith" <wsmith7@satx.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<39g58.30040$Ar5.1539313@typhoon.austin.rr.com>...
> > You can always go to the FCC web site and look under who is assigned the
> > freq.
> > "John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on a
> > > particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> > > that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
> > >
> > > I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> > > transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be able
> > > to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all their
> > > frequencies.
> > >
> > > I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> > > Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > > John

1873. Re: ridiculous E-bay prices!!!!!!!!!!!! ?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 14:57:08 UTC
From: Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3C5D4FC4.1E952271@i-55.com>
Source record: 52941

People are stupid in what they think they are getting for the price they pay on Ebay. Its just plain dumb. The best part for the seller is they do it all the time. The sellers are laughing all the way to the bank.

One would be much better off in checking on what value an item has before bidding on it on the Ebay. That way you have an idea how much to pay for what you think your getting.

Also pay close attention to the words in the add. Like sold "AS IS", or "unable to check out", or "no way of testing". These are all key words to think long and hard on what your willing to pay.

I have bid and got radios that had the programming EEPROM removed. This effectively makes the radio non operable. There was no mention that the part was removed in the add. When contacting the seller, ask if all parts are there.

Jim

Richard Gozinya wrote:
>
> Am I missing something here? For instance: You can get a NEW Pro-93
> form Radio Shack for $170. Some the the older used scanners(non-cell)
> capable are selling for close to that price without shipping. I was
> thinking of purchasing a new Pro-93 at the $170 price, can someone
> tell me if I'm missing something about these scanners I don't
> understand before taking the plunge?
>
> Sincerely,
> Satan

1874. Re: Realistic Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 14:59:20 UTC
From: "David B." <davejb@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <cfc78.13501$0V6.7876@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 52942

Yup, we saw this from your post yesterday.

--

"Wiz" <mtaustin@onr.com> wrote in message
news:0BW68.90412$XZ1.4024426@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> Realistic Pro 2006 scanner. Works fine, used daily.
> Unit has the S-meter modification. Lighted S meter is included.
> Case has a few mounting holes in it. Previous owner was a volunteer fireman
> and had it mounted in his vehicle.
> $300.00 shipped from Austin, Texas anywhere CONUS.
>
> pics available upon email request.
>
>
> mark
> mtaustin@SPAMonr.com remove SPAM please.
>
>
>

1875. Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 15:17:50 UTC
From: lightning <lightning@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u5ql4vjqip8ldd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

As new Grove Scanner Beam. Installed in attic. See
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT1.html for further information.
$45 plus shipping.

Victor

1876. WiNRADiO AX-31B Planar Log-Periodic Antenna? Any one tested it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 16:41:40 UTC
From: K-man <k4kman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <btpq5ukrenihdfmt0n4dp0mbvk9p0qnvi9@4ax.com>
Source record: 52946

I'm looking for a good Antenna to use in an appartment
building i'm on the 8th floor and looking for something that will help
on every thing..

Let me know if you have used this and what you liked or did not like
about it..
you can find it here:
http://www.winradio.com/home/ax31b.htm

Thanks

1877. Help with Philadelphia MIL AIR listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 16:42:45 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@home.com>
Message-ID: <9Md78.23188$X64.7425539@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 52945

I live in the philadelphia area and would like to listen to military air tfc. in this area.
I have the FACSFAC Virginia freqs from George's Milaircomms site but do not know which to program into the radio. I do not know what the W_ numbers mean either.

Dave KB3HIU
dasflies1@home.com

1878. WTT: Kenwood for Uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 17:19:50 UTC
From: Randy Larke <kb9pkg@directvinternet.com>
Message-ID: <3c5d552e$1_3@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 52944

I have a 1 week old Kenwood TM-G707A that I am looking to trade for a Uniden BC-780XLT. Must be in new condition. Please email direct to.

kb9pkg@directvinternet.com

thanks
Randy

1879. Re: WiNRADiO AX-31B Planar Log-Periodic Antenna? Any one tested it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 17:37:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <0ze78.376$k5.287229@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52847

It appears it would do well above 400MHz, and no so good below 150MHz.

Bill Crocker

"K-man" <k4kman@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:btpq5ukrenihdfmt0n4dp0mbvk9p0qnvi9@4ax.com...
> I'm looking for a good Antenna to use in an appartment
> building i'm on the 8th floor and looking for something that will help
> on every thing..
>
> Let me know if you have used this and what you liked or did not like
> about it..
> you can find it here:
> http://www.winradio.com/home/ax31b.htm
>
>
>
> Thanks
>

1880. Uniden MR8100 programming question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 17:57:45 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <tSe78.62646$Ar5.2747389@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 52848

Has anyone used aftermarket software to program a Uniden MR-8100 out of its intended band?
I was led to believe the PScan software did so but that doesn't appear to be the case. I know it will enable cellular but that is not my goal.

thanks,
mark

1881. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 18:25:35 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203132535.16625.00000746@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 52849

>As new Grove Scanner Beam. Installed in attic. See
>http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT1.html

I have several of these, mostly to work 225-400 AM. Fabulous antenna from the performance standpoint and for construction durability (and I live where hurricanes abound).

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

1882. Re: US frequencies around 40MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 18:34:37 UTC
From: Brandon <brandon@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <1pf78.47625$lg2.13555387@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 52850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You might want to check out the "VhfSkip" email group. People post their loggings each day, and there are many who are also monitoring the band from Europe.
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/vhfskip/

StrongSignals has a discussion board you might be able to find some good information on as well:
http://www.strongsignals.net/access/boards/skip/board.cgi . Paul Logan has also put up a really good website with logs and utilities to help you identify signals. His website is: http://www.geocities.com/yogi540/

I am hearing Indiana State Police on 42.420 at the moment with references to Indianapolis and Goshen. North Carolina Highway Patrol is also coming in pretty good. They use a PL of 173.8. For some quick CHP's plug in 39.400, 39.600, and 39.800 see if you hear references to San Diego then you know it's the California Highway Patrol. Those frequencies will have a PL of 162.2

-Brandon

"Alex Tiedemann" <Xati@polsas.dkX> wrote in message
news:uvnq5uctnueba3mb4mnl86ud4sd52vil4r@4ax.com...
> Hi, :-)
>
> I have just heard some police on several frequencies around 40Mhz and
> it sounds like it could be from the US.
>
> I did a search on Google Groups and found the following info on some
> of the frequencies:
>
> 42.100 MHz : ?
> 42.420 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol or Indiana State Police
> 42.600 MHz : ? North Carolina Highway Patrol channel 6
> 42.640 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol
> 43.480 MHz : ?
> 44.700 MHz : ?
> 45.400 MHz : ? Motorola radios (mdc id: 8471, 0034 and 0167).
>
> Can anyone of you tell me who's using those frequencies ?
>
> I'm listening to them from Copenhagen in Denmark and can also listen
> to:
>
> 42.060 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol

> 42.120 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.380 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.580 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
>
> Maybe one of you have an updated list of frequencies used for police
> and emergency in the US around 40MHz ?
>
> --
> Alex T.
> Denmark
> //Remove all X's in the email...//
>

1883. Re: BC 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 18:51:03 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <3C5D8697.E4FB778F@fnal.gov>
Source record: 52851
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lippy wrote:
>
> I have a Uniden 200XLT. When I program 167.412 it resorts to 167.410.
>
> I heard if you add a number to the frequency you can actually program
> 167.412.
>
> Any Ideas?

I think if you program in 167.4125 you will get what you want despite
the display (which can't show that many digits).
--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
BC100, BC235, BC895, Pro90, Pro2038

1884. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 18:53:50 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203135350.16625.00000749@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 52852

>Therefore to put
>together this list I needed to rely on the available information which
>was not copyright (or the Bob Grove Klan would be down my neck).

Bullshit. You are a known thief that has many people now passwording their Mil freq websites. People like Grove and I who have spent years constructing lists of freqs should be safe from you who copy them in toto to your site, add a copyright notice and then challenge the people you stole from, just to smokescreen your theft.

Also, you ought to spend more time learning about FAA ATCs prerogatives for having unpublished freqs before you title your site "All Air Traffic Control Freqs, fool."

1885. Re: US frequencies around 40MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 19:05:30 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C5D89FA.6CD8489F@erols.com>
Source record: 52853
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go to the FCC website <www.fcc.gov> and do a search on a particular frequency for all of the US for "PP" service code and then listen to comms on that frequency. Often geographical info is included in a transmission. Sporatic E propagation typically is 1,000-1,500 miles for single hop. You are receiving multi-hop with a high MUF and a geographically wide condition. For you to hear the California Highway Patrol in Denmark on 42 mhz. would be extremely unusual. California and Missouri and North Carolina State Police an other states are quite active on 42 mhz. Virginia still keeps its' 42.86 and 42.88 mhz. frequencies, but does not use them. I suspect you are hearing one or more US east coast state police. I would concentrate on those states east of the Mississippi River that use 42 mhz. I believe that one or more New England also uses 42 mhz. and possibly Florida. I know the Florida Highway Patrol Marine operation uses 42.92 mhz. as it on occasion in the past has been used by the Va. State Police as a surveillance frequency. When the skip is in from Florida, I hear them quite well hear.

Additionally, the Code of Federal Regulations part 47 that apply to law enforcement operations, primarily public service assignments do assign specific 40 mhz. frequencies for base/mobile operations to certain states. Do a internet search. The CFR can be downloaded in PDF format. I have them documented in my database but there are too many to post. If you email me at <jwilson9@erols.com>, I can extract them and email them to you.

Alex Tiedemann wrote:

> Hi, :-)
>
> I have just heard some police on several frequencies around 40Mhz and
> it sounds like it could be from the US.
>
> I did a search on Google Groups and found the following info on some
> of the frequencies:
>
> 42.100 MHz : ?
> 42.420 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol or Indiana State Police
> 42.600 MHz : ? North Carolina Highway Patrol channel 6
> 42.640 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol
> 43.480 MHz : ?
> 44.700 MHz : ?
> 45.400 MHz : ? Motorola radios (mdc id: 8471, 0034 and 0167).
>

> Can anyone of you tell me who's using those frequencies ?
>
> I'm listening to them from Copenhagen in Denmark and can also listen
> to:
>
> 42.060 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.120 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.380 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.580 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
>
> Maybe one of you have an updated list of frequencies used for police
> and emergency in the US around 40MHz ?
>
> --
> Alex T.
> Denmark
> //Remove all X's in the email...//

1886. Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 19:24:38 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c5d8dc8.10782764@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 52854

I have a relative who works for Motorola and he's telling me that threre are 3 states, 5 cities, and 7 counties already that will be switching to encyption around the time Uniden releases their digital radio. One agency (HP) are going to wait a month or two before they encrypt to piss people off. What pricks!!!!!!

1887. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 19:54:39 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5D957F.1080401@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52855

AllanStern wrote:

>>Therefore to put
>>together this list I needed to rely on the available information which
>>was not copyright (or the Bob Grove Klan would be down my neck).
>>
>
> XXXXXXXX. You are a known thief that has many people now passwording their Mil
> freq websites. People like Grove and I who have spent years constructing lists
> of freqs should be safe from you who copy them in toto to your site, add a
> copyright notice and then challenge the people you stole from, just to
> smokescreen your theft.
>
> Also, you ought to spend more time learning about FAA ATCs prerogatives for
> having unpublished freqs before you title your site "All Air Traffic Control
> Freqs, fool."

Lets see, there is only one page with mil freqs that I know of that is password protected. And according to that webmaster it is password protected for reasons other than you suggest.

Next, please tone down your language a bit, this is a family accessable newsgroup.

The list that Mr. Stern is refering to by his comment is my ARTCC list. Mr. Stern nor Mr. Grove spent zero time and effort compiling the list that I used to put together my ARTCC list of frequencies. The data for this list came directly from the FAA's FTP site. I'm sure Mr Grove has already inspected my ARTCC freqs insearch of the "Bogus" data he puts in his lists to intrap people using his list.

Mr. Grove and Mr. Stern seem to think they own every list if of frequencies which has ever been published. Heck next they'll start trying to lay claim to my Shuttle, Airforce One, and Presidential Motorcade photos.....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- HF, VHF, UHF Military Freqs and MORE! It must be a great website as the "MilAir Exports are getting UpSet"

1888. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 20:05:12 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5D97F8.2090500@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

lightning wrote:

> As new Grove Scanner Beam. Installed in attic. See
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT1.html for further information.
> $45 plus shipping.
>
> Victor

The Grove Scanner Beam mentioned above is $74.95 plus shipping not $45.00. At least that is what his website says, is there a sale?

I recently purchased the AntennaWarehouse Scanner Beam (exact same antenna). Same antenna but price at only $54.00, almost a $21.00 saving! It is one excellent antenna, you can read my review at:

http://www.milaircomms.com/review_scanner_beam.html

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Militry Freqs, Photos and More!
<<<<< Allan Sterns most Hated Website >>>>>

1889. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 20:19:05 UTC
From: hpr_rockets@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1b7d8b5d.0202031219.7b56465e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>AllanStern wrote:

>>Therefore to put together this list I needed to rely on the
available
>>information which was not copyright (or the Bob Grove Klan would be
down my >>neck).

>Bullshit. You are a known thief that has many people now passwording
their Mil
>freq websites. People like Grove and I who have spent years
constructing lists
>of freqs should be safe from you who copy them in toto to your site,
add a
>copyright notice and then challenge the people you stole from, just
to
>smokescreen your theft.

>Also, you ought to spend more time learning about FAA ATCs
prerogatives for
>having unpublished freqs before you title your site "All Air Traffic
Control
>Freqs, fool."

God man get a grip on yourself. I know I have been searching and searching and asking questions about the milair bands and it seems like no one wanted to give me the time of day. I started to think that most of the people who listed to milair bands thought they were gods and too good to answer the questions of beginners. I have seen your posts allan and you like to brag about what you hear but you never want to give us frequencies or information that is of help. Then George's page came along it was like all my questions answered. George will even respond to questions and email unlike other so called milair experts in this hobby. Granted not all are bad but it seems like all that you want to do allan is keep all your frequencies a big secret.

Allan, heres a suggestion for you. Be a man and don't rag on georges website and build a better one. It would be of more help to the hobby they the last few posts you have made on here.

Roger

1890. Re: US frequencies around 40MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 20:22:59 UTC
From: Tim Harding <sgmtim@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <HYg78.134$K%4.31282@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 52858
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

42.1200 Indiana State Police F-3 Car-to-Car
42.1600 Indiana State Police F-4 Tactical
42.2000 Indiana State Police Surveillance (low power)
42.2600 Indiana State Police F-1 Car-to-Base
42.4000 Indiana State Police F-2 Special Ops. Air-to-Ground
42.4200 Indiana State Police Base-to-Car Re-Broadcast of 800 (Dispatch
Side)

"Alex Tiedemann" <Xati@polsas.dkX> wrote in message
news:uvnq5uctnueba3mb4mnl86ud4sd52vil4r@4ax.com...
> Hi, :-)
>
> I have just heard some police on several frequencies around 40Mhz and
> it sounds like it could be from the US.
>
> I did a search on Google Groups and found the following info on some
> of the frequencies:
>
> 42.100 MHz : ?
> 42.420 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol or Indiana State Police
> 42.600 MHz : ? North Carolina Highway Patrol channel 6
> 42.640 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol
> 43.480 MHz : ?
> 44.700 MHz : ?
> 45.400 MHz : ? Motorola radios (mdc id: 8471, 0034 and 0167).
>
> Can anyone of you tell me who's using those frequencies ?
>
> I'm listening to them from Copenhagen in Denmark and can also listen
> to:
>
> 42.060 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.120 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.380 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.580 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
>
> Maybe one of you have an updated list of frequencies used for police
> and emergency in the US around 40MHz ?
>
> --
> Alex T.
> Denmark
> //Remove all X's in the email...//

>

1891. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 20:38:09 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C5D9FB1.AF6DA828@erols.com>
Source record: 52859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radar detectors have been illegal in Virginia since Marconi made his first transatlantic contact. Using a radar detector in Virginia in severity of offense ranks slightly below murder and rape. Previous legislative attempts to repeal this ridiculous law have failed. Why? Because it is a hugh moneymaker for the state and local governments. Some localities budget for anticipated radar detector/speeding revenue. As you might expect, there also is an unofficial monthly quota which is denied. I have been told this by ex-cops and heard radio comms alluding to same. Depending upon where the "radar detector criminal" is caught, certain nearby local governments may get part of the fine. The only progress made in this area legislatively is that now if you are caught with a radar detector the VSP or local cop types cannot keep your detector and later destroy it. They really miss that power. They write down the serial number and return to you, but you must physically place it in the vehicle where you cannot access it, at least until the cop leaves. The fine including court costs is about $75. You can contest it in court but it is your word against the troopers' and guess who will be believed? Hint, it won't be you. The VSP has radar detector detectors. I cannot speak for other manufacturers, but so far they cannot detect the Solo model radar detector. When riding with a "criminal" friend recently on I95 who had a Solo, I noticed occasionally the detector would alert and detect briefly but there were no police around. We also monitored CB ch. 19 and the scanner for all appropriate police comms. I finally realized that the Solo was detecting and processing LO radiation from vehicles having radar detectors going in the opposite direction on I95!

The roadside signs you see in the People's Republic of Virginia that say "Keep Virginia Green" are not referring to forestry conservation. The only hope in the future for repealing this legislative abomination is for federal highway funds being withheld to the state. Other applications such as railroad crossing warnings, ambulance/fire trucks in the area, road conditions and other related audio or text applications already exist in some parts of the country. I think it is a federal mandate that there be no preclusions to reception of these signals. Often this capability is bundled as part of a radar detector.

So all of you radar detector using criminals had better remove them from your vehicle if you wish to travel with a reasonable peace of mind in the commonwealth of Virginia. Yes, it is not the state of Virginia but a commonwealth. Much of the commonwealth's laws are based upon old English common law. You can use a scanner. That is allowed. If you hear the VSP troopers talking to another about a "Signal 16", it might you, you because you obviously are a potential speeder because you have a radar detector. How many major Supreme Court cases originated in

Virginia? Let me count..school busing...interracial marriage...real estate agents fees..poll tax Darn that Supreme Court! Voting is privilege and why shouldn't people pay to vote? Well, we will just have to make up for it in other forms of taxation and fines and whatever else that works.

PS: If you are stopped for speeding...I forgot VSP now uses VASCAR. An observation in a fixed wing aircraft circles a particular part of the interstate or other road. White stripes are painted on the road. The observer using a stopwatch marks the time it takes a vehicle to go between two stripes. It is sort of accurate, but heck, close counts. The vehicle description is relayed to ground units...this usually ties up 2-4 patrol cars and you are caught. I digress...if caught keep your mouth shut. Do not tell the trooper you have to be in DC a certain time or you have to meet someone somewhere within an hour, etc. The VSP loves that. When you leave, the trooper radios ahead to another unit to look out for you and capture you again. It also is a very bad idea to stay in the passing lane of the interstate. That also puts you at higher risk for a possible stop for speeding. One more thing. If you ride a motorcycle it would be a good idea to wear a business suit. Two or more motorcylists wearing leather jackets and boots riding together constitute a motorcycle gang and their presence and location often is relayed from trooper to trooper. The inferernce is that they are looking for any probable cause to stop them.

Frank Carson wrote:

> Skatebrat wrote:
> >
> > The Texas Department of Public Safety unveiled
> > its latest weapon in the battle: the radar-detector detector.
> >
>
> >
> > The U.S. government outlawed radar-detection
> > devices in commercial vehicles about five years ago,
> > according to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety
> > Administration, the agency that works out
> > transportation safety rules for interstate commerce.
>
> They were banned in vehicles involved in interstate commerce by the
> Federal Govt - that's what the Federal Motor Carrier Safety
> Administration
> (FMCSA) has jurisdiction over.

1892. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 20:39:13 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Rdh78.6293$Hb6.464036@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Wrong on both accounts. Not to look up frequencies! They have also fixed the compatibility problems with IE. I use it all the time to look up frequencies & have used it to file ULS updates. I reluctantly use IE since it was bundled in this machine & I don't want to separate the Siamese twins of IE & MicroShaft WinDoze!

RM

Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com> wrote in message news:3C5D4D9A.A86DD933@i-55.com...
> The problem with the FCC database site is two fold. First, in
> order to use it, you need to have Netscape 4.7. It will not work
> with anything else, or a newer version. It will not work with
> Internet Explorer.
> Next, the first time you use it, you need to shut off all your
> virus protection. The site will download several files that the
> virus programs stop. After the first time you use the site, you
> can turn the virus protection back on.
>
> Hope this helps. I had to call the commission the first time I
> tried to use it.
>
> Jim
>
>
>
> John wrote:
> >
> > Do you have a URL? I've never had much success navigating the FCC site.
> >
> > John
> >
> > "Michael Smith" <wsmith7@satx.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<39g58.30040$Ar5.1539313@typhoon.austin.rr.com>...
> > > You can always go to the FCC web site and look under who is assigned
the
> > > freq.
> > > "John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> > > > Hi,
> > > >
> > > > I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on
a
> > > > particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> > > > that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?

> > > >
> > > > I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> > > > transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be
able
> > > > to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all
their
> > > > frequencies.
> > > >
> > > > I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> > > > Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
> > > >
> > > > Thanks!
> > > > John
>

1893. Re: Cool New Toy: same old legal stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 20:59:29 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Rwh78.6358$Hb6.465710@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, My Friend Bill,

It never ceases to amaze even me that whenever something is obvious, the radio police seem to make an argument nonetheless. This case is obvious, using a Part 15 device to connect to your radio is legal as type acceptance is not reuired for amateur operations in band. It is both sad & amazing that the argument is even raised by those who are a little "tight in the caboose". Fact is 2.4 GHz is a shared ham band anyway, like 900 MHz. In fact, these bands are becoming quite useless because of the proliferation of such pipsqueak devices. So even if it was of legal question, it is in a hamband. Some people just try to be smart when it is obvious they have nary a clue about what they are talking about! All legal arguments, no experiments... Besides it is easier to beg forgiveness than ask for permission... Than somebody else piles on with the "broadcasting" stuff... Good Lord, get a clue... Rules, rules, rules...

Legalities, smegalities, let's get on with the fun before it is too late! And that time is coming soon...

Your friend Rafman, in the sticks of the Commonwealth...

.Your Friend Bill <none@coqui.net> wrote in message
news:3C5CC884.C94FB55E@coqui.net...
> Uh, Rafman,
> From the posts you are quoting I don't see the gripe. Not that your
> gripe is without merit but you'll have to work harder to make a case
> about being repressed by 'anal-retentive radio police' that are in
> agreement with your point of view.
>
> -Bill
>
> "Rafman&#65533;" wrote:
> >
> > Sorry to be late to this discussion but I just realized what the thread
was
> > about. Cool stuff but...
> >
> > Why is it that everything innovative must be contested & squashed by
some
> > idiot ham with his rulebook surgically attached? The fact is 2.4 GHz is
a
> > ham band that is shared with Part 15 devices such as the IBM-3330. SO
what
> > is the problem? There is none for those who can read & understand,

without
> > paranoia setting in. Wanna-be radio police need to get a real life, a
job or
> > take a bath!
> >
> > Reading into things that aren't there. Good God, no wonder we can't
bring
> > younger folks into the service! I don't blame them for sticking to
computers
> > because old, anal retentive ham own ham radio!
> >
> > Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:a20374$v9g$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Lemme put this on the table, just for discussion. What about that
whole
> > > thing of not rebroadcasting amateur radio transmissions on non-amateur
> > bands
> > > (i.e., the 2.4GHz cordless telephone "band")?
> > >
> > > The thought just hit me that this might be the same thing. I know
that
> > > rebroadcasting non-amateur radio transmission on amateur bands is not
> > > allowed (except in the case for example of NASA's ARC here in
Cleveland
> > who
> > > got special permission to rebroadcast live shuttle transmissions in
the
> > 144
> > > band). Does the inverse apply, like in the 2.4GHz phone example?
Perhaps
> > > it does not apply to devices intended as telephones, since amateur
radio
> > > repeater telephone patches are legal - and by definition might also
wind
> > up
> > > being rebroadcast on the land-line end via cordless phone. Maybe
public
> > > wireless telephony (i.e., cordless phones) are an exception even
though
> > they
> > > are radios on non-amateur bands.
> > >
> > > Regardless, it's still a very cool idea.
> > >
> > > Mike
> > >
> > > "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com> wrote in message
> > > news:NO208.4923$V55.788441@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > I bought a new 2.4GHz headset telephone (IBM Model-3330). The

> > > > nice thing about this phone is that it has computer sound card
> > > > connections (audio in and out). I had heard a while back about
> > > > people using these things for amateur radio. I picked one up at
> > > > Sam's Club, made some cables and connected it to my ICOM 746.
> > > > It works great!! I have had several comments on how good the audio
> > > > quality was. It even works with the VOX so I can now walk around
> > > > the house while listening to and talking on my radio. No cords!
> > > >
> > > > I guess this thing can be used with any type of audio source
> > > > including scanners, shortwave radios, etc. Simply plug the radio
> > > > audio out into the phone Mic connection and you are free to roam
> > > > while listening.
> > > >
> > > > While I have not yet tested it yet, the documentation claims 5
> > > > hours talk time. Since you must make a connection to the base
> > > > to listen, this would apply while just listening as well.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
> > > > Baton Rouge, LA
> > > >
> > > > Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> > > > http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis
> > > >
> > > > ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> > > > Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.
> > > >
> > > > Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1894. FOR SALE: 300 CH. SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 21:06:37 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203160637.08268.00000755@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 52862

FOR SALE:uniden bearcat 235xlt trunktracker 300 ch. handheld scanner
this scanner is in absolutely "MINT" condition. comes with box, documentation,
antenna, ac adapter, (2) ni-cad battery packs, charging stand. non-smoker.
will also include a real leather uniden carry case.

want to sell it here before i put it on ebay.
$100.00 plus shipping conus.
call 561-434-7994
bobar8@aol.com
thank you.

1895. FOR SALE: 300 CH. SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 21:08:50 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203160850.09898.00000806@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 52864

FOR SALE:uniden bearcat 235xlt trunktracker 300 ch. handheld scanner
this scanner is in absolutely "MINT" condition. comes with box, documentation,
antenna, ac adapter, (2) ni-cad battery packs, charging stand. non-smoker.
will also include a real leather uniden carry case.

want to sell it here before i put it on ebay.
$100.00 plus shipping conus.
call 561-434-7994
bobar8@aol.com
thank you.

1896. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 21:09:09 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <SeeSig@Below.com>
Message-ID: <VFh78.13637$ym3.45409@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

GeorgeF wrote:

>
>
> lightning wrote:
>
> > As new Grove Scanner Beam. Installed in attic. See
> > http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT1.html for further information.
> > $45 plus shipping.
> >
> > Victor
>
>
>
> The Grove Scanner Beam mentioned above is $74.95 plus shipping not
> $45.00. At least that is what his website says, is there a sale?
>
> I recently purchased the AntennaWarehouse Scanner Beam (exact same
> antenna). Same antenna but price at only $54.00, almost a $21.00
> saving! It is one excellent antenna, you can read my review at:
>
> http://www.milaircomms.com/review_scanner_beam.html
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Militry Freqs, Photos and More!
> <<<<< Allan Sterns most Hated Website >>>>>
>
>

He's selling his used one, bucko! Or at least his description *As new"
would so inicate to me.

--
**
** You know what to do to fix this email address: CPRitter-attbi-com
**

1897. Re: Radio Shack DX-394 question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 21:29:17 UTC
From: Geoff Boyce <radsat@talk21.com>
Message-ID: <a3ka3c$h35$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52865
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

'lo Russ.

The difference between the A and B models are....
1... The A model has the name of "Radio Shack" on the front,
2... It seems that the B model has a narrower ssb filter than the A model.

To be honest, I find the A model better as the ssb signals are easier to
hear! I don't know of other differences. If you find out the others I
would like to know also.

cheers.... Geoff Boyce. (M1AHN, RadSat).
(www.radsat.da.ru)

"R.Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:3C4F24E5.5050906@dccnet.com...
> Hi there,
> Can anyone tell me...I heard that there were two models of this radio a
> A nad a B model the B being newer?..
> What is the differance between thses two?..
> I have just bought the B model and was wondering what modifications are
> recommended for the rig?..I have heard that there are a few.
> Any info would help!
> Thanks in advance
> -Russ
>

1898. Re: BC 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 21:37:13 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <d4i78.14223$0V6.9989@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 52866
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check the step size that the scanner uses for that frequency range. To program XXX.4125 you'll need a 12.5 kHz step size. It's likely that your scanner's step size for the VHF high band is 5 kHz, so you can get close but not right on.

Can you hear anything if you're not directly on the frequency? Probably depends on how close and strong the signal.

Receivers like those from AOR, Icom and others have selectable step sizes so as to avoid this problem. I'm not sure the step sizes offered by the Yaesu VR120 and Icom R2, but these would be two of the more affordable choices that are likely to do the job (~$150). When checking the specs before you buy, make sure that the radio allows any step size for ANY frequency range. I learned this the hard way.

You'll also sacrifice some scanning speed and other conveniences with radios of this type, e.g., no keyboard for frequency/channel entry on the two I've mentioned. Good luck, and careful shopping, but that's the fun, isn't it?!

Lippy <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
news:KZC68.406$AR5.331358@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> I have a Uniden 200XLT. When I program 167.412 it resorts to 167.410.
>
> I heard if you add a number to the frequency you can actually program
> 167.412.
>
> Any Ideas?
>
>

1899. Re: 900mhz phone question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 21:38:48 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C5DADE8.7487530C@erols.com>
Source record: 52867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What?? 900 mhz. signals are no more difficult to receive than 44/46 mhz. signals IF you have the appropriate antenna, preferably pre-amped mounted as high as possible, appropriate receiver/scanner, and are within the ground wave coverage pattern of the telephone user and know what you are doing. I have no problem receiving either frequency range. Most of the 900 mhz. duplex analog calls I hear are between 902-904 mhz. Most of the time I also can hear the 926/927 mhz. half duplex side. I monitored one conversation in which the 926/927 mhz. side was full duplex and the 902-904 mhz. side half duplex. Unfortunately the PRO-2006 is not as sensitive as ICOM 7000s, 7100s and other scanner models. That insensitivity was a basic design feature in the 2006 by Tandy because they assumed that the 2006 for the most part was going to be used in an urban environment with lots of intermod and it is a tradeoff in performance. It is somewhat more sensitive than the 2004, but not much.

Dev wrote:

> the 900 Mhz are harder to pickup then the standard 43 - 49 cordless's. but
> if you have a antenna made for 900 Mhz or even a discone works great. but.
> squelch has to be lower then usual. jsut before it breaks open. then you
> will hear more. Maybe no one in your close vicinity has a 900 cordless.
> Dev
>
> FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:gap18.94933$Z2.1347654@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > If you mean cordless phones, you have to be fairly close to get them. I
> > often search from 40 - 50Mhz and often pick them up, why I have no idea.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Brad.
> > > FeeD_BaK
> > >
> > > "Brian Williams" <williams@deskmedia.com> wrote in message
> > > news:u4c1us2m7mbkc3@corp.supernews.com...
> > > | I have a pro-2006, and a AOR ar8200MK2 euro version.I search from
> 900-930
> > > | mhz in nfm at 12.5step, but I hardly ever get 900 mhz phones. Any
> comments
> > > | or solutions, Thanks, Brian
> > > |
> > > |
> > >
> > >

1900. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 21:42:47 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203164247.11369.00001015@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 52869

>The list that Mr. Stern is refering to by his comment is my ARTCC list.

It is my complete Shuttle Monitoring Freq List that you lifted from my site at http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html

You will claim that you did not, but many folks on Milcom@qth.net and other places are familiar with my Shuttle Freqs list and know that you are a liar, thief.

It is the same list that you posted about when you attended your first KSC/CCAFS launch (according to your post). You said it was a useless list because you didn't get a hit on any of the freqs. Next thing we know, you post it on your Website and add a copyright claim to it.

I have a right to be indignant about you, who masquerades as having acquired all your freqs from Government sources.

Thieves like you are easily detected and their thievery easily documented, thanks to archives. Heh heh.

1901. Re: 220 Mhz Public Safety/Mutual Aid Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 21:43:05 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C5DAEE8.41961334@erols.com>
Source record: 52868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Certain frequencies(channels) in this range are specified by the regulation for law enforcement tracking.....i.e.,
bumper beepers and other surveillance options. Read the entire regulation.

Skatebrat wrote:

> Part 90 - Private Land Mobile Radio Services
> Subpart T--Regulations Governing Licensing and
> Use of Frequencies in the 220-222 MHz Band
>
> Sec. 90.720 Channels available for public safety/mutual aid.
>
> (a) Part 90 licensees who meet the eligibility criteria of Secs. 90.20(a)(1),
> (snip) are authorized by this rule to use mobile and/or portable units on
> Channels 161-170 throughout the United States, its territories, and
> possessions to transmit:
> (1) Communications relating to the immediate safety of life;
> (2) Communications to facilitate interoperability among entities
> eligible under Secs. (snip)
>
> Ch # Freq
>
> 161 220.8025 MHz
> 162 220.8075
> 163 220.8125
> 164 220.8175
> 165 220.8225
> 166 220.8275
> 167 220.8325
> 168 220.8375
> 169 220.8425
> 170 220.8475
>
> Frequency Results [ULS DATABASE] for Arizona, Oregon, New York, Lake County (Florida),
> Long Beach, City of (California), Murrieta Fire Protection District (California):
>
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/uls/frequency_res.hts?db_id=19&rows=0&radio_serv=QM&freq=00000220.80250000
>
> Sec. 90.715 Frequencies available.
> http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=90&SECTION=715&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
> Search by Frequency, 220 MHz radio service codes are: NC, QA, QD, QM, QO, & QT.

> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/frequency.hts

--

1902. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 21:55:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203165500.11369.00001017@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 52870

>>The list that Mr. Stern is refering to by his comment is my ARTCC list. <<

To clafiy, I am NOT referring to your ARTCC list. I am referring to your Space Shuttle Monitoring List, and you know it.
It is THAT list that you stole from my site at http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html.

1903. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:00:15 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C5DB2EF.EBFEBD5A@erols.com>
Source record: 52871
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

AFRTS TV is low power by design. I was in Germany in 1969-1972 in federal civl service for the Army. I lived/worked in Worms 30 miles south of Wiesbaden, one AFRTS TV non-color transmitter site operating on US TV channel 16. The other AFRTS TV station was at Kaiserslautern about 30 miles west of me. No one in Worms could receive the AFRTS tv signal...that is except me. I couldn't understand why.
I called the AFRTS officer in charge in Frankfort and he told me that the status of forces agreement with Germany prohibited the US from having more than 100 watts for a tv station operation. That explained why noone in Worms could receive it. Knowing these I knew I could receive it if I could obtain certain components. Being a ham radio operator after receiving my German callsign I attended a German ham club meeting an met a fellow ham who spoke English. After explaining the requirement he recommended I buy a 30 element UHF Wise antenna which I did. It was excellent construction and I ordered a Blonder Tongue UHF preamp from the states. I mounted the antenna on the roof of my apartment building and AFRTS TV was noise free from Wiesbaden. I also could receive the Ktown transmission but it was a little noisy. I subsequently installed several antenna installations in the Worms area.

The reason AFRTS TV terrestrial tv transmissions in country are low power is that the host country does not want its' citizens to view lifestyles and material goods many will never have is politically based. As for current AFRTS TV transmissions to wherever via satellite,
they are MPEG2 PowerVu encrypted and are broadcast on US domestic satellite GE-1 and AOR, IOR, and POR satellites.

j?debert wrote:

> Hill Top Exotics wrote:
>
> > Debert the AFRTS Stands for American Forces Radio and TV Service
> > The AFRTS Broadcast Center, located at March Air Reserve Base near
> > Riverside, California.
> > http://www.afrts.osd.mil/
> > This is there main web site. you may learn there what you needthere
>
> Thanks. It is not much help, unfortunately. But "Your Friend Bill"
> sent a page that seems to be hidden or not part of the tree with
> info on locations, though not channels.
>
> Seems all the possible locations are spread out along the western
> Pacific rim, from Japan to Australia.
>

> It seems likely that it is a Rim station, since the signal comes in
> usually well after noon, sometimes remaining until after sunset,
> local time. THat's usually in the summer, but it sometimes occurs
> at other times, ie, in January, as well.
>
> The AFRTS TV stations are said to be low powered. I suspect that
> was what had some people baffled before, including the AFRTS people
> I spoke with. (Presuming it's impossible, they refuse to answer?)
>
> And what kind of upper atmosphere conditions would cause a low power
> station to completely wipe out a near-megawatt local? I would suspect
> that being in this location helps, too. I can pick up stuff here that I
> cannot hear elsewhere, even with the increasing QRM from power, cable,
> phone and neighbours' computers and games.
>
> BTW, KTVU said that no one else had called them about it. ANd no one
> else I've talked to has ever seen it, either.

--
==========
Interesting Items on my web page:http://users.erols.com/jwilson9
(INMARSAT,GOES/Polar Wefax,Radio Frequencies,Metal Detecting)
(C/Ku Band/MPEG2-FTA Satellite Reception,FM-SCPC,Amateur Radio)
John Wilson(W4UVV) email: jwilson9@erols.com
6413 Bull Hill Road, Prince George, Virginia, USA, 23875
Tel: 804-862-1262
==========

1904. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:06:04 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5DB44C.4000303@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52872

AllanStern wrote:

>>The list that Mr. Stern is refering to by his comment is my ARTCC list.
>>
>
> It is my complete Shuttle Monitoring Freq List that you lifted from my site at
> http://hometown.aol.com/allanstern/myhomepage/index.html

My shuttle freqs were posted before you had a website.

> It is the same list that you posted about when you attended your first
> KSC/CCAFS launch (according to your post). You said it was a useless list
> because you didn't get a hit on any of the freqs. Next thing we know, you post
> it on your Website and add a copyright claim to it.

Get them archives out. I don't think I said it was a useless list you
posted. Below you will find the EXACT message posted. No where did it
say nor imply your liste was useless. It simply asked the question
about a few freqs still being active. Allan, here is that famous post
that you claimed was a "slam" at you:
_____ Below is the post _____
Hi All;
Today I made the trip to Titusville to view my first night launch of the
Shuttle. I've seen day launches, but this is my first night launch.

On 6/29 Allan Stern posted a list of "Basic Shuttle Freqs" which
included 3 2 meter repeater which would rebroadcast the Shuttle launch.
This morning NONE of theses were active (145.13, 146.94, and 147.15).
Now at 10:30 EDT Shuttle comms are being rebroadcast on 147.27 (I don't
know which repeater this is) but the three previously frequencies are
still dead. You might want to update your lists.

Are Shuttle comms rebroadcast during early morning launchs such as today?

Also, I thought 148.485 would have the countdown status, I heard very
few comms on this freq. I wouldn't have know where the countdown was at
if it wasn't for the controller on 126.65 letting the pilot of the
STA-Aircraft know.

If anyone has a more current list I would love to see one.
George
_____ End of Archived Posting _____

>
> I have a right to be indignant about you, who masquerades as having acquired
> all your freqs from Government sources.

Allan, get your quotes correct! Never once did I say "all my
frequencies came from Government sources". However I will say this,
ALL my ARTCC freqs, GHFS, and FACSFAC frequencies DID come from OnLine
Goverment Documents.

Allan, do something to help the hobby instead of putting down the work of others.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Frequencies and More!
<<< AllanStern's Most Hated WebSite - It Must Be Good! >>>

1905. Re: OS-456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:08:09 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u5rd68lu9ds9da@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

the OS-456 lite is so expensive (100) It's almost cheaper to get a scanner w/ the interface built in if you include the money you'd get from selling the current one

"Bill Seward" <seward@northstate.net> wrote in message news:2cvo5u8ae3ba8qffrs7q7pvdqrc7g5rfau@4ax.com...
> Before I spend the money on an Optoelectronics OS-456 Lite, does
> anyone know of an OS-456 for sale (or one they have that they'd be
> willing to part with) for a reasonable price?
>
> I've been looking on eBay and hamfests for over a year, and you can
> find plenty with the scanner already attached. I have the scanner,
> and don't really want another.
>
> Thanks.
>

1906. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:10:51 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u5rdb7pg10921a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52874

SCANNER TALK anyone??????????? WWII forum?
"Will" <k7kkg@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
news:c5rq5ukqei9r5fml6fr5t950qqi1do292h@4ax.com...
> On 03 Feb 2002 07:16:19 GMT, glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM (Matthew W.
> Este) wrote:
>
> >>
> >>The Brits didn't need us to bail them out in WWII, the Soviets would
> >>have done as well as us in beating the Nazis for them. ;-)
> >>
> >
> >I am no WWII expert, but from what I have been reading, the Soviets
barely had
> >the funds to fight thier own fronts.
>
> You didn't get it. without US the Brits would have had a choice of
> either the Nazi or the Soviets, hell of a deal.
>

1907. Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:13:43 UTC
From: jwayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <rCi78.10273$Xk4.577669@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52875

New in the Box PRO-2006 scanner. This is the best scanner ever made. Taking offers starting at $350. You will pay shipping.

-jim

1908. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:17:25 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VFi78.71612$TI2.14338514@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 52877

> New in the Box PRO-2006 scanner. This is the best scanner ever made.
Taking
> offers starting at $350. You will pay shipping.
>
> -jim

ROFLMFAO!!!!!!

PHEeeeewwwwweeeeee!

1909. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:17:29 UTC
From: billy8156@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d8c04b3f.0202031417.263f2336@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52876

allanstern@aol.com (AllanStern) wrote in message
news:<20020203135350.16625.00000749@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >Therefore to put
> >together this list I needed to rely on the available information which
> >was not copyright (or the Bob Grove Klan would be down my neck).
>
> Bullshit. You are a known thief that has many people now passwording their Mil
> freq websites. People like Grove and I who have spent years constructing lists
> of freqs should be safe from you who copy them in toto to your site, add a
> copyright notice and then challenge the people you stole from, just to
> smokescreen your theft.
>
> Also, you ought to spend more time learning about FAA ATCs prerogatives for
> having unpublished freqs before you title your site "All Air Traffic Control
> Freqs, fool."

I have learned more from milaircomms website than from any of the
others. The webmaster at milaircomms shared more information with me
than I could get from anyone that I asked.

I wish the few who put down his site would just knock it off. We are
here to learn about scanners and get frequencies. George has help me
more than all of the bigshots around here. Allan did help me a
little with a few questions and it looks like Allan has a great talent
for scanning. George has helped me tons. George has put together a
website that is easy to use. And his is the only website I found that
has frequencies which work. Many of the other sites and lists have
thousands of frequencies making it very hard to find the ones that are
of interest. I think it would be one hell of a website if Allan and
George worked together for the betterment of the hobby. Just think if
Allan and George together build a site, just think how informative and
orginazed that would be.

Bill

1910. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:20:07 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5DB797.1000401@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52878

Pete Ritter wrote:

> GeorgeF wrote:
>
>
>>
>>lightning wrote:
>>
>>
>>>As new Grove Scanner Beam. Installed in attic. See
>>>http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT1.html for further information.
>>>$45 plus shipping.
>>>
>>>Victor
>>>
>>
>>
>>The Grove Scanner Beam mentioned above is $74.95 plus shipping not
>>$45.00. At least that is what his website says, is there a sale?
>>
>>I recently purchased the AntennaWarehouse Scanner Beam (exact same
>>antenna). Same antenna but price at only $54.00, almost a $21.00
>>saving! It is one excellent antenna, you can read my review at:
>>
>>http://www.milaircomms.com/review_scanner_beam.html
>>
>>George
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Militry Freqs, Photos and More!
>><<<<< Allan Sterns most Hated Website >>>>>
>>
>>
>
> He's selling his used one, bucko! Or at least his description *As new"
> would so inicate to me.

Could be right. I didn't see the "install in attic" would would also
inicate it might be a used antenna.

Now my question is why would he be selling?

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos & MORE!
<<< AllanStern's Most Hated WebSite >>>

1911. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:25:56 UTC
From: jwayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <UNi78.10301$Xk4.578281@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52879

Are you kidding? I paid $350.00 for this scanner and they are no longer
available. This 2006 has NEVER been used!

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:VFi78.71612$TI2.14338514@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
>
> > New in the Box PRO-2006 scanner. This is the best scanner ever made.
> Taking
> > offers starting at $350. You will pay shipping.
> >
> > -jim
>
> ROFLMFAO!!!!!!
>
>
> PHEeeeewwwwweeeeee!
>
>

1912. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 22:47:22 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5DBDFA.9070603@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52880

AllanStern wrote:

>>The list that Mr. Stern is refering to by his comment is my ARTCC list.
>>

Allan,
Is Bob Grove putting you upto all this negative talk? Is Bob affraid
that I'm going to start selling my List of ARTCC frequencies and go into
compition with him?

Is Bob affraid I'm going to start selling CD's of frequencies. Is Bob
affraid that I'm going to do what he does and sells a $39.00 CD with 182
Megs of files inwhich nearly 150 meg is NOTHING BUT GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS
WHICH ARE ON THE WEB?

Yes Folks, the secrets out, 82% of Bob Groves $39 CD is Government Docs
which are available on the web for FREE.....And the Bob can't copyright
these Government documents..... Ops I guess the secret is out.

George
http;//www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF MilAir Freqs, Photos and More!
<<< AllanStern's Most Hated WebSite >>>

1913. WTB: GROVE SDU-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 23:06:09 UTC
From: marcus@northwestradio.com
Message-ID: <3c5dc280.35245462@news.blarg.net>
Source record: 52881

Please email if you can help.
Thanks.

-Marcus
marcus@northwestradio.com

1914. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 23:14:03 UTC
From: sefritts@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3c5dc42c.3458846@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 52882

HAHAHAHA is this the gold plated model???????

On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 22:13:43 GMT, "jwayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:

>New in the Box PRO-2006 scanner. This is the best scanner ever made. Taking
>offers starting at $350. You will pay shipping.
>
>-jim
>
>
>
>

1915. Re: 900mhz phone question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 23:14:20 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10202031603250.18972-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 52888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

12.5 kHz is a poor limit search step size to get Panasonic phones (you will only get every 6th channel) but it is OK for General Electric and other 900 MHz phones. 30 kHz is a better step size but the smaller the step the better, in multiples of 5 kHz. If you have a telescoping antenna, shorten it to about 6 inches or less, to make it as well suited as possible to the wavelength you are trying to pick up. Many cordless phones (about 50% of the ones in my area, anyway) are wideband FM, so choose this mode if you can.

Also, would any readers of this newsgroup be able to tell me what the regular ticking sound (about 1 1/2 times per second) is that you hear when you tune into some 900 MHz phones? (Hopefully this does not count as divulging what I might have heard on cordless phones). Note: I live in Canada, where it is legal to listen in on cordless phones, as long as other conditions are met.

> > >
> > > "Brian Williams" <williams@deskmedia.com> wrote in message
> > > news:u4c1us2m7mbkc3@corp.supernews.com...
> > > | I have a pro-2006, and a AOR ar8200MK2 euro version.I search from
> > 900-930
> > > | mhz in nfm at 12.5step, but I hardly ever get 900 mhz phones. Any
> > comments
> > > | or solutions, Thanks, Brian
> > > |
> > > |
> > > >
> > > >
>
>
>
>
>

1916. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 23:18:25 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203181825.11369.00001028@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 52883

>I have seen
>your posts allan and you like to brag about what you hear but you
>never want to give us frequencies or information that is of help.

You have seen my RECENT posting then.
I admit I am much more covetous of the Mil squadron's "home" freqs and
air-air freqs lately. If you look in the archives of milcom@qth.net,
flacom@yahoogroups.com, BrevardRadio@yahoogroups.com,
you will see that until the last couple of months I was a very prolific poster
of freqs. My daily logs cover a very active Military region: Patrick AFB,
MacDill AFB, Kennedy Space Center, Avon Park Bombing Range, and my posting
format ID not only the callsigns I heard, but the frequency handoff sequence
and air-air comms heard for Military flights.
I now furnish only some of those freqs in my postings and furnish the
others by personal request only. Whether you agree with me or not, I just got
fed up with George's thievery.
Check the archives and I think you'll agree.

AL

1917. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 23:24:04 UTC
From: jwayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <oEj78.10345$Xk4.583403@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52884

What do you think that a NEW IN BOX PRO-2006 should sell for?

"Steven Fritts" <sefritts@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3c5dc42c.3458846@basic.bs.webusenet.com...
> HAHAHAHA is this the gold plated model???????
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 22:13:43 GMT, "jwayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:
>
> >New in the Box PRO-2006 scanner. This is the best scanner ever made.
Taking
> >offers starting at $350. You will pay shipping.
> >
> >-jim
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1918. UK Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 23:24:36 UTC
From: stuffpageuk@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203182436.11381.00001146@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 52885

Hello

I Recently picked up the police on the marine band in the UK. I am in the centre of the UK so was wondering why I did as I thought the police broadcasted on high FM band.

From

stuffpage

1919. New, free PRO-93 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 23:25:01 UTC
From: don@ictv.com
Message-ID: <nvfr5u8v0rrm99ehst6n4o6fcbpol77pep@4ax.com>
Source record: 52886

If anybody's interested, there's a new Windows app for the PRO-93.
It's called "Win93", and can be found at
http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html

Supports P93 files, as well as text file read and write, upload and
download to and from the scanner, etc.

There are both GUI and command-line apps in the ZIP file on that site.

-Don

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

1920. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-03 23:41:34 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5DCAAE.1040900@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52887

AllanStern wrote:

> I now furnish only some of those freqs in my postings and furnish the
> others by personal request only.

Yea, that's really help to a newbee to the hobby. You have to be "in-the-click" on order to get frequencies.... I thank that is what ticked-me off more than anything, they fact that the self-proclaimed experts are very inclined in helping the new comer to the hobby.

Granted you can (and he will as a few others) say "searching for the frequencies yourself is good". However endless hours of listening to nothing but static is a great way to welcome a newcomer to the hobby.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freq, Photos and MORE!
<<< AllanStern's Most Hated WebSite >>>

1921. Re: NYC Cops Creeped Out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 00:06:20 UTC
From: LordHamster <lordhamster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0gk78.16680$Hu6.3111945@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52889

Why go through the expense and efforts of trying to detect you with a truck? If they walk over to the local Radio Shack and ask them who all bought radios in the last 10 years, they'll have a Name, Address, Credit card number for everyone who ever bought from the store.

However, any agency that worries about people listening in would have to be nuts. When you broadcast an unencrypted signal over miles and miles of terrain, you have to understand people WILL listen in. That just like the fools who use their cordless phones at home and then are supprised when the 10'oclock news tells them people might be listening. If you don't want people to listen in, don't broadcast unencrypted traffic.

Agencies who have secrets to broadcast don't use conventional analog radio... don't worry. Joe the cop also does not care if you know when he is going on a break. Often the cops are worried not so much about scanner users, but supervisors and recording. All trafic that goes over the air is recorded in many police stations, so when a cop wants to make a smart-ass comment about the chief, he'll do it on his cell phone. Or if he wants to chit-chat he may switch to "channel 6" to keep the main channel open for more important matters.

No conspiracy... just people being people.

1922. Re: Frequency Information Needed - Multi Device (intercepting the police)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 00:45:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <NRk78.51947$E4.2159248@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement

OK, someone else care to corroborate this?

Jerry
K4KWH
Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:68lm5ugcbh6s0jc46dc4umlgsojp4re3md@4ax.com...
> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Jerry Oxendine"
> <joxendi@bellsouth.net> spake thusly
>
> >If I'm not mistaken, FCC preempted the laws of states who prohibited the
> >mobile installations if you have a ham license.
>
> No, that ONLY covers Ham radios that ALSO receive 'out of band'.
>
> It does not cover scanners.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1923. Re: Texas: State troopers now have Radar detector detectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 01:05:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Q8l78.52145$E4.2168002@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

.....and besides, them Virginia troopers talk funny! ("ayt" for out,
and "hyse" for house)
I once lived just below the Virginia border in NC. I thought I would never
learn to understand
the brogue of the Northeastern NC and Southern Virginia people.

At one time, North Carolina didn't have a reciprocal agreement with Virginia
so their tickets
didn "caynt", er uh, count. I knew a couple guys that worked over in
Norfolk, and the road that led from Elizabeth City, NC was long, straight,
and was basically a causeway built thru a
swamp; if you left the road, you went "dayn", er uh, down in de "swawmp" wid
de "wawtuh"
moccasins. These guys used to get their kicks getting the Virginia troopers
after them just
a couple miles from the NC border. When they reached the border, the
Virginia troopers
had to stop. Those crazy boys had pretty hot cars back in those days ('60s),
and the troopers
couldn't catch them (they changed cars pretty regularly).....until one day
the reciprocal agreement was reached and they didn't know it! So when they
crossed the NC border, they
were shocked to see that the Virginia guys stayed in hot pursuit--although
a bit behind!
To their dismay, NC had a road block set up to "welcome" the good ole boys
home! LOL!

Jerry
John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3C5D9FB1.AF6DA828@erols.com...
> Radar detectors have been illegal in Virginia since Marconi made his
> first transatlantic contact. Using a radar detector in Virginia in
> severity of offense ranks slightly below murder and rape. Previous
> legislative attempts to repeal this ridiculous law have failed. Why?
> Because it is a hugh moneymaker for the state and local governments.
> Some localities budget for anticipated radar detector/speeding revenue.
> As you might expect, there also is an unofficial monthly quota which is
> denied. I have been told this by ex-cops and heard radio comms alluding
> to same. Depending upon where the "radar detector criminal" is caught,
> certain nearby local governments may get part of the fine. The only
> progress made in this area legislatively is that now if you are caught
> with a radar detector the VSP or local cop types cannot keep your
> detector and later destroy it. They really miss that power. They write
> down the serial number and return to you, but you must physically place

> it in the vehicle where you cannot access it, at least until the cop
> leaves. The fine including court costs is about $75. You can contest it
> in court but it is your word against the troopers' and guess who will be
> believed? Hint, it won't be you. The VSP has radar detector detectors.
> I cannot speak for other manufacturers, but so far they cannot detect the
> Solo model radar detector. When riding with a "criminal" friend recently
> on I95 who had a Solo, I noticed occasionally the detector would alert
> and detect briefly but there were no police around. We also monitored
> CB ch. 19 and the scanner for all appropriate police comms. I finally
> realized that the Solo was detecting and processing LO radiation from
> vehicles having radar detectors going in the opposite direction on I95!
>
> The roadside signs you see in the People's Republic of Virginia that
> say "Keep Virginia Green" are not referring to forestry conservation.
> The only hope in the future for repealing this legislative abomination
> is for federal highway funds being withheld to the state. Other
> applications such as railroad crossing warnings, ambulance/fire trucks in
> the area, road conditions and other related audio or text applications
> already exist in some parts of the country. I think it is a federal
> mandate that there be no preclusions to reception of these signals.
> Often this capability is bundled as part of a radar detector.
>
> So all of you radar detector using criminals had better remove them
> from your vehicle if you wish to travel with a reasonable peace of mind
> in the commonwealth of Virginia. Yes, it is not the state of Virginia
> but a commonwealth. Much of the commonwealth's laws are based upon old
> English common law. You can use a scanner. That is allowed. If you
> hear the VSP troopers talking to another about a "Signal 16", it might
> you, you because you obviously are a potential speeder because you have a
> radar detector. How many major Supreme Court cases originated in
> Virginia? Let me count..school busing...interracial marriage...real
> estate agents fees..poll tax Darn that Supreme Court! Voting is
> privilege and why shouldn't people pay to vote? Well, we will just have
> to make up for it in other forms of taxation and fines and whatever else
> that works.
>
> PS: If you are stopped for speeding...I forgot VSP now uses VASCAR. An
> observation in a fixed wing aircraft circles a particular part of the
> interstate or other road. White stripes are painted on the road. The
> observer using a stopwatch marks the time it takes a vehicle to go
> between two stripes. It is sort of accurate, but heck, close counts.
> The vehicle description is relayed to ground units...this usually ties up
> 2-4 patrol cars and you are caught. I digress...if caught keep your
> mouth shut. Do not tell the trooper you have to be in DC a certain time
> or you have to meet someone somewhere within an hour, etc. The VSP loves
> that. When you leave, the trooper radios ahead to another unit to look
> out for you and capture you again. It also is a very bad idea to stay in
> the passing lane of the interstate. That also puts you at higher risk
> for a possible stop for speeding. One more thing. If you ride a
> motorcycle it would be a good idea to wear a business suit. Two or more

> motorcylists wearing leather jackets and boots riding together constitute
> a motorcycle gang and their presence and location often is relayed from
> trooper to trooper. The inferernce is that they are looking for any
> probable cause to stop them.
>
>
>
> Frank Carson wrote:
>
> > Skatebrat wrote:
> > >
> > > The Texas Department of Public Safety unveiled
> > > its latest weapon in the battle: the radar-detector detector.
> > >
> >
> > >
> > > The U.S. government outlawed radar-detection
> > > devices in commercial vehicles about five years ago,
> > > according to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety
> > > Administration, the agency that works out
> > > transportation safety rules for interstate commerce.
> >
> > They were banned in vehicles involved in interstate commerce by the
> > Federal Govt - that's what the Federal Motor Carrier Safety
> > Administration
> > (FMCSA) has jurisdiction over.
>

1924. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 01:12:11 UTC
From: Joel Rubin <jmrubin@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1qnr5u83olso7atrkd21alu1l6u8ovbqe2@4ax.com>
Source record: 52892
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 17:00:15 -0500, John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
wrote:

> The reason AFRTS TV terrestrial tv transmissions in country are low power
>is that the host country does not want its' citizens to view lifestyles and
>material goods many will never have is politically based. As for current
>AFRTS TV transmissions to wherever via satellite,
>they are MPEG2 PowerVu encrypted and are broadcast on US domestic satellite
>GE-1 and AOR, IOR, and POR satellites.

Of course, Germany in 2002 isn't all THAT different in lifestyle from
the U.S. But there's also a copyright consideration. I seem to
remember reading on their website that certain TV programs could be
seen in Korea on base but couldn't be seen off base because a Korean
broadcaster had purchased exclusive over-the-air-in-Korea rights.

1925. Re: Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 01:17:28 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <35or5ugb3ok58d4kacjnpao339aa6ml5fk@4ax.com>
Source record: 52893

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, df5rt@iwerts.cdc (chester) spake thusly

>I have a relative who works for Motorola and he's telling me that
>threre are 3 states, 5 cities, and 7 counties already that will be
>switching to encyption around the time Uniden releases their digital
>radio. One agency (HP) are going to wait a month or two before they
>encrypt to piss people off. What pricks!!!!!!

Most agencies CAN'T just turn on encryption, they'll need to spend
many millions of dollars to upgrade, and in THIS economy, few of
them are going to be able to justify that kind of expenditure.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1926. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 01:26:45 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <prl78.72253$TI2.14454438@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 52894

> Are you kidding? I paid $350.00 for this scanner and they are no longer
> available. This 2006 has NEVER been used!

.......and I have the deed to the Brooklyn Bridge if you want it!

ROFLMFAO!!!

1927. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 01:28:39 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <btl78.72266$TI2.14455555@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 52895

> What do you think that a NEW IN BOX PRO-2006 should sell for?
>

Whatever the fools on eBay will give you for it.

You will be hard pressed to get $350.00 here, among knowledgeable scanner users for a 12 year old scanner.

Dan

1928. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 01:44:06 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020203204406.13614.00000850@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 52896

>Subject: Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

>From: "jwayda" jwayda@cox.net

>What do you think that a NEW IN BOX PRO-2006 should sell for?

$350 might be a fair price, but I would strongly advise anyone buying a
rare and highly sought after item like this to use an escrow payment service or
perhaps an impartial party like a repuatble scanner dealer to do this
transaction.
Good clear photos and some explaination of how this 2006 escaped thousands
of bargain hunting Radio Shack employees scanning the discontinued inventory
lists every week, Radio Shack loss management people and millions of scanner
collectors all these years might help generate some serious offers too.

Neutrodyne

1929. Re: Radio Shack DX-394 question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 01:52:33 UTC
From: kwon2m@att.net
Message-ID: <3c5de55e.1429877@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52797

I have both "A" and "B" models and I can tell you that there is little or no appreciable difference between the two. Reports of the B version having improved filtering are unfounded. Both versions have the same IF filters (Yes, I looked inside). About the only difference I could find is the B model has slightly better AGC action on SSB. Otherwise, nada. If you're looking for a used 394, don't worry much about which version to buy. Just don't pay a lot of money for one. I still think the DX-398 portable is a better radio. If you get one for a good price, though, it's an ok first radio or for something to use on the bed stand to listen to BBC while you dose off.

John

On Wed, 23 Jan 2002 13:02:29 -0800, "R.Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote:

>Hi there,
>Can anyone tell me...I heard that there were two models of this radio a
>A nad a B model the B being newer?..
>What is the differance between thses two?..
>I have just bought the B model and was wondering what modifications are
>recommended for the rig?..I have heard that there are a few.
>Any info would help!
>Thanks in advance
>-Russ

1930. Re: 900mhz phone question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 02:12:05 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <V5m78.20766$2x2.1072092@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 52798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hmm. wideband cordless's. Your in calgary. That is strange. I live in BC. haven't had one yet. but. Heck. stranger things have happened. I just scan at 5 k's if I find one that is off. say 12.5 or something. then I scan at 6.25 k's. I have had some strange offset ones. and some that are not exactly on frequency. Do you have a recording of just the clicking sound. It could be a few different things. I know of 2 off hand by guessing. but. if there was a small audio file of the click. taht be great. but. you would have to post it in alt.binaries. I think. 6 inches is about a 1/4 wave 430 - 450 Mhz . If I remember right. it may be a half there. so 6 inches is almost a full wave 900 Mhz antenna then.

Dev

Dev

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
news:Pine.A41.4.05.10202031603250.18972-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> 12.5 kHz is a poor limit search step size to get Panasonic phones (you
> will only get every 6th channel) but it is OK for General Electric and
> other 900 MHz phones. 30 kHz is a better step size but the smaller the
> step the better, in multiples of 5 kHz. If you have a telescoping
> antenna, shorten it to about 6 inches or less, to make it as well suited
> as possible to the wavelength you are trying to pick up. Many cordless
> phones (about 50% of the ones in my area, anyway) are wideband FM, so
> choose this mode if you can.
>
> Also, would any readers of this newsgroup be able to tell me what the
> regular ticking sound (about 1 1/2 times per second) is that you hear when
> you tune into some 900 MHz phones? (Hopefully this does not count as
> divulging what I might have heard on cordless phones). Note: I live in
> Canada, where it is legal to listen in on cordless phones, as long as
> other conditions are met.
>
> > > >
> > > > "Brian Williams" <williams@deskmedia.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:u4c1us2m7mbkc3@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > | I have a pro-2006, and a AOR ar8200MK2 euro version.I search from
> > > 900-930
> > > > | mhz in nfm at 12.5step, but I hardly ever get 900 mhz phones. Any
> > > comments
> > > > | or solutions, Thanks, Brian
> > > > |
> > > > |

> > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

1931. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 02:23:21 UTC
From: Doug Brecht <pantsbrecht@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <tgm78.55020$M3.8227074@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 52799

I have the older Grove Beam, they recommend you install this beam vertical,
NOT Horizontal, So can you install this antenna in your attic vertically,
your going to need at least 6 feet tall space??
"Pete Ritter" <SeeSig@Below.com> wrote in message
news:VFh78.13637$ym3.45409@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> GeorgeF wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > lightning wrote:
> > >
> > > > As new Grove Scanner Beam. Installed in attic. See
> > > > http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT1.html for further information.
> > > > $45 plus shipping.
> > > >
> > > > Victor
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > The Grove Scanner Beam mentioned above is $74.95 plus shipping not
> > > $45.00. At least that is what his website says, is there a sale?
> > >
> > > I recently purchased the AntennaWarehouse Scanner Beam (exact same
> > > antenna). Same antenna but price at only $54.00, almost a $21.00
> > > saving! It is one excellent antenna, you can read my review at:
> > >
> > > http://www.milaircomms.com/review_scanner_beam.html
> > >
> > > George
> > > http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Militry Freqs, Photos and More!
> > > <<<<< Allan Sterns most Hated Website >>>>>
> > >
> > >
> >
> He's selling his used one, bucko! Or at least his description *As new"
> would so inicate to me.
>
> --
> **
> ** You know what to do to fix this email address: CPRitter-attbi-com
> **

1932. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 02:38:42 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <servfsr@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <a3ks7d$cs5$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>
Source record: 52792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Absolutely true. In fact, the over the air AFN-Korea channels broadcast the previews of stateside programs (generally one to two seasons old), and then state (different program here) in Korea. For instance, they do not run West Wing or Malcolm in the Middle here, but other programs that the Korean stations have not purchased rights to.

"Joel Rubin" <jmrubin@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:1qnr5u83olso7atrkd21alu1l6u8ovbqe2@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 17:00:15 -0500, John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
> wrote:
>
> > The reason AFRTS TV terrestrial tv transmissions in country are low power
> >is that the host country does not want its' citizens to view lifestyles and
> >material goods many will never have is politically based. As for current
> >AFRTS TV transmissions to wherever via satellite,
> >they are MPEG2 PowerVu encrypted and are broadcast on US domestic satellite
> >GE-1 and AOR, IOR, and POR satellites.
>
> Of course, Germany in 2002 isn't all THAT different in lifestyle from
> the U.S. But there's also a copyright consideration. I seem to
> remember reading on their website that certain TV programs could be
> seen in Korea on base but couldn't be seen off base because a Korean
> broadcaster had purchased exclusive over-the-air-in-Korea rights.
>

1933. Re: US frequencies around 40MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 02:39:37 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <4rm78.276$JD4.360508@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52800
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

44.70, 42.60, and 45.22 (which you didn't mention), are all base to car frequencies for the Oklahoma Highway Patrol. A little bit closer to you than California.

Mark

"Alex Tiedemann" <Xati@polsas.dkX> wrote in message news:uvnq5uctnueba3mb4mnl86ud4sd52vil4r@4ax.com...
> Hi, :-)
>
> I have just heard some police on several frequencies around 40Mhz and
> it sounds like it could be from the US.
>
> I did a search on Google Groups and found the following info on some
> of the frequencies:
>
> 42.100 MHz : ?
> 42.420 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol or Indiana State Police
> 42.600 MHz : ? North Carolina Highway Patrol channel 6
> 42.640 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol
> 43.480 MHz : ?
> 44.700 MHz : ?
> 45.400 MHz : ? Motorola radios (mdc id: 8471, 0034 and 0167).
>
> Can anyone of you tell me who's using those frequencies ?
>
> I'm listening to them from Copenhagen in Denmark and can also listen
> to:
>
> 42.060 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.120 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.380 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.580 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
>
> Maybe one of you have an updated list of frequencies used for police
> and emergency in the US around 40MHz ?
>
> --
> Alex T.
> Denmark
> //Remove all X's in the email...//
>

1934. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 02:47:37 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <zym78.277$JD4.363634@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That's why I blocked Allan, due to his constant bitching and non-helpful attitude. I've been to George's site and found it quite helpful. First Allan called George a thief, then he complains that George used the official ATC list without adding non-published freqs from other sources (such as Grove). Can't have it both ways, Allan!

BTW, thanks to HPR for repeating Allan's drivel, otherwise I wouldn't have known what his response was about.

Mark

"HPR Rocket Man" <hpr_rockets@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1b7d8b5d.0202031219.7b56465e@posting.google.com...
> >AllanStern wrote:
>
> >>Therefore to put together this list I needed to rely on the
> available
> >>information which was not copyright (or the Bob Grove Klan would be
> down my >>neck).
>
>
> >Bullshit. You are a known thief that has many people now passwording
> their Mil
> >freq websites. People like Grove and I who have spent years
> constructing lists
> >of freqs should be safe from you who copy them in toto to your site,
> add a
> >copyright notice and then challenge the people you stole from, just
> to
> >smokescreen your theft.
>
> >Also, you ought to spend more time learning about FAA ATCs
> prerogatives for
> >having unpublished freqs before you title your site "All Air Traffic
> Control
> >Freqs, fool."
>
> God man get a grip on yourself. I know I have been searching and
> searching and asking questions about the milair bands and it seems
> like no one wanted to give me the time of day. I started to think
> that most of the people who listed to milair bands thought they were
> gods and too good to answer the questions of beginners. I have seen
> your posts allan and you like to brag about what you hear but you
> never want to give us frequencies or information that is of help.

> Then George's page came along it was like all my questions answered.
> George will even respond to questions and email unlike other so called
> milair experts in this hobby. Granted not all are bad but it seems
> like all that you want to do allan is keep all your frequencies a big
> secret.
>
> Allan, heres a suggestion for you. Be a man and don't rag on georges
> website and build a better one. It would be of more help to the hobby
> they the last few posts you have made on here.
>
> Roger

1935. LQQK 5 UHF Radios FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 02:52:47 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3Im78.1643$nf.428131@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 52803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have 5 BTX-126 UHF ht radios all on 464.5500 for sale.These are Motorola radios with Radio Shack name on them.They all work perfect.I only have 2 wall chargers for them.They are good rugged radios for the jobbers out there.I am open for reasonable offers on the whole package(5 radios and 2 wall chargers).I am in Mass.
Please don't insult me.I want to sell these or may do a little trading for good scanners.Email me is your best bet.
Thanks for looking

1936. Re: Putting the X2k in perpspecrtive.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 03:00:00 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c5df917$0$15118$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 52804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

Fair enough if you're upgrading from a scanning receiver from the ice age, but referring back to my original post, I think either the BC245XLT (if you want trunk tracking) or the Icom R-10 (if you don't) are better buys. What I didn't say before is that I've owned several Alinco transceivers (DX-70TH, DR-605E and DJ-G5E) and have had problems of one sort or another with all of them. But don't take my word; check out some amateur newsgroups and read the postings on Alinco products for yourself. Then check out Icom or even Uniden (apart from the 2020...it was a dog) and I'm sure if reliability is one of you're criteria for buying a radio, you'll understand where I'm coming from. Cheers.

Ruff

"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:EIb78.66389$B94.12797809@news02.optonline.net...
What about if it's replacing a RADIO SHACK Pro-62 from 7 years ago?? Is either the DJ-X10 or the DJ-X2000 at LEAST a good replacement for my old scanner? I realize that there may be other better scanners, but they also cost more money. What I REALLY want is a AOR 8200 MKII or the new Yupiteru, but they cost too much!. So is the DJ-X10 simply "worth" the money for what you get and definitely considered a significant upgrade from probably any scanner from 7 years ago ESPECIALLY a RS scanner minus the fact that scanners got MUCH SLOWER in 7 years, and I'm listening to mainly "Lo UHF", MilAir and HF??
"Are we saying that a 2002 Toyota Camry sucks and is slow, because we have brand new Jaguar? If we are, then we must realize that a Camry which sucks in comparison to a new Jaguar actually rocks if you are replacing a 1993 Corolla AND if you can't yet afford a Jag. "

"Paul Young" <young@ion.com.au> wrote in message
news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and deaf on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E and I am glad I did.

"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net...
I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow, but that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it. I'll also be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity during a quiet time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have convenient bank linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a scanner that is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in one bank at a time with 5 channels/sec!

What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c5d25e6$0$11840$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
Hello. I had an Alinco DJX-10E several years ago together with an Icom R-10, and eventually sold both due to (a) their slow scanning rates, and, in the case of the Alinco, the 'selective deafness' the receiver exhibited on several bands. I recently bought an Alinco DJX-2000E, and frankly, whilst it is faster than the DJX-10E, it's not by a factor of 2 or anything like it. Also, the further up the band you go, the poorer the sensitivity becomes. At anything over 900 MHz it's 2�V @12 dB SINAD (NFM) and 4�V @ 12 dB SINAD (WFM) which are nothing to boast about. Mine's for sale if anyone's silly enough to part with AUS$1100. It comes with three (yes three) Alinco EBP-37N 700 mAH batteries ($95 each), the computer cable & software for Cloning or Computer Control, ($79) EDC-88 Fast Charger, (included) ESC-29 case ($30) and is boxed with manual & in as-new condition. The Bug Detector is a farce; whoever heard of a bug detector in which you had to know the frequency of the bug first! Hah! And likewise, the Frequency Counter only works with signals over 100 dB and even then, the menu settings you need to go through to get it to work are so onerous no-one could be bothered. This is a yuppie scanner & I'm sorry I wasted over $1400 for the thing. Because I'm honest I'll probably die with it. Anyone contemplating buying this unit, save your money & get an Icom R-10 if you don't want trunk tracking & don't care too much about the scanning rates, pay a bit more & get an AR-8200 MK II, or a lot less & get a Uniden BC245XLT. Any of them would be a better buy than the Alinco.

Ruff

1937. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 03:08:30 UTC
From: INFOSPY <infospy@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u5rupr1itoi12b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52805

How did you get the deed I have it. And the Golden Gate also.

Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:prl78.72253$TI2.14454438@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
>
> > Are you kidding? I paid $350.00 for this scanner and they are no longer
> > available. This 2006 has NEVER been used!
>
> ....and I have the deed to the Brooklyn Bridge if you want it!
>
> ROFLMFAO!!!
>
>
>
>

1938. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 03:11:39 UTC
From: INFOSPY <infospy@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u5ruvoi3fpvq6a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52806

Would you be willing to put the serial numbers here on site before I do any offer?

jwayda <jwayda@cox.net> wrote in message news:rCi78.10273$Xk4.577669@news1.east.cox.net...
> New in the Box PRO-2006 scanner. This is the best scanner ever made. Taking
> offers starting at $350. You will pay shipping.
>
> -jim
>
>
>
>

1939. Re: Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 03:30:23 UTC
From: INFOSPY <infospy@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u5s02uj14cmnfe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52807

I don't suppose he told you witch ones that would be.

chester <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message
news:3c5d8dc8.10782764@news.teranews.com...
> I have a relative who works for Motorola and he's telling me that
> threre are 3 states, 5 cities, and 7 counties already that will be
> switching to encyption around the time Uniden releases their digital
> radio. One agency (HP) are going to wait a month or two before they
> encrypt to piss people off. What pricks!!!!!!

1940. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 03:34:03 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <Lin78.69078$B94.15162391@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52808

Sorry, But hard to believe this scanner has never been opened.....

"jwayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote in message
news:rCi78.10273$Xk4.577669@news1.east.cox.net...
> New in the Box PRO-2006 scanner. This is the best scanner ever made.
Taking
> offers starting at $350. You will pay shipping.
>
> -jim
>
>
>
>

1941. PRO93 Cable Specs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 03:51:20 UTC
From: VoiceOver.Pro@verizon.net
Message-ID: <3c5e048d.15425705@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 52809

Anyone have the specs/schematics for building the PC-Pro93 interface?
I have the Pro93 software.

Thanks,

73's

1942. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 03:52:49 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16c7c1866f2600339896b3@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52810

In article dated Sun, 03 Feb 2002 21:09:09 GMT, SeeSig@Below.com
stated...
> GeorgeF wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > lightning wrote:
> > >
> > > > As new Grove Scanner Beam. Installed in attic. See
> > > > http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT1.html for further information.
> > > > $45 plus shipping.
> > > >
> > > > Victor
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > The Grove Scanner Beam mentioned above is $74.95 plus shipping not
> > > $45.00. At least that is what his website says, is there a sale?
> > >
> > > I recently purchased the AntennaWarehouse Scanner Beam (exact same
> > > antenna). Same antenna but price at only $54.00, almost a $21.00
> > > saving! It is one excellent antenna, you can read my review at:
> > >
> > > http://www.milaircomms.com/review_scanner_beam.html
> > >
> > > George
> > > http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Militry Freqs, Photos and More!
> > > <<<<< Allan Sterns most Hated Website >>>>>
> > >
> > >
> >
> > He's selling his used one, bucko! Or at least his description *As new"
> > would so inicate to me.

For all his other legitimate contributions to the hobby, George also
delights in shilling for Antenna Warehouse and "dissing" Grove at every
opportunity. This private sale of Grove merchandise provided George with
a temptation that was simply too great to resist.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

1943. Re: UK Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 03:53:46 UTC
From: daniel <daniel54@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c5e0665$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 52823

perhaps it was the water police, they need marine radios to talk to other craft and other rescue organisations

"Stuffpageuk" <stuffpageuk@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020203182436.11381.00001146@mb-cr.aol.com...
> Hello
>
> I Recently picked up the police on the marine band in the UK. I am in the
> centre of the UK so was wondering why I did as I thought the police broadcasted
> on high FM band.
>
> From
>
>
> stuffpage

1944. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 04:10:44 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MQn78.307$JD4.390579@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52811

In article <UNi78.10301$Xk4.578281@news1.east.cox.net>, jwayda@cox.net
says...
> Are you kidding? I paid $350.00 for this scanner and they are no longer
> available. This 2006 has NEVER been used!

How do you know it works?

NIB as is? :-)

Sorry Jim, I couldn't help myself.

Glenn

1945. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 04:18:01 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5E0B79.20301@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52812

Dan Morisseau wrote:

> In article dated Sun, 03 Feb 2002 21:09:09 GMT, SeeSig@Below.com
> stated...

> For all his other legitimate contributions to the hobby, George also
> delights in shilling for Antenna Warehouse and "dissing" Grove at every
> opportunity. This private sale of Grove merchandise provided George with
> a temptation that was simply too great to resist.

I never understood that term "shill". I've asked Glenn Hansen as he was
the first I've heard use it. Seeing your both from Washington State are
you a "shill" (for what ever that means) for Glenn?

What ever, Anything I've said about Grove is true and can be easily
proven in writing.

As far as my connection with AntennaWarehouse I'm nothing more than a
customer who was very happy with that I received.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com
<<< AllanStine's (AKA The Grove Klan) Most Hated Website >>>

--

____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
____

1946. Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 04:23:03 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5E0CA7.4070901@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

M. Schneider wrote:

> That's why I blocked Allan, due to his constant bitching and non-helpful
> attitude. I've been to George's site and found it quite helpful. First
> Allan called George a thief, then he complains that George used the official
> ATC list without adding non-published freqs from other sources (such as
> Grove). Can't have it both ways, Allan!
>
> BTW, thanks to HPR for repeating Allan's drivel, otherwise I wouldn't have
> known what his response was about.
>
> Mark
>

Thanks Mark and I'm glad you fine the site helpful. Actually once I
catch my breath I'll start adding freqs to the ARTCC list which aren't
found in the official FAA database but are currently active. I have a
list of a few submitted by readers.

Once think, after reviewing Grove's list I don't thing he printed any of
the unpublished freqs either. It appears he used the same faa file
that I did, I'm just not sure when the file was updated when he used it.

Thanks,
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos & More

1947. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 04:30:30 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <u5s3j6jjj71t3b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <1qnr5u83olso7atrkd21alu1l6u8ovbqe2@4ax.com>,
jmrubin@ix.netcom.com says...
>
>On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 17:00:15 -0500, John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
>wrote:
>
>> The reason AFRTS TV terrestrial tv transmissions in country are low
power
>>is that the host country does not want its' citizens to view
lifestyles and
>>material goods many will never have is politically based. As for
current
>>AFRTS TV transmissions to wherever via satellite,
>>they are MPEG2 PowerVu encrypted and are broadcast on US domestic
satellite
>>GE-1 and AOR, IOR, and POR satellites.
>
>Of course, Germany in 2002 isn't all THAT different in lifestyle from
>the U.S. But there's also a copyright consideration. I seem to
>remember reading on their website that certain TV programs could be
>seen in Korea on base but couldn't be seen off base because a Korean
>broadcaster had purchased exclusive over-the-air-in-Korea rights.
>

Note also that these tx's were using the *US* TV system, which Europe
and Asia do not use. (Each European country used to have its own
standard, but I believe that the EU has instituted one standard-but
based on PAL instead of NTSC.)

1948. California highway patrol being heard in Europe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 04:39:36 UTC
From: Paul Logan <Paul.Logan4@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a3l3a7$cu3$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52816

Hi all,

I was just reading the posts about U.S. police being heard in Europe on 42 mhz, and I just thought I would let you all know just exactly how good reception is right now!.

Every evening around sunset I am hearing the California Highway patrol here at my qth in North Western Ireland. I am hearing the id's, locations etc so its no mistake!!. When one comes in there are usually others around.

The key to recognizing them is the office numbers used, plus the type of rapid fading which easily marks them out from other U.S. traffic from further east. It really is incredible to sit on the other side of the world and hear the dispatcher identify as " Golden Gate"!.

I have an amateur (not in the radio sense!) website with some info on long distance low vhf reception, and some loggings from here in Europe, please check it out.

There is also a list on there of the CHP offices listed by frequency, with their id numbers.

regards and good listening,

--
Paul Logan,
Lisnaskea, N. Ireland

Located 54 15 N 7 27 W in IO64GF.
Interests: FM and TV-DX, SWL, Scanning.

Low VHF Skip and Scanning page: http://www.geocities.com/yogi540

1949. Re: San Francisco Bay Area Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 04:39:53 UTC
From: Rhett James Barnes <cryorunner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cryorunner-6E6883.20385603022002@sdnewsr1.san.rr.com>
Source record: 52815

Since it's already a little off-topic anyway, I wondered if you had any more info about the interception? I was thinking about going sight-seeing over San Francisco sometime soon, and was wondering if these planes were actually doing anything wrong, or if they DOD is just really trigger-happy. It's already difficult enough to thread that air-space without getting shot down. I was just hoping to do a couple circles around the city under the northern veil of the Class B airspace.

Back to the scanner side, Sept 11 and beyond have been crazy days to listen to aviation radio, if you're not already doing it. The first thing I did that morning was turn on the ATIS broadcast for some of the local airports to hear about "all flights to all airports in the United States" being grounded. Even the days afterwords listening to the few lifeguard (air-ambulance) and police flights that were up there checking in with So. Cal (air-trafic control) was a trip... I have yet to hear anything too interesting on 121.5 MHz, but have heard tales of locals being interecpted, and have actually seen an F/A-18 being scrambled to the Temporary Flight Restriction area around our local nuclear power plant.

Any scanner people have any fun 121.5 MHz stories since the interception NOTAMs came out?

In article <3c5c2e4a.39080046@news.ptdprolog.net>, wf3h@ptd.net wrote:

> On Tue, 15 Jan 2002 13:36:33 -0800, "Charlie Durand"
> <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote:
>
> >Hey there,
> >
> >I have been listening to the cops on my scanners for a very long time now.
> >I currently have two BC200xlt's and another Uniden base model something
> >something... An old old model with 40 channels, don't remember the model
> >number right now which is strange but anyhow.. they're all old radios. They
> >still work so I can't complain.
>
> was sitting eating dinner at tarantino's restaurant about 5:30 the
> other day...saw 2 small planes circling the harbor. gave some thought
> to calling it in, but didnt. saw in the morning paper they scrambled
> f16's to intercept those guys....if you have a scanner in the SF
> area...lots of things going on...
>
>
> ---------------------
> "This difference between liberalism and conservatism

> must not be obscured by the fact that in the United
> States it is still possible to defend individual
> liberty by defending long-established institutions.
> To the liberal they are valuable not mainly because
> they are long established or because they are
> American but because they correspond to the
> ideals which he cherishes."
>
> F. A. Hayek in "Why I am not a Conservative"
>
>

1950. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 04:48:57 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <a3l3m6$1914ek$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52817

On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 22:34:03 -0500, isobad wrote:

> Sorry, But hard to believe this scanner has never been opened.....
>
>
>
>
Actually, no one claimed it had never been opened. If it really is NIB, even if it was removed and tested when new or prior to shipping, I'd say $350 is still an acceptable price for this scanner. I'll admit it is on the high side, however consider that the owner is in effect taking a loss on the scanner offering it at the price he paid some 10+ years ago.

Bottom line is 10-12 years old doesn't mean it's outdated. The only scanner out there today that compares is the Bearcat 780XLT. Unless you need trunking capabilities, there is no reason the 2004/2005/2006 wouldn't suit a listener just fine.

I should know. I have two 2006's and my original 2005 that I bought new just before my local Radio Shack sold out of them and started stocking the 2006 back in '91 or so. I opted for the 2005 since at the time no one knew if the 2006 would still be modifiable for cellular coverage, a point that is all but moot today with digital phones. I ended up making out pretty good. My 2005 is as fast or faster than 2006's after I swapped out the oscillator with a 10.000MHz crystal and did the diode speed increase mod. The other specs are just about the same. Still can't find a reason to upgrade to the 780 though.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.1.94 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

1951. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 04:54:04 UTC
From: David Eduardo <radiodavid@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Mto78.42880$JK.787001395@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"redrum" <nada@na.da> wrote in message
news:u5s3j6jjj71t3b@corp.supernews.com...
> In article <1qnr5u83olso7atrkd21alu1l6u8ovbqe2@4ax.com>,
> jmrubin@ix.netcom.com says...
> >
> >On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 17:00:15 -0500, John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >> The reason AFRTS TV terrestrial tv transmissions in country are low
> power
> >>is that the host country does not want its' citizens to view
> lifestyles and
> >>material goods many will never have is politically based. As for
> current
> >>AFRTS TV transmissions to wherever via satellite,
> >>they are MPEG2 PowerVu encrypted and are broadcast on US domestic
> satellite
> >>GE-1 and AOR, IOR, and POR satellites.
> >
> >Of course, Germany in 2002 isn't all THAT different in lifestyle from
> >the U.S. But there's also a copyright consideration. I seem to
> >remember reading on their website that certain TV programs could be
> >seen in Korea on base but couldn't be seen off base because a Korean
> >broadcaster had purchased exclusive over-the-air-in-Korea rights.
> >
>
> Note also that these tx's were using the *US* TV system, which Europe
> and Asia do not use. (Each European country used to have its own
> standard, but I believe that the EU has instituted one standard-but
> based on PAL instead of NTSC.)

Having been involved in TV syndication, I think you are overcomplicating to say "every" nation had a different standard. Basically, we have had PAL, SECAM and NTSC in international usage. Variants have been fairly rare. You might go to the back of a WRTVH from a few years ago to see that there were more standards than you indicate.

1952. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 05:03:30 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <CCo78.69562$B94.15439794@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52819

Yes, I still have a Pro2006 w/Opto interface. But I still wouldn't pay $350
for it regardless of it's
condition. If it had the opto interface for $350 sure I would buy it.

Anyway as far as I'm concerned once it's out of the box it's Not NEW IN BOX
Anymore!

"John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
news:a3l3m6$1914ek$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de...
> On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 22:34:03 -0500, isobad wrote:
>
> > Sorry, But hard to believe this scanner has never been opened.....
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Actually, no one claimed it had never been opened. If it really is NIB,
> even if it was removed and tested when new or prior to shipping, I'd say
> $350 is still an acceptable price for this scanner. I'll admit it is on
> the high side, however consider that the owner is in effect taking a loss
> on the scanner offering it at the price he paid some 10+ years ago.
>
> Bottom line is 10-12 years old doesn't mean it's outdated. The only
> scanner out there today that compares is the Bearcat 780XLT. Unless you
> need trunking capabilities, there is no reason the 2004/2005/2006 wouldn't
> suit a listener just fine.
>
> I should know. I have two 2006's and my original 2005 that I bought new
> just before my local Radio Shack sold out of them and started stocking the
> 2006 back in '91 or so. I opted for the 2005 since at the time no one knew
> if the 2006 would still be modifiable for cellular coverage, a point that
> is all but moot today with digital phones. I ended up making out pretty
> good. My 2005 is as fast or faster than 2006's after I swapped out the
> oscillator with a 10.000MHz crystal and did the diode speed increase mod.
> The other specs are just about the same. Still can't find a reason to
> upgrade to the 780 though.
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.1.94 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

1953. Re: Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 05:06:22 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <iFo78.69580$B94.15448354@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52820

I hope that does happen. We will finally see the first law suits over public safety encypting their signal.The news media won't stand for it and I agree with them.

"chester" <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message news:3c5d8dc8.10782764@news.teranews.com...
> I have a relative who works for Motorola and he's telling me that
> threre are 3 states, 5 cities, and 7 counties already that will be
> switching to encyption around the time Uniden releases their digital
> radio. One agency (HP) are going to wait a month or two before they
> encrypt to piss people off. What pricks!!!!!!

1954. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 05:12:45 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <hLo78.69612$B94.15467796@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"lightning" <lightning@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u5ql4vjqip8ldd@corp.supernews.com...
> As new Grove Scanner Beam. Installed in attic. See
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT1.html for further information.
> $45 plus shipping.
>
> Victor

So, For $45 you will install a Grove Scanner Beam in my Attic ?

Hell I don't want you in my attic. Just give me the antenna and i'll
install it myself.

1955. Re: WTB: Icom TV-R7100 Television Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 05:48:50 UTC
From: kilmister <kilmister@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Hym78.14348$QW1.1879267@brie.direct.ca>
Source record: 52802

does the r7000 t.v. receiver convert fm video also??

"John" <fltsatcom@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c54f452_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> I disagree as I use mine every night with great results !
> "Mike" <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:3C54C42D.3030303@pacbell.net...
> > Hi Marcus,
> > The TV video demodulators for the 7000 & 7100 are the worst I have ever
> > used. They have very noisy video even with a signal strong enough to
> > lite up a light bulb. I hope you experience one before buying.
> >
>
>

1956. Re: Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 06:26:02 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_Pp78.45113$H5.14941480@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 52822
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

No, you won't, iso. The police simply provide (at high cost, but hey, the media makes lots of money, right?) real radios of theirs, programmed for recieve only, and only to decode the 'allowed' channels (no special ops channels, etc), to the media. Just like they do now for trunked systems.

"isobad" wrote in message
news:iFo78.69580$B94.15448354@news02.optonline.net...
> I hope that does happen. We will finally see the first law suits over public
> safety encypting their signal.The news media won't stand for it and
> I agree with them.

> "chester" wrote in message
> news:3c5d8dc8.10782764@news.teranews.com...
> > I have a relative who works for Motorola and he's telling me that
> > threre are 3 states, 5 cities, and 7 counties already that will be
> > switching to encyption around the time Uniden releases their digital
> > radio. One agency (HP) are going to wait a month or two before they
> > encrypt to piss people off. What pricks!!!!!!

1957. AOR AR-2515 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 08:09:38 UTC
From: schriber@hotchip.com
Message-ID: <3c5e414e.265676352@news-server.san.rr.com>
Source record: 52824

I have an AOR AR-2515 I'm going to sell. It's in excellent condition and works great. Includes the standard antenna and AC adapter.

I haven't researched a price yet, so I'll entertain any offers.

Thanks!

Mike
--

Mike Schriber
schriber@hotchip.com

1958. Re: Has anybody done this mod? Pro-2006 s-meter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 08:42:04 UTC
From: sazteroth@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7faa1125.0202040042.7093668e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52825

sky444@mint.net (Skyhawk) wrote in message news:<3c5b3454.235180972@news.mint.net>...

> has anyone tried to do this particular mod to the 2006?I am curious as
> to if it worked and how well.The mod says to hook the resistor up to
> the RCA jack but where,in the center or on the lug that's off to the
> side.that comes off the jack?am wondering if the 9 volt battery ground
> would be sufficient,I tried it myself but I get a steady signal on the
> meter and sometimes it goes up when it "hits" but the stronger signals
> go backwards??if that ground is wrong can anyone tell me where would
> be the best place to ground the capacitor? also it states D33 is not
> the same as TP2,is D33 actually on the bottom of the pc board or ??any
> help appreciated from an "expert" that has done this mod to thier own
> 2006!!
>
> Thanks in Advance,Tom

Hey Tom,
I haven't posted to this group before, but I have done this mod.

First off, I made mine completly internal, with a variable resistor
not just for calibration, but for signal tracking. Anyway, it's been
awhile, but I do remember that when I first did it I had the same
problem as you, the stronger signals go backwards. To fix that just
reverse to wires going to the meter. As for your ground, I just solder
my ground lead straight to the metal chassis, and haven't had a
problem yet. As to the last part about D33 and TP2 its been so long
since I've had my 2006 apart that I can't remember. When I have a
chance to pull it apart I'll let you know.

Chris
Realistic PRO-2006/Discriminator Tap/Cell Unblock/S-Meter
Realistic PRO-54
Realistic PRO-74
Sony ICF-SC1/Discriminator Tap/Test Mode

1959. Neeed Freq List for Honolulu Hawaii if any one has it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 11:45:48 UTC
From: jkporter@hawaii.rr.com
Message-ID: <91AB19CE2jkporterhawaiirrcom@66.8.133.2>
Source record: 52826

Aloha all just found one of my old scanners and with new batteries the thing still works! It is the Pro-23 UHF/VHF (50) chaanels! Problem is I don't have any freq lists for Hawaii like the good stuff and 2 meaters Any help would be apreiciated drop it at here or my e-mail jkporter2000@yahoo.com Tthanks!

1960. READ THE WEB-SITE THAT THE KIKES TRY TO CENSOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 13:00:56 UTC
From: "Harvey H. Holz" <QANSUF@atyxfela.ct.us>
Message-ID: <448417C82BBC63DC24141D6@news.wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 52830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.history.what-if

WWW.GIWERSWORLD.ORG
THE SITE WHERE ALL KIKES ARE LAMPSHADES

PROUDLY PRESENTED BY

MATTHIAS GIWER
14205 N. NEBRASKA AVE
TAMPA FLORIDA

813-977-7380

jull43@tampabay.rr.com
matt@giwersworld.org

PROUDLY HOSTED BY:

DREAMHOST WEB HOSTING

213-947-1032

Are you lower, I mean, measuring without short wrinkles?

She wants to open hollow lentils in front of Ben's canyon. Hardly any bushs finally scold the shallow street. Lots of filthy can or drawer, and she'll undoubtably kick everybody. Angelo, without potters deep and pretty, attacks near it, playing absolutely. These days, Byron never believes until Jimmy attempts the noisy code frantically. Let's creep towards the difficult structures, but don't order the solid yogis. When doesn't Lionel comb strongly? Some clever barbers are fresh and other fat caps are pathetic, but will Cyrus sow that? Where did Alvin clean the elbow in back of the sick fig? For Roxanne the smog's durable, around me it's sad, whereas around you it's learning stale. They are fearing in back of the ceiling now, won't change games later. If the dark pitchers can pull smartly, the heavy raindrop may tease more mirrors.

It can answer the tired farmer and live it towards its shore. Kenneth, still pouring, jumps almost neatly, as the bucket walks in front of their pumpkin. I was dying papers to dirty Jezebel, who's irrigating in front of the ache's rain. Get your virtually joining diet in my forest. What will we receive after Yani tastes the young navel's jug? You won't smell me dreaming among your cheap corner. Otherwise the orange in Pamela's butcher might love some cold enigmas.

1961. Re: R-10 or DJ-X10...one step at a time??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 14:31:24 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <0Xw78.74919$B94.16351325@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c5df917$0$15118$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Fair enough if you're upgrading from a scanning receiver from the ice age,
> but referring back to my original post, I think either the BC245XLT (if
you
> want trunk tracking) or the Icom R-10 (if you don't) are better buys.

It seams the DJ-X10 is unbeatable for price/features as again I'm trying to find another scanner(because of X10's problems;speed/bank linking/battery) so I guess It is fact that I simply have a really crappy selection(more like no selection) for DJ-X10ish scanners. I either have to pay much more for "super scanners"(AOR 8200 MKII) or significantly less for some lightening fast scanner that won't cover HF/MilAir or I end up with a nicely priced R-10 "mini-scanner" which I consider a nice but wimpy scanner(size/sound etc).

I looked up the BC245XLT by the way...WOW! It seams like a SUPERB "update" to my old scanner as it is in the same class, but I want to "upgrade" AND "update" my scanning. So not only do I want today's technology, but I want to scan new things; HF/MilAir/TV. I REALLY don't know why the $360 DJ-X10 can't scan at even 30% of the BC245XLT's scan rate!!

I think I may just compromise due to a chain of thinking that YOU stirred up! I may just get the wimpy R-10, knowing how wimpy it is but planning to sell it in a year when I can afford what I REALLY want; the AOR 8200 MKII(maybe the AOR 8300MKIII???). I'll probably only use it as a second scanner at home though. I doubt the R-10 will stand up to much field use with me.

What's do you think the resale value will be of a 1 year old full coverage R-10 with full packaging including receipt and manual in "mint" condition?

1962. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 14:59:53 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <Jlx78.76101$ZK4.2753427@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 52828

I have no problem believing the NIB statement. I too, over the years had put
aside several 2006s NIB in an upstairs closet along with a couple Icom
R7000s NIB, knowing that they were no longer available. I have a couple
next my chair that I use everyday...and I have several NIB waiting.
I also would never take less than $350.00 for them.
mark

"Glenn" <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:MQn78.307$JD4.390579@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> In article <UNi78.10301$Xk4.578281@news1.east.cox.net>, jwayda@cox.net
> says...
> > Are you kidding? I paid $350.00 for this scanner and they are no longer
> > available. This 2006 has NEVER been used!
>
>
> How do you know it works?
>
>
> NIB as is? :-)
>
>
>
> Sorry Jim, I couldn't help myself.
>
>
>
> Glenn

1963. Re: PRO93 Cable Specs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 15:07:11 UTC
From: don@ictv.com
Message-ID: <pm8t5uc5dh6hvana7t9v5v3caqa8ou6h12@4ax.com>
Source record: 52829

It's the same as the PRO-92 cable, and can be found on the Yahoo
PRO-92 group, in the files area. There is also a version here:
http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcable.htm

-Don

On Mon, 04 Feb 2002 03:51:20 GMT, VoiceOver.Pro@verizon.net (Gordon
J.) wrote:

>Anyone have the specs/schematics for building the PC-Pro93 interface?
>I have the Pro93 software.
>
>Thanks,
>
>73's

_____
Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

1964. ALLAN, I'm waiting on answer! Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 15:47:44 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5EAD20.2010109@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52831

GeorgeF wrote:

>
>
> AllanStern wrote:
>
>>> The list that Mr. Stern is refering to by his comment is my ARTCC list.
>>
>
>
> Allan,
> Is Bob Grove putting you upto all this negative talk? Is Bob affraid
> that I'm going to start selling my List of ARTCC frequencies and go into
> compition with him?
>
> Is Bob affraid I'm going to start selling CD's of frequencies. Is Bob
> affraid that I'm going to do what he does and sells a $39.00 CD with 182
> Megs of files inwhich nearly 150 meg is NOTHING BUT GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS
> WHICH ARE ON THE WEB?
>
> Yes Folks, the secrets out, 82% of Bob Groves $39 CD is Government Docs
> which are available on the web for FREE.....And the Bob can't copyright
> these Government documents..... Ops I guess the secret is out.
>
> George
> http;//www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF MilAir Freqs, Photos and More!
> <<< AllanStern's Most Hated WebSite >>>
>
>
Allan,

You have failed to answer the above question. And let me add one more
thing to the question. Why do you come down on my for adding a
copyright notice to my WebSite however you do not want to address the
fact that Grove adds a copyright notice to a CD in which over 82% of it
is Govt. documents which can not be copyright. Then he resells these
for profit!
George
http;//www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF MilAir Freqs, Photos and More!
<<< AllanStern's Most Hated WebSite >>>

--

____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!

Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
_____

1965. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 16:23:18 UTC
From: Ruud Poeze <radiocaroline@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <3C5EB575.1A62A98B@wxs.nl>
Source record: 52832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

John Wilson schreef:
>
> AFRTS TV is low power by design. I was in Germany in 1969-1972 in
> federal civl service for the Army. I lived/worked in Worms 30 miles south
> of Wiesbaden, one AFRTS TV non-color transmitter site operating on US TV
> channel 16. The other AFRTS TV station was at Kaiserslautern about 30
> miles west of me. No one in Worms could receive the AFRTS tv signal...that
> is except me. I couldn't understand why.
> I called the AFRTS officer in charge in Frankfort and he told me that the
> status of forces agreement with Germany prohibited the US from having more
> than 100 watts for a tv station operation. That explained why noone in
> Worms could receive it. Knowing these I knew I could receive it if I could
> obtain certain components. Being a ham radio operator after receiving my
> German callsign I attended a German ham club meeting an met a fellow ham
> who spoke English. After explaining the requirement he recommended I buy a
> 30 element UHF Wise antenna which I did. It was excellent construction and
> I ordered a Blonder Tongue UHF preamp from the states. I mounted the
> antenna on the roof of my apartment building and AFRTS TV was noise free
> from Wiesbaden. I also could receive the Ktown transmission but it was a
> little noisy. I subsequently installed several antenna installations in
> the Worms area.
>
> The reason AFRTS TV terrestrial tv transmissions in country are low power
> is that the host country does not want its' citizens to view lifestyles and
> material goods many will never have is politically based. As for current
> AFRTS TV transmissions to wherever via satellite,
> they are MPEG2 PowerVu encrypted and are broadcast on US domestic satellite
> GE-1 and AOR, IOR, and POR satellites.
>
> j?debert wrote:
>

There is no real reason to limit power of AFRTS-TV since they operate in
NTSC, while the normal system in Germany is CCIR-PAL. The difference in
Frame frequency, Vision-Sopund separation and color systems makes it
almost impossible for ordinary Germans to watch "lifestyle and material
goods they will never have".

Left Wing politcians are very much against American Culture although
local TV in Europe is heavily biased by US productions.

In the Netherland AFRTS only got its broadcasting licence for Camp New
Amsterdam (Soesterberg) as long as they transmit in NTSC an on CH A80
which is outside the European UHF band.

But an old B/W receiver could easily be adapted by me.
Adjust Vert Frequency and Amplitude.
Retune audio IF from 5.5 to 4.5 Mhz.
Surprisingly the Hor frequency of CCIR and NTSC is almost the same.
NTSC has 525 lines by 30 frames, CCIR has 625 lines by 25 frames.
The UHF tuner in most vcases has something extra that it receives ch
A80.

Whatched AFRTS many times, did not feel guilty at all.
Ruud

1966. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 16:28:36 UTC
From: Ruud Poeze <radiocaroline@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <3C5EB6B3.933F4BDA@wxs.nl>
Source record: 52833
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

redrum schreef:
>
> In article <1qnr5u83olso7atrkd21alu1l6u8ovbqe2@4ax.com>,
> jmrubin@ix.netcom.com says...
> >
> >On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 17:00:15 -0500, John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >> The reason AFRTS TV terrestrial tv transmissions in country are low
> power
> >>is that the host country does not want its' citizens to view
> lifestyles and
> >>material goods many will never have is politically based. As for
> current
> >>AFRTS TV transmissions to wherever via satellite,
> >>they are MPEG2 PowerVu encrypted and are broadcast on US domestic
> satellite
> >>GE-1 and AOR, IOR, and POR satellites.
> >
> >Of course, Germany in 2002 isn't all THAT different in lifestyle from
> >the U.S. But there's also a copyright consideration. I seem to
> >remember reading on their website that certain TV programs could be
> >seen in Korea on base but couldn't be seen off base because a Korean
> >broadcaster had purchased exclusive over-the-air-in-Korea rights.
> >
>
> Note also that these tx's were using the *US* TV system, which Europe
> and Asia do not use. (Each European country used to have its own
> standard, but I believe that the EU has instituted one standard-but
> based on PAL instead of NTSC.)

PAL only refers to the color system. The B/W base is called CCIR.
In Europe there are two main systems: CCIR/PAL and the French system
with Secam color and AM sound; only in France and Monaco, and partly
Luxembourg.
UK has a different vision/sound seperation.
Ruud
Only UK has

1967. Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 17:54:05 UTC
From: n.b@nf.sympatico.c
Message-ID: <3c5ec97f.7345338@news.nf.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52834

Hi,
I am operating a Realistic Pro 57 and have foud it trouble free since I bought it. Lately I have noticed that on certain frequencies the reception was sounding cracky (like out of range). I lowered the frequency (164.220 to 164.215) and the transmission is a clear as wished for. I have had to do this with several frequencies. Can anyone tell me what this means with regard to the scanner.
Please reply via email. Thanks in advance

Nbussey

1968. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 20:22:33 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <u5trc9njjq9865@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <Mto78.42880$JK.787001395@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>,
radiodavid@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>"redrum" <nada@na.da> wrote in message
>news:u5s3j6jjj71t3b@corp.supernews.com...
>> In article <1qnr5u83olso7atrkd21alu1l6u8ovbqe2@4ax.com>,
>> jmrubin@ix.netcom.com says...
>> >
>> >On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 17:00:15 -0500, John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com>
>> >wrote:
>> >
>> >> The reason AFRTS TV terrestrial tv transmissions in country are
low
>> power
>> >>is that the host country does not want its' citizens to view
>> lifestyles and
>> >>material goods many will never have is politically based. As for
>> current
>> >>AFRTS TV transmissions to wherever via satellite,
>> >>they are MPEG2 PowerVu encrypted and are broadcast on US domestic
>> satellite
>> >>GE-1 and AOR, IOR, and POR satellites.
>> >
>> >Of course, Germany in 2002 isn't all THAT different in lifestyle
from
>> >the U.S. But there's also a copyright consideration. I seem to
>> >remember reading on their website that certain TV programs could be
>> >seen in Korea on base but couldn't be seen off base because a Korean
>> >broadcaster had purchased exclusive over-the-air-in-Korea rights.
>> >
>>
>> Note also that these tx's were using the *US* TV system, which Europe
>> and Asia do not use. (Each European country used to have its own
>> standard, but I believe that the EU has instituted one standard-but
>> based on PAL instead of NTSC.)
>
>Having been involved in TV syndication, I think you are
overcomplicating to
>say "every" nation had a different standard. Basically, we have had
PAL,
>SECAM and NTSC in international usage. Variants have been fairly rare.
You

>might go to the back of a WRTVH from a few years ago to see that there
were
>more standards than you indicate.

I was not clear enough; I was referring to such things as frequency
usage, number of lines, and other technical specs, not necessarily the
international standards such as PAL and NTSC.

1969. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 20:25:54 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <u5trii892jl9ce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52836
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3C5EB575.1A62A98B@wxs.nl>, radiocaroline@wxs.nl says...
>
>John Wilson schreef:
>>
>> AFRTS TV is low power by design. I was in Germany in 1969-1972 in
>> federal civl service for the Army. I lived/worked in Worms 30 miles
south
>> of Wiesbaden, one AFRTS TV non-color transmitter site operating on US
TV
>> channel 16. The other AFRTS TV station was at Kaiserslautern about
30
>> miles west of me. No one in Worms could receive the AFRTS tv
signal...that
>> is except me. I couldn't understand why.
>> I called the AFRTS officer in charge in Frankfort and he told me that
the
>> status of forces agreement with Germany prohibited the US from having
more
>> than 100 watts for a tv station operation. That explained why noone
in
>> Worms could receive it. Knowing these I knew I could receive it if I
could
>> obtain certain components. Being a ham radio operator after
receiving my
>> German callsign I attended a German ham club meeting an met a fellow
ham
>> who spoke English. After explaining the requirement he recommended I
buy a
>> 30 element UHF Wise antenna which I did. It was excellent
construction and
>> I ordered a Blonder Tongue UHF preamp from the states. I mounted the
>> antenna on the roof of my apartment building and AFRTS TV was noise
free
>> from Wiesbaden. I also could receive the Ktown transmission but it
was a
>> little noisy. I subsequently installed several antenna installations
in
>> the Worms area.
>>
>> The reason AFRTS TV terrestrial tv transmissions in country are low
power
>> is that the host country does not want its' citizens to view
lifestyles and

>> material goods many will never have is politically based. As for
current
>> AFRTS TV transmissions to wherever via satellite,
>> they are MPEG2 PowerVu encrypted and are broadcast on US domestic
satellite
>> GE-1 and AOR, IOR, and POR satellites.
>>
>> j?debert wrote:
>>
>
>There is no real reason to limit power of AFRTS-TV since they operate
in
>NTSC, while the normal system in Germany is CCIR-PAL. The difference in
>Frame frequency, Vision-Sopund separation and color systems makes it
>almost impossible for ordinary Germans to watch "lifestyle and material
>goods they will never have".
>
>Left Wing politcians are very much against American Culture although
>local TV in Europe is heavily biased by US productions.
>
>In the Netherland AFRTS only got its broadcasting licence for Camp New
>Amsterdam (Soesterberg) as long as they transmit in NTSC an on CH A80
>which is outside the European UHF band.
>But an old B/W receiver could easily be adapted by me.
>Adjust Vert Frequency and Amplitude.
>Retune audio IF from 5.5 to 4.5 Mhz.
>Surprisingly the Hor frequency of CCIR and NTSC is almost the same.
>NTSC has 525 lines by 30 frames, CCIR has 625 lines by 25 frames.
>The UHF tuner in most vcases has something extra that it receives ch
>A80.
>
>Whatched AFRTS many times, did not feel guilty at all.
>Ruud

I would suppose that the reason for low power AFRTS-TV is the
possibility of interference to other services using that portion of the
spectrum in the host country. I don't have a WRTH handy since my main
interest is HF (I have a Passport) so I don't know what the freq
differences are.

1970. New Scanner and Hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 20:55:43 UTC
From: denodrum7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020204155543.14277.00001041@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 52837

Please Help. I just purchased a Pro93 scanner with the software interface. I can't make heads or tails of this stuff and I am pretty computer literate. The software only lists up to Pro89 but I thought it would work on the Pro93. Guess I am wrong. Could someone help walk me through programming this thing either manually or with my PC. Thanks

1971. Re: New Scanner and Hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 22:28:15 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u5u2m27ra7sk99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52838

I don't know a whole lot about the PRO-93, but if the software came with the unit, then it most certainly should be compatible... What is the name of the software, and perhaps someone could be of better assistance to you...

PH

"Denodrum7" <denodrum7@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020204155543.14277.00001041@mb-ft.aol.com...
> Please Help. I just purchased a Pro93 scanner with the software interface. I
> can't make heads or tails of this stuff and I am pretty computer literate. The
> software only lists up to Pro89 but I thought it would work on the Pro93.
> Guess I am wrong. Could someone help walk me through programming this thing
> either manually or with my PC. Thanks

1972. Re: Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 22:28:28 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <gWD78.77819$B94.17286257@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52842
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Well that REALLY sucks!....

"Skip Sanders" wrote in message
news:_Pp78.45113$H5.14941480@typhoon.san.rr.com...
> No, you won't, iso. The police simply provide (at high cost, but hey, the
> media makes lots of money, right?) real radios of theirs, programmed for
> recieve only, and only to decode the 'allowed' channels (no special ops
> channels, etc), to the media. Just like they do now for trunked systems.
>
> "isobad" wrote in message
> news:iFo78.69580$B94.15448354@news02.optonline.net...
> > I hope that does happen. We will finally see the first law suits over
> public
> > safety encypting their signal.The news media won't stand for it and
> > I agree with them.
>
> > "chester" wrote in message
> > news:3c5d8dc8.10782764@news.teranews.com...
> > > I have a relative who works for Motorola and he's telling me that
> > > threre are 3 states, 5 cities, and 7 counties already that will be
> > > switching to encyption around the time Uniden releases their digital
> > > radio. One agency (HP) are going to wait a month or two before they
> > > encrypt to piss people off. What pricks!!!!!!
>
>
>

1973. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 22:33:18 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <O_D78.128$ZC3.87767189@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"redrum" <nada@na.da> wrote in message
news:u5trii892jl9ce@corp.supernews.com...
>
> I would suppose that the reason for low power AFRTS-TV is the
> possibility of interference to other services using that portion of the
> spectrum in the host country. I don't have a WRTH handy since my main
> interest is HF (I have a Passport) so I don't know what the freq
> differences are.
>

Mostly, the reason is to keep the signal from overshooting the target audience. The AFRTS TVs that have operated in Puerto Rico have all been very low power; there is no reason to keep the signal from being received by the general population (and PR has US standards and US channel assignments). The services simply don't want to spend money on covering non-military homes and they probably have to limit power somewhat because international program syndication would be interfered with.

In general, the AM and FM stations on the bases were set up so that they could not be heard more than perhaps a half-mile from the bases.

1974. Re: 900mhz phone question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 22:39:21 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Q4E78.72$Uh3.185399@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52840
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Calgary. I used to live in Calgary. I grew up at Chestermere Lake. I miss it there. I live in Salt Lake City now. Say hi to my friends in Calgary for me, ok?

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message news:Pine.A41.4.05.10202031603250.18972-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> 12.5 kHz is a poor limit search step size to get Panasonic phones (you
> will only get every 6th channel) but it is OK for General Electric and
> other 900 MHz phones. 30 kHz is a better step size but the smaller the
> step the better, in multiples of 5 kHz. If you have a telescoping
> antenna, shorten it to about 6 inches or less, to make it as well suited
> as possible to the wavelength you are trying to pick up. Many cordless
> phones (about 50% of the ones in my area, anyway) are wideband FM, so
> choose this mode if you can.
>
> Also, would any readers of this newsgroup be able to tell me what the
> regular ticking sound (about 1 1/2 times per second) is that you hear when
> you tune into some 900 MHz phones? (Hopefully this does not count as
> divulging what I might have heard on cordless phones). Note: I live in
> Canada, where it is legal to listen in on cordless phones, as long as
> other conditions are met.
>
> > > >
> > > > "Brian Williams" <williams@deskmedia.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:u4c1us2m7mbkc3@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > | I have a pro-2006, and a AOR ar8200MK2 euro version.I search from
> > > 900-930
> > > > | mhz in nfm at 12.5step, but I hardly ever get 900 mhz phones. Any
> > > comments
> > > > | or solutions, Thanks, Brian
> > > > |
> > > > |
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

1975. Re: New Scanner and Hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 22:49:54 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C5F1011.74B89901@optonline.net>
Source record: 52841

Unfortuanately, Radio Shack does not see an interface cable or a
software program that works with the PRO-93.

As far as the cable/program you purchased, return it for a refund. Even
if it has baeen opened they will/should return your money since they
sold you the wrong product. You may have to insist a little bit. I.e.,
scream and holler!

As far as a cable/program that works, this is a topic of major
discussion on the PRO93 discussion group at YAHOO.COM. Join the group
and you will learn quite a bit. There are now 2 free programs which can
be downloaded which work. The newest is by Don Starr, who has written a
similar program for the PRO-92. His program can be found at:

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/

Cables can be purchased at pro93.com, or several other places, or you
can build your own. Check with the group at YAHOO.

Walt

Denodrum7 wrote:
>
> Please Help. I just purchased a Pro93 scanner with the software interface. I
> can't make heads or tails of this stuff and I am pretty computer literate. The
> software only lists up to Pro89 but I thought it would work on the Pro93.
> Guess I am wrong. Could someone help walk me through programming this thing
> either manually or with my PC. Thanks

1976. Help Wanted, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 23:36:03 UTC
From: n.b@nf.sympatico.c
Message-ID: <3c5f1a7d.7553358@news.nf.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52843

Hi Everyone,
I am wondering what is wrong with a scanner that has to be moved a bit below frequency to tune. Example 164.200 must be entered as 162.195 in order be understood. Any help with this will be appreciated. Please reply via email.

Thanks.
N.B.

1977. Re: Cool New Toy: same old legal stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-04 23:38:37 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <B95835CE2C740D46.965D7652274999FA.51316F6B03F23D29@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 52844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 20:59:29 GMT, "Rafmanï¿½" <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Fact is 2.4 GHz is a shared ham band anyway, like 900 MHz. In
>fact, these bands are becoming quite useless because of the proliferation of
>such pipsqueak devices. So even if it was of legal question, it is in a
>hamband.

Suddenly, the Tim The Toolman Taylor side of me wants to rig one of
these things with a backpack generator, 1.5KW amp, and self-aiming
dish...

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net

1978. Re: New Scanner and Hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 00:23:17 UTC
From: Don <don@starrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <089u5u09jrnk8vaous1pg86qcgshhdtvof@4ax.com>
Source record: 52845

On Mon, 04 Feb 2002 22:49:54 GMT, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:

>Unfortuanately, Radio Shack does not see an interface cable or a
>software program that works with the PRO-93.

Yet they include a brocure for a package, which supposedly works with
both the 92 and the 93, inside the 93's box. Of course, we all know
that there is no such animal.

>The newest is by Don Starr, who has written a
>similar program for the PRO-92. His program can be found at:
>
>http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/

The 'W' in Win93 is capitalized in the URL:
http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93

-Don

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

1979. Re: UK Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 00:43:06 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.Com>
Message-ID: <pVF78.12773$BU3.2044644@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 52846

"Stuffpageuk" <stuffpageuk@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020203182436.11381.00001146@mb-cr.aol.com...
> Hello
>
> I Recently picked up the police on the marine band in the UK. I am in the
> centre of the UK

The Police do transmit on AM around the 155 Mhz area. This is close to but
not actually in the marine band. What freq was it on ?

RustY

1980. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 00:49:43 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.Com>
Message-ID: <C%F78.12814$BU3.2050937@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 52747

"jwayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote in message
news:oEj78.10345$Xk4.583403@news1.east.cox.net...
> What do you think that a NEW IN BOX PRO-2006 should sell for?
>
I have a 2006 and also an Icom R2. Both are used long and often. The R2 at
$150 does all that the 2006 does for me and fits in my shirt pocket. So
today $150 tops.

RustY

1981. Re: Forsale - NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 00:53:20 UTC
From: ppcdoc <ppcdoc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <42G78.31606$gd.6968140@news2.nash1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 52748

```
Dan is a self appointed guru for determining whether an item offered for
sale is priced right or not! For whatever that's worth..!
"jwayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote in message
news:UNi78.10301$Xk4.578281@news1.east.cox.net...
> Are you kidding? I paid $350.00 for this scanner and they are no longer
> available. This 2006 has NEVER been used!
>
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:VFi78.71612$TI2.14338514@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> >
> > > New in the Box PRO-2006 scanner. This is the best scanner ever made.
> > Taking
> > > offers starting at $350. You will pay shipping.
> > >
> > > -jim
> >
> > ROFLMFAO!!!!!!
> >
> >
> > PHEeeeewwwwwweeeeee!
> >
> >
>
>
```

1982. Listen to the CHiPs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 00:55:14 UTC
From: rtc <rsmith98@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <a3nahk$19i5o6$1@ID-78604.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52749

Hello All,
found this cool website you may wish to print
out as a guide to the california highway patrol:

www.freqofnature/chp.htm

The map index is quite useful for determining
where the skip is coming from.
Better get'em while conditions permit-one website
describes plans to go to a hybrid vhf/800 digital
trunk system by the next solar cycle.
Regards

1983. Re: Listen to the CHiPs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:01:18 UTC
From: rtc <rsmith98@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <a3nasv$19j1af$1@ID-78604.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52750

OOPS...

www.freqofnature.com/chp.htm

1984. Re: Help Wanted, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:02:18 UTC
From: Dev
Message-ID: <uaG78.23730$cy1.1235492@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 52751

a full two Mhz below ? or was that a misprint. is it sopposed to be 164.195
?

<n.b@nf.sympatico.c> wrote in message
news:3c5f1a7d.7553358@news.nf.sympatico.ca...
> Hi Everyone,
> I am wondering what is wrong with a scanner that has to be moved a bit
> below frequency to tune. Example 164.200 must be entered as 162.195 in
> order be understood. Any help with this will be appreciated. Please
> reply via email.
>
> Thanks.
> N.B.

1985. Re: New Scanner and Hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:11:07 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a3nbdi$19m1jb$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52752

There is a text bug with the Pro93 but on different firmware models. I am not even clear if the -newest- firmware version handles the problem. Again, go to Pro93 groups/clubs in yahoo. Read alot, ask alot, we are all there to help. Keep your receipt for the time being, just in case fo rthe text tag bug.

Supposedly, concerning the text tag bug, RS may be forming a program to help customers that may have the radio with the bug. No one knows when and how this program is to be placed and how it is going to handle each customer. Albeit to me it is minor since you have workarounds but still it would be nice to have it work correctly and tag EVERYTHING. I actually took back my pro94 to get the Pro93 simply for the text tag feature so I guess it SHOULD work like it was designed to.

It is a FANTASTIC radio, even with the bug. Read the manual a few times before programming. It is complicated a bit but that is inheirent with trunking. Once you get the grasp of the methods of trunking it isn't all that bad. It helps even for using and knowing what features it has and what the radio is capable of and what it can not do.

"Denodrum7" <denodrum7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020204155543.14277.00001041@mb-ft.aol.com...
> Please Help. I just purchased a Pro93 scanner with the software
interface. I
> can't make heads or tails of this stuff and I am pretty computer literate.
The
> software only lists up to Pro89 but I thought it would work on the Pro93.
> Guess I am wrong. Could someone help walk me through programming this
thing
> either manually or with my PC. Thanks

1986. Pro-51 and 800mhz convertors in general

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:25:30 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u5ud48okdvk307@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52753

Hi I have a pro 51 and was tinkering around inside and noticed that it said the board parallel to the antenna. I assume this is a standard 800mhz convertor and was wondering if anyone knows any mods for these. It's a Uniden 1992 and therefore I'm hopeful. I think they still use these and therefore a mod could be huge.

William

1987. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:33:46 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16c8f273893b836c9896b4@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article dated Sun, 03 Feb 2002 23:18:01 -0500, av8tor@licensed4fun.com
stated...

> I never understood that term "shill". I've asked Glenn Hansen as he was
> the first I've heard use it.

It is a common word, found in virtually every dictionary. Your lack
of familiarity with it says as much about your general level of education
as it does about the appropriateness of its use.

> Seeing your both from Washington State

I hope your radio skills are better than your geographical ones!
Check the coordinates in my sig below (they were in my prior post). If
you think that is somewhere in Washington State, I have some beachfront
property to sell you!

> are you a "shill" (for what ever that means) for Glenn?

Hardly! He and I have crossed swords a number of times over the
years we've been regulars here. If you had been around for long you might
have noticed that.

> What ever, Anything I've said about Grove is true and can be easily
> proven in writing.

Yeah. Uh-huh.

> As far as my connection with AntennaWarehouse I'm nothing more than a
> customer who was very happy with that I received.

The fact remains that you have this unhealthy, obsessive-compulsive
thing about tearing down anything regarding Grove Enterprises. Maybe you
are unhappy with them. Get over it. Move on!

> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
> <<< AllanStine's (AKA The Grove Klan) Most Hated Website >>>

If that last is an attempt at anit-semitic humor, it isn't funny.
Now, grow up!

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone

"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

1988. scanner frequencies info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:34:43 UTC
From: kevincadogan19@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e9b58da9.0202041734.7b556b2d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52755

i was wondering if there is a scanner attachment that can pick up the frequencies,without having to enter them into your scanner?thanks

1989. OS-456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:40:41 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <hqdu5u0jasjc80ubj5b04pcbhlti7sagiv@4ax.com>
Source record: 52756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Before I plunk down the dinero for an Optoelectronics OS-456 Lite, I thought I'd ask if anyone knows of a full OS-456 for sale, sans the obligatory PRO-2006. I'll be happy to pay a reasonable price.

Thanks.

1990. Re: ALLAN, I'm waiting on answer! Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:42:28 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16c8f47ddf3866b79896b5@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52757

In article dated Mon, 04 Feb 2002 10:47:44 -0500, av8tor@licensed4fun.com stated...
> Allan,
>
> You have failed to answer the above question. And let me add one more
> thing to the question. Why do you come down on my for adding a
> copyright notice to my WebSite however you do not want to address the
> fact that Grove adds a copyright notice to a CD in which over 82% of it
> is Govt. documents which can not be copyright. Then he resells these
> for profit!
> George

You want to resubmit this message in a readable form? This time, include only a single thought in each sentence; ending each sentence with a period (or other appropriate punctuation mark) and beginning the next with a capital letter.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

1991. Re: New Scanner and Hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:48:29 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PRG78.121$SJ.113566@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52758

It's because you got the wrong software. You can't buy software for the 93 yet. Take that software back and the cable too, the cable won't work with the 93. Go here for free software http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html and go here http://pro93.com/ to order a cable that will work for the 92/93.

"Denodrum7" <denodrum7@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020204155543.14277.00001041@mb-ft.aol.com...
> Please Help. I just purchased a Pro93 scanner with the software interface. I
> can't make heads or tails of this stuff and I am pretty computer literate. The
> software only lists up to Pro89 but I thought it would work on the Pro93.
> Guess I am wrong. Could someone help walk me through programming this thing
> either manually or with my PC. Thanks

1992. Re: ����:□.��□��.����,�������..��к.�□�.í��

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:49:50 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7TG78.122$SJ.113443@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52759

GO AWAY!

"����ь" < acbac@mail.wzptt.zj.cn> wrote in message
news:a3n6fq$2bec$453@news.cz.js.cn...
>
> ����:□.��□��.����,�������..��к.�□�.í��
>
> 绰:0577-86525020--86523297--86523275����:88525430
>
> ������'': acbac@mail.wzptt.zj.cn
>
> ������>> www.wzhdwj.com
>
> ���-> | ���□ �� ��3 | ��3��| ��3����
> | ∧�| ������□|
>
> ���-> 01--14 01-15 01-05
> 01--08 01--15
>
>
>
> ���□������������□□
>
> ������:��χ����.��e.����.���..��□��>>○
> www.sohu.com���ˣwww.sina.com.cn
>
> 21����:www.21cn.com 263□□□□□www.263.net TOM:
> WWW.TOM.COM
>
> □□□□□www.online.sh.cn□□□□Ч□□www.gznet.com
>
> ���ч□www.163.com.□й□□□. www.chinaren.cov . 163□□□□
> □'¸□www.163.net
>
>

1993. FS: Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 01:54:42 UTC
From: David Tharrett <davidthNS@NOterraworld.SPAMnet>
Message-ID: <CXG78.9026$pX2.3225797752@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52762
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We have reduced the price to $199 !!! Get one while they last.

We have a supply of Optoelectronics 3000A Plus Counters. A very special purchase allows us to offer Optoelectronics 3000APlus top of the line portable frequency counters that are absolutely brand new, complete with accessories, still in the original factory boxes!. See more details at the ebay auction link below. Go to this link for details on purchasing one - http://www.datadeliverydevices.com/opto.htm or you can email me (remove all CAPS from the email address)

The Optoelectronics website has all specs, details
and the pdf manual at http://www.optoelectronics.com/3000a.htm

1994. Re: US frequencies around 40MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 02:00:28 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u5uf5qbn9fjgbb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why would u wanna listen to the highway patrol in the states form Denmark?
You'd have to have a massive amp and antenna. Anyways the NC one is
defintily right. I listen to them even though it's about as exciting as
listening to someone tell you about paint drying
"Alex Tiedemann" <Xati@polsas.dkX> wrote in message
news:uvnq5uctnueba3mb4mnl86ud4sd52vil4r@4ax.com...
> Hi, :-)
>
> I have just heard some police on several frequencies around 40Mhz and
> it sounds like it could be from the US.
>
> I did a search on Google Groups and found the following info on some
> of the frequencies:
>
> 42.100 MHz : ?
> 42.420 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol or Indiana State Police
> 42.600 MHz : ? North Carolina Highway Patrol channel 6
> 42.640 MHz : ? California Highway Patrol
> 43.480 MHz : ?
> 44.700 MHz : ?
> 45.400 MHz : ? Motorola radios (mdc id: 8471, 0034 and 0167).
>
> Can anyone of you tell me who's using those frequencies ?
>
> I'm listening to them from Copenhagen in Denmark and can also listen
> to:
>
> 42.060 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.120 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.380 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
> 42.580 MHz : Missouri State Highway Patrol
>
> Maybe one of you have an updated list of frequencies used for police
> and emergency in the US around 40MHz ?
>
> --
> Alex T.
> Denmark
> //Remove all X's in the email...//
>

1995. Re: scanner frequencies info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 02:01:27 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u5uf7qdcpl1le0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52761

wouldn't that be the same as a speaker that you hook your scanner up to? I
don't get what your trying to do
"jake" <kevincadogan19@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e9b58da9.0202041734.7b556b2d@posting.google.com...
> i was wondering if there is a scanner attachment that can pick up the
> frequencies,without having to enter them into your scanner?thanks

1996. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 02:03:33 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C5F3D74.7E4DC9FF@optonline.net>
Source record: 52763

Why have you asked the same question twice?

Anyway, it sounds like the frequency of the oscillator in the phase-locked-loop circuitry has drifted out of spec, and needs to be adjusted. Unless you are well acquainted with such circuitry and equipped with some high-priced test gear, I would think it calls for a visit to the repair shop.

Walt

n.b@nf.sympatico.c wrote:
>
> Hi,
> I am operating a Realistic Pro 57 and have foud it trouble free since
> I bought it. Lately I have noticed that on certain frequencies the
> reception was sounding cracky (like out of range). I lowered the
> frequency (164.220 to 164.215) and the transmission is a clear as
> wished for. I have had to do this with several frequencies. Can
> anyone tell me what this means with regard to the scanner.
> Please reply via email. Thanks in advance
>
> Nbussey

1997. Re: OS-456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 02:08:07 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <b8H78.79278$B94.18003033@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52764
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What you may consider is buying a Pro2006/Opto combo and selling one of the
2006's.

Someone on the strongsignals.net classified board had one up for $375. You
could probably
get $250 or so for the 2006 on ebay which gives you the full OS456 for $125.

"Bill Seward" <seward@northstate.net> wrote in message
news:hqdu5u0jasjc80ubj5b04pcbhlti7sagiv@4ax.com...
> Before I plunk down the dinero for an Optoelectronics OS-456 Lite, I
> thought I'd ask if anyone knows of a full OS-456 for sale, sans the
> obligatory PRO-2006. I'll be happy to pay a reasonable price.
>
> Thanks.
>

1998. Re: ALLAN, I'm waiting on answer! Re: All AirTraffic Control Freqs OnLine with Maps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 02:19:24 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5F412C.4090303@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52765

Dan Morisseau wrote:

> In article dated Mon, 04 Feb 2002 10:47:44 -0500, av8tor@licensed4fun.com
> stated...
>
>>Allan,
>>
>>You have failed to answer the above question. And let me add one more
>>thing to the question. Why do you come down on my for adding a
>>copyright notice to my WebSite however you do not want to address the
>>fact that Grove adds a copyright notice to a CD in which over 82% of it
>>is Govt. documents which can not be copyright. Then he resells these
>>for profit!
>>George
>>
>
> You want to resubmit this message in a readable form? This time,
> include only a single thought in each sentence; ending each sentence with
> a period (or other appropriate punctuation mark) and beginning the next
> with a capital letter.
>
>

Dan, say hello to my buddy Glenn out there in Washington..... (is that enought punctuation marks?)

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Info, Photos and MORE!
<<< Allan Stern's Most Hated WebSite >>>
<<< Why? Because It Gives FREE Info! >>>
<<< So? Because Bob Grove Makes Less $ >>>

1999. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 02:26:58 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5F42F2.9000403@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan Morisseau wrote:

> In article dated Sun, 03 Feb 2002 23:18:01 -0500, av8tor@licensed4fun.com
> stated...
>
>
>>I never understood that term "shill". I've asked Glenn Hansen as he was
>>the first I've heard use it.
>>
>
> It is a common word, found in virtually every dictionary. Your lack
> of familiarity with it says as much about your general level of education
> as it does about the appropriateness of its use.
>
>
>
>>Seeing your both from Washington State
>>
>
> I hope your radio skills are better than your geographical ones!
> Check the coordinates in my sig below (they were in my prior post). If
> you think that is somewhere in Washington State, I have some beachfront
> property to sell you!
>

I live within walking distance of Beachfront now, sorry I don't need
beachfront property. However the FCC still has you living in Washington
State (at least by the database I checked).

>>As far as my connection with AntennaWarehouse I'm nothing more than a
>>customer who was very happy with that I received.
>>
>
> The fact remains that you have this unhealthy, obsessive-compulsive
> thing about tearing down anything regarding Grove Enterprises. Maybe you
> are unhappy with them. Get over it. Move on!

I'll come right out and say it. I do not like Bob Grove. More than
once he has "talked out of both sides of his mouth". Plus some of the
emails he personally had sent to me would have proven to be very
non-business or adult like.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Info, Photos and MORE!
<<< Allan Stern's Most Hated WebSite >>>

<<< Why? Because It Gives FREE Info! >>>
<<< So? Because Bob Grove Makes Less $ >>>

2000. Re: WTB: Icom TV-R7100 Television Adaptor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 02:43:54 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <imhu5u0i598p972rsk0hp09lnak1m40ief@4ax.com>
Source record: 52767

On Sun, 3 Feb 2002 21:48:50 -0800, "kilmister" <kilmister@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>does the r7000 t.v. receiver convert fm video also??

AM video only.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

2001. Re: Attn: GeorgeF of MilAirComms.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 02:50:44 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5F4884.5020400@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52768

Erik wrote:

> First, great job on your web site (both of them, but especially
> MilAirComms.com). I find it very useful & I'm sure a lot of others do
> as well.
>
> Are there any UHF comms that I could conceivably pick up in the
> Cleveland, OH, area? I visited the ARTCC Cleveland page, but...which
> freqs would I have better luck with? There's some cities that are
> close but not exactly next door (Sandusky, Detroit, Mansfield). Or,
> what about the high/ultra high frequencies - would I have better luck
> with those?
>
> Thanks in advance.
>

Hi Erik;

If you have room in your scanner I would put in all the Cleveland ARTCC freqs listed. You might also be close enought to hear some high altitude traffic in the area controlled by Indianapolis Center (http://www.milaircomms.com/artcc_zid.html).

Next, what Airforce Bases do you have in your area? I might have some freqs for them if you can give me their names.

Also one of my next projects is going to be to have all the MidAir Refueling routes and freqs on a "clickable" map. Once that is done you should be able to find some useable freqs.

Don't know what scanner you have but if you have one which can receive AM in teh 138 - 144MHz area you might want to set up a scanner in search mode. 138 - 144MHz AM is where you can find a lot of Mil Air-Air Comms.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Info, Photos and MORE!
<<< Allan Stern's Most Hated WebSite >>>
<<< Why? Because It Gives FREE Info! >>>
<<< So? Because Bob Grove Makes Less $ >>>

--

_____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
_____

2002. WHIch is better? Discone or beam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 03:16:15 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@home.com>
Message-ID: <38I78.26414$X64.8593288@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 52769

Im trying to decided which to mount on the roof.

Im either thinking of something like the Antenna Warehouse Scanner Beam

OR

A discone (Grove or Ratshack for example)

I am looking for something mainly for Air band (inc military) but also
decent all around coverage

Dave KB3HIU
dasflies1@home.com

2003. Re: WHIch is better? Discone or beam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 03:24:24 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C5F5068.40303@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52770

Dave Staples wrote:

> Im trying to decided which to mount on the roof.
>
> Im either thinking of something like the Antenna Warehouse Scanner Beam
>
> OR
>
> A discone (Grove or Ratshack for example)
>
> I am looking for something mainly for Air band (inc military) but also
> decent all around coverage
>
> Dave KB3HIU
> dasflies1@home.com

Hi Dave,
I have both, the Antenna Warehouse Scanner beam and a Discone (also from Antenna Warehouse)

Both are good antennas. However the Beam does out perform the Discone without question. You might want to read me review of the scanner beam at http://www.milaircomms.com/review_scanner_beam.html In my review I do compair the beam up against a Discone.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Info, Photos and MORE!
<<< Allan Stern's Most Hated WebSite >>>
<<< Why? Because It Gives FREE Info! >>>
<<< So? Because Bob Grove Makes Less $ >>>

2004. Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 04:21:44 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020204232144.23435.00001134@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 52771

If anyone has the trunked frequencies for the NJSP in the Toms River/GSP area, please let me know. I do not know the troop letter, but everything that I have programmed in comes in like it's a good distance away. Any other freqs used would be nice too (Besides SPEN).
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2005. Scanner laws in vehicles per state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 04:46:36 UTC
From: Victor <ke4qjk@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <LqJ78.66944$E4.2708302@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52772

Anybody know if there is a list of states and their laws regarding scanner operation in an automobile?

Vic

2006. NOAA Weather Radio Broadcast Station Directory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 04:55:50 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qBJ78.10683$3E5.854465@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52773

NOAA Weather Radio Broadcast Station Directory

This is an up-dated (February, 2002) list of NOAA
Weather Radio Broadcast Stations with links....hope it's useful!

http://205.156.54.206/nwr/nwrbro.htm

2007. Re: Battery for (IBM-3330)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 05:02:20 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wHJ78.10694$3E5.847513@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Go to Google.com & do a search on "IBM-3330 battery"
I found a couple of 17 hits had them! TT Systems would be the one I'd try!
If not, try "cordless batteries" or "cordless phone batteries"

Looking in this group for anything helpful can be testy sometimes :)

Lee <kqphotovideo@mediaone.net> wrote in message
> Where can I get a battery for IBM-3330 ?
> what is the battery model ?
> Thanks
> Lee
>

2008. Re: scanner frequencies info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 05:16:11 UTC
From: noemailplease
Message-ID: <3c5f69fa.6920394@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 52775

On 4 Feb 2002 17:34:43 -0800, kevincadogan19@hotmail.com (jake) wrote:

>i was wondering if there is a scanner attachment that can pick up the
>frequencies,without having to enter them into your scanner?thanks

It sounds like you want to pick up active frequencies without having
to enter them all in. Most scanners have a range search which
searches between a set of frequencies that you specify. The scanner
will scan EVERY frequency it is capable of. Look for a LIMIT or
SEARCH button. If it's a radio shack scanner, make sure to press PGM
first.

2009. Re: Scanner laws in vehicles per state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 05:34:10 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <m9K78.11460$Xk4.731134@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52776

There is a list, however, if you have a valid amateur radio license of technician class or higher, you are exempt from these laws.

"Victor" <ke4qjk@qsl.net> wrote in message news:LqJ78.66944$E4.2708302@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Anybody know if there is a list of states and their laws regarding scanner
> operation in an automobile?
>
> Vic
>
>
>

2010. Re: Scanner laws in vehicles per state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 06:21:31 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <0NK78.6$Yt6.3572@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52777

Here is the link to Todd Sherman's excellent Mobile Scanner & Radar Detector Laws website:

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

Very informative!

Mark

"Victor" <ke4qjk@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:LqJ78.66944$E4.2708302@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Anybody know if there is a list of states and their laws regarding scanner
> operation in an automobile?
>
> Vic
>
>
>

2011. PRO-2067 LIGHT QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 06:32:25 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020205013225.21017.00000065@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 52778

Hey,
Just wondering if there is a way to turn off the darn light on the PRO-2067?
After a while of using the scanner, you know that bulb will burn out someday.

There a way to turn it off or a mod?

Please let me know...

Thanks

Jeffrey

2012. Re: Scanner laws in vehicles per state

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 07:04:30 UTC
From: Victor <ke4qjk@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <hJL78.74057$Up4.3513403@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52780

Thanks Mark, that's what I was looking for!

Vic

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:0NK78.6$Yt6.3572@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Here is the link to Todd Sherman's excellent Mobile Scanner & Radar
Detector
> Laws website:
>
> http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/
>
> Very informative!
>
> Mark
>
>
> "Victor" <ke4qjk@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:LqJ78.66944$E4.2708302@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > Anybody know if there is a list of states and their laws regarding
scanner
> > operation in an automobile?
> >
> > Vic
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2013. mobitex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 07:17:26 UTC
From: jw <a@a.se>
Message-ID: <1012893622.8456@news2.cybercity.dk>
Source record: 52779

Hi
Looking for information about how to "listen" to mobitextrafic.
Any experience somebody
Joe

--

2014. Re: R-10 or DJ-X10...one step at a time??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 08:28:43 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c5f97a2$0$17453$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 52781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c5df917$0$15118$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
Fair enough if you're upgrading from a scanning receiver from the ice age,
but referring back to my original post, I think either the BC245XLT (if you
want trunk tracking) or the Icom R-10 (if you don't) are better buys.

"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:0Xw78.74919$B94.16351325@news02.optonline.net...
It seams the DJ-X10 is unbeatable for price/features as again I'm trying to
find another scanner(because of X10's problems;speed/bank linking/battery)
so I guess It is fact that I simply have a really crappy selection(more like
no selection) for DJ-X10ish scanners. I either have to pay much more for
"super scanners"(AOR 8200 MKII) or significantly less for some lightening
fast scanner that won't cover HF/MilAir or I end up with a nicely priced
R-10 "mini-scanner" which I consider a nice but wimpy scanner(size/sound
etc).
I looked up the BC245XLT by the way...WOW! It seams like a SUPERB "update"
to my old scanner as it is in the same class, but I want to "upgrade" AND
"update" my scanning. So not only do I want today's technology, but I want
to scan new things; HF/MilAir/TV. I REALLY don't know why the $360 DJ-X10
can't scan at even 30% of the BC245XLT's scan rate!!
I think I may just compromise due to a chain of thinking that YOU stirred
up! I may just get the wimpy R-10, knowing how wimpy it is but planning to
sell it in a year when I can afford what I REALLY want; the AOR 8200
MKII(maybe the AOR 8300MKIII???). I'll probably only use it as a second
scanner at home though. I doubt the R-10 will stand up to much field use
with me.
What's do you think the resale value will be of a 1 year old full coverage
R-10 with full packaging including receipt and manual in "mint" condition?

I think your R-10, in the condition you mentioned would only drop about 20%
of its value after 12 - 18 months. Most scanner buffs know the unit & like
it, so they are quite easy to sell. I bought mine for AUS$550 second hand
(but in mint condition), had it almost 3 years and sold it for $550, same as
I paid. Only difference was I included the cable & software for programming
the little bugger, but still, it shows what a good buy they are.

Ruff

2015. Re: scanner frequencies info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 08:56:44 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <g7N78.16544$pb.8388@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 52782

If I understand what you're seeking...

Have a look at the Optoelectronics Scout. It is a frequency counter that can couple to certain radios providing a feature Opto calls "reaction tune". Any frequency that is in use *that is detected by the Scout* will be sent to the scanner via a connection cable and the scanner will tune to that frequency. Among possibly others, this feature is available with the Icom R10, the AOR 8XXX series and the Uniden BC245XLT. A particular connection cable is required by each of these radios for this. The simplist is that for the R10, as the Scout uses Icom's native signaling method, CI-V, thus avoiding the level converters required by the other models. If you already have the Uniden radio, ScannerMaster www.scannermaster.com makes a connection cable for US$80 called SmartLink. Be warned, though; there are practical limits as to how the Scout detects and records frequencies, so I suggest a white paper, "Counter Intelligence", available on the Opto web site. Though this set up sounds like heaven, it depends on the Scout's ability to discriminate a particular signal from a spectrum awash in signals. It's nice when it works, but it doesn't work in every situation.

jake <kevincadogan19@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e9b58da9.0202041734.7b556b2d@posting.google.com...
> i was wondering if there is a scanner attachment that can pick up the
> frequencies,without having to enter them into your scanner?thanks

2016. Re: WiNRADiO AX-31B Planar Log-Periodic Antenna? Any one tested it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 10:57:14 UTC
From: befeleme@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <3c5fb8c8.7974458@news-server>
Source record: 52783

On Sun, 03 Feb 2002 17:37:00 GMT, "Bill Crocker"
<billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote:

I got one and it works well. In fact it does appear to work under 150
MHz, but I only use it there for FM broadcast. It surely is not meant
for HF and I would not expect it to work there anyway - it's too
small. For scanning, I use it mainly from 400 to 900 MHz and it works
there as advertized.

Frank

>It appears it would do well above 400MHz, and no so good below 150MHz.
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>"K-man" <k4kman@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:btpq5ukrenihdfmt0n4dp0mbvk9p0qnvi9@4ax.com...
>> I'm looking for a good Antenna to use in an appartment
>> building i'm on the 8th floor and looking for something that will help
>> on every thing..
>>
>> Let me know if you have used this and what you liked or did not like
>> about it..
>> you can find it here:
>> http://www.winradio.com/home/ax31b.htm
>>
>>
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>
>

2017. Check Out This UPS Route

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 11:14:59 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <T8P78.23811$Hu6.4309737@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I ordered an Icom R2 from Burghardt's in South Dakota Friday. UPS had it out for delivery in Nebraska yesterday. Then they deleted that mistake from this list. It's supposed to end up near Indianapolis. Hope they don't refuse to deliver it since I filed a complaint about the last delivery. Maybe I should have asked Burghardt's to USPS it. :)
DeWayne KE9K

PACKAGE PROGRESS
Date Time Location Activity
Feb 5, 2002 5:22 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ROUTED INCORRECTLY AT UPS FACILITY;PKG HAS BEEN REROUTED TO DESTINATION
5:03 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US UNLOAD SCAN
5:02 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ARRIVAL SCAN
1:02 A.M. CACH, IL, US DEPARTURE SCAN
Feb 4, 2002 11:07 P.M. CACH, IL, US LOCATION SCAN
5:58 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US UNLOAD SCAN
Feb 2, 2002 6:21 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US ARRIVAL SCAN
2:45 A.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
Feb 1, 2002 11:31 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US UNLOAD SCAN
11:30 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US ARRIVAL SCAN
8:18 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
6:48 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US ORIGIN SCAN

2018. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 11:25:00 UTC
From: Ruud Poeze <radiocaroline@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <3C5FC10C.5C1D42C0@wxs.nl>
Source record: 52785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

David Eduardo schreef:
>
> "redrum" <nada@na.da> wrote in message
> news:u5trii892jl9ce@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > I would suppose that the reason for low power AFRTS-TV is the
> > possibility of interference to other services using that portion of the
> > spectrum in the host country. I don't have a WRTH handy since my main
> > interest is HF (I have a Passport) so I don't know what the freq
> > differences are.
> >
>
> Mostly, the reason is to keep the signal from overshooting the target
> audience. The AFRTS TVs that have operated in Puerto Rico have all been very
> low power; there is no reason to keep the signal from being received by the
> general population (and PR has US standards and US channel assignments). The
> services simply don't want to spend money on covering non-military homes and
> they probably have to limit power somewhat because international program
> syndication would be interfered with.
>
> In general, the AM and FM stations on the bases were set up so that they
> could not be heard more than perhaps a half-mile from the bases.

The AFRTS-TV transmiter in the Netherlands (Camp New Amsterdam,
Soesterberg) had a 1000 W ERP on CH A80.
The FM transmitter reached beyond the camp and was even fed into the
Utrecht (4th largest city in the NL) cable system!
AFN radio was very popular in the region, and I still regret the closure
of Camp New Amsterdam.
Ruud

2019. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 12:54:33 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <servfsr@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <a3okmgnji1@hiline.shinbiro.com>
Source record: 52786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Korea also uses US channelization and modulation. There are AFN/K TV transmitters all over the place, several in some areas (such as mine, where there are two translators receivable). The main station is a UHF in Seoul, I forget the channel, and AFN/K is carried on the Korean cable systems all over the country as well. There is one 3KW FM outlet in Seoul, which does a good job of covering a 60 or so mile radius, and smaller outlets, generally between 10 and 40 watts originating on or near the military compounds. Interestingly, some of the "local" outlets carry locally originated programming rather than the satellite fed AFRTS programming.

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:O_D78.128$ZC3.87767189@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "redrum" <nada@na.da> wrote in message
> news:u5trii892jl9ce@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > I would suppose that the reason for low power AFRTS-TV is the
> > possibility of interference to other services using that portion of the
> > spectrum in the host country. I don't have a WRTH handy since my main
> > interest is HF (I have a Passport) so I don't know what the freq
> > differences are.
> >
>
> Mostly, the reason is to keep the signal from overshooting the target
> audience. The AFRTS TVs that have operated in Puerto Rico have all been
very
> low power; there is no reason to keep the signal from being received by
the
> general population (and PR has US standards and US channel assignments).
The
> services simply don't want to spend money on covering non-military homes
and
> they probably have to limit power somewhat because international program
> syndication would be interfered with.
>
> In general, the AM and FM stations on the bases were set up so that they
> could not be heard more than perhaps a half-mile from the bases.
>
>

2020. Re: WHIch is better? Discone or beam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 13:54:32 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <lmov5ug3ujv6t7ql0fus4qf9bmjg0fi549@4ax.com>
Source record: 52787

On Tue, 05 Feb 2002 03:16:15 GMT, "Dave Staples" <dasflies1@home.com>
wrote:

>Im trying to decided which to mount on the roof.
>
>Im either thinking of something like the Antenna Warehouse Scanner Beam
>
> OR
>
>A discone (Grove or Ratshack for example)
>
>I am looking for something mainly for Air band (inc military) but also
>decent all around coverage

Which is better: a focussed directional antenna that you have to steer
all the time, or an omnidirectional wideband discone?

You might as well ask which fruits are better: apples or oranges.
Both antennas have a purpose. If you can only put one of them up, the
discone is probably easier and cheaper to deal with. However, the
scanner beam will probably do better at picking up distant stations
with less IMD than you'd get on the discone.

The key is whether you know in advance where to point the thing.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2021. Re: Attn: GeorgeF of MilAirComms.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 14:01:18 UTC
From: Richard Troha <devnull@nwsup.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16c9a388ad365c3989693@mail.nwsup.com>
Source record: 52788

In article <3c60533f.7360014@news-central.giganews.com>,
see@the.bottom.com says...
> >
> >Next, what Airforce Bases do you have in your area?
> >
>
> I have no idea! That's why I visit your web site! :-) Seriously,
> though, I don't think there's any AF bases around here. I've been on
> this group for years and never heard of any (or via any other
> sources).

I'm in the Cleveland area also and the closest AF base is
Wright-Patterson in Dayton. Not very close I'm afraid.

2022. President Bush Addresses Florida ARES Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 14:12:16 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C5FE89F.681006E@gte.net>
Source record: 52793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.law-enforcement,alt.military,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

President Bush Addresses Florida ARES Net

NEWINGTON, CT, Jan 31,
2002--President George W. Bush spoke today via Amateur Radio to members
of the
Northern Florida Amateur Radio Emergency Service Net (NFAN). The
president was in Florida to spotlight five volunteer groups--among them
the Volusia County Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES)--for their
value to the new Office of Homeland Security.

"I want to thank all the volunteers who help make sure that Florida is
prepared for any kind of emergency," the president said in part, after
checking in around 9:15 AM to a regular ARES net session. "I want to
thank you all for helping your communities be prepared." (The text of
President's Bush's remarks is available below.)

Northern Florida ARRL Section Manager Rudy Hubbard, WA4PUP, said Bush
spoke
from a portable station set up at a Daytona Beach fire station. Hubbard
said that when
Bush's visit was announced, Volusia County's emergency manager got in
touch with the county's ARES Emergency Coordinator Joette Barnett,
KG4HPN. She, in turn, contacted John Schmidt, AF4PU, and Clifford
Fraser, KE4HIY. They arranged to have the station ready as a
demonstration of Amateur Radio's role in emergency preparedness and in
the hope that Bush would be willing to take a few minutes to address the
75-meter net. NFAN meets daily at 9 AM. Hearing the president check into
the net was a pleasant surprise, Hubbard said.

ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, said he was "extremely gratified" that
President
Bush recognized the valuable service Amateur Radio operators provide in
times of
emergencies. "Volunteerism goes straight to the core of all radio
amateurs who provide their communication skills, time and equipment in
times of communication disruptions," Haynie said. "I know that all hams
in the United States stand ready to do their part in America's Homeland
Security Program." Haynie, who was re-elected this month, has said that
defining Amateur Radio's role in homeland security would top his list of
initiatives for his second term.

Hubbard said a copy of proposed expanded Amateur Radio (PRB-1) antenna
legislation was given to the President and to the president's brother,
Florida Gov Jeb Bush, for possible introduction in next year's Florida
legislative session. "We Amateur Radio operators will volunteer however

we're needed, and maybe it will be seen that we can greatly help the nation if we have the antennas we need," Hubbard commented.

The proposed bill would seek to have the Florida Legislature extend recognition of "the importance of Amateur Radio and the need to accommodate amateur radio emergency communications through erection of outdoor antennas of reasonable size and height" to private deed covenants, conditions and restrictions as well as to municipalities, now covered under Florida's existing PRB-1 law. As drafted, the measure would require local ordinances and land regulations as well as private deed restrictions, or covenants involving the placement, screening or height of antenna structures to "reasonably accommodate amateur radio communication" and "impose the minimum regulation and restrictions necessary to accomplish clearly defined
objectives of the local government, association or property owner."

The Daytona Beach event marked a rare appearance on ham radio by a sitting president. Former President Gerald Ford spoke via a ham radio satellite hookup in 1986. In addition to Volusia County ARES, Bush also spotlighted Citizens on Patrol, a retiree group that patrols communities for the sheriff's office; Citizen Emergency Response Team, which helps coordinate neighborhood disaster response; the Council on Aging; and the Volusia County Fire-Police Volunteers. Bush's stop in Florida was part of a swing through the southeastern US, which included stops in North Carolina and Georgia.

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/01/31/1/?nc=1

2023. Re: Cleveland area bases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 14:18:22 UTC
From: OceanaRadio <223bthp@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C5FE9AB.1A3B326E@home.com>
Source record: 52789

Richard Troha wrote:
> I'm in the Cleveland area also and the closest AF base is
> Wright-Patterson in Dayton. Not very close I'm afraid.

Try Selfridge AFB in Michigan - their AR routes and some MOA's may reach
you in Western Lake Erie.
Pittsburgh Intl AP also has STEEL refueling tankers of the PA-ANG

Both are about 120 miles from Cleveland area
--
Jack Painter
Virginia Beach, Virginia
http://members.home.net/223bthp/OceanaRadio

BC-895XLT log periodic
Pro-2005 discone
Icom R-71A high wires
Icom R-72

2024. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 14:24:36 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020205092436.15889.00002418@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 52790

In article <20020204232144.23435.00001134@mb-fh.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) writes:

>If anyone has the trunked frequencies for the NJSP in the Toms River/GSP
>area,
>please let me know. I do not know the troop letter, but everything that I
>have
>programmed in comes in like it's a good distance away. Any other freqs used
>would be nice too (Besides SPEN).

Andy,

NJSP use a Motorola Type IIi trunked system. This sytem has both type I and
type II users. The talkgroup ID's for Toms River/GSP area are 000-15 (GSP
Holmdel Type I) and 26960 (GSP Bass River Type II).

Good Luck,

Jim

2025. FCC Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 16:22:32 UTC
From: jfaul@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3c60066f.2978750@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 52794

How do you I access the frequency database for Florida. I have been
on the fcc.gov dataabse and can't figure out how this thing works.

Thanks,

jfaul@bellsouth.net

2026. Re: Check Out This UPS Route

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 17:16:10 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@GETOUTverizon.net>
Message-ID: <urU78.19650$0V6.10815@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 52795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> 1:02 A.M. CACH, IL, US
As usual it screwed up at the automated CACH hub, it all robotic
UPS employees call CACH the Bermuda triangle, you dont know whats going to
happen.
Packages get dropped, lost, smashed, sent to Alaska.
If the radio arrives in one piece you got off easy !
UPSing or :OOP's-ing" on a lightweight R-2 was crazy,
Good luck with you new radio.
-Joe

DeWayne wrote in message ...
>
>I ordered an Icom R2 from Burghardt's in South Dakota Friday. UPS had it
out
>for delivery in Nebraska yesterday. Then they deleted that mistake from
this
>list. It's supposed to end up near Indianapolis. Hope they don't refuse to
>deliver it since I filed a complaint about the last delivery. Maybe I
should
>have asked Burghardt's to USPS it. :)
>DeWayne KE9K
>
>PACKAGE PROGRESS
>Date Time Location Activity
>Feb 5, 2002 5:22 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ROUTED INCORRECTLY AT UPS
>FACILITY;PKG HAS BEEN REROUTED TO DESTINATION
> 5:03 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US UNLOAD SCAN
> 5:02 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> 1:02 A.M. CACH, IL, US DEPARTURE SCAN
>Feb 4, 2002 11:07 P.M. CACH, IL, US LOCATION SCAN
> 5:58 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US UNLOAD SCAN
>Feb 2, 2002 6:21 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> 2:45 A.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
>Feb 1, 2002 11:31 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US UNLOAD SCAN
> 11:30 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> 8:18 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> 6:48 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US ORIGIN SCAN
>
>
>

2027. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 17:44:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jSU78.12217$I5.991515@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Ruud Poeze" <radiocaroline@wxs.nl> wrote in message
news:3C5FC10C.5C1D42C0@wxs.nl...
> David Eduardo schreef:
> >
> > "redrum" <nada@na.da> wrote in message
> > news:u5trii892jl9ce@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > I would suppose that the reason for low power AFRTS-TV is the
> > > possibility of interference to other services using that portion of
the
> > > spectrum in the host country. I don't have a WRTH handy since my
main
> > > interest is HF (I have a Passport) so I don't know what the freq
> > > differences are.
> > >
> >
> > Mostly, the reason is to keep the signal from overshooting the target
> > audience. The AFRTS TVs that have operated in Puerto Rico have all
been very
> > low power; there is no reason to keep the signal from being received
by the
> > general population (and PR has US standards and US channel
assignments). The
> > services simply don't want to spend money on covering non-military
homes and
> > they probably have to limit power somewhat because international
program
> > syndication would be interfered with.
> >
> > In general, the AM and FM stations on the bases were set up so that
they
> > could not be heard more than perhaps a half-mile from the bases.
>
> The AFRTS-TV transmiter in the Netherlands (Camp New Amsterdam,
> Soesterberg) had a 1000 W ERP on CH A80.
> The FM transmitter reached beyond the camp and was even fed into the
> Utrecht (4th largest city in the NL) cable system!
> AFN radio was very popular in the region, and I still regret the closure
> of Camp New Amsterdam.
> Ruud

Ruud, you are aware I am sure that New York City started life as New
Amsterdam (note Ha(a)rlem) so I have the feeling the camp's name was

something of an insider joke.

2028. Re: Check Out This UPS Route

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 17:52:23 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <ps606u8k4e2mcj089ej4kvbkp68o4l7mcs@4ax.com>
Source record: 52697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 05 Feb 2002 17:16:10 GMT, "Joe" <joe.amp@GETOUTverizon.net>
wrote:

>As usual it screwed up at the automated CACH hub, it all robotic
>UPS employees call CACH the Bermuda triangle, you dont know whats going to
>happen.
>Packages get dropped, lost, smashed, sent to Alaska.
>If the radio arrives in one piece you got off easy !
>UPSing or :OOP's-ing" on a lightweight R-2 was crazy,
>Good luck with you new radio.
>-Joe

Heheheh I've seen some of the people who work at the UPS hub here in
Rockford, IL and all I can say is..... I don't ship UPS too often.

2029. radio motorola spirit reprogramming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 18:14:21 UTC
From: Ubiratan Goulart <ubiratan@tre-rs.gov.br>
Message-ID: <27437f58ed2ef352863c78fd209d4597.67292@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 52698

i need help to make my spirit mv21c receive 47,100 Mhz.
Itsd possible? How i make?

--
Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

2030. Re: PRO-2067 LIGHT QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 18:53:32 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <MSV78.277$AY.13782@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 52699

The Win92 programming software has an option to turn it off after so many seconds.

--

_____
"N9mxt1" <n9mxt1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020205013225.21017.00000065@mb-mi.aol.com...
> Hey,
> Just wondering if there is a way to turn off the darn light on the PRO-2067?
> After a while of using the scanner, you know that bulb will burn out someday.
>
>
> There a way to turn it off or a mod?
>
> Please let me know...
>
> Thanks
>
> Jeffrey
>

2031. Re: Icom R2 Price - $119.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 18:56:49 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0202051056.784f95a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just visited the web site you listed and it lists this radio at $149.

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<zMN68.6761$Hu6.1591529@typhoon.neo.rr.com>...
> http://www.chq-inc.com/ $119.95 + $6 shipping. I just ordered one from
> Burghardt's for $130. Oh well. A year or so ago they were selling for around
> $200.
> DeWayne KE9K

2032. BearCat 210

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 19:14:33 UTC
From: WiCkEd <nunya@home.com>
Message-ID: <0ib06ugtllimemtsdvscocoidbq4gisql7@4ax.com>
Source record: 52701

Just picked up an old Bearcat 210, from what I can tell it's from
circa '78, I need to know how to program frequecies into it and any
specs would be helpful. TIA

2033. Re: BearCat 210

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 20:31:53 UTC
From: Otis Cribblecoblis <alaininvc@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3C604139.6D2ABBEB@netscape.net>
Source record: 52702

Well, I used to have the owners manual to mine; I bought mine back in the 1970's from the Speigel catalog!

I've just gone through the garage and cannot find it! I'll keep your posting on file. I know it's not something that I'd throw away. The best I can recall is that you hit the "manual" button, then punch in your frequency and hit the "e" button for "enter". Then hit the manual button again, that will send you into the next available channel for programming.

Just for "grins" let me pass this along to you. One of the best scanner repair sites is the following:

www.iinc.com/ggcomm/index.html

They are in New York State and were a great help to me; perhaps they can help you. Meanwhile, if I find the book, I'll contact you again

Otis

WiCkEd wrote:

> Just picked up an old Bearcat 210, from what I can tell it's from
> circa '78, I need to know how to program frequecies into it and any
> specs would be helpful. TIA

2034. scanner damage using company radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 20:45:35 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020205154535.07991.00001141@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 52703

I use a magnet mount scanner which is mounted on the frame of my bobtail truck (well below the roof line). I have a 400 trunked radio system for my business radio where the antennae is mounted atop the roof. When I transmit the company radio, I get a hiss interference sound (this comes in on the trunked frequency only-which I have scanning on my scanner) when I transmit on the company radio. I know there are diodes and all sorts of stuff I know nothing about in radios/scanners. Is this potentially damaging to my scanner. If so, would turning off the bank where I scan these trunked frequencies (while transmitting on the comany radio) resolve the issue? Thanks for the advice.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

2035. Re: WHIch is better? Discone or beam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 20:55:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <OEX78.620$k5.411444@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52704

A beam is directional, with gain on one side, and attenuation on the other, and depending on design, can be wide, or narrow band. This can work for you, or against you, depending on where you point it, or if you have it mounted on a rotor.

A discone is usually no-gain, non-directional, usually with wider band coverage.

Bill Crocker

"Dave Staples" <dasflies1@home.com> wrote in message news:38I78.26414$X64.8593288@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Im trying to decided which to mount on the roof.
>
> Im either thinking of something like the Antenna Warehouse Scanner Beam
>
> OR
>
> A discone (Grove or Ratshack for example)
>
> I am looking for something mainly for Air band (inc military) but also
> decent all around coverage
>
> Dave KB3HIU
> dasflies1@home.com
>
>
>
>

2036. Re: Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 21:16:58 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c604b9f.26978563@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 52705
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Mon, 04 Feb 2002 05:06:22 GMT, "isobad" wrote:

>I hope that does happen. We will finally see the first law suits over public
>safety encypting their signal.The news media won't stand for it and
>I agree with them.
>

The media will have the money and permission to listen to them and we
won't. They won't care if they get access.

2037. Re: Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 21:21:13 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c604bfd.27072568@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 52706

On Sun, 3 Feb 2002 21:30:23 -0600, "INFOSPY" <infospy@charter.net>
wrote:

>I don't suppose he told you witch ones that would be.
>

I was told not to say because it's a private business matter.
I know (remember) that there are two HP (highway patrol) states that
will do it. One is in the Mid-west and the other is a northeast state.
And three of the cities are major cities. Two in the Northeast, one
out west, and a smaller city in the midwest. This smaller city is big
enough to have MLB, NBA, and NFL team.

2038. Re: Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 21:29:41 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c604d15.27351649@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 52707

On Mon, 04 Feb 2002 01:17:28 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

>
>
>Most agencies CAN'T just turn on encryption, they'll need to spend
>_many_ millions of dollars to upgrade, and in THIS economy, few of
>them are going to be able to justify that kind of expenditure.

Actually the government is going on the biggest spending spree ever.
They are fueling the economy with their spending. A lot of Homeland
Security funds will trickle down to communications for various areas.
I assume that encryption will be sold (sales pitch) to customers of
every new radio system as a secure "Homeland Security" communications
system, and I assume most ignorant politicians may fall for it for
security reasons. He doesn't work in sales and won't speculate if
they'll try to push these more exspensive encrypted systems after
9/11. I'm sure the sales of encryption will go up, but that's my
speculation.

2039. Re: Check Out This UPS Route

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 21:35:34 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GeY78.78126$TI2.15557340@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 52709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If they used USPS Priority Mail, you would have had it by now.

I had a radio sent to me on a Thursday from Salt Lake City to my PO Box in NJ, and it was there on Saturday morning. Yes, a couple of sheckle more then UPS, but well worth it.

Dan

> I ordered an Icom R2 from Burghardt's in South Dakota Friday. UPS had it out
> for delivery in Nebraska yesterday. Then they deleted that mistake from this
> list. It's supposed to end up near Indianapolis. Hope they don't refuse to
> deliver it since I filed a complaint about the last delivery. Maybe I should
> have asked Burghardt's to USPS it. :)
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> PACKAGE PROGRESS
> Date Time Location Activity
> Feb 5, 2002 5:22 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ROUTED INCORRECTLY AT UPS
> FACILITY;PKG HAS BEEN REROUTED TO DESTINATION
> 5:03 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US UNLOAD SCAN
> 5:02 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> 1:02 A.M. CACH, IL, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> Feb 4, 2002 11:07 P.M. CACH, IL, US LOCATION SCAN
> 5:58 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US UNLOAD SCAN
> Feb 2, 2002 6:21 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> 2:45 A.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> Feb 1, 2002 11:31 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US UNLOAD SCAN
> 11:30 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> 8:18 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> 6:48 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US ORIGIN SCAN
>
>
>

2040. Re: BearCat 210

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 21:36:08 UTC
From: "Pat T. Cake" <dinner@ate.org>
Message-ID: <fmj06u8j44e8vm37a2uof8v8lp6rv051id@4ax.com>
Source record: 52708

On Tue, 05 Feb 2002 14:14:33 -0500, WiCkEd <nunya@home.com> wrote:

>Just picked up an old Bearcat 210, from what I can tell it's from
>circa '78, I need to know how to program frequecies into it and any
>specs would be helpful. TIA

Check out this site, in addition to '210 manuals, they have tons of
others. Look down the list for REA# 5215 and 5216.

http://www.radioera.com/e-manuals.htm

Hope this helps!

2041. PRO-2006 w/ OS-456 board installed FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 21:38:59 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020205163859.24676.00001543@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 52710

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330770103

Cut and paste the above link to your web browser to view this Auction.

Mint condition PRO-2006 with OS-456 board installed.

2042. Re: scanner damage using company radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 21:41:11 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C605177.373C808B@yahoo.com>
Source record: 52711

Using a high power transmitter CAN ruin a scanner. I had a handheld
trunking scanner mounted in my van alongside my Icom IC706MKIIG rig.

I transmitted (100 watts) on 50.125 mhz one day and blew the scanner's
800 mhz front end, ruining the scanner. The antenna for the scanner
was a mag mount about 12" from the HF antenna, also a multi band
mag mount.

An expensive lesson.
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½

2043. Re: Attn: GeorgeF of MilAirComms.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 22:05:32 UTC
From: Dave <dwhite15@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3C60563D.236316C7@neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52712

Warren-Yng Regional airport is 50 miles east of Cleveland. Home to a squadron of C-130's. Call sign Vader. Some of them are in the air most of the day.

Erik wrote:
>
> First, great job on your web site (both of them, but especially
> MilAirComms.com). I find it very useful & I'm sure a lot of others do
> as well.
>
> Are there any UHF comms that I could conceivably pick up in the
> Cleveland, OH, area? I visited the ARTCC Cleveland page, but...which
> freqs would I have better luck with? There's some cities that are
> close but not exactly next door (Sandusky, Detroit, Mansfield). Or,
> what about the high/ultra high frequencies - would I have better luck
> with those?
>
> Thanks in advance.

2044. Re: W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory 2002 Edition !overpriced SPAM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 23:05:25 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VyZ78.12436$3E5.1031383@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$75 worth of SPAM! So much for making it economically attractive for the scanner users of just one state! This makes Percon online look cheap. Hell Percon's old CD was national & only cost $37! Verification? I am sure your CD has mistakes too! No credit cards & love that "NO REFUNDS" clause too!

Get real!

John Wilson <jwilson@erols.com> spammed the newsgroup with SPAM priced out of sitewrote in message...
The W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory is available for $75.00 which includes shipping via USPS. The CD ROM is shipped within 24 hours of order receipt of a cashier's check or USPS money order. Sorry no CODs or credit card purchases or refunds. Allow 5 work days for personal checks to clear. Include legibly the name and mailing address and telephone an/or or email address in your order in case we need to contact you.

2045. F:S-PRO-43 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 23:07:35 UTC
From: Radioguy <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C6065B7.7080404@dccnet.com>
Source record: 52714

Hi there,
I am selling a PRO-43 Scanner in beautiful condition 9+ cosmetically..
The radio works perfectly as well...I can send a pic if requested.
Comes with antenna and belt clip,
Asking $180
Please e-mail me directly at rusty@dccnet for any questions or pics
Thanks-Russ

2046. Re: Whats the best mag mount antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 23:11:49 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VEZ78.12450$3E5.1032627@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52715

I have an excellent setup that works great for me & it is under $50.00.
There is a commercial dualband antenna available from a guy in Louisiana
that retails for ~$22.00. It is cut for 150/450 commercial bands & has 3dB
gain on VHF & 6dB on UHF. He also sells 5" magnet mounts for ~$20.00
with 17' of coax & a soldered PL-259 connector. These antennas are
excellent! The quality & performance are superb! He is also on eBay &
I will include his master listing URL so you can see the items I am talking
about:

His name is Jerry AD5AQ
Email address is pixmann2@yahoo.com
Palmetto Antennas
1107 Gains Ave
Ruston, La 71270

His eBay Master Listing:
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=pixmann&inc
lude=0&since=-1&sort=2&rows=25

You will not be disappointed. He sells an excellent antenna line. Tell him
Rafman sent you! Enjoy!

SOUPMAN67 <soupman67@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020201113511.12897.00000509@mb-mk.aol.com...
> I have a radio shack antenna and want to get something that picks up more.
Who
> makes the best one and where can I get one.
>

2047. BC 895 information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-05 23:23:20 UTC
From: Bill Wyatt <bwyatt@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <IPZ78.4480$A17.1285347451@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52717

Hello to the group and thanks for the many hours of enjoyable reading.
I just purchased a new BC895 scanner, it is so new the wife doesn't even know I have it.

I am in need of pinout information for a remote cable and is there any shareware freq. loading software? I will purchase one of the operating software packages when it becomes clear which one I want but, until then it would be nice to use the computer to load the puppy up.

Also, does anyone have a favorite scanner antenna. My most listened to bands will be aircraft both VHF and UHF.

Again, thanks for all the information that flows here and thanks for your help.

Bill, N5WO

2048. Re: Check Out This UPS Route

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 00:23:14 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SH_78.88757$a07.22836902@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 52720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I also saw here where UPS is in favor of some new, 432 mhz RF ID tags for their stuff, with very high power levels that will likely screw up the 70cm band for amateurs. I believe they are already responsible for hams losing part of the 220 mhz band, so why don't we all just stop shipping with them altogether?

I switched to USPS during the UPS strike, and have never wanted to go back.

John

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:GeY78.78126$TI2.15557340@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
>
> If they used USPS Priority Mail, you would have had it by now.
>
> I had a radio sent to me on a Thursday from Salt Lake City to my PO Box in
> NJ, and it was there on Saturday morning. Yes, a couple of sheckle more
> then UPS, but well worth it.
>
> Dan
>
> >
> > I ordered an Icom R2 from Burghardt's in South Dakota Friday. UPS had it
> out
> > for delivery in Nebraska yesterday. Then they deleted that mistake from
> this
> > list. It's supposed to end up near Indianapolis. Hope they don't refuse
> to
> > deliver it since I filed a complaint about the last delivery. Maybe I
> should
> > have asked Burghardt's to USPS it. :)
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> > PACKAGE PROGRESS
> > Date Time Location Activity
> > Feb 5, 2002 5:22 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ROUTED INCORRECTLY AT UPS
> > FACILITY;PKG HAS BEEN REROUTED TO DESTINATION
> > 5:03 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US UNLOAD SCAN
> > 5:02 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> > 1:02 A.M. CACH, IL, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> > Feb 4, 2002 11:07 P.M. CACH, IL, US LOCATION SCAN
> > 5:58 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US UNLOAD SCAN
> > Feb 2, 2002 6:21 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> > 2:45 A.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN

> > Feb 1, 2002 11:31 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US UNLOAD SCAN
> > 11:30 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> > 8:18 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> > 6:48 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US ORIGIN SCAN
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2049. FOR SALE: UNIDEN 235XLT TRUNKTRACKER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 00:24:27 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020205192427.28709.00001314@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 52719

for sale: "mint" condition bearcat 235 xlt trunktracker 300 channel handheld scanner with box, docs, ant, ac adap., 2 ni-cad batt packs, charger stand. 29-54,108-174,406-512,806-823,849-956mhz. coverage including trunktracking motorola type 1 & 2 trunking systems. will also include a bearcat leather case made for the 235xlt.

$100.00 plus shipping to continental u.s.
please email me. bobar8@aol.com
thanks

2050. Re: BC 895 information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 01:20:17 UTC
From: OceanaRadio <223bthp@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C6084CD.F5BAF90F@home.com>
Source record: 52722

Bill Wyatt wrote:
> I am in need of pinout information for a remote cable and is there any
> shareware freq. loading software? I will purchase one of the operating
> software packages when it becomes clear which one I want but, until then it
> would be nice to use the computer to load the puppy up.
> Also, does anyone have a favorite scanner antenna. My most listened to
> bands will be aircraft both VHF and UHF.

My BC-895XLT works great with discone and Beam antennas. I am not aware of
any free control programs. I use ScanCat Gold-SE (the SE a waste of $).
You can also buy the cable made-up at Grove and other distributors.

The interface is a 5-pin PCB header (.025" square pins on 0.1"
centers).
The mating socket is standard (RadioShack.com's Cat# 910-1471 is 25 cents,
plus 24 cents for 3 contacts), but a segment cut out of a DIP socket will
work just as well. The pinout is symmetric, with pins 1&5 (the outer ones)
carrying data in (to the radio), 2&4 are data out (from the radio), and
pin 3 is ground.

The cable connections are:

BC895 DB9 DB25
1 <= to radio 3 2
2 from radio => 2 3
3 <= ground => 5 7
(TR-CD-DSR) 4-1-6 20-8-6 *
(RTS-CTS) 7-8 4-5 *

* If you will be using a standard communication program, add the two sets
of jumpers in the RS-232 connector to simulate the normal control
signals.

The comm protcol is half-duplex, 9600 baud, 8/N/1. Commands to the
radio
should be terminated with a C/R only.

--
Jack Painter
Virginia Beach, Virginia
http://members.home.net/223bthp/OceanaRadio

BC-895XLT log periodic
Pro-2005 discone
Icom R-71A high wires
Icom R-72

2051. Re: Check Out This UPS Route

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 01:23:43 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zA%78.24552$Hu6.4849765@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"John KB5AG" <jblack3@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:SH_78.88757$a07.22836902@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> I also saw here where UPS is in favor of some new, 432 mhz RF ID tags for
> their stuff, with very high power levels that will likely screw up the
70cm
> band for amateurs. I believe they are already responsible for hams
losing
> part of the 220 mhz band, so why don't we all just stop shipping with them
> altogether?
>
> I switched to USPS during the UPS strike, and have never wanted to go
back.

I shipped a Sony 7600G, in MINT cond. to CA about two years ago. The box
came back empty. Someone in the PO stole it. They refused to pay off. Other
than that they've been ok for me. :)
DeWayne KE9K

>
> John
>
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:GeY78.78126$TI2.15557340@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> >
> > If they used USPS Priority Mail, you would have had it by now.
> >
> > I had a radio sent to me on a Thursday from Salt Lake City to my PO Box
in
> > NJ, and it was there on Saturday morning. Yes, a couple of sheckle more
> > then UPS, but well worth it.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> > >
> > > I ordered an Icom R2 from Burghardt's in South Dakota Friday. UPS had
it
> > out
> > > for delivery in Nebraska yesterday. Then they deleted that mistake
from
> > this
> > > list. It's supposed to end up near Indianapolis. Hope they don't
refuse
> > to

> > > deliver it since I filed a complaint about the last delivery. Maybe I
> > should
> > > have asked Burghardt's to USPS it. :)
> > > DeWayne KE9K
> > >
> > > PACKAGE PROGRESS
> > > Date Time Location Activity
> > > Feb 5, 2002 5:22 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ROUTED INCORRECTLY AT UPS
> > > FACILITY;PKG HAS BEEN REROUTED TO DESTINATION
> > > 5:03 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US UNLOAD SCAN
> > > 5:02 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> > > 1:02 A.M. CACH, IL, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> > > Feb 4, 2002 11:07 P.M. CACH, IL, US LOCATION SCAN
> > > 5:58 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US UNLOAD SCAN
> > > Feb 2, 2002 6:21 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> > > 2:45 A.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> > > Feb 1, 2002 11:31 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US UNLOAD SCAN
> > > 11:30 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> > > 8:18 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> > > 6:48 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US ORIGIN SCAN
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2052. WTB: Knobs for Regency Scanner..help !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 01:31:43 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020205203143.23414.00001346@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 52723

Need the ON/OFF switch KNOB (switch cover?), for the older REGENCY Z-10 desktop base scanner. The control knobs for the Z-30 and Z-45 will work. This is the small square "cap" that fits over the sliding ON/OFF switch and allows the user to turn on & off the radio. It is about 1/2 in. square and made of black plastic.
Please Email me if you have such a critter, and I'd also be willing to buy all 3 control caps if you have a set off your D.O.A. or junked Regency "Z-series" scanner.
Many Thanks for your help !

2053. Uniden MR8100 experience?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 01:32:39 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <XI%78.103514$XZ1.4667648@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 52724

I have recently purchased a Uniden MR-8100 Scanner. I was lead to believe
that there is aftermarket software that will allow programming the scanner
out of band.
I am not interested in cellular as that can be done with the Uniden provided
software.
Has anyone used software to program out of the normal parameters of the
MR-8100.
thanks,
mark
mtaustin@onrNOSPAM.com

2054. Re: Uniden MR8100 experience?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 01:50:37 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <tZ%78.1301$17.869013@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 52725

Lots of info here: http://www.accessv.com/~sven/mr8100/mr8.htm

"Wiz" <mtaustin@onr.com> wrote in message
news:XI%78.103514$XZ1.4667648@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> I have recently purchased a Uniden MR-8100 Scanner. I was lead to believe
> that there is aftermarket software that will allow programming the scanner
> out of band.
> I am not interested in cellular as that can be done with the Uniden
provided
> software.
> Has anyone used software to program out of the normal parameters of the
> MR-8100.
> thanks,
> mark
> mtaustin@onrNOSPAM.com

2055. BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 01:51:20 UTC
From: Randy Larke <kb9pkg@directvinternet.com>
Message-ID: <3c607002$1_3@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 52716

Think of buying one of these. How do they work mobile as far as engine whine.

2056. Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 01:53:00 UTC
From: Biancado <Biancado@aol.com>
Message-ID: <00088.88935$B94.21019361@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52726

Help! Help! Help@ This PM I installed a discone antenna I bought from antennawarehouse. I'm using a RG6-U cable (100 ft). I get absolutely none of the frequencies that I used to get with my Telescopic antenna (Realistic 2006 Pro) As soon as I reconnect the telescopic antenna those frequencies are received. I thought that with a roof antenna my reception in supposed to improve not worsen. I called Antenna warehouse , they told me that the coaxial most likely has a short in it. I don't have equipment to test that. Can some one tell me what else may be going on. I'm in the Northeastern coast of the US. TIA

2057. Re: Icom R2 Price - $119.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 01:59:47 UTC
From: BIG DADDY KING <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16ca5a94db50ab869896f6@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 52727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <a3ggkc$q7b$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>, servfsr@kornet.net says...
> And still aren't half the radio of the Yaesu VR-500 at under $200. This one
> receives CW/SSB, etc...
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:zMN68.6761$Hu6.1591529@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > http://www.chq-inc.com/ $119.95 + $6 shipping. I just ordered one from
> > Burghardt's for $130. Oh well. A year or so ago they were selling for
> around
> > $200.
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >
>
>
>

Actually, I kept my R-2, and almost instantly sold the VR-500. the
intermod drove me nuts! SSB and all that's cool, but if it's getting
crap mixed with it, forget it..

BDK

2058. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 02:09:23 UTC
From: Fat Bastard's Opium Den <fatbastard44501@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nf088.274$TS.24328@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 52728

Sounds about right about the short. although, RG6 (I belive) is 75 ohm and it should be 50 ohm like RG58/9u or RG8 . I dont think it would attenuate the signal that bad. buy a cheap ohmmeter somewhere and check it out.
"Biancado" <Biancado@aol.com> wrote in message news:00088.88935$B94.21019361@news02.optonline.net...
> Help! Help! Help@ This PM I installed a discone antenna I bought from
> antennawarehouse. I'm using a RG6-U cable (100 ft). I get absolutely none of the
> frequencies that I used to get with my Telescopic antenna (Realistic 2006 Pro)
> As soon as I reconnect the telescopic antenna those frequencies are received. I
> thought that with a roof antenna my reception in supposed to improve not worsen.
> I called Antenna warehouse , they told me that the coaxial most likely has a
> short in it. I don't have equipment to test that. Can some one tell me what else
> may be going on. I'm in the Northeastern coast of the US. TIA

2059. WTB: Uniden BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 02:18:48 UTC
From: Randy Larke <kb9pkg@directvinternet.com>
Message-ID: <3c607674$1_1@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 52718

Looking for good prices on new ones or a really mint used one.Can email direct if you want

kb9pkg@directvinternet.com

2060. Re: Icom R2 Price - $119.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 02:34:56 UTC
From: sbs <sbs20@cvip.net>
Message-ID: <3C609650.622190D9@cvip.net>
Source record: 52729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Plus,no tone squelch on the VR-500 that and the intermod is why I also got rid of mine soon after purchase.

BIG DADDY KING wrote:

> In article <a3ggkc$q7b$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>, servfsr@kornet.net says...
> > And still aren't half the radio of the Yaesu VR-500 at under $200. This one
> > receives CW/SSB, etc...
> > "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:zMN68.6761$Hu6.1591529@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > http://www.chq-inc.com/ $119.95 + $6 shipping. I just ordered one from
> > > Burghardt's for $130. Oh well. A year or so ago they were selling for
> > around
> > > $200.
> > > DeWayne KE9K
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Actually, I kept my R-2, and almost instantly sold the VR-500. the
> intermod drove me nuts! SSB and all that's cool, but if it's getting
> crap mixed with it, forget it..
>
> BDK

2061. FS: Motorola MW-520 MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 02:42:00 UTC
From: james brown <anti-spam-squad@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3C6097E5.9C47D124@aol.com>
Source record: 52730

this thing can do RD-LAP 19.2, RD-LAP 9.6 and MDC4800:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330728737

2062. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 02:44:44 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020205214444.12943.00001500@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 52731

>Subject: Discone Antenna
>Path:
>lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.co
m!usenetserver.com!news01.optonline.net!news02.optonline.net.POSTED!not-fo
r-mail
>From: Biancado Biancado@aol.com
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Organization: M&A
>Reply-To: Biancado@aol.com
>X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.60
>Lines: 8
>Message-ID: <00088.88935$B94.21019361@news02.optonline.net>
>Date: Wed, 06 Feb 2002 01:53:00 GMT
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.191.6.183
>X-Trace: news02.optonline.net 1012960380 24.191.6.183 (Tue, 05 Feb 2002
>20:53:00 EST)
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Feb 2002 20:53:00 EST
>
>
>
>Help! Help! Help@ This PM I installed a discone antenna I bought from
>antennawarehouse. I'm using a RG6-U cable (100 ft). I get absolutely none of
>the
>frequencies that I used to get with my Telescopic antenna (Realistic 2006
>Pro)
>As soon as I reconnect the telescopic antenna those frequencies are received.
>I
>thought that with a roof antenna my reception in supposed to improve not
>worsen.
>I called Antenna warehouse , they told me that the coaxial most likely has a
>short in it. I don't have equipment to test that. Can some one tell me what
>else
>may be going on. I'm in the Northeastern coast of the US. TI

There's only two ways to troubleshoot: Substitution or test equipment. You either have to buy another cable or a volt ohm meter & a book on how to use it. Both cost about the same, you'll profit for many years by going with the second choice. Other than stuff you can obviously see (not conected, cut, kinked wire), the only other possibilities are a bad antenna (which should have been easy to spot even for a beginner when you assembled it on the ground, there's not much to miss that could be shorted)) OR a bad external antenna jack on your radio. Solder joints inside the rdio can crack, sometimes just from temperature cycling. A 2006 has been around for lots of that! You CAN check for this by touching the center lead of the coax to the (collapsed) rod antenna & see if a

weak signal gets better. Try a weather service channel that is just noisy with the rod as short as it will go. If it suddenly clears up when you touch the center lead to it, this would indicate the radio jack is bad.
But the shorted cable is FAR more likely anything else.
Neutrodyne

2063. ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 02:55:27 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u616p0do0p5k53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52732

As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the scannist argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you to express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go to the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.

2064. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 03:08:10 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u617gpoc4b2030@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52733

This is what I wrote:
Dear Mr. Powell,

As more and more cellular companies switch over to a digital cellular system, the ban on the selling, manufacturing, or importing scanners capable of full 800 mhz coverage makes less and less since. Now, given the fact that it is the transmitter's responsibility to make sure their signal is secure if it's penetrating everything, the cellular phone industry has finally taken some legitimate steps to actually secure there networks. I'm a cellular phone owner, and I don't want someone prying in on my calls. I'm at the same time conscience of the scanning communities rights, but I'm more concerned about those who are told that the so-called "secure" cellular networks are actually secure. This does seem strange that people would bother to try and get cellular-capable scanners if it's all digital, but scannist are sometimes strange. Just as a Corvette owner doesn't want a 75mph limiter even though it's illegal to go over that speed, we scannist don't want a limited scanner. The laws hurt the scanning economy as well because instead of going out and spending $350 on a new Uniden BC-780xlt they're buying off places like ebay an "easily modifiable" scanner for a close price, or even importing one from another country at inflated prices and shipping. If you really want to do the consumer a favor, lift the curtain that makes cellular users think analog is secure.

William Ferguson

"William Ferguson" <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:u616p0do0p5k53@corp.supernews.com...
> As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the scannist
> argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you to
> express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go to
> the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.
>
>

2065. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 03:08:56 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <c7188.682$k5.432602@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52734

What would be gained by this? We'd be tuning an almost dead band.

Bill Crocker

"William Ferguson" <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:u616p0do0p5k53@corp.supernews.com...
> As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the
scannist
> argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you to
> express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go to
> the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.
>
>

2066. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 03:36:04 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020205223604.15931.00001097@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 52735

>NJSP use a Motorola Type IIi trunked system. This sytem has both type I and
>type II users. The talkgroup ID's for Toms River/GSP area are 000-15 (GSP
>Holmdel Type I) and 26960 (GSP Bass River Type II).

Thanks for the info, but that doesn't help me much. I need the frequencies so I
can program them into my Trunktracker scanner... knowing the talkgroup ID's
don't help.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2067. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 03:46:56 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C60A72E.F95F2294@optonline.net>
Source record: 52736

Once again, search the internet for NJSP. There are too many
frequencies for us to type when they are readily available to you. Try
looking at:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/

They have them all.

Walt

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> >NJSP use a Motorola Type IIi trunked system. This sytem has both type I and
> >type II users. The talkgroup ID's for Toms River/GSP area are 000-15 (GSP
> >Holmdel Type I) and 26960 (GSP Bass River Type II).
>
> Thanks for the info, but that doesn't help me much. I need the frequencies so I
> can program them into my Trunktracker scanner... knowing the talkgroup ID's
> don't help.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2068. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 03:48:28 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020205224828.15777.00001345@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 52737

There is a great listing in PHLComm for NJSP at Yahoo Groups. You have to join, it's put together by Joe Cardani.

2069. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 03:54:06 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C60A8DE.2080203@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52738

Biancado wrote:

> Help! Help! Help@ This PM I installed a discone antenna I bought from
> antennawarehouse. I'm using a RG6-U cable (100 ft). I get absolutely none of the
> frequencies that I used to get with my Telescopic antenna (Realistic 2006 Pro)
> As soon as I reconnect the telescopic antenna those frequencies are received. I
> thought that with a roof antenna my reception in supposed to improve not worsen.
> I called Antenna warehouse , they told me that the coaxial most likely has a
> short in it. I don't have equipment to test that. Can some one tell me what else
> may be going on. I'm in the Northeastern coast of the US. TIA
>

Take the antenna down, get a short piece of Coax and hook it up to your
radio. See if you get signals on a different piece of coax. This
will confirm it isn't the antenna or connector on the antenna.

Either you have a short or you have an open in your 100' of coax, mostly
at one of the connectors. Sometimes PL-259 (at the antenna end) and
BNC (at the scanner end) are hard to install without getting a short
unless you have lots of practice.

I have an AntennaWarehouse discone and it is a great antenna.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and MORE!

2070. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 03:55:13 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C60A91F.ABD15BC3@optonline.net>
Source record: 52739

Do you receive ANY frequencies with the discone?

Biancado wrote:
>
> Help! Help! Help@ This PM I installed a discone antenna I bought from
> antennawarehouse. I'm using a RG6-U cable (100 ft). I get absolutely none of the
> frequencies that I used to get with my Telescopic antenna (Realistic 2006 Pro)
> As soon as I reconnect the telescopic antenna those frequencies are received. I
> thought that with a roof antenna my reception in supposed to improve not worsen.
> I called Antenna warehouse , they told me that the coaxial most likely has a
> short in it. I don't have equipment to test that. Can some one tell me what else
> may be going on. I'm in the Northeastern coast of the US. TIA

2071. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 03:56:55 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C60A987.8080001@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

William Ferguson wrote:

> As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the scannist
> argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you to
> express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go to
> the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.
>

Yes, but won't that de-value all the over-valued PRO-2006's? Crap,
I've been hanging on to mine as my retirement nest-egg! Its more
valuable then Enron Stock!

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs Photos and MORE!

2072. HELP Need A Scanner w/Discriminator Output 4 MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 04:06:49 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020205230649.22258.00001494@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 52741

I am running MDT Monitor for Windows but it says "to use this program you'll need a scanner with a discriminator output." Ommm what scanner has a discriminator output on it? I own a PRO-2006, PRO-2067, IC-R2, and of course all my Ham gear. Or where can you get a discriminator for this program to work?

Hope to hear from someone soon so that I can check this program out.

Jeffrey - n9mxt1@aol.com

2073. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 04:13:45 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <Z3288.20639$0V6.18495@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 52742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

George,

I think that's the best post I've seen in a LOOOOONG time! Extra points for current events!

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3C60A987.8080001@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> William Ferguson wrote:
>
> > As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the scannist
> > argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you to
> > express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go to
> > the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.
> >
>
>
> Yes, but won't that de-value all the over-valued PRO-2006's? Crap,
> I've been hanging on to mine as my retirement nest-egg! Its more
> valuable then Enron Stock!
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs Photos and MORE!
>
>

2074. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 04:47:32 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nospam.iname.com>
Message-ID: <g8d16uckudrq4gu1hfvi8vn6gk9mtmkvqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 52648

On Wed, 06 Feb 2002 02:09:23 GMT, "Fat Bastard's Opium Den"
<fatbastard44501@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Sounds about right about the short. although, RG6 (I belive) is 75 ohm and
>it should be 50 ohm like RG58/9u or RG8 . I dont think it would attenuate
>the signal that bad. buy a cheap ohmmeter somewhere and check it out.

It might just be attenuation. I found my discone works no better than
the stock antenna with cable longer than 50'. With 100', I doubt mine
would work well too.

2075. HELP: AR8200II (DEL MENU)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 04:49:12 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <7vc16u823ajpfph4hm37ms67tne17hraon@4ax.com>
Source record: 52743

Hi guys, I just recieved my AOR AR8200II and I'm beginning to understand all the features real well. I am having one problem though!

PROBLEM: Whenever I am in SCAN mode I sometimes PASS a channel; but when I try to remove PASS on all the channels within a bank, the option is not there.

The manual says to go into the DEL menu by hitting FUNC and then DEL while in SCAN mode, and then hit the down arrow six times. The only thing that results in for me is 6 beeps (i.e., it doesn't offer a delete menu, just the option to delete the current channel/freq). This is only the case in SCAN mode, the delete menu appears as normal in SEARCH mode.

NOTE: The manual also says there should be a delete menu in VFO/VFO-SEARCH mode, but here is not. Hitting FUNC and DEL just results in a beep (i.e. no menu).

Anyone know how to fix these problems?

- pcmike

2076. Re: HELP Need A Scanner w/Discriminator Output 4 MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 04:57:56 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C60B7D4.3C4331FE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 52744

N9mxt1 wrote:
>
> I am running MDT Monitor for Windows but it says "to use this
> program you'll need a scanner with a discriminator output."
> Ommm what scanner has a discriminator output on it? I own a
> PRO-2006, PRO-2067, IC-R2, and of course all my Ham gear. Or
> where can you get a discriminator for this program to work?
>
> Hope to hear from someone soon so that I can check this program out.
>
> Jeffrey - n9mxt1@aol.com

Jeffery the discriminator is a part of any FM rig. It is the
first stage where audio is generated. MDT mon requires audio
from this stage in your rig to maximize bandwith for decoding
purposes. Most FM rigs process the audio after the discriminator
to make it sound better. These processing stages are usually
after the audio amp but sometimes before or both!

Modifying the radio for a discriminator output involves reading
the rig's schematic and tapping the circuit before the audio
amp. You don't need any special hardware - just some sheilded
audio cable (or RG-174) to run from the tap to the outside of
the radio. On my Bearcat BC780XLT, I was able to install a phono
jack in the back of the radio for this output. I then fed it
to my soundcard and bingo!

Good luck!
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½

2077. Re: HELP: AR8200II (DEL MENU)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 05:05:07 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <fae16uckrdj6tk6sj39kmlrf4fdk5rqb5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 52745
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Sorry guys, disregard this post. I'm too stupid to realize that when
the manual says PRESS, that means PRESS & HOLD for a second! I've
figured it out, and it works just as stated. Excuse my lameness ;)

- pcmike

On Tue, 05 Feb 2002 23:49:12 -0500 a plead for help arrived from
Michael A. Nunes , in which he/she requested:

>Hi guys, I just recieved my AOR AR8200II and I'm beginning to
>understand all the features real well. I am having one problem
>though!
>
>PROBLEM: Whenever I am in SCAN mode I sometimes PASS a channel; but
>when I try to remove PASS on all the channels within a bank, the
>option is not there.
>
>The manual says to go into the DEL menu by hitting FUNC and then DEL
>while in SCAN mode, and then hit the down arrow six times. The only
>thing that results in for me is 6 beeps (i.e., it doesn't offer a
>delete menu, just the option to delete the current channel/freq).
>This is only the case in SCAN mode, the delete menu appears as normal
>in SEARCH mode.
>
>NOTE: The manual also says there should be a delete menu in
>VFO/VFO-SEARCH mode, but here is not. Hitting FUNC and DEL just
>results in a beep (i.e. no menu).
>
>Anyone know how to fix these problems?
>
>- pcmike

2078. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 05:10:02 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020206001002.06106.00001055@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 52647

>There is a great listing in PHLComm for NJSP at Yahoo Groups. You have to
>join,
>it's put together by Joe Cardani.

Any idea how accurate the listings for the NJSP are?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2079. tk545 v1.1 open source software for JRC NRD-545 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 05:11:02 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c60bc7b$0$35570$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 52746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Version 1.1 of tk545 is now available at:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

tk545 is open source software designed to control
and clone the JRC NRD-545 receiver. Programmed using Tcl/Tk,
it runs on Linux, Windows 98, Windows XP, and MacOS X.

(You must install the free Tcl/Tk interpreter prior to running
tk545. See web page for instructions.)

Experimental version 1.1 includes these new features:

Added facility to log and timestamp frequencies, signal
strength, mode, and optional comment.

Added the ability to choose a different font and colors
for the program graphics.

I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.
--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2080. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 06:05:09 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <pl388.15677$O5.2831520@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 52650
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
My crystal-detector is more valuable than Enron stock!

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~
```

2081. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 06:06:36 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020206010636.25796.00001544@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 52649

Ok, I just downloaded the list of NJSP frequencies and attempted to enter the trunked frequencies in my Pro-90 Trunktracker. I am in Toms River, using an external scanner antenna and I don't get anything on these freq's... my scanner just keeps looking, not finding any control freq's... does the NJSP use some other sort of trunking capabilities that my scanner won't pick up?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2082. Re: Icom R2 Price - $119.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 07:04:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <servfsr@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <a3qkhbfoe1@hiline.shinbiro.com>
Source record: 52694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I haven't really had a lot of intermod problems.. of course, the first thing I did was to lose that stupid stock antenna, and put on a telescoping antenna that I could raise and lower to handle different bands/signal levels.. the thing was virtually deaf below 8 MHz with the stock antenna.. with the one I'm running on it, it works great. :)

"sbs" <sbs20@cvip.net> wrote in message news:3C609650.622190D9@cvip.net...
> Plus,no tone squelch on the VR-500 that and the intermod is why I also got rid of
> mine soon after purchase.
>
> BIG DADDY KING wrote:
>
> > In article <a3ggkc$q7b$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>, servfsr@kornet.net says...
> > > And still aren't half the radio of the Yaesu VR-500 at under $200. This one
> > > receives CW/SSB, etc...
> > > "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:zMN68.6761$Hu6.1591529@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > > http://www.chq-inc.com/ $119.95 + $6 shipping. I just ordered one from
> > > > Burghardt's for $130. Oh well. A year or so ago they were selling for
> > > around
> > > > $200.
> > > > DeWayne KE9K
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Actually, I kept my R-2, and almost instantly sold the VR-500. the
> > > intermod drove me nuts! SSB and all that's cool, but if it's getting
> > > crap mixed with it, forget it..
> > >
> > > BDK
>

2083. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 07:29:45 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a3qm1d$1acf22$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thats not amusing. check out my news headers..

"Fred Zalupski" <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net> wrote in message
news:Z3288.20639$0V6.18495@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> George,
>
> I think that's the best post I've seen in a LOOOOONG time! Extra points
for
> current events!
>
> GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3C60A987.8080001@licensed4fun.com...
> >
> >
> > William Ferguson wrote:
> >
> > > As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the
> scannist
> > > argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you
to
> > > express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go
> to
> > > the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.
> > >
> >
> >
> > Yes, but won't that de-value all the over-valued PRO-2006's? Crap,
> > I've been hanging on to mine as my retirement nest-egg! Its more
> > valuable then Enron Stock!
> >
> > George
> > http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs Photos and MORE!
> >
> >
>
>

2084. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 07:31:17 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a3qm46$1aa3mb$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52652
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ooops.. try it now

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a3qm1d$1acf22$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Thats not amusing. check out my news headers..

2085. Re: UK Question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 08:29:01 UTC
From: stuffpageuk@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020206032901.03760.00001527@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 52653

As I haven't got a bril radio ie not digital it is about 158.5 it was the local police well the police from a city about 5 miles away.

from

stuffpage

2086. (*) Icom R2 For sale (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 08:34:14 UTC
From: Pastor Brian <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aU588.17520$lb.2956011@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 52654

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330973789

--
Gal 5:19-21 New American Standard
"Now the deeds of the flesh are evident, which are:
immorality, impurity, sensuality, idolatry, sorcery,
enmities, strife, jealousy, outbursts of anger, disputes,
dissensions, factions, envying, drunkenness, carousing,
and things like these, of which I forewarn you, just as I
have forewarned you, that those who practice such things
will not inherit the kingdom of God.

2087. Re: BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 10:38:05 UTC
From: Dan Marz <mcircus@zip.com.au>
Message-ID: <3C61078D.4080908@zip.com.au>
Source record: 52655

If you have problems with engine whine you need an inline filter to correct this. My 780 works fine without a filter.

Randy Larke wrote:

> Think of buying one of these. How do they work mobile as far as engine
> whine.
>
>
>

2088. A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 10:46:32 UTC
From: jedimacewindu@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <acd5210a.0202060246.25fccd51@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52656

Greetings!

Hi all. One of my favorite pastimes is catching up on old usenet/google postings archived, and tonight I thought I would check out my beloved company's rep on the groups. Imagine my non-surprise at the number of anti-shack stuff out there. Imagine even more my agreeing with most of it.

Some background: I'm a 23 year old part-time college student who's taken the last year off after changing a major. My roommate at the time of my leaving school was an Assistant Manager with RS, and he encouraged me to give it a try. I needed a job to support myself, so I figured what the hell. After all, I went to RS as a kid, and it can't be that much different, right? After the last year, I can say it has been the worst experience of my life, on both sides of the counter- with the bosses and the customers.

First, the job. I work in a store that pays a commission rate, or the absolute minimum wage. You have to make enough money to make a good Dollar Per Hour rate just to get that commission, which most people are unable to acheive. The thing is, that rate is almost $100 an hour, at the least. When I was growing up, the local Radio Shack was a parts store- how can someone be expected to maintain a $100 per hour rate selling only parts? Answer: you can't. And that's the crown jewel in RS's big lie- "You write your own paycheck." There's no incentive anymore to learn about the parts, since they don't help the paycheck all that much. You need incentive to have the knowledge, and that knowledge these days: cell phones. That's what we're trained to sell. Forget DTH, RCA, MSN, whatever. Phones are the focus, the real deal, the main event. That's why you get offered a phone every time you buy a battery at RS. Because the employee behind the counter needs to sell that phone, or make minimum wage. Pure and Simple. And guess what? That's what the associate should do. The problem isn't with the associates- they're the products of a training program gone horribly, horribly wrong somewhere.

We get scantron tests that give broad overviews of each section of the store. The parts section has no more emphasis than the cellular phones, or the customer service. You can take the tests as many times as you want, with no penalty, until you pass. It's ridiculous. I came into the job expecting to learn a bunch about electronics, but everything I've learned, I've learned from my own research and from the customers. Very little from my bosses. I remember one of my first days there. I sold a very nice gentleman an outdoor antenna, and was about to ring it up, when my boss came out and started asking a series

of rapid-fire questions of the customer, and determined that I'd forgotten to include a grounding rod, antenna wire, and other items that the customer did not have. And why did I forget these items? Because I didn't know. Because they didn't care that I didn't have adequate knowledge on the floor. They put me out there without proper training simply because an antenna like that, while profitable to the company, isn't the real profit like phones and DTH are.

Monthly meetings never focus on topics other than phone sales promotions, DTH sales promotions, and other crap that teaches nothing about the actual product itself. It's simply assumed you know it, or you'll learn it. But that's our training. There's simply no incentive. I've taken it upon myself this last year to bring myself up to speed on as many products as possible, to become as knowledgable as possible about each particular item. It makes me sad to realize that the guy down the street, who can't even program a scanner, is going to make more money than me because he's better at selling phones. And that's where the system is broken. It's broken at the top, and it's pretty hard to fix.

Radio Shack DOES NOT CARE about the people that got them to where they are today. It's that simple. Employees who try to care do so on their own time, at no benefit to themselves besides personal satisfaction. And, I'm sorry to say, customers are a problem as well.

Let me say that I'm pretty impressed with how articulate all of you are on this forum. It's unfortunate that I can't say the same for the customers in the store. Many customers are rude, short, and nasty to a sales associate's face, frequently without provocation. It helps build the apathy around you if you work there. People who bring in repairs, even if you can fix them on the spot and even prove it was their fault, are frequently rude. People who 'rent' our items for short periods of time, and then bring them back claiming false problems after using the item for it's one intended event are numerous. The only person hurt by any of this? The clerk in front of you. The corporation punishes him by taking away his sale and comission.

Now, of course, if a clerk gives you incorrect information, or sells you a defective product, you have every right to a return. That's not what I'm talking about. Radio Shack associates are frequently harassed, cussed at, threatened, and screwed over in numerous ways by the customer and the company itself. I've lived it. I was very nearly fired last year for reacting, finally, to a customer who called me a name I won't repeat. And this customer was treated like a king by our corporate office, since of course, it isn't possible that the customer was wrong, was it?

Name and Address. Every Radio Shack employee hates those words. Please, if I can ask any favor of you as customers, if you wish to decline, please do so politlely. You'll make our day. Again, the employees are the ones screwed over- if an employee maintains a N&A below 85%, it is a terminatable offense. That employee is asking

because it's his job, and it isn't good for him when you say no. That being said, I hate it, I think it's the stupidest thing we do, but we are all judged on it. So, if you have to decline, which is your right of course, a simple 'No thank you' with a smile actually makes my day a lot better. Should we be ranked on our name and address? Should it influence whether we keep our job? Of course not. But remember, you're not getting Len and the boys upstairs, you're simply costing the sales clerk in front of you. Being polite and friendly is a nice way to ease through it. Don't rudely say 'cash' and shove the money at the associate, don't make something up, and don't go on a rant about how we don't need that info. We know. We've heard it all, and most of us agree with you. We still have to ask. Please, on this point, I beg you all to have pity on the RS employee. It's appreciated, I promise you that. And if some idiot gets pissed at you for it, let loose on him with both barrels. We owe you the same courtesy you give us, and anyone who doesn't give that courtesy doesn't deserve it in return, on both sides.

Anyway, I thought I would get all that off my chest, for this reason: I am leaving the Shack at the end of the month. Until then, I'd like to continue to be the best associate I can for all the customers that walk through my door. So here's what I'm asking all of you, if you could answer this question:

How can we be better? Keeping in mind I can't change the prices, how can I be a better sales associate for you guys? I consider you all the true customers, and I'm actually serious here: what can I do that will make you feel more comfortable to shop at my store? What are some experiences that you've had that you've liked? Disliked? Give me specifics. I'd really like to know. Now that this whole, bitter experience is winding up, I'd like to sprint for the finish line with a good attitude.

I'd like to say thanks to anyone who reads and responds to this fairly long post, which is probably off-topic for this group. For that, I apologize.

Sincerely,
RS Employee

2089. Re: AFRTS TV channel 2 is where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 11:33:35 UTC
From: Ruud Poeze <radiocaroline@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <3C61148F.35FAC926@wxs.nl>
Source record: 52657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Richard McCollum schreef:
>
> "Ruud Poeze" <radiocaroline@wxs.nl> wrote in message
> news:3C5FC10C.5C1D42C0@wxs.nl...
> > David Eduardo schreef:
> > >
> > > "redrum" <nada@na.da> wrote in message
> > > news:u5trii892jl9ce@corp.supernews.com...
> > > >
> > > > I would suppose that the reason for low power AFRTS-TV is the
> > > > possibility of interference to other services using that portion of
> the
> > > > spectrum in the host country. I don't have a WRTH handy since my
> main
> > > > interest is HF (I have a Passport) so I don't know what the freq
> > > > differences are.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Mostly, the reason is to keep the signal from overshooting the target
> > > audience. The AFRTS TVs that have operated in Puerto Rico have all
> been very
> > > low power; there is no reason to keep the signal from being received
> by the
> > > general population (and PR has US standards and US channel
> assignments). The
> > > services simply don't want to spend money on covering non-military
> homes and
> > > they probably have to limit power somewhat because international
> program
> > > syndication would be interfered with.
> > >
> > > In general, the AM and FM stations on the bases were set up so that
> they
> > > could not be heard more than perhaps a half-mile from the bases.
> >
> > The AFRTS-TV transmiter in the Netherlands (Camp New Amsterdam,
> > Soesterberg) had a 1000 W ERP on CH A80.
> > The FM transmitter reached beyond the camp and was even fed into the
> > Utrecht (4th largest city in the NL) cable system!
> > AFN radio was very popular in the region, and I still regret the closure
> > of Camp New Amsterdam.
> > Ruud
>

> Ruud, you are aware I am sure that New York City started life as New
> Amsterdam (note Ha(a)rlem) so I have the feeling the camp's name was
> something of an insider joke.

Could Be, but this is the name they (US Army) used for the site. Was on the signs. Soesterberg is still an airbase, in use by the Dutch Air force.
(Brooklyn and Breukelen.)

New York was once swapped for Surinam by the Dutch....
Ruud

2090. Re: mobitex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 13:10:47 UTC
From: sstvswl <sstvswl@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <rX988.32607$ym3.109756@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52658

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hangar/8539/INDEX1.HTM

jw <a@a.se> wrote in message news:1012893622.8456@news2.cybercity.dk...
> Hi
> Looking for information about how to "listen" to mobitextrafic.
> Any experience somebody
> Joe
>
> --
>
>
>

2091. Re: mobitex

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 13:14:16 UTC
From: sstvswl <sstvswl@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <I_988.32464$S06.109518@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 52659

http://home.kabelfoon.nl/~pdw/alp/db/PDW110F.ZIP

jw <a@a.se> wrote in message news:1012893622.8456@news2.cybercity.dk...
> Hi
> Looking for information about how to "listen" to mobitextrafic.
> Any experience somebody
> Joe
>
> --
>
>
>

2092. pro 46 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 13:24:47 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3c612fa8_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 52660

I have a pro 46(the one that was called back and reworked to disable the 800 mhz test freq.). I have done the mod to restore the test freqs. My question is can this radio be modified for full 800mhz without using the test freq.
I know the first pro46 you could move 2 transistors to restore full 800mhz but will it work on the second version of the pro46?
Thanks

--
Shawn M.

2093. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 14:51:41 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <56f26ug6tkkhnjchb27qqr7d2sipjrps3b@4ax.com>
Source record: 52661

On Tue, 5 Feb 2002 21:55:27 -0500, "William Ferguson"
<spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the scannist
>argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you to
>express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go to
>the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.

There's nothing he can do about it. It was legislated from Congress.
His hands are tied.

If you want to make a case on it, you can write to my favorite
Louisianan politician, that undeniable jackass and all around demagog,
Billy Tauzin. And by the way, if you want him to change his mind, be
prepared to pay his campaign more money than the CTIA paid.

Mr. Tauzin's vote usually goes to the highest bidder.

That's just my humble opinion. My apologies to the people of the
wonderful state of Louisiana. You're not the only ones who have
obnoxious representation in Congress.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2094. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 14:54:07 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C61438F.40D54DA8@optonline.net>
Source record: 52662

They seem to work OK for everybody else.

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> >There is a great listing in PHLComm for NJSP at Yahoo Groups. You have to
> >join,
> >it's put together by Joe Cardani.
>
> Any idea how accurate the listings for the NJSP are?
> =-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2095. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 15:00:34 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C614511.9828040F@optonline.net>
Source record: 52663

Do you receive any other frequencies on your setup?

Is your antenna working OK?

Have you tried it with the supplied rubber duck antenna?

Do you receive anything in manual mode?

Have you properly programmed in as a MOTOROLA Type I system with the
S13,S13 fleet map? (Actually, it is a Type IIi, but let's get the Type I
part working first.)

Do you receive any of the other local trunked systems?

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> Ok, I just downloaded the list of NJSP frequencies and attempted to enter the
> trunked frequencies in my Pro-90 Trunktracker. I am in Toms River, using an
> external scanner antenna and I don't get anything on these freq's... my scanner
> just keeps looking, not finding any control freq's... does the NJSP use some
> other sort of trunking capabilities that my scanner won't pick up?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2096. Re: BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 15:07:57 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <blh26u8tl3ejtm8qrjhf2gavbe1dae992o@4ax.com>
Source record: 52664

On Wed, 06 Feb 2002 21:38:05 +1100, Dan Marz <mcircus@zip.com.au>
wrote:

>If you have problems with engine whine you need an inline filter to
>correct this. My 780 works fine without a filter.
>
>
>Randy Larke wrote:
>
>> Think of buying one of these. How do they work mobile as far as engine
>> whine.
>>

Mine works just fine plugged into the cigarette lighter, no engine
whine whatsoever

2097. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 16:15:21 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020206111521.06135.00001418@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 52665

>Do you receive any other frequencies on your setup?
>
>Is your antenna working OK?
>
>Have you tried it with the supplied rubber duck antenna?
>
>Do you receive anything in manual mode?

Yes x4

>
>Have you properly programmed in as a MOTOROLA Type I system with the
>S13,S13 fleet map? (Actually, it is a Type IIi, but let's get the Type I
>part working first.)

My scanner doesn't allow you to select which type of system you scan.

>Do you receive any of the other local trunked systems?

There are none within range that I know of.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2098. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 16:17:45 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020206111745.06135.00001419@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 52666

Ok, just found that the freq's I programmed in are for Troop C, not the one
that serves my area. According to what I found, Troop E is the GSP, but I can't
seem to find freq's for them, only A, B, and C... any ideas?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2099. bearcat 210

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 16:43:45 UTC
From: WiCkEd <nunya@home.com>
Message-ID: <o6n26u4d9jgiufsegm2mdtpq3qgd7je8jp@4ax.com>
Source record: 52668

thanks for looking, you don't have to go through your garage that is
for sure!! hehehe thank you though. I was thinking that maybe
somebody knew off the top of their head.

2100. Re: A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 16:45:03 UTC
From: ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com
Message-ID: <2d2bbca8.0202060845.1c8eb95@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52667

I just have to congratulate you. Your message really make me re-think my behavior. Well, I live in Costa Rica, Central America, but here in my country we have almost all the franchises that you have in the States. And yes, WE HATE how McDonalds personal always try to sell us a VALUE MEAL, a LARGE VALUE MEAL, how Subway personal always try to sell us extra cheese, extra ham, extra...
And I am most of the time mean to the people, because I think, damn, can you just shut up and just sell me some fries! But well, I understand its their job and we should blame the corporations like making their personal seem like clowns or robots...
Now on the Radio Shack stuff. Radio Shack HAS NEVER BEEN my favorite brand. In fact, Radio Shack has an awful reputation on my other hobby: Car Stereo. Radio Shack car stereo equipment is at worst as it can get. Nobody who is serious at that hobby even thinks about RS. When I was looking for my first scanner, I got stuck into two models: Uniden bc245xlt an RS Pro-93. I was at first amazed at how actually a RS product was a good one this time. I almost bought the Pro-93. Then I read and read all over the internet and heard about the bug problem, the actual position of RS telling some customers they know about the bug and that the customers would have to go the RS store at some given time to ask for a fix of the bug, also about some problems with customers who said their Pro-93 was DEAD ON ARRIVAL or that their screen just went blank and I tought, ...hmmm Radio Shack again...that seems familiar...
and yes...call me all you want to but I then decided to go with the Bearcat because of Unided better reputation and of its ease of use and available software. But how sad it is? Actually a factor I considered in not buying the Pro-93 was the reputation of Radio Shack!
I have always tought of Radio Shack as some cheap company that only make bad clones of similar products made by some more reputable companies. Thats why in Radio Shack you can buy almost anything, from a telephone to a radio to a television to a dvd...hmmm...but you would never find.
Im not saying RS scanner are bad. As I have heard they are pretty good. But the bad reputation of RS has definetely kept me apart from buying a scanner from them. This and of course the alleged problems that the Pro-93 has had.

2101. Re: A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 16:51:33 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pad88.14261$I5.1148151@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52669

Actually, it is ILLEGAL to ask for a name and address on a credit card sale
in NY, but that never stopped anyone at RS (or lots of other places!
)
"Rick Poehling" <jedimacewindu@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:acd5210a.0202060246.25fccd51@posting.google.com...
> Greetings!
>
> Hi all. One of my favorite pastimes is catching up on old
> usenet/google postings archived, and tonight I thought I would check
> out my beloved company's rep on the groups. Imagine my non-surprise at
> the number of anti-shack stuff out there. Imagine even more my
> agreeing with most of it.
>
> Some background: I'm a 23 year old part-time college student who's
> taken the last year off after changing a major. My roommate at the
> time of my leaving school was an Assistant Manager with RS, and he
> encouraged me to give it a try. I needed a job to support myself, so I
> figured what the hell. After all, I went to RS as a kid, and it can't
> be that much different, right? After the last year, I can say it has
> been the worst experience of my life, on both sides of the counter-
> with the bosses and the customers.
>
> First, the job. I work in a store that pays a commission rate, or the
> absolute minimum wage. You have to make enough money to make a good
> Dollar Per Hour rate just to get that commission, which most people
> are unable to acheive. The thing is, that rate is almost $100 an hour,
> at the least. When I was growing up, the local Radio Shack was a parts
> store- how can someone be expected to maintain a $100 per hour rate
> selling only parts? Answer: you can't. And that's the crown jewel in
> RS's big lie- "You write your own paycheck." There's no incentive
> anymore to learn about the parts, since they don't help the paycheck
> all that much. You need incentive to have the knowledge, and that
> knowledge these days: cell phones. That's what we're trained to sell.
> Forget DTH, RCA, MSN, whatever. Phones are the focus, the real deal,
> the main event. That's why you get offered a phone every time you buy
> a battery at RS. Because the employee behind the counter needs to sell
> that phone, or make minimum wage. Pure and Simple. And guess what?
> That's what the associate should do. The problem isn't with the
> associates- they're the products of a training program gone horribly,
> horribly wrong somewhere.
>
> We get scantron tests that give broad overviews of each section of the
> store. The parts section has no more emphasis than the cellular
> phones, or the customer service. You can take the tests as many times

> as you want, with no penalty, until you pass. It's ridiculous. I came
> into the job expecting to learn a bunch about electronics, but
> everything I've learned, I've learned from my own research and from
> the customers. Very little from my bosses. I remember one of my first
> days there. I sold a very nice gentleman an outdoor antenna, and was
> about to ring it up, when my boss came out and started asking a series
> of rapid-fire questions of the customer, and determined that I'd
> forgotten to include a grounding rod, antenna wire, and other items
> that the customer did not have. And why did I forget these items?
> Because I didn't know. Because they didn't care that I didn't have
> adequate knowledge on the floor. They put me out there without proper
> training simply because an antenna like that, while profitable to the
> company, isn't the real profit like phones and DTH are.
>
> Monthly meetings never focus on topics other than phone sales
> promotions, DTH sales promotions, and other crap that teaches nothing
> about the actual product itself. It's simply assumed you know it, or
> you'll learn it. But that's our training. There's simply no incentive.
> I've taken it upon myself this last year to bring myself up to speed
> on as many products as possible, to become as knowledgable as possible
> about each particular item. It makes me sad to realize that the guy
> down the street, who can't even program a scanner, is going to make
> more money than me because he's better at selling phones. And that's
> where the system is broken. It's broken at the top, and it's pretty
> hard to fix.
>
> Radio Shack DOES NOT CARE about the people that got them to where they
> are today. It's that simple. Employees who try to care do so on their
> own time, at no benefit to themselves besides personal satisfaction.
> And, I'm sorry to say, customers are a problem as well.
>
> Let me say that I'm pretty impressed with how articulate all of you
> are on this forum. It's unfortunate that I can't say the same for the
> customers in the store. Many customers are rude, short, and nasty to a
> sales associate's face, frequently without provocation. It helps build
> the apathy around you if you work there. People who bring in repairs,
> even if you can fix them on the spot and even prove it was their
> fault, are frequently rude. People who 'rent' our items for short
> periods of time, and then bring them back claiming false problems
> after using the item for it's one intended event are numerous. The
> only person hurt by any of this? The clerk in front of you. The
> corporation punishes him by taking away his sale and comission.
>
> Now, of course, if a clerk gives you incorrect information, or sells
> you a defective product, you have every right to a return. That's not
> what I'm talking about. Radio Shack associates are frequently
> harassed, cussed at, threatened, and screwed over in numerous ways by
> the customer and the company itself. I've lived it. I was very nearly
> fired last year for reacting, finally, to a customer who called me a
> name I won't repeat. And this customer was treated like a king by our

> corporate office, since of course, it isn't possible that the customer
> was wrong, was it?
>
> Name and Address. Every Radio Shack employee hates those words.
> Please, if I can ask any favor of you as customers, if you wish to
> decline, please do so politlely. You'll make our day. Again, the
> employees are the ones screwed over- if an employee maintains a N&A
> below 85%, it is a terminatable offense. That employee is asking
> because it's his job, and it isn't good for him when you say no. That
> being said, I hate it, I think it's the stupidest thing we do, but we
> are all judged on it. So, if you have to decline, which is your right
> of course, a simple 'No thank you' with a smile actually makes my day
> a lot better. Should we be ranked on our name and address? Should it
> influence whether we keep our job? Of course not. But remember, you're
> not getting Len and the boys upstairs, you're simply costing the sales
> clerk in front of you. Being polite and friendly is a nice way to ease
> through it. Don't rudely say 'cash' and shove the money at the
> associate, don't make something up, and don't go on a rant about how
> we don't need that info. We know. We've heard it all, and most of us
> agree with you. We still have to ask. Please, on this point, I beg you
> all to have pity on the RS employee. It's appreciated, I promise you
> that. And if some idiot gets pissed at you for it, let loose on him
> with both barrels. We owe you the same courtesy you give us, and
> anyone who doesn't give that courtesy doesn't deserve it in return, on
> both sides.
>
> Anyway, I thought I would get all that off my chest, for this reason:
> I am leaving the Shack at the end of the month. Until then, I'd like
> to continue to be the best associate I can for all the customers that
> walk through my door. So here's what I'm asking all of you, if you
> could answer this question:
>
> How can we be better? Keeping in mind I can't change the prices, how
> can I be a better sales associate for you guys? I consider you all the
> true customers, and I'm actually serious here: what can I do that will
> make you feel more comfortable to shop at my store? What are some
> experiences that you've had that you've liked? Disliked? Give me
> specifics. I'd really like to know. Now that this whole, bitter
> experience is winding up, I'd like to sprint for the finish line with
> a good attitude.
>
> I'd like to say thanks to anyone who reads and responds to this fairly
> long post, which is probably off-topic for this group. For that, I
> apologize.
>
> Sincerely,
> RS Employee

2102. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 17:05:10 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u62og9st440db3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting... Enron has a news server?

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a3qm46$1aa3mb$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Ooops.. try it now
>
>
> "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
> news:a3qm1d$1acf22$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > Thats not amusing. check out my news headers..
>
>
>
>
>

2103. Re: A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 17:20:28 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020206122028.24598.00000015@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52671

Radio Shack: "You've got questions, we've got salesdroids."

"And would you like a Tandy Service Plan with that battery purchase? It's only $29.99 for 3 years."

2104. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 17:20:28 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020206122028.24598.00000016@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52672

In article <u617gpoc4b2030@corp.supernews.com>, "William Ferguson"
<spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com> writes:

>instead of going out and spending $350 on a new Uniden BC-780xlt
>they're buying off places like ebay an "easily modifiable" scanner for a
>close price

Great. Now, we'll get more government scrutiny of eBay and additional
restrictions on the free marketplace. Just what we DON'T need!

2105. Re: A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 17:20:28 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020206122028.24598.00000014@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52673

In article <2d2bbca8.0202060845.1c8eb95@posting.google.com>,
ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com (Faive) writes:

>I have always tought of Radio Shack as some cheap company that only
>make bad clones of similar products made by some more reputable
>companies.

Some examples from years gone by:

Clarinette all-in-one stereo systems (woefully underpowered amps and cheap paper-cone speakers.)

Archer CB antennas (inferior-grade aluminum. Bends or breaks in the first wind storm.)

Nova headphones (more cheap paper cones. Awful sound quality.)

Micronta power supplies (weak transformers...only put out 1.75-2.5 amps vs 3-4 amps by similarly-priced competitors.)

I'm sure y'all can think of others!

2106. Re: ½¨Öþ·çË®.ËÄÖùÃüÀí ÒÕÊõÑÐ¾¿ÓëÓ¦ÓÃ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 17:21:45 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020206122145.24598.00000017@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52674

In article <a3rnea$1fhj$453@news.cz.js.cn>, "ï¿½â»¢"
<43211234@zb-public.sd.cninfo.net> writes:

>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ë®.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ð¾ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ó¦ï¿½ï¿½

Um, how about trying it in ENGLISH next time?

2107. Military air scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 17:23:31 UTC
From: bljk8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020206122331.20526.00001251@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 52675

I am going to buy a scanner that will be used strictly for military air freqs.
Can you help me with some suggestions?
Thanks

2108. Re: Military air scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 19:06:23 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C617EAF.2020004@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52676

BLJK8 wrote:

> I am going to buy a scanner that will be used strictly for military air freqs.
> Can you help me with some suggestions?
> Thanks
>

Not knowing if you're looking for a base or handheld my first suggestion would be the Uniden 780XLT (Base). I've had one for a few months and LOVE it. 99.9% of my listening is MilAir. If you're looking for something that cost less, then find a used RadioShack PRO-2035 on eBay, usually going about $200 - $240.00. I have two of them and they're really good too.

I can't offer much in they way of handheld suggestions. The only heldheld I use for MilAir is a PRO-26. Good radio but only 200 channels.

You can see my entire shack at: http://www.milaircomms.com/shack.html

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and MORE!

2109. Hustler antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 20:03:19 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <b_f88.905$AY.220828@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 52677

I would like a recommendation for an online antenna dealer. I had an order with Exportradios.com and was finally able to cancel it.
I'm looking for a Hustler magnetic mount model MRM to use with my Pro 2067, or something that works well in the 148-174 and 430-450
range.
TIA

--

2110. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 20:22:00 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3fg88.161$5z.195315@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52678

In article <g8d16uckudrq4gu1hfvi8vn6gk9mtmkvqf@4ax.com>,
darrin99@nospam.iname.com says...
> On Wed, 06 Feb 2002 02:09:23 GMT, "Fat Bastard's Opium Den"
> <fatbastard44501@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Sounds about right about the short. although, RG6 (I belive) is 75 ohm and
> >it should be 50 ohm like RG58/9u or RG8 . I dont think it would attenuate
> >the signal that bad. buy a cheap ohmmeter somewhere and check it out.
>
> It might just be attenuation. I found my discone works no better than
> the stock antenna with cable longer than 50'. With 100', I doubt mine
> would work well too.

Rg58/9u would be far worse than the RG-6. Yes rg-6 is 75 ohms but for
the 1,000,000,000,000 time, IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE TO YOUR RECEIVER.
RG6 triple shield is far better than any of the 50 ohm cables you have
mentioned, period.

Glenn

2111. Need a mod...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 20:22:51 UTC
From: Johan <sdf@as.com>
Message-ID: <mfg88.8$G24.244@news2.bredband.com>
Source record: 52679
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello everyone!

I have now owned the Radio Shack Realistic Pro-70 for many years.
This is my first real scanner. I now want to experiment some on it.
Is there any mods for this radio or can someone might tell me where I
can find the discrimiator output on it? I really appriciate any help I can
get!

/Johan

2112. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 20:26:04 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C61915C.6572D5A8@amsat.org>
Source record: 52680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Power House wrote:

> Interesting... Enron has a news server?

Whois for 192.152.140.9

Enron Corp, Inc. (NETBLK-EGLI-)
1400 Smith Street
Houston, TX 77002
US

Netname: EGLI-1
Netblock: 192.152.140.0 - 192.152.140.255

Coordinator:
Lovett, Steven R. (SRL19-ARIN) slovett@ENORN.COM
713-853-1434 (FAX) 713-646-3010

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

ENEFW1.ENRONCORP.COM 192.152.140.1
ENEFW2.ENRONCORP.COM 192.152.140.2

Record last updated on 23-Jan-1998.
Database last updated on 5-Feb-2002 19:58:05 EDT.

~Bart~

2113. Re: Digital Nightmare

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 20:37:36 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C61940F.53D460F8@amsat.org>
Source record: 52681

chester wrote:

>
> Actually the government is going on the biggest spending spree ever.

Sad but true.

>
> They are fueling the economy with their spending.

They would like for you to believe that myth.
Instead, they are bankrupting the economy.

~Bart~

2114. FCC Lookup Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 21:13:19 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <00736uomqp5pgrjsi9p42gteplgmoa9e4m@4ax.com>
Source record: 52682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there anything currently avaiable that is similiar to ScannerBase XE, which was produced by CSP Tech?

The latest version of ScannerBase XE was put out in 1999, and fails to work on Windows XP due to NT incompatibility.Anyone; anything?

- pcmike

2115. Re: FCC Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 21:18:22 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u637b2sgvjqb5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52683

Good start would be here:

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/state_county.hts

Enter in your state and county, and away you go!

PH

<jfaul@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3c60066f.2978750@basic.bs.webusenet.com...
> How do you I access the frequency database for Florida. I have been
> on the fcc.gov dataabse and can't figure out how this thing works.
>
> Thanks,
>
> jfaul@bellsouth.net
>

2116. Re: FCC Lookup Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 21:27:04 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <Ich88.920$AY.224341@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 52684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Have you tried running it in 9X compatibility mode?

--

_____
"Michael A. Nunes" wrote in message news:00736uomqp5pgrjsi9p42gteplgmoa9e4m@4ax.com...
> Is there anything currently avaiable that is similiar to ScannerBase
> XE, which was produced by CSP Tech?
>
> The latest version of ScannerBase XE was put out in 1999, and fails to
> work on Windows XP due to NT incompatibility.Anyone; anything?
>
> - pcmike
>

2117. Re: A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 21:33:58 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a3s7dv$1as74h$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52685

Im not going to do any RS bashing but you do have to admit it IS a business.

When RS sees that cellphones are a major income source and so readily available (everyone has one), you must admit that it would be STUPID for RS not to ask it's employees to make a single line statement about it.

You also have to admit that a 30-day return no questions asked policy is pretty darn good these days. Yeah there are alot of scanners sold (that my beef with them right now) and a few are going back in defective units. They are electronics and it happens. It may seem that more go back than other manufacturers and I don't have number on that so nuff said.

GRE manufactures the scanners that RS sells, just like RS does NOT make the Motolora cell phones they push. Everyone blames RS for it when it is GRE's unit. RS simply 'resales' the scanner.

I have a 93 and I am proud to admit it. It has the text tag problem but other than that it works fine. I do 'workaround' the problem but I still would like to have a fully functioning unit.Hopefully the rumor of RS making a repair/exchange for the 93's I will take it back. Until then it is mine (since it is past the 30 day return policy) and I want to keep it anyways.

"Rick Poehling" <jedimacewindu@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:acd5210a.0202060246.25fccd51@posting.google.com...
> Greetings!
>
> Hi all. One of my favorite pastimes is catching up on old
> usenet/google postings archived, and tonight I thought I would check
> out my beloved company's rep on the groups. Imagine my non-surprise at
> the number of anti-shack stuff out there. Imagine even more my
> agreeing with most of it.
>
> Some background: I'm a 23 year old part-time college student who's
> taken the last year off after changing a major. My roommate at the
> time of my leaving school was an Assistant Manager with RS, and he
> encouraged me to give it a try. I needed a job to support myself, so I
> figured what the hell. After all, I went to RS as a kid, and it can't
> be that much different, right? After the last year, I can say it has
> been the worst experience of my life, on both sides of the counter-
> with the bosses and the customers.
>
> First, the job. I work in a store that pays a commission rate, or the
> absolute minimum wage. You have to make enough money to make a good
> Dollar Per Hour rate just to get that commission, which most people
> are unable to acheive. The thing is, that rate is almost $100 an hour,
> at the least. When I was growing up, the local Radio Shack was a parts

> store- how can someone be expected to maintain a $100 per hour rate
> selling only parts? Answer: you can't. And that's the crown jewel in
> RS's big lie- "You write your own paycheck." There's no incentive
> anymore to learn about the parts, since they don't help the paycheck
> all that much. You need incentive to have the knowledge, and that
> knowledge these days: cell phones. That's what we're trained to sell.
> Forget DTH, RCA, MSN, whatever. Phones are the focus, the real deal,
> the main event. That's why you get offered a phone every time you buy
> a battery at RS. Because the employee behind the counter needs to sell
> that phone, or make minimum wage. Pure and Simple. And guess what?
> That's what the associate should do. The problem isn't with the
> associates- they're the products of a training program gone horribly,
> horribly wrong somewhere.
>
> We get scantron tests that give broad overviews of each section of the
> store. The parts section has no more emphasis than the cellular
> phones, or the customer service. You can take the tests as many times
> as you want, with no penalty, until you pass. It's ridiculous. I came
> into the job expecting to learn a bunch about electronics, but
> everything I've learned, I've learned from my own research and from
> the customers. Very little from my bosses. I remember one of my first
> days there. I sold a very nice gentleman an outdoor antenna, and was
> about to ring it up, when my boss came out and started asking a series
> of rapid-fire questions of the customer, and determined that I'd
> forgotten to include a grounding rod, antenna wire, and other items
> that the customer did not have. And why did I forget these items?
> Because I didn't know. Because they didn't care that I didn't have
> adequate knowledge on the floor. They put me out there without proper
> training simply because an antenna like that, while profitable to the
> company, isn't the real profit like phones and DTH are.
>
> Monthly meetings never focus on topics other than phone sales
> promotions, DTH sales promotions, and other crap that teaches nothing
> about the actual product itself. It's simply assumed you know it, or
> you'll learn it. But that's our training. There's simply no incentive.
> I've taken it upon myself this last year to bring myself up to speed
> on as many products as possible, to become as knowledgable as possible
> about each particular item. It makes me sad to realize that the guy
> down the street, who can't even program a scanner, is going to make
> more money than me because he's better at selling phones. And that's
> where the system is broken. It's broken at the top, and it's pretty
> hard to fix.
>
> Radio Shack DOES NOT CARE about the people that got them to where they
> are today. It's that simple. Employees who try to care do so on their
> own time, at no benefit to themselves besides personal satisfaction.
> And, I'm sorry to say, customers are a problem as well.
>
> Let me say that I'm pretty impressed with how articulate all of you
> are on this forum. It's unfortunate that I can't say the same for the

> customers in the store. Many customers are rude, short, and nasty to a
> sales associate's face, frequently without provocation. It helps build
> the apathy around you if you work there. People who bring in repairs,
> even if you can fix them on the spot and even prove it was their
> fault, are frequently rude. People who 'rent' our items for short
> periods of time, and then bring them back claiming false problems
> after using the item for it's one intended event are numerous. The
> only person hurt by any of this? The clerk in front of you. The
> corporation punishes him by taking away his sale and comission.
>
> Now, of course, if a clerk gives you incorrect information, or sells
> you a defective product, you have every right to a return. That's not
> what I'm talking about. Radio Shack associates are frequently
> harassed, cussed at, threatened, and screwed over in numerous ways by
> the customer and the company itself. I've lived it. I was very nearly
> fired last year for reacting, finally, to a customer who called me a
> name I won't repeat. And this customer was treated like a king by our
> corporate office, since of course, it isn't possible that the customer
> was wrong, was it?
>
> Name and Address. Every Radio Shack employee hates those words.
> Please, if I can ask any favor of you as customers, if you wish to
> decline, please do so politlely. You'll make our day. Again, the
> employees are the ones screwed over- if an employee maintains a N&A
> below 85%, it is a terminatable offense. That employee is asking
> because it's his job, and it isn't good for him when you say no. That
> being said, I hate it, I think it's the stupidest thing we do, but we
> are all judged on it. So, if you have to decline, which is your right
> of course, a simple 'No thank you' with a smile actually makes my day
> a lot better. Should we be ranked on our name and address? Should it
> influence whether we keep our job? Of course not. But remember, you're
> not getting Len and the boys upstairs, you're simply costing the sales
> clerk in front of you. Being polite and friendly is a nice way to ease
> through it. Don't rudely say 'cash' and shove the money at the
> associate, don't make something up, and don't go on a rant about how
> we don't need that info. We know. We've heard it all, and most of us
> agree with you. We still have to ask. Please, on this point, I beg you
> all to have pity on the RS employee. It's appreciated, I promise you
> that. And if some idiot gets pissed at you for it, let loose on him
> with both barrels. We owe you the same courtesy you give us, and
> anyone who doesn't give that courtesy doesn't deserve it in return, on
> both sides.
>
> Anyway, I thought I would get all that off my chest, for this reason:
> I am leaving the Shack at the end of the month. Until then, I'd like
> to continue to be the best associate I can for all the customers that
> walk through my door. So here's what I'm asking all of you, if you
> could answer this question:
>
> How can we be better? Keeping in mind I can't change the prices, how

> can I be a better sales associate for you guys? I consider you all the
> true customers, and I'm actually serious here: what can I do that will
> make you feel more comfortable to shop at my store? What are some
> experiences that you've had that you've liked? Disliked? Give me
> specifics. I'd really like to know. Now that this whole, bitter
> experience is winding up, I'd like to sprint for the finish line with
> a good attitude.
>
> I'd like to say thanks to anyone who reads and responds to this fairly
> long post, which is probably off-topic for this group. For that, I
> apologize.
>
> Sincerely,
> RS Employee

2118. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 21:38:20 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16cb6c56a49b211b989680@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 52686

In article <20020206111745.06135.00001419@mb-ca.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...
> Ok, just found that the freq's I programmed in are for Troop C, not the one
> that serves my area. According to what I found, Troop E is the GSP,

Go nontrunking and enter these 2 freqs:

868.515
866.612

Good for: NJSP GSParkway Troop E - Bass River (1 Comm), Holmdel (3
Comm), Bloomfield (2 Comm)

2119. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 21:44:42 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16cb6dc6bbebf47f989681@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 52687

In article <MPG.16cb6c56a49b211b989680@news.exit109.com>, Wave@idt.net says...
>
> 868.515
> 866.612

Correction 868.512 (not.515)

2120. RS Pro-93 Help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 21:59:55 UTC
From: Nathan Watson <nwatson@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <3c61a5ab_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 52688

Just wondering if anyone has any modding info or tricks for the RS Pro-93?
Any help or links would be much appreciated!

Thanks,
Jigs

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2121. Re: ½¨Öþ·çË®.ËÄÖùÃüÀí ÒÕÊõÑÐ¾¿ÓëÓ¦ÓÃ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 22:49:02 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C61B2DE.14CEC210@USA.net>
Source record: 52689

This is most likely the same SOB that keep loading my email box with
pornographic crap that we DON'T want.

Well at the least it's the same language style.

That's why I was forced to use an alternate email address.

TooManyRadios wrote:

> In article <a3rnea$1fhj$453@news.cz.js.cn>, "ï¿½â»¢"
> <43211234@zb-public.sd.cninfo.net> writes:
>
> >ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ë®.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ð¾ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Ó¦ï¿½ï¿½
>
> Um, how about trying it in ENGLISH next time?

2122. STILL WTB a PCR-1000!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-06 23:57:34 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-MMM-noneya@noneya.com>
Message-ID: <u63gmshvaart5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52690

I am still looking for a great deal on a PCR-1000....If you are looking to selling yours, or know where I might get a great deal please email me at ....

NOSPAM-stalker@lvcm.com

(Please remove "NOSPAM-" to reply!!!)

Thanks for your time!
Scott

2123. My Scanner Ch.'s for Ottawa, ON, Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 00:03:24 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <gvj88.3338$6lf1.974@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 52691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Bank 1

OCRPS
System Frequencies
Main Simulcasted System ID 0001
LCN Frequency Notes
10 867.4625 Voice (Active) Ch. 1
9 866.8375 Voice (Off-Line) Ch. 2
8 866.2125 Data & Voice (Active) Ch. 3
7 867.7125 Data & Voice (Active) Ch. 4
6 867.3375 Data & Voice (Active) Ch. 5
5 866.7125 Data & Voice (Active) Ch. 6
4 866.4625 Data & Voice (Active) Ch. 7
3 867.2125 Data & Voice (Active) Ch. 8
2 866.9625 Data & Voice (Active) Ch. 9
1 866.3375 Data & Voice Ch.10
OPP 2A 141.4350 Mhz Ch. 11
OPP 2B 141.6900 Mhz Ch. 12
OPP OPC 142.7700 Mhz Ch. 13
OPP SIM 1 140.9700 Mhz Ch. 14
OPP PBL 411.3125 Mhz [None] Ch. 15
OPP K-9 411.8125 Mhz [107.2] Ch. 16
OPP RU 411.5125 MHZ [107.2] Ch. 17
421.4375 131.8 RCMP Diplomatic Det. Ch. 18
421.5375 123.0 RCMP Traffic Enforcement Ch. 19
411.6375 192.8 OC Transpo Transit Security Ch. 20

Bank 2

149.5250 110.9 RMOC Regional Emergency Measures Unit Ch. 21
151.1450 Many West Carleton Rptr Out Ch. 22
153.7700 None Goulbourn Twp. Main Dispatch Ch. 23

153.8600 100.0 Cumberland Fire Main Dispatch Ch. 24
154.0700 Many Ontario Fire Marshall All Depts in Region Have This Freq. Ch.
25
154.1750 Many Regional Mutual Aid All Depts in Region Have This Freq. Ch.
26
154.8900 218.1 Nepean Fire Main Rptr Out Ch. 27
154.3700 136.5 Ottawa Fire Main Rptr Out Ch. 28
154.8300 136.5 Ottawa Fire Main Rptr Out Ch. 29
155.6100 156.7 Gloucester Fire Main Rptr Out Ch. 30
169.2150 Ukn Chelsea Que. Ch. 31
142.8900 118.8 OEMS ALS Channel Ch. 32
148.2100 118.8 OEMS Rptr Out. Dispatch (OTT2) Ch. 33
149.6050 118.8 OEMS Rptr Out. Dispatch (Provincial Tactical) Ch. 34
150.1000 None MOH Provincial Common Ch. 35
129.2750 None MOH AM MODE (Dispatch for Air Amb.) Ch. 36
132.550 None MOH AM MODE (Air Amb.) Ch. 37
413.7625 None MOH UHF Link to 154.5400 Ch. 38
413.8625 UKN MOH Regional EMU Ch. 39
419.1875 None MOH UHF Link to 148.2100mhz Ch. 40

Bank 3

413.8125 R 118.8 TAC - UHF Repeater Link to 149.6050 Ch. 41
414.7375 R 118.8 XMI773 D1 - UHF Repeater Link (PL 203.5 For paging) Ch. 42
415.2375 R 118.8 Provincial Common UHF Repeater Link to 150.1000 Ch. 43
413.6875 R 118.8 XMI725 D2 - UHF Repeater Link to 148.2100 Ch. 44
410.3375 R None CJO927 Air Ambulance - Cornwall Dispatch Center - UHF
LinkCh. 45
414.2125 R 118.8 XNI747 UHF Repeater Link to Almonte Ch. 46
149.4400 S 118.8 XMI746 Ambulance Paging Channel Ch. 47
148.6550 R 118.8 XJM754 IAC - Inter-Agency Channel Ch. 48

Bank4
455.4875 Air watch traffic XFM 101.1, CHEZ 106.1 and Y105 Ch. 61
458.3625 Skywords traffic Star 96 FM KOOL FM 93.9 Ch. 62
455.6625 Unkn @ this time but active Ch. 63
455.500 Airwatch Traffic Ch. 64
143.940 MTO Traffic Road Reports & Such Ch. 65
425.9625 RCMP Helicopter Ch. 66
422.1375 RCMP ?????? Ch. 67
427.0875 RCMP ?????? Ch. 68
421.6625 110.9 Report DND SIU Channel Ch. 69
421.8875 DES Reported CSIS Frequency (Encyrypted) Ch. 70
460.5875 167.9 Regional Housing Authority Ch. 71

Bank 5

Parliment Hills Motorola System 2C1E (Type II) XKF203
Channel Frequency Notes Ch. 81
5 868.0625 Data & Voice Ch. 82
4 867.5625 Data & Voice Ch. 83
3 867.0625 Data & Voice Ch. 84

2 866.5625 Data & Voice Ch. 85
1 866.0625 Morse ID, and Voice Ch. 86

Bank 6
Frequency CTCSS USE
411.1250 OCTranspo F1 - Main Ch. 101
412.1500 OCTranspo F2 - General Use Ch. 102
410.3875 OCTranspo F3 - (?) Ch. 103
411.4375 OCTranspo F4 - Operations Ch. 104
410.1375 OCTranspo F5 - Supervisors Ch. 105
411.9375 OCTranspo F6 - Scheduling Ch. 106
410.6375 OCTranspo F7 - Maintenance Ch. 107
411.4875 OCTranspo F8 - ParaTranspo Ch. 108
411.6375 OCTranspo F9 - Security Ch. 109
411.7875 OCTranspo F10 - (?) Ch. 110
160.275 O-Train Ch. 111

2124. Pro 2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 00:20:38 UTC
From: inkaddict@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020206192038.22749.00001541@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 52692

I have a pro 2006 and would like to know if it possible to get some type of weather fax or rtty with this unit. I have been listening to local police and fire for years but now would like to broaden my horizon of the air waves.
tia
Bob

2125. t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 00:24:25 UTC
From: ANDY <sat1@surfanytime.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3c61c917$1_2@news1.vip.uk.com>
Source record: 52693

t

2126. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 00:48:10 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u63joep3rmjt10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52695

```
Exactly why it shouldn't be banned anymore.
"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:c7188.682$k5.432602@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> What would be gained by this? We'd be tuning an almost dead band.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "William Ferguson" <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:u616p0do0p5k53@corp.supernews.com...
> > As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the
> scannist
> > argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you to
> > express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go
to
> > the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.
> >
> >
>
>
```

2127. Re: FCC Lookup Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 01:06:20 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <nnk36u07eg7f8k9qe5epg3p0a1utbsloa1@4ax.com>
Source record: 52696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For sure. Same error persist! =\

- pcmike

On Wed, 06 Feb 2002 21:27:04 GMT a plead for help arrived from "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>, in which he/she requested:

>Have you tried running it in 9X compatibility mode?

2128. Re: RS Pro-93 Help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 02:15:36 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a3snt9$1an8m9$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52597

Not yet. Still working out the firmware bugs ;)

"Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
news:3c61a5ab_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Just wondering if anyone has any modding info or tricks for the RS Pro-93?
> Any help or links would be much appreciated!
>
> Thanks,
> Jigs
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2129. Re: (*) Icom R2 For sale (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 04:17:12 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cdn88.75478$d16.16068820@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 52611

Retails for $250.00 new?

This one takes the 2002 longest eBay description award. SHEEESH!

;)

"Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:aU588.17520$Ib.2956011@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330973789
>
> --
> Gal 5:19-21 New American Standard
> "Now the deeds of the flesh are evident, which are:
> immorality, impurity, sensuality, idolatry, sorcery,
> enmities, strife, jealousy, outbursts of anger, disputes,
> dissensions, factions, envying, drunkenness, carousing,
> and things like these, of which I forewarn you, just as I
> have forewarned you, that those who practice such things
> will not inherit the kingdom of God.
>
>

2130. WTB scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 04:44:18 UTC
From: emarc23101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020206234418.17366.00004605@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 52599

My roof mounted Scantenna finally succombed to the weather and I am in the market for either a Monitenna or a Radio Shack 20-014, both of which are discontinued. I would prefer to buy a new one. If anyone has either antenna for sale or knows where I can obtain either one, send me an e-mail. I'd be glad to take one off your hands. I monitor all public safety bands so if anyone, has any other antennas in mind, let me know.

2131. Re: RS Pro-93 Help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 04:53:07 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uLn88.157$2l3.145470@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52600

I have one for the headphone output improvement.
http://www.favorites.com/~robtaylor/Pro-93%20Modifications.htm

"Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
news:3c61a5ab_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Just wondering if anyone has any modding info or tricks for the RS Pro-93?
> Any help or links would be much appreciated!
>
> Thanks,
> Jigs
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2132. Re: Icom R2 Price - $119.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 05:15:49 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <94o88.25666$Hu6.5933019@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Brenda Ann" <servfsr@kornet.net> wrote in message
news:a3qkhbfoe1@hiline.shinbiro.com...
> I haven't really had a lot of intermod problems.. of course, the first
thing
> I did was to lose that stupid stock antenna, and put on a telescoping
> antenna that I could raise and lower to handle different bands/signal
> levels.. the thing was virtually deaf below 8 MHz with the stock antenna..
> with the one I'm running on it, it works great. :)

Where did you get the telescoping antenna?
DeWayne KE9K

>
>
> "sbs" <sbs20@cvip.net> wrote in message news:3C609650.622190D9@cvip.net...
> > Plus,no tone squelch on the VR-500 that and the intermod is why I also
got
> rid of
> > mine soon after purchase.
> >
> > BIG DADDY KING wrote:
> >
> > > In article <a3ggkc$q7b$1@hiline.shinbiro.com>, servfsr@kornet.net
> says...
> > > > And still aren't half the radio of the Yaesu VR-500 at under $200.
> This one
> > > > receives CW/SSB, etc...
> > > > "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:zMN68.6761$Hu6.1591529@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > > > http://www.chq-inc.com/ $119.95 + $6 shipping. I just ordered one
> from
> > > > > Burghardt's for $130. Oh well. A year or so ago they were selling
> for
> > > > around
> > > > > $200.
> > > > > DeWayne KE9K
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Actually, I kept my R-2, and almost instantly sold the VR-500. the
> > > intermod drove me nuts! SSB and all that's cool, but if it's getting

> > > crap mixed with it, forget it..
> > >
> > > BDK
> >
>
>

2133. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 05:22:45 UTC
From: Leonard <louis@prism.netxx>
Message-ID: <bn346u05i72gmah4b4jdg2qitv7qplfopc@4ax.com>
Source record: 52602

On Wed, 6 Feb 2002 12:22:00 -0800, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote:

>In article <g8d16uckudrq4gu1hfvi8vn6gk9mtmkvqf@4ax.com>,
>darrin99@nospam.iname.com says...
>> On Wed, 06 Feb 2002 02:09:23 GMT, "Fat Bastard's Opium Den"
>> <fatbastard44501@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Sounds about right about the short. although, RG6 (I belive) is 75 ohm and
>> >it should be 50 ohm like RG58/9u or RG8 . I dont think it would attenuate
>> >the signal that bad. buy a cheap ohmmeter somewhere and check it out.
>>
>> It might just be attenuation. I found my discone works no better than
>> the stock antenna with cable longer than 50'. With 100', I doubt mine
>> would work well too.
>
>
>Rg58/9u would be far worse than the RG-6. Yes rg-6 is 75 ohms but for
>the 1,000,000,000,000 time, IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE TO YOUR RECEIVER.
>RG6 triple shield is far better than any of the 50 ohm cables you have
>mentioned, period.
>
>
>
>Glenn

How about Belden 9913F? How well does it compare?
>

2134. Re: Neeed Freq List for Honolulu Hawaii if any one has it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 05:24:20 UTC
From: Brandon <brandon@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <8co88.2297$n27.228639@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52603

Check out AH7E's site for lots of Hawaii freqs

http://www.qsl.net/ah7e/

"John Porter" <jkporter@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:91AB19CE2jkporterhawaiirrcom@66.8.133.2...
> Aloha all just found one of my old scanners and with new batteries the
> thing still works! It is the Pro-23 UHF/VHF (50) chaanels! Problem is I
> don't have any freq lists for Hawaii like the good stuff and 2 meaters Any
> help would be apreiciated drop it at here or my e-mail
> jkporter2000@yahoo.com Tthanks!
>

2135. Frequencies during the olympics?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 05:27:19 UTC
From: g0ing2 <g0ing2@users.sourceforge.removv_this_to_send_me_email.net>
Message-ID: <3C620F2E.61073262@users.sourceforge.removv_this_to_send_me_email.net>
Source record: 52604

I am lucky/unlucky enough to be in Salt Lake City during the Olympics. Does anyone know what frequencies might be the most interesting. I'm particularly curious about the Secret Service, FBI, and the communications between military aircraft that will be patrolling but I'd also be interested in knowing any frequencies that you think might be worth listening to. Thanks.

2136. Re: A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 05:41:27 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20020207004127.09900.00002670@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 52605

The only reason they need to sell you that TSP (Tandy service plan) is because the equipment they are selling you is INFERIOR KRAP!!!

2137. Re: A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 05:45:57 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <C516BF4D77B404A7.309F387C6413D736.FD73AC8FEDC53770@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 52606

On 6 Feb 2002 02:46:32 -0800, jedimacewindu@yahoo.com (Rick Poehling) wrote:

>Name and Address. Every Radio Shack employee hates those words.
>Please, if I can ask any favor of you as customers, if you wish to
>decline, please do so politlely. You'll make our day. Again, the
>employees are the ones screwed over- if an employee maintains a N&A
>below 85%, it is a terminatable offense. That employee is asking
>because it's his job, and it isn't good for him when you say no.

And he can deal with it the same way I do; go somewhere else. Should I be polite to muggers because they're just doing their job? I've walked from more crap jobs than most people have had, and it's cost me in pay cuts a few times, but I gain a lot more self respect by not using the 'just doing my job' copout.

>How can we be better? Keeping in mind I can't change the prices, how
>can I be a better sales associate for you guys?

Leave, take everybody you can with you, and discourage anyone and everyone you meet from working there until RS changes.

You want to be a better sales associate for me? Help keep the few real parts stores in business and dealing with individuals, rather than bulk sales and 100+ unit minimum orders only.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

2138. NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 06:06:24 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020207010624.06764.00001098@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 52607

I've gotten much feedback and I appretiate it, but I need a straight answer. Is there ANYONE on this newsgroup that monitors the NJSP in the Toms River area that can give me an ACCURATE listing of 800 mhz trunked frequencies in use by the troppers that operate in this area. I've seen many conflicting lists of frequencies and need to know from someone who actually monitors in this area.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2139. Re: FS scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 06:13:14 UTC
From: TexasSteven <rothrock@stic.net>
Message-ID: <3c6219ac$0$27754$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 52608

Hmmmm.....They MUST be .....

GIVE - A - WAYS!!!

I'll take the pro 2004 400 channel !!

Just send it UPS!!

TexasSteven

2140. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 06:16:45 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <hZo88.3271$WHj1.1374@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 52609

Here this may help.

http://www.parkwayguide.com/scanner/

Randy
Ottawa, Canada

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca
"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020207010624.06764.00001098@mb-fn.aol.com...
> I've gotten much feedback and I appretiate it, but I need a straight
answer. Is
> there ANYONE on this newsgroup that monitors the NJSP in the Toms River
area
> that can give me an ACCURATE listing of 800 mhz trunked frequencies in use
by
> the troppers that operate in this area. I've seen many conflicting lists
of
> frequencies and need to know from someone who actually monitors in this
area.
> =-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2141. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 06:18:05 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <x_o88.3273$WHj1.1375@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 52610

Or this http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetnj.htm

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca
"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020207010624.06764.00001098@mb-fn.aol.com...
> I've gotten much feedback and I appretiate it, but I need a straight
answer. Is
> there ANYONE on this newsgroup that monitors the NJSP in the Toms River
area
> that can give me an ACCURATE listing of 800 mhz trunked frequencies in use
by
> the troppers that operate in this area. I've seen many conflicting lists
of
> frequencies and need to know from someone who actually monitors in this
area.
> =-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2142. Message Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 06:31:52 UTC
From: Joe <radiogod@dallasheat.com>
Message-ID: <3C621F58.6B659C8C@dallasheat.com>
Source record: 52612

Hi Scanner folks. I have a message board dedicated to scanning on my web site. www.dallasheat.com just click on the Radio Message Board link drop by and check it out if you get a chance. Any input will pe appreciated. Joe

2143. Olympic Frequency Prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 06:48:06 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <Gqp88.3296$WHj1.962@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 52613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out the prices they are allocating for freqencies in and around Salt
Lake City Utah for the Olympics
http://slocfrequency.com/register/index.php

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca

2144. Scanner Stuff For Salt Lake City Utah for Olympics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 06:55:02 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <axp88.3297$WHj1.1653@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 52614
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just wondering if there will be anyone broadcasting there scanners from home from any of the 4 zones allocated for the Olympics in and around Salt Lake City Quite a bit of spectrum is being used for the event. I'm sure many of us would like to follow along with this from a scanner point of view. And being able to do this online.

If you live in the region and would like to know how you can put your scanner online, Join the Scanner audio group listed below.

And never mind replying about all the digital comm's happening and the fact you won't be able to hear anything. I'm sure you'll hear lots.....

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

2145. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 07:07:04 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VHp88.23$kL1.16783@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52615

In article <bn346u05i72gmah4b4jdg2qitv7qplfopc@4ax.com>,
louis@prism.netxx says...
> On Wed, 6 Feb 2002 12:22:00 -0800, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <g8d16uckudrq4gu1hfvi8vn6gk9mtmkvqf@4ax.com>,
> >darrin99@nospam.iname.com says...
> >> On Wed, 06 Feb 2002 02:09:23 GMT, "Fat Bastard's Opium Den"
> >> <fatbastard44501@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Sounds about right about the short. although, RG6 (I belive) is 75 ohm and
> >> >it should be 50 ohm like RG58/9u or RG8 . I dont think it would attenuate
> >> >the signal that bad. buy a cheap ohmmeter somewhere and check it out.
> >>
> >> It might just be attenuation. I found my discone works no better than
> >> the stock antenna with cable longer than 50'. With 100', I doubt mine
> >> would work well too.
> >
> >
> >Rg58/9u would be far worse than the RG-6. Yes rg-6 is 75 ohms but for
> >the 1,000,000,000,000 time, IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE TO YOUR RECEIVER.
> >RG6 triple shield is far better than any of the 50 ohm cables you have
> >mentioned, period.
> >
> >
> >
> >Glenn
>
> How about Belden 9913F? How well does it compare?

Attenuation
MHZ 1 10 50 100 200 400 700 900

9913 0.15 0.4 0.9 1.4 1.8 2.6 3.6 4.2
RG-6 0.16 0.57 1.4 2.0 2.8 4.3 5.6 6.0

I think the F has a bit more attenuation then the above. I would still
opt for RG-6 because the losses are that high. I have been using LMR-
400 for ham radio purposes and RG-6 for all my scanners.

Here where I got the info:

http://www.davisrf.com/ham1/coax.htm

and

http://www.timesmicrowave.com/cgi-bin/byteserver/products/commercial/selectguide/LMRGuide.pdf

Hope it helps

Glenn

2146. Re: WTB scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 07:48:11 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a3tbfv$1b9erk$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52616

So how did you like the Scantenna? You aren't going back to the same one?

"Emarc23101" <emarc23101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020206234418.17366.00004605@mb-fy.aol.com...
> My roof mounted Scantenna finally succombed to the weather and I am in the
> market for either a Monitenna or a Radio Shack 20-014, both of which are
> discontinued. I would prefer to buy a new one. If anyone has either
antenna for
> sale or knows where I can obtain either one, send me an e-mail. I'd be
glad to
> take one off your hands. I monitor all public safety bands so if anyone,
has
> any other antennas in mind, let me know.
>

2147. pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 12:14:30 UTC
From: David <dstanley23@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Gcu88.6117$Pz4.79475@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 52617

is this a goog starter? on sale at RS for 169.00
any info helpful

Thankd

David

2148. Filtering Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 13:28:18 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@nospamtm.net>
Message-ID: <Shv88.394$TS.46572@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 52618

Include the words NOSPAM in your email address in your newsgroups account setup with whatever program you are using to read these newsgroups. Include somewhere in your message "To email directly remove NOSPAM from email address."

There is some kind of automated program that scours newgroups searching for email addresses and saves the email addresses and then spams them with their junk. This is my understanding in a simplist form as to how that happens.

I have also been told that if you use Microsoft Outlook/Outlook Express and use the address book, that if you create a entry in the address book with just the letter A and another as Z as a name, with no other information entered in the field, that it helps to defeat those viruses that scour your email address books and replicate themselves to everyone in your address book. Something along the lines of it defeats it when it does not have a address to send itself to. I do not claim this to be true, but if it works, thats true. This is only an allegation at this point and I am not stating it as fact.

Just gotta love technology!
Ryan KC8PMX

<jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C61B2DE.14CEC210@USA.net...
> This is most likely the same SOB that keep loading my email box with
> pornographic crap that we DON'T want.
>
> Well at the least it's the same language style.
>
> That's why I was forced to use an alternate email address.
>
> TooManyRadios wrote:
>
> > In article <a3rnea$1fhj$453@news.cz.js.cn>, "□"
> > <43211234@zb-public.sd.cninfo.net> writes:
> >
> > >□.□ □o□Ö□
> >
> > Um, how about trying it in ENGLISH next time?
>

2149. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 13:37:34 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16cc4d14d5331ddd989682@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 52619

In article <20020207010624.06764.00001098@mb-fn.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...
> I've gotten much feedback and I appretiate it, but I need a straight answer. Is
> there ANYONE on this newsgroup that monitors the NJSP in the Toms River area

Use MOT mode to program the C Troop trunked freqs. provided by several
members of this group. Talkgroup IDs which cover the TR area, not the
GSP, include 10240 and 10320, they are dispatched out of 5-Comm
Allenwood Station. Also be advised that A1-03 East (A Troop) 5-Comm
Dispatch (Tuckerton Station) Troop Cars (Talkgroup IDs 06144/06160) do
at times wander into the Dover Township area.

2150. Re: BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 14:07:07 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <a3u1o1$fe4$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 52565

Dan Marz wrote in message <3C61078D.4080908@zip.com.au>...
>If you have problems with engine whine you need an inline filter to
>correct this. My 780 works fine without a filter.

Same here - no problems at all. (Excellent little radio, too...)

2151. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 14:27:11 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020207092711.25819.00000704@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 52620

In article <20020206111745.06135.00001419@mb-ca.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) writes:

>Ok, just found that the freq's I programmed in are for Troop C, not the one
>that serves my area. According to what I found, Troop E is the GSP, but I
>can't
>seem to find freq's for them, only A, B, and C... any ideas?

Use the C (Central) frequencies, Repeaters are in Dover and Holmdel. You will
be
able to monitor GSP from Bloomfield to Bass River. I have a Pro2052 (base) and
Pro-93 (Portable) that I monitor NJSP with. Since NJSP use a type IIi system.
I
programmed the same 14 frequencies in two separate banks. One bank I have set
as a type I fleetmap and programmed all the type I talkgroup ID's in it. The
other bank is set as a type II and I programmed all the type II talkgroups in
it. Then I scan
the ID banks I have programmed in. Bank 1 scans the type I ID's and Bank 2
scans
the type II ID's. I have heard others use one bank set as a type II and
convert the type I ID's but I haven't tried this method. Good Luck

2152. Re: Olympic Frequency Prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 15:08:43 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u6561rn2bv6m74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wants a log-in name and password...

PH

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:Gqp88.3296$WHj1.962@news1.bloor.is...
> Check out the prices they are allocating for freqencies in and around Salt
> Lake City Utah for the Olympics
> http://slocfrequency.com/register/index.php
>
> --
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
> National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> http://www.nysing.org
>
> Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories
>
> Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> http://www.thebeerstore.ca
>
>

2153. FA: AOR AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 15:21:12 UTC
From: Phillip Novess <phill@kalzoo.com>
Message-ID: <IXw88.17766$3E5.1474730@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52622

One day left on this item.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330016613

2154. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 15:35:46 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C629ED1.9B0A7E34@optonline.net>
Source record: 52623

I use this method, and it works very well. If you are interested, I can
post or email the converted Type I IDs.

Walt

Jim wrote:

> .... I have heard others use one bank set as a type II and
> convert the type I ID's but I haven't tried this method. Good Luck

>
> In article <20020206111745.06135.00001419@mb-ca.aol.com>,
> vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) writes:
>
> >Ok, just found that the freq's I programmed in are for Troop C, not the one
> >that serves my area. According to what I found, Troop E is the GSP, but I
> >can't
> >seem to find freq's for them, only A, B, and C... any ideas?
>
> Use the C (Central) frequencies, Repeaters are in Dover and Holmdel. You will
> be
> able to monitor GSP from Bloomfield to Bass River. I have a Pro2052 (base) and
> Pro-93 (Portable) that I monitor NJSP with. Since NJSP use a type IIi system.
> I
> programmed the same 14 frequencies in two separate banks. One bank I have set
> as a type I fleetmap and programmed all the type I talkgroup ID's in it. The
> other bank is set as a type II and I programmed all the type II talkgroups in
> it. Then I scan
> the ID banks I have programmed in. Bank 1 scans the type I ID's and Bank 2
> scans
> the type II ID's. I have heard others use one bank set as a type II and
> convert the type I ID's but I haven't tried this method. Good Luck

2155. Re: pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 15:46:06 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C62A13E.548AEAE0@optonline.net>
Source record: 52624

Make sure you get one with date code 11A01 or later.

Press "3" while the opening screen is displayed to check the firmware
version. Should be 1.01 or 1.03.

Version 1.00 has software bugs.

Walt

David wrote:
>
> is this a goog starter? on sale at RS for 169.00
> any info helpful
>
> Thankd
>
> David

2156. What would you do with this Pro-93 with vers 1.0?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 16:03:46 UTC
From: Nathan Watson <nwatson@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <3c62a3ae$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 52625

I bought it yesterday because of the price and it seems like a nice scanner.
I have version 1.00 firmware , and now I've been reading about bugs in it.
Should I take it back for a refund? What would you do?

Thanks for the input,
Jigs

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2157. f.s. amateur radio portable yaseu ft 411-e 43 channels with ctcss extended tx and rx 150.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 16:42:05 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <kBn88.18441$l5.611129@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 52598

for sale:

yaseu FT-411 E

portable radio
43 channels with scan
2 vfo selections
1 search between 2 channels
built in ctcss tx/tx
instant programming through keyboard

transmits and receives
130 - 173.995 Mhz
with original box, manual, wall charger/adapter, leather carrying case
and rubberduck antenna. In excellent condition

150.00US includes 4 day shipping with tracking number

paypal, certified bank check or international (pink) postalchecks

thanks
shyguy@cogeco.ca

2158. Re: WTB scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 16:54:25 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <5jy88.1326$AY.382116@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 52626

I've heard good things about the Hustler MRM, have one on order.

--

_____
"Emarc23101" <emarc23101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020206234418.17366.00004605@mb-fy.aol.com...
> My roof mounted Scantenna finally succombed to the weather and I am in the
> market for either a Monitenna or a Radio Shack 20-014, both of which are
> discontinued. I would prefer to buy a new one. If anyone has either antenna for
> sale or knows where I can obtain either one, send me an e-mail. I'd be glad to
> take one off your hands. I monitor all public safety bands so if anyone, has
> any other antennas in mind, let me know.
>

2159. Re: What would you do with this Pro-93 with vers 1.0?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 18:36:49 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a3uhd4$1b9keo$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52627

Ask RS to exchange it for a newer firmware.
It is a good radio even with the bugs.

"Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
news:3c62a3ae$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I bought it yesterday because of the price and it seems like a nice
scanner.
> I have version 1.00 firmware , and now I've been reading about bugs in it.
> Should I take it back for a refund? What would you do?
>
> Thanks for the input,
> Jigs
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2160. Re: DoD to Share Spectrum with First Responders (138-144 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 18:37:47 UTC
From: N9NWO <N9NWO@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <eai56ukd6bo20tlc9h6fcd5elll307ujq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 52629
Cross-posted to: alt.military,alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 05 Feb 2002 21:21:47 GMT, Brooke Rowe
<world-national-security@pacbell.net> wrote:

:DoD to Share Spectrum with First Responders
:
:(EXCERPT) The Department of Defense today submitted its report to
:Congress regarding the feasibility of sharing the 138-144 MHz band
:with public safety users. A DoD Joint Spectrum Center engineering
:study identified ways sharing would be possible without interfering
:with DoD operations.
:
:Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Spectrum and C3 Policy
:Steven Price said, "We believe it is possible to share portions of the
:138-144 MHz band with public safety users on a limited, coordinated
:basis. DoD is willing to work with National Telecommunications and
:Information Administration, state and local governments and first
:responders on a case-by-case basis to explore sharing the band for the
:common good."
:
:While the 138-144 MHz band continues to be critical to DoD operations,
:the department has found it helpful in emergencies to share
:communication systems with other first responders. A small number of
:channels may be shared on a regional basis when it is to the mutual
:benefit of DoD and public safety officials.
:
:DoD operations that would be affected if this band were interrupted
:through heavy use of too many channels would include air-surface-air,
:air traffic control and ground support functions at military
:airfields, tactical communications for close air support, land mobile
:radios for sustaining installation infrastructure support and land
:mobile radios and specialized equipment for training and test range
:support. Other systems that would be affected include fire and
:security alarms, and hydrology and utility controls.
:
:The National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal 2000 reclaimed for
:federal, primarily DoD, use of three megahertz in the 138-144 MHz band
:previously identified, pursuant to requirements of the Balanced Budget
:Act of 1997, for reallocation for mixed federal government and
:non-federal government uses. As Congress understood, the recovery of 3
:MHz was and is crucial to fulfilling DoD's spectrum requirements.
:However, in the conference report, DoD was asked to provide a
:technical report assessing the feasibility of sharing the 138-144 MHz
:band with public safety users. In the fiscal 2001 authorization,

:Congress directed DoD, in cooperation with the Justice Department and
:the NTIA, to provide for an engineering study with regard to spectrum
:sharing in the 138-144 MHz band. The assistant secretary of Defense
:for Command Control Communication and Intelligence (C3I) has submitted
:this report to the Senate Armed Services Committee and the House Armed
:Services Committee.
:
:Under direction of Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command,
:Control, Communications and Intelligence (C3I) John Stenbit, the DoD
:Joint Spectrum Center conducted an engineering study regarding sharing
:in the 138-144 MHz band. The resultant classified study showed that
:the areas of operation associated with the DoD frequency usage in the
:138-144 MHz band encompasses nearly the entire continental United
:States. Large distance separations would be required to prevent
:co-channel and adjacent-channel interference between DoD equipment and
:potential state and local public safety systems, particularly in the
:case of DoD air-ground-air radios.
:
:http://www.defenselink.mil/news/Feb2002/b02052002_bt056-02.html
:
:---------------------------
: Brooke Rowe
: Associate Librarian
: The American War Library
: http://www.americanwarlibrary.com

These are MARS frequencies as well. MARS is the one service that
military, civilian governmental units and ham radio operators can all
share.

2161. Re: What would you do with this Pro-93 with vers 1.0?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 18:57:47 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nospam.iname.com>
Message-ID: <skj56uojviq875t3jv5nvtuvsqeggv223p@4ax.com>
Source record: 52628

On Thu, 7 Feb 2002 11:03:46 -0500, "Nathan Watson"
<nwatson@frognet.net> wrote:

>I bought it yesterday because of the price and it seems like a nice scanner.
>I have version 1.00 firmware , and now I've been reading about bugs in it.
>Should I take it back for a refund? What would you do?
>
>Thanks for the input,
>Jigs
>

You have 30 days to return it, so bring it back for the "fixed" one.

2162. Re: Icom R2 Price - $119.95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 19:36:02 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0202071136.3657e92a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<94o88.25666$Hu6.5933019@typhoon.neo.rr.com>...

> Where did you get the telescoping antenna?
> DeWayne KE9K
>

I use the Radio Shack antenna with my scanner. It does a wonderful
job and at $10, it is hard to beat. Here is a link:

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/6732.htm

http://frs-usa.com

2163. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 20:41:57 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <efp56uk8n550842dnqrbveoi0p7o2s8939@4ax.com>
Source record: 52631

On Thu, 07 Feb 2002 05:22:45 GMT, Leonard <louis@prism.netxx> wrote:

>On Wed, 6 Feb 2002 12:22:00 -0800, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>In article <g8d16uckudrq4gu1hfvi8vn6gk9mtmkvqf@4ax.com>,
>>darrin99@nospam.iname.com says...
>>> On Wed, 06 Feb 2002 02:09:23 GMT, "Fat Bastard's Opium Den"
>>> <fatbastard44501@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >Sounds about right about the short. although, RG6 (I belive) is 75 ohm and
>>> >it should be 50 ohm like RG58/9u or RG8 . I dont think it would attenuate
>>> >the signal that bad. buy a cheap ohmmeter somewhere and check it out.
>>>
>>> It might just be attenuation. I found my discone works no better than
>>> the stock antenna with cable longer than 50'. With 100', I doubt mine
>>> would work well too.
>>
>>
>>Rg58/9u would be far worse than the RG-6. Yes rg-6 is 75 ohms but for
>>the 1,000,000,000,000 time, IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE TO YOUR RECEIVER.
>>RG6 triple shield is far better than any of the 50 ohm cables you have
>>mentioned, period.
>>
>>
>>
>>Glenn
>
>How about Belden 9913F? How well does it compare?
First, ohmeter is worthless. the impedance is the characteristic
impedance, which is NOT the DC resistance.

9913 is excellent cable.

The reality is for a receiver, the difference between 75 ohm and 50
ohm is negliglbe. while the input may be characterized as 50 ohm or 75
ohm, and better description is simply Low-Z because as move thorugh
the spectrum the input impediance will change,
and the losses in a receiver from using a 50 ohm instead of 75 ohm
feed are going to measured in fractions of 1db... They don't add up to
anything...
>>

2164. Re: What would you do with this Pro-93 with vers 1.0?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 20:46:48 UTC
From: Jay Bollyn <jbollyn@neiu.edu>
Message-ID: <a3up42$1b8rs2$1@ID-119496.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52632

"Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
news:3c62a3ae$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I bought it yesterday because of the price and it seems like a nice
scanner.
> I have version 1.00 firmware , and now I've been reading about bugs in it.
> Should I take it back for a refund? What would you do?
>
> Thanks for the input,
> Jigs
>

In my experience, any version 1.0 software will tend to have problems. Also
true, to a lesser extent, with 2.0, 3.0, etc. They are all significant
changes from the previous version.

2165. Re: Military air scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 21:32:38 UTC
From: smythe@nospam.worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3c62f293.24507901@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52633

>I am going to buy a scanner that will be used strictly for military air freqs.
>Can you help me with some suggestions?
>Thanks

try this:

http://eje.railfan.net/miltenna/#anchor2773032

2166. Re: RS Pro-93 Help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 21:43:08 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020207164308.18367.00000071@mb-mt.news.cs.com>
Source record: 52634

If less than 30 days old return to RS for refund! Free tip of the day

2167. Re: Military air scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 21:59:19 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u65u65g8p56e12@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52635

I'm very happy with the RS PRO-2052 base for milair -
I think it may soon be discontinued and found for less $ too.
And 1000 channels.

Dennis
Putnam Co NY
==========

"BLJK8" <bljk8@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020206122331.20526.00001251@mb-ma.aol.com...
> I am going to buy a scanner that will be used strictly for military air
freqs.
> Can you help me with some suggestions?
> Thanks

2168. AOR AR8200 vs. ICOM ICR3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 22:27:27 UTC
From: uid0-41441-bla@catastrophe.net
Message-ID: <71f23384.0202071427.49defa5a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52636

I'm caught between wanting to get an 8200MKII and an ICR3.

Which would people get here if they had the chance?

Thanks.

-#0

2169. Is there some ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 22:31:07 UTC
From: tazmanjohn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <f1eb20ef.0202071431.2b9e67e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52637

Fun stuff or mods for the realistic (radio shack) pro-25?
I like to test that stuff with mine pro25 and i hope that
i can scan under the 66 range...

Thanx
john

2170. Control Channel Only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 22:50:12 UTC
From: jfaul@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3c63048e.41690602@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 52638

I programmed my 780 XLT with the four control channels for Ft. Lauderdale and have it in control channel only mode. My talkgroups were already programmed and everything appears to be working. Does this sound correct? It seems that control channel only is more efficient since you are only scanning the control channels. Am i correct? Any insight to control channel only would be helpful.

Thanks,
jfaul@bellsouth.net

2171. Re: Military air scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 23:03:30 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C6307C2.3000809@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52639

Den6N wrote:

> I'm very happy with the RS PRO-2052 base for milair -
> I think it may soon be discontinued and found for less $ too.
> And 1000 channels.
>
> Dennis
> Putnam Co NY

Granted the PRO-2052 does very well in the "normal" MilAir band of 225MHz - 400MHz. I have one and do like it. However there is one short-coming of this radio for the MilAir listener. That is the 138MHz - 144MHz. This band is used a lot for Military Aircraft Air-Air and most of these comms are AM. The PRO-2052 does not allow you to switch from FM to AM in this frequency range.

In my area there are a lot of 138-144 comms to listen to.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and MORE!

2172. Re: AOR AR8200 vs. ICOM ICR3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 23:06:51 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <fMD88.9622$th4.4408148@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52640

Well, from everything I have read the ICOM R3 pretty much sucks.

I was thinking of getting an 8200 myself but have at this point
decided on an Alinco DJ-X2000.

"Rewt" <uid0-41441-bla@catastrophe.net> wrote in message
news:71f23384.0202071427.49defa5a@posting.google.com...
> I'm caught between wanting to get an 8200MKII and an ICR3.
>
> Which would people get here if they had the chance?
>
> Thanks.
>
> -#0

2173. Re: pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 23:08:35 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <TND88.9652$th4.4412668@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52641

"David" <dstanley23@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Gcu88.6117$Pz4.79475@rwcrnsc53...
> is this a goog starter? on sale at RS for 169.00
> any info helpful
>
> Thankd
>
> David
>
>

2174. Re: pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 23:11:57 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <1RD88.9707$th4.4423786@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52642

WTF is a "goog" ?

Just kigging.

Get one and have some fun. It's a fine radio!

"David" <dstanley23@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Gcu88.6117$Pz4.79475@rwcrnsc53...
> is this a goog starter? on sale at RS for 169.00
> any info helpful
>
> Thankd
>
> David
>
>

2175. Re: (*) Icom R2 For sale (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-07 23:58:48 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <YwE88.24253$Gb1.5448174@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 52643

```
i think i've seen it for $139 new.
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cdn88.75478$d16.16068820@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Retails for $250.00 new?
>
> This one takes the 2002 longest eBay description award. SHEEESH!
>
> ; )
>
> "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:aU588.17520$Ib.2956011@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330973789
> >
> > --
> > Gal 5:19-21 New American Standard
> > "Now the deeds of the flesh are evident, which are:
> > immorality, impurity, sensuality, idolatry, sorcery,
> > enmities, strife, jealousy, outbursts of anger, disputes,
> > dissensions, factions, envying, drunkenness, carousing,
> > and things like these, of which I forewarn you, just as I
> > have forewarned you, that those who practice such things
> > will not inherit the kingdom of God.
> >
> >
>
>
>
```

2176. Re: Military air scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 00:57:15 UTC
From: listeningwatch@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020207195715.26410.00000052@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 52644

Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT, simply the best. I monitor the military VHF/UHF everynight and the reception top notch.
N3JKM

2177. Re: (*) Icom R2 For sale (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 01:47:13 UTC
From: Bruce Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3C632E21.CF393AE8@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 52645

Rather have a ten year old Icom R-1 any day. Full coverage, less
complicated. We're all Icom here. Pat, K4AVO

bill wrote:

> i think i've seen it for $139 new.
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cdn88.75478$d16.16068820@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > Retails for $250.00 new?
> >
> > This one takes the 2002 longest eBay description award. SHEEESH!
> >
> > ;)
> >
> > "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:aU588.17520$Ib.2956011@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330973789
> > >
> > > --
> > > Gal 5:19-21 New American Standard
> > > "Now the deeds of the flesh are evident, which are:
> > > immorality, impurity, sensuality, idolatry, sorcery,
> > > enmities, strife, jealousy, outbursts of anger, disputes,
> > > dissensions, factions, envying, drunkenness, carousing,
> > > and things like these, of which I forewarn you, just as I
> > > have forewarned you, that those who practice such things
> > > will not inherit the kingdom of God.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

2178. Re: What would you do with this Pro-93 with vers 1.0?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 02:04:55 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <h7c66ukn99vefcilv6n81bdu06ht5056kq@4ax.com>
Source record: 52646

On Fri, 08 Feb 2002 01:55:43 GMT, see@the.bottom.com (Erik) wrote:

>If the store sold him the 1.0, why would they have the newer fixed
>one?

Because selling that radio might not have been a one-time event for
that store, and they may have more in stock.

Maybe even a Version 1.01 or 1.03 that was sitting there when they
finally pawned off that 1.00 on him.

Or they might order a newer replacement for him.

Or he could find another RS that has a newer one.

The possibilities are almost endless.

Ken Plotkin

2179. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 02:07:21 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5ic66ug2odjhp2se1m0tb14dcvlqb7h6ua@4ax.com>
Source record: 52547

Why do you need to listen to the cellular band?
Give me the real reason. Not: "It's my right", or "Keep the radio
waves off my property if you don't want me to listen".

You don't have much of a life, so you must live vicariously through
others?

On Tue, 5 Feb 2002 21:55:27 -0500, "William Ferguson"
<spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the scannist
>argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you to
>express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go to
>the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.
>

2180. Re: HELP Need A Scanner w/Discriminator Output 4 MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 02:41:14 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yVG88.109$Rs6.115050@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52548

That program is crap. 99% chance your local system is not compatible with
it.

"N9mxt1" <n9mxt1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020205230649.22258.00001494@mb-cl.aol.com...
> I am running MDT Monitor for Windows but it says "to use this program
you'll
> need a scanner with a discriminator output." Ommm what scanner has a
> discriminator output on it? I own a PRO-2006, PRO-2067, IC-R2, and of
course
> all my Ham gear. Or where can you get a discriminator for this program to
> work?
>
> Hope to hear from someone soon so that I can check this program out.
>
> Jeffrey - n9mxt1@aol.com
>
>
>
>
>

2181. Re: Control Channel Only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 02:54:23 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <57H88.112$Rs6.116765@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52550

Yeah, once you have those data channels in, it automatically decodes the voice channels. Also it needs to be in open mode to pick up all the TG's. If you had it in closed mode, you wouldn't get anything 'till you put them in yourself. So keep it in open mode and figure out what your listening to. That's the best part of scanning, figuring out all that stuff. Then when you have a list of what you want to listen to, put them in, put it in closed mode and that's all you'll hear.

<jfaul@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3c63048e.41690602@basic.bs.webusenet.com...
> I programmed my 780 XLT with the four control channels for Ft.
> Lauderdale and have it in control channel only mode. My talkgroups
> were already programmed and everything appears to be working. Does
> this sound correct? It seems that control channel only is more
> efficient since you are only scanning the control channels. Am i
> correct? Any insight to control channel only would be helpful.
>
> Thanks,
> jfaul@bellsouth.net
>

2182. Re: (*) Icom R2 For sale (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 02:55:38 UTC
From: BIG DADDY KING <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16cd0ab5820e3129989703@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 52549

In article <3C632E21.CF393AE8@gloryroad.net>, peacox@gloryroad.net
says...
> Rather have a ten year old Icom R-1 any day. Full coverage, less
> complicated. We're all Icom here. Pat, K4AVO
>
> bill wrote:
>
> > i think i've seen it for $139 new.
> > "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:cdn88.75478$d16.16068820@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > > Retails for $250.00 new?
> > >
> > > This one takes the 2002 longest eBay description award. SHEEESH!
> > >
> > > ;)
> > >
> > > "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:aU588.17520$Ib.2956011@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330973789
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Gal 5:19-21 New American Standard
> > > > "Now the deeds of the flesh are evident, which are:
> > > > immorality, impurity, sensuality, idolatry, sorcery,
> > > > enmities, strife, jealousy, outbursts of anger, disputes,
> > > > dissensions, factions, envying, drunkenness, carousing,
> > > > and things like these, of which I forewarn you, just as I
> > > > have forewarned you, that those who practice such things
> > > > will not inherit the kingdom of God.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >

Having had both, the R2 is better in almost every way, except for the keypad, and the PC does that fine. My R1 went bye bye after a couple of days the intermod made it useless most of the time in most bands. Nobody else I know has kept theirs very long either...

BDK

2183. Re: Military air scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 02:58:33 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u66fnc79tosi05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52551

You're right, George - I should have pointed that out as well.
I got a couple more that cover that end in AM running alongside
the 2052, so it didn't come to mind at the time.

Dennis
Putnam Co NY
==========

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3C6307C2.3000809@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> Den6N wrote:
>
> > I'm very happy with the RS PRO-2052 base for milair -
> > I think it may soon be discontinued and found for less $ too.
> > And 1000 channels.
> >
> > Dennis
> > Putnam Co NY
>
>
> Granted the PRO-2052 does very well in the "normal" MilAir band of
> 225MHz - 400MHz. I have one and do like it. However there is one
> short-coming of this radio for the MilAir listener. That is the 138MHz
> - 144MHz. This band is used a lot for Military Aircraft Air-Air and
> most of these comms are AM. The PRO-2052 does not allow you to switch
> from FM to AM in this frequency range.
>
> In my area there are a lot of 138-144 comms to listen to.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and MORE!
>
>

2184. Re: STILL WTB a PCR-1000!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 03:35:54 UTC
From: katanaz <katanaz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u66hst5odmgd2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52552

You can get a new PCR-1000 for $329 at http://www.aesham.com. Associated Radio at http://www.associatedradio.com might still be selling them for $299. I've never seen a PCR-1000 sell via Ebay or the newsgroups for less than $275. Might as well buy new and enjoy!

"ircSLUG" <N-O-S-P-A-MMM-noneya@noneya.com> wrote in message news:u63gmshvaart5a@corp.supernews.com...
> I am still looking for a great deal on a PCR-1000....If you are looking to
> selling yours, or know where I might get a great deal please email me at

2185. Question re: Channel Master Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 03:40:03 UTC
From: geraldjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020207224003.25272.00000095@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 52553

Someone gave me an outdoor scanner antenna. It's a Channel Master model 5094A. Does anyone know anything about this antenna? I've never used an outdoor antenna before. Is this one any good? Any info would be appreciated.

Gerald
geraldjr@aol.com

2186. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 03:59:07 UTC
From: William Ferguson <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u66j8m2b7s5m06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52554

Why do you scan in the first place? Intrest is the thing. The number one
issue though for people like you is cellular co. telling people their calls
are secure when you can import or get a scanner of eBay that will get all
bands, so it doesn't matter if you think it's right or not. It is deceptive
of the cell co. to say your call is secure unless it's deigital
"Rocinante" <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:5ic66ug2odjhp2se1m0tb14dcvlqb7h6ua@4ax.com...
> Why do you need to listen to the cellular band?
> Give me the real reason. Not: "It's my right", or "Keep the radio
> waves off my property if you don't want me to listen".
>
> You don't have much of a life, so you must live vicariously through
> others?
>
>
>
>
> On Tue, 5 Feb 2002 21:55:27 -0500, "William Ferguson"
> <spyderkeeperREMOVEMEIFNOTSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >As the cellular industry slowly goes to more and more digital, the
scannist
> >argument about an open 800 mhz band is more feasible. I encourge you to
> >express your oppion to mpowell@fcc.gov (the chairman of the FCC) or go to
> >the website www.fcc.gov and find someone to talk to.
> >
>

2187. Re: WTB scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 04:14:58 UTC
From: emarc23101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020207231458.02462.00000128@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 52555

The scantenna served me well, but its durability is an issue. I might consider buying another one considering its performance and price. Just want to see what else is out there.

2188. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 04:27:29 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020207232729.20982.00000719@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 52557

In article <20020207010624.06764.00001098@mb-fn.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) writes:

>'ve gotten much feedback and I appretiate it, but I need a straight answer.
>Is
>there ANYONE on this newsgroup that monitors the NJSP in the Toms River area
>that can give me an ACCURATE listing of 800 mhz trunked frequencies in use by
>the troppers that operate in this area.

* Frequencies are system control channels

Troop C
856.7125
857.2125
857.7125*
858.2125
858.7125*
859.2125
859.7125*
860.2125
860.7125*
866.6125
867.2125
867.6625
868.1375
868.5125

Program these frequencies in one of your trunktrackers banks. Set as type I
(Fleetmap b0=s13 b4=s13). Now look for talkgroup 000-15. That is Troop E
Holmdel barracks. If you re-enter the above frequencies in another bank and
set it as a type II system, you can monitor Troop E Bass River, Southstar
Helicopter (Lands on the parkway often) and a whole lot more.
Below are all the active talkgroups for the above frequencies.
INCLUDING THE GSP IN THE TOMS RIVER AREA.
TYPE I
Subfleet DEC HEX Display Description Group
0 0000 C6-04 SPARE SPARE System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
0 0000 C6-03 SPARE SPARE System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
000-00 0 0000 C3-FLEET:1 C3 FLEETWIDE - FLEET 1 System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
000-01 0 0000 C3-01 CALL DIVISON CALL System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup
Assignment
000-02 0 0000 C3-02 TRP HQ 2-COMM TROOP HQ (Division HQ) Special Units
(Princeton) System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup
000-03 0 0000 C3-03 SOUTH 3-COMM DISPATCH (Division HQ) Fort Dix, Red Lion

Station System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup
000-04 0 0000 C3-04 WEST 4-COMM DISPATCH (Division HQ) Bordentown,
Wilburtha Station System C - Zone C3
000-05 0 0000 C3-05 EAST 5-COMM DISPATCH (Division HQ) Allenwood,
Hightstown, Flemington Station System C - Zone
000-06 0 0000 C3-06 MARINE MARINE BUREAU (Point Pleasant) Point Pleasant,
Monmouth Beach Station System C -
000-07 0 0000 C3-07 OPS CAR-TO-CAR System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup Assignment

000-08 0 0000 C3-08 CMDPST COMMAND POST System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup
Assignment
000-09 0 0000 C3-09 INTOPS CAR-TO-CAR System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup
Assignment
000-10 0 0000 C3-10 MARINE MARINE BUREAU (Burlington) Burlington, Bivale
Station System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup
000-11 0 0000 C3-11 EPB EXECUTIVE PROTECTION BUREAU System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
000-12 0 0000 C3-12 STHSE STATEHOUSE SECURITY System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup
Assignment
000-13 0 0000 C3-13 TYPE II TALKGROUPS HEARD (681 to 6FF) System C - Zone
C3 Talkgroup Assignment
000-14 0 0000 C3-14 NBKTP NJ TURNPIKE 1-COMM (Cranbury) Cranbury Station
System C - Zone C3
000-15 0 0000 C3-15 HMLPW GARDEN STATE PARKWAY Holmdel Station System C -
Zone C3 Talkgroup Assignment
400-00 0 0000 C3-FLEET:2 C3 FLEETWIDE - FLEET 2 System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
400-01 0 0000 C3-16 AG ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
400-02 0 0000 C3-17 DOC 2B DEPT OF CORRECTIONS 2B (Parole) 400/B System C
- Zone C3 Talkgroup Assignment
400-03 0 0000 C3-18 DGE DIVISION OF GAMING ENFORCEMENT System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
400-04 0 0000 C3-19 DCJ DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
400-05 0 0000 C3-20 DDISP DETECTIVE DISPATCH System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup
Assignment
400-06 0 0000 C3-21 INV CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS BUREAU System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
400-07 0 0000 C3-22 INV CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS BUREAU System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
400-08 0 0000 C3-23 INV CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS BUREAU System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
400-09 0 0000 C3-24 INV CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS BUREAU System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
400-10 0 0000 C3-25 MEDVC FORMER MEDEVAC CHANNEL System C - Zone C3
Talkgroup Assignment
400-11 0 0000 C3-26 HSPD DEPT OF HUMAN SERVICES POLICE Trenton Psychiatric
Hospital System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup Assignment
400-12 0 0000 C3-27 TYPE II TALKGROUPS HEARD (E01 to E7F) System C - Zone

C3 Talkgroup Assignment
400-13 0 0000 C3-28 EMRGM OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup Assignment
400-14 0 0000 C3-29 DOC2A DEPT OF CORRECTIONS 2A (Transp) 400/N System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup Assignment
400-15 0 0000 C3-30 REMU RADIO MAINTENANCE TECHNICIANS System C - Zone C3 Talkgroup Assignment

TYPE II
26640 6810 C6-01 SUPT SUPERINTENDENT System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
26672 6830 C6-02 TPCMD TROOP COMMANDER System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
26768 6890 C6-05 DOC2C DEPT OF CORRECTIONS 2C (SOG) System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
26800 68b0 C6-06 S STAR JEMSTAR AEROMEDICAL Southstar Helicopter System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
26832 68d0 C6-07 N STAR JEMSTAR AEROMEDICAL Northstar Helicopter System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
26864 68f0 C6-08 AIR AIR/AVIATION System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
26896 6910 C6-09 CERB CERB INVESTIGATORS System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
26928 6930 C6-10 BLFPW GARDEN STATE PARKWAY Bloomfield Station System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
26960 6950 C6-11 BSRPW GARDEN STATE PARKWAY Bass River Station System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
26992 6970 C6-12 MTNTP NEW JERSEY TURNPIKE 2-COMM Moorestown Station System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
27024 6990 C6-13 NWKTP NEW JERSEY TURNPIKE 3-COMM Newark Station System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
27056 69b0 C6-14 C/CTP NEW JERSEY TURNPIKE CAR-TO-CAR System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
27088 69d0 C6-15 PW HQ GARDEN STATE PARKWAY HQ System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
27152 6a10 DOC2A DEPT OF CORR. 2A (Transport) System C - Outside Agency Talkgroup Assignment
27184 6a30 DOC2B DEPT OF CORR. 2B (Parole 1) System C - Outside Agency Talkgroup Assignment
27216 6a50 DOC2C DEPT OF CORR. 2C (SOG) System C - Outside Agency Talkgroup Assignment
27248 6a70 DOC2D DEPT OF CORR. 2D (Parole 2) System C - Outside Agency Talkgroup Assignment
27280 6a90 DOC2E DEPT OF CORR. 2E (Internal Aff) 6A9 System C - Outside Agency Talkgroup Assignment
27312 6ab0 DOC2F DEPT OF CORR. 2F (SPARE) System C - Outside Agency Talkgroup Assignment
27408 6b10 Statewide MICU Network System C - Zone C6 Talkgroup Assignment
57296 dfd0 C6-FLEET:3 C6 FLEETWIDE - FLEET 3 System C - Zone C6

Talkgroup Assignment
57616 e110 I PD CENTRAL INTERAGENCY POLICE CENTRAL System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
57648 e130 I FD CENTRAL INTERAGENCY FIRE CENTRAL System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
57680 e150 I EMS CENTRAL INTERAGENCY EMS CENTRAL System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
57872 e210 STATECOM CL INTERAGENCY STATECOM CENTRAL System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
57968 e270 DOT WEA CL DEPT OF TRANSP WEATHER CENTRAL System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
58000 e290 MAINT C DEPT OF TRANSP MAINTENANCE CL System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
58032 e2b0 ELECTR TD DEPT OF TRANSP TD ELECTRICAL CL E2B System C -
Outside Agency Talkgroup Assignment
58064 e2d0 STATE TD DEPT OF TRANSP STATEWIDE System C - Outside Agency
Talkgroup Assignment
58096 e2f0 TOC CENT DEPT OF TRANSP TRAFFIC OPS CL System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
58128 e310 MAINT SO DEPT OF TRANSP MAINTENANCE SO System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
58160 e330 ELECT SO DEPT OF TRANSP ELECTRICAL SO System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
59216 e750 ENFOR BUE CONSUMER AFFAIRS ENFORCEMENT System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
59248 e770 INT AFF DEPT OF TRANSP INTERNAL AFFRS System C - Outside
Agency Talkgroup Assignment
59280 e790 LGOC CENTRAL CONSUMER AFFAIRS LGOC System C - Outside Agency
Talkgroup Assignment
59312 e7b0 TAX CENTRAL DEPT OF TAXATION System C - Outside Agency
Talkgroup Assignment
59344 e7d0 INSURAN CEN DEPT OF INSURANCE System C - Outside Agency
Talkgroup Assignment
59376 e7f0 AGRICUL CEN DEPT OF AGRICULTURE System C - Outside Agency
Talkgroup Assignment

2189. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 04:27:30 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020207232730.20982.00000720@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 52556

In article <3C629ED1.9B0A7E34@optonline.net>, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@optonline.net> writes:

>I use this method, and it works very well. If you are interested, I can
>post or email the converted Type I IDs.
>
>Walt
>

Walt,

If you have the Central (Troop C) type I ID's converted you can E-mail
them to me and I'll give it a shot. Thanks

Jim

2190. Panasonic 2.4ghz gigarange extreme earpiece heat build up???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 04:31:37 UTC
From: marcus <marcusNOSPAM@is2.dal.ca>
Message-ID: <Jwl88.96$go6.39728@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 52558

I bought a panasonic 2.4ghz SST gigarange extreme model KX-TG2550CS a couple of months ago. I wish I didn't!!!
shorter range than my older sanyo 900MHZ DSS (I loved that phone), but what annoys me more, is the heat build up in the earpiece after talking on it for 20-30 minutes. Coincidentally, the same thing happens to my cell phone (Nokia 5160)

Is that heat build up normal for these phone or is it a defect? anyone experience this with the panasonic cordless phones?

do the newer panasonic gigarange extreme phones use a combination of 2.4GHZ and analog 900MHZ like the older models, which would explain the shorter range?
if that is the case, this would be a shit phone with no true DSS security for the price I payed. My older sanyo 900MHZ DSS was selling for less than half the price and I wish I bought another one (Can't find them anywhere anymore)
Thanks for your imput

please remove NOSPAM from email address
Thanks

2191. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 04:56:19 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020207235619.18796.00000020@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 52559

>>
>> 868.515
>> 866.612
>
>Correction 868.512 (not.515)

My scanner doesn't like that, automatically adds a 5 to the end (868.5125...)
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2192. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 04:58:43 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020207235843.18796.00000021@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 52560

>Use MOT mode to program the C Troop trunked freqs. provided by several
>members of this group. Talkgroup IDs which cover the TR area, not the
>GSP, include 10240 and 10320, they are dispatched out of 5-Comm
>Allenwood Station. Also be advised that A1-03 East (A Troop) 5-Comm
>Dispatch (Tuckerton Station) Troop Cars (Talkgroup IDs 06144/06160) do
>at times wander into the Dover Township area.

Unfortunately the Pro-90 doesn't allow you to select "MOT" mode, as far as I
know.. it monitors one type of trunked system...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2193. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 05:01:32 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020208000132.18796.00000022@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 52561

>Program these frequencies in one of your trunktrackers banks. Set as type I
>(Fleetmap b0=s13 b4=s13)

The Pro-90 doesn't allow you to select different types... I will compare your list of Troop C frequencies with the other two (conflicting) lists that I have and see how different it is...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2194. FS : YAESU FT-50 HT package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 05:12:19 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <T6J88.76729$d16.16717634@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 52562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am selling my YAESU FT-50 dual band (440 - 2M) hand held radio. The radio is in Excellent condition. Non-smoker. This radio has been modified for out of band TX. Package also includes the rubber "gasket case", NC-50 rapid drop in charger, FBA-15 AA battery case, FNB-41 600 mAh battery, MAHA FNB-V47 1800 mAh battery, and MAHA FNB-40 650 mAh thin battery.

I am asking $275.00 for the package, shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.

I can accept PAYPAL, a US Postal money order, or check. Personal check will delay shipping until it clears.

You can check my eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested.

Dan
N2TYB

2195. Re: (*) Icom R2 For sale (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 06:14:46 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <q1K88.29322$Hu6.6831948@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52563

I just got one yesterday from Burghardt's for $130 including a coupon for
the free software and cable.
DeWayne KE9K

"bill" <scalp2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:YwE88.24253$Gb1.5448174@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> i think i've seen it for $139 new.
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cdn88.75478$d16.16068820@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > Retails for $250.00 new?
> >
> > This one takes the 2002 longest eBay description award. SHEEESH!
> >
> > ;)
> >
> > "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:aU588.17520$Ib.2956011@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330973789
> > >
> > > --
> > > Gal 5:19-21 New American Standard
> > > "Now the deeds of the flesh are evident, which are:
> > > immorality, impurity, sensuality, idolatry, sorcery,
> > > enmities, strife, jealousy, outbursts of anger, disputes,
> > > dissensions, factions, envying, drunkenness, carousing,
> > > and things like these, of which I forewarn you, just as I
> > > have forewarned you, that those who practice such things
> > > will not inherit the kingdom of God.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2196. Re: (*) Icom R2 For sale (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 06:16:29 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <13K88.29348$Hu6.6832835@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52564

The R2 is not complicated and has full coverage except cell.
DeWayne KE9K
"Bruce Cox" <peacox@gloryroad.net> wrote in message
news:3C632E21.CF393AE8@gloryroad.net...
Rather have a ten year old Icom R-1 any day. Full coverage, less complicated. We're all Icom here. Pat, K4AVO
bill wrote:

i think i've seen it for $139 new.
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cdn88.75478$d16.16068820@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Retails for $250.00 new?
>
> This one takes the 2002 longest eBay description award. SHEEESH!
>
> ;)
>
> "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:aU588.17520$Ib.2956011@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330973789
> >
> > --
> > Gal 5:19-21 New American Standard
> > "Now the deeds of the flesh are evident, which are:
> > immorality, impurity, sensuality, idolatry, sorcery,
> > enmities, strife, jealousy, outbursts of anger, disputes,
> > dissensions, factions, envying, drunkenness, carousing,
> > and things like these, of which I forewarn you, just as I
> > have forewarned you, that those who practice such things
> > will not inherit the kingdom of God.
> >
> >
>
>
>

2197. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 12:25:31 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16cd8dc8624b21a6989683@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 52566

In article <20020207235843.18796.00000021@mb-ff.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...
> Unfortunately the Pro-90 doesn't allow you to select "MOT" mode, as far as I
> know.. it monitors one type of trunked system...

Your PRO-90 is set to scan Type 11 ("MOT"/Motorola) trunked systems and
type 11 user IDs by default.

2198. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 12:27:32 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16cd8e3d3551002f989684@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 52567

In article <20020207235619.18796.00000020@mb-ff.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...
> >>
> >> 868.515
> >> 866.612
> >
> >Correction 868.512 (not.515)
>
> My scanner doesn't like that, automatically adds a 5 to the end (868.5125...)

Both are the same, and even though Troop E is part of the statewide
trunked system the above two freqs, excluding talkaround channels, will
be the only ones they come up on. Bass River Troop Car transmissions are
simulcast on 855.212 (again rounded off your scanner will add a 5)
throughout A Troop.

2199. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 12:40:15 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16cd9138d382efdf989685@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 52568

In article <20020207232730.20982.00000720@mb-cn.aol.com>, cqdxer2
@aol.comjunkzapp says...
> Walt,
>
> If you have the Central (Troop C) type I ID's converted you can E-mail
> them to me

Walt

Perhaps you can post the IDs to the group?

Thank you.

2200. Re: BC 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 12:48:07 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@nospamtm.net>
Message-ID: <bOP88.488$TS.60467@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 52569
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Most scanners will only support down to 5Khz resolution, where as some high grade/commercial *may* offer finer resolution. Sounds like unless there is a mod to allow 1khz resolution, then you may have to deal with being "a little off."

Ryan KC8PMX
"Lippy" <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
news:KZC68.406$AR5.331358@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> I have a Uniden 200XLT. When I program 167.412 it resorts to 167.410.
>
> I heard if you add a number to the frequency you can actually program
> 167.412.
>
> Any Ideas?
>
>

2201. Re: pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 12:48:39 UTC
From: David <dstanley23@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <HOP88.8942$fK1.30524@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 52570

Thanks for the replys. Sorry 'bout the typos, Early AM and no coffee yet!
"David" <dstanley23@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Gcu88.6117$Pz4.79475@rwcrnsc53...
> is this a goog starter? on sale at RS for 169.00
> any info helpful
>
> Thankd
>
> David
>
>

2202. Re: Military air scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 13:04:08 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C63CCC8.3030401@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52571

Den6N wrote:

> You're right, George - I should have pointed that out as well.
> I got a couple more that cover that end in AM running alongside
> the 2052, so it didn't come to mind at the time.
>
> Dennis
> Putnam Co NY
> ==
>

Kind of ashame that RadioShack did that on the 2052. I have a couple PRO2035's, 2006, and BC780XLT so its no big deal here either. But the 2052 is nice because I can download a set of MilAir freqs via the computer in a few seconds. Makes programing channels pretty fast! I'm thinking of selling the two 2035's and replacing both with two 780XLT's.

But the 2006 I'm hanging on to as my retirement fund since my Enron stock then to crap :0)

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs Photos and MORE!

2203. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 13:07:42 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16cd97adcf751d3989686@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 52572

In article <20020207232729.20982.00000719@mb-cn.aol.com>, cqdxer2
@aol.comjunkzapp says...
> * Frequencies are system control channels
> Troop C
> 856.7125
> 857.2125
> 857.7125*
(...)

The frequencies are accurate, however, the Talkgroup IDs leave much to
be desired. For example no type 11 IDs are listed for C3-03 SOUTH, C3-
04 WEST, C3-05 EAST ...

Perhaps these are some of the IDs Walt can post...

2204. FS GE SuperBase radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 14:15:41 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <h4R88.13675$hi3.1362840@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 52573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have one for sale or will swap for a nice high end Scanner.This one comes with hand mike and a Turner mike.
This radio is the one with dual antenna hookups.You don't find many of these around anymore that are in great shape and works flawlessly.Everything works on it.Make me a resonable offer shipped from Mass.
I can post or send a pict on request.

2205. Re: A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 15:34:42 UTC
From: Andy <y-o-y@home.com>
Message-ID: <meS88.32828$X64.11001855@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 52574

When I go to Radioshack it's because i already know what I want. I have the catalog and call ahead to make sure they have it in stock and to put one behind the register with my name on it, whch they always do.

I stopped buying scanners after the Pro26 and Pro-2045 since the new ones are really skimpy on the frequency ranges for my needs.

The dumbest salesperson encounter about 4 years back was when i went to inquire about the satellite TV system. I asked for the compass heading, elevation and azimuth angle so i could go home and see what if anything was in the way. Being surrounded by 150=foot tall pine trees, the dish would have recieved no signal. End of story... nope. On a re-visit the same salesperson asked me if I was going to buy the system. I told him there were too many tall pine trees in the way. His reply, and I quote "You should cut down some trees then." BAD answer.

The only complaint about my RS store is it's small and they put up these display stands of TV dish systems, phones and pyramids of remote-control toys all over the floor so you practically have to dance around them on the way in, around and out of the store.

There's a large RS store a little farther away that is so roomy it felt like I was in a decent hotel lobby. No "watch your step fear factor."

Andy
Unofficial Proofreader of the Internet

2206. Need MR-8100 Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 15:39:13 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0202080739.50c8ec4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52575

I am in need of a front case, rubber keypad and Plastic front LCD cover. Anyone know of where I could get them if at all ?
BTW:

Uniden parts in Dallas has nothing in parts for these and cannot support repairs on them. :-(

John Miller
Boise, Idaho

2207. Re: What would you do with this Pro-93 with vers 1.0?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 15:39:43 UTC
From: Jay Bollyn <jbollyn@neiu.edu>
Message-ID: <a40rgb$1bp8ne$1@ID-119496.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52576

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message
news:h7c66ukn99vefcilv6n81bdu06ht5056kq@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 08 Feb 2002 01:55:43 GMT, see@the.bottom.com (Erik) wrote:
>
>
> >If the store sold him the 1.0, why would they have the newer fixed
> >one?
>
> Because selling that radio might not have been a one-time event for
> that store, and they may have more in stock.
>
> Maybe even a Version 1.01 or 1.03 that was sitting there when they
> finally pawned off that 1.00 on him.
>
> Or they might order a newer replacement for him.
>
> Or he could find another RS that has a newer one.
>
> The possibilities are almost endless.
>
> Ken Plotkin
>

Also quite possible that the clerk who sold the unit was unaware of the
firmware issue.

2208. Re: ATTENTION! Now is the time to ask to revoke the '94 block on "cellular-capable" recievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 16:23:49 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <1sp76u401lbnc2q0cgimn0hjqsor9vdqhq@4ax.com>
Source record: 52577

On Fri, 08 Feb 2002 02:07:21 GMT, Rocinante
<matrixoutsider@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Why do you need to listen to the cellular band?
>Give me the real reason. Not: "It's my right", or "Keep the radio
>waves off my property if you don't want me to listen".
>
>You don't have much of a life, so you must live vicariously through
>others?

OK, troll, I'll bite. One listens because it's interesting to hear
the culture of the traffic on the air.

For example, listening along I-95 at night many years ago (when it was
legal) I heard drug dealers discussing their market in the beginning
of the new school year. I heard a probably married business executive
discussing his wife with another woman (his mistress?). At other
times I have heard a culture of expressions, different place names,
languages, and so forth.

I couldn't care less about the identities of those people, but as a
sociological research tool, as a potential marketing research tool,
and as a casual cultural pulse meter of sorts, it has its uses.

You can sneer at how those who engage in this sort of thing have no
life, but can you honestly say that someone else who is a dedicated
fan of one or more sports is any better?

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2209. Re: Control Channel Only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 16:34:27 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C63FE10.64757D34@optonline.net>
Source record: 52578

In a Control Channel Only receiver it is redundant to enter the
non-control channel frequencies.

In operation, the receiver sits on the Control Channel listening for
data. When it receives information about a transmission, it receives
the information as to what frequency that transmission will use. And
for those bands in which the receiver is "Control Channel Only", that
information is what the receiver uses to tune to the specified
frequency. Having the non-control frequencies programmed in the bank
does not help the receiver find a frequency, it can only slow down the
scanning as the receiver has to stop and listen to each programmed
frequency.

Walt

Keith wrote:
>
> [Posted with Agent News Reader http://forteinc.com/]
> |In rec.radio.scanner
> |on Control Channel Only? with a
> |msg-id <3c63048e.41690602@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
> |jfaul@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
> > Am i correct? Any insight to control channel only would be helpful.
>
> Control channel only mode means the scanner tries to find the correct
> frequency used by the Motorola type II system. If you already have the
> frequencies then you should program in all of the frequencies for the best
> results. Your idea does not make scanning the system any faster, the radio
> finds the control channel and then listens for activity for a few seconds
> before searching the bank for conventional programmed frequencies.
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ==
> My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
> The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
> Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
> ==

2210. Software for Yupiteru MVT-7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 16:36:14 UTC
From: jorgenforslund2001@yahoo.se
Message-ID: <cd1efe75.0202080836.121f5adc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52579

Hi!

Does anyone know of a software that uses the "cloning" feature of this scanner. OR does someone know how the cloning works, so that one could make a program to utilize it in a pc.

It would be great, just to uses the cloning-feature to "backup" the memorized channels(Donï¿½t wanna loose 1000 frequenzes)

2211. Re: Question re: Channel Master Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 17:01:53 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rxT88.136$rk2.132358@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52581

In article <20020207224003.25272.00000095@mb-fm.aol.com>,
geraldjr@aol.com says...
> Someone gave me an outdoor scanner antenna. It's a Channel Master model 5094A.
> Does anyone know anything about this antenna? I've never used an outdoor
> antenna before. Is this one any good? Any info would be appreciated.

Very good antenna. When you get it installed you will be very happy.
Mine died last year after 10+ years.

Glenn

2212. Re: YAESU FT-50 HT package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 17:03:27 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zxT88.89528$TI2.17151899@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 52580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Forgot to mention that the ADMS-1E programming software is also
included.....

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:T6J88.76729$d16.16717634@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I am selling my YAESU FT-50 dual band (440 - 2M) hand held radio. The
radio
> is in Excellent condition. Non-smoker. This radio has been modified for
> out of band TX. Package also includes the rubber "gasket case", NC-50
rapid
> drop in charger, FBA-15 AA battery case, FNB-41 600 mAh battery, MAHA
> FNB-V47 1800 mAh battery, and MAHA FNB-40 650 mAh thin battery.
>
> I am asking $275.00 for the package, shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured.
>
> I can accept PAYPAL, a US Postal money order, or check. Personal check
will
> delay shipping until it clears.
>
> You can check my eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> E-mail if interested.
>
> Dan
> N2TYB
>
>

2213. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 18:33:52 UTC
From: TexasSteven <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net>
Message-ID: <3c641914$0$3036$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 52582

just seeing if it's set up...please don't respond

2214. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 19:07:27 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020208140727.04995.00001002@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 52583

In article <MPG.16cd97adcf751d3989686@news.exit109.com>, Home user
<Wave@idt.net> writes:

>The frequencies are accurate, however, the Talkgroup IDs leave much to
>be desired.

TheTalkgroup ID's seem to match the information on Joe Cardini's very
comprehensive website as well as trunktracker.com. If you have some info that
differs why don't you post it so that we can check it out. Could be the only
thing that leaves much to be desired is your knowledge of the statewide
trunking system.

2215. Re: Still lookin' for New Jersey trooper frequencies...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 19:53:41 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C642CC5.766D044F@optonline.net>
Source record: 52584

Jim,

See the separate post on this.

Walt

Jim wrote:
>
> In article <3C629ED1.9B0A7E34@optonline.net>, Walt Bertram
> <wbertram@optonline.net> writes:
>
> >I use this method, and it works very well. If you are interested, I can
> >post or email the converted Type I IDs.
> >
> >Walt
> >
>
> Walt,
>
> If you have the Central (Troop C) type I ID's converted you can E-mail
> them to me and I'll give it a shot. Thanks
>
> Jim

2216. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 20:18:27 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <noW88.944$yK.23990@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 52585

matt weber <matthewww50@home.com> wrote:

> First, ohmeter is worthless. the impedance is the characteristic
> impedance, which is NOT the DC resistance.

The ohmeter will tell him if the cable or connector is shorted.

Art Harris N2AH

2217. NJSP - TYPE II Equivalents of TYPE I IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 20:20:20 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C643304.FA37DF3B@optonline.net>
Source record: 52586

The NJSP operate a Motorola Hybrid Type IIi system. Such a system contains both Type I and Type II talkgroups.

With a scanner such as the PRO-92 or PR0-93, their base style equivalents, and other similar scanners, it is necessary to identify the system as either a Type I or a Type II. Typically, if one desired to listen to both some Type I and some Type II talkgroups, they would have to enter the same set of frequencies in two banks, one set as Type I and the other set as Type II.

It has been noted by others, and discussed on the Strong Signals Trunking Message group, that all the Type I talk group IDs are transmitted as Type II IDs, and that these talk groups may be heard when the system is set up as a Type II system. For example, the NJSP 2COMM talkgroup 000-02 will be heard on a Type II setup as either talkgroup 4096 or 4144.

Each Type I talkgroup has two Type II talkgroup IDs, one, I believe, related to base units, the other to mobile units. The first Type II talkgroup ID is common to all three NJSP systems, South, North,and Central. The second ID is offset from the first ID by 16 in the South system, 48 in the North system, and 80 in the Central system. To hear all transmissions for a given Type I talkgroup, both Type II talkgroup IDs must be specified.

I have used this method of monitoring the North system for several months and find that it works quite well, much better than dedicating two banks to the single set on North system frequencies. I would like to thank the contributors to the original discussion on the Strong Signal group which brought this method to my attention. Thank you Dave Howden, Scott Wilson, Garys, and Dave KC2GVX.

In the following post is a table of all the talkgroup IDs.

Walt

2218. Table - TYPE II Equivalents of TYPE I IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 20:23:51 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C6433D6.B32ECD95@optonline.net>
Source record: 52587

NJSP - TYPE II Equivalents of TYPE I IDs

Mobile |---------BASE----- ----|			
Type I	Type II	Troop A	Troop B	Troop C
000-00	0	16	48	80
000-01	2048	2064	2096	2128
000-02	4096	4112	4144	4176
000-03	6144	6160	6192	6224
000-04	8192	8208	8240	8272
000-05	10240	10256	10288	10320
000-06	12288	12304	12336	12368
000-07	14336	14352	14384	14416
000-08	16384	16400	16432	16464
000-09	18432	18448	18480	18512
000-10	20480	20496	20528	20560
000-11	22528	22544	22576	22608
000-12	24576	24592	24624	24656
000-13	26624	26640	26672	26704
000-14	28672	28688	28720	28752
000-15	30720	30736	30768	30800
400-00	32768	32784	32816	32848
400-01	34816	34832	34864	34896
400-02	36864	36880	36912	36944
400-03	38912	38928	38960	38992
400-04	40960	40976	41008	41040
400-05	43008	43024	43056	43088
400-06	45056	45072	45104	45136
400-07	47104	47120	47152	47184
400-08	49152	49168	49200	49232
400-09	51200	51216	51248	51280
400-10	53248	53264	53296	53328
400-11	55296	55312	55344	55376
400-12	57344	57360	57392	57424
400-13	59392	59408	59440	59472
400-14	61440	61456	61488	61520
400-15	63488	63504	63536	63568

2219. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 20:26:49 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <dwW88.947$yK.23990@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 52588

Biancado <Biancado@aol.com> wrote:
> Help! Help! Help@ This PM I installed a discone antenna I bought from
> antennawarehouse. I'm using a RG6-U cable (100 ft). I get absolutely none of the
> frequencies that I used to get with my Telescopic antenna (Realistic 2006 Pro)
> As soon as I reconnect the telescopic antenna those frequencies are received. I
> thought that with a roof antenna my reception in supposed to improve not worsen.
> I called Antenna warehouse , they told me that the coaxial most likely has a
> short in it. I don't have equipment to test that. Can some one tell me what else
> may be going on. I'm in the Northeastern coast of the US. TIA

I think the discone still mates with a PL-259 connector. How did you
attach the coax to that connector? Soldering the braid of RG-6 to the
connector is not easy. My guess is that one of the connectors is either open or
shorted.

You would be better off installing an "F" connector and then using an
adapter to mate the "F" connector to the SO-239 on the antenna.

Art Harris N2AH

2220. Re: Table - TYPE II Equivalents of TYPE I IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 21:31:36 UTC
From: John Burricelli <NOSPAM_jburricelli@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <a41g3q$t3h$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 52589

I've been using that system for sometime now with my Pro-92 and BC780. I just got a BC895 and it appears that the formula doesn't work all that well with it. Has anyone else run into the same problem? I was missing transmissions left and right.

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3C6433D6.B32ECD95@optonline.net...
> NJSP - TYPE II Equivalents of TYPE I IDs
>
> Mobile |---------BASE----- ----|
> Type I Type II Troop A Troop B Troop C
> 000-00 0 16 48 80
> 000-01 2048 2064 2096 2128
> 000-02 4096 4112 4144 4176
> 000-03 6144 6160 6192 6224
> 000-04 8192 8208 8240 8272
> 000-05 10240 10256 10288 10320
> 000-06 12288 12304 12336 12368
> 000-07 14336 14352 14384 14416
> 000-08 16384 16400 16432 16464
> 000-09 18432 18448 18480 18512
> 000-10 20480 20496 20528 20560
> 000-11 22528 22544 22576 22608
> 000-12 24576 24592 24624 24656
> 000-13 26624 26640 26672 26704
> 000-14 28672 28688 28720 28752
> 000-15 30720 30736 30768 30800
> 400-00 32768 32784 32816 32848
> 400-01 34816 34832 34864 34896
> 400-02 36864 36880 36912 36944
> 400-03 38912 38928 38960 38992
> 400-04 40960 40976 41008 41040
> 400-05 43008 43024 43056 43088
> 400-06 45056 45072 45104 45136
> 400-07 47104 47120 47152 47184
> 400-08 49152 49168 49200 49232
> 400-09 51200 51216 51248 51280
> 400-10 53248 53264 53296 53328
> 400-11 55296 55312 55344 55376
> 400-12 57344 57360 57392 57424
> 400-13 59392 59408 59440 59472
> 400-14 61440 61456 61488 61520
> 400-15 63488 63504 63536 63568

2221. FS/FT Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 22:29:40 UTC
From: kg5un@juno.com
Message-ID: <fdbf86ad.0202081429.4d1c2647@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a nice VR-500 to sell or trade. Like new, in the box with all accessories plus a nice soft case. Blocked USA version.

$185 shipped CONUS.

Consider trades. Looking for a nice tabletop shortwave receiver.

E-mail to fasteddy64@yahoo.com please.

Thanks,
Ed

2222. POCSAG Decoding in Hudson Valley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 22:35:14 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <1kk86ukr970l69t3st8iirg94c27eq0fnv@4ax.com>
Source record: 52591

Is anyone doing pocsag decoding here in the hudson valley of NY?

im using poc32, but im having no luck at all. the only frequency ive found thats active is 931.43750

help!

2223. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 23:11:00 UTC
From: "J. van Duin" <no.spam.please@rijnmond.nu>
Message-ID: <inm86us8ll7mjhrn5dmm99gc1180mbnspn@4ax.com>
Source record: 52592

On Fri, 8 Feb 2002 12:33:52 -0600, "TexasSteven"
<rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net> wrote:

>just seeing if it's set up...please don't respond

Oops, sorry ;-)

2224. Re: Control Channel Only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 23:13:18 UTC
From: jfaul@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3c645b3c.129429831@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 52593

I agree with Walt per my original post. Anyone else agree? It sure
seems that control channel mode is faster and picks up alot more and
that would make sense since it isnt scanning all 25 channels..just the
control channels.

On Fri, 08 Feb 2002 16:34:27 GMT, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:

>In a Control Channel Only receiver it is redundant to enter the
>non-control channel frequencies.
>
>In operation, the receiver sits on the Control Channel listening for
>data. When it receives information about a transmission, it receives
>the information as to what frequency that transmission will use. And
>for those bands in which the receiver is "Control Channel Only", that
>information is what the receiver uses to tune to the specified
>frequency. Having the non-control frequencies programmed in the bank
>does not help the receiver find a frequency, it can only slow down the
>scanning as the receiver has to stop and listen to each programmed
>frequency.
>
>Walt
>
>Keith wrote:
>>
>> [Posted with Agent News Reader http://forteinc.com/]
>> |In rec.radio.scanner
>> |on Control Channel Only? with a
>> |msg-id <3c63048e.41690602@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
>> |jfaul@bellsouth.net wrote:
>>
>> > Am i correct? Any insight to control channel only would be helpful.
>>
>> Control channel only mode means the scanner tries to find the correct
>> frequency used by the Motorola type II system. If you already have the
>> frequencies then you should program in all of the frequencies for the best
>> results. Your idea does not make scanning the system any faster, the radio
>> finds the control channel and then listens for activity for a few seconds
>> before searching the bank for conventional programmed frequencies.
>>
>> --
>> Best Regards,
>>
>> Keith
>> ==

>> My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
>> The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
>> Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
>> ==========

2225. Re: Control Channel Only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 23:26:02 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a41mn8$1c4i97$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52594

So in theory, could you place many control channels in one bank and set the squelch up so high as for it not to move.

Then you could have what, say 20 systems (the number of channels on one bank)?

<jfaul@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3c645b3c.129429831@basic.bs.webusenet.com...
> I agree with Walt per my original post. Anyone else agree? It sure
> seems that control channel mode is faster and picks up alot more and
> that would make sense since it isnt scanning all 25 channels..just the
> control channels.
>
> On Fri, 08 Feb 2002 16:34:27 GMT, Walt Bertram
> <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> >In a Control Channel Only receiver it is redundant to enter the
> >non-control channel frequencies.
> >
> >In operation, the receiver sits on the Control Channel listening for
> >data. When it receives information about a transmission, it receives
> >the information as to what frequency that transmission will use. And
> >for those bands in which the receiver is "Control Channel Only", that
> >information is what the receiver uses to tune to the specified
> >frequency. Having the non-control frequencies programmed in the bank
> >does not help the receiver find a frequency, it can only slow down the
> >scanning as the receiver has to stop and listen to each programmed
> >frequency.
> >
> >Walt
> >
> >Keith wrote:
> >>
> >> [Posted with Agent News Reader http://forteinc.com/]
> >> |In rec.radio.scanner
> >> |on Control Channel Only? with a
> >> |msg-id <3c63048e.41690602@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
> >> |jfaul@bellsouth.net wrote:
> >>
> >> > Am i correct? Any insight to control channel only would be helpful.
> >>
> >> Control channel only mode means the scanner tries to find the correct
> >> frequency used by the Motorola type II system. If you already have the
> >> frequencies then you should program in all of the frequencies for the
best

> >> results. Your idea does not make scanning the system any faster, the
radio
> >> finds the control channel and then listens for activity for a few
seconds
> >> before searching the bank for conventional programmed frequencies.
> >>
> >> --
> >> Best Regards,
> >>
> >> Keith
> >> ==
> >> My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
> >> The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
> >> Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
> >> ==
>
>

2226. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-08 23:30:22 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <zaZ88.7127$YA2.1243763@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 52595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

i use a 2000,and have used many scanners the worst wideband one being the Icom R10,but the Alinco is fantastic

excellant hf reception
alpha tagging and searching
ctcss recieve something that should be on all scanners
even the de scrambler i can use on 2 users in London[so not really a selling point,just personal experiance]

the counter or rather Flash tune as i have it set up for,works as a counter should ie i see a guy on a handie or mobile radio and it displays the freq,i do not use my Opto counters anymore,although in comparisons with my mates Opto Cub,we find both miss the odd freq from time to time,thats rf for you.

ie we see a guy with a pmr licensed radio rate app 1-5 watts and nothing comes up,then eqaul distance a guy is using pmr446 half watt and it goes for it,but in most cases we get our target,and the sort of scanning we do we have a lot of targets.

Audio could do better,very low,slight hiss in background and a bit of a squelch tail,def need headphones in noisy environments.
A lot of UK dealers though do not like it,but i have persuaded app 6 friends[email friends],some ameteurs and engineers to part with £500 ukp and they appear to be happy.

Not as sensitive as some scanners ie MVT7100,but in a lot of areas at 150-170 would overload from pager signals,the Alino is very clean but still pulls in the signals as a handheld should[ie it should not pull in as good as a base].

A handheld should be able to work on small arials while out and about and then at home should be able to connect to something better,and the Alinco does that.

As for referance to 900 mhz,we do not use any voice analogue freqs up there so if it is deaf then not a problem.

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c5d25e6$0$11840$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> "Paul Young" <young@ion.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
> I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and
deaf
> on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E and I
am
> glad I did.
>
>
> "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
> news:vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net...
> I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow, but
> that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it. I'll
also
> be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity during a
> quit time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have convenient bank
> linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a scanner that
> is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in one
bank
> at a time with 5 channels/sec!
> What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??
>
>
> Hello. I had an Alinco DJX-10E several years ago together with an Icom
R-10,
> and eventually sold both due to (a) their slow scanning rates, and, in the
> case of the Alinco, the 'selective deafness' the receiver exhibited on
> several bands. I recently bought an Alinco DJX-2000E, and frankly, whilst
it
> is faster than the DJX-10E, it's not by a factor of 2 or anything like it.
> Also, the further up the band you go, the poorer the sensitivity becomes.
At
> anything over 900 MHz it's 2 V @12 dB SINAD (NFM) and 4 V @ 12 dB SINAD
> (WFM) which are nothing to boast about. Mine's for sale if anyone's silly
> enough to part with AUS$1100. It comes with three (yes three) Alinco
EBP-37N
> 700 mAH batteries ($95 each), the computer cable & software for Cloning or
> Computer Control, ($79) EDC-88 Fast Charger, (included) ESC-29 case ($30)
> and is boxed with manual & in as-new condition. The Bug Detector is a
farce;
> whoever heard of a bug detector in which you had to know the frequency of
> the bug first! Hah! And likewise, the Frequency Counter only works with
> signals over 100 dB and even then, the menu settings you need to go

through
> to get it to work are so onerous no-one could be bothered. This is a
yuppie
> scanner & I'm sorry I wasted over $1400 for the thing. Because I'm honest
> I'll probably die with it. Anyone contemplating buying this unit, save
your
> money & get an Icom R-10 if you don't want trunk tracking & don't care too
> much about the scanning rates, pay a bit more & get an AR-8200 MK II, or
a
> lot less & get a Uniden BC245XLT. Any of them would be a better buy than
the
> Alinco.
>
> Ruff
>
>

2227. Help a newbie get started in scanning please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 00:04:18 UTC
From: Alex Devlin <Reply@Group.com>
Message-ID: <a41p21$of4$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52596

Hi all,

I used to own a scanner years ago and would like to get back into it again.

I've been reading a few reviews and it seems the Yaesu VR-500 would be the best choice. I like the fact that the frequency coverage is good and that it's portable for taking with me when I'm out walking.

Are there any others I should look at before making my decision? I'd like the same frequency coverage and around the same price range. Also could someone recommend places to buy online? What's the cheapest places with good service? Is it possible to order from abroad (im in the UK) and have one shipped over? Are there any features that vary from country to country? What is the benefit of linking to the PC? Is it possible to upgrade the software on any?

Sorry about there being so many questions but I like to have as much information as possible before buying. :-)

Also, I'm enjoying the group and hope to hang out and eventually contribute.

Thanks to all and happy listening.

--
Alex Devlin
Arcade Emulation at it's Finest - www.mame.dk

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.320 / Virus Database: 179 - Release Date: 31-01-02

2228. Re: NJSP in Toms River Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 00:05:28 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16ce31d844b2df1b989687@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 52497

In article <20020208140727.04995.00001002@mb-mh.aol.com>, cqdxer2
@aol.comjunkzapp says...
> TheTalkgroup ID's seem to match the information on Joe Cardini's very
> comprehensive website as well as trunktracker.com.

While I appreciate Mr. Cardini's efforts and have no problem believing
they appear comprehensive to you, I was speaking about unpublished NJSP
motorola type ll Talkgroup IDs. Two list of information that "match" by
no means make them up-to-date, valid, or accurate in fact it smacks of
recycled material.

2229. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 00:16:09 UTC
From: Shaun Kurt <shaunkurt@KILL_SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c64697a@news1.homechoice.co.uk>
Source record: 52498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

umm, excuse my ignorance, but are you saying it is possible to pick up a guys freq. by just 'pointing' your scanner at his device?!? does the yaesu vr-500 have this function?!

Thanks in advance...

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message news:zaZ88.7127$YA2.1243763@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> i use a 2000,and have used many scanners the worst wideband one being the
> Icom R10,but the Alinco is fantastic
>
> excellant hf reception
> alpha tagging and searching
> ctcss recieve something that should be on all scanners
> even the de scrambler i can use on 2 users in London[so not really a selling
> point,just personal experiance]
>
> the counter or rather Flash tune as i have it set up for,works as a counter
> should ie i see a guy on a handie or mobile radio and it displays the freq,i
> do not use my Opto counters anymore,although in comparisons with my mates
> Opto Cub,we find both miss the odd freq from time to time,thats rf for you.
>
> ie we see a guy with a pmr licensed radio rate app 1-5 watts and nothing
> comes up,then eqaul distance a guy is using pmr446 half watt and it goes for
> it,but in most cases we get our target,and the sort of scanning we do we
> have a lot of targets.
>
> Audio could do better,very low,slight hiss in background and a bit of a
> squelch tail,def need headphones in noisy environments.
> A lot of UK dealers though do not like it,but i have persuaded app 6
> friends[email friends],some ameteurs and engineers to part with £500 ukp and
> they appear to be happy.
>
> Not as sensitive as some scanners ie MVT7100,but in a lot of areas at
> 150-170 would overload from pager signals,the Alino is very clean but still
> pulls in the signals as a handheld should[ie it should not pull in as good

> as a base].
>
> A handheld should be able to work on small arials while out and about and
> then at home should be able to connect to something better,and the Alinco
> does that.
>
> As for referance to 900 mhz,we do not use any voice analogue freqs up
there
> so if it is deaf then not a problem.
>
>
> regards
>
>
>
> --
>
>
>
> Paul Wey[PROMA1]
>
> moderator of following scanning groups
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
>
>
>
> "roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3c5d25e6$0$11840$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > "Paul Young" <young@ion.com.au> wrote in message
> > news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
> > I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and
> deaf
> > on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E and
I
> am
> > glad I did.
> >
> >
> > "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
> > news:vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net...

> > I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow,
but
> > that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it. I'll
> also
> > be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity during
a
> > quit time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have convenient bank
> > linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a scanner
that
> > is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in one
> bank
> > at a time with 5 channels/sec!
> > What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??
> >
> >
> > Hello. I had an Alinco DJX-10E several years ago together with an Icom
> R-10,
> > and eventually sold both due to (a) their slow scanning rates, and, in
the
> > case of the Alinco, the 'selective deafness' the receiver exhibited on
> > several bands. I recently bought an Alinco DJX-2000E, and frankly,
whilst
> it
> > is faster than the DJX-10E, it's not by a factor of 2 or anything like
it.
> > Also, the further up the band you go, the poorer the sensitivity
becomes.
> At
> > anything over 900 MHz it's 2 V @12 dB SINAD (NFM) and 4 V @ 12 dB SINAD
> > (WFM) which are nothing to boast about. Mine's for sale if anyone's
silly
> > enough to part with AUS$1100. It comes with three (yes three) Alinco
> EBP-37N
> > 700 mAH batteries ($95 each), the computer cable & software for Cloning
or
> > Computer Control, ($79) EDC-88 Fast Charger, (included) ESC-29 case
($30)
> > and is boxed with manual & in as-new condition. The Bug Detector is a
> farce;
> > whoever heard of a bug detector in which you had to know the frequency
of
> > the bug first! Hah! And likewise, the Frequency Counter only works with
> > signals over 100 dB and even then, the menu settings you need to go
> through
> > to get it to work are so onerous no-one could be bothered. This is a
> yuppie
> > scanner & I'm sorry I wasted over $1400 for the thing. Because I'm
honest
> > I'll probably die with it. Anyone contemplating buying this unit, save

> your
> > money & get an Icom R-10 if you don't want trunk tracking & don't care
too
> > much about the scanning rates, pay a bit more & get an AR-8200 MK II,
or
> a
> > lot less & get a Uniden BC245XLT. Any of them would be a better buy than
> the
> > Alinco.
> >
> > Ruff
> >
> >
>
>

2230. Re: Help a newbie get started in scanning please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 01:34:17 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a41u7o$1bls9l$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52499

As far as receiver, buy what you are comfortable with as far as use (which may be hard w/o playing with it).
Read and download manuals if you can.

As far as frequencies, that depends on your area and what you will primarily listen to the most.

Online: www.aesham.com, www.universal-radio.com, www.hamradio.com, www.burgardt-amateur.com, and many others.

Abroad: US.Customs. Take your chances but I never have ordered from another country.

Features from other countries: Band plans but they are dependant on the actual unit and I would say not that much anymore.

PC Linking: Keep a set for local and reprogram for say travelling and even different cities. Makes programming VERY easy anyway.

LONG LIVE MAME!
Still havent completed my cabinet... but still love the games.

"Alex Devlin" <Reply@Group.com> wrote in message
news:a41p21$of4$1@paris.btinternet.com...
Hi all,

I used to own a scanner years ago and would like to get back into it again.

I've been reading a few reviews and it seems the Yaesu VR-500 would be the best choice. I like the fact that the frequency coverage is good and that it's portable for taking with me when I'm out walking.

Are there any others I should look at before making my decision? I'd like the same frequency coverage and around the same price range. Also could someone recommend places to buy online? What's the cheapest places with good service? Is it possible to order from abroad (im in the UK) and have one shipped over? Are there any features that vary from country to country? What is the benefit of linking to the PC? Is it possible to upgrade the software on any?

Sorry about there being so many questions but I like to have as much information as possible before buying. :-)

Also, I'm enjoying the group and hope to hang out and eventually contribute.

Thanks to all and happy listening.

--
Alex Devlin

Arcade Emulation at it's Finest - www.mame.dk

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.320 / Virus Database: 179 - Release Date: 31-01-02

2231. Re: Table - TYPE II Equivalents of TYPE I IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 01:39:22 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16ce47d35a77d229989688@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 52500

In article <3C6433D6.B32ECD95@optonline.net>, wbertram@optonline.net
says...
> NJSP - TYPE II Equivalents of TYPE I IDs
>
> Mobile |---------BASE----- ----|
> Type I Type II Troop A Troop B Troop C

Both mobile and base users may come up on either of the two Type ll
Talkgroup IDs, they are designated primary ang secondary...

2232. frequency scanning help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 02:06:20 UTC
From: eeb4u@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9dfcc55a.0202081806.4adadd4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52501

I have a bearcat 800xlt and I am able to scan channels that I program into the unit. However, I am unable to work out how to scan a range of frequencies. Can anyone offer some advice, including the steps to take in order to accomplish this.

thanks in advance

miked

2233. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 02:41:07 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <7%%88.25997$th4.8221026@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave

The X2000 will act as a frequency counter by displaying the frequency, or reaction tune the radio
to the signal so you can listen in. Of course these would have to be very near field signals.

"Shaun Kurt" <shaunkurt@KILL_SPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c64697a@news1.homechoice.co.uk...
> umm, excuse my ignorance, but are you saying it is possible to pick up a
> guys freq. by just 'pointing' your scanner at his device?!? does the
yaesu
> vr-500 have this function?!
>
> Thanks in advance...
>
>
> "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:zaZ88.7127$YA2.1243763@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > i use a 2000,and have used many scanners the worst wideband one being
the
> > Icom R10,but the Alinco is fantastic
> >
> > excellant hf reception
> > alpha tagging and searching
> > ctcss recieve something that should be on all scanners
> > even the de scrambler i can use on 2 users in London[so not really a
> selling
> > point,just personal experiance]
> >
> > the counter or rather Flash tune as i have it set up for,works as a
> counter
> > should ie i see a guy on a handie or mobile radio and it displays the
> freq,i
> > do not use my Opto counters anymore,although in comparisons with my
mates
> > Opto Cub,we find both miss the odd freq from time to time,thats rf for
> you.
> >
> > ie we see a guy with a pmr licensed radio rate app 1-5 watts and nothing
> > comes up,then eqaul distance a guy is using pmr446 half watt and it goes
> for
> > it,but in most cases we get our target,and the sort of scanning we do we
> > have a lot of targets.
> >

> > Audio could do better,very low,slight hiss in background and a bit of a
> > squelch tail,def need headphones in noisy environments.
> > A lot of UK dealers though do not like it,but i have persuaded app 6
> > friends[email friends],some ameteurs and engineers to part with ?500 ukp
> and
> > they appear to be happy.
> >
> > Not as sensitive as some scanners ie MVT7100,but in a lot of areas at
> > 150-170 would overload from pager signals,the Alino is very clean but
> still
> > pulls in the signals as a handheld should[ie it should not pull in as
good
> > as a base].
> >
> > A handheld should be able to work on small arials while out and about
and
> > then at home should be able to connect to something better,and the
Alinco
> > does that.
> >
> > As for referance to 900 mhz,we do not use any voice analogue freqs up
> there
> > so if it is deaf then not a problem.
> >
> >
> > regards
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> >
> > Paul Wey[PROMA1]
> >
> > moderator of following scanning groups
> >
> >
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
> >
> >
> >

> > "roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
> > news:3c5d25e6$0$11840$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > > "Paul Young" <young@ion.com.au> wrote in message
> > > news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
> > > I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and
> > deaf
> > > on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E
and
> I
> > am
> > > glad I did.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net...
> > > I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow,
> but
> > > that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it. I'll
> > also
> > > be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity
during
> a
> > > quit time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have convenient
bank
> > > linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a scanner
> that
> > > is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in
one
> > bank
> > > at a time with 5 channels/sec!
> > > What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??
> > >
> > >
> > > Hello. I had an Alinco DJX-10E several years ago together with an Icom
> > R-10,
> > > and eventually sold both due to (a) their slow scanning rates, and, in
> the
> > > case of the Alinco, the 'selective deafness' the receiver exhibited on
> > > several bands. I recently bought an Alinco DJX-2000E, and frankly,
> whilst
> > it
> > > is faster than the DJX-10E, it's not by a factor of 2 or anything like
> it.
> > > Also, the further up the band you go, the poorer the sensitivity
> becomes.
> > At
> > > anything over 900 MHz it's 2�V @12 dB SINAD (NFM) and 4�V @ 12 dB
SINAD
> > > (WFM) which are nothing to boast about. Mine's for sale if anyone's

> silly
> > > enough to part with AUS$1100. It comes with three (yes three) Alinco
> > EBP-37N
> > > 700 mAH batteries ($95 each), the computer cable & software for
Cloning
> or
> > > Computer Control, ($79) EDC-88 Fast Charger, (included) ESC-29 case
> ($30)
> > > and is boxed with manual & in as-new condition. The Bug Detector is a
> > farce;
> > > whoever heard of a bug detector in which you had to know the frequency
> of
> > > the bug first! Hah! And likewise, the Frequency Counter only works
with
> > > signals over 100 dB and even then, the menu settings you need to go
> > through
> > > to get it to work are so onerous no-one could be bothered. This is a
> > yuppie
> > > scanner & I'm sorry I wasted over $1400 for the thing. Because I'm
> honest
> > > I'll probably die with it. Anyone contemplating buying this unit, save
> > your
> > > money & get an Icom R-10 if you don't want trunk tracking & don't care
> too
> > > much about the scanning rates, pay a bit more & get an AR-8200 MK II,
> or
> > a
> > > lot less & get a Uniden BC245XLT. Any of them would be a better buy
than
> > the
> > > Alinco.
> > >
> > > Ruff
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2234. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 06:04:27 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c64bbe9$0$18471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 52503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Very near field is still an understatement. I've got my 'dumb as dogshit' DJ-X2000 in 'Flashtune' mode sitting about 2' from a dummy load into which I'm shoving 50 watts on 146.7000 MHz and still the damn thing can't find the signal. I can't believe that you find it better at reaction tuning than an Opto counter. Which model Opto are you using. I have 2, an original Scout and a 3000A Plus, and either of them will pick up signals on almost any band that the Alinco never hears.
All I can say is....perhaps I got a lemon. I'll take it around to a friend who was clever enough not to sell his test equipment (yes, me) and check out it's specs. If it's not within + or - 5%, back the bugger goes. Why oh why did I sell my R-10. Even the audio on this receiver sucks!

Ruff

"isobad" wrote in message
news:7%%88.25997$th4.8221026@news02.optonline.net...
The X2000 will act as a frequency counter by displaying the frequency, or reaction tune the radio to the signal so you can listen in. Of course these would have to be very near field signals.

"Shaun Kurt" wrote in message
news:3c64697a@news1.homechoice.co.uk...
umm, excuse my ignorance, but are you saying it is possible to pick up a guys freq. by just 'pointing' your scanner at his device?!? does the yaesu vr-500 have this function?!

Thanks in advance...

"proma1" wrote in message
news:zaZ88.7127$YA2.1243763@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
i use a 2000,and have used many scanners the worst wideband one being the Icom R10,but the Alinco is fantastic
excellant hf reception
alpha tagging and searching
ctcss recieve something that should be on all scanners
even the de scrambler i can use on 2 users in London[so not really a selling point, just personal experiance]
the counter or rather Flash tune as i have it set up for,works as a counter should ie i see a guy on a handie or mobile radio and it displays the freq,i do not use my Opto counters anymore,although in comparisons with my mates Opto Cub,we find both miss the odd freq from time to time,thats rf for you. ie we see a guy with a pmr licensed radio rate app 1-5 watts and nothing comes up,then eqaul distance a guy is using pmr446 half watt and it

goes for it,but in most cases we get our target,and the sort of scanning we do we have a lot of targets.
Audio could do better,very low,slight hiss in background and a bit of a squelch tail,def need headphones in noisy environments.
A lot of UK dealers though do not like it,but i have persuaded app 6 friends[email friends],some ameteurs and engineers to part with £500 ukp and they appear to be happy.
Not as sensitive as some scanners ie MVT7100,but in a lot of areas at 150-170 would overload from pager signals,the Alino is very clean but still pulls in the signals as a handheld should[ie it should not pull in as good as a base].
A handheld should be able to work on small arials while out and about and then at home should be able to connect to something better,and the Alinco does that.
As for referance to 900 mhz,we do not use any voice analogue freqs up there so if it is deaf then not a problem.

regards
Paul Wey[PROMA1]
moderator of following scanning groups
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"Paul Young" wrote in message
news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and deaf on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E and I am glad I did.

"Viper56-FW" wrote in message
news:vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net...
I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow, but that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it. I'll also be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity during a quit time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have convenient bank linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a scanner that is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in one bank at a time with 5 channels/sec!
What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??

"roughplanet" wrote in message
news:3c5d25e6$0$11840$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
Hello. I had an Alinco DJX-10E several years ago together with an Icom -10, nd eventually sold both due to (a) their slow scanning rates, and, in the case of the Alinco, the 'selective deafness' the receiver exhibited on several bands. I recently bought an Alinco DJX-2000E, and frankly, whilst it is faster than the DJX-10E, it's not by a factor of 2 or anything like it.
Also, the further up the band you go, the poorer the sensitivity becomes. At anything over 900 MHz it's 2£V @12 dB SINAD (NFM) and 4£V @ 12

dB SINAD (WFM) which are nothing to boast about.
Mine's for sale if anyone's silly enough to part with AUS$1100. It comes with three (yes three) Alinco EBP-37N 700 mAH batteries ($95 each), the computer cable & software for Cloning or
Computer Control, ($79) EDC-88 Fast Charger, (included) ESC-29 case ($30) and is boxed with manual & in as-new condition. The Bug Detector is a farce; whoever heard of a bug detector in which you had to know the frequency of the bug first! Hah! And likewise, the Frequency Counter only works with signals over 100 dB and even then, the menu settings you need to go through to get it to work are so onerous no-one could be bothered. This is a yuppie scanner & I'm sorry I wasted over $1400 for the thing. Because I'm honest I'll probably die with it. Anyone contemplating buying this unit, save your money & get an Icom R-10 if you don't want trunk tracking & don't care too much about the scanning rates, pay a bit more & get an AR-8200 MK II, or a lot less & get a Uniden BC245XLT. Any of them would be a better buy than the Alinco.

Ruff

2235. Re: Frequencies during the olympics?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 06:57:45 UTC
From: Jack Shit <Jackson@Shit.Com>
Message-ID: <JL398.1372$o%6.922550@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 52440

Mil a/c will be using AM in both the civil and military portions of the aviation band...

I expect FBI 7 Secret Service will be encripted...

"g0ing2" <g0ing2@users.sourceforge.remov_this_to_send_me_email.net> wrote in message
news:3C620F2E.61073262@users.sourceforge.remov_this_to_send_me_email.net...
> I am lucky/unlucky enough to be in Salt Lake City during the Olympics.
> Does anyone know what frequencies might be the most interesting. I'm
> particularly curious about the Secret Service, FBI, and the
> communications between military aircraft that will be patrolling but I'd
> also be interested in knowing any frequencies that you think might be
> worth listening to. Thanks.
>
>

2236. Re: scanner damage using company radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 07:05:23 UTC
From: Jack Shit <Jackson@Shit.Com>
Message-ID: <TS398.1374$o%6.926347@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 52441

You're not the only person to blow one up....
"Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3C605177.373C808B@yahoo.com...
> Using a high power transmitter CAN ruin a scanner. I had a handheld
> trunking scanner mounted in my van alongside my Icom IC706MKIIG rig.
>
> I transmitted (100 watts) on 50.125 mhz one day and blew the scanner's
> 800 mhz front end, ruining the scanner. The antenna for the scanner
> was a mag mount about 12" from the HF antenna, also a multi band
> mag mount.
>
> An expensive lesson.
> --
>
> /`\\\
> (@ @)
> *--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------*
> ?Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG?WX?
> ? Design Services Company ?
> ? http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ?
> ? Smoke free since 9/30/96! ?
> ? ICQ# 63379650? ?
> *------------------------------*

2237. Re: pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 07:39:04 UTC
From: ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <ufk96u02goqs8qvj630432e7je46hp14tp@4ax.com>
Source record: 52504

Did they fix the alpha tags problem???

On Thu, 07 Feb 2002 15:46:06 GMT, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:

>Make sure you get one with date code 11A01 or later.
>
>Press "3" while the opening screen is displayed to check the firmware
>version. Should be 1.01 or 1.03.
>
>Version 1.00 has software bugs.
>
>Walt
>
>David wrote:
>>
>> is this a goog starter? on sale at RS for 169.00
>> any info helpful
>>
>> Thankd
>>
>> David

2238. Whats the best scanner for EDACS 800mhz ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 07:50:11 UTC
From: Michael <lostinsydney@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <Hq498.3245$BE4.9524@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 52505

I know the 780 is great but is there any other scanner which is great for 800 edacs both h/h and mobile ???

Regards

Mike

2239. manual for the PRO94 online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 08:15:04 UTC
From: g0ing2 <g0ing2@users.sourceforge.removv_this_to_send_me_email.net>
Message-ID: <3C64D9CA.117F0C67@users.sourceforge.removv_this_to_send_me_email.net>
Source record: 52506

Does anyone know where I could find an electronic version of the PRO94
manual?
Thanks.

2240. Re: manual for the PRO94 online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 08:20:27 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <qsm96uod2dk1aaaucvfd5bhq2jdkbtovl4@4ax.com>
Source record: 52507

On Sat, 09 Feb 2002 08:15:04 GMT, g0ing2
<g0ing2@users.sourceforge.remov_this_to_send_me_email.net> wrote:

>Does anyone know where I could find an electronic version of the PRO94
>manual?
>Thanks.
>

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc61/61172.pdf

2241. Re: Help a newbie get started in scanning please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 12:10:50 UTC
From: Alex Devlin <Reply@Group.com>
Message-ID: <a433k9frs1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52508

Thank you Dennis, this is exactly what I was looking for.

Try alt.games.mame for any advice and help with cabinet building. (If you didn't already know.)

Also http://www.tombstones.org.uk/ can supply all roms on CD or DVD for free.

--
Alex Devlin
Arcade Emulation at it's Finest - www.mame.dk

2242. Re: Filtering Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 12:20:43 UTC
From: Keith <user@spam.eat>
Message-ID: <vu898.21817$bh3.140568@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52509

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@nospamtm.net> wrote:

> Include the words NOSPAM in your email address in your newsgroups account
> setup with whatever program you are using to read these newsgroups.
> Include somewhere in your message "To email directly remove NOSPAM from
> email
> address."
>

From: "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@nospamtm.net> Wrote:
> Include the words NOSPAM in your email address in your newsgroups account
> setup with whatever program you are using to read these newsgroups.
> Include somewhere in your message "To email directly remove NOSPAM from
> email
> address."
>

Then set up Outlook (Express) with a Reply-to address that is valid, I use
Yahoo for my return
address. The junk e-mail senders don't harvest the Reply-to addresses
because
they must download the
entire message to obtain it. See my header for an example of using Reply-to
with a valid email address.

- --
- --
Best Regards,

Keith - Reply-to address is valid - http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////
I've walked these streets in the mad house asylum they can be where a wild
eyed misfit prophet on a traffic island stopped and he raved of saving me,
have I been blind, have I been lost, inside my self and my own mind
hypnotized mesmerized by what my eyes have seen? ~N. Merchant, "Carnival"
////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP Personal Privacy 6.5.3

iQA/AwUBPGUTprwKMbGJKZceEQLTAgCfe4kxs+cDAJgpOKABXbfSRu8FhpYAnjHb
hf7Xx0s4hrk2cJeUS9EHLORE
=j4cX
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2243. Re: Control Channel Only?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 13:35:16 UTC
From: jfaul@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3c652593.181236927@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 52510

Ft. lauderdale uses 4 different control channels and they switch at
various times. I have found control channel only to be very effective
as I am only scanning four channels instead of 26. Comments?

On Sat, 09 Feb 2002 11:01:26 GMT, Keith <user@spam.eat> wrote:

>[Posted with Agent News Reader http://forteinc.com/]
>|In rec.radio.scanner
>|on Re: Control Channel Only? with a
>|msg-id <3C63FE10.64757D34@optonline.net>
>|Walt Bertram wrote:
>
>> Having the non-control frequencies programmed in the bank
>>does not help the receiver find a frequency, it can only slow down the
>>scanning as the receiver has to stop and listen to each programmed
>>frequency.
>
> In trunk tracking mode the scanner changes to the frequency indicated
>and it does not slow down the scanner. Here in NW Oregon I used the 780xlt
>in control channel only mode and it was not very good. Programming in the
>frequencies is your best bet, unless you only have one TRS Moto II system
>within 100 miles. You will be bounced all over the 800 MHz band. Other
>people have commented that control channel only mode on the 780 is not very
>good. I have also tried it with the Pro-2053 in NW Oregon and it gets all
>kind of false data and chases ghost talk groups and frequencies.
> For the best results program in the entire trunked radio system
>with the proper TRS info and type of system. Only put one trunked
>system in each bank of the scanner. Even if you choose to use control
>channel only mode only put one control channel and system information in
>each bank for the best and reliable results.
> By having a properly programmed trunked radio system in your scanner you
>will have much better results then the hit and miss control channel only
>mode. That has been my experience here in NW Oregon and you can see all the
>systems we have here at:
>http://www.joescanner.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith

>==
>My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
>The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
>Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
>==

2244. Re: pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 14:55:54 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C653879.C2D2FC09@optonline.net>
Source record: 52511

Apparently so.

ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com wrote:
>
> Did they fix the alpha tags problem???
>
> On Thu, 07 Feb 2002 15:46:06 GMT, Walt Bertram
> <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> >Make sure you get one with date code 11A01 or later.
> >
> >Press "3" while the opening screen is displayed to check the firmware
> >version. Should be 1.01 or 1.03.
> >
> >Version 1.00 has software bugs.
> >
> >Walt
> >
> >David wrote:
> >>
> >> is this a goog starter? on sale at RS for 169.00
> >> any info helpful
> >>
> >> Thankd
> >>
> >> David

2245. Re: pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 15:30:12 UTC
From: ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <n3ga6uscqiis06mug65g71lcr1eh88tbtb@4ax.com>
Source record: 52512

Has anyone tried it?

On Sat, 09 Feb 2002 14:55:54 GMT, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:

>Apparently so.
>
>ericDONOTSPAMMEthered@eudoramail.com wrote:
>>
>> Did they fix the alpha tags problem???
>>
>> On Thu, 07 Feb 2002 15:46:06 GMT, Walt Bertram
>> <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Make sure you get one with date code 11A01 or later.
>> >
>> >Press "3" while the opening screen is displayed to check the firmware
>> >version. Should be 1.01 or 1.03.
>> >
>> >Version 1.00 has software bugs.
>> >
>> >Walt
>> >
>> >David wrote:
>> >>
>> >> is this a goog starter? on sale at RS for 169.00
>> >> any info helpful
>> >>
>> >> Thankd
>> >>
>> >> David

2246. Re: POCSAG Decoding in Hudson Valley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 16:22:16 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a43i92$pj1$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 52513

> im using poc32, but im having no luck at all. the only frequency ive
> found thats active is 931.43750

Does your scanner/receiver have a discriminator output?

Peter Hunt.

2247. HELP On BACKLIGHT ON PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 16:51:36 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020209115136.17875.00000332@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 52514

Hello,
I am running the SCANPRO92 and I was able to program this entire scanner with the sofware. However, the only thing that I can't figure out is why I can't get the backlight to turn off. I set it to 15 sec, 10 sec, 13 sec...anything and it won't turn off. Any ideas?

Please let me know...

Jeffrey

2248. Re: NJSP - TYPE II Equivalents of TYPE I IDs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 17:50:40 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020209125040.16956.00000187@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 52515

Walt,

Exellent post and thanks for the info, I will try this method and let you kmow how I make out.

Jim

2249. BayGen Freeplay 360 AM/FM Self Powered Radio 4 sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 17:58:45 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16cf2d5ea37cc3f198969e@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 52516

I have a BayGen Freeplay 360 AM/FM Radio for sale. This is a self power radio and will run on the following power Sources:

Internal - B-Motor textured carbon spring driving a DC generator through a transmission.

Solar - High performance thin film solar panel

External - Optional DC adapter (center pin positive) 4.5 V 150mA (minimum)

The Frequency Range is:

FM - 88 to 108 MHz

AM - 520 to 1700 KHz

For more information see the following web pages:

http://windupradio.com/freeplay3.htm

http://www.ogormans.co.uk/freeplay360.htm

http://www.productsforanywhere.com/gear/details/fp_360.html

The Freeplay 360 is in excellent condition and works fine. The selling price of $50.00 includes shipping costs within the continental United States.

Please email me direct if you are interested. Do not reply to this message. My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

2250. FA: AOR 8200 II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 19:17:51 UTC
From: win.308@cox.net
Message-ID: <3c6575c9.1583499@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52517

Brand new AOR 8200 II. See it at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1331782155
This seems to have reduced my spam. Maybe if everyone does it we
can defeat the email search bots. (Updated 20 July 2001)
tosspam@aol.com abuse@aol.com abuse@yahoo.com abuse@hotmail.com
abuse@msn.com abuse@sprint.com abuse@earthlink.com uce@ftc.gov
spamcop@spamcop.net

2251. Re: Filtering Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 19:48:08 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <SeeSig@Below.com>
Message-ID: <Y1f98.23739$AV5.180205@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52518

Keith wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@nospamtm.net> wrote:
>
> > Include the words NOSPAM in your email address in your newsgroups
> > account setup with whatever program you are using to read these
> > newsgroups. Include somewhere in your message "To email directly remove
> > NOSPAM from email
> > address."
> >
>

Ya gotta be trickier than that! Any address harvester worth a cent will
be able to strip off NOSPAM!

--
**
** You know what to do to fix this email address: CPRitter-attbi-com
**

2252. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 19:54:26 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <S7f98.33985$th4.10561208@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Aside from the flashtune issues you are having. What is you're feeling of the
receive characteristics of the radio?

I have one on backorder right now and still not sure if i made the correct
decision.

"roughplanet" wrote in message
news:3c64bbe9$0$18471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Very near field is still an understatement. I've got my 'dumb as dogshit'
> DJ-X2000 in 'Flashtune' mode sitting about 2' from a dummy load into which
> I'm shoving 50 watts on 146.7000 MHz and still the damn thing can't find
the
> signal. I can't believe that you find it better at reaction tuning than an
> Opto counter. Which model Opto are you using. I have 2, an original Scout
> and a 3000A Plus, and either of them will pick up signals on almost any
band
> that the Alinco never hears.
> All I can say is....perhaps I got a lemon. I'll take it around to a friend
> who was clever enough not to sell his test equipment (yes, me) and check
out
> it's specs. If it's not within + or - 5%, back the bugger goes. Why oh why
> did I sell my R-10. Even the audio on this receiver sucks!
>
> Ruff
>
>
> "isobad" wrote in message
> news:7%%88.25997$th4.8221026@news02.optonline.net...
> The X2000 will act as a frequency counter by displaying the frequency, or
> reaction tune the radio to the signal so you can listen in. Of course
these
> would have to be very near field signals.
>
>
> "Shaun Kurt" wrote in message
> news:3c64697a@news1.homechoice.co.uk...
> umm, excuse my ignorance, but are you saying it is possible to pick up a
> guys freq. by just 'pointing' your scanner at his device?!? does the
> yaesu vr-500 have this function?!
>
> Thanks in advance...

>
>
> "proma1" wrote in message
> news:zaZ88.7127$YA2.1243763@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> i use a 2000,and have used many scanners the worst wideband one being the
> Icom R10,but the Alinco is fantastic
> excellant hf reception
> alpha tagging and searching
> ctcss recieve something that should be on all scanners
> even the de scrambler i can use on 2 users in London[so not really a
> selling point, just personal experiance]
> the counter or rather Flash tune as i have it set up for,works as a
> counter should ie i see a guy on a handie or mobile radio and it displays
> the freq,i do not use my Opto counters anymore,although in comparisons
with
> my mates Opto Cub,we find both miss the odd freq from time to time,thats
rf
> for you. ie we see a guy with a pmr licensed radio rate app 1-5 watts and
> nothing comes up,then eqaul distance a guy is using pmr446 half watt and
it
> goes for it,but in most cases we get our target,and the sort of scanning
we
> do we have a lot of targets.
> Audio could do better,very low,slight hiss in background and a bit of a
> squelch tail,def need headphones in noisy environments.
> A lot of UK dealers though do not like it,but i have persuaded app 6
> friends[email friends],some ameteurs and engineers to part with £500 ukp
and
> they appear to be happy.
> Not as sensitive as some scanners ie MVT7100,but in a lot of areas at
> 150-170 would overload from pager signals,the Alino is very clean but
> still pulls in the signals as a handheld should[ie it should not pull in
as
> good as a base].
> A handheld should be able to work on small arials while out and about
> and then at home should be able to connect to something better,and the
> Alinco does that.
> As for referance to 900 mhz,we do not use any voice analogue freqs up
there
> so if it is deaf then not a problem.
>
> regards
> Paul Wey[PROMA1]
> moderator of following scanning groups
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
>

>
> "Paul Young" wrote in message
> news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
> I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and
> deaf on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E
and
> I am glad I did.
>
>
> "Viper56-FW" wrote in message
> news:vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net...
> I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow,
> but that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it. I'll
> also be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity
> during a quit time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have
convenient
> bank linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a scanner
> that is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in
one
> bank at a time with 5 channels/sec!
> What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??
>
>
> "roughplanet" wrote in message
> news:3c5d25e6$0$11840$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Hello. I had an Alinco DJX-10E several years ago together with an
Icom -10,
> nd eventually sold both due to (a) their slow scanning rates, and, in the
> case of the Alinco, the 'selective deafness' the receiver exhibited on
> several bands. I recently bought an Alinco DJX-2000E, and frankly, whilst
it
> is faster than the DJX-10E, it's not by a factor of 2 or anything like it.
> Also, the further up the band you go, the poorer the sensitivity
> becomes. At anything over 900 MHz it's 2 V @12 dB SINAD (NFM) and 4 V @ 12
> dB SINAD (WFM) which are nothing to boast about.
> Mine's for sale if anyone's silly enough to part with AUS$1100. It comes
> with three (yes three) Alinco EBP-37N 700 mAH batteries ($95 each), the
> computer cable & software for Cloning or
> Computer Control, ($79) EDC-88 Fast Charger, (included) ESC-29 case ($30)
> and is boxed with manual & in as-new condition. The Bug Detector is a
farce;
> whoever heard of a bug detector in which you had to know the frequency of
> the bug first! Hah! And likewise, the Frequency Counter only works with
> signals over 100 dB and even then, the menu settings you need to go
through
> to get it to work are so onerous no-one could be bothered. This is a
yuppie
> scanner & I'm sorry I wasted over $1400 for the thing. Because I'm honest
> I'll probably die with it. Anyone contemplating buying this unit, save

> your money & get an Icom R-10 if you don't want trunk tracking & don't
care
> too much about the scanning rates, pay a bit more & get an AR-8200 MK II,
> or a lot less & get a Uniden BC245XLT. Any of them would be a better buy
> than the Alinco.
>
> Ruff
>
>

2253. Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 21:16:15 UTC
From: Chris <medic4401@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zkg98.34390$th4.10803148@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52520

Can someone direct me to a web site regarding the different types of "tones" and an explaination about them. The following tones I would like information on...ie what their used for etc...

CCIR-1
CCIR-2
CVEI
EIA
EEA
NATEL
CTCSS

2254. HELP: CB Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 21:25:41 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <6u4b6uk4rfpgr3sbo83lct6g4rndefh4i4@4ax.com>
Source record: 52521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

How come my AOR AR8200II can't recieve CB frequencies? Even if I'm driving clear down I-95 and tune my 8200II to 27.185, all I get is basically static. Truckers are speaking, as I can hear them on my CB, but I can't hear them on my 8200. The same is true for any CB frequency. I even tried extending the antenna to the max and everywhere in between. HELP PLEASE!

- pcmike

2255. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 21:47:42 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <kMg98.26669$H37.3152642@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 52522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

most of my views are on the previous mail,the only downside for me is the audio problems,but they are very minor really,a hiss quite prominent on fm signals and the squelch tail[ie that crack at the end of a transmission]

but it was the radio i was looking for.and i am very pleased,but there is no radio around that everyone likes,reviews are not much help as you are going on what someone else says,sometimes you just have to go get it,and if you dont like send it back.

going back to counter,i tried a pmr446 500 mw radio with the Alinco using a Diamond subby antenna and mangaed flash tune from 6 metres/3 watts uhf set 10 metres.

when i am at a site trying for a freq and they are using a licensed pmr user,i do not know if they are switched to 1 watt or 5 watts but in most cases a distance of about 11 metres is normal on that aerial,if i have problems and i am not getting the freq,then i put a longer aerial on and put the set inside my coat pocket,and try again.

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"isobad" wrote in message
news:S7f98.33985$th4.10561208@news02.optonline.net...
> Aside from the flashtune issues you are having. What is you're feeling of
> the
> receive characteristics of the radio?
>
> I have one on backorder right now and still not sure if i made the correct
> decision.
>
>
> "roughplanet" wrote in message

> news:3c64bbe9$0$18471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > Very near field is still an understatement. I've got my 'dumb as
dogshit'
> > DJ-X2000 in 'Flashtune' mode sitting about 2' from a dummy load into
which
> > I'm shoving 50 watts on 146.7000 MHz and still the damn thing can't find
> the
> > signal. I can't believe that you find it better at reaction tuning than
an
> > Opto counter. Which model Opto are you using. I have 2, an original
Scout
> > and a 3000A Plus, and either of them will pick up signals on almost any
> band
> > that the Alinco never hears.
> > All I can say is....perhaps I got a lemon. I'll take it around to a
friend
> > who was clever enough not to sell his test equipment (yes, me) and check
> out
> > it's specs. If it's not within + or - 5%, back the bugger goes. Why oh
why
> > did I sell my R-10. Even the audio on this receiver sucks!
> >
> > Ruff
> >
> >
> > "isobad" wrote in message
> > news:7%%88.25997$th4.8221026@news02.optonline.net...
> > The X2000 will act as a frequency counter by displaying the frequency,
or
> > reaction tune the radio to the signal so you can listen in. Of course
> these
> > would have to be very near field signals.
> >
> >
> > "Shaun Kurt" wrote in message
> > news:3c64697a@news1.homechoice.co.uk...
> > umm, excuse my ignorance, but are you saying it is possible to pick up a
> > guys freq. by just 'pointing' your scanner at his device?!? does the
> > yaesu vr-500 have this function?!
> >
> > Thanks in advance...
> >
> >
> > "proma1" wrote in message
> > news:zaZ88.7127$YA2.1243763@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > i use a 2000,and have used many scanners the worst wideband one being
the
> > Icom R10,but the Alinco is fantastic
> > excellant hf reception

> > alpha tagging and searching
> > ctcss recieve something that should be on all scanners
> > even the de scrambler i can use on 2 users in London[so not really a
> > selling point, just personal experiance]
> > the counter or rather Flash tune as i have it set up for,works as a
> > counter should ie i see a guy on a handie or mobile radio and it
displays
> > the freq,i do not use my Opto counters anymore,although in comparisons
> with
> > my mates Opto Cub,we find both miss the odd freq from time to time,thats
> rf
> > for you. ie we see a guy with a pmr licensed radio rate app 1-5 watts
and
> > nothing comes up,then eqaul distance a guy is using pmr446 half watt and
> it
> > goes for it,but in most cases we get our target,and the sort of scanning
> we
> > do we have a lot of targets.
> > Audio could do better,very low,slight hiss in background and a bit of a
> > squelch tail,def need headphones in noisy environments.
> > A lot of UK dealers though do not like it,but i have persuaded app 6
> > friends[email friends],some ameteurs and engineers to part with ?500 ukp
> and
> > they appear to be happy.
> > Not as sensitive as some scanners ie MVT7100,but in a lot of areas at
> > 150-170 would overload from pager signals,the Alino is very clean but
> > still pulls in the signals as a handheld should[ie it should not pull in
> as
> > good as a base].
> > A handheld should be able to work on small arials while out and about
> > and then at home should be able to connect to something better,and the
> > Alinco does that.
> > As for referance to 900 mhz,we do not use any voice analogue freqs up
> there
> > so if it is deaf then not a problem.
> >
> > regards
> > Paul Wey[PROMA1]
> > moderator of following scanning groups
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
> >
> >
> > "Paul Young" wrote in message
> > news:3C5C901A.908D8748@ion.com.au...
> > I had the Alinco DJX-10E for 2 years, I found this unit to be slow and
> > deaf on some bands. I have since sold it and bought the Alinco DJX-2000E

> and
> > I am glad I did.
> >
> >
> > "Viper56-FW" wrote in message
> > news:vx278.60916$B94.11553790@news02.optonline.net...
> > I wonder if the current DJ-X10 is still deaf? I know it's still slow,
> > but that just means I won't be finding any "new" frequencies with it.
I'll
> > also be a bit behind when I need to search several banks for activity
> > during a quit time...I guess that's why they didn't care to have
> convenient
> > bank linking, because why would you really want to link banks on a
scanner
> > that is so slow? I would expect to be scanning only a few frequencies in
> one
> > bank at a time with 5 channels/sec!
> > What do you think? Is it the same DJ-X10 from 2 years ago today??
> >
> >
> > "roughplanet" wrote in message
> > news:3c5d25e6$0$11840$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > Hello. I had an Alinco DJX-10E several years ago together with an
> Icom -10,
> > nd eventually sold both due to (a) their slow scanning rates, and, in
the
> > case of the Alinco, the 'selective deafness' the receiver exhibited on
> > several bands. I recently bought an Alinco DJX-2000E, and frankly,
whilst
> it
> > is faster than the DJX-10E, it's not by a factor of 2 or anything like
it.
> > Also, the further up the band you go, the poorer the sensitivity
> > becomes. At anything over 900 MHz it's 2 V @12 dB SINAD (NFM) and 4 V @
12
> > dB SINAD (WFM) which are nothing to boast about.
> > Mine's for sale if anyone's silly enough to part with AUS$1100. It comes
> > with three (yes three) Alinco EBP-37N 700 mAH batteries ($95 each), the
> > computer cable & software for Cloning or
> > Computer Control, ($79) EDC-88 Fast Charger, (included) ESC-29 case
($30)
> > and is boxed with manual & in as-new condition. The Bug Detector is a
> farce;
> > whoever heard of a bug detector in which you had to know the frequency
of
> > the bug first! Hah! And likewise, the Frequency Counter only works with
> > signals over 100 dB and even then, the menu settings you need to go
> through
> > to get it to work are so onerous no-one could be bothered. This is a

> yuppie
> > scanner & I'm sorry I wasted over $1400 for the thing. Because I'm
honest
> > I'll probably die with it. Anyone contemplating buying this unit, save
> > your money & get an Icom R-10 if you don't want trunk tracking & don't
> care
> > too much about the scanning rates, pay a bit more & get an AR-8200 MK
II,
> > or a lot less & get a Uniden BC245XLT. Any of them would be a better buy
> > than the Alinco.
> >
> > Ruff
> >
> >
>
>

2256. Re: HELP: CB Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-09 23:16:15 UTC
From: "ked1075@localnet.com" <ked1075@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C65ADBF.7030100@localnet.com>
Source record: 52523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hi pcmike;

Try your vehicle's CB antenna. In side your vehicle you can
hear yourself Xmit but not much else on the 8200's whip.

Paul

Michael A. Nunes wrote:

> How come my AOR AR8200II can't recieve CB frequencies? Even if I'm
> driving clear down I-95 and tune my 8200II to 27.185, all I get is
> basically static. Truckers are speaking, as I can hear them on my CB,
> but I can't hear them on my 8200. The same is true for any CB
> frequency. I even tried extending the antenna to the max and
> everywhere in between. HELP PLEASE!
>
> - pcmike
>
>

2257. Re: HELP: CB Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 01:15:45 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <pcmike@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0202092014570.4645-100000@planets.pcmike.net>
Source record: 52524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

The only problem is the 8200 only accepts BNC connections, and then that medium-wave bar, but my CB antenna is a PL-259 connector, or whatever.

So, how would I hook it up to my 8200?

- pcmike
p@pcmike.net

On Sat, 9 Feb 2002, ked1075@localnet.com wrote:

> Hi pcmike;
>
> Try your vehicle's CB antenna. In side your vehicle you can
> hear yourself Xmit but not much else on the 8200's whip.
>
> Paul
>
> Michael A. Nunes wrote:
>
> > How come my AOR AR8200II can't recieve CB frequencies? Even if I'm
> > driving clear down I-95 and tune my 8200II to 27.185, all I get is
> > basically static. Truckers are speaking, as I can hear them on my CB,
> > but I can't hear them on my 8200. The same is true for any CB
> > frequency. I even tried extending the antenna to the max and
> > everywhere in between. HELP PLEASE!
> >
> > - pcmike
> >
> >
>
>

2258. Re: HELP: CB Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 01:24:43 UTC
From: " .Your Friend Bill" <none@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3C65CBDB.39FC6320@coqui.net>
Source record: 52525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Michael A. Nunes" wrote:
>
> The only problem is the 8200 only accepts BNC connections, and then that
> medium-wave bar, but my CB antenna is a PL-259 connector, or whatever.
>
> So, how would I hook it up to my 8200?
>
> - pcmike
> p@pcmike.net

Make up a little adapter cable. BNC male on one end, SO-239 on the
other. I've seen adapters like this but you really dont want something
that bulky poking out of the back of the radio.

2259. Re: HELP: CB Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 01:37:44 UTC
From: Rollo&#65533; <Rollo_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <a44it802bbd@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0202092014570.4645-100000@planets.pcmike.net>,
"Michael says...
>
>The only problem is the 8200 only accepts BNC connections, and then that
>medium-wave bar, but my CB antenna is a PL-259 connector, or whatever.
>
>So, how would I hook it up to my 8200?

Go to Radio Shack, buy an adapter, or piece together the requisite
partially-satisfactory adapters.

2260. F/A Sony Wavehawk $115 buy it now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 02:01:54 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <mwk98.5972$0c.184102@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1331884758

2261. Re: Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 02:03:50 UTC
From: David Meyer <dmeyer2@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ayk98.2732$4x.1404191@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 52527

Hey Chris, I don't know much about the other tones, but I can help you with CTCSS tones. They are used to allow a receiver to receive a signal that includes the tone in it. This way, other people can be using the same frequency, but the receiver wont replay their audio unless they have the tone included with the transmitted signal. More can be read at: http://www.pacres.com/ctcss.htm

"Chris" <medic4401@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:zkg98.34390$th4.10803148@news02.optonline.net...
> Can someone direct me to a web site regarding the different types of "tones"
> and an explaination about them. The following tones I would like information
> on...ie what their used for etc...
>
> CCIR-1
> CCIR-2
> CVEI
> EIA
> EEA
> NATEL
> CTCSS
>
>
>

2262. Re: HELP: CB Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 02:13:36 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <kHk98.25576$Pz4.174727@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 52529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Go to Radio Shack (or better), there are adapters available.
--
CW
KC7NOD
Web Page http://www.kc7nod.20m.com

"Michael A. Nunes" <pcmike@pcmike.net> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.44.0202092014570.4645-100000@planets.pcmike.net...
> The only problem is the 8200 only accepts BNC connections, and then that
> medium-wave bar, but my CB antenna is a PL-259 connector, or whatever.
>
> So, how would I hook it up to my 8200?
>
> - pcmike
> p@pcmike.net
>
> On Sat, 9 Feb 2002, ked1075@localnet.com wrote:
>
> > Hi pcmike;
> >
> > Try your vehicle's CB antenna. In side your vehicle you can
> > hear yourself Xmit but not much else on the 8200's whip.
> >
> > Paul
> >
> > Michael A. Nunes wrote:
> >
> > > How come my AOR AR8200II can't recieve CB frequencies? Even if I'm
> > > driving clear down I-95 and tune my 8200II to 27.185, all I get is
> > > basically static. Truckers are speaking, as I can hear them on my CB,
> > > but I can't hear them on my 8200. The same is true for any CB
> > > frequency. I even tried extending the antenna to the max and
> > > everywhere in between. HELP PLEASE!
> > >
> > > - pcmike
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2263. Re: AOR 8200 II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 02:41:43 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <H5l98.1144$QQ3.402529@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 52530

you selling theses or auction.Prices stop me at the start.
"Chuck" <win.308@cox.net> wrote in message
news:3c6575c9.1583499@news.east.cox.net...
> Brand new AOR 8200 II. See it at:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1331782155
> This seems to have reduced my spam. Maybe if everyone does it we
> can defeat the email search bots. (Updated 20 July 2001)
> tosspam@aol.com abuse@aol.com abuse@yahoo.com abuse@hotmail.com
> abuse@msn.com abuse@sprint.com abuse@earthlink.com uce@ftc.gov
> spamcop@spamcop.net

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2264. tk8500 v0.5, open source software for IC-R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 03:36:51 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c65ea72$0$39544$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 52531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Version 0.5 of tk8500 is now available at:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

tk8500 is open source software designed to control
and program the ICOM IC-R8500 receiver. Programmed using Tcl/Tk,
it runs on Linux, Windows 98, Windows XP, and perhaps MacOS X.

(You must install the free Tcl/Tk interpreter prior to running
tk8500. See web page or instructions.)

New features in experimental version 0.5 include:

Users can now choose to boost or cut the volume for
a set of frequencies. Added a second Volume control.
A new Volume field in the Memory Channel .csv file
determines which of the two volume controls to use.

Added the ability to change the text font and
change the color of the graphic images.

Faster receiver polling.

While scanning memory, the active memory bank label widget
will be green while the inactive labels will be white.

See Release Notes web page for more info.

I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2265. FS:YAESU FT-50 package, Reduced!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 03:52:39 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <b8m98.80348$d16.18031658@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 52532
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I will try this one more time, reduce the price for the package, and then it's off to eBay if NO takers...

I am selling my YAESU FT-50 dual band (440 - 2M) hand held radio. The radio is in Excellent condition. Non-smoker. This radio has been modified for out of band TX. Package also includes the rubber "gasket case", NC-50 rapid drop in charger, FBA-15 AA battery case, FNB-41 600 mAh battery, MAHA FNB-V47 1800 mAh battery, and MAHA FNB-40 650 mAh thin battery. All batteries and the AA case have the optional SPRING belt clip. Also includes the ADMS-1E programming software and cable for the FT-50.

I am asking $260.00 for the package, shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.

I can accept PAYPAL, a US Postal money order, or check. Personal check will delay shipping until it clears.

You can check my eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested.

Dan
N2TYB

2266. Re: HELP: CB Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 03:59:49 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <u6bs31kqm25d7c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

> The only problem is the 8200 only accepts BNC connections, and then that
> medium-wave bar, but my CB antenna is a PL-259 connector, or whatever.
>
> So, how would I hook it up to my 8200?

I picked up an adaptor to do this from Radio Shack for a few bucks.

2267. Re: Help a newbie get started in scanning please.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 04:01:19 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a44r7c$1cm1bh$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52533

Take my as a partial help since I am still new to this hobby.
So far I really enjoy it.

Icom PCR-1000, Icom IC-R2 and a Sangean 909 (actually a Radio Shack DX-398
modded)

"Alex Devlin" <Reply@Group.com> wrote in message
news:a433k9frs1@helle.btinternet.com...
Thank you Dennis, this is exactly what I was looking for.

Try alt.games.mame for any advice and help with cabinet building. (If you
didn't already know.)

Also http://www.tombstones.org.uk/ can supply all roms on CD or DVD for
free.

--
Alex Devlin
Arcade Emulation at it's Finest - www.mame.dk

2268. Motorola UHF Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 04:09:22 UTC
From: Radioguy <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C65F272.8070007@dccnet.com>
Source record: 52535

Hi there,
I have a pair of Motorola UHF Radius SP-21'S in beatiful shape
Made 99/05/22
These are proffesional business radios,

* 2 Watts,with built-in Private Line and built-in VOX capability
* 12.5/25 kHz programmable channel spacing
* Motorola unique voice compression technology for superior audio

* PL Standard Codes (Private Line)
* Voice prompt programming
* Built-in VOX - Voice Operated Transmission
* Lightweight compact design
* Nickel Cadmium Battery
* UHF Whip Antenna
* 2.5" Belt Clip
* NOTE NO CHARGERS (SELL FOR $5.00 each)

These radios new sell for above $200
I am asking $160 shipped, gaurunteed to be in perfect working
order! E-mail me directly for any questions or for a picture.
Thanks-Russ
VE7-DFD

2269. Need Schematic for SBE Optiscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 05:24:34 UTC
From: Gary Jacek <ve7ggj@rac.ca.REMOVE>
Message-ID: <11399475ROBOTLX@24.64.223.211>
Source record: 52536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for the schematic for the
SBE OptiScan model SBE-12SM.

73 de Gary
VE7GGJ
http://members.shaw.ca/gary-jacek/Optiscan.html

2270. Re: MDT monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 05:54:16 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amlist.kil@cyberpeasant.com>
Message-ID: <amlist.kil-078FF2.00541610022002@news.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 52537
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3C5BE8D5.9DA0454D@yahoo.com>, Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> K-man wrote:
> > Could you tell me about some of the best software programs to do this
> > also if anyone would know what they are using for police in Toronto
> > let me know
>
> Try this:
>
> http://chroot.ath.cx/fade/projects/decoding/mdtmonprog.zip

Sadly, Toronto police are using RD-LAP, which MTDMonitor can't handle,
iirc.

-A

--
Remove .kil to reply.

2271. FS: VR-120 and Pro64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 06:10:38 UTC
From: saturn_1b@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <53cd6398.0202092210.5d674daf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52538

I have a VR-120 and a Pro 64 for sale. Both are in GREAT condition.
Please make offers. I am also intrested in trades.
Please only email kc7ryd@yahoo.com

2272. Re: Motorola UHF Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 07:36:11 UTC
From: Radioguy <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C6622EB.5080607@dccnet.com>
Source record: 52539

That is $160 for the pair!

Radioguy wrote:

> Hi there,
> I have a pair of Motorola UHF Radius SP-21'S in beatiful shape
> Made 99/05/22
> These are proffesional business radios,
>
>
> * 2 Watts,with built-in Private Line and built-in VOX capability
> * 12.5/25 kHz programmable channel spacing
> * Motorola unique voice compression technology for superior audio
> * PL Standard Codes (Private Line)
> * Voice prompt programming
> * Built-in VOX - Voice Operated Transmission
> * Lightweight compact design
> * Nickel Cadmium Battery
> * UHF Whip Antenna
> * 2.5" Belt Clip
> * NOTE NO CHARGERS (SELL FOR $5.00 each)
>
> These radios new sell for above $200
> I am asking $160 shipped, gauruntеed to be in perfect working
> order! E-mail me directly for any questions or for a picture.
> Thanks-Russ
> VE7-DFD
>
>

2273. Des francophones sur cette liste ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 08:18:32 UTC
From: T0M <NotForYourEyes@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <db0c6ucmv4l1k03er2u0eqo838l0t7u12v@4ax.com>
Source record: 52540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Amitii¿½s de Anathema4 - The Silent Key
->>>Membre du Chaos Radio Club France
->>>Mailing List Manager

French-Speaking Radio Hacking Crew
->>> Web page: http://perso.ksurf.net/CRCF/

2274. Re: WTB scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 09:39:39 UTC
From: BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13162-3C663FDB-125@storefull-298.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52541

i personly use a radio shack discone type. if not available to you, get in touch with ham radio outlet or amatuer radio supply about a diamond antanna discone type. love mine, use it for my vhf-uhf ham stuff as well.
good luck

2275. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 12:23:59 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c66665b$0$18473$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 52542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"roughplanet" wrote in message
news:3c64bbe9$0$18471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
Very near field is still an understatement. I've got my 'dumb as dogshit'
DJ-X2000 in 'Flashtune' mode sitting about 2' from a dummy load into which
I'm shoving 50 watts on 146.7000 MHz and still the damn thing can't find the
signal.

----- Original Message -----
From: "proma1"
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Sent: Sunday, February 10, 2002 8:47 AM
Subject: Re: Alinco DJ-X2000
most of my views are on the previous mail,the only downside for me is the
audio problems,but they are very minor really,a hiss quite prominent on fm
signals and the squelch tail [ie that crack at the end of a transmission]
but it was the radio i was looking for.and i am very pleased,but there is no
radio around that everyone likes,reviews are not much help as you are going
on what someone else says,sometimes you just have to go get it,and if you
dont like send it back.

"isobad" wrote in message
news:S7f98.33985$th4.10561208@news02.optonline.net...
Aside from the flashtune issues you are having. What is you're feeling of
the receive characteristics of the radio?
I have one on backorder right now and still not sure if I made the correct
decision.

Paul is absolutely right when he says that no one radio will suit everyone.
As I spend 95% of my listening time in my shack, I probably have an unreal
expectation of handheld scanners, as , consciously or not, I'm comparing
them with desktop models costing thousands of dollars more. To that end I am
doomed to disappointment, as NO handheld scanner will ever duplicate the
performance of a 1st class scanning receiver. So, as Paul says, bite the
bullet & go with your original intentions. If you aren't pleased with the
radio you should be able to return it, or at least swap it for something
else.

Ruff

2276. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 13:21:37 UTC
From: Tom Needham <tneedham@acd.net>
Message-ID: <Oqu98.44$va3.97538@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52543

I had the same problem with my AW "super discone".
Using an ohm meter I found that the connector on the bottom
of the antenna was shorted. (tied together)
There was a cracked insulator inside it.
The person @ AW sent a replacement right away.
(good people)

Did you put the connectors on your coax?
RG-6 has foil shielding which can be tricky.
shorted connecotrs will act the same. (no signal)

As others have said, without test equipment, (ohm meter) substitution
is probably your best bet.

Tom

--

Reply to: Group, or tneedham@spleen.acd.net
Remove my spleen to reply. (please be gentle)
Home page: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/default.htm
Jeep stuff: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/jeep.html
It aint much, but it's mine.

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3C60A8DE.2080203@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> Biancado wrote:
>
> > Help! Help! Help@ This PM I installed a discone antenna I bought from
> > antennawarehouse. I'm using a RG6-U cable (100 ft). I get absolutely
none of the
> > frequencies that I used to get with my Telescopic antenna (Realistic
2006 Pro)
> > As soon as I reconnect the telescopic antenna those frequencies are
received. I
> > thought that with a roof antenna my reception in supposed to improve not
worsen.
> > I called Antenna warehouse , they told me that the coaxial most likely
has a
> > short in it. I don't have equipment to test that. Can some one tell me
what else
> > may be going on. I'm in the Northeastern coast of the US. TIA
> >
>

> Take the antenna down, get a short piece of Coax and hook it up to your
> radio. See if you get signals on a different piece of coax. This
> will confirm it isn't the antenna or connector on the antenna.
>
> Either you have a short or you have an open in your 100' of coax, mostly
> at one of the connectors. Sometimes PL-259 (at the antenna end) and
> BNC (at the scanner end) are hard to install without getting a short
> unless you have lots of practice.
>
> I have an AntennaWarehouse discone and it is a great antenna.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and MORE!
>
>

2277. Fs/Ft Pro 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 13:32:05 UTC
From: Rog <rsmail@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a45sok$q49$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52544

I have a brand new in the box unused,unmarked pro-2042
I bought it to go in my car but changed my mind and put an Icom in it instead.
this is the US version that runs on 120v AC ****BUT**** it also runs on 12v dc and I have the appropriate adapter for mains in the UK. comes boxed as new with manual..
Rog

remove Spam for reply

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 08/02/02

2278. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 14:11:54 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C667FA9.1741F351@optonline.net>
Source record: 52545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Sounds like you have a very good "dummy load". Seems as though it is
doing exactly what it is supposed to do, i.e., dissipate power without
radiating any of it.

What happens when you connect the transmitter to an antenna, then walk
down the street and see what the range of the X-2000 Flashtune is?

Walt

roughplanet wrote:
>
> "roughplanet" wrote in message
> news:3c64bbe9$0$18471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Very near field is still an understatement. I've got my 'dumb as dogshit'
> DJ-X2000 in 'Flashtune' mode sitting about 2' from a dummy load into which
> I'm shoving 50 watts on 146.7000 MHz and still the damn thing can't find the
> signal.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "proma1"
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
> Sent: Sunday, February 10, 2002 8:47 AM
> Subject: Re: Alinco DJ-X2000
> most of my views are on the previous mail,the only downside for me is the
> audio problems,but they are very minor really,a hiss quite prominent on fm
> signals and the squelch tail [ie that crack at the end of a transmission]
> but it was the radio i was looking for.and i am very pleased,but there is no
> radio around that everyone likes,reviews are not much help as you are going
> on what someone else says,sometimes you just have to go get it,and if you
> dont like send it back.
>
> "isobad" wrote in message
> news:S7f98.33985$th4.10561208@news02.optonline.net...
> Aside from the flashtune issues you are having. What is you're feeling of
> the receive characteristics of the radio?
> I have one on backorder right now and still not sure if I made the correct
> decision.
>
> Paul is absolutely right when he says that no one radio will suit everyone.
> As I spend 95% of my listening time in my shack, I probably have an unreal
> expectation of handheld scanners, as , consciously or not, I'm comparing
> them with desktop models costing thousands of dollars more. To that end I am

> doomed to disappointment, as NO handheld scanner will ever duplicate the
> performance of a 1st class scanning receiver. So, as Paul says, bite the
> bullet & go with your original intentions. If you aren't pleased with the
> radio you should be able to return it, or at least swap it for something
> else.
>
> Ruff

2279. BC780 software: ARC780 Version 1.04 now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 14:36:59 UTC
From: GB <spamb@nomail.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a460kj$t33$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 52546
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

The latest version of the popular ARC780 software for the (U)BC780 is now available.

Version 1.04 now includes a full Virtual Control Panel and ARC780 will give you 200 extra search ranges.

ARC780 : http://www.butel.nl/bc780/bc780index.html
or visit our website at http://www.butel.nl

2280. Re: F/A Sony Wavehawk $115 buy it now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 14:40:36 UTC
From: pjaflorida@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11704-3C668664-34@storefull-2116.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 52447

What is the "key pad" trick that will enable this radio to receive sw?

2281. Re: WTB scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 15:56:51 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020210105651.15844.00000423@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 52449

>The scantenna served me well, but its durability is an issue. I mightconsider buying another one considering its performance and price<

The price right now is probably better than you expected. RS is closing out the 20-044 Scantenna right now for $9.95 ea complete with RG6.
Don't expect to see them in the show room, they keep them in the back room so you will have to ask.

RM ~~

2282. Re: Check Out This UPS Route

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 16:07:10 UTC
From: Mike <mdickman@bevcomm.net>
Message-ID: <3C669AFC.11721615@bevcomm.net>
Source record: 52450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I do not use the Universally Pathetic System for this very reason...

DeWayne wrote:

> I ordered an Icom R2 from Burghardt's in South Dakota Friday. UPS had it out
> for delivery in Nebraska yesterday. Then they deleted that mistake from this
> list. It's supposed to end up near Indianapolis. Hope they don't refuse to
> deliver it since I filed a complaint about the last delivery. Maybe I should
> have asked Burghardt's to USPS it. :)
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> PACKAGE PROGRESS
> Date Time Location Activity
> Feb 5, 2002 5:22 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ROUTED INCORRECTLY AT UPS
> FACILITY;PKG HAS BEEN REROUTED TO DESTINATION
> 5:03 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US UNLOAD SCAN
> 5:02 A.M. ELKHART, IN, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> 1:02 A.M. CACH, IL, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> Feb 4, 2002 11:07 P.M. CACH, IL, US LOCATION SCAN
> 5:58 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US UNLOAD SCAN
> Feb 2, 2002 6:21 A.M. OMAHA, NE, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> 2:45 A.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> Feb 1, 2002 11:31 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US UNLOAD SCAN
> 11:30 P.M. SIOUX FALLS, SD, US ARRIVAL SCAN
> 8:18 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US DEPARTURE SCAN
> 6:48 P.M. WATERTOWN, SD, US ORIGIN SCAN

--
Mike
KD4LLA

U.S. District Judge Colleen Kollar-Kotelly should demand that Microsoft
hand outsiders the software keys they need to write programs that work
on Windows. Software disclosure would not undercut Microsoft's ability
to profit from selling Windows. It would only increase Windows' legitimacy
by helping its operating system run the sort of programs that ordinary
PC users want, not what arrogant Microsoft bosses think they should use.

2283. Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 16:14:24 UTC
From: todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us
Message-ID: <A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 52452
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics,

Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
so no lectures about legality are needed - please.

I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
are the plans?

Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.

2284. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 18:06:20 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a46cqsngp0@63.162.246.154>
Source record: 52453
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote:

>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>

A valid reason to violate federal law????

Yeah, right.

2285. Re: Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 18:27:55 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hZy98.13$Bo2.95418@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52454

"Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olympics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!"
What's that going to do? What a waste of time. Like their going to stop the Olympics because some Americans didn't want them there. You protesters need to get a life. Just concentrate on saving the whales please.

"Email to above or see post sig for address!" <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote in message news:ed0d6uo3u83q6k7190sa0n2g7hm8g1dnug@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 09 Feb 2002 14:20:08 GMT, "Chris" <medic4401@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Can someone direct me to a web site regarding the different types of "tones"
> >and an explaination about them. The following tones I would like information
> >on...ie what their used for etc...
> >
> >CCIR-1
> >CCIR-2
> >CVEI
> >EIA
> >EEA
> >NATEL
>
> These are 5/6 Tone Paging formats also used for the Modat ANI using the EIA tone
> set modes on some systems
>
> These can be used for Automatic Number Identification (ANI), selective call
> (SELCALL) of a particular radio, paging and control of devices.
>
> 5/6 was used by older Pageboy, BPR2000 and some other older pagers.
>
> An extension to this is HSC Hex Sequential Code which adds a way to send numeric
> and some alpha pages.
>
> >CTCSS
>
> This also referred to as Private Line aka PL (Motorola) and Channel Guard (GE)
> name(s).
>
> You can obtain additional information on these at my site under the Tones & Add

> Ons areas of my site, URL below.
>
> Links to devices which can decode these are provided as well.
> ---
> "The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc
> ---
> "Love is a dangerous angel"
> ---
> AOSC#: FL-41-1184
> The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
> http://tampascan.home.dyndns.org
> Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mansotaflscan
> Tampa Bay Area Scanner List
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/tampabayscan
> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> http://wpascanner.home.dyndns.org/scnrng.htm
> --
> Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> --
> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

2286. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 19:07:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <25hd6u4hsnjhqeiu9aqj46a894cj6mi1lp@4ax.com>
Source record: 52455
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.

>I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
>phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
>Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
>are the plans?

>Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
>phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.

1) There's no *legally* valid reason to violate the law.

2) Helping you, in ANY way, to violate federal law, is a felony in itself.

3) Asking help by admonishing those you want help from isn't likely to get you any help.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2287. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 19:14:43 UTC
From: Bruce Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3C66C6A3.524D3C5E@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 52456

Even after making the repair to the coax short, remember that even the best discone hears equally poor in all directions. K4AVO

Biancado wrote:

> Help! Help! Help@ This PM I installed a discone antenna I bought from
> antennawarehouse. I'm using a RG6-U cable (100 ft). I get absolutely none of the
> frequencies that I used to get with my Telescopic antenna (Realistic 2006 Pro)
> As soon as I reconnect the telescopic antenna those frequencies are received. I
> thought that with a roof antenna my reception in supposed to improve not worsen.
> I called Antenna warehouse , they told me that the coaxial most likely has a
> short in it. I don't have equipment to test that. Can some one tell me what else
> may be going on. I'm in the Northeastern coast of the US. TIA

2288. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 19:25:34 UTC
From: Tom Biasi <tombiasi@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <OOz98.41211$th4.14129237@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52457
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Hello Todd,
These are the only kind of answers you will get here. Grow up and get a
life. That advice applies even if you are a troll.
Regards,
Tom

"Todd Paulson" <todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us> wrote in message
news:A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
> Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> are the plans?
>
> Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>
>

2289. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 19:29:58 UTC
From: Phil Allison <bilup@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <nLz98.4722$BE4.13083@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 52458
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Todd Paulson" <todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us> wrote in message
news:A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> so no lectures about legality are needed - please.

** To jam a cell phones you need a strong signal over the whole band used
by such phones. That is why they are illegal and your request is outrageous.
Fuck you.

Phil

2290. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 20:11:16 UTC
From: Dale or Kitty Eastman <dalereastman@sprintmail.com.SPAM.INVALID>
Message-ID: <3C66D373.54EE46DB@sprintmail.com.SPAM.INVALID>
Source record: 52459
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Phil Allison wrote:
>
> "Todd Paulson" <todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us> wrote in message
> news:A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
> > Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> > in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> > so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> ** To jam a cell phones you need a strong signal over the whole band used
> by such phones. That is why they are illegal and your request is outrageous.

A legal way to jam the cell phone may perhaps start with the following
instruction.

1. Bend over.

Amount of power required dependent upon amount of sand in Vaseline.

--
Are we having fun yet?

Instructions to decode my address can be found at:
http://www.spamfaq.net/spamfighting.shtml#munging

From somewhere in Wisconsin.

2291. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 20:48:14 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C66DC8E.AC71F491@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52460
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

There are commercial products available for just that purpose.
In some administrations they are used in places like theatres and
restaurants to protect customers from the ignorant and self important.

Although I have read articles in newspapers and newsmagazines discussing
them the names of the manufacturers and/or distributors elude me. I'm
pretty sure the main manufacturer is a Japanese organization but that's
the best I can do without researching it.

You might want to check the legalities of such use in your
administration - unfortunately many posters assume the laws where they
live apply everywhere, which is of course nonsense.

Dave

Todd Paulson wrote:
>
> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
> Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> are the plans?
>
> Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.

2292. ICOM R-1 FS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 20:49:04 UTC
From: nukem2001 <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41B98.82687$jO5.10789038@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 52462

Selling like new a Icom R-1.

Includes Owner manual, leather case, battery pack, charger, high gain antenna.

Covers all 800Mhz including cells.

First $149.00 takes

Thanks,
Nat. k_nat@mailcity.com

2293. Re: POCSAG Decoding in Hudson Valley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 20:58:52 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <cond6uoad8kv9bq31vrukj8nvgknrhvitf@4ax.com>
Source record: 52461

I dont think so?

I have a radio shack pro 93 seems just to have earphone and pc/if
jack.

all ive been able to successfully decode is 1200 and 2400 pocsag.
nothing flex whatsoever

t, 9 Feb 2002 17:22:16 +0100, "Peter Hunt"
<news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl> wrote:

>
>> im using poc32, but im having no luck at all. the only frequency ive
>> found thats active is 931.43750
>
>Does your scanner/receiver have a discriminator output?
>
>Peter Hunt.
>
>

2294. FS-Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 21:13:30 UTC
From: guido@comnetcom.net
Message-ID: <3c66e1f0.5318565@news.comnetcom.net>
Source record: 52463

Nearly new (6 mos old) VR-500, soft leather case, programming software and cable. Blocked USA version. No dings or scratches. Have original manuals and box.

$200 and I ship COD CONUS.

John, W9WY
guido@mail.icongrp.com

2295. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 21:16:02 UTC
From: jfields@austininstruments.com
Message-ID: <3c66e2c9.8904295@news2.fc.net>
Source record: 52464
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote:

>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.

OK, if you'd care to share what the valid reasons are I'm sure we'd all be eager to help you break the law...

>
>I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
>phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
>Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
>are the plans?
>
>Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
>phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>

John Fields

2296. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 21:35:55 UTC
From: Phil Allison <bilup@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <oBB98.4769$BE4.13159@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 52465
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"John Fields" <jfields@austininstruments.com> wrote in message
news:3c66e2c9.8904295@news2.fc.net...
> On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd
> Paulson) wrote:
>
> >Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> >in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> >so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> ---
> OK, if you'd care to share what the valid reasons are I'm sure we'd
> all be eager to help you break the law...

** The alleged "valid reasons" go by another name, its also called the
"profit motive". Illegal electronic devices of all sorts change hands for
very high prices.

Regards, Phil

2297. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 21:52:45 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0202101352.640e737a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52466
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote in message
news:<A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>...
> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> so no lectures about legality are needed - please.

If you know someone going to Japan, they might be able to find you one
there. They use them to discourage cellfone use in theaters and other
public places. Don't know of any kits or mods to existing phones,
however.

Information is not illegal...

2298. Re: POCSAG Decoding in Hudson Valley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 22:00:44 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a46qepgcf1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 52467

> I dont think so?
>
> all ive been able to successfully decode is 1200 and 2400 pocsag.
> nothing flex whatsoever

For decoding of data you really need a discriminator output.
I think you're just lucky being able to decode low-speed data,
but I'm sure also 1200 and 2400 will work much better when
using a discriminator output.

Take a look on the web, for the right modification for
your scanner. I'm sure Google can help you!

Peter Hunt.

2299. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 22:12:11 UTC
From: John Henderson <jhenDELETEUPPERCASE@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <LTC98.4812$BE4.13438@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 52475
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Dave Holford" wrote:
> There are commercial products available for just that purpose.
> In some administrations they are used in places like theatres
and
> restaurants to protect customers from the ignorant and self
important.

That's a real worry if it's true.

As someone who spent the best part of 14 years on-call 24 hours a day for a government emergency service, I'm appalled. This was for maritime search and rescue for an area covering nearly a quarter of the southern hemisphere, and I depended on a cellular phone to be contactable (I couldn't leave the coverage area).

My ignorance and self-importance were completely irrelevant. I can imagine the legal implications if this behaviour ever came out in a coronial enquiry. We're talking international obligations here.

I'm sure survivors clinging to life-preservers would take great comfort in knowledge of the diners' exquisite undisturbed ambience, as would their families.

Every administration must have emergency staff who need to be contactable and ready for action. These people already lead a limited and stressful existence, without being marginalised in this way as well.

John

2300. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 22:19:14 UTC
From: Phil Allison <bilup@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <%dC98.4783$BE4.13397@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 52468
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0202101352.640e737a@posting.google.com...
> todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote in message
news:<A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>...
> > Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> > in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> > so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> If you know someone going to Japan, they might be able to find you one
> there. They use them to discourage cellfone use in theaters and other
> public places. Don't know of any kits or mods to existing phones,
> however.
>
> Information is not illegal...

** Giving advice and encouragement on how to break the law is.

Regards, Phil

2301. Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 22:21:47 UTC
From: WiCkEd <nunya@home.com>
Message-ID: <3hsd6ucomb44ggpva2o029kt8f2jn1q881@4ax.com>
Source record: 52469

Is it worth the money, is there a better scanner for the 650$ I am
going to spend on this, any ideas thanks....What about the ir-10?
ir-3? any advice will be heeded

2302. Re: POCSAG Decoding in Hudson Valley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 22:24:59 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <onsd6uk4cr23i9ck6aagd4ekirmc0ogsbm@4ax.com>
Source record: 52470

AH, okay, i know about that... im too chicken shit to mess around inside my scanner. I have no talent at all messing aroundw ith stuff like that.

maybe i can find a junk one around. it'd be nice to decode the flex here.

Right now, im just looking for frequencies where I am. ive only found like 3 things that have a lot of traffic. one is primarily pocsag, and the other two are (of course) flex at 6400

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 23:00:44 +0100, "Peter Hunt" <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl> wrote:

>> I dont think so?
>>
>> all ive been able to successfully decode is 1200 and 2400 pocsag.
>> nothing flex whatsoever
>
>For decoding of data you really need a discriminator output.
>I think you're just lucky being able to decode low-speed data,
>but I'm sure also 1200 and 2400 will work much better when
>using a discriminator output.
>
>Take a look on the web, for the right modification for
>your scanner. I'm sure Google can help you!
>
>Peter Hunt.
>
>

2303. Re: Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 22:26:12 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <2osd6us3sgrvqneb3mrf4a85hkpciuavi8@4ax.com>
Source record: 52471

There is a company in Israel that makes this product for between $500
to $600. They take credit cards and will ship. The only problem is
that I lost my bookmarks in the last hard drive crash and I don't
remember the name of the compnay.

On 10 Feb 2002 18:06:20 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

>On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd
>Paulson) wrote:
>
>>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>>
>
>A valid reason to violate federal law????
>
>Yeah, right.

2304. Re: Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 22:30:23 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <12td6u07tgn8ja3jt8acb09qvmf19g5mc9@4ax.com>
Source record: 52472

Try here:
http://sarb.co.za/

Also, do a Yahoo search on "cell phone blocker"

On 10 Feb 2002 18:06:20 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

>On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd
>Paulson) wrote:
>
>>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>>
>
>A valid reason to violate federal law????
>
>Yeah, right.

2305. Re: HELP: CB Frequencies -- SOLVED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 22:37:50 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <pcmike@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0202101736590.5724-100000@planets.pcmike.net>
Source record: 52473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thank you to all those who responded. I got the adapter and 27mhz is now
once again rolling into my car! Once again, thank you.

- pcmike
p@pcmike.net

On 9 Feb 2002, Rollo® wrote:

> In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0202092014570.4645-100000@planets.pcmike.net>,
> "Michael says...
> >
> >The only problem is the 8200 only accepts BNC connections, and then that
> >medium-wave bar, but my CB antenna is a PL-259 connector, or whatever.
> >
> >So, how would I hook it up to my 8200?
>
> Go to Radio Shack, buy an adapter, or piece together the requisite
> partially-satisfactory adapters.
>
>

2306. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 22:44:44 UTC
From: George Strampher <george996@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <wJC98.1093$6Z4.244163688@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52474
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

I agree with the "Vasoline Jammin Method" I remember a Federal Law I believe that states that all Cell Phones whether they have paid service or not must still be able to connect to "911" for an emergency.

2307. Re: FS:YAESU FT-50 package, Reduced!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 23:03:49 UTC
From: SS <dowdy@nodowdy.net>
Message-ID: <p%C98.33167$3E5.2364832@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52476
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Be sure to mention the "out of band TX" when you list it on eBay!!!

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:b8m98.80348$d16.18031658@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I will try this one more time, reduce the price for the package, and then
> it's off to eBay if NO takers...
>
> I am selling my YAESU FT-50 dual band (440 - 2M) hand held radio. The
radio
> is in Excellent condition. Non-smoker. This radio has been modified for
> out of band TX. Package also includes the rubber "gasket case", NC-50
rapid
> drop in charger, FBA-15 AA battery case, FNB-41 600 mAh battery, MAHA
> FNB-V47 1800 mAh battery, and MAHA FNB-40 650 mAh thin battery. All
> batteries and the AA case have the optional SPRING belt clip. Also
includes
> the ADMS-1E programming software and cable for the FT-50.
>
> I am asking $260.00 for the package, shipped via USPS Priority Mail,
> insured.
>
> I can accept PAYPAL, a US Postal money order, or check. Personal check
will
> delay shipping until it clears.
>
> You can check my eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> E-mail if interested.
>
> Dan
> N2TYB
>
>
>

2308. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 23:41:06 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C670512.C810F329@optonline.net>
Source record: 52477

Yeah, and beam antennas hear really lousy, if at all, in all directions
but one.

Walt

Bruce Cox wrote:
>
> Even after making the repair to the coax short, remember that even the best discone
> hears equally poor in all directions. K4AVO
>
> Biancado wrote:
>
> > Help! Help! Help@ This PM I installed a discone antenna I bought from
> > antennawarehouse. I'm using a RG6-U cable (100 ft). I get absolutely none of the
> > frequencies that I used to get with my Telescopic antenna (Realistic 2006 Pro)
> > As soon as I reconnect the telescopic antenna those frequencies are received. I
> > thought that with a roof antenna my reception in supposed to improve not worsen.
> > I called Antenna warehouse , they told me that the coaxial most likely has a
> > short in it. I don't have equipment to test that. Can some one tell me what else
> > may be going on. I'm in the Northeastern coast of the US. TIA

2309. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 23:43:24 UTC
From: "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net>
Message-ID: <wAD98.24253$nu2.3833586885@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52478
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Todd Paulson" <todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us> wrote in message
news:A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> so no lectures about legality are needed - please.

Try an air horn. If the caller can't hear or be heard, he'll shut off the phone. No electronics necessary. Simple. Totally legal. Freedom of speech includes volume. :)

There really are devices that do this electronically, used in some Japanese movie theaters. I presume that patrons are warned going in that their cel phones won't work if they go inside, but the rationale is to let everybody enjoy the movie without a cel phone ringing. I don't know who makes these or even if they're offered for sale privately; they'd probably be illegal in the US.

A spark-gap transmitter ought to work, but it'll also screw up RF all over the bands -- AM radio, shortwave, TV, etc. That's why these are now illegal, too.

2310. Re: Check Out This UPS Route

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 23:48:25 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C6706C8.9E994D4E@optonline.net>
Source record: 52479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Some people write great Windows programs, and some don't.

Some of the great programs come from MS.

Some of the really lousy ones also come from MS.

I guess it's easier to blame MS for not releasing the "software keys"
than it is to learn how to write great programs.

Plese keep your biased opinions to yourself.

Walt

> --
> Mike
> KD4LLA
>
> U.S. District Judge Colleen Kollar-Kotelly should demand that Microsoft
> hand outsiders the software keys they need to write programs that work
> on Windows. Software disclosure would not undercut Microsoft's ability
> to profit from selling Windows. It would only increase Windows' legitimacy
> by helping its operating system run the sort of programs that ordinary
> PC users want, not what arrogant Microsoft bosses think they should use.

2311. Re: Des francophones sur cette liste ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-10 23:58:23 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zOD98.60661$Gb1.10932239@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 52480
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what?
"T0M" <NotForYourEyes@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:db0c6ucmv4l1k03er2u0eqo838l0t7u12v@4ax.com...
> Amiti&#65533;s de Anathema4 - The Silent Key
> ->>>Membre du Chaos Radio Club France
> ->>>Mailing List Manager
>
> French-Speaking Radio Hacking Crew
> ->>> Web page: http://perso.ksurf.net/CRCF/
>
>
>
>

2312. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 00:07:13 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c670b2b$0$18470$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 52481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Been there , done that Walt. Most dummy loads, even good ones (which mine is) leak a little, certainly enough for either of my Optoelectonic frequency counters to give a reading with only the most rudimentary of antennas connected. Even when I'm only a kilometre or so from the local Police repeater (VKC), the Alinco shows nothing. It's probably the most disappointing feature of the receiver, apart from the so-called 'bug detector' which requires you to know the frequency that the bug is on. Somewhat ridiculous, you'll have to agree.
No, I stand by my original comments regarding the Alinco DJ-X2000. Although it does perform reasonably well in ideal circumstances, it does not represent value for money, given it's high price here in Oz.

Ruff

"Walt Bertram" wrote in message
news:3C667FA9.1741F351@optonline.net...
Sounds like you have a very good "dummy load". Seems as though it is doing exactly what it is supposed to do, i.e., dissipate power without radiating any of it.
What happens when you connect the transmitter to an antenna, then walk down the street and see what the range of the X-2000 Flashtune is?

Walt

roughplanet wrote:
"roughplanet" wrote in message
news:3c64bbe9$0$18471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
Very near field is still an understatement. I've got my 'dumb as dogshit' DJ-X2000 in 'Flashtune' mode sitting about 2' from a dummy load into which I'm shoving 50 watts on 146.7000 MHz and still the damn thing can't find the signal.

----- Original Message -----
From: "proma1"
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Sent: Sunday, February 10, 2002 8:47 AM
Subject: Re: Alinco DJ-X2000
most of my views are on the previous mail,the only downside for me is the audio problems,but they are very minor really,a hiss quite prominent on fm signals and the squelch tail [ie that crack at the end of a transmission] but it was the radio i was looking for.and i am very pleased,but there is no radio around that everyone likes,reviews are not much help as you are going on what someone else says,sometimes you just have to go get it,and if you

dont like send it back.

"isobad" wrote in message
news:S7f98.33985$th4.10561208@news02.optonline.net...
Aside from the flashtune issues you are having. What is you're feeling of the receive characteristics of the radio?
I have one on backorder right now and still not sure if I made the correct decision.

Paul is absolutely right when he says that no one radio will suit everyone. As I spend 95% of my listening time in my shack, I probably have an unreal expectation of handheld scanners, as , consciously or not, I'm comparing them with desktop models costing thousands of dollars more. To that end I am doomed to disappointment, as NO handheld scanner will ever duplicate the performance of a 1st class scanning receiver. So, as Paul says, bite the bullet & go with your original intentions. If you aren't pleased with the radio you should be able to return it, or at least swap it for something else.

Ruff

2313. Sony SW7600G 4 Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 00:19:13 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16d0d80f1e8b6d8d9896a3@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 52482

I have a Sony SW7600G For Sale.

Specifications:
-Coverage: 150kHz to 30MHz and FM (stereo with headphones)
-Synchronous detection
-SSB reception
-20 presets (10 AM / 10 FM) + 2 timer memories
-Dimensions/weight: 191 x 118 x 32 mm, 615 g (incl. batteries)

For additional information go to the following web page:

http://www.rnw.nl/realradio/icfsw76g.html

The Sony SW7600G is in excellent condition and works fine. I have the original box and manuals. The selling price of $110.00 includes shipping costs within the continental United States.

Please email me direct if you are interested. Do not reply to this message. My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

2314. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 00:23:33 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.Com>
Message-ID: <abE98.25470$as2.4210638@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 52483

"Todd Paulson" <todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us> wrote in message
news:A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance?

There certainly are products available to do this. I understand several
London restaurants are now signal free ! An excellent idea in such
circumstances.

RustY

2315. INfo on RELM RE2000Alpha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 00:27:03 UTC
From: je_stair@swbell.net
Message-ID: <ca502071.0202101627.5bd4fc48@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52484

I am looking for inof on the scanner. I am looking for a
scanner for use during bad weather and use at NASCAR races.
also considering UNIDEN SC200

2316. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 01:21:07 UTC
From: John Fields <jfields@austininstruments.com>
Message-ID: <3C671CDF.5439C232@austininstruments.com>
Source record: 52486
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Matt J. McCullar wrote:

> A spark-gap transmitter ought to work, but it'll also screw up RF all over
> the bands -- AM radio, shortwave, TV, etc. That's why these are now
> illegal, too.

The thing to do would be to build a spark gap transmitter with a
resistor and a capacitor shunted across the gap which would just barely
keep the spark from happening over the band of interest. Figure out the
inductance presented on the output of a stun gun's transformer, hook the
RC across it and there ya go!

John Fields
Professional circuit designer

2317. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 01:44:24 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CmF98.70$Bo2.234053@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52487
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Ok James Bond, right away sir..

-Q

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:a46cqsngp0@63.162.246.154...
> On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd
> Paulson) wrote:
>
> >Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> >in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> >so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
> >
>
> A valid reason to violate federal law????
>
> Yeah, right.

2318. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 02:07:53 UTC
From: dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <p1974a.l72.ln@spamblock.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 52424
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

In alt.radio.pirate John Henderson <jhenDELETEUPPERCASE@bigpond.com> wrote:

<Of cellphone jammers>

> That's a real worry if it's true.

> As someone who spent the best part of 14 years on-call 24 hours a
> day for a government emergency service, I'm appalled. This was
> for maritime search and rescue for an area covering nearly a
> quarter of the southern hemisphere, and I depended on a cellular
> phone to be contactable (I couldn't leave the coverage area).

<Snip>

> Every administration must have emergency staff who need to be
> contactable and ready for action. These people already lead a
> limited and stressful existence, without being marginalised in
> this way as well.

The cell phone is an 'interesting' choice of technology for
a safety and time critical application. The things are not
exactly specified for the level of reliability that your
application would imply. I would have thought that a paging
system of the type using phase mod. of an AM radio station
would have been more appropriate, as this penetrates buildings
far better then 1.8 - 1.9Ghz cell phone services do. Pagers
also work with a much narrower bandwidth and thus need less
signal to operate. The cell phone seems like totally the wrong
tool for this job, and if reliability is that critical then you
want to get something which will be reliable! One of the companies
over here (can not remember which one, anybody?) lost all service
for some hours the other day when their internal routing failed.
Tetra or LF radio paging would IMHO seem to be the better approach
to your emergency contact requirement.

BTW: A phone can indeed be modified as a jammer, a little feedback
here, some cpu noise there, and some current limiting to stop the
PA module doing itself an injury!

Regards, Dan.
--
** The email address *IS* valid, do NOT remove the spamblock
And on the evening of the first day the lord said.....
.... LX 1, GO!; and there was light.

2319. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 02:09:30 UTC
From: optcamel@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <MPG.16d0c7b62da3d099989a6f@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52488
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

In article <LTC98.4812$BE4.13438@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>,
jhenDELETEUPPERCASE@bigpond.com says...
>
> "Dave Holford" wrote:
> > There are commercial products available for just that purpose.
> > In some administrations they are used in places like theatres
> and
> > restaurants to protect customers from the ignorant and self
> important.
>
> That's a real worry if it's true.
>
> As someone who spent the best part of 14 years on-call 24 hours a
> day for a government emergency service, I'm appalled. This was
> for maritime search and rescue for an area covering nearly a
> quarter of the southern hemisphere, and I depended on a cellular
> phone to be contactable (I couldn't leave the coverage area).
>
> My ignorance and self-importance were completely irrelevant. I
> can imagine the legal implications if this behaviour ever came
> out in a coronial enquiry. We're talking international
> obligations here.
>
> I'm sure survivors clinging to life-preservers would take great
> comfort in knowledge of the diners' exquisite undisturbed
> ambience, as would their families.
>
> Every administration must have emergency staff who need to be
> contactable and ready for action. These people already lead a
> limited and stressful existence, without being marginalised in
> this way as well.
>
> John
>
>
>
>
>
It's true John, easily available in Hong Kong or Taiwan or Japan for the
purposes outlined, short range however...less than 100 feet radius is
typical, not cheap either, figure 200-400US dollars for one covering the
relevant bands.
--

I am:
H. N. Lute
LPFM Page: http://home.att.net/~optcamel/fmradio.htm

2320. FS: Icom IC-PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 02:31:44 UTC
From: Ralph <Ralph_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <a47aeg01iit@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52493

I have a mint icom ic-pcr1000 for sale. $300.00 plus shipping

ralphq@hotmail.com

2321. FS: Radio Shack Pro 2006 Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 02:33:52 UTC
From: Ralph <Ralph_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <a47aig01iqs@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52492

For sale a radio shack pro 2006 mint. $350.00 plus shipping

ralphq@hotmail.com

2322. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 02:39:53 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <Z9G98.7416$9%6.1299997@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52489
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"No lectures please." Spare me and other NG reader's the BS.
Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person
watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a member of your family.
Al

Todd Paulson wrote in message ...
>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
>I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
>phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
>Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
>are the plans?
>
>Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
>phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>
>

2323. Civil Air Patrol SSTV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 02:43:28 UTC
From: sstvswl <sstvswl@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <kdG98.31521$AV5.232006@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52490

Does anyone know what mode they use to send sstv ? Here in Utah they are sending sstv pic's back to a ground station for the winter games, but I can't seem to decode maybe encrypted.

Here's a wav file if anyone can decode it.
http://www.geocities.com/SSTVSWL/CAPWAY59.WAV

Mike

2324. Re: INfo on RELM RE2000Alpha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 02:46:46 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qgG98.81738$d16.18689726@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 52491

> I am looking for inof on the scanner. I am looking for a
> scanner for use during bad weather and use at NASCAR races.
> also considering UNIDEN SC200

I have had both scanners, and I still own the RE2000 Alpha. I found the SC200 to the typical, cheaply made Uniden product, with the proprietary battery pack, and very deaf, IMHO.

I love the RE2000, use it the most of all my hand helds, and I have them all. Very well made, shielded, and sensitive.

Dan

2325. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 03:13:27 UTC
From: Cellular Charlie <stopthe@selfrighteous.org>
Message-ID: <3C6736D7.FB10286E@selfrighteous.org>
Source record: 52398
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Here are a few URLs to help you get going,
I'll post them here so all may benefit.

One of the original jammers is still available at:
http://www.cguard.com/English/latests/index.html

Another good looking unit is described on:
http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/dlw-2000.htm

Two excellent models at the bottom of:
http://www.cornerspyshop.com/catalogue.htm

Also, don't miss:
http://sarb.co.za/

I especially like the pocket models that would be ideal
to guard against hearing the a self righteous windbag
on their cell phones as they loudly spew false information
about communication laws.

These uninformed windbags often have no regard for the
truth or reality, and pontificate as if they are experts
in a field of communication law.

They often do this in a loud and obnoxious manner with
no regard for the peace of mind of others around them.
This is why cellular phone jammers are necessary.

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:

> "No lectures please." Spare me and other NG reader's the BS.
> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person
> watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a
> member of your family.
> Al
>
> Todd Paulson wrote in message ...
> >Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> >in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> >so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
> >
> >I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> >phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.

> >Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> >are the plans?
> >
> >Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> >phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
> >
> >

2326. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 03:14:13 UTC
From: Cellular Charlie <stopthe@selfrighteous.org>
Message-ID: <3C673705.EC60FAFA@selfrighteous.org>
Source record: 52399
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Here are a few URLs to help you get going,
I'll post them here so all may benefit.

One of the original jammers is still available at:
http://www.cguard.com/English/latests/index.html

Another good looking unit is described on:
http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/dlw-2000.htm

Two excellent models at the bottom of:
http://www.cornerspyshop.com/catalogue.htm

Also, don't miss:
http://sarb.co.za/

I especially like the pocket models that would be ideal
to guard against hearing the a self righteous windbag
on their cell phones as they loudly spew false information
about communication laws.

These uninformed windbags often have no regard for the
truth or reality, and pontificate as if they are experts
in a field of communication law.

They often do this in a loud and obnoxious manner with
no regard for the peace of mind of others around them.
This is why cellular phone jammers are necessary.

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:

> "No lectures please." Spare me and other NG reader's the BS.
> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person
> watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a
> member of your family.
> Al
>
> Todd Paulson wrote in message ...
> >Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> >in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> >so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
> >
> >I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> >phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.

> >Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> >are the plans?
> >
> >Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> >phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
> >
> >

2327. SOLD ICOM R-1 FS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 03:33:23 UTC
From: nukem2001 <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7YG98.86247$jO5.11460591@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 52494

Unit is sold.

> Selling like new a Icom R-1.
>
> Includes Owner manual, leather case, battery pack, charger, high gain
> antenna.
>
> Covers all 800Mhz including cells.
>
> First $149.00 takes
>
> Thanks,
> Nat. k_nat@mailcity.com
>
>
>
>
>

2328. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 03:35:17 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020210223517.14127.00000035@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 52495

The very fact that a need exists for these devices is a sad reflection on the world we live in.

In today's junk society, people don't give a damn whether they're being rude or not. Those who are yakking on cellphones in restaurants, movie theaters, etc., KNOW they're bothering those around them...but they don't care.

Fortunately, relief is on the way, thanks to these modern jamming devices. Coming soon to a public venue near you. Though illegal in the U.S. and likely to stay that way (thanks to the multi-billion dollar cellular telephone industry, the same folks that brought you the ECPA), these devices are readilly available in Europe.

Will be arriving quickly in Canada, Hong Kong, and tribal lands owned and occupied by Native Americans. A thriving black market will ensure these devices are as readilly-available in the U.S. as marijuana and cocaine.

Can't happen soon enough, as far as I'm concerned.

2329. f.s. yaseu ft 411-e 2 meter portable with ext rec/tx,ctcss,accessories 115.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 03:43:59 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <Mzw98.2$R81.1152@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 52448
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

for sale:

In excellent condition

yaseu ft 411-e
has keyboard mod done to receive 130 -173.9 mhz
and 140 - 173.9 mhz transmit.

43 channels
2 vfo
search between 2 freqs
instant call channel button
signal meter
2.5 watts or 5 watts

comes with optional ctcss toneboard installed
comes with good batterypack FNB-17
comes with wall charger/adapter NC 28B
comes with original box
comes with original manual
comes with original rubberduck
comes with optional yaseu leather case

115.00US includes express 4 day shipping via USPS with tracking #

paypal, certified bank check or international (pink) postal check

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

2330. Version 1.37 BETA of TrunkStar780 is available.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 03:58:11 UTC
From: "Ron Poserina Jr." <ronREMOVEpoz@yahooME.com>
Message-ID: <njH98.7607$9%6.1307392@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is from the Trunkstar Yahoo group. This was originally posted by Sam of Scanstar.com:

Version 1.37 BETA of TrunkStar780 is available at:

http://www.scanstar.net/ts780beta.exe

While new version has been a long time coming, it contains many improvemsnts and corrections. At this time, TrunkStar780 will be co-developed and co-released with Scan*Star Spectrum Explorer. This means that TS780 and Spectrum will share the same code base from now on, and will share many new features.

This new version is the first of an expected 2-3 week cycle of BETA version before final release.

2331. ifr am fm 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 04:07:12 UTC
From: rob <robchris@surenet.net>
Message-ID: <bPE98.11304$X2.127256@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 52485

Hi guys,
Got me one of these with no manual and no guarentee that it works. All I can make it do is hum crackle and pop some static and squelch on off noise
Need some direction by any one who is willing to email me I picked this little puppy up at a army surplus store and would like to know all about it
I have an icom r2 and varies shortwave radio but this thing looks way cool I hope I can get it running somehow Here is the link
http://www.testequipmentcanada.com/ifr1000s.html
robchris@surenet.net

2332. Re: f.s. yaseu ft 411-e 2 meter portable with ext rec/tx,ctcss,accessories 115.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 04:08:32 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <WWw98.10$Cq.4583@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 52451
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

My Mistake

TX is 140.000 - 150.000 mhz
RX is 130.00 - 173.9000 mhz

thanks

"JJJJJJJJJJ" <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca> wrote in message
news:Mzw98.2$R81.1152@read2.cgocable.net...
> for sale:
>
>
> In excellent condition
>
> yaseu ft 411-e
> has keyboard mod done to receive 130 -173.9 mhz
> and 140 - 173.9 mhz transmit.
>
>
> 43 channels
> 2 vfo
> search between 2 freqs
> instant call channel button
> signal meter
> 2.5 watts or 5 watts
>
> comes with optional ctcss toneboard installed
> comes with good batterypack FNB-17
> comes with wall charger/adapter NC 28B
> comes with original box
> comes with original manual
> comes with original rubberduck
> comes with optional yaseu leather case
>
> 115.00US includes express 4 day shipping via USPS with tracking #
>
> paypal, certified bank check or international (pink) postal check
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@cogeco.ca
>
>

>

2333. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 04:18:02 UTC
From: gf51@lycos.com
Message-ID: <20020210231802.757$dM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 52400
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner

"Phil Allison" <bilup@bigpond.com> wrote:
> "Todd Paulson" <todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us> wrote in message
> news:A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
> > Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> > in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> > so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> ** To jam a cell phones you need a strong signal over the whole band
> used by such phones. That is why they are illegal and your request is
> outrageous. Fuck you.
>
> Phil

Hey Phil,

This is a legitimate question. I teach in a high school and I've known students that have been caught using cell phones to cheat on tests. I remember one student was wispering test questions into a phone that was in his shirt pocket to another student that was to take the same test later that day. High school and middle school students have no business having cell phones in class so a cell phone jammer would be great deterrent for those bent on cheating. If there's some emergency, their parents can call the school....easy as that.

2334. ARNewsline 1278 - Feb 8 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 04:50:20 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020210235020.02012.00001029@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 52401

Amateur Radio Newsline Report 1278 – February 8, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1278 with a release date of Friday, February 8th, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

Another Anthrax scare halts mail to the FCC in D.C. and ham radio growth may not be as good as it seemed. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1278 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

AMERICA AT WAR: FCC STOPS D.C.MAIL DELIVERY DUE TO NEW ANTHRAX SCARE

The Federal Communications Commission says that it will not accept paper mail in the Washington D.C. area until further notice. This, after a trace amount of the Anthrax virus was found during a routine test. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Paul Courson, WA3VJB, is in the nations capitol with the details
--

The scant amount of anthrax contamination found at a Federal Communications Commission mail facility in Maryland last week was consistent with cross-contamination of mail. At least that’s what the result of tests conducted by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention showed.

The FCC's mail processing facility in Capitol Heights Maryland, outside Washington, was tested about a week and a half ago as a routine precaution. One sample taken tested positive for Anthrax. The C-D-C blames the problem on cross contamination of mail. This means one or more pieces of mail from another facility may have inadvertently brought the contaminant to the Maryland site.

The agency is arranging for the facility to be decontaminated and re-tested. Mail deliveries, which have been halted, will not resume until the area is found to be anthrax-free. For ham radio, this only affects such things as requesting or commenting on rules change requests.

The FCC said it would also test the mail room at its Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, facility to make sure that it is Anthrax free. Gettysburg is where most Amateur Radio related mail including license applications and renewals are sent and is not affected by this latest paper mail suspension order. At least not so far.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Paul Courson WA3VJB in Washington.

--

Late last year five people, including two postal workers, died of the inhalation form of anthrax and more than a dozen were infected in a series of attacks that followed the terrorist raids on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon. So far no arrests have been made in that case. (Published news reports)

**

SPACE AND SCIENCE: ON-ORBIT COMPUTER FAILURE CAUSES ISS OUTAGE

Ham radio was there but it was not needed. That’s the basic wrap up after a computer failure aboard the International Space Station sent the 17-story complex into a slow drift for a few hours on Monday February 4th.

The temporary outage also disrupted voice communications with the ground using normal channels. It also brought science research to a halt. But NASA officials said that neither the station nor its crew ever were in any danger.

The station drift was halted about 17:00 GMT. That enabled the crew to begin the job of re-orienting the stations solar panel arrays. Once normal power levels were restored, systems and experiments that had been shut down were brought back on line.

The computer shutdown was eventually traced to a defective hard drive. James Hartsfield, a spokesman for NASA's Johnson Space Center in Houston said that the three ham crew was never in any danger as a result of the failure. He termed it nothing more than an interruption to their normal work day.

Launched last December 5th on board the shuttle Endevour, Russian cosmonaut Yuri Onufrienko RK3DUO and U.S. astronauts Daniel Bursch KD5PNU and Carl Walz KC5TIE are the forth crew to inhabit the I-S-S. In addition to all of the high tech communications gear the crew also has ARISS - the ham radio station on board the International Space Station as backup voice and data communications. It was available, albeit not needed this time around. (ARNewsline(tm) from NASA Release)

**

HAM RADIO GROWTH: CENSUS REDUX

Some dark news following the good news on ham radio growth patterns. The numbers may not be as good as we reported two weeks ago. So says Fred Maia, W5YI, who follows growth trends in ham radio. And Fred says that the numbers we presented are overly optimistic:

--
Fred Maia:

“The FCC database is a database of all amateurs who have been licensed for twelve years. Thats ten years for the license term and an additional two. The reason it stays in the database for the additional two is so that it can be renewed diring the grace period. Thefefore, the licenses in the database are two years more thanthe ten year term or twenty percent inflated.”

--

In our story we used statistics from several sources including QRZ, W5YI and others. But Fred says that there are more recent numbers that show things may not be as good as they may seem on the surface.

According to Fred, as of January 15th 2002 there are actually only 98,030 holders of the Extra class license, 86,425 legacy Advanced, 138,546 Generals, 318,603 Technicians and legacy Tech plus and only 39,972 Novice class. The total is 681,576. That is considerably lower than the 720,194 in the latest QRZ census and 10,424 lower than the adjusted 692,000 we believed to be accurate.

The bottom line. Once you take away the expired licenses that are in their grace renewal period, the club and military recreation stations and the like the numbers tell a different story. One thats not nearly as rosy as we and others thought only two weeks ago. (ARNewsline(tm))

**

WINTER OLYMPICS 2002: COMMEMORATIVE STATION ON THE AIR

The Winter Olympics are in Salt Lake City Utah and ham radio is helping to celebrate the games with a special events station. Linda Reader, N7HVF, has more:

--
“Its going to start on Thursday at 5 PM Mountain Standard Time and it will go until the 25th of February. It will be available on all bands, so people will only need to listen around. The station call will be W7U and the trustee is W7EO.”
--

Again, listen for W7U celebrating the 2002 Winter Olympics through February, 25th. (N7HVF)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the WB2FKZ repeater serving West Babylon New York.

(5 sec pause here)

**

SPECTRUM: WHITE HOUSE PROPOSES PAYOUT TO CHANGE FREQUENCIES

The Bush administration says that it will propose setting up a $715 million fund to reimburse federal agencies that relocate their RF operations. This, for moving away from spectrum that has already been auctioned to commercial service providers.

In the past, federal agencies have had to negotiate with the winning bidders to cover relocation costs. In fact, following the September 11th terror attacks the Pentagon said it would not move off bands that it uses for military training and other purposes without sufficient funds to pay for relocating.

This created a stalemate over making available airwaves hotly desired by mobile telephone companies like Verizon Wireless. Now, in his budget just sent to congress, President Bush proposes setting up a fund to streamline the reimbursement process. Auction receipts to cover agency's relocation costs would be paid into the fund and federal agencies would be reimbursed for their relocation costs out of the proceeds.

What does this mean for Amateur Radio you ask? Simply that more spectrum that hams share with the government and military could be up for grabs sooner than most thought it might happen. But even with the subsidy some analysts of military communications say that it still could take several years before you see any spectrum moves take place. (Published reports)

**

FCC: OLYMPICS CAN USE 2300 MHZ HAM BAND FOR OLYMPICS

Broadcasters at the 2002 Winter Olympics have been granted a Special Temporary Authority from the FCC to use a ham band for their coverage of the Salt Lake City games. The S-T-A was made available at the request of the Salt Lake Organizing Committee R-F coordination group to use 2300 to 2305 and 2390 through 2450 MHz for remote pick-ups at various Olympic venues.

Unlike Australia two years ago, this FCC action does not take hams off the air nor does it forbid amateur use of the band during the period of the S-T-A. Instead it took action reminiscent of one that helped to establish ham radio's "National Frequency Coordination Council." The agency told all spectrum users that it had designated the Salt Lake Organizing Committee is the single point of contact or "SPOC" in regard to all Olympics radio frequency requirements. This delegated authority continues with the committee through March 31st. (FCC, Broadcasting & Cable, ARNewsline(tm))

**

PUBLIC SERVICE: HAM RADIO AT THE SUPERBOWL

Last week's Super Bowl played in New Orleans between the St. Louis Rams and the New England Patriots was a squeaker to the very last play. With the game tied at 17 to 17, in the 4th quarter, New England opted for a Field Goal that brought them victory in the very last seconds of normal play. It was a game seen by millions, but what t-v viewers did not see was the work of a group of New Orleans area hams who were a part of the behind the scenes communications effort for the game. Amateur Radio Newsline's Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, lives in the Big Easy and has this look at the role that ham radio played:

--
While thousands cheered on the Rams and Patriots inside the Superdome and millions watched on television around the world, a handful of New Orleans hams were busy outside in the streets of the Crescent City.

--
“K5OEP go ahead.” “Checking in, nothing to report. Everything’s normal. WA5RT 2104 aaah, Poydras and South Claiborne.” “K5OEP roger, 2218. Other station?”
--

K5OEP is the call sign of Southeast Louisiana ARES. New Orleans area hams assisted the American Red Cross as they provided tracking for those sent to area shelters or hospitals should the unthinkable occur. Several Emergency Response Vehicles were in use feeding the workers and standing by in reserve should they be needed in an emergency. The ERV’s had a staff of 2 plus a ham with voice capability and APRS tracking. There were “Action Teams” standing by with a ham and a dual band HT. Hams also manned a command post trailer at City Hall as well as the Red Cross operations center on Canal Street and another Red Cross office in suburban Metairie. The communications operations began on Friday, February 1st and continued Saturday as well as Super Bowl Sunday. Tom Miller, AC5TM, ARRL Louisiana Section Emergency Coordinator and Keith Barnes, W5KB of the Red Cross were instrumental in putting the effort together. The local CBS affiliate, WWL-TV channel 4 did a lengthy report on the Red Cross, of which about a minute was focused on the hams. Several hams were featured on camera in the piece. Thankfully, this is representative of most of the radio traffic.
--
“K5OEP this is W5GAD.” “W5GAD, K5OEP go ahead.” “Just checking in Josh and, um, if it’s possible could we get a cup of coffee next time the truck comes down this direction?” “This is KC5BCR, we’re gonna take care of that coffee request.”
--

Only three things occurred that could be considered out of the ordinary... a semi-nude escapee jumped off a bridge to evade capture, a Rolodex in the Superdome caught fire from being too close to a light and the New England Patriots finally won the big game. And isn't it fitting that an underdog team called the Patriots would be victorious on this first Superbowl since September 11th. Next on the agenda for the city... Mardi Gras on Tuesday, February 12th. From Party Central in New Orleans, Louisiana, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA.

--

Before we leave this one we have some interesting statistics. According to the Broadcasting and Cable newsletter, Super Bowl 36 delivered the Fox Television Network a 42.5 rating and 61 share in what are called the metered Nielson overnight market ratings. This means that about 67 percent of United States households with television sets had them tuned to the game at some point during the telecast. (ARNewsline(tm) - Produced by KC5MFA with sound provided by KD5BLV)

**
RESCUE RADIO: HAMS ASSIST FOLLOWING QUAKE IN TURKEY

Amateur Radio operators in Turkey were among the first to respond in the wake of a earthquake that rocked the province of Afyon on Sunday February 3rd. More than forty were killed and at least 170 were injured injuries were reported in

the aftermath of the 6 point zero tembler. Structures were destroyed and lines of communications were cut. Enter Amateur Radio.

According to an ARRL bulletin members of Turkey's Civil Defense Search and Rescue Team responded following the quake. The bulletin quotes Aziz Sasa, TA1E, the president of the Turkish national Amateur Radio society as saying that S-A-R communicated with it's headquarters in Ankara and within the affected area. This was because ham radio was the only long and medium-range communication resource available until normal lines of communications were restored. Most ham radio communications was on VHF using a system of linked repeaters. (ARRL)

**

RESCUE RADIO: AMATEUR RADIO OPERATORS HELP SAILBOAT IN DISTRESS

The ARRL Letter says that Amateur Radio played a role in the rescue of two people aboard the sailing vessel Antigone. This, after it ran aground off Honduras on Thursday, January 24th.

Ed Petzolt, K1LNC, of Hobe Sound, Florida, told the Letter that, despite some apparently deliberate interference, members of the Intercontinental Net on 14.300 MHz helped relay communications from the vessel, which was equipped with an High Frequency marine radio.

David Walz, AG4LI/HR6, in Honduras was in contact with the boat and passed traffic from the stranded mariners to the Net. Petzolt said he was able to patch the US Coast Guard in Miami through to Walz, so the Coast Guard could obtain additional information and coordinate with Honduran authorities.

Petzolt said the two passengers aboard the 40-foot vessel were safe, and the boat was on the beach. The FCC is reportedly investigating the interference issue. (ARRL)

**

HAM RADIO SCHOLARSHIPS: QUARTER CENTURY WIRELESS ASSOCIATION

The Quarter Century Wireless Association has announced its funding several scholarship for 2002. There are eleven QCWA scholarships valued at $1000 each and five valued at $1500 each to be awarded later this year. Hams interested in applying for the QCWA Scholarships should contact the Foundation for Amateur Radio. The Foundation administers the scholarships sponsored by the QCWA. Additional information and an application form may be requested by letter or QSL card to the FAR Scholarships, Post Office Box 831, Riverdale, Maryland, 20738. (QCWA)

**

HAMFESTS: LIMARC N.Y. FEBRUARY 24TH

LIMARC -- New York's Long Island Mobile Amateur Radio Club will hold its annual indoor Ham Radio flea-market On Sunday, February 24th. Location is the Levittown Hall beginning at 9 a-m. More information is on the web

www.limarc.org. (N2DO)

**

THE HANDI-HAMS: 2002 CALIFORNIA CAMP FULL

The Minnesota based Handi Hams say that their California Radio camp is now full. This year, campers will be treated to presentations by Jeff Reinhardt, AA6JR, for Alinco, and everybodys favorite ham radio instructor Gordon West, WB6NOA. The dates for the 2002 Handi Ham California Camp are March 3rd to the 10th at Camp Joan Mier in Malibu. More is in cyberspace at www.mtn.org/handiham/workshop.html. (HandiHams)

**

BREAK 2

Here when you need us 52 weeks a year. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: SUPER NET HAS ARRIVED

Australia’s new super-net has had its first successful demonstration, proving it can carry an enormous amount of data between two locations. In fact, its not just fast. It is virtual reality come to life. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has a look at what super net can do:

--
In its 'maiden voyage,' the new high speed networking technology --10 Gigabit per second Ethernet -- ran a revolutionary combination of technology that allowed two people, in different locations, to work together on a virtual 3D object, each being able to feel the object and see what the other person was doing to it. At the same time they could use a studio quality video link to communicate.

These two functions were tested in a situation where they had to compete against a volume of network traffic equivalent to Sydney's telephone system at peak levels.

The demonstration involved networking a virtual environment from two separate sites, CSIRO's Virtual Environments laboratory at the Australian National University and CSIRO's corporate headquarters several kilometres away.

--

According to Graham, networks such as this will allow users in several locations to feel computer generated objects such as body organs. Among other things, this tactile ability means a surgeon could train many students in different cities at the same time. (Q-News)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: CENTRAL STATES VHF SOCIETY CALL FOR PAPERS

The Central States VHF Society will be holding it's 36th Annual Conference later this year. The dates are July 26th to the 28th at the Sheraton Four Points Hotel in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

And now comes an invitation to those interested in making presentations at this event. You are invited to submit an outline to Marc Holdwick, N8KWX, who is this years Technical Program Cairman.

Holdwick says that any topic related to weak-signal VHF operation is welcome and can be submitted in either paper or electronic format. But says N8KWX, those submitting will be required to have the finished work in his hands by May 5th to be included in the printed Proceedings.

If you are interested in taking part, you can contact Mark Holdwick by e-mail n8kwx@csvhfs.org. His snail-mail address is PO Box 6051, Buffalo Grove, Illinois, 60089. More information is on the web at www.csvhfs.org/CSVHF02.HTML (CSVHFS)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: RF CONTROL OF BIOMOLICULES

Scientists from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Media Lab report that they can now control biomolecules with radio frequency energy. Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, reports:

--
Biomolecules such as proteins and nucleic acids are basic building blocks in nature. They carry out a wide variety of nano-scale functions, many of which have proven useful in drug discovery, drug delivery, biocatalysis, and bio-materials. But to optimally exploit these capabilities, researchers must be able to rapidly control their activity.

Now in the paper, titled "Remote Electronic Control of D-N-A Hybridization Through Inductive Coupling to an Attached Metal Nanocrystal Antenna, researchers describe a unique method to do this. One that employs the phenomenon of inductive coupling to metallic nanoparticles by radio frequency energy to specifically and remotely control DNA molecules. And they say, it works.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW.

--

Their paper was published in the January 10th issue of the journal Nature. More information is available at www.engeneos.com. (Technology Today)

**

INTERNATIONAL - UK: NEW RSGB PRESIDENT

The Radio Society of Great Britain has a new President. As of January 1st, Bob Whelan, G3PJT, has taken up the leadership position at the United Kingdom’s national ham radio society.

Whelan says that he is looking forward to the challenges ahead in raising the awareness of the value of Amateur Radio as a way of interesting the next generation of radio engineers and scientists. He will serve a two year term leading the RSGB. (GB2RS)

**

INTERNATIONAL – SOUTH AFRICA: NEW SHORTWAVE GUIDE

Media Network reports that a new comprehensive country-by-country guide to domestic and external shortwave broadcasts from sub-Saharan Africa, including opposition broadcasts to the African continent, has been added to the British DX Club web site. The guide, compiled by BDXC editor Tony Rogers and fully updated for the winter schedule period, is available in by-country and by-frequency versions. It can be found on the Articles index page of the web site at www.bdxc.org.uk. (Media Network)

**

CONTESTS: GREAT LAKES QSO PARTY

The Great Lakes QSO Party will begin on Saturday, March 9th at 17:00 UTC and run through Sunday March 10th at 04:00 UTC. The object of this contest is to work as many Great Lakes States as well as VE3 and VE4 Canadian provinces as possible during the allotted time. C-W and SSB are the only modes permitted and operation is on all bands except for WARC. Logs must be submitted by mail before April 25th to the address listed at the contest website. Look for more information at www.geocities.com/mdxa1/qsoparty.html. (E-mail)

**

DX

In DX, the Trindade 2002 PWØT team reports that several major sponsors have come forward providing vital support for the upcoming DXpedition. ICOM America will be providing a number of ICOM IC-756 PRO II transceivers. ICOM will also be sending along an IC-910H for satellite operation. Possibly the first-ever satellite activity from Trindade.

But that’s not all. Electril, a Brazilian manufacturer, has begun shipping antennas to the team staging area and Bob Heil, K9EID, of Heil Sound has personally made arrangements to insure that the DX’ers will have new reliable headsets. Meantime, JA1FGI and JA1WSK have covered the cost of purchasing and shipping a complete RTTY, PSK-31 and SSTV equipment package. Lastly, its reported that the Six Meter Amateur Radio Klub and the Chiltern DX Club are the first organizations to provide the D-Xpedition with financial support.

The operators will leave Rio de Janero on February 15th for Trindade. More information is at the DXpedition website located in cyberspace at www.trindade2002.com (PW0T release)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE SWOOPER

And finally this week, a loud buzzing noise being heard in the international shortwave band is apparently a new RADAR system used to measure wave height. According to Mark Fine, the system is located at Barnegat Bay New Jersey and transmits a one megahertz wide signal in varying five megahertz wide segments. Take a listen:

---
swooper audio here
--

Fine tells Amateur Radio Newsline that he made that recording on 4.795 MHz at 1850 UTC on February 5th. He was listening on a Drake R 8 A receiver set to upper sideband and a 2.3 kHz bandwidth. He says that during the evenings this beast takes over a whole band and is heard as far away as Europe and South America.

Already, some SWL’s have nick-named the noise a "swooper" because it sweeps quite fast making a "swoop" noise as it goes by in SSB. Others have called it the Windshield Wiper Network, which they say is very apropos. (DXLD, CGC Communicator with “Swooper” audio provided by Mark Fine).

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Roy Neal, K6DUE, 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

2335. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 04:52:02 UTC
From: Tim <Nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C674DFF.44C355F8@home.com>
Source record: 52402
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

These device are a broadband 800MHz jammer. They also disrupt Nextels and 800MHz conventional SMR & trunked systems.

2336. 67 MPH gusts destroy my RS Discone...snap 20', .250 aluminum masts in two.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 05:41:10 UTC
From: Otis Cribblecoblis <alaininvc@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3C675976.3487B680@netscape.net>
Source record: 52403

Hello All,

Now that I'm in the market for a new discone (or other) VHF/UHF outdoor antenna, I'd like your input. What's your favorite antenna for 30MHz thru 1000MHz? (design/manufacturer/homebrew?)

All responses gratefully appreciated!

Otis

(20 miles south of the 200" telescope on Palomar Mountain; elevation: 1,800')

2337. Want Trunk Info for Las Vegas NV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 06:09:40 UTC
From: Bill <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <u6eo4setmdald5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52404

I am looking for the required trunking info needed to pick up the RTC (Cat Buses) in Las Vegas NV

Thanks
Bill

2338. Looking at High End

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 06:17:15 UTC
From: Neil Blumenfield <nblumenfield@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <LIJ98.2642$5j5.1723130067@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I am about ready to go for the Grove deal on the R75, but, since I'm planning on winning the lottery this week, I'm thinking about going for one of the Wideband receivers, the ICOM 8500 or the AOR 5000+3. Thoughts from anyone with experience with these?
nrb

2339. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 07:45:41 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020211024541.01976.00001046@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 52405

No, the lecture is free:

I am wondering if infact you want to disrupt a police radio system so you can pull off some sort of vicious scheme.

2340. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 10:07:52 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <dIM98.38688$H37.4804603@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 52406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

you are expecting it to pick up from a Km,of course dependant on a power output,but that is not the point of any frequency counter or flash tune device.

i would def send my Alinco back if it did that,as i would spend most of my day finding pagers and data channels,sdo not buy any counter unless you intend to go out and about with a scanner,unless you are lucky like me and have it all in one.
You go around your town or city mostly with targets in mind ie a new shopping mall has just opened and you want to find there freqs without searching or you have searched and found nothing,you go to the site as a member of staff transmits you get ready,its not always going to work,for a start there could be lots of other rf in the area causing problems,you then have to have a reasonable knowledge of spectrum use/repeater shifts in your country so you can check to see if freq is single freq or duplex,as the counter will read in most cases the tx freq of the staff member in front of you,so if he is found on 462.325 in the UK as with most allocations can be dual or single,if dual then one has to check 456.825 so you can then correctly log the frequency find.

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"roughplanet" wrote in message
news:3c670b2b$0$18470$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Been there , done that Walt. Most dummy loads, even good ones (which mine
> is) leak a little, certainly enough for either of my Optoelectonic
frequency
> counters to give a reading with only the most rudimentary of antennas
> connected. Even when I'm only a kilometre or so from the local Police
> repeater (VKC), the Alinco shows nothing. It's probably the most
> disappointing feature of the receiver, apart from the so-called 'bug

> detector' which requires you to know the frequency that the bug is on.
> Somewhat ridiculous, you'll have to agree.
> No, I stand by my original comments regarding the Alinco DJ-X2000.
Although
> it does perform reasonably well in ideal circumstances, it does not
> represent value for money, given it's high price here in Oz.
>
> Ruff
>
>
> "Walt Bertram" wrote in message
> news:3C667FA9.1741F351@optonline.net...
> Sounds like you have a very good "dummy load". Seems as though it is
doing
> exactly what it is supposed to do, i.e., dissipate power without
> radiating any of it.
> What happens when you connect the transmitter to an antenna, then walk
down
> the street and see what the range of the X-2000 Flashtune is?
>
> Walt
>
>
> roughplanet wrote:
> "roughplanet" wrote in message
> news:3c64bbe9$0$18471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Very near field is still an understatement. I've got my 'dumb as dogshit'
> DJ-X2000 in 'Flashtune' mode sitting about 2' from a dummy load into which
> I'm shoving 50 watts on 146.7000 MHz and still the damn thing can't find
the
> signal.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "proma1"
> Newsgroups:
>
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.shortwave
> Sent: Sunday, February 10, 2002 8:47 AM
> Subject: Re: Alinco DJ-X2000
> most of my views are on the previous mail,the only downside for me is the
> audio problems,but they are very minor really,a hiss quite prominent on fm
> signals and the squelch tail [ie that crack at the end of a transmission]
> but it was the radio i was looking for.and i am very pleased,but there is
no
> radio around that everyone likes,reviews are not much help as you are
going
> on what someone else says,sometimes you just have to go get it,and if you
> dont like send it back.
>

>
> "isobad" wrote in message
> news:S7f98.33985$th4.10561208@news02.optonline.net...
> Aside from the flashtune issues you are having. What is you're feeling of
> the receive characteristics of the radio?
> I have one on backorder right now and still not sure if I made the correct
> decision.
>
> Paul is absolutely right when he says that no one radio will suit
everyone.
> As I spend 95% of my listening time in my shack, I probably have an unreal
> expectation of handheld scanners, as , consciously or not, I'm comparing
> them with desktop models costing thousands of dollars more. To that end I
am
> doomed to disappointment, as NO handheld scanner will ever duplicate the
> performance of a 1st class scanning receiver. So, as Paul says, bite the
> bullet & go with your original intentions. If you aren't pleased with the
> radio you should be able to return it, or at least swap it for something
> else.
>
> Ruff
>
>

2341. Re: A Radio Shack employee speaks....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 12:26:12 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <a48eio$g37$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 52391

Andy wrote in message ...

>The dumbest salesperson encounter about 4 years back was when i went to
>inquire about the satellite TV system. I asked for the compass heading,
>elevation and azimuth angle so i could go home and see what if anything
>was in the way. Being surrounded by 150=foot tall pine trees, the dish
>would have recieved no signal. End of story... nope. On a re-visit the
>same salesperson asked me if I was going to buy the system. I told him
>there were too many tall pine trees in the way. His reply, and I quote
>"You should cut down some trees then." BAD answer.

How does that make him the dumbest salesperson you've ever
encountered, and why is that a 'bad' answer? If the only spot you can put
the dish has a tree problem, then the trees will _have_ to go if you want
the system. That's neither stupid nor bad, that's the way it is. If it bugs
you to cut down a few trees, particularly pines, replant with a higher
quality hardwoods. Or don't cut the trees and do without the system. Your
choice. Not stupid. Not bad. Just a choice.

2342. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 12:31:54 UTC
From: Gary <gweiler@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <3C67B9BA.7020401@fuse.net>
Source record: 52408
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Here's a story about available 'jammers'

http://www.newhousenews.com/archive/story1a092200.html

Todd Paulson wrote:

> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
> Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> are the plans?
>
> Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>
>
>

2343. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 12:37:17 UTC
From: Gary <gweiler@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <3C67BAFD.6040800@fuse.net>
Source record: 52409
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Here's a site with info on the C-Guard:
http://www.cguard.com/English/latests/FuncDesc/index.php3

Todd Paulson wrote:

> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
> Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> are the plans?
>
> Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>
>
>

2344. Re: 67 MPH gusts destroy my RS Discone...snap 20', .250 aluminum masts in two.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 13:16:54 UTC
From: Matt <msr05@NOSPAMuow.edu.au>
Message-ID: <3c67c49c$1@news.uow.edu.au>
Source record: 52412

Dimond D130J discone.

25Mhz-1300Mhz reception. I've had brilliant results with this antenna...
just use decent coax cable..

Matt

"Otis Cribblecoblis" <alaininvc@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3C675976.3487B680@netscape.net...
> Hello All,
>
> Now that I'm in the market for a new discone (or other) VHF/UHF outdoor
> antenna, I'd like your input. What's your favorite antenna for 30MHz
> thru 1000MHz? (design/manufacturer/homebrew?)
>
> All responses gratefully appreciated!
>
> Otis
>
> (20 miles south of the 200" telescope on Palomar Mountain; elevation:
> 1,800')
>
>
>

2345. Re: Looking at High End

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 13:18:32 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <IwP98.52949$th4.16857039@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

The AR5000+3 is considered the champion of wideband receivers. I had owned an R8500 and have been boucing around the idea of getting a 5000+3.

The R8500 is a good all round receiver. It does a nice job on HF although my personal
experience would place the R75 a notch above it. On VHF/UHF the R8500 is great if
you suffer intermod problems as the front end is rock solid. BUT I have found that receivers
like my old Pro-2006 are just as sensitive (Of course though the R8500 covers through 2Ghz).

I would say if you own a good HF receiver and good scanner you may not be all that happy
buying an R8500.

The 5000+3 on the other hand has alot to offer. If you are into satellite reception the many bandwidths
the 5000 offers is a plus. The sensitivy/selectivity straight through 2.6ghz is apparently second to none.

Best bet is to read the Yahoo group on the AR5000 and search the archives at strongsignals
and the like.

Remember though. The R8500 is coming down in price ~$1400 where the 5000+3 still
hovers around the ~$2100 mark.

"Neil Blumenfield" <nblumenfield@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:LlJ98.2642$5j5.1723130067@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> I am about ready to go for the Grove deal on the R75, but, since I'm
> planning on winning the lottery this week, I'm thinking about going for one
> of the Wideband receivers, the ICOM 8500 or the AOR 5000+3. Thoughts from
> anyone with experience with these?
> nrb
>
>

2346. taking scanner to Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 13:23:47 UTC
From: Jim
Message-ID: <DBP98.34174$bh3.232390@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52411

We will be traveling this summer and plan to travel through a portion of Canada. What are the rules of taking a scanner into Canada? Do you have to have special permission?

Thanks

2347. Re: Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 15:07:58 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <hhnf6uc4ga31cjapkioevvbet0p9qa0mmf@4ax.com>
Source record: 52413

HeeHaa....finally someone who can answer a simple question !!!!!!!!

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 21:14:13 -0600, Cellular Charlie
<stopthe@selfrighteous.org> wrote:

>Here are a few URLs to help you get going,
>I'll post them here so all may benefit.
>
>One of the original jammers is still available at:
>http://www.cguard.com/English/latests/index.html
>
>Another good looking unit is described on:
>http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/dlw-2000.htm
>
>Two excellent models at the bottom of:
>http://www.cornerspyshop.com/catalogue.htm
>
>Also, don't miss:
>http://sarb.co.za/
>
>I especially like the pocket models that would be ideal
>to guard against hearing the a self righteous windbag
>on their cell phones as they loudly spew false information
>about communication laws.
>
>These uninformed windbags often have no regard for the
>truth or reality, and pontificate as if they are experts
>in a field of communication law.
>
>They often do this in a loud and obnoxious manner with
>no regard for the peace of mind of others around them.
>This is why cellular phone jammers are necessary.
>
>
>"Al, N2NKB" wrote:
>
>> "No lectures please." Spare me and other NG reader's the BS.
>> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
>> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
>> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
>> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person
>> watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a
>> member of your family.
>> Al
>>

>> Todd Paulson wrote in message ...
>> >Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>> >in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>> >so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>> >
>> >I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
>> >phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
>> >Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
>> >are the plans?
>> >
>> >Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
>> >phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>> >
>> >

2348. software to program ICOM land/mobile radios 20.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 15:42:25 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <o5H98.7$Ts.2419@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 52496

for sale:

software to program ICOM radios

Land and mobile radios

IC - F - 10, 20,30,40

simply enter ICC to start the programming

EX1489 1.1 with EX1576

20.00US includes insured shipping

paypal, certified bank check or international postalcheck (pink)

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

2349. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 17:29:02 UTC
From: "BiasComms@NOSPAM.blueyonder.co.uk" <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ybT98.58$_x1.5002@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 52414
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Dave Holford" <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3C66DC8E.AC71F491@sympatico.ca...
> There are commercial products available for just that purpose.
> In some administrations they are used in places like theatres and
> restaurants to protect customers from the ignorant and self important.
>
Fortunately, they don't work properly.

> Although I have read articles in newspapers and newsmagazines discussing
> them the names of the manufacturers and/or distributors elude me. I'm
> pretty sure the main manufacturer is a Japanese organization but that's
> the best I can do without researching it.

Doesn't matter - see above.
>
> You might want to check the legalities of such use in your
> administration - unfortunately many posters assume the laws where they
> live apply everywhere, which is of course nonsense.

You might also like to consider the stupidity of jamming a call to the Fire
Service, Ambulance or Police.

> Todd Paulson wrote:
> >
> > Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> > in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> > so no lectures about legality are needed - please.

Why not? You obviously need some.
> >
> > I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> > phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
> > Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> > are the plans?

No. It won't work.
> >
> > Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> > phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.

We wouldn't tell you how to do something as stupid and dangerous as this.

--

BIAS COMMS

"Technology" is just the name for stuff that doesn't work right yet!

2350. Re: taking scanner to Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 18:43:14 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <6hU98.1054$oG.762@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 52415

In Ontario, and most other provinces, it is legal to have a scanner in your vehicle. And you are allowed to listen to cellular and other phone calls.

Champ

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca

"Jim jdc503news@attbi.com>" <<nospam> wrote in message
news:DBP98.34174$bh3.232390@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> We will be traveling this summer and plan to travel through a portion of
> Canada. What are the rules of taking a scanner into Canada? Do you have to
> have special permission?
>
> Thanks
>
>

2351. FS/FT Mint-Pro 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 18:51:33 UTC
From: Rog <rsmail@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a493rl$1to$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52416

I have a brand new in the box unused,unmarked pro-2042
I bought it to go in my car but changed my mind and put an Icom in it
instead.
this is the US version that runs on 120v AC ****BUT**** it also runs on 12v
dc and I have the appropriate adapter for mains in the UK. comes boxed as
new with manual.. I am asking ?150.00 UK or $220.00 US includes shipping
would trade for Scanner with SSB or base SW-radio with SSB
Rog

remove Spam for reply

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 08/02/02

2352. HELP MDT MONITOR Speaker Problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 18:51:46 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020211135146.02660.00001019@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 52417

Hello,
I did the Discriminator MOD for the PRO-2006 to decode the MDT traffic with the MDT MONITOR for windows software. It works GREAT!

Ommm my question is however when its hooked from the scanner to the sound card I can hear everything BLASTING out of my computer speakers. You can even hear the squelch hissing out the speakers even though the squelch isn't even open. I even turned the volume all the way down on the scanner and its still LOUD!! I had to turn the volume off on the computer just to be able to not hear the hissing and tones!!! Just wondering if their is something I can do to eliiminate the BLASTING outside the speakers? Like I said however everything is working perfectly besides that!

Please let me know...

Jeffrey - n9mxt1@aol.com

2353. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 18:53:46 UTC
From: jimvm111 <jimvm111@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_qU98.2209$yV3.170082@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52418
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

One way to do it is use a mixer with a 45 MHz local oscillator.
This will only work for the old AMPS standard phones & Nextell.
With just two antennas, one on each port of the mixer cut
for 1/4 wavelength, you can get maybe 10 to 20 feet range.
No signal is transmitted until someone is using their phone,
and you can interfere with more than one user! In reality,
the phone user will probably have to move away to get a
better signal thus your problem is solved.
If you are adventuress, you could use a couple of small signal
amplifiers, one on each port. You might be able to run several mixers
with antennas around a room and daisy chain the 45 MHz L.O.

Be careful, be discrete, stay out of trouble!

"Todd Paulson" <todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us> wrote in message
news:A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
> Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> are the plans?
>
> Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>
>
>

2354. Re: HELP MDT MONITOR Speaker Problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 19:02:08 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SyU98.173$RB3.146729@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52419

In article <20020211135146.02660.00001019@mb-fe.aol.com>,
n9mxt1@aol.com says...
> Hello,
> I did the Discriminator MOD for the PRO-2006 to decode the MDT traffic with
> the MDT MONITOR for windows software. It works GREAT!
>
> Ommm my question is however when its hooked from the scanner to the sound card
> I can hear everything BLASTING out of my computer speakers. You can even hear
> the squelch hissing out the speakers even though the squelch isn't even open.
> I even turned the volume all the way down on the scanner and its still LOUD!!
> I had to turn the volume off on the computer just to be able to not hear the
> hissing and tones!!! Just wondering if their is something I can do to
> eliiminate the BLASTING outside the speakers? Like I said however everything
> is working perfectly besides that!
>
> Please let me know...
>
> Jeffrey - n9mxt1@aol.com
>
>
>

Turn your computer volume down or off. Sound card output volume
shouldn't change the input. Turn'em off?

Glenn

2355. Help Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 19:02:16 UTC
From: Amy <ACWconsult@juno.com>
Message-ID: <YyU98.2245$yV3.172137@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52420

Looking for someone who might be interested in making some money by
monitoring the fire department's fire dispatches in the following Florida
Counties: Orange, Seminole, Lake, Osceola and Brevard.
If interested, please respond to acwconsult@Juno.com

2356. Pro 93 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 19:37:18 UTC
From: Tom B <tommisalami@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <O3V98.35942$Pz4.221014@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 52421

I have a PRO-93 Scanner from RS. Does anyone know if there is software available to program it using a PC? The clerk at RS sold me a cable and CD package that is supposed to work. When I run the program, the PRO-93 is not listed as one of the scanner types.

Any help will be greatly appreciated.

2357. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 19:51:54 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020211145154.29599.00000649@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52422

>Subject: Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please
>From: gf51@lycos.com
>Date: 2/10/2002 10:18 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20020210231802.757$dM@newsreader.com>
>
>"Phil Allison" <bilup@bigpond.com> wrote:
>> "Todd Paulson" <todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us> wrote in message
>> news:A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
>> > Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>> > in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>> > so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>>
>> ** To jam a cell phones you need a strong signal over the whole band
>> used by such phones. That is why they are illegal and your request is
>> outrageous. Fuck you.
>>
>> Phil
>
>Hey Phil,
>
>This is a legitimate question. I teach in a high school and I've known
>students that have been caught using cell phones to cheat on tests. I
>remember one student was wispering test questions into a phone that was in
>his shirt pocket to another student that was to take the same test later
>that day. High school and middle school students have no business having
>cell phones in class so a cell phone jammer would be great deterrent for
>those bent on cheating. If there's some emergency, their parents can call
>the school....easy as that.

If you can't confiscate them at the door tough luck. They could use FRS or commercial 2-way or home brewed stuff on the military band to do this. You wanna jam every frequency in the spectrum?
To cover up your school, you'd have to wipe out 3 blocks in all directions to be sure you blanketed every spot in the building. So somebody 2 blocks away dies of a heart attack because the can't call for help - because you can't do your jab.. No wonder we're turning out idiots from our schools with braniacs like this for teachers.
Neutrodyne

2358. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 19:56:18 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020211145618.29599.00000650@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 52423

>Subject: Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please
>From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM (Matthew W. Este)
>Date: 2/11/2002 1:45 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20020211024541.01976.00001046@mb-dh.aol.com>
>
>No, the lecture is free:
>
>I am wondering if infact you want to disrupt a police radio system so you can
>pull off some sort of vicious scheme.

Think about this when you die on a sidewalk somewhere while passers by try to call for help, but can't because you can't reach your lovely little jammer's on/off switch in you pocket.
Neutrodyne

2359. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2006 Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 20:46:46 UTC
From: Michael <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <W4W98.94895$jO5.12649133@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 52425

For 350 I can buy a brand new BC 780xlt that does trunking, the 2006 doesnt.
I dont think your gonna get 350 for that scanner.

"Ralph" <Ralph_member@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:a47aig01iqs@drn.newsguy.com...
> For sale a radio shack pro 2006 mint. $350.00 plus shipping
>
>
> ralphq@hotmail.com
>
>

2360. WTB: Bearcat BC-101 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 20:54:44 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020211155444.05725.00000580@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 52426

Seeking to purchase an older Bearcat (Electra Corp.) model BC-101 programmable scanner.Has 16 channels and is programmed by binary coding using 16 switches on the front of the radio.
Cosmetic condition is NOT important, but MUST work AND PROGRAM properly, as well as RECEIVE programmed stations.No "problem" or "fixemup" units wanted, but again, dirty, dented, scratched, scraped, etc. is NOT important.
Please Email me directly with a DESCRIPTION of your unit and the price you are asking (BEST price in first Email, please...must be "REALISTIC" (not paying "new" price...) and NO 'dickering 'or 'offers' made or given.)
All replies answered. Thank you !!

2361. Re: Pro 93 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 21:06:52 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a49bnd$1d0v31$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52427

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/

Also if you want and it's good, join the yahoo group Pro-93.
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-93
I am not sure if the above groups URL will work. If it does not, just goto
groups.yahoo.com and search of Pro-93.

"Tom B" <tommisalami@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:O3V98.35942$Pz4.221014@rwcrnsc53...
> I have a PRO-93 Scanner from RS. Does anyone know if there is software
> available to program it using a PC? The clerk at RS sold me a cable and
CD
> package that is supposed to work. When I run the program, the PRO-93 is
not
> listed as one of the scanner types.
>
> Any help will be greatly appreciated.
>
>

2362. FS: Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 21:20:13 UTC
From: David Tharrett <davidthNS@NOterraworld.SPAMnet>
Message-ID: <hAW98.44003$aA6.2050731201@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52428
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We have reduced the price to $199 !!! Get one while they last.

We have a supply of Optoelectronics 3000A Plus Counters. A very special purchase allows us to offer Optoelectronics 3000APlus top of the line portable frequency counters that are absolutely brand new, complete with accessories, still in the original factory boxes!. See more details at the ebay auction link below. Go to this link for details on purchasing one - http://www.datadeliverydevices.com/opto.htm or you can email me (remove all CAPS from the email address)

The Optoelectronics website has all specs, details
and the pdf manual at http://www.optoelectronics.com/3000a.htm

2363. Wanted - HPIB 82335 ISA Interface Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 21:23:10 UTC
From: David Tharrett <davidthNS@NOterraworld.SPAMnet>
Message-ID: <2DW98.44006$cC6.2050864144@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52429
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am in need of a Hewlett Packard ISA HPIB Interface board. The HP part number is 82335-60001. Contact me if you have any available. Thanks. Please remove all of the CAPS from my email address or call me at 620-331-4084.

2364. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 21:33:03 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3pcg6ukf16rfiujqe2enggjop5o8jjtf1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 52430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote:

>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.

I'm not impressed by this self serving declaration, but assuming you are smart (big assumption) and you know exactly what you're doing (even bigger assumption)...

>I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
>phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
>Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
>are the plans?

I haven't heard of this, but that's not to say it can't work.

>Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
>phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.

Don't expect that from me. However, you might try reading up on how electronic warfare works. In particular, read about tapped delay line repeaters.

Legalities aside, you'd do well to take measures against getting caught. The FCC takes a very dim view of this sort of activity, even if you are who your e-mail address says you are and you're working for the state of Minnesota. The feds will make your life a legal hell no matter who you're working for if they figure out what's going on. My advice: use passive methods. It is not legal for you to use a jammer, but if you should happen to have a shielded building, well, that's nobody's fault.

Good bye and I hope this stunt of yours fails miserably.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2365. Re: 67 MPH gusts destroy my RS Discone...snap 20', .250 aluminum masts in two.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 21:38:18 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C6839CA.CC7EFD93@amsat.org>
Source record: 52431

Matt wrote:

> Dimond D130J discone.
>
> 25Mhz-1300Mhz reception. I've had brilliant results with this antenna...
> just use decent coax cable..

Yep, and your neighbor RF Parts (San Marcos) is a Diamond distributor.

>
>
> Matt
>
> "Otis Cribblecoblis" <alaininvc@netscape.net> wrote in message
> news:3C675976.3487B680@netscape.net...
> > Hello All,
> >
> > Now that I'm in the market for a new discone (or other) VHF/UHF outdoor
> > antenna, I'd like your input. What's your favorite antenna for 30MHz
> > thru 1000MHz? (design/manufacturer/homebrew?)
> >
> > All responses gratefully appreciated!
> >
> > Otis
> >
> > (20 miles south of the 200" telescope on Palomar Mountain; elevation:
> > 1,800')
> >
> >
> >

~Bart~

2366. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 21:51:04 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C683CC7.7532F34E@optonline.net>
Source record: 52432
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

I have never seen a commercial building inside of which you can receive
AM radio (530-1700khz). I thought Faraday covered that rather well.

Walt

dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk wrote:
>
> In alt.radio.pirate John Henderson <jhenDELETEUPPERCASE@bigpond.com> wrote:
>
> <Of cellphone jammers>
>
> > That's a real worry if it's true.
>
> > As someone who spent the best part of 14 years on-call 24 hours a
> > day for a government emergency service, I'm appalled. This was
> > for maritime search and rescue for an area covering nearly a
> > quarter of the southern hemisphere, and I depended on a cellular
> > phone to be contactable (I couldn't leave the coverage area).
>
> <Snip>
>
> > Every administration must have emergency staff who need to be
> > contactable and ready for action. These people already lead a
> > limited and stressful existence, without being marginalised in
> > this way as well.
>
> The cell phone is an 'interesting' choice of technology for
> a safety and time critical application. The things are not
> exactly specified for the level of reliability that your
> application would imply. I would have thought that a paging
> system of the type using phase mod. of an AM radio station
> would have been more appropriate, as this penetrates buildings
> far better then 1.8 - 1.9Ghz cell phone services do. Pagers
> also work with a much narrower bandwidth and thus need less
> signal to operate. The cell phone seems like totally the wrong
> tool for this job, and if reliability is that critical then you
> want to get something which will be reliable! One of the companies
> over here (can not remember which one, anybody?) lost all service
> for some hours the other day when their internal routing failed.
> Tetra or LF radio paging would IMHO seem to be the better approach
> to your emergency contact requirement.
>
> BTW: A phone can indeed be modified as a jammer, a little feedback
> here, some cpu noise there, and some current limiting to stop the

> PA module doing itself an injury!
>
> Regards, Dan.
> --
> ** The email address *IS* valid, do NOT remove the spamblock
> And on the evening of the first day the lord said..........
> .... LX 1, GO!; and there was light.

2367. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 21:54:42 UTC
From: John Henderson <jhenDELETEUPPERCASE@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <E4X98.5483$BE4.16050@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 52434
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

<dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk> wrote:

> The cell phone is an 'interesting' choice of technology for
> a safety and time critical application. The things are not
> exactly specified for the level of reliability that your
> application would imply. I would have thought that a paging
> system of the type using phase mod. of an AM radio station
> would have been more appropriate, as this penetrates buildings
> far better then 1.8 - 1.9Ghz cell phone services do. Pagers
> also work with a much narrower bandwidth and thus need less
> signal to operate. The cell phone seems like totally the wrong
> tool for this job, and if reliability is that critical then you
> want to get something which will be reliable! One of the
companies
> over here (can not remember which one, anybody?) lost all
service
> for some hours the other day when their internal routing
failed.
> Tetra or LF radio paging would IMHO seem to be the better
approach
> to your emergency contact requirement.

Yes, you're right in most of this. But there are some practicalities that become apparent only when you're operational. A pager would become necessary if the cellular network's reliability became compromised.

Prior to cell phones, I carried a pager. Then a pager and a phone, as widespread use of mobiles made public phone booths almost extinct, making the phone a necessity.

Especially for someone who tries to stay physically active, carrying both devices at all times is awkward.

While I have experienced a couple of "outages" of the pager network, I have never personally seen a cellular network failure. I've read of them, of course. But they're much more public events than pager network failures.

And for many of its failure modes, an astute user can see that a cellular network is inoperative, contact base, and organise an alternative arrangement for the duration.

You also learn to leave a verbal trail so that you can be found, especially if you're sleeping away from home.

John

2368. Re: WTB: Bearcat BC-101 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 21:56:30 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C683E0D.E9EDF994@optonline.net>
Source record: 52433

I might be willing to part with mine, but you have to start the bidding.

Walt

KLARRG wrote:
>
> Seeking to purchase an older Bearcat (Electra Corp.) model BC-101 programmable
> scanner.Has 16 channels and is programmed by binary coding using 16 switches on
> the front of the radio.
> Cosmetic condition is NOT important, but MUST work AND PROGRAM properly, as
> well as RECEIVE programmed stations.No "problem" or "fixemup" units wanted, but
> again, dirty, dented, scratched, scraped, etc. is NOT important.
> Please Email me directly with a DESCRIPTION of your unit and the price you
> are asking (BEST price in first Email, please...must be "REALISTIC" (not paying
> "new" price...) and NO 'dickering 'or 'offers' made or given.)
> All replies answered. Thank you !!

2369. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 22:47:28 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <07C0BD3357375C48.2687BC1F754366D4.183E2AC93B85203D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 52435
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 23:43:24 GMT, "Matt J. McCullar"
<mccullar@flash.net> wrote:

>A spark-gap transmitter ought to work, but it'll also screw up RF all over
>the bands -- AM radio, shortwave, TV, etc. That's why these are now
>illegal, too.

...and yet bug zappers are perfectly OK.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

2370. radio shack pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 22:52:16 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020211165203.758d9f91.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52436

rs has this onsale for 170. im thinking about getting it, anyone know any pros or cons about this one? thanks

2371. Re: Bearcat BC-101 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 23:00:15 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <32Y98.74106$Gb1.12580538@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 52437

i have a bc100, 16 channel. is that the same as the 101?
"KLARRG" <klarrg@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020211155444.05725.00000580@mb-fw.aol.com...
> Seeking to purchase an older Bearcat (Electra Corp.) model BC-101
programmable
> scanner.Has 16 channels and is programmed by binary coding using 16
switches on
> the front of the radio.
> Cosmetic condition is NOT important, but MUST work AND PROGRAM properly,
as
> well as RECEIVE programmed stations.No "problem" or "fixemup" units
wanted, but
> again, dirty, dented, scratched, scraped, etc. is NOT important.
> Please Email me directly with a DESCRIPTION of your unit and the price
you
> are asking (BEST price in first Email, please...must be "REALISTIC" (not
paying
> "new" price...) and NO 'dickering 'or 'offers' made or given.)
> All replies answered. Thank you !!
>

2372. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2006 Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-11 23:56:00 UTC
From: Gutt Brothers Towing <guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <28mg6u0q873mq2q2hsrbab05mqiefgkbh3@4ax.com>
Source record: 52439

It may fetch $350 from someone who thinks their missing the world go
by cause they can't get cell phones. A short time later the buyer will
wish the money went elswhere. (For what it's worth IMO)

GBT

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 20:46:46 GMT, "Michael" <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com>
wrote:

>For 350 I can buy a brand new BC 780xlt that does trunking, the 2006 doesnt.
>I dont think your gonna get 350 for that scanner.
>
>
>
>
>"Ralph" <Ralph_member@newsguy.com> wrote in message
>news:a47aig01iqs@drn.newsguy.com...
>> For sale a radio shack pro 2006 mint. $350.00 plus shipping
>>
>>
>> ralphq@hotmail.com
>>
>>
>

2373. Re: Looking at High End

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:23:03 UTC
From: phil <phil@phil.com>
Message-ID: <u6go2n6pnc9q08@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Neil:

the 2002 Passport rated the R8500 and 5000+3 the same '4' stars, quite respectable. i only have experience with the R-75. you may consider getting an R-75 AND a scanner... i own a PRO-2006 scanner. first it will be cheaper having two, second you'll have two units so one can scan while you listen, third HF (AM, long distance) and UHF/VHF (N-FM, short distance) are different beasts so dedicated receivers make sense.

phil :)

2374. Re: radio shack pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:28:02 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <mkZ98.56262$th4.18311408@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52443

For $170 there aren't any cons. Just get a unit with a date code of 11A01 or greater. Otherwise
there are a few bugs to contend with.

"fusQuanto" <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:20020211165203.758d9f91.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com...
> rs has this onsale for 170. im thinking about getting it, anyone know any
pros or cons about this one? thanks
>

2375. Re: radio shack pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:37:09 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C6863B2.2D2740C3@optonline.net>
Source record: 52444

Make sure you get one with date code later than 11A01. And with
firmware version 1.01 or later. Version 1.00 had a bug wrt the alpha
tags on some of the channels. Check the firmware version by pressing
"3" immediately after turning it on.

Most people are quite happy with the unit, w or w/o the alpha tag bug.

It's main deficiency is the lack of CTCSS capability. If you feel that
is important, you may not want the PRO-93.

Walt

fusQuanto wrote:
>
> rs has this onsale for 170. im thinking about getting it, anyone know any pros or cons
about this one? thanks

2376. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:53:30 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <to8g6uch714jc5sok6isloe07l3u4trenm@4ax.com>
Source record: 52445
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner

On 11 Feb 2002 04:18:02 GMT, gf51@lycos.com posted in
alt.cell-phone.tech:

>This is a legitimate question. I teach in a high school and I've known
>students that have been caught using cell phones to cheat on tests. I
>remember one student was wispering test questions into a phone that was in
>his shirt pocket to another student that was to take the same test later
>that day. High school and middle school students have no business having
>cell phones in class so a cell phone jammer would be great deterrent for
>those bent on cheating. If there's some emergency, their parents can call
>the school....easy as that.

An even easier (and legal) way of doing it is simply banning
possession of cell phones in class. They can leave them in their
lockers or, if they have no lockers, those students actually needing a
cell phone that day (to call someone to pick them up, etc.) could
leave the phone in the office for the day.

Teaching kids that breaking a law when it's "justified" is okay is
something contrary to the very basis of education.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2377. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:53:31 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <n3dg6ugdepo72bahvhgr5oq5q5kqetavh8@4ax.com>
Source record: 52446
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 02:09:30 GMT, optcamel@worldnet.att.net (Howard
and Kelly Lute) posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>It's true John, easily available in Hong Kong or Taiwan or Japan for the
>purposes outlined, short range however...less than 100 feet radius is
>typical, not cheap either, figure 200-400US dollars for one covering the
>relevant bands.

The true figure, in the U. S., is a minimum of $10,000.

And that's for each occurrence that's found out.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2378. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:53:32 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <76dg6ukbv7s8c8ombo87ujh7pkmn2i10cp@4ax.com>
Source record: 52347
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On 10 Feb 2002 13:52:45 -0800, richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>Information is not illegal...

Aiding and abetting a felony is a felony.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2379. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:53:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <27dg6uo1shukd5u1v1mkeavjjfi0g84iaf@4ax.com>
Source record: 52348
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 22:44:44 GMT, "George Strampher"
<george996@prodigy.net> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>I agree with the "Vasoline Jammin Method" I remember a Federal Law I believe
>that states that all Cell Phones whether they have paid service or not must
>still be able to connect to "911" for an emergency.

There's another one - an international treaty, in fact - that makes
willful interference with a licensed radio service illegal. Cell
phones are a licensed radio service.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2380. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:53:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <fddg6uc9hl7utejfagrrk58k3getdp776h@4ax.com>
Source record: 52349
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 04:52:02 GMT, Tim <Nightwatch01@home.com> posted
in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>These device are a broadband 800MHz jammer. They also disrupt Nextels
>and 800MHz conventional SMR & trunked systems.

And can render radios on other bands useless within a few feet. Or
more than a few feet if intermod becomes a problem.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2381. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:53:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <dbdg6u8edtliu6evk0hi844iar9bk3ru0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 52350
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 21:13:27 -0600, Cellular Charlie
<stopthe@selfrighteous.org> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>These uninformed windbags often have no regard for the
>truth or reality, and pontificate as if they are experts
>in a field of communication law.

Sounds like - oh - say - alt.cell-phone.tech.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2382. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 00:55:46 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <qspg6u0im6kjh08qatsiev4o39ki0499id@4ax.com>
Source record: 52351

Thank God that someone can just ANSWER THE FUCKIN' QUESTION and give a straightforward answer with all the editorializing !!!!!!!!!!!!

Keep up the good work Cellular Charlie !!!!!
We need more people like you in this news group.

-=-=-=

>> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
>> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person
>> watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a
>> member of your family.
>> Al
>>
>> Todd Paulson wrote in message ...
>> >Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>> >in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>> >so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>> >
>> >I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
>> >phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
>> >Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
>> >are the plans?
>> >
>> >Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
>> >phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>> >
>> >

2383. Re: Looking at High End

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 01:03:32 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <c4qg6us0pgabhuhbnuv5a43o3l7g3gv4i4@4ax.com>
Source record: 52355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"isobad" wrote:

> The AR5000+3 is considered the champion of wideband receivers. I had owned
> an R8500 and have been boucing around the idea of getting a 5000+3.
>
> The R8500 is a good all round receiver. It does a nice job on HF although my
> personal
> experience would place the R75 a notch above it. On VHF/UHF the R8500 is
> great if
> you suffer intermod problems as the front end is rock solid. BUT I have
> found that receivers
> like my old Pro-2006 are just as sensitive (Of course though the R8500
> covers through 2Ghz).
>
> I would say if you own a good HF receiver and good scanner you may not be
> all that happy
> buying an R8500.
>
> The 5000+3 on the other hand has alot to offer. If you are into satellite
> reception the many bandwidths
> the 5000 offers is a plus. The sensitivy/selectivity straight through 2.6ghz
> is apparently second to none.
>
> Best bet is to read the Yahoo group on the AR5000 and search the archives at
> strongsignals
> and the like.
>
> Remember though. The R8500 is coming down in price ~$1400 where the 5000+3
> still
> hovers around the ~$2100 mark.
>

I happen to own two 8500s and think that the 8500 is one of the most
underrated performers on HF.

The 5000+3 seems to be a mix of only fair qualities with a couple of
really outstanding qualities. Unfortunately I don't own one so I
can't give personal experience. I *can* say that I did stay away from
it because it had that "classic" AOR build to my eyes -- don't look
too hard or it might fall apart.

The 8500's weakest point are its filters -- they are crap.

Its strong points, IMHO, are its absolutely tanklike front end, great
build quality, and rock-stable oscillator. Forget the AM filters;
tune using ECSS and just leave it sit -- you won't have to touch it
again.

Passport a couple of years back put them side-by-side in a test.
If you are interested I can find and summarize that report...

Eric
>
> "Neil Blumenfield" wrote in message
> news:LlJ98.2642$5j5.1723130067@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > I am about ready to go for the Grove deal on the R75, but, since I'm
> > planning on winning the lottery this week, I'm thinking about going for
> one
> > of the Wideband receivers, the ICOM 8500 or the AOR 5000+3. Thoughts from
> > anyone with experience with these?
> > nrb
> >
> >
>

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Don't destroy the Earth! That's where I keep all of my stuff!"
- Squidd on www.fark.com

2384. Baercat 245XLT vs Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 01:08:57 UTC
From: David <dstanley23@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <JWZ98.32780$fK1.3614188@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 52352

Same price when you consider the charger and batteries

Input on which is better, I am thinking of the bearcat

Thanks

2385. Re: Pro 93 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 01:30:26 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <harg6ugg0mjpmk25iqc3aiacnbeprr4vvf@4ax.com>
Source record: 52353

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 19:37:18 GMT, "Tom B" <tommisalami@attbi.com>
wrote:

>I have a PRO-93 Scanner from RS. Does anyone know if there is software
>available to program it using a PC? The clerk at RS sold me a cable and CD
>package that is supposed to work. When I run the program, the PRO-93 is not
>listed as one of the scanner types.

You've got the link to Win93, which is definitely the best software to
get. There's also an unauthorized, early version of GRE/RS's software
floating around as freware, but that's incomplete and obviously has no
support. It's unclear whether RS will ever finish and sell that.

That cable they sold you (20-048) will not work with the 93. The best
ready-made cable is a Casio cable, by Purple Computing, www.pfranc.com
. Look for the page where he tells you what adapters are needed for
the 93. You need to convert the 3/32" stereo end to 1/8" mono.

You should be able to get your money back for the wrong
cable/software. You're not the only one to wind up with that, and I
have not heard of RS not taking it back. (Enough "not"s in that last
sentence?)

Ken Plotkin

2386. Which software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 01:36:53 UTC
From: Sharkey <linphil@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Vk_98.56485$th4.18545268@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52354

I have a quetion for you all experts. I am new to scanning. I just got my BC780XLT in the mail today. Which is the best software out there in the world that works good with this particular scanner?

--
****
Phil Zolla
Main Address: linphil@optonline.net
Phil's Address: killershark_01@yahoo.com
http://www.geocities.com/killershark_01
http://sharkweb.dyndns.org:81
Phil ICQ - 570859
****

2387. FS: PRO-2004 $175 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:09:29 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tP_98.1146$w67.96056@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52356

Works flawlessly! Looks like new. No scratches or dents on case or display. Full 800 Mhz. Bright backlight (original). Internal power supply removed to reduce heat. Will supply 12 VDC wall wart. Original box, manual, antenna. I need the cash for computer upgrades.

Price $175.00 plus shipping

2388. Needed: FReQ'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:13:32 UTC
From: "j.shaner" <theflopside.maps.@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gmY98.1114$Td7.76006@news.abs.net>
Source record: 52438

i am looking for the real freq's for the dayton/northridge/harrison twp.
area police/fire in ohio....

please reply via e-mail: theflopside@hotmail.com

thanks in advance.....

2389. Re: Looking at High End

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:17:33 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <1X_98.56874$th4.18677906@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I had always thought of my R8500 as more of an HF receiver. Although anyone living in RF
hell would definatley find the VHF/UHF perfomance key.

"Eric F. Richards" wrote in message
news:c4qg6us0pgabhuhbnuv5a43o3l7g3gv4i4@4ax.com...
> "isobad" wrote:
>
> > The AR5000+3 is considered the champion of wideband receivers. I had owned
> > an R8500 and have been boucing around the idea of getting a 5000+3.
> >
> > The R8500 is a good all round receiver. It does a nice job on HF although my
> > personal
> > experience would place the R75 a notch above it. On VHF/UHF the R8500 is
> > great if
> > you suffer intermod problems as the front end is rock solid. BUT I have
> > found that receivers
> > like my old Pro-2006 are just as sensitive (Of course though the R8500
> > covers through 2Ghz).
> >
> > I would say if you own a good HF receiver and good scanner you may not be
> > all that happy
> > buying an R8500.
> >
> > The 5000+3 on the other hand has alot to offer. If you are into satellite
> > reception the many bandwidths
> > the 5000 offers is a plus. The sensitivy/selectivity straight through 2.6ghz
> > is apparently second to none.
> >
> > Best bet is to read the Yahoo group on the AR5000 and search the archives at
> > strongsignals
> > and the like.
> >
> > Remember though. The R8500 is coming down in price ~$1400 where the 5000+3
> > still
> > hovers around the ~$2100 mark.

> >
>
> I happen to own two 8500s and think that the 8500 is one of the most
> underrated performers on HF.
>
> The 5000+3 seems to be a mix of only fair qualities with a couple of
> really outstanding qualities. Unfortunately I don't own one so I
> can't give personal experience. I *can* say that I did stay away from
> it because it had that "classic" AOR build to my eyes -- don't look
> too hard or it might fall apart.
>
> The 8500's weakest point are its filters -- they are crap.
>
> Its strong points, IMHO, are its absolutely tanklike front end, great
> build quality, and rock-stable oscillator. Forget the AM filters;
> tune using ECSS and just leave it sit -- you won't have to touch it
> again.
>
> Passport a couple of years back put them side-by-side in a test.
> If you are interested I can find and summarize that report...
>
> Eric
> >
> > "Neil Blumenfield" wrote in message
> > news:LlJ98.2642$5j5.1723130067@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > > I am about ready to go for the Grove deal on the R75, but, since I'm
> > > planning on winning the lottery this week, I'm thinking about going
for
> > one
> > > of the Wideband receivers, the ICOM 8500 or the AOR 5000+3. Thoughts
from
> > > anyone with experience with these?
> > > nrb
> > >
> > >
> >
>
> --
> Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
> "Don't destroy the Earth! That's where I keep all of my stuff!"
> - Squidd on www.fark.com

2390. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:20:55 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C687C07.76594691@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52358
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:
>
> "No lectures please." Spare me and other NG reader's the BS.
> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person
> watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a
> member of your family.
> Al
>

I am personally aware of many buildings within which cell phones will not work because the buldings are completely shielded. People needing portable phones within these buidings used dedicated internal systems.

I have yet to see a warning at the door that "your essential services cell phone won't work in here." And I am not aware of any law, statute, regulation or whatever, in any administration anywhere, prohibiting theatre or other building owners or operators from shielding their premises to prevent cell phone operation.

What do you do when you are in a building where operation of cell phones is prohibited for safety reasons? You are aware that your phone is communicating with the system any time it is turned on, regardless of whether you are talking or not? How disabling cell phones differs from requiring that they be turned off eludes me; as long as a notice is posted.

Dave

2391. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:21:54 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C687C42.D758FAE@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52359
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:
>
> "No lectures please." Spare me and other NG reader's the BS.
> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person
> watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a
> member of your family.
> Al
>

I am personally aware of many buildings within which cell phones will
not work because the buldings are completely shielded. People needing
portable phones within these buidings used dedicated internal systems.
I would have thought the holder of a Ham call would be aware of this.

I have yet to see a warning at the door that "your essential services
cell phone won't work in here." And I am not aware of any law, statute,
regulation or whatever, in any administration anywhere, prohibiting
theatre or other building owners or operators from shielding their
premises to prevent cell phone operation.

What do you do when you are in a building where operation of cell phones
is prohibited for safety reasons? You are aware that your phone is
communicating with the system any time it is turned on, regardless of
whether you are talking or not? How disabling cell phones differs from
requiring that they be turned off eludes me; as long as a notice is
posted.

Dave

2392. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:22:03 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C687C4B.533AD348@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52360
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:
>
> "No lectures please." Spare me and other NG reader's the BS.
> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person
> watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a
> member of your family.
> Al
>

I am personally aware of many buildings within which cell phones will
not work because the buldings are completely shielded. People needing
portable phones within these buidings used dedicated internal systems.
I would have thought the holder of a Ham call would be aware of this.

I have yet to see a warning at the door that "your essential services
cell phone won't work in here." And I am not aware of any law, statute,
regulation or whatever, in any administration anywhere, prohibiting
theatre or other building owners or operators from shielding their
premises to prevent cell phone operation.

What do you do when you are in a building where operation of cell phones
is prohibited for safety reasons? You are aware that your phone is
communicating with the system any time it is turned on, regardless of
whether you are talking or not? How disabling cell phones differs from
requiring that they be turned off eludes me; as long as a notice is
posted.

Dave

2393. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:22:46 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C687C76.7017C5FB@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52361
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:

> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a member of your family.
> Al
>

I am personally aware of many buildings within which cell phones will not work because the buldings are completely shielded. People needing portable phones within these buidings used dedicated internal systems. I would have thought the holder of a Ham call would be aware of this.

I have yet to see a warning at the door that "your essential services cell phone won't work in here." And I am not aware of any law, statute, regulation or whatever, in any administration anywhere, prohibiting theatre or other building owners or operators from shielding their premises to prevent cell phone operation.

What do you do when you are in a building where operation of cell phones is prohibited for safety reasons? You are aware that your phone is communicating with the system any time it is turned on, regardless of whether you are talking or not? How disabling cell phones differs from requiring that they be turned off eludes me; as long as a notice is posted.

Dave

2394. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:22:53 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C687C7D.77EB51E1@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52362
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:

> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a member of your family.
> Al
>

I am personally aware of many buildings within which cell phones will not work because the buldings are completely shielded. People needing portable phones within these buidings used dedicated internal systems. I would have thought the holder of a Ham call would be aware of this.

I have yet to see a warning at the door that "your essential services cell phone won't work in here." And I am not aware of any law, statute, regulation or whatever, in any administration anywhere, prohibiting theatre or other building owners or operators from shielding their premises to prevent cell phone operation.

What do you do when you are in a building where operation of cell phones is prohibited for safety reasons? You are aware that your phone is communicating with the system any time it is turned on, regardless of whether you are talking or not? How disabling cell phones differs from requiring that they be turned off eludes me; as long as a notice is posted.

Dave

2395. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:23:21 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C687C99.D6A19454@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52364
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:

> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a member of your family.
> Al
>

I am personally aware of many buildings within which cell phones will not work because the buldings are completely shielded. People needing portable phones within these buidings used dedicated internal systems. I would have thought the holder of a Ham call would be aware of this.

I have yet to see a warning at the door that "your essential services cell phone won't work in here." And I am not aware of any law, statute, regulation or whatever, in any administration anywhere, prohibiting theatre or other building owners or operators from shielding their premises to prevent cell phone operation.

What do you do when you are in a building where operation of cell phones is prohibited for safety reasons? You are aware that your phone is communicating with the system any time it is turned on, regardless of whether you are talking or not? How disabling cell phones differs from requiring that they be turned off eludes me; as long as a notice is posted.

Dave

2396. Re: Looking at High End

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:24:01 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <51%98.56924$th4.18699628@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

The thing that really seperates the AR5000 from just about anything is the VHF/UHF performance.
Everything user opinion i have read rates it second to none.

"phil" <phil@phil.com> wrote in message news:u6go2n6pnc9q08@corp.supernews.com...
> Neil:
>
> the 2002 Passport rated the R8500 and 5000+3 the same '4' stars, quite
> respectable. i only have experience with the R-75. you may consider getting
> an R-75 AND a scanner... i own a PRO-2006 scanner. first it will be cheaper
> having two, second you'll have two units so one can scan while you listen,
> third HF (AM, long distance) and UHF/VHF (N-FM, short distance) are
> different beasts so dedicated receivers make sense.
>
> phil :)
>
>
>
>

2397. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:28:16 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <9dvg6u089mbsji53lqhtoitoeq6s5kvct5@4ax.com>
Source record: 52366
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 16:47:28 -0600, "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH"
<kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 23:43:24 GMT, "Matt J. McCullar"
><mccullar@flash.net> wrote:

>>A spark-gap transmitter ought to work, but it'll also screw up RF all over
>>the bands -- AM radio, shortwave, TV, etc. That's why these are now
>>illegal, too.

>...and yet bug zappers are perfectly OK.

I never checked mine for RFI. I'll have to do that this summer. Even
though the TV is on cable, it'll probably tear pretty badly every time
a bug gets zapped. And a large beetle can destroy an entire show.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2398. Re: Pro 93 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:28:53 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a49uj3$1cjd85$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52365

Always with the negative waves Morarity. ;)

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message
news:harg6ugg0mjpmk25iqc3aiacnbeprr4vvf@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 19:37:18 GMT, "Tom B" <tommisalami@attbi.com>
> wrote:
> You're not the only one to wind up with that, and I
> have not heard of RS not taking it back. (Enough "not"s in that last
> sentence?)
>
> Ken Plotkin

2399. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:29:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <tgvg6u8vfvsoa002ukhire8ildlv8q6o6f@4ax.com>
Source record: 52367
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 18:53:46 GMT, "jimvm111" <jimvm111@earthlink.net>
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>One way to do it is use a mixer with a 45 MHz local oscillator.

You're nasty. :)
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2400. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:30:34 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C687E4A.3E3EA05@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52368
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"BiasComms@NOSPAM.blueyonder.co.uk" wrote:

> You might also like to consider the stupidity of jamming a call to the Fire
> Service, Ambulance or Police.

I guess I was mistaken in my assumption that most restaurants and
theatres would have a working telephone in the establishment.

Oh and I love the previous comment about jamming police communications -
which is, of course, much less difficult than disabling cell phones.

Dave

2401. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 02:46:46 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C688216.19355A4@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52369
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Now ain't that interesting!!!
6 copies of my post, sequentially reducing from 32 to 28 lines.
Three possibilities come to mind:
1 - someone is trying to play with me.
2 - something is going on in my system -
unlikely since other posts are OK
3 - I'm an idiot

Your votes are awaited with eager anticpation!

Dave

2402. Re: Pro 93 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 03:01:56 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nB%98.262$U16.151912@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52370

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html

"Tom B" <tommisalami@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:O3V98.35942$Pz4.221014@rwcrnsc53...
> I have a PRO-93 Scanner from RS. Does anyone know if there is software
> available to program it using a PC? The clerk at RS sold me a cable and
CD
> package that is supposed to work. When I run the program, the PRO-93 is
not
> listed as one of the scanner types.
>
> Any help will be greatly appreciated.
>
>

2403. Scanning site updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 03:03:58 UTC
From: doornobs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020211220358.29379.00000945@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52371

On Jim Fordyce's website he added a nice message board to post updates on new frequencies, any questions or comments also can be posted....looks like a good site for gettin info

heres the link
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/index.html

2404. Re: radio shack pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 03:50:01 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020211214950.672847c6.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52372

man i went to 7 different radio shacks today looking for one of the post 11A01 ones, and found NONE. (in austin tx) so i baught an older one anyways , it has a 30 day return thing. also i baught a pro 90 off my friend for 40 bucks. is it any good? btw what is CTCSS?

thanks for hte info

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 00:37:09 GMT
Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:

> Make sure you get one with date code later than 11A01. And with
> firmware version 1.01 or later. Version 1.00 had a bug wrt the alpha
> tags on some of the channels. Check the firmware version by pressing
> "3" immediately after turning it on.
>
> Most people are quite happy with the unit, w or w/o the alpha tag bug.
>
> It's main deficiency is the lack of CTCSS capability. If you feel that
> is important, you may not want the PRO-93.
>
> Walt
>
> fusQuanto wrote:
> >
> > rs has this onsale for 170. im thinking about getting it, anyone know any pros or cons about this one? thanks

2405. Re: radio shack pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 04:08:12 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C68952C.AC5077BB@optonline.net>
Source record: 52373

sorry, i meant to say

"date code 11A01 OR LATER"

The date code 11A01 is OK. But, check the firmware version number

fusQuanto wrote:
>
> man i went to 7 different radio shacks today looking for one of the post 11A01 ones, and found NONE. (in austin tx) so i baught an older one anyways , it has a 30 day return thing. also i baught a pro 90 off my friend for 40 bucks. is it any good? btw what is CTCSS?
>
> thanks for hte info
>
> On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 00:37:09 GMT
> Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> > Make sure you get one with date code later than 11A01. And with
> > firmware version 1.01 or later. Version 1.00 had a bug wrt the alpha
> > tags on some of the channels. Check the firmware version by pressing
> > "3" immediately after turning it on.
> >
> > Most people are quite happy with the unit, w or w/o the alpha tag bug.
> >
> > It's main deficiency is the lack of CTCSS capability. If you feel that
> > is important, you may not want the PRO-93.
> >
> > Walt
> >
> > fusQuanto wrote:
> > >
> > > rs has this onsale for 170. im thinking about getting it, anyone know any pros or cons about this one? thanks

2406. Re: radio shack pro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 04:22:01 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020211222149.5f637535.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52374

yah yah i know what u meant... all of mine were 7a01

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 04:08:12 GMT
Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:

> sorry, i meant to say
>
> "date code 11A01 OR LATER"
>
> The date code 11A01 is OK. But, check the firmware version number
>
>
>
> fusQuanto wrote:
> >
> > man i went to 7 different radio shacks today looking for one of the post 11A01 ones, and found NONE. (in austin tx) so i baught an older one anyways , it has a 30 day return thing. also i baught a pro 90 off my friend for 40 bucks. is it any good? btw what is CTCSS?
> >
> > thanks for hte info
> >
> > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 00:37:09 GMT
> > Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> > > Make sure you get one with date code later than 11A01. And with
> > > firmware version 1.01 or later. Version 1.00 had a bug wrt the alpha
> > > tags on some of the channels. Check the firmware version by pressing
> > > "3" immediately after turning it on.
> > >
> > > Most people are quite happy with the unit, w or w/o the alpha tag bug.
> > >
> > > It's main deficiency is the lack of CTCSS capability. If you feel that
> > > is important, you may not want the PRO-93.
> > >
> > > Walt
> > >
> > > fusQuanto wrote:
> > > >
> > > > rs has this onsale for 170. im thinking about getting it, anyone know any pros or cons about this one? thanks

2407. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 04:27:40 UTC
From: Dale or Kitty Eastman <dalereastmanSPAM@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <3C689944.D4A9B43B@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 52375
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Gary wrote:
>
> Here's a story about available 'jammers'
>
> http://www.newhousenews.com/archive/story1a092200.html

From link above.

At its simplest, jamming any radio device involves transmitting a signal
on the same
frequency and at high enough power that the two signals collide and
cancel each other
out. The effect is similar to what happens when you drop two pebbles in
still water and
rings of waves radiate out from them. Where the rings meet, the water
becomes smooth.

This ain't what I was taught about multi KW jammers by my Uncle Sam.

Was something like 6db higher signal strength at the targeted
receiver.

Gotta love the description.

--
Are we having fun yet?

Remove the spam.

From somewhere in Wisconsin.

2408. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 04:38:31 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a4a687$6e0$0@63.162.247.170>
Source record: 52376
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 02:07:53 +0000, dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
wrote:

>The cell phone is an 'interesting' choice of technology for
>a safety and time critical application. The things are not
>exactly specified for the level of reliability that your
>application would imply. I would have thought that a paging
>system of the type using phase mod. of an AM radio station
>would have been more appropriate, as this penetrates buildings
>far better then 1.8 - 1.9Ghz cell phone services do. Pagers
>also work with a much narrower bandwidth and thus need less
>signal to operate. The cell phone seems like totally the wrong

Pagers have a narrower bandwidth? Not with a NAMPS
cellular system...

2409. Re: 67 MPH gusts destroy my RS Discone...snap 20', .250 aluminum masts in two.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 05:44:38 UTC
From: "James H. Coburn IV" <aconfusedmofo@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <aZ1a8.7607$DC2.3597716@typhoon1.we.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 52377

Diamond discone and LMR-400 ultraflex.
James
"Otis Cribblecoblis" <alaininvc@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3C675976.3487B680@netscape.net...
> Hello All,
>
> Now that I'm in the market for a new discone (or other) VHF/UHF outdoor
> antenna, I'd like your input. What's your favorite antenna for 30MHz
> thru 1000MHz? (design/manufacturer/homebrew?)
>
> All responses gratefully appreciated!
>
> Otis
>
> (20 miles south of the 200" telescope on Palomar Mountain; elevation:
> 1,800')
>
>
>

2410. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 05:49:33 UTC
From: "BiasComms@NOSPAM.blueyonder.co.uk" <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <N12a8.946$PL1.20438@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 52378
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:a4a687$6e0$0@63.162.247.170...
> On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 02:07:53 +0000, dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
> wrote:
>
>
> >The cell phone is an 'interesting' choice of technology for
> >a safety and time critical application. The things are not
> >exactly specified for the level of reliability that your
> >application would imply. I would have thought that a paging
> >system of the type using phase mod. of an AM radio station
> >would have been more appropriate, as this penetrates buildings
> >far better then 1.8 - 1.9Ghz cell phone services do. Pagers
> >also work with a much narrower bandwidth and thus need less
> >signal to operate. The cell phone seems like totally the wrong
>
>
> Pagers have a narrower bandwidth? Not with a NAMPS
> cellular system...

MUCH narrower bandwidth. A little basic research will correct your
misapprehension. NAMPS is still VERY wasteful of bandwidth.

--

BIAS COMMS

"Technology" is just the name for stuff that doesn't work right yet!

2411. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 05:55:03 UTC
From: "BiasComms@NOSPAM.blueyonder.co.uk" <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <X62a8.967$PL1.20702@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 52379
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Dave Holford" <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3C688216.19355A4@sympatico.ca...
> Now ain't that interesting!!!
> 6 copies of my post, sequentially reducing from 32 to 28 lines.
> Three possibilities come to mind:
> 1 - someone is trying to play with me.
> 2 - something is going on in my system -
> unlikely since other posts are OK
> 3 - I'm an idiot
>
> Your votes are awaited with eager anticpation!
>
>
> Dave

This doesn't really require an answer!

Please reconsider your idea of trying to jam cellphones. It is as antisocial as the behaviour of the cellphone users. Re-education is the only real answer. Remember - in America's litigious society you will be opening yourself to endless lawsuits!

--

BIAS COMMS

"Technology" is just the name for stuff that doesn't work right yet!

2412. Re: Pro 2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 06:00:59 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cb2a8.7394$Hv5.1590869@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 52380

"Keith" <user@spam.eat> wrote in message
news:q4546u8ofde2o37pemj9abui32jsg5vtlu@netnews.attbi.com...
> [Posted with Agent News Reader http://forteinc.com/]
> |In rec.radio.scanner
> |on Pro 2006 question with a
> |msg-id <20020206192038.22749.00001541@mb-fw.aol.com>
> |Ink addict wrote:
>
> >I have a pro 2006 and would like to know if it possible to get some
type of
> >weather fax or rtty with this unit. I have been listening to local
police and
> >fire for years but now would like to broaden my horizon of the air
waves.
> >tia
>
>
> You will need to purchase a HF receiver. Check out
http://www.wunclub.com/
> The type of radio you are looking for is made by Grundig, Drake,
Kenwood,
> Icom & Yaesu.

On the other hand, if he adds a discriminator output to his Pro-2006
(simple mod), there's plenty of things besides WEFAX or RTTY to "listen"
to. (Ham packet if nothing else.)

I mean, he's already *got* the 2006.

Ron of that ilk. (Boo!)

2413. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 06:01:30 UTC
From: OceanaRadio <223bthp@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C68AFB4.66A1199F@home.com>
Source record: 52381

Walt Bertram wrote:
> Yeah, and beam antennas hear really lousy, if at all, in all directions
> but one.

> Bruce Cox wrote:
> > Even after making the repair to the coax short, remember that even the best discone
> > hears equally poor in all directions. K4AVO

Tsk Tsk Tsk. Get one of each. My $54 Antennawarehouse Scanner Beam gets
the Washington DC Combat Air Patrols from 160 air miles away out of the
BACK of the beam. Of course the "equally-poor in all-dirctions" RS Discone
has no problem with that signal either. The beam adds about 50 miles of
coverage in the military air bands, and maybe +20 miles of coverage in the
marine-vhf band. And the Beam does null all weak signals at 90 degrees,
but it sure works great in reverse. That 90 degree nulling is a blessing
to the marine bands, where I get almost no intermod from it. The discone
loves to add cell pagers from all directions to marine broadcasts.

--
Jack Painter
Virginia Beach, Virginia
http://members.home.net/223bthp/OceanaRadio

BC-895XLT log periodic
Pro-2005 discone
Icom R-71A high wires
Icom R-72

2414. Re: HELP Need A Scanner w/Discriminator Output 4 MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 06:05:17 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ze2a8.7402$Hv5.1592078@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 52382

"N9mxt1" <n9mxt1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020205230649.22258.00001494@mb-cl.aol.com...
> I am running MDT Monitor for Windows but it says "to use this program
you'll
> need a scanner with a discriminator output." Ommm what scanner has a
> discriminator output on it? I own a PRO-2006, PRO-2067, IC-R2, and of
course
> all my Ham gear. Or where can you get a discriminator for this program
to
> work?
>
> Hope to hear from someone soon so that I can check this program out.

There's a fairly trivial mod for the PRO-2006. The discriminator output is right there on the board. You need a wire to the TP2 post, one to ground, a socket, and maybe a cap our two. Check any 2006 page for mod or links to it. (It comes with pictures.)

Ron of that ilk.

2415. Here is the Salt Lake City Scanner Reciever Online.... Enjoy Folks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 06:50:18 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <KW2a8.7754$LL.3258@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 52383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Or you can listen to it on Shoutcast.com

http://mail2.sandlot.com:11175/

Randy

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca

2416. Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 07:12:39 UTC
From: uid0-41441-bla@catastrophe.net
Message-ID: <71f23384.0202112312.6a0e8ab3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52384

I don't know if this was just me- but I seem to recall being able to use a serial cable for an HP Jordana to interface to an AR8200. Is that correct?

Maybe I'm just dreaming .. I can't remember!

-#0

2417. Re: Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 08:02:46 UTC
From: xman Charlie <xman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G_3a8.663$qt6.86057@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52385

"OceanaRadio" <223bthp@home.com> wrote in message
news:3C68AFB4.66A1199F@home.com...
> Walt Bertram wrote:
> > Yeah, and beam antennas hear really lousy, if at all, in all directions
> > but one.
>
> > Bruce Cox wrote:
> > > Even after making the repair to the coax short, remember that even the
best discone
> > > hears equally poor in all directions. K4AVO
>
> Tsk Tsk Tsk. Get one of each. My $54 Antennawarehouse Scanner Beam gets
> the Washington DC Combat Air Patrols from 160 air miles away out of the
> BACK of the beam. Of course the "equally-poor in all-dirctions" RS Discone
> has no problem with that signal either. The beam adds about 50 miles of
> coverage in the military air bands, and maybe +20 miles of coverage in the
> marine-vhf band. And the Beam does null all weak signals at 90 degrees,
> but it sure works great in reverse. That 90 degree nulling is a blessing
> to the marine bands, where I get almost no intermod from it. The discone
> loves to add cell pagers from all directions to marine broadcasts.
>
> --
> Jack Painter
> Virginia Beach, Virginia
> http://members.home.net/223bthp/OceanaRadio
>
> BC-895XLT log periodic
> Pro-2005 discone
> Icom R-71A high wires
> Icom R-72
Agree completely, my beam antenna gets rid of a lot of junk, unlike the
useless discan't.

Get oakland, sf, airtraffic and my local pd, without that other junk.

my 2 cents

2418. 50 or 75 ohm cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 08:22:20 UTC
From: Ice <kd5jbk@mmcable.com>
Message-ID: <0h4a8.379712$8w3.96737390@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 52386

which is better for use with a scanner? 50 or 75 ohm cable?

2419. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 08:45:47 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020212034547.13586.00001153@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 52387

My vote for you is #3, but that is really not on topic of this news group.

>Three possibilities come to mind:
>1 - someone is trying to play with me.
>2 - something is going on in my system -
>unlikely since other posts are OK
>3 - I'm an idiot
>
>Your votes are awaited with eager anticpation!

2420. Re: 50 or 75 ohm cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 09:08:12 UTC
From: Matt <msr05@NOSPAMuow.edu.au>
Message-ID: <3c68dbe9$1@news.uow.edu.au>
Source record: 52388

Use 50 ohm for 50 ohm applications, and 75 ohm for 75 ohm applications. This
will give best results.

Most scanners and amateur gear use 50 ohm.

Impedance matching is more important for transmitting than receiving though.

"Ice" <kd5jbk@mmcable.com> wrote in message
news:0h4a8.379712$8w3.96737390@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
>
> which is better for use with a scanner? 50 or 75 ohm cable?
>
>

2421. Re: FS: PRO-2004 $175 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 09:47:57 UTC
From: Gutt Brothers Towing <guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <92ph6u4d3adu4748gu9kbfsgdc89f022t3@4ax.com>
Source record: 52389

I had one of these for years and it never even got warm?

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 02:09:29 GMT, "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>Works flawlessly! Looks like new. No scratches or dents on case or display.
>Full 800 Mhz. Bright backlight (original). Internal power supply removed to
>reduce heat. Will supply 12 VDC wall wart. Original box, manual, antenna. I
>need the cash for computer upgrades.
>
>Price $175.00 plus shipping
>

2422. Antenna for R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 09:53:20 UTC
From: Jim Purdy <jim.purdy@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3c68e610@post.newsfeed.com>
Source record: 52390

*** post for FREE via your newsreader at post.newsfeed.com ***

I am considering a Dimond SRH-805 (sma connector) antenna for my Icom R2.

Any thoughts?

Thanks Jim

-----= Posted via Newsfeed.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeed.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== 90,000 Groups! - 17 Servers! - Unlimited Download! =-----

2423. Re: Just got my ass kicked by Macys store Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 11:04:05 UTC
From: Brad <vk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <3c68f683$0$12796$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 52392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This same story did the rounds several months ago. It was a troll then and
it's a troll now. Shame on you for falling for it!

"John" <doctbone@altavista.com> wrote in message
news:ULLX7.3497$ag1.140931@eagle.america.net...
> OMG! What a terrible situation! I try to wear headphones in public if at
> all possible. But I would sue them if I were in your position. It's not
> like they can prove you were aiding and abetting a criminal.
>
> John
>
> "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldtein@sofa.NOSPAMnet> wrote in message
> news:3C2574F3.AC634E6C@sofa.NOSPAMnet...
> > Just got my ass kicked by Macys Security this afternoon while I out
> > Xmas shopping for the family. They were following a shoplifter thru
> > out their store and waiting for her to leave. Just as she was about
> > to exit the store I walked by her with my scanner turned up all the
> > way and so she could hear them talking about him. It was at that
> > point that one of their security people came over and ripped the
> > scanner out off my hand. He then proceeded to smash my scanner on
> > the floor and dragged me off to their security office in handcuffs.
> > They let me go after a couple hours of questioning and told me that
> > I was banned from the store for life.
> >
> > Do I have a case to sue for damages? I just want my scanner replaced.
> >
>
>

2424. attn ken bessler: Re: Police Call 2002 could you please post the nfo file?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 11:21:36 UTC
From: whitey <slamfest62@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <skuh6uc6oi52844mk8ud214t0sju5og9eb@4ax.com>
Source record: 52393

i downloaded the cd but i lost the nfo file that llists the required
password. last word on page 9 of the book.
could you please help
monnie62@yahoo.com
On Wed, 23 Jan 2002 22:05:37 GMT, Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"David B." wrote:
>>
>> What date was it posted?
>>
>> --
>>
>> _____
>> "John Walker" <Walker630@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Xns919ED1FD7CD38Walker630attbicom@204.127.68.17...
>> > jr127@USA.net wrote in news:3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net:
>> >
>> > > Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
>> > > not descramble the data.
>> > >
>> > > Any idea how to do this?
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>> > I could not find that group, do you have a link ?
>> >
>> > thanks
>
>It was posted about 2 weeks ago. I managed to d/l the files and
>burn them onto a cd. Contact me off list if you want a copy. :-)

2425. Re: 50 or 75 ohm cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 11:28:07 UTC
From: Daniel Wulff <Wulff.Daniel@Talkline.de>
Message-ID: <8%6a8.8576$sZ6.1534423162@news.tli.de>
Source record: 52394

If you want only to Receive, you can use 50 or 75 ohm cable. Only when you want to send, you must have a lock at the impedance of the cable.

Greetings from Germany

"Ice" <kd5jbk@mmcable.com> schrieb im Newsbeitrag news:0h4a8.379712$8w3.96737390@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
>
> which is better for use with a scanner? 50 or 75 ohm cable?
>
>

2426. Re: attn ken bessler: Re: Police Call 2002 could you please post the nfo file?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 12:02:59 UTC
From: eXistenZ32 <usenet@unlisted.com>
Message-ID: <o81i6ucrm0lf1a5rgfc1pvsl4ulgtqi1og@4ax.com>
Source record: 52395

station or stations

i dont remember which

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:21:36 GMT, whitey <slamfest62@yahoo.com> wrote:

>i downloaded the cd but i lost the nfo file that llists the required
>password. last word on page 9 of the book.
>could you please help
>monnie62@yahoo.com
>On Wed, 23 Jan 2002 22:05:37 GMT, Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>"David B." wrote:
>>>
>>> What date was it posted?
>>>
>>> --
>>>
>>> _____________________________
>>> "John Walker" <Walker630@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Xns919ED1FD7CD38Walker630attbicom@204.127.68.17...
>>> > jr127@USA.net wrote in news:3C3856A7.D1DC4B49@USA.net:
>>> >
>>> > > Although police call 2002 is listed at: alt.binaries.cd.image I can
>>> > > not descramble the data.
>>> > >
>>> > > Any idea how to do this?
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> >
>>> > I could not find that group, do you have a link ?
>>> >
>>> > thanks
>>
>>It was posted about 2 weeks ago. I managed to d/l the files and
>>burn them onto a cd. Contact me off list if you want a copy. :-)

2427. Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 12:12:57 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so on any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
DeWayne KE9K

2428. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 12:32:11 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a4b1u5$1dl8di$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Send it back.

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
on
> any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new
> alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

2429. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 12:40:01 UTC
From: BIG DADDY KING <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16d2d990e653f04989717@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 52248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com>, ke9k@mac.com says...
> I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so on
> any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new
> alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>
>

If it's new, send it in for repair, in fact, do it anyway. A set of decent Alkies last a LONG time in a R-2! Make sure you try it with a totally different batch of batteries before you sned it back, and they find nothing wrong. I got some crappy AA batts a couple of years ago that were about 75% dead on arrival.

You should get at least a whole day's worth of operation, at reasonable volume and lots of traffic on a set of any batts at all.

BDK

2430. Re: Antenna for R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 12:42:41 UTC
From: BIG DADDY KING <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16d2da2b364bf4bc989718@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 52249

In article <3c68e610@post.newsfeed.com>, jim.purdy@verizon.net says...
> *** post for FREE via your newsreader at post.newsfeed.com ***
>
> I am considering a Dimond SRH-805 (sma connector) antenna for my Icom R2.
>
> Any thoughts?
>
> Thanks Jim
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeed.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeed.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== 90,000 Groups! - 17 Servers! - Unlimited Download! =-----
>
>

Mine is sitting in the drawer, it's not worth using. It pushes the R-2
to intermod on some freqs, and is deaf elsewhere. A 12" peice of piano
wire soldered to an SMA connector blow it away.

BDK

2431. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 12:58:50 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <ek8a8.44389$Hu6.10438202@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"BIG DADDY KING" <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.16d2d990e653f04989717@news-central.giganews.com...
> In article <dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com>, ke9k@mac.com
> says...
> > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
on
> > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed
new
> > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > DeWayne KE9K
>
> If it's new, send it in for repair, in fact, do it anyway. A set of
> decent Alkies last a LONG time in a R-2! Make sure you try it with a
> totally different batch of batteries before you sned it back, and they
> find nothing wrong. I got some crappy AA batts a couple of years ago
> that were about 75% dead on arrival.

I've tried several different brands and types of batteries.
DeWayne KE9K

>
> You should get at least a whole day's worth of operation, at reasonable
> volume and lots of traffic on a set of any batts at all.
>
> BDK

2432. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 13:15:58 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C691573.667E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52251
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics,

Todd Paulson wrote:
>
> Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
> Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> are the plans?
>
> Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.

Sounds like you're talking about those inconsiderate louts
who talk on the phone in the movie theater or at the show.
In this case, a baseball bat would probably work well.
Or about 18" (1/2M) of 1" (2.5cm) water pipe, with a
bicycle hand grip. These are called "Equalizers." ;-)
--
Cheers!
Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2433. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 13:28:42 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C69186F.1C7C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52252
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Well, from your tone, it sounds like you find it obvious that
if you get an emergency call while at the movies, you'd
immediately exit the auditorium, which allieviates the
need for the jammer (or the baseball bat.) This is called,
"politeness." (or "your job.") :-)

A broadband jammer could be built, although I don't know
how much of a PITA it would be to build one that could
be hand-held; but as others have noted, in the US at
least, using it could land you in the slam.

John Henderson wrote:
>
> "Dave Holford" wrote:
> > There are commercial products available for just that purpose.
> > In some administrations they are used in places like theatres
> and
> > restaurants to protect customers from the ignorant and self
> important.
>
> That's a real worry if it's true.
>
> As someone who spent the best part of 14 years on-call 24 hours a
> day for a government emergency service, I'm appalled. This was
> for maritime search and rescue for an area covering nearly a
> quarter of the southern hemisphere, and I depended on a cellular
> phone to be contactable (I couldn't leave the coverage area).
>
> My ignorance and self-importance were completely irrelevant. I
> can imagine the legal implications if this behaviour ever came
> out in a coronial enquiry. We're talking international
> obligations here.
>
> I'm sure survivors clinging to life-preservers would take great
> comfort in knowledge of the diners' exquisite undisturbed
> ambience, as would their families.
>
> Every administration must have emergency staff who need to be
> contactable and ready for action. These people already lead a
> limited and stressful existence, without being marginalised in
> this way as well.
>
> John

--
Cheers!
Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2434. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 13:51:40 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C691DD2.F60@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52253
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH wrote:
>
> On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 23:43:24 GMT, "Matt J. McCullar"
> <mccullar@flash.net> wrote:
>
> >A spark-gap transmitter ought to work, but it'll also screw up RF all over
> >the bands -- AM radio, shortwave, TV, etc. That's why these are now
> >illegal, too.
>
> ...and yet bug zappers are perfectly OK.
>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH

I've got some neighbor with a leaky microwave oven or something,
which almost every day, around mealtime, jams my TV, usually
right in the middle of my favorite show. Can I call the FCC
and ask them to investigate? I get two noise bars at 120Hz
across my screen, and the sound drops out completely. I went
to ask the neighbors if they were cooking, but there's some
hostile mexican guy about three times my size, and a dozen
times more tough than I am who scared the hell out of me and
made me run away.
--
Cheers!
Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2435. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 13:55:48 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C691ECB.4B8A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52254
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Bug zappers are bad, as they kill the wrong bugs. Just get some
army-surplus 15% DEET. Works like a champ. :-)
(so-called "ultrasonic" mosquito repellers don't work either.)

Al Klein wrote:
>
> On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 16:47:28 -0600, "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH"
> <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
>
> >On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 23:43:24 GMT, "Matt J. McCullar"
> ><mccullar@flash.net> wrote:
>
> >>A spark-gap transmitter ought to work, but it'll also screw up RF all over
> >>the bands -- AM radio, shortwave, TV, etc. That's why these are now
> >>illegal, too.
>
> >...and yet bug zappers are perfectly OK.
>
> I never checked mine for RFI. I'll have to do that this summer. Even
> though the TV is on cable, it'll probably tear pretty badly every time
> a bug gets zapped. And a large beetle can destroy an entire show.
> --
> Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

--
Cheers!
Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2436. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 14:01:59 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C69203D.1E3C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52255
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Al, N2NKB wrote:
>
> "No lectures please." Spare me and other NG reader's the BS.
> Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone communications.
> I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a person
> watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer CPR to you, or a
> member of your family.
> Al

Well, I'm hard-pressed to imagine anyone admisistering CPR and talking
on the telephone simultaneously. It's about the brokers and so on,
and I still say the best jammer is an 18" piece of water pipe. :-)
There was one time in a movie theater when I wished I had an Uzi.
But if I'd had one, and used it on the teenage asshole, I'd probably
still be in stir. (I'm not in stir at the moment, BTW - in California,
I'm completely clean.) :-)

> Todd Paulson wrote in message ...
> >Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> >in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> >so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
> >
> >I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> >phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
> >Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> >are the plans?
> >
> >Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> >phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
> >
> >

--
Cheers!
Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2437. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 14:13:46 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C692301.42A9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52256
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

3.

Dave Holford wrote:
>
> Now ain't that interesting!!!
> 6 copies of my post, sequentially reducing from 32 to 28 lines.
> Three possibilities come to mind:
> 1 - someone is trying to play with me.
> 2 - something is going on in my system -
> unlikely since other posts are OK
> 3 - I'm an idiot
>
> Your votes are awaited with eager anticpation!
>
> Dave

--
Cheers!
Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2438. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 16:37:49 UTC
From: "Mark J." <mark@iridium.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <YEF97bAdTUa8Ew7V@iridium.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 52257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com>, DeWayne
<ke9k@mac.com> writes
>I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so on
>any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new
>alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
>audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
>DeWayne KE9K

Is this with the "Power Save" mode set to "AUTO" or "OFF" ?

Mark J.
--

2439. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 16:53:56 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <EMba8.44437$Hu6.10553663@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Mark J." <mark@iridium.demon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:YEF97bAdTUa8Ew7V@iridium.demon.co.uk...
> In article <dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com>, DeWayne
> <ke9k@mac.com> writes
> >I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
on
> >any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed
new
> >alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> >audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> >DeWayne KE9K
>
> Is this with the "Power Save" mode set to "AUTO" or "OFF" ?

Whatever the default setting is.
DeWayne

>
> Mark J.
> --

2440. Re: Just got my ass kicked by Macys store Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 17:49:50 UTC
From: "Terrence B." <Aloha_@aloha_.net>
Message-ID: <2Bca8.42439$Pz4.246506@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 52259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That WAS the story that went round a few months ago. Try to keep up with us
if you can.

"Brad" <vk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:3c68f683$0$12796$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> This same story did the rounds several months ago. It was a troll then and
> it's a troll now. Shame on you for falling for it!
>
>
> "John" <doctbone@altavista.com> wrote in message
> news:ULLX7.3497$ag1.140931@eagle.america.net...
> > OMG! What a terrible situation! I try to wear headphones in public if
at
> > all possible. But I would sue them if I were in your position. It's
not
> > like they can prove you were aiding and abetting a criminal.
> >
> > John
> >
> > "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldtein@sofa.NOSPAMnet> wrote in message
> > news:3C2574F3.AC634E6C@sofa.NOSPAMnet...
> > > Just got my ass kicked by Macys Security this afternoon while I out
> > > Xmas shopping for the family. They were following a shoplifter thru
> > > out their store and waiting for her to leave. Just as she was about
> > > to exit the store I walked by her with my scanner turned up all the
> > > way and so she could hear them talking about him. It was at that
> > > point that one of their security people came over and ripped the
> > > scanner out off my hand. He then proceeded to smash my scanner on
> > > the floor and dragged me off to their security office in handcuffs.
> > > They let me go after a couple hours of questioning and told me that
> > > I was banned from the store for life.
> > >
> > > Do I have a case to sue for damages? I just want my scanner replaced.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2441. Re: Just got my ass kicked by Macys store Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 18:47:45 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Rnda8.9231$Hv5.1816341@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 52260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Brad" <vk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:3c68f683$0$12796$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> This same story did the rounds several months ago. It was a troll then
and
> it's a troll now. Shame on you for falling for it!

And variants for years before.

"Emilio Goldstein" has *always* been getting scanners smashed and lead off
in handcuffs for years now. Police, store security, CIA, men in black,
space aliens, etc. If you ask me, they should handcuff the scanner and
smash...

What that troll needs is a Thinking-Brain dog to help him out.

Ron of that ilk.
They're coming to take me away, hoo-hoo, hee-hee, ha-ha...

2442. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 18:48:48 UTC
From: "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ksda8.67109$th4.20688859@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52261
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
news:to8g6uch714jc5sok6isloe07l3u4trenm@4ax.com...
> On 11 Feb 2002 04:18:02 GMT, gf51@lycos.com posted in
> alt.cell-phone.tech:
>
> >This is a legitimate question. I teach in a high school and I've known
> >students that have been caught using cell phones to cheat on tests. I
> >remember one student was wispering test questions into a phone that was
in
> >his shirt pocket to another student that was to take the same test later
> >that day. High school and middle school students have no business having
> >cell phones in class so a cell phone jammer would be great deterrent for
> >those bent on cheating. If there's some emergency, their parents can call
> >the school....easy as that.
>
> An even easier (and legal) way of doing it is simply banning
> possession of cell phones in class. They can leave them in their
> lockers or, if they have no lockers, those students actually needing a
> cell phone that day (to call someone to pick them up, etc.) could
> leave the phone in the office for the day.

"Simply" banning phones? If only life were so easy. You think a cell ban
would have stopped this kid from cheating? I think his objective was to keep
his phone conceiled, so uless you want to add metal detectors and with the
new mini-phones, full cavity sdearches...your plan is somewhat flawed.

2443. Re: Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 19:04:22 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C696734.D4E79E9@optonline.net>
Source record: 52262

Yes, there is something to that effect out on the internet. I happened
to see it several weeks ago. Check out this page:

http://www.applet.cz/~ulcak/cc8200.htm

Walt

Rewt wrote:
>
> I don't know if this was just me- but I seem to recall being able to
> use a serial cable for an HP Jordana to interface to an AR8200. Is
> that correct?
>
> Maybe I'm just dreaming .. I can't remember!
>
> -#0

2444. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 19:10:51 UTC
From: "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%Mda8.67692$th4.20734449@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52263
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Dave Holford" <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3C687C07.76594691@sympatico.ca...
>
>
> "Al, N2NKB" wrote:
> >
> > "No lectures please." Spare me and other NG reader's the BS.
> > Apparently you don't have kids, and are not a member of a
police/fire/ARC/rescue squad.
> > And you don't give a damn about emergencies.
> > There is absolutely no way that you can justify jamming cell phone
communications.
> > I would like to hear your valid reasons to do this. Remember that a
person
> > watching a movie in a theatre room next to you might have to administer
CPR to you, or a
> > member of your family.
> > Al
> >
>
> I am personally aware of many buildings within which cell phones will
> not work because the buldings are completely shielded. People needing
> portable phones within these buidings used dedicated internal systems.
>
> I have yet to see a warning at the door that "your essential services
> cell phone won't work in here." And I am not aware of any law, statute,
> regulation or whatever, in any administration anywhere, prohibiting
> theatre or other building owners or operators from shielding their
> premises to prevent cell phone operation.

It's funny that many posters mentioned emergency workers, because I don't
think those same workers wod'nt want any cellphones in thier hospitals now
would they? There ARE places where cellphone use can be justifiably blocked.

2445. Re: FS: PRO-2004 $175 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 19:55:45 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020212145545.16307.00000037@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 52264

>Subject: Re: FS: PRO-2004 $175 plus shipping
>From: Gutt Brothers Towing guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com
>Date: 2/12/2002 3:47 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <92ph6u4d3adu4748gu9kbfsgdc89f022t3@4ax.com>
>
>I had one of these for years and it never even got warm?
>
>
>On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 02:09:29 GMT, "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Works flawlessly! Looks like new. No scratches or dents on case or display.
>>Full 800 Mhz. Bright backlight (original). Internal power supply removed to
>>reduce heat. Will supply 12 VDC wall wart. Original box, manual, antenna. I
>>need the cash for computer upgrades.
>>
>>Price $175.00 plus shipping
>>

Bill Cheek's "bible" on these receivers (2004-6) suggested taking out the internal transformer to avoid heat. The suspicion was this would be the last of the unblocked radios and would have to last the user a long, long time. Also, a wall wart is more likely to keep a surge or lightning hit confined to the wall part and out of the receiver. The 120VAC lead in to the built-in transformer could bring in something that would arc over to the delicate parts of the radio. The convection would also draw in more dust.
If I were looking to buy a radio this old, even the small amount of heat from the transformer inside the case would possibly be an issue after all these years.These aren't like really old radios, with easy to get, easy to change parts...

Neutrodyne

2446. Re: 50 or 75 ohm cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 20:03:51 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020212150351.16307.00000038@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 52265

>Subject: Re: 50 or 75 ohm cable
>From: "Matt" msr05@NOSPAMuow.edu.au
>Date: 2/12/2002 3:08 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3c68dbe9$1@news.uow.edu.au>
>
>Use 50 ohm for 50 ohm applications, and 75 ohm for 75 ohm applications. This
>will give best results.
>
>Most scanners and amateur gear use 50 ohm.

Few scanners actually come anywhere near 50 ohms at all the frequencies
they cover. The included rod antenna, which usually hooks in at the same spot
as the "50 ohm" coax connector can present an impedance from 20 ohms to 100's
of ohms at different frequencies from 25 to 1300 mhz.
Go with the lowest loss cable you can afford which will accept connectors
that match the antenna and the scanner with the fewest adapters. I have found
many commercially sold adapters, splicers and elbows to occasionally be very
lossy.
Neutrodyne

2447. Re: Baercat 245XLT vs Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 20:07:42 UTC
From: ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com
Message-ID: <2d2bbca8.0202121207.73aa27cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52266

This issue has been discussed to many times in a great way. I advise
you to check these places and SEARCH for keywords:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BC245XLT/ = keyword: PRO-93

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-93/ = keyword: BC-245XLT

I personally have recently chosen the BC245XLT because 1) as Im a
beginner in this hobby, the bc245xlt is more easy to use and more user
friendly, 2) The multitude of commercial, shareware and freeware
programs you can use with the 245xlt and the possibility to use the
245xlt with your computer, 3) The bad reputation (in my case)RS has
achieved with the quality of their products.

But it is a tough decision. A lot of good comments have been heard and
said about the PRO-93.

Thats my .2

"David" <dstanley23@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<JWZ98.32780$fK1.3614188@rwcrnsc54>...
> Same price when you consider the charger and batteries
>
> Input on which is better, I am thinking of the bearcat
>
> Thanks

2448. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 20:16:25 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <dLea8.1812$PS6.175921@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 52267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

As per here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/icomr2/message/2990 etc.

Return it or have it repaired! It is faulty.

Neville

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
on
> any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new
> alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

2449. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 20:19:25 UTC
From: "Byron L. Hicks" <byronhicks@byronhicks.com>
Message-ID: <a4btb9$45e$1@bubba.NMSU.Edu>
Source record: 52269
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:%Mda8.67692$th4.20734449@news02.optonline.net...
> It's funny that many posters mentioned emergency workers, because I don't
> think those same workers wod'nt want any cellphones in thier hospitals now
> would they? There ARE places where cellphone use can be justifiably
blocked.

Maybe...

But, if you are trying to block cell phone signals in hospitals because the signals play havoc with life support machinery, it doesn't make any sense to do it with a strong enough signal to block cellphones. It just seems like you would be compounding the problem.

But what do I know...

--
Byron L. Hicks
Network Engineer
NMSU ICT

2450. Re: Baercat 245XLT vs Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 20:31:00 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c697b48.1655330@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 52268

>"David" <dstanley23@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<JWZ98.32780$fK1.3614188@rwcrnsc54>...
>> Same price when you consider the charger and batteries
>>
>> Input on which is better, I am thinking of the bearcat
>>

Get the Pro 93. It blows away the 245 in any way, shape, and form.
And it doesn't take telephone battery packs.

2451. Uniden MR-8100 FS $115

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 20:37:09 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0202121237.47133870@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52270

Former CHP unit. Works well. Numerous accessories.

For details see link:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1698978616

$115 shipped.

John

2452. AR 8000 & SCOUT AUCTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 20:51:36 UTC
From: smb5@oregoncoast.com
Message-ID: <719031f2.0202121251.502b1ff7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52271

Selling a original, FULL coverage AR8000 and reaction tune linked scout on Ebay.
Here is the link reserve is $300.00 for the entire thing.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1332227398

2453. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 21:02:56 UTC
From: "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4qfa8.70056$th4.20954836@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52272
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Byron L. Hicks" <byronhicks@byronhicks.com> wrote in message
news:a4btb9$45e$1@bubba.NMSU.Edu...
>
> "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%Mda8.67692$th4.20734449@news02.optonline.net...
> > It's funny that many posters mentioned emergency workers, because I
don't
> > think those same workers wod'nt want any cellphones in thier hospitals
now
> > would they? There ARE places where cellphone use can be justifiably
> blocked.
>
> Maybe...
>
> But, if you are trying to block cell phone signals in hospitals because
the
> signals play havoc with life support machinery, it doesn't make any sense
to
> do it with a strong enough signal to block cellphones. It just seems like
> you would be compounding the problem.
>
> But what do I know...

Maybe if you are using the same technology that you are trying to block, but
if you can block a cellular phone using a safe method then it WOULD make
sense.

2454. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 21:19:48 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c6986c5.4596449@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 52274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 21:21:30 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:

>I just heard from Icom and they say the R2 is defective. I have a question
>for all of you. I got the rig from Burghardt's. It arrived Feb 6. It was
>defective from day one. Burghardt's wants me to send it in for repair. I
>told them I want a replacement. They have not replied yet. Which do you
>think is right. I know Grove would replace the R2. I have dealt with Grove
>for many years because they have treated me excellently. What would most
>other dealers do in this case? If they won't replace it, I may talk to my
>lawyer/associate. Am I wrong?
>DeWayne KE9K
>

They should replace it. If they don't then spread the word about their
lousy CS.

2455. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 21:21:30 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <uHfa8.45307$Hu6.10672794@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I just heard from Icom and they say the R2 is defective. I have a question for all of you. I got the rig from Burghardt's. It arrived Feb 6. It was defective from day one. Burghardt's wants me to send it in for repair. I told them I want a replacement. They have not replied yet. Which do you think is right. I know Grove would replace the R2. I have dealt with Grove for many years because they have treated me excellently. What would most other dealers do in this case? If they won't replace it, I may talk to my lawyer/associate. Am I wrong?
DeWayne KE9K

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
on
> any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new
> alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

2456. Re: Just got my ass kicked by Macys store Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 21:29:03 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C69891E.DA79E29@amsat.org>
Source record: 52275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Android Cat wrote:

>
> What that troll needs is a Thinking-Brain dog to help him out.

Maybe the tinfoil helmet liner has reached expiration and need replacing.

~Bart~

2457. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 21:36:39 UTC
From: aa <andy@andy001.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a4c1u5$c9r$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 52276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Have a look at the ICOM literature that you got with the radio.
See if it mentions replacement or repair under warranty.
Might also be an idea to contact the person at ICOM with whom you were
dealing and ask them about a replacement directly.

"chester" <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message
news:3c6986c5.4596449@news.teranews.com...
> On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 21:21:30 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:
>
> >I just heard from Icom and they say the R2 is defective. I have a
question
> >for all of you. I got the rig from Burghardt's. It arrived Feb 6. It was
> >defective from day one. Burghardt's wants me to send it in for repair. I
> >told them I want a replacement. They have not replied yet. Which do you
> >think is right. I know Grove would replace the R2. I have dealt with
Grove
> >for many years because they have treated me excellently. What would most
> >other dealers do in this case? If they won't replace it, I may talk to my
> >lawyer/associate. Am I wrong?
> >DeWayne KE9K
> >
>
> They should replace it. If they don't then spread the word about their
> lousy CS.
>

2458. Re: 50 or 75 ohm cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 21:48:35 UTC
From: n2ah@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ce47897e.0202121348.330bc885@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52278

"Daniel Wulff" wrote:

> If you want only to Receive, you can use 50 or 75 ohm cable. Only when you
> want to send, you must have a lock at the impedance of the cable.
>

Please elaborate. What horrors do you think will result when
transmitting using a 50-ohm antenna and 75-ohm cable? The resulting
VSWR will be 1.5:1

Art Harris N2AH

2459. Question re. Air Traffic Controllers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 21:52:13 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10202121451510.24156-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 52286

I have been listening in on radio traffic at my local international airport and can understand most of the stuff being talked about, e.g. airline names, wind speed and direction and altimeter settings being called out, etc. as well as other weather conditions and birds in the area if applicable. There are, however, some things I have heard which I don't understand, such as:

"Wingspan Airlines [not their real name] contact departure 19-8 airborne"

"Wingspan Airlines contact ground 21-9"

What does this stuff mean? What are the numbers about?

I took the entrance exam for air traffic controllers twice about 10 years ago but flunked, so I don't have sufficient background to know this.

2460. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 21:55:56 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <6C4B6BF885FC7EA5.4C5181036744F929.66D98A4B73A051D6@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 52280
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:51:40 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>I've got some neighbor with a leaky microwave oven or something,
>which almost every day, around mealtime, jams my TV, usually
>right in the middle of my favorite show.

Cable or antenna? What channels?

>Can I call the FCC
>and ask them to investigate? I get two noise bars at 120Hz
>across my screen, and the sound drops out completely.

More likely, you'll need to call the maker of your TV, and tell them
you won't be buying any more of their products until they put in
proper shielding.

Now if only I could find a TV as well shielded as the better NEC
monitors...

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

2461. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 21:57:50 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <FCD8168BCF78FAE8.C34BE944B32CCF74.0F1919EE154039C7@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 52281
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 19:10:51 GMT, "Orlando\(320th TRS\)"
<slyvp@hotmail.com> wrote:

>It's funny that many posters mentioned emergency workers, because I don't
>think those same workers wod'nt want any cellphones in thier hospitals now
>would they? There ARE places where cellphone use can be justifiably blocked.

Personally, I just have to wonder what emergency can't be announced by
a vibrating pager or phone.

SMS messaging to the phone would also alleviate distractions during
driving; unless you're on the interstate, you'll hit a red light in a
block or two, and can read the message then.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

2462. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:02:03 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <F9FE7DCB6B2A3F3C.1102B59FBFDD0E3E.51C5E1E3A0C1DDE1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 52283
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 22:50:24 +0000, dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
wrote:

>Remember the inverse square law? You do not need anything like as much
>power as the phone is running, as you only have to prevent the phone
>hearing the base station!

I'm still wondering just how sensitive the equipment has to be to be
killed by a couple hundred mW from a cell phone, but not harmed at all
by a ham with a 50W rig in the parking lot. Considering that we've
provided emergency comms for hositals many times in the past, (some
from inside, some from outside) using several VHF/UHF bands, without
blowing anything up, there's something pretty fishy about that.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

2463. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:02:19 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020212170219.14527.00000860@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 52282

Wow, amazing it only lasted 1 hour.. I brought mine to work and I think I just got a low battery today. Its lasted been at least 5 days now. Amazing...

2464. Re: HELP Need A Scanner w/Discriminator Output 4 MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:05:49 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020212170549.14527.00000861@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 52284

Thanks for the info... did the mod and took about 10 mins! Everything works great!

Jeffrey

2465. Re: HELP MDT MONITOR Speaker Problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:07:12 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020212170712.14527.00000862@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 52285

Problem solved... I turned the MIC input to mute. Still picking up the audio and don't hear a thing now!!

Thanks!

Jeffrey

2466. Wanted Chicagoland MDT Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:10:13 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020212171013.14527.00000863@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 52287

Hello,
Anyone living in the Chicago area that knows some frequencies I can monitor the MDT traffic? I already have ISP and some local departments.

Please email me any others you might know about.

Thanks for your time

Jeffrey

2467. Re: taking scanner to Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:31:07 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PBga8.12461$X2.140651@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 52289

I believe only Manitoba has restrictions on scanners

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:6hU98.1054$oG.762@news2.bloor.is...
| In Ontario, and most other provinces, it is legal to have a scanner in
your
| vehicle. And you are allowed to listen to cellular and other phone
calls.
|
|
|
| Champ
|
|
| --
| Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
| http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
|
| National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
| http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
|
| NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
| http://www.nysing.org
|
| Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
| http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories
|
| Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
| http://www.thebeerstore.ca
|
|
|
| "Jim jdc503news@attbi.com>" <<nospam> wrote in message
| news:DBP98.34174$bh3.232390@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
| > We will be traveling this summer and plan to travel through a portion of
| > Canada. What are the rules of taking a scanner into Canada? Do you have
to
| > have special permission?
| >
| > Thanks
| >

| >
|
|

2468. Re: FS: PRO-2004 $175 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:36:13 UTC
From: Gutt Brothers Towing <guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gk5j6ucf5hqlnn6roatvbf69irv6kptqrn@4ax.com>
Source record: 52290

>>I had one of these for years and it never even got warm?
>>
>>

See! I guess I learn something every day! Sounds logical.

I guess I just have bad feelings about the 2004, 2005, & 2006. I had a 2004 and I know some that own 2006's. I was less than impressed with the sensitivity of the receive of these radios and always thought what's it worth if you can program 300 - 400 channels but yet the receiving range is limited in comparison to any Uniden model I've ever owned.

But, yes your response on the power supply does make sense.

Just My Opinion

GBT

>
>Bill Cheek's "bible" on these receivers (2004-6) suggested taking out the
>internal transformer to avoid heat. The suspicion was this would be the last of
>the unblocked radios and would have to last the user a long, long time. Also, a
>wall wart is more likely to keep a surge or lightning hit confined to the wall
>part and out of the receiver. The 120VAC lead in to the built-in transformer
>could bring in something that would arc over to the delicate parts of the
>radio. The convection would also draw in more dust.
> If I were looking to buy a radio this old, even the small amount of heat
>from the transformer inside the case would possibly be an issue after all these
>years.These aren't like really old radios, with easy to get, easy to change
>parts...
>
>Neutrodyne

2469. Re: 50 or 75 ohm cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:40:23 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16d363dec9392dba989686@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 52291

In article <0h4a8.379712$8w3.96737390@typhoon.kc.rr.com>,
kd5jbk@mmcable.com says...
>
> which is better for use with a scanner? 50 or 75 ohm cable?
>
>
>

Every response so far has been correct. Purists will tell you to stick to 50 ohm when your rig is "designed" for 50 ohm connections (noted by the SO-239 connector). However, in actual practice it makes little difference. In fact, even shielding makes little difference in RX only applications.

2470. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:40:31 UTC
From: dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <vk5c4a.jh4.ln@spamblock.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 52277
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

In alt.radio.pirate Dale or Kitty Eastman <dalereastmanSPAM@sprintmail.com> wrote:

<Snip bullshit from website>

> This ain't what I was taught about multi KW jammers by my Uncle Sam.

> Was something like 6db higher signal strength at the targeted
> *receiver*.

Indeed, however the recever for this purpose can be the cell phone
handset, and while the base station may be running 200W ERP, you
have the inverse square law firmly on your side! Your jammer is probably
a hell of a lot closer to the phone then the base station is.

Regards, Dan.
--
** The email address *IS* valid, do NOT remove the spamblock
And on the evening of the first day the lord said.....
.... LX 1, GO!; and there was light.

2471. Re: Scanner Stuff For Salt Lake City Utah for Olympics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:44:19 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <jonvanallen@msn.com>
Message-ID: <3C699AC2.AA18A7FC@msn.com>
Source record: 52292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I tried to put my scanner online to broadcast Olympics scanner audio
at shoutcast.com but MSN blocks my IP address from the shoutcast
server and I can't find a way around it.

There is very little digital being used, a couple of TGs on the UCAN
system are digital and there is some encryption on fed freqs but the
vast majority of comms are in the clear.

Jon

Champ wrote:

> Just wondering if there will be anyone broadcasting there scanners from home
> from any of the 4 zones allocated for the Olympics in and around Salt Lake
> City Quite a bit of spectrum is being used for the event. I'm sure many of
> us would like to follow along with this from a scanner point of view. And
> being able to do this online.
>
> If you live in the region and would like to know how you can put your
> scanner online, Join the Scanner audio group listed below.
>
> And never mind replying about all the digital comm's happening and the fact
> you won't be able to hear anything. I'm sure you'll hear lots..........
>
> --
> Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
> NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> http://www.nysing.org
>
> Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

2472. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 22:50:24 UTC
From: dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <g76c4a.ml4.ln@spamblock.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 52279
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

In alt.radio.pirate Byron L. Hicks <byronhicks@byronhicks.com> wrote:

> Maybe...

> But, if you are trying to block cell phone signals in hospitals because the
> signals play havoc with life support machinery, it doesn't make any sense to
> do it with a strong enough signal to block cellphones. It just seems like
> you would be compounding the problem.

Remember the inverse square law? You do not need anything like as much
power as the phone is running, as you only have to prevent the phone
hearing the base station!

Regards, Dan.
--
** The email address *IS* valid, do NOT remove the spamblock
And on the evening of the first day the lord said.....
.... LX 1, GO!; and there was light.

2473. Re: taking scanner to Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 23:06:25 UTC
From: Jim
Message-ID: <Rdha8.44239$AV5.277039@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52293

so I don't need a special permit or anything to take it into Canada when
going around Lake Superior right?

"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PBga8.12461$X2.140651@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> I believe only Manitoba has restrictions on scanners
>
> --
> Brad.
> FeeD_BaK
>
> "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:6hU98.1054$oG.762@news2.bloor.is...
> | In Ontario, and most other provinces, it is legal to have a scanner in
> your
> | vehicle. And you are allowed to listen to cellular and other phone
> calls.
> |
> |
> |
> | Champ
> |
> |
> | --
> | Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> | http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> |
> | National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
> | http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> |
> | NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> | http://www.nysing.org
> |
> | Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> | http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories
> |
> | Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
> | http://www.thebeerstore.ca
> |
> |
> |
> | "Jim jdc503news@attbi.com>" <<nospam> wrote in message
> | news:DBP98.34174$bh3.232390@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> | > We will be traveling this summer and plan to travel through a portion

of
> | > Canada. What are the rules of taking a scanner into Canada? Do you
have
> to
> | > have special permission?
> | >
> | > Thanks
> | >
> | >
> |
> |
>
>

2474. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 23:30:14 UTC
From: "Byron L. Hicks" <byronhicks@byronhicks.com>
Message-ID: <a4c8gv$mg3$1@bubba.NMSU.Edu>
Source record: 52296
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

<dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:g76c4a.ml4.ln@spamblock.demon.co.uk...
> In alt.radio.pirate Byron L. Hicks <byronhicks@byronhicks.com> wrote:
>
> > Maybe...
>
> > But, if you are trying to block cell phone signals in hospitals because
the
> > signals play havoc with life support machinery, it doesn't make any
sense to
> > do it with a strong enough signal to block cellphones. It just seems
like
> > you would be compounding the problem.
>
> Remember the inverse square law? You do not need anything like as much
> power as the phone is running, as you only have to prevent the phone
> hearing the base station!

That's true. I don't know at what power level or at what frequencies medical equipment is sensitive. I guess if you had it below the threshold for the medical equipment, but above the relative power of the tower, it would block the phone. But it really wouldn't keep cell phones from putting out a signal, it would just keep people from using their phones.

--
Byron L. Hicks
Network Engineer
NMSU ICT

2475. Re: 50 or 75 ohm cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 23:34:18 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C69A67A.45FB4E5E@amsat.org>
Source record: 52295

John LeMay wrote:

<~~~>

> In fact, even shielding makes little difference in RX only
> applications.

One consideration for the use of shielding,
is if your feed line passes near a source of interfering noise,
like your computer, or other electrical device.
OTOH: sometimes a leaky feed makes up for a poor antenna.

~Bart~

2476. Re: Question re. Air Traffic Controllers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-12 23:41:18 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C69A81E.67A9F0EB@amsat.org>
Source record: 52297

Me wrote:

> These are "abbreviated" frequencies:
>
> 19-8 = 119.800
> 21-9 = 121.900
>
> Don't be surprised if you hear the controller say "Contact ground
> point nine" on day. *From my experience*, the ground frequencies for
> major US airports *appear* (at least to me) to all be on 121.xxx, and
> it is not odd to hear the controller use the point "x" abbreviation
> when referring to ground frequencies.
>
> Me

Other jargon often heard is the use of runway identifiers based on shortened
compass bearings.
927 = 90ï¿½ - 270ï¿½
1331 = 130ï¿½ - 310ï¿½
and so forth.

>
>
> On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 14:52:13 -0700, "Ian W. Douglas"
> <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote:
>
> >
> >I have been listening in on radio traffic at my local international
> >airport and can understand most of the stuff being talked about, e.g.
> >airline names, wind speed and direction and altimeter settings being
> >called out, etc. as well as other weather conditions and birds in the
> >area if applicable. There are, however, some things I have heard which I
> >don't understand, such as:
> >
> >"Wingspan Airlines [not their real name] contact departure 19-8 airborne"
> >
> >"Wingspan Airlines contact ground 21-9"
> >
> >What does this stuff mean? What are the numbers about?
> >
> >I took the entrance exam for air traffic controllers twice about 10 years
> >ago but flunked, so I don't have sufficient background to know this.
> >

~Bart~

2477. Portable Cell Phone Jammers on FOX News at 11:00 (west coast)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:00:24 UTC
From: George@xtk.com
Message-ID: <20020212190024.101$G5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 52298

I have a DirectTV dish and I see that there is going to be a story on the FOX news network about cell phone jammers tonight (2/12/02). This is on FOX west so people living on the east coast (like me) will be up late watching this one. There was so much discussion on this topic I thought everyone who posted might want to check this out tonight.

George

2478. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:01:10 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a1ia8.93303$Gb1.14315871@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 52299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

i've never dealt with them, but when i get something that doesn't work from the begining, i get another one, or my money back. if it's on your charge card, no problem.
"aa" <andy@andy001.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message news:a4c1u5$c9r$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Have a look at the ICOM literature that you got with the radio.
> See if it mentions replacement or repair under warranty.
> Might also be an idea to contact the person at ICOM with whom you were
> dealing and ask them about a replacement directly.
>
>
> "chester" <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message
> news:3c6986c5.4596449@news.teranews.com...
> > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 21:21:30 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:
> >
> > >I just heard from Icom and they say the R2 is defective. I have a
> question
> > >for all of you. I got the rig from Burghardt's. It arrived Feb 6. It
was
> > >defective from day one. Burghardt's wants me to send it in for repair.
I
> > >told them I want a replacement. They have not replied yet. Which do you
> > >think is right. I know Grove would replace the R2. I have dealt with
> Grove
> > >for many years because they have treated me excellently. What would
most
> > >other dealers do in this case? If they won't replace it, I may talk to
my
> > >lawyer/associate. Am I wrong?
> > >DeWayne KE9K
> > >
> >
> > They should replace it. If they don't then spread the word about their
> > lousy CS.
> >
>
>
>

2479. Re: FS: PRO-2004 $175 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:16:33 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Bfia8.1107$Nf3.93452@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52300

I think that's why I've had no problems with this PRO-2004 due to the low heat. Works like a charm!

Frank
"Gutt Brothers Towing" <guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:92ph6u4d3adu4748gu9kbfsgdc89f022t3@4ax.com...
> I had one of these for years and it never even got warm?
>
>
> On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 02:09:29 GMT, "Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Works flawlessly! Looks like new. No scratches or dents on case or display.
> >Full 800 Mhz. Bright backlight (original). Internal power supply removed to
> >reduce heat. Will supply 12 VDC wall wart. Original box, manual, antenna. I
> >need the cash for computer upgrades.
> >
> >Price $175.00 plus shipping
> >
>

2480. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:22:29 UTC
From: dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <5kbc4a.hp4.ln@spamblock.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 52294
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

In alt.radio.pirate Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote:
> On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 22:50:24 +0000, dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
> wrote:
> I'm still wondering just how sensitive the equipment has to be to be
> killed by a couple hundred mW from a cell phone, but not harmed at all
> by a ham with a 50W rig in the parking lot. Considering that we've
> provided emergency comms for hositals many times in the past, (some
> from inside, some from outside) using several VHF/UHF bands, without
> blowing anything up, there's something pretty fishy about that.

I have a feeling that the real reason for the ban on the use of cell phones in hospitals it that the noise is irritating! The EMC problem makes a lovely excuse however.

I should note that I have actually suffered embarrasment due to RF from a phone, I was working FOH sound at a gig and without thinking I had put the phone down on the dog box behind the main sound desk. This mixer was feeding 20KW of Nexo alpha and when someone called me the whole audience got the benefit of some lovely 'static'.

Regards, Dan.
--
** The email address *IS* valid, do NOT remove the spamblock
And on the evening of the first day the lord said.....
.... LX 1, GO!; and there was light.

2481. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:32:21 UTC
From: Dale or Kitty Eastman <dalereastmanSPAM@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <3C69B39A.15D2763@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 52301
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk wrote:
>
> In alt.radio.pirate Dale or Kitty Eastman <dalereastmanSPAM@sprintmail.com> wrote:
>
> <Snip bullshit from website>
>
> > This ain't what I was taught about multi KW jammers by my Uncle Sam.
>
> > Was something like 6db higher signal strength at the targeted
> > *receiver*.
>
> Indeed, however the recever for this purpose can be the cell phone
> handset, and while the base station may be running 200W ERP, you
> have the inverse square law firmly on your side! Your jammer is probably
> a hell of a lot closer to the phone then the base station is.
>

My comment was more about the BS from the website.

And you're way low on the ERP. Hmm, I wonder if a google for "TACJAM"
would get any info, or if it's still classified..... I do know it gets
google hits. I haven't looked them all up.

> Regards, Dan.
> --
> ** The email address *IS* valid, do NOT remove the spamblock
> And on the evening of the first day the lord said.....
> .... LX 1, GO!; and there was light.

--
Are we having fun yet?

Remove the spam.

From somewhere in Wisconsin.

2482. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:56:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <3qoi6uo31ssqe8rm8cm83jbb58bvb2asu1@4ax.com>
Source record: 52302
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 04:27:40 GMT, Dale or Kitty Eastman
<dalereastmanSPAM@sprintmail.com> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>This ain't what I was taught about multi KW jammers by my Uncle Sam.

>Was something like 6db higher signal strength at the targeted
>*receiver*.

If the receiver has a capture ratio of >6db.

Unc's jammers jam mostly AM signals - cell phones are FM.

With synchronous detection you'd have to generate a signal 15db or so
higher than the signal you're trying to interfere with (at the
receiver, again). Even higher if the receiver was really good. (We
used to receive RTTY signals that were ~10db below noise, and I think
there are some off-the-shelf detectors that are better than that now.)
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2483. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:56:55 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <11pi6ushpp7ef750a7g0bpjcg7r7rfgne7@4ax.com>
Source record: 52303
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:30:34 -0500, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>"BiasComms@NOSPAM.blueyonder.co.uk" wrote:

>> You might also like to consider the stupidity of jamming a call to the Fire
>> Service, Ambulance or Police.

>I guess I was mistaken in my assumption that most restaurants and
>theatres would have a working telephone in the establishment.

In your assumption of a guarantee that they would? Yes, you were
mistaken.

>Oh and I love the previous comment about jamming police communications -
>which is, of course, much less difficult than disabling cell phones.

Not at all. A PD walkie-talkie can get its job done even if the
receiver is being jammed. A cell phone can't register with no
received signal.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2484. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:56:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <s4pi6u0oejqj8icrlcct3dse98n4o35ji6@4ax.com>
Source record: 52304
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:20:55 -0500, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>I have yet to see a warning at the door that "your essential services
>cell phone won't work in here." And I am not aware of any law, statute,
>regulation or whatever, in any administration anywhere, prohibiting
>theatre or other building owners or operators from shielding their
>premises to prevent cell phone operation.

Shielding is legal - willful interference isn't.

>What do you do when you are in a building where operation of cell phones
>is prohibited for safety reasons? You are aware that your phone is
>communicating with the system any time it is turned on, regardless of
>whether you are talking or not? How disabling cell phones differs from
>requiring that they be turned off eludes me; as long as a notice is
>posted.

Requiring that they be turned off is legal - willful interference
isn't.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2485. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:56:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <29pi6ukop686b8ltvujvnrkjo1ve9vrasp@4ax.com>
Source record: 52305
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:46:46 -0500, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>Now ain't that interesting!!!
>6 copies of my post, sequentially reducing from 32 to 28 lines.
>Three possibilities come to mind:
> 1 - someone is trying to play with me.
> 2 - something is going on in my system -
> unlikely since other posts are OK
> 3 - I'm an idiot

>Your votes are awaited with eager anticpation!

Since the path was the same for all of them, #2 is the most likely.
Unless someone posting to your provider's news server is playing
games.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2486. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 00:58:46 UTC
From: "Orlando(320th TRS)" <slyvp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aTia8.71450$th4.21627530@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52306
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> wrote in message
news:FCD8168BCF78FAE8.C34BE944B32CCF74.0F1919EE154039C7@lp.airnews.net...
> On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 19:10:51 GMT, "Orlando\(320th TRS\)"
> <slyvp@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >It's funny that many posters mentioned emergency workers, because I don't
> >think those same workers wod'nt want any cellphones in thier hospitals
now
> >would they? There ARE places where cellphone use can be justifiably
blocked.
>
> Personally, I just have to wonder what emergency can't be announced by
> a vibrating pager or phone.

It would be nice if people were nice enough to actually use their vibrating
phones sometimes though won't it?

2487. Re: Question re. Air Traffic Controllers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 01:10:12 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <71fj6ugb83i8ti4f80vik5amc4oohstr91@4ax.com>
Source record: 52307

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Me <_@_.com> spake thusly

>Don't be surprised if you hear the controller say "Contact ground
>point nine" on day. *From my experience*, the ground frequencies for
>major US airports *appear* (at least to me) to all be on 121.xxx,

Lindbergh Field here in San Diego is 123.9 for ground

John Wayne Airport in Orange County is 120.8.

LAX, Palm Springs, and Ontario are all on 121.900 though.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2488. Re: Baercat 245XLT vs Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 01:15:21 UTC
From: David <dstanley23@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <J6ja8.39764$fK1.4042631@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 52308

Thanks, Now to find a 1.01 or 1.03, That is the quest!

"chester" <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message
news:3c697b48.1655330@news.teranews.com...
>
> >"David" <dstanley23@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<JWZ98.32780$fK1.3614188@rwcrnsc54>...
> >> Same price when you consider the charger and batteries
> >>
> >> Input on which is better, I am thinking of the bearcat
> >>
>
> Get the Pro 93. It blows away the 245 in any way, shape, and form.
> And it doesn't take telephone battery packs.
>
>

2489. Re: Question re. Air Traffic Controllers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 01:19:22 UTC
From: b7spectra <b7spectra@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <a4c4cv$5ha$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52288

They normally leave off the first digit - 1. So if they say "contact ground
on 21-9" the actually mean 121.9.

--

/\/\|k.e

Webmaster
www.ScanAtlanta.com

mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@scanatlanta.com

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
news:Pine.A41.4.05.10202121451510.24156-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> I have been listening in on radio traffic at my local international
> airport and can understand most of the stuff being talked about, e.g.
> airline names, wind speed and direction and altimeter settings being
> called out, etc. as well as other weather conditions and birds in the
> area if applicable. There are, however, some things I have heard which I
> don't understand, such as:
>
> "Wingspan Airlines [not their real name] contact departure 19-8 airborne"
>
> "Wingspan Airlines contact ground 21-9"
>
> What does this stuff mean? What are the numbers about?
>
> I took the entrance exam for air traffic controllers twice about 10 years
> ago but flunked, so I don't have sufficient background to know this.
>
>

2490. RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 01:24:23 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bfja8.71518$th4.21715336@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Besides price, what are the differences between the Radio Shack discone and
the
Diamond D-130J?

- Doug

2491. Re: Wanted - HPIB 82335 ISA Interface Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 01:30:54 UTC
From: SamSolr <samsolr@cetlink.net>
Message-ID: <a4cfp9$3ek$1@newsreader.cetlink.net>
Source record: 52310
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Have you tried Ebay... several HP-IB / 488 boards out there... search in completed items and maybe contact someone who (if your lucky) didn't sell there's. I have been forced to look for difficult to obtain items on Ebay and with a little patience been very successful.

Sam

"David Tharrett" <davidthNS@NOterraworld.SPAMnet> wrote in message news:2DW98.44006$cC6.2050864144@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> I am in need of a Hewlett Packard ISA HPIB Interface board. The HP part
> number is 82335-60001. Contact me if you have any available. Thanks.
> Please remove all of the CAPS from my email address or call me at
> 620-331-4084.
>
>
>

2492. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 02:09:08 UTC
From: Otis Cribblecoblis <alaininvc@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3C69CAC4.6E60BFD8@netscape.net>
Source record: 52311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What's the difference? The RS is 60 some bucks; the radials are crimped tubing. The rest of the unit seemed ok. The Diamond is costing me $116 (inc. UPS ground and the Motorola/UHF adapter). It's radials are stainless steel rods and the adapter is solid brass. The only drawback is that I live 40 miles from Diamond and they would not permit me to come to their plant in San Marcos to pick my stuff up. A minor complaint.

Will the Diamond withstand next years 67 MPH winds? Ask me in a year and I'll tell you...

Otis

If you are planning to use it indoors (mounting it in the rafters) you'll be O.K. However, if you are going to mount it outdoors...read my posting from Sunday: "67 MPH Winds Destroy My RS Discone..."

DougSlug wrote:

> Besides price, what are the differences between the Radio Shack discone and
> the
> Diamond D-130J?
>
> - Doug

2493. Re: Is the BC 780XLT triple conversion or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 02:18:45 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c69ce9b$0$35568$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 52312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

When I reviewed the BC780XLT in March 2001 Monitoring Times
magazine, I listed these IFs:

380.7/244, 45/10.7, and 0.45 MHz

It is a triple conversion circuit.

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 16:47:18 -0600, Ryan wrote:

> Does anyone know? It never says in the literature.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2494. FS: UBC 3000 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 02:31:06 UTC
From: amando pena <amandop@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Kdka8.2476$UQ.320727@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 52313
Cross-posted to: austin.forsale,rec.radio.scanner

For sale in Austin area: Uniden Bearcat UBC 3000 XLT scanner. 400 channels, 25mhz to 1.3ghz. European version with full 800mhz band.

Comes with Radio Shack AC adapter and 36" magnet mount mobile antenna (http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D032).

Asking $225.

You can view the scanner at: http://amando.home.texas.net/ubc300xlt.

amandop@usa.net

2495. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 02:55:32 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C69D5A2.7DD8195D@optonline.net>
Source record: 52314
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

In most of the hospitals I've been in recently the hospital personnel all carry cellphones issued by the hospital and linked to an internal cell system. So I guess those workers don't mind having cell phones on premises.

And they never seemed to mind when I used my cellphone in a discreet, non-obnoxious manner.

I think this is mostly a case of throwing the baby out with the bath water. One cell phone user acts in an obnoxious manner, and we respond by jamming all cell phones? No thank you!

"Orlando(320th TRS)" wrote:
>
>snip
>
>
> It's funny that many posters mentioned emergency workers, because I don't
> think those same workers wod'nt want any cellphones in thier hospitals now
> would they? There ARE places where cellphone use can be justifiably blocked.

2496. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer on FOX News at 11:00pm (west coast)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 02:58:20 UTC
From: george@xtk.com
Message-ID: <20020212215820.859$Ei_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 52315
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

I have a DirectTV dish and I see that there is going to be a story on the FOX news network about cell phone jammers tonight (2/12/02). This is on FOX west so people living on the east coast (like me) will be up late watching this one. There was so much discussion on this topic I thought everyone who posted might want to check this out tonight.

George

2497. Re: UBC 3000 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 03:05:41 UTC
From: amando pena <amandop@usa.net>
Message-ID: <9Kka8.4753$eS3.621072@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 52316
Cross-posted to: austin.forsale,rec.radio.scanner

I just found the original box and manual. These items are included in the
package.

amando

"amando pena" <amandop@usa.net> wrote in message
news:Kdka8.2476$UQ.320727@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> For sale in Austin area: Uniden Bearcat UBC 3000 XLT scanner. 400
channels,
> 25mhz to 1.3ghz. European version with full 800mhz band.
>
> Comes with Radio Shack AC adapter and 36" magnet mount mobile antenna
>
(http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=C
> TLG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D032).
>
> Asking $225.
>
> You can view the scanner at: http://amando.home.texas.net/ubc300xlt.
>
> amandop@usa.net
>
>
>
>

2498. Re: Scanner Stuff For Salt Lake City Utah for Olympics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 03:06:30 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <WKka8.2033$oG.1470@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 52317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you post that same question in our livescanneraudio group, I'm sure someone will be able to help ya out there.

Randy

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca
"Jon Van Allen" <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in message
news:3C699AC2.AA18A7FC@msn.com...
> I tried to put my scanner online to broadcast Olympics scanner audio
> at shoutcast.com but MSN blocks my IP address from the shoutcast
> server and I can't find a way around it.
>
> There is very little digital being used, a couple of TGs on the UCAN
> system are digital and there is some encryption on fed freqs but the
> vast majority of comms are in the clear.
>
> Jon
>
>
>
> Champ wrote:
>
> > Just wondering if there will be anyone broadcasting there scanners from
home
> > from any of the 4 zones allocated for the Olympics in and around Salt
Lake
> > City Quite a bit of spectrum is being used for the event. I'm sure
many of
> > us would like to follow along with this from a scanner point of view.
And
> > being able to do this online.

> >
> > If you live in the region and would like to know how you can put your
> > scanner online, Join the Scanner audio group listed below.
> >
> > And never mind replying about all the digital comm's happening and the
fact
> > you won't be able to hear anything. I'm sure you'll hear
lots...........
> >
> > --
> > Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> >
> > NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
> > http://www.nysing.org
> >
> > Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories
>

2499. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 03:23:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <81nj6ugqn243ajsqlifi8bu7u4g42367ih@4ax.com>
Source record: 52318
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:55:48 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>Bug zappers are bad, as they kill the wrong bugs. Just get some
>army-surplus 15% DEET. Works like a champ. :-)

Are you talking about how it kills bugs? Or how it kills people who
use it? (15% is WAY above the save level.)

But we were talking about the effect of bug zappers on electromagnetic
equipment, not on bugs.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2500. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 03:24:47 UTC
From: Doug and Cindy Deutsch <cindyd1@enter.net>
Message-ID: <3C69DBAC.3F81AF22@enter.net>
Source record: 52319

Hi,

Can one program a Pro-93 with a PC? What software would you recommend?

Thanks,

Doug

Rob Taylor wrote:

> I have one for the headphone output improvement.
> http://www.favorites.com/~robtaylor/Pro-93%20Modifications.htm
>
> "Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
> news:3c61a5ab_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Just wondering if anyone has any modding info or tricks for the RS Pro-93?
> > Any help or links would be much appreciated!
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Jigs
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2501. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 03:26:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <34nj6usncg7sh0cemsig6jikme36h7pkqk@4ax.com>
Source record: 52320
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 18:48:48 GMT, "Orlando\(320th TRS\)"
<slyvp@hotmail.com> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>"Simply" banning phones? If only life were so easy. You think a cell ban
>would have stopped this kid from cheating?

No, but it would give a valid reason to throw both of them out of
class, possibly have them suspended and invalidate any score they
might have gotten on the test.

>I think his objective was to keep
>his phone conceiled, so uless you want to add metal detectors and with the
>new mini-phones, full cavity sdearches...your plan is somewhat flawed.

If you're a teacher and can't detect a student murmuring into his
shirt during a test you should do a little student teaching again.
You need a refresher.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2502. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 03:50:28 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C69E284.747ACA9B@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52321
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Selected portion of prebious post:

> >Oh and I love the previous comment about jamming police communications -
> >which is, of course, much less difficult than disabling cell phones.
>
> Not at all. A PD walkie-talkie can get its job done even if the
> receiver is being jammed. A cell phone can't register with no
> received signal.
> --
> Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

At the risk of continuing this nonsense.

I understand you are saying that a cell phone won't work with no
received signal but a PD walkie-talkie will??

Dave

2503. Re: Is the BC 780XLT triple conversion or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 03:51:36 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C69E2C8.F599CB@amsat.org>
Source record: 52322
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bob_Parnass_AJ9S wrote:

> When I reviewed the BC780XLT in March 2001 Monitoring Times
> magazine, I listed these IFs:
>
> 380.7/244, 45/10.7, and 0.45 MHz
>
> It is a triple conversion circuit.

Is this review available for online reading?

~Bart~

2504. Re: PRO-2004 $175 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 03:52:41 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dqla8.1433$Nf3.132106@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52323

Hey folks, just in case it matters, this particular PRO-2004 is no piece of junk! Very well taken care of! Very sensitive across all bands....pulls in low-band skip all the way up through 800 Mhz with no overload! Also includes the Military Aircraft Band (hey, like you guys don't know that already, right?)

Frank
"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:tP_98.1146$w67.96056@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Works flawlessly! Looks like new. No scratches or dents on case or
display.
> Full 800 Mhz. Bright backlight (original). Internal power supply removed
to
> reduce heat. Will supply 12 VDC wall wart. Original box, manual, antenna.
I
> need the cash for computer upgrades.
>
> Price $175.00 plus shipping
>
>

2505. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 04:03:52 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C69E5A8.64C72288@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52324
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Walt Bertram wrote:
>
> In most of the hospitals I've been in recently the hospital personnel
> all carry cellphones issued by the hospital and linked to an internal
> cell system. So I guess those workers don't mind having cell phones on
> premises.
>
> And they never seemed to mind when I used my cellphone in a discreet,
> non-obnoxious manner.
>
> I think this is mostly a case of throwing the baby out with the bath
> water. One cell phone user acts in an obnoxious manner, and we respond
> by jamming all cell phones? No thank you!
>
> "Orlando(320th TRS)" wrote:
> >

I don't think it's a matter of annoying people, it's a matter of interfering with medical electronics.

This is like the folks who use their scanners or portable radios on aircraft in a "discreet, non-obnoxious" manner in complete oblivion of the fact that they may be radiating on frequencies required for safety of flight.

Some years ago I was involved in testing low powered hand-held communications equipment in and around intensive care equipment - it is surprising what chaos a low power radio signal can cause to equipment which is monitoring the very weak electrical signals generated in the normal operation of the heart. And, of course, the cell phone not only radiates any time it is turned on, it actually transmits - that's how the system knows what cell you are in.

Internal cellphone systems may very well be, I have no personal first hand knowledge, certified to meet medical electronics standards; just as equipment can be certified for use on aircraft - provided you can afford to pay for the tests.

Dave

2506. why do i hear one side and not the other?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 04:34:20 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020212223417.590ade8e.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52325

in some phone and FRS stuff why do i only hear one person and not hte other side?

2507. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 04:55:28 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a4crk0$ee9$0@63.162.246.194>
Source record: 52326
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 05:49:33 GMT, "BiasComms@NOSPAM.blueyonder.co.uk"
<biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

>> >The cell phone is an 'interesting' choice of technology for
>> >a safety and time critical application. The things are not
>> >exactly specified for the level of reliability that your
>> >application would imply. I would have thought that a paging
>> >system of the type using phase mod. of an AM radio station
>> >would have been more appropriate, as this penetrates buildings
>> >far better then 1.8 - 1.9Ghz cell phone services do. Pagers
>> >also work with a much narrower bandwidth and thus need less
>> >signal to operate. The cell phone seems like totally the wrong
>>
>>
>> Pagers have a narrower bandwidth? Not with a NAMPS
>> cellular system...
>
>MUCH narrower bandwidth. A little basic research will correct your
>misapprehension. NAMPS is still VERY wasteful of bandwidth.
>

Ok, what is the bandwidth of your normal pocsag paging transmitter,
and then the bandwidth of your normal Cellular NAMPS signal?

Share your facts with us. Please.

2508. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 04:57:47 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a4crob$ee9$1@63.162.246.194>
Source record: 52327
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 00:56:55 GMT, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org> wrote:

>>Oh and I love the previous comment about jamming police communications -
>>which is, of course, much less difficult than disabling cell phones.
>
>Not at all. A PD walkie-talkie can get its job done even if the
>receiver is being jammed. A cell phone can't register with no
>received signal.
>--

Depends. On most public safety trunking systems, if the subscriber unit, ie the PD walkie Talkie, cannot hear the control channel, it is useless. Won't transmit. If you jam the control channel, which is easy, then the radio will not work.

2509. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 05:01:17 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a4crut$ee9$2@63.162.246.194>
Source record: 52328
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 02:55:32 GMT, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:

>In most of the hospitals I've been in recently the hospital personnel
>all carry cellphones issued by the hospital and linked to an internal
>cell system. So I guess those workers don't mind having cell phones on
>premises.
>

Those are not normally "Cell" phones, but simply wireless phones, that
can operate on any number of bands, and different formats.

2510. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 05:06:30 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <qvma8.72485$th4.22424199@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Regardless any decent dealer will/should replace a radio thats broken out of the box.

"aa" <andy@andy001.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message news:a4c1u5$c9r$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Have a look at the ICOM literature that you got with the radio.
> See if it mentions replacement or repair under warranty.
> Might also be an idea to contact the person at ICOM with whom you were
> dealing and ask them about a replacement directly.
>
>
> "chester" <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message
> news:3c6986c5.4596449@news.teranews.com...
> > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 21:21:30 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:
> >
> > >I just heard from Icom and they say the R2 is defective. I have a
> question
> > >for all of you. I got the rig from Burghardt's. It arrived Feb 6. It
was
> > >defective from day one. Burghardt's wants me to send it in for repair.
I
> > >told them I want a replacement. They have not replied yet. Which do you
> > >think is right. I know Grove would replace the R2. I have dealt with
> Grove
> > >for many years because they have treated me excellently. What would
most
> > >other dealers do in this case? If they won't replace it, I may talk to
my
> > >lawyer/associate. Am I wrong?
> > >DeWayne KE9K
> > >
> >
> > They should replace it. If they don't then spread the word about their
> > lousy CS.
> >
>
>

2511. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 05:24:03 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a4ct9k$1e86mq$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52330

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/

"Doug and Cindy Deutsch" <cindyd1@enter.net> wrote in message
news:3C69DBAC.3F81AF22@enter.net...
> Hi,
>
> Can one program a Pro-93 with a PC? What software would you recommend?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Doug
>
> Rob Taylor wrote:
>
> > I have one for the headphone output improvement.
> > http://www.favorites.com/~robtaylor/Pro-93%20Modifications.htm
> >
> > "Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
> > news:3c61a5ab_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > Just wondering if anyone has any modding info or tricks for the RS
Pro-93?
> > > Any help or links would be much appreciated!
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Jigs
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

2512. Tallahassee Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 05:31:02 UTC
From: calderon@met.fsu.edu
Message-ID: <c97fab60.0202122131.2829fd2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52331

I'm looking for information on understanding the Tallahassee Police Department calls on the radio from car to car and car to dispatch. Anyone with experience please post! Also any info on the codes/terms that Tallahassee Fire Department and Tallahassee Memorial Hospital uses would be of great help.

On a less important note, I'm looking for TPD ten-codes and signal codes that are more complete and up to date than the typical (and awesome) Tallahassee websites.

Thanks for any help,

-Alex Calderon

2513. Re: Is the BC 780XLT triple conversion or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 05:38:49 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c69fd80$0$35575$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 52333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The review is not online.
Here's how to obtain a reprint:

http://www.monitoringtimes.com/reprints.html

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 21:51:36 -0600, f wrote:

> Bob_Parnass_AJ9S wrote:
>
>> When I reviewed the BC780XLT in March 2001 Monitoring Times magazine, I
>> listed these IFs:
>>
>> 380.7/244, 45/10.7, and 0.45 MHz
>>
>> It is a triple conversion circuit.
>
> Is this review available for online reading?
>
>
> ~Bart~

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2514. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 05:39:58 UTC
From: "BiasComms@NOSPAM.blueyonder.co.uk" <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <O_ma8.670$oa2.15879@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 52332
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Byron L. Hicks" <byronhicks@byronhicks.com> wrote in message
news:a4c8gv$mg3$1@bubba.NMSU.Edu...

> That's true. I don't know at what power level or at what frequencies
> medical equipment is sensitive.

It isn't - unless it's very badly designed or made! All medical electronics has to be EMC tested and certified (at least it does in Europe). A cellphone, handheld radio or whatever will have NO EFFECT at all on medical electronics. The reason that hospitals ban phones is simply that the noise is irritating, though they love the EMC excuse.

The only "cure" for the cellphone menaces that insist on bellowing into their phones in public is re-education. Perhaps with a baseball bat.

--

BIAS COMMS

"Technology" is just the name for stuff that doesn't work right yet!

2515. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 05:52:49 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020212235247.6bf6ab74.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52334

where do i buy the cable from?

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 23:24:03 -0600
"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote:

> http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/
>
>
> "Doug and Cindy Deutsch" <cindyd1@enter.net> wrote in message
> news:3C69DBAC.3F81AF22@enter.net...
> > Hi,
> >
> > Can one program a Pro-93 with a PC? What software would you recommend?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Doug
> >
> > Rob Taylor wrote:
> >
> > > I have one for the headphone output improvement.
> > > http://www.favorites.com/~robtaylor/Pro-93%20Modifications.htm
> > >
> > > "Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3c61a5ab_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > > Just wondering if anyone has any modding info or tricks for the RS
> Pro-93?
> > > > Any help or links would be much appreciated!
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > > Jigs
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
>
>

2516. FS: Motorola MW-520 MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 05:59:35 UTC
From: Mobile Data Terminal <no-spam-here@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3C6A00C5.84B74EDA@aol.com>
Source record: 52336

this MDT can do RD-LAP 19.2, RD-LAP 9.6 and MDC4800:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1330728737

2517. Re: why do i hear one side and not the other?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 05:59:53 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <thna8.1907$SJ3.57411@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On phone frequencies, many transmitters are set up to only broadcast the OUTGOING (from the landline) side of the conversation. There's no reason to "repeat" the mobile's side, as it's going only to the landline phone. Of course if two phones are talking to each other you could hear both...if you have two radios and can find oth frequencies.

On FRS, it's probably just a function of the radios' distance from you. FRS don't use repeaters, so you'll hear only those relatively within "line of sight.
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History"fusQuanto" <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message news:20020212223417.590ade8e.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com...
> in some phone and FRS stuff why do i only hear one person and not hte other side?
>

2518. Re: Just got my ass kicked by Macys store Security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 06:03:12 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8hna8.11641$Hv5.2277731@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 52337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<f@l.se> wrote in message news:3C69891E.DA79E29@amsat.org...
> Android Cat wrote:
>
> >
> > What that troll needs is a Thinking-Brain dog to help him out.
>
> Maybe the tinfoil helmet liner has reached expiration and need
replacing.

Damn it, millennium hand and shrimp, we told him, we told him shiny side
out!

Ole foul Ron of that ilk.

2519. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 06:11:54 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a4d03b$1e4fsq$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52338

Search www.ebay.com for FA-126. This is a Casio Boss programming/data cable. Im not telling you to use the buy it now but only a $2.00 difference and they have been going pretty fast.

The FA-126 is a 2-way cable but you still need the Radio Shack adapters 274-397 and 274-368. You would need that if you bought a www.pfranc.com cable for $22 plus $5.00 shipping. You can also search for a Protege II Data Organizer. It comes with a cable that works (unknown if you still need the adapters). That should run $22-$30. Some say run to Best Buy for that since they are in a clearance status. I found some online but that $4-$7 for shipping. The advantage of the Protege is you have a electronic notepad for next to the phone now. ;)

Good luck and the waiting is the hardest part.

"fusQuanto" <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message news:20020212235247.6bf6ab74.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com...
> where do i buy the cable from?
>
> On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 23:24:03 -0600
> "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote:
>
> > http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/
> >
> >
> > "Doug and Cindy Deutsch" <cindyd1@enter.net> wrote in message
> > news:3C69DBAC.3F81AF22@enter.net...
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > Can one program a Pro-93 with a PC? What software would you recommend?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Doug
> > >
> > > Rob Taylor wrote:
> > >
> > > > I have one for the headphone output improvement.
> > > > http://www.favorites.com/~robtaylor/Pro-93%20Modifications.htm
> > > >
> > > > "Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3c61a5ab_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > > > Just wondering if anyone has any modding info or tricks for the RS
> > Pro-93?

> > > > > Any help or links would be much appreciated!
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > Jigs
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> > >
> >
> >

2520. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 06:16:57 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020213001655.01e5fc4f.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52339

have you by any chance tried the texas instruments calcualtor connectors? i haev one but the connector thing is smaller than what fits into the scanner. do you think it would work? i donno the techincalities of these things so i have on idea.. i just use it to send and receivve programs to/from my ti-85 calc.

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 00:11:54 -0600
"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote:

> Search www.ebay.com for FA-126. This is a Casio Boss programming/data cable.
> Im not telling you to use the buy it now but only a $2.00 difference and
> they have been going pretty fast.
>
> The FA-126 is a 2-way cable but you still need the Radio Shack adapters
> 274-397 and 274-368. You would need that if you bought a www.pfranc.com
> cable for $22 plus $5.00 shipping. You can also search for a Protege II Data
> Organizer. It comes with a cable that works (unknown if you still need the
> adapters). That should run $22-$30. Some say run to Best Buy for that since
> they are in a clearance status. I found some online but that $4-$7 for
> shipping. The advantage of the Protege is you have a electronic notepad for
> next to the phone now. ;)
>
> Good luck and the waiting is the hardest part.
>
> "fusQuanto" <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
> news:20020212235247.6bf6ab74.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com...
> > where do i buy the cable from?
> >
> > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 23:24:03 -0600
> > "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote:
> >
> > > http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/
> > >
> > >
> > > "Doug and Cindy Deutsch" <cindyd1@enter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3C69DBAC.3F81AF22@enter.net...
> > > > Hi,
> > > >
> > > > Can one program a Pro-93 with a PC? What software would you
> recommend?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > >
> > > > Doug
> > > >
> > > > Rob Taylor wrote:

> > > >
> > > > > I have one for the headphone output improvement.
> > > > > http://www.favorites.com/~robtaylor/Pro-93%20Modifications.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > "Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3c61a5ab_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > > > > Just wondering if anyone has any modding info or tricks for the RS
> > > Pro-93?
> > > > > > Any help or links would be much appreciated!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > > Jigs
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
>

2521. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 06:40:12 UTC
From: Mark Walsh <mark@km6xu.die.spam.die.com>
Message-ID: <a4d1g1hlv1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 52340
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Byron L. Hicks" <byronhicks@byronhicks.com> wrote:

> I guess if you had it below the threshold for the medical
> equipment, but above the relative power of the tower, it
> would block the phone. But it really wouldn't keep cell
> phones from putting out a signal, it would just keep
> people from using their phones.

Good point. Many of today's phones would then go to max
power trying to 'find' a base station.

2522. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 06:47:12 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020213004709.1cd75d06.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52341

hey thanks for hte ebay tip tho, i went ahead and baught one now. i checked on radiosmakc's page for those two prats, they look like the same thing, i need both?

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 00:11:54 -0600
"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote:

> Search www.ebay.com for FA-126. This is a Casio Boss programming/data cable.
> Im not telling you to use the buy it now but only a $2.00 difference and
> they have been going pretty fast.
>
> The FA-126 is a 2-way cable but you still need the Radio Shack adapters
> 274-397 and 274-368. You would need that if you bought a www.pfranc.com
> cable for $22 plus $5.00 shipping. You can also search for a Protege II Data
> Organizer. It comes with a cable that works (unknown if you still need the
> adapters). That should run $22-$30. Some say run to Best Buy for that since
> they are in a clearance status. I found some online but that $4-$7 for
> shipping. The advantage of the Protege is you have a electronic notepad for
> next to the phone now. ;)
>
> Good luck and the waiting is the hardest part.
>
> "fusQuanto" <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
> news:20020212235247.6bf6ab74.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com...
> > where do i buy the cable from?
> >
> > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 23:24:03 -0600
> > "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote:
> >
> > > http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/
> > >
> > >
> > > "Doug and Cindy Deutsch" <cindyd1@enter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3C69DBAC.3F81AF22@enter.net...
> > > > Hi,
> > > >
> > > > Can one program a Pro-93 with a PC? What software would you
> recommend?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > >
> > > > Doug
> > > >
> > > > Rob Taylor wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I have one for the headphone output improvement.

> > > > > http://www.favorites.com/~robtaylor/Pro-93%20Modifications.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > "Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3c61a5ab_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > > > > Just wondering if anyone has any modding info or tricks for the RS
> > > Pro-93?
> > > > > > Any help or links would be much appreciated!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > > Jigs
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > > > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > > > > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

2523. FS: Bearcat 580 XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 06:55:22 UTC
From: WMac <wgm1@usa.net>
Message-ID: <u5oa8.1767$Nv5.143580@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52342

For sale: Uniden Bearcat 580 XLT 100 Ch. mobile scanner. Features
pre-programmed buttons for police, fire, marine, aviation, and weather.
Comes with AC adaptor,DC power cord...and external speaker. $50

wgm1@usa.net

2524. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 07:03:36 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a4d34a$1e7lsk$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52343

Yes.
One adapter changes the size (sub-mini to mini) and the other changes from stereo tip to a mono tip.

I found some schematics for the TI-85 on the web. Of course they use the same pins from the DB9 with the Pro-93 but one TI-85 plan had it using a 3 contact or stereo plug and another had a mono 2-way adapter. Weird.

You will enjoy the ease of programming it with the cable and the software from Mr. Don Starr, Win93.

Its a really good unit, even with the first revision text bugs.

"fusQuanto" <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message news:20020213004709.1cd75d06.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com...
> hey thanks for hte ebay tip tho, i went ahead and baught one now. i checked on radiosmakc's page for those two prats, they look like the same thing, i need both?

2525. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 07:11:21 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020213011120.08a541f8.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52344

oh ok thanks again. theres a bucnh of diff wires for the ti 85, ill google mine's and get back to u so u can analyze :) btw do u have an aim name?

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 01:03:36 -0600
"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote:

> Yes.
> One adapter changes the size (sub-mini to mini) and the other changes from
> stereo tip to a mono tip.
>
> I found some schematics for the TI-85 on the web. Of course they use the
> same pins from the DB9 with the Pro-93 but one TI-85 plan had it using a 3
> contact or stereo plug and another had a mono 2-way adapter. Weird.
>
> You will enjoy the ease of programming it with the cable and the software
> from Mr. Don Starr, Win93.
>
> Its a really good unit, even with the first revision text bugs.
>
>
> "fusQuanto" <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
> news:20020213004709.1cd75d06.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com...
> > hey thanks for hte ebay tip tho, i went ahead and baught one now. i
> checked on radiosmakc's page for those two prats, they look like the same
> thing, i need both?
>
>
>

2526. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 07:41:46 UTC
From: John Henderson <jhenDELETEUPPERCASE@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <4Moa8.958$wG3.8058@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 52345
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner

"Orlando(320th TRS)" wrote:
>
> "Simply" banning phones? If only life were so easy. You think a
cell ban
> would have stopped this kid from cheating? I think his
objective was to keep
> his phone conceiled, so uless you want to add metal detectors
and with the
> new mini-phones, full cavity sdearches...your plan is somewhat
flawed.

If that's the case, an RF detector instead of a jammer would be
more appropriate from every perspective I can think of.

John

2527. Re: Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 08:41:01 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c6a2693$0$18468$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 52346
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"David Tharrett" <davidthNS@NOterraworld.SPAMnet> wrote in message
news:hAW98.44003$aA6.2050731201@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
We have reduced the price to $199 !!! Get one while they last.
We have a supply of Optoelectronics 3000A Plus Counters. A very special
purchase allows us to offer Optoelectronics 3000APlus top of the line
portable frequency counters that are absolutely brand new, complete with
accessories, still in the original factory boxes!. See more details at the
ebay auction link below. Go to this link for details on purchasing one -
http://www.datadeliverydevices.com/opto.htm or you can email me (remove all
CAPS from the email address)
The Optoelectronics website has all specs, details and the pdf manual at
http://www.optoelectronics.com/3000a.htm

What a bargain. I got mine today (Melbourne Australia) and it is one hell of
a counter. Anyone want to buy my Scout? This baby leaves it for dead,
especially with the RS232C cable & software. At US$199 it HAS to be a
bargain. I'm sure glad I took the plunge & so will you be if you buy one.

Ruff

2528. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 11:42:32 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <a4djej$16s$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 52197
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Otis Cribblecoblis" <alaininvc@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3C69CAC4.6E60BFD8@netscape.net...
> What's the difference? The RS is 60 some bucks; the radials are crimped
tubing.
> The rest of the unit seemed ok. The Diamond is costing me $116 (inc. UPS
ground
> and the Motorola/UHF adapter). It's radials are stainless steel rods and
the

I had a RS Discone rod break when I hand assembled it. On the other hand, I
have had a Diamond Discone on up at 48 feet for the last 13 years with no
problem.

2529. Re: Icom R2 Problem - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 12:05:50 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <yEsa8.46234$Hu6.11256013@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

The defective R2's SN is 4138. Can anyone tell me what the new SN's are? Is this really a late production or are they trying to stick me with something that's been around a while?
DeWayne KE9K

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so on
> any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new
> alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

2530. PRO-2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 14:25:07 UTC
From: porky <porky@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7Hua8.110251$Gb1.15404865@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 52199

I have a Radio Shack Pro-2037 Scanner for sale in like new condition.
Has been used very little. Still in the box. 200 memories. Asking price is
$100.00 OBO. Buyer pays shipping. Thanks for reading. E-mail me at
porky@cfl.rr.com .

2531. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 14:37:05 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <tcuk6u4icq4s0ph8jovn0gql03rlq08do7@4ax.com>
Source record: 52200

How come (most of) the people here can't just answer a simple question
without the need to editorialize ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

On 10 Feb 2002 18:06:20 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

>On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd
>Paulson) wrote:
>
>>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>>
>
>A valid reason to violate federal law????
>
>Yeah, right.

2532. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 14:43:28 UTC
From: Eric Cartman <ec@cheesypoofs.com>
Message-ID: <130220020643286584%ec@cheesypoofs.com>
Source record: 52201
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <a4djej$16s$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, "David L. Wilson"
<dlwilson@erols.com> wrote:

> "Otis Cribblecoblis" <alaininvc@netscape.net> wrote in message
> news:3C69CAC4.6E60BFD8@netscape.net...
> > What's the difference? The RS is 60 some bucks; the radials are crimped
> tubing.
> > The rest of the unit seemed ok. The Diamond is costing me $116 (inc. UPS
> ground
> > and the Motorola/UHF adapter). It's radials are stainless steel rods and
> the
>
> I had a RS Discone rod break when I hand assembled it. On the other hand, I
> have had a Diamond Discone on up at 48 feet for the last 13 years with no
> problem.

When a storm came by my RS discone would lose some of its rods and I
would have to walk around the outside of the house looking for where
they were blown. Of course I replaced it with a diamond which, like the
poster above, is about 13 years old and is still as good as it was the
day I bought it!

2533. OT: Working at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 15:00:12 UTC
From: Dirk-NJ <ws2l@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <0cva8.77471$th4.23449976@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I remember about a year ago there was a post on one of these boards telling the truth's about working at Radio Shack. If anyone has a copy or if the original poster still has that information can you send it to me either direct or by posting to this board.

Thanks
Dirk

2534. Re: Working at Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 15:05:09 UTC
From: "Terrence B." <Aloha_@aloha_.net>
Message-ID: <Fgva8.45097$fK1.4122848@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 52203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

do a search at deja.com
"working at Radio Shack"

"Dirk-NJ" <ws2l@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:0cva8.77471$th4.23449976@news02.optonline.net...
> I remember about a year ago there was a post on one of these boards
telling
> the truth's about working at Radio Shack. If anyone has a copy or if the
> original poster still has that information can you send it to me either
> direct or by posting to this board.
>
> Thanks
> Dirk
>
>

2535. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 15:14:40 UTC
From: Bill <wstarks@citynet.net>
Message-ID: <3c6a8064_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 52204
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I purchased a RS Discone about 4 months ago. It has stainless steel
radials.

"Eric Cartman" <ec@cheesypoofs.com> wrote in message
news:130220020643286584%ec@cheesypoofs.com...
> In article <a4djej$16s$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, "David L. Wilson"
> <dlwilson@erols.com> wrote:
>
> > "Otis Cribblecoblis" <alaininvc@netscape.net> wrote in message
> > news:3C69CAC4.6E60BFD8@netscape.net...
> > > What's the difference? The RS is 60 some bucks; the radials are
crimped
> > tubing.
> > > The rest of the unit seemed ok. The Diamond is costing me $116 (inc.
UPS
> > ground
> > > and the Motorola/UHF adapter). It's radials are stainless steel rods
and
> > the
> >
> > I had a RS Discone rod break when I hand assembled it. On the other
hand, I
> > have had a Diamond Discone on up at 48 feet for the last 13 years with
no
> > problem.
>
> When a storm came by my RS discone would lose some of its rods and I
> would have to walk around the outside of the house looking for where
> they were blown. Of course I replaced it with a diamond which, like the
> poster above, is about 13 years old and is still as good as it was the
> day I bought it!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2536. Re: 67 MPH gusts destroy my RS Discone...snap 20', .250 aluminum masts in two.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 15:22:53 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <a4e058$1emjf1$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52205

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 08:16:54 -0500, Matt wrote:

> Dimond D130J discone.
>
> 25Mhz-1300Mhz reception. I've had brilliant results with this antenna...
> just use decent coax cable..
>
>
>

This is what I use, however mine is installed in an attic so it's always protected. I've had both the Diamond and a RS discone (circa 1987 or so - no top element). The RS model worked great (except for HF - no vertical, remember?), but was a toy by comparison when it came to construction.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

2537. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 15:33:28 UTC
From: Greg <greg@aiomedia.com>
Message-ID: <9p1l6ukfdfh6ctjisltn85bq7b5s67eps6@4ax.com>
Source record: 52206

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 14:37:05 GMT, X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
wrote:

>How come (most of) the people here can't just answer a simple question
>without the need to editorialize ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

Maybe because jamming cell phones is against FCC regulations, and is a
felony?

2538. Re: 67 MPH gusts destroy my RS Discone...snap 20', .250 aluminum masts in two.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 15:40:36 UTC
From: Paul Lochner <paulsfd@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UNva8.77497$th4.23515691@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52207

John...how well does it work in the attic???? I have mine on my old TV ant. pole on the roof, but this means running 50' of coax to my basement den. My Diamond is only about 8 to 10' from my power lines. Does anyone think this can degrade my reception of lo-band??

> This is what I use, however mine is installed in an attic so it's always
> protected. I've had both the Diamond and a RS discone (circa 1987 or so -
> no top element). The RS model worked great (except for HF - no vertical,
> remember?), but was a toy by comparison when it came to construction.

2539. Re: What would you do with this Pro-93 with vers 1.0?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 15:51:59 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GrHApu.96p@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 52209

I bought one too last weekend, so far I haven't seen any problems. What kind of problems are there with this scanner, are they major ones or more like nuisances? Also, how do you check what version the firm ware is?

Thanks, Stan

"Jay Bollyn" <jbollyn@neiu.edu> wrote in message news:a3up42$1b8rs2$1@ID-119496.news.dfncis.de...
> "Nathan Watson" <nwatson@frognet.net> wrote in message
> news:3c62a3ae$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > I bought it yesterday because of the price and it seems like a nice
> scanner.
> > I have version 1.00 firmware , and now I've been reading about bugs in
it.
> > Should I take it back for a refund? What would you do?
> >
> > Thanks for the input,
> > Jigs
> >
>
> In my experience, any version 1.0 software will tend to have problems.
Also
> true, to a lesser extent, with 2.0, 3.0, etc. They are all significant
> changes from the previous version.
>
>

2540. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 16:13:57 UTC
From: Igor Dorrestijn <Dorrestijn@remoove.corps.nl>
Message-ID: <a4e3f6$jv0$1@news.tudelft.nl>
Source record: 52208
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

> >Bug zappers are bad, as they kill the wrong bugs. Just get some
> >army-surplus 15% DEET. Works like a champ. :-)
>
> Are you talking about how it kills bugs? Or how it kills people who
> use it? (15% is WAY above the save level.)

Allthough horribly off topic, could you elaborate a bit on the dangers of using DEET? I still have half a bottle of 35% laying around and am rather curious to the effects.

Igor

2541. Re: Baercat 245XLT vs Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 16:18:20 UTC
From: BIG DADDY KING <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16d45e37133dc96398971a@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 52210

In article <J6ja8.39764$fK1.4042631@rwcrnsc54>, dstanley23@attbi.com
says...
> Thanks, Now to find a 1.01 or 1.03, That is the quest!
>
>
> "chester" <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message
> news:3c697b48.1655330@news.teranews.com...
> >
> > >"David" <dstanley23@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:<JWZ98.32780$fK1.3614188@rwcrnsc54>...
> > >> Same price when you consider the charger and batteries
> > >>
> > >> Input on which is better, I am thinking of the bearcat
> > >>
> >
> > Get the Pro 93. It blows away the 245 in any way, shape, and form.
> > And it doesn't take telephone battery packs.
> >
> >
>
>
>

The battery packs and the real lack of quality control have kept me from
buying a 235 or 245. I have resolded sever speaker jacks on different
ones, and one wasn't soldered at all!

I'll probably be gettting a 93 soon.

BDK

2542. Question: PRO-93 & Programing Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 17:02:52 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GrHE01.CLL@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 52211

Is the programming cable for the PRO-92 compatible with the PRO-93? The guy at the store sold me the programming package with PRO-93 scanner only to find out later that he was wrong. I'll keep the programming package if the cable can be used with the PRO-93 and something like Don Starr's Win93 software.

Thanks, Stan

2543. Re: Question: PRO-93 & Programing Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 17:54:27 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C6AA853.FF8D125@optonline.net>
Source record: 52212

The PRO-92 cable is 100% compatible with the PRO-93.

BUT, Radio Shack does not sell a PRO-92 cable in the store, only through mail order at the store or over the phone. This package sells for around $70.

If you bought a cable/software package at the store, and it does not say FOR THE PRO-92 on the box, take it back. The cable will not work with the PRO-93.

Walt

Stanley Kaliski wrote:
>
> Is the programming cable for the PRO-92 compatible with the PRO-93? The guy
> at the store sold me the programming package with PRO-93 scanner only to
> find out later that he was wrong. I'll keep the programming package if the
> cable can be used with the PRO-93 and something like Don Starr's Win93
> software.
>
> Thanks, Stan

2544. Olympic Comm's Now online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 18:35:55 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <fmya8.629$rL.612@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 52213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check it out at http://www.shoutcast.com and do a search for Scanner. They should be the top 2.

Excellent comm's here folks.

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca

2545. Re: Olympic Comm's Now online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 18:37:53 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <5oya8.631$rL.157@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 52214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I dont' know why it didn't go through properly but you cam find these on
http://www.shoutcast.com and do a search for scanner.

--
Put Your City's Emergency Communications Online@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

National Capital Scanner Discussion Forum for Ottawa, ON, Canada@
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

NYSING - New York State Incident Notification Group & Ontario@
http://www.nysing.org

Interesting Scanner News Stories. Post them here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanner_news_stories

Canada's # 1 Leading Retailer :)
http://www.thebeerstore.ca
"

2546. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 18:39:18 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020213123920.34930f1f.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52215

hey i just got those two adapter tips at RS. i tried with my ti graphlink cable and for sending from scanner to computer it definently doesnt work, but for the other way its weird, the computer thinks it sent everythign fine, adn while its sending the scanner starts scanning again, and when its done scanner goes back to transfer mode or whatever, but theres nothing in the scanner that tells me the stuff got transfereed... i guess it didnt work

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 01:03:36 -0600
"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote:

> Yes.
> One adapter changes the size (sub-mini to mini) and the other changes from
> stereo tip to a mono tip.
>
> I found some schematics for the TI-85 on the web. Of course they use the
> same pins from the DB9 with the Pro-93 but one TI-85 plan had it using a 3
> contact or stereo plug and another had a mono 2-way adapter. Weird.
>
> You will enjoy the ease of programming it with the cable and the software
> from Mr. Don Starr, Win93.
>
> Its a really good unit, even with the first revision text bugs.
>
>
> "fusQuanto" <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
> news:20020213004709.1cd75d06.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com...
> > hey thanks for hte ebay tip tho, i went ahead and baught one now. i
> checked on radiosmakc's page for those two prats, they look like the same
> thing, i need both?
>
>
>

2547. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 18:44:13 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C6AB3FD.DCD74ACF@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52216

Greg wrote:
>
> On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 14:37:05 GMT, X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
> wrote:
>
> >How come (most of) the people here can't just answer a simple question
> >without the need to editorialize ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?
>
> Maybe because jamming cell phones is against FCC regulations, and is a
> felony?

And I thought this was an international forum!

Dave

2548. Antenna for 108MHz - 174MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 18:46:00 UTC
From: Hanno <hannoo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c6ab48d.0@news1.mweb.co.za>
Source record: 52217

Hi Everybody,

I have been an avid shortwave listener over the last 2 years and have now taken an interest in lsitening in the VHF range. I have an old Kenwood R-5000 with a VHF converter installed.

My question is: what inexpensive VHF antenna can I construct or purchase that would suite my rig and that frequency range? I don't live in the USA either so that cancels out many purchasing options for me. A pity, you folks in the States have so many more options than myself living in a third-world country.

Advice is welcome. Thanks.

Hanno

2549. Re: RS Pro-93 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 18:51:33 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020213125136.5659877b.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52218

hey i just go it to work!! i can send from scanner to computer. all my stuff showed up, im sure this time heh. but, i cant send from computer to scanner. : (this is worthless

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 18:39:18 GMT
fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote:

> hey i just got those two adapter tips at RS. i tried with my ti graphlink cable and for sending from scanner to computer it definently doesnt work, but for the other way its weird, the computer thinks it sent everythign fine, adn while its sending the scanner starts scanning again, and when its done scanner goes back to transfer mode or whatever, but theres nothing in the scanner that tells me the stuff got transfereed... i guess it didnt work
> >
> >
> > On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 01:03:36 -0600
> > "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote:
> >
> > > Yes.
> > > One adapter changes the size (sub-mini to mini) and the other changes from
> > > stereo tip to a mono tip.
> > >
> > > I found some schematics for the TI-85 on the web. Of course they use the
> > > same pins from the DB9 with the Pro-93 but one TI-85 plan had it using a 3
> > > contact or stereo plug and another had a mono 2-way adapter. Weird.
> > >
> > > You will enjoy the ease of programming it with the cable and the software
> > > from Mr. Don Starr, Win93.
> > >
> > > Its a really good unit, even with the first revision text bugs.
> > >
> > >
> > > "fusQuanto" <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020213004709.1cd75d06.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com...
> > > > hey thanks for hte ebay tip tho, i went ahead and baught one now. i
> > > checked on radiosmakc's page for those two prats, they look like the same
> > > thing, i need both?
> > >
> > >
> > >

2550. pro 93 cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 19:25:19 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020213132521.01868443.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52221

hey i am using my texas instruments calculator link cable on my pro93 scanner.. i can send from scanner to computer fine but not from computer to scanner? anyone know of any possible workarounds? the ti link has 3/32 connector, so i got those two adapters from RS...

2551. FS. Bearcat 8500xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 19:31:50 UTC
From: digitaljamz1@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020213143150.18975.00000984@mb-mk.news.cs.com>
Source record: 52219

Bc 8500xlt 500 channel Scanner with alpha numeric display very good condition
$150.00 Los Angeles Area Only...email Digitaljamz1@cs.com
LosAngeles Ca. Radio Monitoring

2552. Re: frequency scanning help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 19:42:52 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020213144252.08560.00000815@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 52220

To Search a range on the BC-800XLT:

Press MANUAL
Enter Lower-limit (Example 118.0)
Press LIMIT
Enter High-limit (Example 120.0)
Press LIMIT
Press SEARCH.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

>I have a bearcat 800xlt and I am able to scan channels that I program
>into the unit. However, I am unable to work out how to scan a range
>of frequencies. Can anyone offer some advice, including the steps to
>take in order to accomplish this.

2553. Re: Question: PRO-93 & Programing Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 19:51:19 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GrHLss.Luv@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 52222

OK, now I'm confused. What I have is something called the "Scanner PC Programming Kit" (Cat.#: 20-048). It only cost $14.99 and I bought it at the store when I bought my scanner. It came in a plastic pouch and consisted of a CD-Rom and the cable (DB-9 on one end and a 1/8" stereo mini on the other). The guy in the store said it would work with the scanner I was buying (93), however, I found he was mistaken after I loaded the program. The closest model in the set up to the PRO-93 was the PRO-92 (or at least I thought it did after looking at the website, it didn't list the Cat.# for the 92). Unfortunately I'm at work and the program is at home.

I'm not familiar with a setup that costs 70 bucks. I couldn't find anything but the one I mentioned above on there web site. Can you help straighten me out??? Your help is greatly appreciated.

Once again, Thanks, Stan

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3C6AA853.FF8D125@optonline.net...
> The PRO-92 cable is 100% compatible with the PRO-93.
>
> BUT, Radio Shack does not sell a PRO-92 cable in the store, only through
> mail order at the store or over the phone. This package sells for
> around $70.
>
> If you bought a cable/software package at the store, and it does not say
> FOR THE PRO-92 on the box, take it back. The cable will not work with
> the PRO-93.
>
> Walt
>
> Stanley Kaliski wrote:
> >
> > Is the programming cable for the PRO-92 compatible with the PRO-93? The
guy
> > at the store sold me the programming package with PRO-93 scanner only to
> > find out later that he was wrong. I'll keep the programming package if
the
> > cable can be used with the PRO-93 and something like Don Starr's Win93
> > software.
> >
> > Thanks, Stan

2554. Uniden MR-8100 FS $115

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 20:22:58 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0202131222.2149bd3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52223

Uniden MR-8100, Professional scanner from early 90's with copy of manual, AC adaptor, new DC cord, New unused speaker. Mounting bracket, new knob kit, $115 shipped Sorry no scan, Good condition. works well.

$115 shipped lower 48.

Thanks,

John

2555. Re: Icom R2 Problem - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 20:45:35 UTC
From: Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <a4ej9f$h26$0@216.155.62.129>
Source record: 52224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I got mine back when they first came out - 3 or 4 years ago. The number
is 1590.

Jim KR2T

DeWayne wrote:
>
> The defective R2's SN is 4138. Can anyone tell me what the new SN's are? Is
> this really a late production or are they trying to stick me with something
> that's been around a while?
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
> on
> > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new
> > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >

2556. Re: Question re. Air Traffic Controllers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 21:02:21 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10202131357030.7208-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 52226

I was at an airport at the edge of the airfield once and I saw a sign
that said "HOLD 16-34." 160 degrees plus 180 degrees equals 340 degrees
and this was the approximate orientation of one of the runways as far as I
could see. The sign appeared to be facing any aircraft about to enter a
runway from a taxiway. Was this sign a sort of "stop sign" for aircraft
about to enter runway 16-34? If not, what did this sign mean?

>
> Other jargon often heard is the use of runway identifiers based on shortened
> compass bearings.
> 927 = 90º - 270º
> 1331 = 130º - 310º
> and so forth.
>
> >
> >
> > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 14:52:13 -0700, "Ian W. Douglas"
> > <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >I have been listening in on radio traffic at my local international

2557. Re: INfo on RELM RE2000Alpha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 21:02:35 UTC
From: Gary S <garys2@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <1103_1013634518@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52230

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 02:46:46 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

> I have had both scanners, and I still own the RE2000 Alpha. I found the
> SC200 to the typical, cheaply made Uniden product, with the proprietary
> battery pack, and very deaf, IMHO.
>
> I love the RE2000, use it the most of all my hand helds, and I have them
> all. Very well made, shielded, and sensitive.

I second that! The RE2000 is based on the HS 200 which is a terrific scanner.

Gary

2558. Re: Icom R2 Problem - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 21:26:13 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <VRAa8.46663$Hu6.11535065@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I am concerned because two people have told me they had 8000 and 9000 SN's.
Mine is 4138. Something doesn't seem right.
DeWayne KE9K

> I got mine back when they first came out - 3 or 4 years ago. The number
> is 1590.
>
> Jim KR2T
>
> DeWayne wrote:
> >
> > The defective R2's SN is 4138. Can anyone tell me what the new SN's are?
Is
> > this really a late production or are they trying to stick me with
something
> > that's been around a while?
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> > "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> > news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or
so
> > on
> > > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed
new
> > > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using
any
> > > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > > DeWayne KE9K
> > >
> > >

2559. Re: Icom R2 Problem - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 22:17:11 UTC
From: Nick <Nick@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <t6rJU2AnXua8Ewfv@greenhse.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 52227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <VRAa8.46663$Hu6.11535065@typhoon.neo.rr.com>, DeWayne
<ke9k@mac.com> writes
>I am concerned because two people have told me they had 8000 and 9000 SN's.
>Mine is 4138. Something doesn't seem right.
>DeWayne KE9K

FYI, mine is 11844 and is probably around 9 months old.

However, they may well have different serial numbers for the UK, Euro or
US models...?

Nick

>
>> I got mine back when they first came out - 3 or 4 years ago. The number
>> is 1590.
>>
>> Jim KR2T
>>
>> DeWayne wrote:
>> >
>> > The defective R2's SN is 4138. Can anyone tell me what the new SN's are?
>Is
>> > this really a late production or are they trying to stick me with
>something
>> > that's been around a while?
>> > DeWayne KE9K
>> >
>> > "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
>> > news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
>> > > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or
>so
>> > on
>> > > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed
>new
>> > > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using
>any
>> > > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
>> > > DeWayne KE9K
>> > >
>> > >
>
>

--
SuSE Linux user
http://www.suse.co.uk

2560. Re: 67 MPH gusts destroy my RS Discone...snap 20', .250 aluminum masts in two.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 22:32:21 UTC
From: Val <valair@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a4ephk$9or$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52228

I have my discone in the attic, and I find it better as it doesn't bring in
so much *white noise* static, I have compared it indoors and out, signal
strength is identical, just a clearer signal when its in the attic.

Val

"Paul Lochner" <paulsfd@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:UNva8.77497$th4.23515691@news02.optonline.net...
>
> John...how well does it work in the attic???? I have mine on my old TV
ant.
> pole on the roof, but this means running 50' of coax to my basement den.
My
> Diamond is only about 8 to 10' from my power lines. Does anyone think
this
> can degrade my reception of lo-band??
> > This is what I use, however mine is installed in an attic so it's always
> > protected. I've had both the Diamond and a RS discone (circa 1987 or
so -
> > no top element). The RS model worked great (except for HF - no vertical,
> > remember?), but was a toy by comparison when it came to construction.
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 08/02/02

2561. Re: 67 MPH gusts destroy my RS Discone...snap 20', .250 aluminum masts in two.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 22:34:15 UTC
From: Val <valair@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a4epl7dgm1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52229

67 Mph gusts!!!!, next doors cat sat on my roof, farted, and brought my 24
element Yagi crashing to the ground.

--
The Sorcerer
remove Spam for reply

"John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
news:a4e058$1emjf1$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de...
> On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 08:16:54 -0500, Matt wrote:
>
> > Dimond D130J discone.
> >
> > 25Mhz-1300Mhz reception. I've had brilliant results with this antenna...
> > just use decent coax cable..
> >
> >
> >
>
> This is what I use, however mine is installed in an attic so it's always
> protected. I've had both the Diamond and a RS discone (circa 1987 or so -
> no top element). The RS model worked great (except for HF - no vertical,
> remember?), but was a toy by comparison when it came to construction.
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 08/02/02

2562. Re: Question re. Air Traffic Controllers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 22:54:18 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C6AEE99.B8CF0163@optonline.net>
Source record: 52231

You got it! HOLD, means STOP and await permission to enter or cross the
runway.

"Ian W. Douglas" wrote:
>
> I was at an airport at the edge of the airfield once and I saw a sign
> that said "HOLD 16-34." 160 degrees plus 180 degrees equals 340 degrees
> and this was the approximate orientation of one of the runways as far as I
> could see. The sign appeared to be facing any aircraft about to enter a
> runway from a taxiway. Was this sign a sort of "stop sign" for aircraft
> about to enter runway 16-34? If not, what did this sign mean?
>
> >
> > Other jargon often heard is the use of runway identifiers based on shortened
> > compass bearings.
> > 927 = 90ï¿½ - 270ï¿½
> > 1331 = 130ï¿½ - 310ï¿½
> > and so forth.
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 14:52:13 -0700, "Ian W. Douglas"
> > > <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >I have been listening in on radio traffic at my local international

2563. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-13 23:29:24 UTC
From: donniedj@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <408b1904.0202131529.200376d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52232
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote in message news:<A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>...

People please! Focus on the scientic means and not on the legal or moral rights. Todd questions not on how to break laws. Todd is questioning on "how", not on why. I know of a great number of reason to jam an RF signal, each being as important as the existance of communication itself. I will not trouble myself with the burden of convincing, or supplying explanations. Lets assume inocense and work from there. Besides, where is all the hoop-la contradicting FM and Police Radar jamming? How many traffic laws the dammers here adhere to? Cable stealing, product modifying hipocrates are inumerable, so raise your hands just for the hell of it!

Donnie James

2564. FS Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 00:17:47 UTC
From: fasteddy64@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c3770ba1.0202131617.464e0a85@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

VR-500 like new in the box with all original accessories plus a nice soft case.
This is a blocked US version.
$185 shipped CONUS.

Will do some trading for a nice tabletop shortwave receiver.

Thanks,
Ed

2565. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 00:46:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <4tsl6uk7t7sldk2m9atmsm70uam5c5q5nf@4ax.com>
Source record: 52234
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 22:50:28 -0500, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>Selected portion of prebious post:

>> >Oh and I love the previous comment about jamming police communications -
>> >which is, of course, much less difficult than disabling cell phones.

>> Not at all. A PD walkie-talkie can get its job done even if the
>> receiver is being jammed. A cell phone can't register with no
>> received signal.

>At the risk of continuing this nonsense.

>I understand you are saying that a cell phone won't work with no
>received signal but a PD walkie-talkie will??

When you push the button on a walkie-talkie, it transmits. Period.
It can't even be looking for a received signal at that time. If
there's an emergency (say a gas explosion right under [or in] a
restaurant), a cop will call for help, even if the jamming device is
preventing him from hearing anything.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2566. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 00:46:20 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <61tl6u479rttkjhe4f99el4rt7hruj2gn4@4ax.com>
Source record: 52235
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On 13 Feb 2002 04:57:47 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) posted
in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 00:56:55 GMT, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org> wrote:

>>Not at all. A PD walkie-talkie can get its job done even if the
>>receiver is being jammed. A cell phone can't register with no
>>received signal.

>Depends. On most public safety trunking systems, if the subscriber
>unit, ie the PD walkie Talkie, cannot hear the control channel, it is
>useless. Won't transmit. If you jam the control channel, which is
>easy, then the radio will not work.

On a trunked system, yes.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2567. Pro-2066 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 00:54:58 UTC
From: GORDON SMITH <kc2bw@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C6B0B27.DC34052A@att.net>
Source record: 52236

Just picked up one off Ebay. It has a display backlight installed, but it doesn't work. Bulb is ok, no voltage to the bulb. Were these manufactured to work? It looks like some components were not installed on th pc board. Called Rad Shack in Tx. and they were no help. Wouldn't even sell me a schematic or service manuel cause of the 800 mhz block. Any help with what components that supply the light appreciated.

Thanks...Gordon
--
To reply take the 33 from the address

2568. When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 00:59:33 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16582-3C6B0BF5-49@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52237

I have a 100 channel Uniden Sportcat. I have been waiting for the PSP to start useing the 800 trunking freq. since two yrs ago. I used to clean a local barracks and noticed the Captain received a bearcat trunking receiver. But as far as I can tell they haven't begun to use the system yet. Maybe they do in larger metro areas but not here in the boonies. Any help with this and info on my scanner would be great .
thanks
~Stan~

2569. How do I check the firmware version (PRO-93)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 01:45:04 UTC
From: Tanker <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4a5m6uolnh327virvhqa9qerabprdpkk0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 52238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How do I check the firmware version (PRO-93)?

I have read some posts indicating that a new firmware version is out.
How can you tell what the firmware version is? Is there a key
sequence to display the version?

Thanks in advance, Stan

2570. Re: What would you do with this Pro-93 with vers 1.0?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 01:56:35 UTC
From: Latvia Lenny <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a4f5glepc1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 52239

"Stanley Kaliski" <tanker001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:GrHApu.96p@news.boeing.com...
> I bought one too last weekend, so far I haven't seen any problems. What
> kind of problems are there with this scanner, are they major ones or more
> like nuisances? Also, how do you check what version the firm ware is?
>
> Thanks, Stan

Stan-

Just after turning it on (immediately when the "Welcome" message appears)
hit "3." That'll give you the version-it'll say version 1.0 most likely.
Then join the Yahoo "Pro 93" group--go to the documents section and you'll
find a file listing all of the (minor) bugs. Most are totally
inconsequential, but some "power users" are in a bit of a snit over the
so-called "alpha tag" that repeats tags on two banks. You can read a lot
more than you will ever want to know about it in the group. Frankly, I have
version 1.0 and wouldn't even be bothered exchanging it if I had the chance.
It is simply not that big a deal to me personally given the fact that this
is ONE FANTASTIC SCANNER (and none of the "issues" affect it's primary
function). To each his own.

2571. Re: How do I check the firmware version (PRO-93)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 02:14:18 UTC
From: jsbray <jsbray@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <_3Fa8.201$p74.74012876@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

when you first turn on the scanner and its displaying welcome to dual
trunking press# 3

Tanker <tanker001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4a5m6uolnh327virvhqa9qerabprdpkk0i@4ax.com...
> How do I check the firmware version (PRO-93)?
>
> I have read some posts indicating that a new firmware version is out.
> How can you tell what the firmware version is? Is there a key
> sequence to display the version?
>
> Thanks in advance, Stan
>

2572. Re: Uniden MR-8100 FS $115

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 02:28:31 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <jhFa8.120568$Ar5.4680966@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 52241

John,
Is the scan feature broken or do you mean no search function?
mark
"John Miller" <bfdp1@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1235373c.0202131222.2149bd3@posting.google.com...
> Uniden MR-8100, Professional scanner from early 90's with copy of
> manual, AC adaptor, new DC cord, New unused speaker. Mounting bracket,
> new knob kit, $115 shipped Sorry no scan, Good condition. works well.
>
> $115 shipped lower 48.
>
> Thanks,
>
> John

2573. bearcat 855xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 02:47:29 UTC
From: rwcan@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020213214729.02400.00000057@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 52242

I have a bearcat 855xlt, but since I have moved I cannot find the manual.
Would appreciate a copy form someone.

2574. Re: Pro-2066 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 03:05:47 UTC
From: GORDON SMITH <kc2bw@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C6B29D0.95A84DAC@att.net>
Source record: 52243

Well I found the problem. A broken trace in the ps circuit. Is this
model subject a lot of intermod on an outside fixed antenna?

Gordon

GORDON SMITH wrote:
>
> Just picked up one off Ebay. It has a display backlight installed, but
> it doesn't work. Bulb is ok, no voltage to the bulb. Were these
> manufactured to work? It looks like some components were not installed
> on th pc board. Called Rad Shack in Tx. and they were no help. Wouldn't
> even sell me a schematic or service manuel cause of the 800 mhz block.
> Any help with what components that supply the light appreciated.
>
> Thanks...Gordon
> --
> To reply take the 33 from the address

--
To reply take the 33 from the address

2575. reset BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 03:14:52 UTC
From: Bill Kirkner <billrk513@cs.com>
Message-ID: <a4fa3s$ea7$1@suaar1ac.prod.compuserve.com>
Source record: 52244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me how to reset my bearcat 895xlt. It has gotten out of hand with junk.

thanks
Bill
billrk513@cs.com

2576. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 03:24:39 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C6B2DF7.8D76C30C@USA.net>
Source record: 52245

Stan, from what I understand PA needs a minimum of 250 towers in place, presently they only have 125 .

I hear that some of the metro areas in the eastern part of PA are up and running and as expected are having problems.

Almost forgot, Erie area is supposed to be up also. That makes sense, Ridge was from Erie.

It would not disappoint me if this new statewide system wasn't totally up and running in the next three years. They are going to have continual problems with 800 MHz in PA with all of the mountains and hills. As you may know, 800 is line of sight communications when at it's best. Around the country Motorola keeps pushing a system in areas that it will not work effectively. Pittsburgh is a very good example, police and fire went back to UHF (400 MHz) and the garbage collection crews are the ones on the 800 MHz system from what I hear.

Stan Self wrote:

> I have a 100 channel Uniden Sportcat. I have been waiting for the PSP to
> start useing the 800 trunking freq. since two yrs ago. I used to clean a
> local barracks and noticed the Captain received a bearcat trunking
> receiver. But as far as I can tell they haven't begun to use the system
> yet. Maybe they do in larger metro areas but not here in the boonies.
> Any help with this and info on my scanner would be great .
> thanks
> ~Stan~

2577. Re: Question: PRO-93 & Programing Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 03:31:59 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <3fbm6ukongh21uu7rn3bhmvb5ck04jg9e2@4ax.com>
Source record: 52246

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 19:51:19 GMT, "Stanley Kaliski"
<tanker001@yahoo.com> wrote:

>OK, now I'm confused. What I have is something called the "Scanner PC
>Programming Kit" (Cat.#: 20-048). It only cost $14.99 and I bought it at
>the store when I bought my scanner. It came in a plastic pouch and
>consisted of a CD-Rom and the cable (DB-9 on one end and a 1/8" stereo mini
[snip]

A lot of people have been mistakenly sold that package.

The cable/software Radio Shack sells for the PRO-92 is available
on-line at:

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog_name=CTLG&product_id=940-1223

It's $70, and the software won't do you any good with the 93.

For a rundown on cables for the 92 or 93 (same cable) visit:

http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcable.htm

If you're going to buy a cable, the one from Purple Computing is very
good.

For excellent free software for the 93 visit:

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html

There is a bunch of discussion on cables and software at the Yahoo!
pro-93 group. But Win93 and the Purple cable are the quick answer.

Ken Plotkin

2578. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 03:43:06 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C6B3248.24C3C127@optonline.net>
Source record: 52147

I agree with all you said re the new PA system, except, it is not
Motorola pushing it. The system is being designed/built by MA-COM.

jr127@USA.net wrote:
>
> Stan, from what I understand PA needs a minimum of 250 towers in place,
> presently they only have 125 .
>
> I hear that some of the metro areas in the eastern part of PA are up and
> running and as expected are having problems.
>
> Almost forgot, Erie area is supposed to be up also. That makes sense, Ridge
> was from Erie.
>
> It would not disappoint me if this new statewide system wasn't totally up
> and running in the next three years. They are going to have continual
> problems with 800 MHz in PA with all of the mountains and hills. As you may
> know, 800 is line of sight communications when at it's best. Around the
> country Motorola keeps pushing a system in areas that it will not work
> effectively. Pittsburgh is a very good example, police and fire went back
> to UHF (400 MHz) and the garbage collection crews are the ones on the 800
> MHz system from what I hear.
>
> Stan Self wrote:
>
> > I have a 100 channel Uniden Sportcat. I have been waiting for the PSP to
> > start useing the 800 trunking freq. since two yrs ago. I used to clean a
> > local barracks and noticed the Captain received a bearcat trunking
> > receiver. But as far as I can tell they haven't begun to use the system
> > yet. Maybe they do in larger metro areas but not here in the boonies.
> > Any help with this and info on my scanner would be great .
> > thanks
> > ~Stan~

2579. Re: reset BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 03:52:05 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <15cm6uk7fa0gbhl26fg48s5soo5bpajlqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 52148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Bill Kirkner" <billrk513@cs.com> spake thusly

>Can anyone tell me how to reset my bearcat 895xlt. It has gotten out of hand
>with junk.

Hold down the 2, 9, and manual keys while turning the radio on will reset it completely.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2580. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 03:55:09 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3c6b347d.425106822@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 52149

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 22:24:39 -0500, jr127@USA.net wrote:

>Stan, from what I understand PA needs a minimum of 250 towers in place,
>presently they only have 125 .
>
>I hear that some of the metro areas in the eastern part of PA are up and
>running and as expected are having problems.
>
>Almost forgot, Erie area is supposed to be up also. That makes sense, Ridge
>was from Erie.
>
>It would not disappoint me if this new statewide system wasn't totally up
>and running in the next three years. They are going to have continual
>problems with 800 MHz in PA with all of the mountains and hills. As you may
>know, 800 is line of sight communications when at it's best. Around the
>country Motorola keeps pushing a system in areas that it will not work
>effectively. Pittsburgh is a very good example, police and fire went back
>to UHF (400 MHz) and the garbage collection crews are the ones on the 800
>MHz system from what I hear.
>

and indication of the problem is the cell phone service in PA....you
often cant get a signal at the philly airport, or on the PA turnpike.
large areas of PA are virtually inaccessible by radio without a
facility in the immediate area. besides, PA state govt is very, very
backwards..

"This difference between liberalism and conservatism
must not be obscured by the fact that in the United
States it is still possible to defend individual
liberty by defending long-established institutions.
To the liberal they are valuable not mainly because
they are long established or because they are
American but because they correspond to the
ideals which he cherishes."

F. A. Hayek in "Why I am not a Conservative"

2581. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 03:58:47 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28881-3C6B35F7-12@storefull-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52150

Thanks for the info
~Stan~

2582. Olympic radio messages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 04:47:13 UTC
From: tork <davidtork@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <IjHa8.3815$SJ3.164650@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52151

http://www.drudgereport.com/flash4.htm
CIA puzzled by Olympic radio messages
02/12/2002

Salt Lake City (dpa) - The CIA must feel puzzled by some strange communications after revelations on Tuesday that coaches and other training staff used their frequencies for radio messages at several Olympic venues.

Citing unnamed sources, the German National Olympic Committee said that there were some serious radio disturbances during the first days of Olympic competition. The NOC said that the frequencies had not been co-ordinated before the Games.

The NOC said that the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) ``could not make a lot of sense of the instructions for obvious reasons''.

Radio messages are mainly used at alpine and cross-country ski events as well as the biathlon where the coaches are spread all over the course.

The CIA cannot have been happy with the incidents as the Olympics are the scene of unprecedented security measures in the wake of the September 11 terrorist attacks in the U.S.

2583. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 05:23:42 UTC
From: j <itsrainin@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C6B656B.13294EB0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 52153
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

donnie makes a good point...

Donnie James wrote:

> todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote in message
news:<A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>...
>
> People please! Focus on the scientic means and not on the legal or
> moral rights. Todd questions not on how to break laws. Todd is
> questioning on "how", not on why. I know of a great number of reason
> to jam an RF signal, each being as important as the existance of
> communication itself. I will not trouble myself with the burden of
> convincing, or supplying explanations. Lets assume inocense and work
> from there. Besides, where is all the hoop-la contradicting FM and
> Police Radar jamming? How many traffic laws the dammers here adhere
> to? Cable stealing, product modifying hipocrates are inumerable, so
> raise your hands just for the hell of it!
>
> Donnie James

2584. Reading Pa. Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 05:26:00 UTC
From: Bill Kirkner <billrk513@cs.com>
Message-ID: <a4fhpdiav1@suaar1ac.prod.compuserve.com>
Source record: 52152
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me if Reading city police is still using the trunk system?
Also if not what freq.s are they on?

Thanks in advance for your help
Bill
billrk513@cs.com

2585. digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 06:16:45 UTC
From: Avraam <avraam3334@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hDIa8.50489$fK1.4143458@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 52154

Hi,

I'm a programmer so I see everything from the programmer point of view.
Here is the problem, if my 780 can receive digital signals but is doesn't know how to decode those signals, maybe we can get them in
some ways inside in our computers and decode them using software?
if there is a way to get those signals inside my computer, and if we can find the algorithm they use to code/decode those signals...
then the problem is solved...
I am right or I am wrong?

--
-avraam
http://www.barghis.com
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/index.htm
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/contributors.htm

2586. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 06:27:57 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <hnlm6uka80belgmeqa1clio0hmrcr1k8qk@4ax.com>
Source record: 52155
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

I didn't have time to read the zillion replies so I hope i'm not being redundant. Most people on the cellular NGs will take offense at your request , take that into consideration.
The Israelis make and sell tri-band jammers. They are commonly available in the waterfront markets in NYC or S.F. ..! Like the (also commonly found) 15 watt cordless phones , cb amplifiers , active radar / laser jammers , and other " non type approved " electronics sales they aren't supposed to be available ..but are VERY common. IN NYC , restaurants, churches and many other places use jammers regularly to control the rude and disrespectful phone users.
They are illegal ..they irritate people on the cellular NGs (they take away the " power " they feel about pocket phones) .. but they are all over the place ..in many large metro areas. They are pretty kewl because most people NEVER get they are being actively jammed. Lots of the NG users have had them used on them and didn't have a clue.

a_alpha1

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote:

>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
>I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
>phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
>Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
>are the plans?
>
>Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
>phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>

2587. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 06:32:38 UTC
From: Douglas Gavilanes <gavilan1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C6B59CF.8EF97F4A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52156
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Doesn't Rat Shack sell the discone without the top loading coil and whip? At least that's how they did it a few years back, as far as I can remember. That cuts out the low end frequencies.

I've had a Diamond D-130J since 1972 (I bought it from the Heathkit store in downtown L.A.), and it's been up at about 40 feet for all of that time, at 3 different QTHs. It's down right now because I recently re-roofed. It's still immaculate, but the black plastic loading coil has discolored. The label finally fell off (but it left an image of same in the aluminum underneath, and I can still read it clearly). No corrosion. It's been through 50 to 70mph Santa Ana winds that rip trees out and bend masts. No damage. Even the rubber rod tips are in great shape. I'm so happy with that antenna that I just bought a second one from AES in Las Vegas for about $89, and the pair will soon be up again, hopefully for another 30 years. For what it's worth, I hope that this helps.

73,

Doug Gavilanes, N6XQY
Garden Grove, CA.

DougSlug wrote:

> Besides price, what are the differences between the Radio Shack discone and
> the
> Diamond D-130J?
>
> - Doug

2588. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 06:42:00 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020214014200.29330.00001766@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52157

>How come (most of) the people here can't just answer a simple question
>without the need to editorialize ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

I may be mistaken, but I thought the purpose of newsgroups were to discuss rational ideas. Since there was no rational idea in the original post, opinion took over.

2589. Re: Pro-2066 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 06:52:12 UTC
From: Douglas Gavilanes <gavilan1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C6B5E69.E58916F0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52158

Not mine. Do you have any nearby strong RF sources?

Doug

GORDON SMITH wrote:

> Well I found the problem. A broken trace in the ps circuit. Is this
> model subject a lot of intermod on an outside fixed antenna?
>
> Gordon
>
> GORDON SMITH wrote:
> >
> > Just picked up one off Ebay. It has a display backlight installed, but
> > it doesn't work. Bulb is ok, no voltage to the bulb. Were these
> > manufactured to work? It looks like some components were not installed
> > on th pc board. Called Rad Shack in Tx. and they were no help. Wouldn't
> > even sell me a schematic or service manuel cause of the 800 mhz block.
> > Any help with what components that supply the light appreciated.
> >
> > Thanks...Gordon
> > --
> > To reply take the 33 from the address
>
> --
> To reply take the 33 from the address

2590. Re: Icom R2 Problem - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 07:19:38 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a4fod4$1e0rg3$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Dewayne, I ordered mine just a couple of days before you said on the newsgroups that you ordered yours from Burghardts as well. Mine is 4021 and there is NO FCC sticker that says, "It is illegal to modify this radio balh blah blah."

Hmmmm.....

I thought I read somehwere concerning the stickers and the Q7a mod. ... You made me VERY curious and found that my mainboard rev is B-5146C

Does that help anyone any?

My case,box and manual were OBVIOUS new. I have NOT had the same power problems that you have.

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:VRAa8.46663$Hu6.11535065@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> I am concerned because two people have told me they had 8000 and 9000 SN's.
> Mine is 4138. Something doesn't seem right.
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> > I got mine back when they first came out - 3 or 4 years ago. The number
> > is 1590.
> >
> > Jim KR2T
> >
> > DeWayne wrote:
> > >
> > > The defective R2's SN is 4138. Can anyone tell me what the new SN's are?
> Is
> > > this really a late production or are they trying to stick me with
> something
> > > that's been around a while?
> > > DeWayne KE9K
> > >
> > > "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> > > news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or
> so
> > > on
> > > > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed

> new
> > > > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using
> any
> > > > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > > > DeWayne KE9K
> > > >
> > > >
>
>

2591. Re: Pro-2066 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 07:26:59 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <WzJa8.22$Qo6.17256@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 52160

I use a 2066 in my mobile, and had some problem with VHF pager interference, but eliminated it with a PAR Electronics notch filter. Not the cheapest solution (though certainly not the most expensive, by a long shot!), but, IMHO, well worth the price. I've been using PAR filters for 6-8 years now, at least, and have always been satisfied with their performance and quality. Here is their website: http://parelectronics.com/index.html They are also available from various dealers, such as Grove, A.E.S., etc. There are some tunable units on the market, but performance is likely to be lower, and the tuning could change. PAR filters are tuned to exact frequencies and sealed to prevent drifting. No, I don't work for PAR, nor do I get a kickback. I've had occasion to deal with the owner on various things over the years, and he has always been friendly and helpful.

Mark

"GORDON SMITH" <kc2bw@att.net> wrote in message
news:3C6B29D0.95A84DAC@att.net...
> Well I found the problem. A broken trace in the ps circuit. Is this
> model subject a lot of intermod on an outside fixed antenna?
>
> Gordon
>
> GORDON SMITH wrote:
> >
> > Just picked up one off Ebay. It has a display backlight installed, but
> > it doesn't work. Bulb is ok, no voltage to the bulb. Were these
> > manufactured to work? It looks like some components were not installed
> > on th pc board. Called Rad Shack in Tx. and they were no help. Wouldn't
> > even sell me a schematic or service manuel cause of the 800 mhz block.
> > Any help with what components that supply the light appreciated.
> >
> > Thanks...Gordon
> > --
> > To reply take the 33 from the address
>
> --
> To reply take the 33 from the address

2592. Re: digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 07:34:57 UTC
From: Avraam <avraam3334@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BMJa8.56027$AV5.329595@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52161

> A 780 does not have the necessary hardware to
>decode a APCO-25 digital transmission. Uniden

that is why if we make a software application to do just that maybe we can listen the decoded transmission in the computer's
speakers. my question is "how to get the coded signal inside in the computer?" maybe is as easy as connecting the *speaker out* from
the scanner to the *line in* of the computer and then decode the signal?

--
-avraam
http://www.barghis.com
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/index.htm
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/contributors.htm

2593. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 07:39:11 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27187-3C6B699F-2@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52162

sorry to differ but the captain of the local barracks was issued a basic trunk tracking receiver from Bearcat. I saw the box and delivery slip from Harrisburg on his desk while cleaning. I didn't mean too but it was in the way of my work. I repeat it WILL NOT be digital!
Best Regards
~Stan~

2594. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 09:21:43 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <5F2305706E7ECBB6.60EA53AE3756BC97.FA5A6FB462E2D109@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 52163
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 00:46:20 GMT, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org> wrote:

>On 13 Feb 2002 04:57:47 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) posted
>in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>>Depends. On most public safety trunking systems, if the subscriber
>>unit, ie the PD walkie Talkie, cannot hear the control channel, it is
>>useless. Won't transmit. If you jam the control channel, which is
>>easy, then the radio will not work.
>
>On a trunked system, yes.

And most 800MHz systems, at least around here, are trunked.

The only non-trunked 800MHz systems I can think of off the top of my
head are DART and Park Cities. All of Plano, Denton, Richardson,
Carrolton, Lewisville, Frisco, and their associated county offices are
on various trunked systems.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

2595. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 10:23:22 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27187-3C6B901A-7@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52164

[No readable article body recovered]

2596. FS OptoElectronics model 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 10:54:41 UTC
From: medica@cyberspace.org
Message-ID: <2be55b68.0202140254.7d4b9798@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OptoElectronics scout model 40 for sale
Unit+Power cord ask $280 or best offer.

Contact me by email if your interested

John Medica - Listening-Post

2597. OptoElectronics Scout Model 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 10:55:34 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3C6B97A6.96AD6C53@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 52165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For sale Opto Electronics Scout Model 40
include power cord ask $280 best offer.

Contact by email if your interested.

John Medica - Listening-Post.

2598. Looking for BC 560xlt or 580xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 11:22:56 UTC
From: 19 <fyr19@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <u6n7i8i0h66s05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52167

new/used, with accessories.

lmk
Dave

2599. Re: Pro-2066 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 11:31:25 UTC
From: GORDON SMITH <kc2bw@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C6BA053.AB9B695C@att.net>
Source record: 52168

Not really. Just getting a whole lot more junk than my BC-760s get. I'll
try it in my truck today and see what happens. Hopefully I'll get weaker
signals better than the 760s.
Gordon

Douglas Gavilanes wrote:
>
> Not mine. Do you have any nearby strong RF sources?
>
> Doug
>
> GORDON SMITH wrote:
>
> > Well I found the problem. A broken trace in the ps circuit. Is this
> > model subject a lot of intermod on an outside fixed antenna?
> >
> > Gordon
> >
> > GORDON SMITH wrote:
> > >
> > > Just picked up one off Ebay. It has a display backlight installed, but
> > > it doesn't work. Bulb is ok, no voltage to the bulb. Were these
> > > manufactured to work? It looks like some components were not installed
> > > on th pc board. Called Rad Shack in Tx. and they were no help. Wouldn't
> > > even sell me a schematic or service manuel cause of the 800 mhz block.
> > > Any help with what components that supply the light appreciated.
> > >
> > > Thanks...Gordon
> > > --
> > > To reply take the 33 from the address
> >
> > --
> > To reply take the 33 from the address

--
To reply take the 33 from the address

2600. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 12:30:33 UTC
From: jgln3lwt@aol.comNoSpam
Message-ID: <20020214073033.08750.00000336@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 52169

It will make a great paper weight

2601. Re: digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 12:48:36 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a4gbj5$4an$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 52170

> > A 780 does not have the necessary hardware to
> >decode a APCO-25 digital transmission. Uniden

> that is why if we make a software application to do just that maybe we can
listen the decoded transmission in the computer's
> speakers. my question is "how to get the coded signal inside in the
computer?" maybe is as easy as connecting the *speaker out* from
> the scanner to the *line in* of the computer and then decode the signal?

Are you familiar with a "discriminator-output"?

Bye, Peter Hunt.

2602. Re: Question: PRO-93 & Programing Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 12:56:30 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Grlx9D.5r0@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 52171

Thanks Ken, I have decided to return the scanner. That really sucks that the charge $70 for a cable. In some browsing last night I found a design for a cable that you can make. I'm confident that this is with in my capabilities to manufacture.

Its a bummer, I have been looking at buying a scanner for some time now. My PRO-2021 looks like it came out of the box but as you may know it only scans into the 400MHz range. With the PRO-93 on sale ($80 bucks off) it offered the features I wanted for a price I could handle. BUT, even at $169 I really don't like buying a product that is defective (my opinion). Its not that I think any less of the scanner, I'm really bummed out that the unit has buggy firmware. However, what little money I have to spend on myself these days, I want something that does what its supposed to. I've waited this long, I can wait till I can find a PRO-93 with the newer firmware.

Thanks again, Stan

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message
news:3fbm6ukongh21uu7rn3bhmvb5ck04jg9e2@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 19:51:19 GMT, "Stanley Kaliski"
> <tanker001@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >OK, now I'm confused. What I have is something called the "Scanner PC
> >Programming Kit" (Cat.#: 20-048). It only cost $14.99 and I bought it at
> >the store when I bought my scanner. It came in a plastic pouch and
> >consisted of a CD-Rom and the cable (DB-9 on one end and a 1/8" stereo
mini
> [snip]
>
> A lot of people have been mistakenly sold that package.
>
> The cable/software Radio Shack sells for the PRO-92 is available
> on-line at:
>
>
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog_name=CTLG&product_id=940-1223
>
> It's $70, and the software won't do you any good with the 93.
>
> For a rundown on cables for the 92 or 93 (same cable) visit:
>
> http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcable.htm
>
> If you're going to buy a cable, the one from Purple Computing is very
> good.

>
> For excellent free software for the 93 visit:
>
> http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html
>
> There is a bunch of discussion on cables and software at the Yahoo!
> pro-93 group. But Win93 and the Purple cable are the quick answer.
>
> Ken Plotkin
>

2603. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 13:16:21 UTC
From: Gary S <nospam@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <1104_1013692953@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52177

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 02:39:11 -0500 (EST), Zeawolf@webtv.net (Stan Self) wrote:
> sorry to differ but the captain of the local barracks was issued a basic
> trunk tracking receiver from Bearcat. I saw the box and delivery slip
> from Harrisburg on his desk while cleaning. I didn't mean too but it was
> in the way of my work. I repeat it WILL NOT be digital!
> Best Regards
> ~Stan~
>

Sorry Stan, I don't know what he got that scanner for, but it's not for the PSP system. In fact, I wouldn't expect that he would need a scanner to hear his own system since he'll no doubt have a radio on the system. It's probably to monitor other systems. In any case, Pennsylvania is going to a M/A Comm (formerly Ericsson) "Open Sky" digital 800 trunk. It's also supposed to be open to other agencies that want to buy the equipment. It's a non APCO 25, proprietary system. There has been a fair amount of discussion about it on various scanner related lists.

Gary

2604. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 13:21:39 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DROa8.86626$th4.26312361@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks to all for the great feedback. Looks like the difference is in durability rather than performance, which is what I suspected. The RS discone does come with the loading coil and vertical whip tunable for transmitting on the 6m band, which is one of the reasons I was looking at it.

- Doug

"Douglas Gavilanes" <gavilan1@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3C6B59CF.8EF97F4A@earthlink.net...
> Doesn't Rat Shack sell the discone without the top loading coil and whip? At
> least that's how they did it a few years back, as far as I can remember. That
> cuts out the low end frequencies.
>
> I've had a Diamond D-130J since 1972 (I bought it from the Heathkit store in
> downtown L.A.), and it's been up at about 40 feet for all of that time, at 3
> different QTHs. It's down right now because I recently re-roofed. It's still
> immaculate, but the black plastic loading coil has discolored. The label
> finally fell off (but it left an image of same in the aluminum underneath, and
> I can still read it clearly). No corrosion. It's been through 50 to 70mph
> Santa Ana winds that rip trees out and bend masts. No damage. Even the rubber
> rod tips are in great shape. I'm so happy with that antenna that I just bought
> a second one from AES in Las Vegas for about $89, and the pair will soon be up
> again, hopefully for another 30 years. For what it's worth, I hope that this
> helps.
>
> 73,
>
> Doug Gavilanes, N6XQY
> Garden Grove, CA.
>
>
> DougSlug wrote:

>
> > Besides price, what are the differences between the Radio Shack discone
and
> > the
> > Diamond D-130J?
> >
> > - Doug
>

2605. What Frequency for GE Cordless Phone...????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 14:20:44 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3c6bba4b.401927@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 52173
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Fellows:

I would like to find frequencies for GE cordless phone, 900 mHz, 40
channels, 26920/1 series.
I would like to thanks in advance for any information.
Vy 73,

Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kml@digi.com.br
kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

2606. pro-92 spg files riverside area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 14:59:49 UTC
From: Bob Masse <kh6zv9@pe.net>
Message-ID: <u0.r0.6l2n6ZA61a52qY6.NR@pe.net>
Source record: 52175

```
Looking for SPG files for the Riverside California Area.

Anyone know where to look?
--
--------------------------
Bob Masse Kh6zv9@pe.net
--------------------------
```

2607. Re: Is the BC 780XLT triple conversion or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 15:00:54 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <steinman@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <u6nk97ie3q5c68@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52174
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You might also check the review on N2MCA's site.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=f
i&class=recv&num=021
--
Brad Steinman N8ZRP
steinman@speakeasy.net
For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
<f@l.se> wrote in message news:3C69E2C8.F599CB@amsat.org...
> Bob_Parnass_AJ9S wrote:
>
> > When I reviewed the BC780XLT in March 2001 Monitoring Times
> > magazine, I listed these IFs:
> >
> > 380.7/244, 45/10.7, and 0.45 MHz
> >
> > It is a triple conversion circuit.
>
> Is this review available for online reading?
>
>
> ~Bart~

2608. Re: digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 15:36:50 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <steinman@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <u6nmcj21lbis6b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52176

> To do this, legally, you need to pay a fee to the organizations that developed the APCO-25 protocol.

Are you sure thats 100% correct?

If the code/app is not being sold, then what specifically is being violated? Decryption software is not being proposed here. Aren't the taxpayers paying for the damn system?

The Trunker and Etrunker applications decode the Motorola and GE EDACS trunking control channel respectively and I'm quite sure they didn't pay or get permission from Motorola/GE http://www.trunkedradio.net/digital.htm . I believe the key is that the code is not being sold! (I understand that the control channel is different from the voice channels.)

Brad Steinman N8ZRP
steinman@speakeasy.net
For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
----- Original Message -----
From: "Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2002 1:56 AM
Subject: Re: digital signal

> Avraam wrote:
>
> >Here is the problem, if my 780 can receive digital signals but is
> >doesn't know how to decode those signals, maybe we can get them in
> >some ways inside in our computers and decode them using software?
>
> You need equipment to decode the digital transmissions just like you decode
> any other digital transmission. To do this, legally, you need to pay a fee
> to the organizations that developed the APCO-25 protocol. A 780 does not
> have the necessary hardware to decode a APCO-25 digital transmission. Uniden
> is developing a scanner to perform this function the scanner will coast
> around $350 and the plug in vocoder will cost around $300. It could be out
> by the end of this year.

2609. Re: digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 16:09:43 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <steinman@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <u6noa8a2oi76d0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52178

Avraam,

There are two common methods of getting 'the digital signal into the computer' (that I know of)

1. connecting the audio output of the scanner into a sound card
2. tapping the FM discriminator output on the receiver, connecting it to a 'Data Slicer' FSK circuit, which then toggles the RS232's CTS line rapidly. Software then decodes the bitstream being transmitted via the CTS line (on and off).

The 2nd method is generally preferred, as it provide a clean unamplified, unfiltered baseband digital signal for software to interpret. In many cases, Method #1 is unfeasible as the receivers AF (audio) stages filter/amplify the signals for listening to voice. With many digital modes, this process distorts/damages the baseband signal beyond what software can recover from.

www.trunkedradio.net has an excellent page about APCO25/ASTRO with a wealth of information and links for you to consume.
http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/apco25.htm

There's also a very interesting USENET post that was posted several years ago about a college student discussing at length how he would use PC hardware and software to decode the ASTRO digital audio. I've been looking for the post via Google/AltaVista, but its been awhile. If I find it, I'll send it.

Here's some other resources that you may want to check out. They don't address APCO25 per se, but they all deal with decoding digital data that has been transmitted over RF.

Info on Method #2:
Digital Signals Decoding Interfaces
http://www.trunkedradio.net/digital/interface.htm
Trunker / Etrunker Information and Downloads
http://www.trunkedradio.net/digital.htm

Info on Method #1 and #2
Amateur Radio Soundblaster Software Collection
http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm

If you'd like to chat offline about this, drop me a line. Also, I'm enjoying your AFS780 software, thanks!
--
Brad Steinman N8ZRP
steinman@speakeasy.net

For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
"Avraam" <avraam3334@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:BMJa8.56027$AV5.329595@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> > A 780 does not have the necessary hardware to
> >decode a APCO-25 digital transmission. Uniden
>
> that is why if we make a software application to do just that maybe we can
listen the decoded transmission in the computer's
> speakers. my question is "how to get the coded signal inside in the
computer?" maybe is as easy as connecting the *speaker out* from
> the scanner to the *line in* of the computer and then decode the signal?
>
>
> --
> -avraam
> http://www.barghis.com
> http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/index.htm
> http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/contributors.htm
>
>

2610. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 16:19:59 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C6BE36C.7D95@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52179
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH wrote:
>
> On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:51:40 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >I've got some neighbor with a leaky microwave oven or something,
> >which almost every day, around mealtime, jams my TV, usually
> >right in the middle of my favorite show.
>
> Cable or antenna? What channels?

Indoor antenna. All the channels except the really high UHF
ones. The first few times this happened, I thought it was
the TV, so I turned it off, figuring it might be a thermal
problem, and when I turned it back on a few minutes later,
the interference was gone. After a few times of doing this,
I thought, "Well, I'll just listen to the radio," and the
same interference was on the FM band! So I did a little
experiment - one of the times that the interference popped
up, I turned on the radio and TV simultaneously; they both
had the interference, and when it stopped on the TV, it
also stopped on the radio. It's not being generated by the
TV, as it was on the radio even with the TV off.
>
> >Can I call the FCC
> >and ask them to investigate? I get two noise bars at 120Hz
> >across my screen, and the sound drops out completely.
>
> More likely, you'll need to call the maker of your TV, and tell them
> you won't be buying any more of their products until they put in
> proper shielding.

If this interference was shielded against, I wouldn't be able
to get any reception at all! Cable is available in my neighborhood,
and would probably protect against the noise, but I'm on a really
tight budget these days - I'm so poor I can't even pay attention.
;-)

> Now if only I could find a TV as well shielded as the better NEC
> monitors...
>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
--
Thanks!

Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2611. Wanted TriBander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 16:45:07 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nQRa8.780$xs1.242752@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 52180
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for a decent triband radio that has 2,440 and 6 on it for the mobile.I am looking for something inexspensive.Let me know what you have.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2612. Re: 50 or 75 ohm cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 17:58:51 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <vVSa8.68$T_.1208@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 52181

Neutrodyne <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote:

> Go with the lowest loss cable you can afford which will accept connectors
> that match the antenna and the scanner with the fewest adapters. I have found
> many commercially sold adapters, splicers and elbows to occasionally be very
> lossy.

Just curious if you've made actual insertion loss measurements on these adapters. My experience is that decent adapters have virtually no loss (typically 0.1 dB) below about 1GHz. What do you consider "very lossy?"

The old "one piece of coax from the antenna to the radio" advice doesn't really make sense as long as all connectors are properly installed and weather-proofed.

Art Harris N2AH

2613. Re: Wanted TriBander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 18:04:12 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <w_Sa8.69$T_.1208@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 52183
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In rec.radio.scanner Dick <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:
> I am looking for a decent triband radio that has 2,440 and 6 on it for the

Hmm. That's a strange way of putting it.

2614. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 18:05:44 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <3vun6u0lha5v92lth45c0vetd4darb1gv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 52182

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Email to above or see post sig for address! <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> spake thusly

>This is a ComNet/MA-Com/Tyco Open(Closed)Sky system

Yes, and if you're a news hound like some of us (instead of just a scanner hound), you'd know that Tyco is in DEEP doodoo now, because they also use Arthur Anderson for their accounting (like Enron did), and they're having other problems, and may not be around much longer.

Which would be bad for some people, but a real benefit for others.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2615. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 18:27:12 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0202141027.12838e9f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52184
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"DougSlug" <dw_firewall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<DROa8.86626$th4.26312361@news02.optonline.net>...
> Thanks to all for the great feedback. Looks like the difference is in
> durability rather than performance, which is what I suspected. The RS
> discone does come with the loading coil and vertical whip tunable for
> transmitting on the 6m band, which is one of the reasons I was looking at
> it.
>
> - Doug

If you want a discone that more durable than the RS but cheaper than
the Diamond, you may want to check this out:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1332196288

2616. Speech / voice frequency inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 18:39:43 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020214133943.29322.00001885@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 52185

Voice/speech Frequency Inversion

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

2617. FS receiver + + +

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 19:01:37 UTC
From: jjbeckett@NOSPAM.stny.rr.com
Message-ID: <cn1o6ugb27bommempgffaej18tr1redsvm@4ax.com>
Source record: 52187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

R-390A- (Motorola) restored by Rick Mish last Fall.
EIRC tube shields, top-bottom covers, stock-no mods.
Love it but it's too big for my op desk.
prefer local pickup-
$875

New LDG AT-11MP auto antenna tuner with Icom cable.
Bought to use with IC-718 but just bought 746Pro and
don't need it. Never used w/box, manual, Icom cable.
$200

Timewave ANC-4 new w/box,manual
$175

Radio Shack DSP w/manual.
$35

wanted- or will trade for-
Pro 2006 with good display
10.7 mhz spectrum display for use with Icom R-8500

Jim
KD2KU
Corning, NY
jbeckett@NOSPAM.stny.rr.com
remove NOSPAM to email

2618. Re: Question: PRO-93 & Programing Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 19:04:14 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a4h1m4$8f5k$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52186

You can find a FA-126 (Casio Boss programming cable which works with the Pro-93) from ebay using buy it now and WITH shipping for $10.00

Its a two way cable with serial AND parallel. I never used the parallel so I dont know and am not sure it will work with Win93 even.

"Stanley Kaliski" <tanker001@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:GrIx9D.5r0@news.boeing.com...
> Thanks Ken, I have decided to return the scanner. That really sucks that
> the charge $70 for a cable. In some browsing last night I found a design
> for a cable that you can make. I'm confident that this is with in my
> capabilities to manufacture.

2619. Re: Icom R2 Problem - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 19:11:49 UTC
From: stevep <F4PHIXER@f4phixeruk.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Jv97xAG1vAb8Ewy2@f4phixeruk.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 52188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <bdol6u44sbaq2ma4qahnifroec05rns1jg@4ax.com>, baz
<invalid@bigfoot.com> writes
>On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 21:26:13 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:
>
>>I am concerned because two people have told me they had 8000 and 9000 SN's.
>>Mine is 4138. Something doesn't seem right.
>>DeWayne KE9K
>>
>>> I got mine back when they first came out - 3 or 4 years ago. The number
>>> is 1590.
>>>
>>> Jim KR2T
>>>
>
>I got an R2 a few days back, the serial is 25415.
>
Got mine early 2001 No 19939
Steve P
--
07736 629536
Check Six - Phantoms Phorever

2620. Live feed scanner web sites?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 19:16:39 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <69292d0a.0202141116.27b96df3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52189

Anyone know of sites to listen to live police broadcasts.
I have one already, but I don't care for it too much.
Any pointers would be great..

2621. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 20:16:33 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3C6C1B21.8D936131@iserv.net>
Source record: 52190

Someone on here or on rec.radio.shortwave mentioned some police
frequencies near 40MHz in the Caribbean.

Anybody have any of these freq's?

Steve
Holland, MI

2622. Re: Live feed scanner web sites?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 20:27:08 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020214142720.2c4e4e40.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 52191

www.caller.com/policescanner

On 14 Feb 2002 11:16:39 -0800
tramd300@aol.com (Tram) wrote:

> Anyone know of sites to listen to live police broadcasts.
> I have one already, but I don't care for it too much.
> Any pointers would be great..

2623. Re: Icom R2 Problem - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 20:34:03 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <%aVa8.50251$Hu6.12356324@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

> >On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 21:26:13 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:
> >
> >>I am concerned because two people have told me they had 8000 and 9000
SN's.
> >>Mine is 4138. Something doesn't seem right.
> >>DeWayne KE9K
> >>
> >>> I got mine back when they first came out - 3 or 4 years ago. The
number
> >>> is 1590.
> >>>
> >>> Jim KR2T
> >>>
> >
> >I got an R2 a few days back, the serial is 25415.
> >
> Got mine early 2001 No 19939

So how can mine be 4138?
DeWayne KE9K

> Steve P
> --
> 07736 629536
> Check Six - Phantoms Phorever

2624. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 20:49:11 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <5r7o6ucepd6thugvhl4k0fvscv7oufreee@4ax.com>
Source record: 52193
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

... this in response to several emails I got on this post. Guess that the Miami beach area (south shore , coconut grove ??) is the cellular jammer capitol of the world ... this according to several replies. (easy to buy in that area too)

Also ...guess another interesting use (and they are supposedly heavily used for this) is at giant L.A. , Texas , and N.J. swapmeets. Guess there are so many people at these events that the vendors have a hard time accessing the cellbands to verify their credit card purchases. They station jammers at the nearby celltowers and use CB to talk to the jammer operators to tell them to stop to allow the vendor/s use the tower for a minute. h ah ha ha ah a Pretty wild ..never thought of that one ....!!

There is probably more of this kinda stuff , all over the place ...

a_alpha1

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 06:27:57 GMT, a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote:

>I didn't have time to read the zillion replies so I hope i'm not being
>redundant. Most people on the cellular NGs will take offense at
>your request , take that into consideration.
> The Israelis make and sell tri-band jammers. They are commonly
>available in the waterfront markets in NYC or S.F. ..! Like the
>(also commonly found) 15 watt cordless phones , cb amplifiers ,
>active radar / laser jammers , and other " non type approved "
>electronics sales they aren't supposed to be available ..but are VERY
>common. IN NYC , restaurants, churches and many other places use
>jammers regularly to control the rude and disrespectful phone
>users.
> They are illegal ..they irritate people on the cellular NGs (they
>take away the " power " they feel about pocket phones) ..
>but they are all over the place ..in many large metro areas. They
>are pretty kewl because most people NEVER get they are being
>actively jammed. Lots of the NG users have had them used on
> them and didn't have a clue.
>
>a_alpha1
>
>On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd
>Paulson) wrote:
>
>>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,

>>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>>
>>I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
>>phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
>>Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
>>are the plans?
>>
>>Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
>>phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>>

2625. Trunk Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 20:50:55 UTC
From: DP <jettaway21@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QqVa8.5071$EI.27471@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 52194

Hi,

Has anyone heard of a scanner manufacturer other than Uniden that incorporates trunk tracking/scanning into their receivers? Trunking is very popular in my area and a scanner without it is not nearly as useful. I can't understand why companies like Icom have not got into this. I sent a message to Icom America asking if they were close to producing a scanner with this capability and the response I got was "probably."

Any rumors floating around?

Thanks

Darryl

2626. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 21:34:40 UTC
From: dave F <dfuk@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a4hahg$ps8$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
on
> any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new
> alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

I have the same problem, after 2 hours thats it!
May be there's a problem with a batch of R2s?

dave F

2627. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 22:43:02 UTC
From: stevep <F4PHIXER@f4phixeruk.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <5d9X9oA21Db8EwH0@f4phixeruk.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 52196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <a4hahg$ps8$1@helle.btinternet.com>, dave F
<dfuk@btinternet.com> writes
>
>DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
>news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
>> I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
>on
>> any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed new
>> alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
>> audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
>> DeWayne KE9K
>>
>>
>
>I have the same problem, after 2 hours thats it!
>May be there's a problem with a batch of R2s?
>
>dave F
>
>
latest pair in mine have been on the go for 4 hours so far [that's 4
hours scanning and 3 weeks sitting in the scanner in my work bag !]

Steve P

--
07736 629536
Check Six - Phantoms Phorever

2628. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 23:55:16 UTC
From: jimvm111 <jimvm111@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <E7Ya8.8048$qt6.723167@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52097
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Al,

Just ideas being exchanged, nothing physical like a mixer with 45 MHz.
I did jam my own phone with this simple setup one time to prove the
concept to a friend. He thought that you needed lots of power and a
wideband noise. Kids, do not put a mixer and a 45 MHz local oscillator
near a AMPS cell site, you could jam the entire cell site!!!

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
news:tgvg6u8vfvsoa002ukhire8ildlv8q6o6f@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 18:53:46 GMT, "jimvm111" <jimvm111@earthlink.net>
> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
>
> >One way to do it is use a mixer with a 45 MHz local oscillator.
>
> You're nasty. :)
> --
> Al - rukbat at optonline dot net
>

2629. Re: Reading Pa. Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-14 23:55:21 UTC
From: Marty <xxxxmartyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3C6C7814.2576@ptd.net>
Source record: 52098
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bill Kirkner wrote:
>
> Can anyone tell me if Reading city police is still using the trunk system?
> Also if not what freq.s are they on?
>
> Thanks in advance for your help
> Bill
> billrk513@cs.com

--

@ @ N3PTU Smiley 73's
^ From Schuylkill Haven, Penna.
___/ Email martyrip@ptd.net

System: Reading, City of WNXC912
County: Berks
Type: Motorola II Analog with DVP
Use: Reading Police & Fire Departments
Old
Freq Voice Control
868.5875 X X
868.3250 X X
867.2250 X X
866.9125 X X
866.6125 X
866.3000 X
New
Freq Voice Control
868.3250 X X
868.0750 X
867.3000 X
866.5750 X
866.3625 X
866.3250 X

Reading Mutual Aid WNXC912
866.0125 156.7 Calling nationwide
866.5125 156.7 Tactical nationwide
852.9875 Conventional Repeater
853.8625 Conventional Repeater
854.2625 Conventional Repeater

154.430R/153.770 173.8 VHF Fire Dispatch/Backup

City of Reading, Pa Talk Groups Motorola Type II System
UNIDEN User
ID
Police
17 Reading Police City Wide
18 Reading Police Failsafe
48 Reading Police (Dispatch)
50 Reading Police Emergency Button Activation
56 Reading Police (Dispatch with DVP)
80 Reading Police (Tac #1)
88 Reading Police (Tac #1 with DVP)
112 Reading Police (Tac #2)
120 Reading Police (Tac #2 with DVP)
144 Reading Police Traffic/Parking Enforcemert
152 Reading Police Traffic/Parking Enforcemert-DVP
176 Reading Police Investigations
184 Reading Police Investigations-DVP
208 Reading Police Speciial Services 400 & 500 units
216 Reading Police Speciial Services-DVP 400 & 500 units
304 Reading Police Staff
312 Reading Police Staff-DVP
400 Reading Police
464 Reading Police Vice
472 Reading Police Vice-DVP
496 Reading Police Bomb Squad
504 Reading Police Bomb Squad-DVP

Fire
758 Reading Fire Marshall?
785 Reading Fire City Wide
816 Reading Fire (Dispatch)
823 Reading Fire Multi Select Disp Tone
845 Reading Fire
848 Reading Fire (Tac-1)
855 Reading Fire Multi Select
880 Reading Fire (Tac-2)
882 Reading Fire Emergency Button Activation
912 Reading Fire Admin-1
944 Reading Fire Admin-2
1008 Reading Fire Admin-3

EMS (Part of City F.D.)
1392 Reading EMS Dispatch
1399 Reading EMS Multi-Select Disp Tones
1584 Reading EMS TAC 1
1616 Reading EMS TAC 2
1648 Reading EMS TAC 3

Public Works/Misc.
624 Water Treatment Plant
656 County Help Channl All Depts/All Radio
1072 Code Inspectors F-2

1104 Parks Department
1136 Code Inspectors F-1
1168 City Vehicle Maintenance Garage
1200 Reading City Streets Department
1232 Reading Water Bureau
1264 Traffic Engineering
1296 Traffic Engineering
1328 Fritz's Island Water Treatment Plant
1396 Fritz's Island
1456 Downtown Improvement District CSP units
1520 Sewer Department
1552 Recreation Centers
Unidentified talk groups
240/272/336/368/408/440/528/560/592/658/688/720/752/976/1040/1234/
1256/1360/1424/1488 Corrections-Additions always welcome

READING CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT STATION NUMBERS KGE-407

APPARATUS COMPANY MUNICIPALITY
Station 1 Rainbow Fire Co. 8th & Court Sts. Reading (Center City)
Engine 1 Rainbow Fire Co. 8th & Court Sts. Reading (Center City)
Engine 2 Rainbow Fire Co. 8th & Court Sts. Reading (Reserve)

Station 2 EMS Station 638 Walnut St. Reading (Center City)
Chief 600 EMS DEPUTY CHIEF 638 Walnut St. Reading (Center City)
Medic 601 EMS STAT 638 Walnut St. Reading (Center City)
Medic 602 EMS STAT 638 Walnut St. Reading (Center City)
Medic 603 EMS STAT 638 Walnut St. Reading (Center City)
Medic 604 NON-EMERGENCY TRANSPORT Reading (Center City)
Amb 605 EMS SPARE UNIT 638 Walnut St. Reading (Center City)
Amb 606 EMS STAT 638 Walnut St. Reading (Center City)
Amb 607 EMS STAT 638 Walnut St. Reading (Center City)
Amb 608 EMS STAT 638 Walnut St. Reading (Center City)

Station 3 Neversink Fire Co. 3rd. & Court Sts.Reading (Center City)
Engine 3 Neversink Fire Co. 3rd. & Court Sts.Reading (Center City)
Engine 7 Neversink Fire Co. 3rd. & Court Sts.Reading (Center City)
Engine 8 Neversink Fire Co. 3rd. & Court Sts.Reading (Reserve)
Brush 2 Neversink Fire Co. 3rd. & Court Sts.Reading (Reserve)

Station 4 Friendship 614 Frankin & Plum Sts.(Center City)
Rescue 1 Friendship 614 Frankin & Plum Sts.Reading (Center)
Rescue 2 Friendship 614 Frankin & Plum Sts.Reading (Reserve)

Station 5 Liberty Fire Co.5 5th & Laurel Sts. (South Reading)
Engine 5 Liberty Fire Co.5 5th & Laurel Sts. (South Reading)
Scuba 1 Liberty Fire Co.5 5th & Laurel Sts. (South Reading)
Scuba 2 Liberty Fire Co.5 5th & Laurel Sts. (South Reading)

Station 6 Reading Hose Co. 614 Frankin & Plum Sts. (Center City)
Snorkel 1 Reading Hose Co. 614 Frankin & Plum Sts. Reading
Chemical 2 Reading Hose Co. 614 Frankin & Plum Sts. Reading

Station 7 Washington 1019 Spruce St. (SE Reading)
Brush 1 Washington 1019 Spruce St. (SE Reading)

Station 8 Keystone 3rd. & Court Sts.Reading (Center City)
Ladder 1 Keystone 3rd. & Court Sts.Reading (Center City)

Station 9 Hampden Fire Co. 9th & Marion Sts. (NE Reading)
Engine 9 Hampden Fire Co. 9th & Marion Sts. (NE Reading)

Station 10 Marion 9th & Marion Sts. (NE Reading)
Ladder 3 Marion 9th & Marion Sts. (NE Reading)

Station 11 Riverside McKnight & W.Spring Sts. (NW Reading)
Engine 11 Riverside McKnight & W.Spring Sts.(NW Reading)
Ladder 2 Riverside McKnight & W.Spring Sts.(NW Reading)

Station 12 Schuylkill McKnight & W.Spring Sts. (NW Reading)
Engine 12 Schuylkill McKnight & W.Spring Sts.(NW Reading)
Chemical 1 Schuylkill McKnight & W.Spring Sts.(NW Reading)

Station 13 Union Fire Co. 1019 Spruce St. (SE Reading)
Engine 13 Union Fire Co. 1019 Spruce St. (SE Reading)

Station 14 Oakbook 628 Park Ave. (SW Reading)
Engine 14 Oakbook 628 Park Ave. (SW Reading)

Unit 200 Canteen Truck Reading

Car 1 Fire Chief William Rehr READING
Car 2 DEPUTY CHIEF READING
Car 3 DEPUTY CHIEF READING
Car 4 DEPUTY CHIEF READING
Car 5 PLATOON CHIEF READING
Car 6 PLATOON CHIEF READING
Car 7 PLATOON CHIEF READING
Car 8 PLATOON CHIEF READING
Car 9 PLATOON CHIEF READING
Car 10 FIRE MARSHALL READING

Unit 21 FIRE SUPPRESSION Lt READING
Unit 22 FIRE TRAINING Lt READING

READING FIRE: DEFT. AMB. SIGNAL CODES

FIRE CODES
7. Police Assistance Requested
7D. Fatality
25. Auto Accident
26. Personal Injury
27. Criminal Injury-Shooting, Cutting, Suicide
28. Medical Emergency-Heart Attack, Lllness
28a.Maternity
29. Unknown
30. Transfer

70. Fire Related Fatality

READING POLICE SIGNAL CODES

POLICE CODES
1 Normal flow of traffic
2 Use lights
3 Use lights & siren
4 Officer needs help -Emergency(off duty personnel may be called in)
5 Activate scrambler(dvp)
6 Busy
7 Lunch/Off Duty
8 In service
9 Assist the officer
10 Poor radio reception
11 Open sally port door
12 Close sally port door
13 Subject has outstanding warrant
15 Send prisoner transport wagon

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptdprolog.net

2630. Re: Wanted TriBander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 00:26:02 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <uAYa8.1388$xs1.352114@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 52100
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Whats so strange about looking for a radio?You ok?
"Harris" <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us> wrote in message
news:w_Sa8.69$T_.1208@iad-read.news.verio.net...
> In rec.radio.scanner Dick <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:
> > I am looking for a decent triband radio that has 2,440 and 6 on it for
the
>
> Hmm. That's a strange way of putting it.
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2631. Re: Is the BC 780XLT triple conversion or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 00:55:49 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C6C5C95.7F9B8336@amsat.org>
Source record: 52101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Brad Steinman wrote:

> You might also check the review on N2MCA's site.
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=f
> i&class=recv&num=021
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> steinman@speakeasy.net
> For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu

Thanks Brad;
good info,
well presented,
and they aren't trying to sell you a magazine in order to read it.

~Bart~

2632. Re: digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 01:17:18 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C6C619E.7BFFD03B@amsat.org>
Source record: 52102

Avraam wrote:

> > A 780 does not have the necessary hardware to
> >decode a APCO-25 digital transmission. Uniden
>
> that is why if we make a software application to do just that maybe we can listen the decoded transmission in the computer's
> speakers. my question is "how to get the coded signal inside in the computer?" maybe is as easy as connecting the *speaker out* from
> the scanner to the *line in* of the computer and then decode the signal?

Better to feed the "full fidelity buffered" signal from the data slicer output into the computer.
The speaker out is attenuated and filtered such that you might experience unsatisfactory results.

~Bart~

2633. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 01:33:04 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <dk2o6u0kmt7qltjbnkdl5gbarifc1dp5mq@4ax.com>
Source record: 52103
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 03:21:43 -0600, "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH"
<kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>The only non-trunked 800MHz systems I can think of off the top of my
>head are DART and Park Cities. All of Plano, Denton, Richardson,
>Carrolton, Lewisville, Frisco, and their associated county offices are
>on various trunked systems.

I noticed that in Dallas.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2634. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 02:13:36 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <k9_a8.50534$Hu6.12591583@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"dave F" <dfuk@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a4hahg$ps8$1@helle.btinternet.com...
>
> DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
> on
> > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed
new
> > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >
>
> I have the same problem, after 2 hours thats it!
> May be there's a problem with a batch of R2s?

I have owned five different R2's and all did fine except this new one.
DeWayne KE9K

>
> dave F
>
>

2635. WTB: 16 pin connectors for Maxtrac/Gm300/Radius mobiles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 02:52:59 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20020214215259.28615.00000746@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 52105

Wanted: Immediate need:

6 - 16 pin connectors with the jumpers for a GM300/Maxtrac so the internal speakers work.. New or used ok but must be working.

Please email me prices and information!

Thanks!

Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

(remove NOSPAM to reply)

2636. Toshiba Tecra 500 CDT FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 02:57:13 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <dO_a8.1711$xs1.386756@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 52106
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have for sale a nice compact little unit with Windows 98SE and 32 megs ram with a 1.2 gig Hard Drive.Also have 2 extra batteries,CD Rom,and Floppy drive with a carry bag.This unit runs nice but can use a little more memory.I am asking $400.00 or best resonable offer plus shipping.Great starter laptop.CPU speed is 133 MHz.Great sound for listening to your CDs.Email me if any interest or call:978-281-3359

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2637. Re: Icom R2 Problem - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 03:23:22 UTC
From: rob <robchris@surenet.net>
Message-ID: <7yYa8.13444$X2.155338@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 52099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

s/n 07542 last 4 days on good alkys heavy use and 3 weeks casual scanning
unblocked in canada eh

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:%aVa8.50251$Hu6.12356324@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
>
>
> > >On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 21:26:13 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >>I am concerned because two people have told me they had 8000 and 9000
> SN's.
> > >>Mine is 4138. Something doesn't seem right.
> > >>DeWayne KE9K
> > >>
> > >>> I got mine back when they first came out - 3 or 4 years ago. The
> number
> > >>> is 1590.
> > >>>
> > >>> Jim KR2T
> > >>>
> > >
> > >I got an R2 a few days back, the serial is 25415.
> > >
> > Got mine early 2001 No 19939
>
> So how can mine be 4138?
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> > Steve P
> > --
> > 07736 629536
> > Check Six - Phantoms Phorever
>
>

2638. Re: digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 03:40:22 UTC
From: BIG DADDY KING <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16d64fd853525478989726@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 52107

In article <u6nmcj21lbis6b@corp.supernews.com>, steinman@speakeasy.net
says...
> > To do this, legally, you need to pay a fee to the organizations that
> developed the APCO-25 protocol.
>
> Are you sure thats 100% correct?
>
> If the code/app is not being sold, then what specifically is being violated?
> Decryption software is not being proposed here. Aren't the taxpayers paying
> for the damn system?
>
> The Trunker and Etrunker applications decode the Motorola and GE EDACS
> trunking control channel respectively and I'm quite sure they didn't pay or
> get permission from Motorola/GE http://www.trunkedradio.net/digital.htm . I
> believe the key is that the code is not being sold! (I understand that the
> control channel is different from the voice channels.)
>
>
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> steinman@speakeasy.net
> For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2002 1:56 AM
> Subject: Re: digital signal
>
>
> > Avraam wrote:
> >
> > >Here is the problem, if my 780 can receive digital signals but is
> > >doesn't know how to decode those signals, maybe we can get them in
> > >some ways inside in our computers and decode them using software?
> >
> > You need equipment to decode the digital transmissions just like you
> decode
> > any other digital transmission. To do this, legally, you need to pay a fee
> > to the organizations that developed the APCO-25 protocol. A 780 does not
> > have the necessary hardware to decode a APCO-25 digital transmission.
> Uniden
> > is developing a scanner to perform this function the scanner will coast
> > around $350 and the plug in vocoder will cost around $300. It could be out
> > by the end of this year.
>

>
>
>

Hey Brad!!

Give me a call or mail!

You SHOULD be able to figure out who this is. Don't post the answer.
Gotta be "incognito" at work..

Take my old email address, and change the domain to @buckeye-express.com

Doing the cable modem now..no more @pipeline.com.

Later..

B****, AKA BDK

2639. Re: Wanted TriBander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 03:44:57 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C6C8439.8C71A6C4@optonline.net>
Source record: 52108
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

No, giveing bands as "wavelength, frequency, wavelength" is what was
strange.

Don't see much ham equipment on the scanner group.

Dick wrote:
>
> Whats so strange about looking for a radio?You ok?
> "Harris" <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us> wrote in message
> news:w_Sa8.69$T_.1208@iad-read.news.verio.net...
> > In rec.radio.scanner Dick <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:
> > > I am looking for a decent triband radio that has 2,440 and 6 on it for
> the
> >
> > Hmm. That's a strange way of putting it.
> >
> >
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2640. Selling RADIO SHACK PRO-43 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 03:50:05 UTC
From: Radioguy <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C6C856D.40401@dccnet.com>
Source record: 52109

```
Like new Pro-43 scanner!
Not cellular modifiable
200 channel hand held
Asking $160 shipped
Any questions or pics please e-mail me directly
rusty@dccnet.com
```

2641. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 04:06:56 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <oa2p6ukkh18t842eofkvamcb7olf6vtko3@4ax.com>
Source record: 52110
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 23:55:16 GMT, "jimvm111" <jimvm111@earthlink.net>
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>Just ideas being exchanged, nothing physical like a mixer with 45 MHz.
>I did jam my own phone with this simple setup one time to prove the
>concept to a friend. He thought that you needed lots of power and a
>wideband noise. Kids, do not put a mixer and a 45 MHz local oscillator
>near a AMPS cell site, you could jam the entire cell site!!!

That would be as bad as an 8.xxx oscillator mounted on the wall right
near the antenna of a certain repeater, as was done about <mumble>
years ago in New Jersey.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2642. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 04:13:32 UTC
From: Smokin Cash <ira20@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <MV%a8.9877$P21.913441@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52111
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

I have a bottle in the closet. I can't remember exactly what all it says on it but, its about a 4oz spray bottle with DEET written in big brown lettering I think. It is 100% DEET. I came across it when I was about 14. I used it one time and it melted the soles on my boots. That is why it is in the closet. I kept it around just as a conversation piece. Then I moved and haven't seen it in a year or so.

--
Slower Traffic Keep Right
"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
news:81nj6ugqn243ajsqlifi8bu7u4g42367ih@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:55:48 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
>
> >Bug zappers are bad, as they kill the wrong bugs. Just get some
> >army-surplus 15% DEET. Works like a champ. :-)
>
> Are you talking about how it kills bugs? Or how it kills people who
> use it? (15% is WAY above the save level.)
>
> But we were talking about the effect of bug zappers on electromagnetic
> equipment, not on bugs.
> --
> Al - rukbat at optonline dot net
>

2643. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 04:17:59 UTC
From: Smokin Cash <ira20@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <XZ%a8.9884$P21.904957@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52112
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

I am no expert but I don't think I microwave oven would do that. Personally sounds like a 10 or 11 meter rig with the TVI circuit disabled. So it owner can see those useless watts on a meter.

--
Slower Traffic Keep Right
"Rich Grise" <richgrise@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3C6BE36C.7D95@earthlink.net...
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH wrote:
> >
> > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:51:40 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >I've got some neighbor with a leaky microwave oven or something,
> > >which almost every day, around mealtime, jams my TV, usually
> > >right in the middle of my favorite show.
> >
> > Cable or antenna? What channels?
>
> Indoor antenna. All the channels except the really high UHF
> ones. The first few times this happened, I thought it was
> the TV, so I turned it off, figuring it might be a thermal
> problem, and when I turned it back on a few minutes later,
> the interference was gone. After a few times of doing this,
> I thought, "Well, I'll just listen to the radio," and the
> same interference was on the FM band! So I did a little
> experiment - one of the times that the interference popped
> up, I turned on the radio and TV simultaneously; they both
> had the interference, and when it stopped on the TV, it
> also stopped on the radio. It's not being generated by the
> TV, as it was on the radio even with the TV off.
> >
> > >Can I call the FCC
> > >and ask them to investigate? I get two noise bars at 120Hz
> > >across my screen, and the sound drops out completely.
> >
> > More likely, you'll need to call the maker of your TV, and tell them
> > you won't be buying any more of their products until they put in
> > proper shielding.
>
> If this interference was shielded against, I wouldn't be able
> to get any reception at all! Cable is available in my neighborhood,
> and would probably protect against the noise, but I'm on a really

> tight budget these days - I'm so poor I can't even pay attention.
> ;-)
>
> > Now if only I could find a TV as well shielded as the better NEC
> > monitors...
> >
> > Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
> --
> Thanks!
> Rich
>
> "We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
> - Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's
>

2644. Re: digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 04:35:58 UTC
From: Vocoder <Vocoder_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <a4i37e0574@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52114

In article <u6nmcj21lbis6b@corp.supernews.com>, "Brad says...
>
>> To do this, legally, you need to pay a fee to the organizations that
>developed the APCO-25 protocol.
>
>Are you sure thats 100% correct?
>

Look up the Digital Millenuim Copyright Act and Patent laws. It doesn't matter if you give it away for free. Open Source Software and hardware makers the world over are being impacted by this law. Currently it is illegal to import serial cables for some computer devices without a license. See today's Slashdot.org article on Sega stopping serial cables that work on their machines from entering the USA.
Besides you can't just make a data slicer and decode TDMA with a sound card, you need hardware to accomplish this. Uniden has paid about $200,000 just for the licensing fee to develop the vocoder for their scanners. You could develop software if the organization gives you a waiver, but you need to apply for one. MIT owns some of the patents on APCO-25.

2645. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 04:36:43 UTC
From: Smokin Cash <ira20@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <vf0b8.9915$P21.918795@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52113
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
news:s4pi6u0oejqj8icrlcct3dse98n4o35ji6@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:20:55 -0500, Dave Holford
> <holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
>
> Shielding is legal - willful interference isn't.

Would shielding not be willful interference

2646. Database of Open Systems Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 05:15:00 UTC
From: public@davidpinero.com
Message-ID: <2d18855d.0202142114.2c6ae2dd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52115

Openness.org has campaigned for six years to raise the issue of open versus closed public safety radio systems. Almost since public safety departments began switching to trunked and then digital systems en'masse, Openness.org has been the place for free media advocates and scannists to vent, compare notes, and illicit positive action for change and preservation.

To help make the point, an online database of open examples highlighting the scores of open systems is available. The database points to online audio streams, webcams, policy pages, online CADs, and more. Scannists, journalists, and others may find the site collection invaluable. You are encouraged to use the system as-is and to contribute new information.

Visit http://www.openness.org for more information.

Cordially!

Dave

2647. Re: Question: PRO-93 & Programing Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 05:15:53 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <006p6u88gki16dhdqvt0au4v0gbh6pdu8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 52119

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 12:56:30 GMT, "Stanley Kaliski"
<tanker001@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Thanks Ken, I have decided to return the scanner. That really sucks that
>the charge $70 for a cable. In some browsing last night I found a design
>for a cable that you can make. I'm confident that this is with in my
>capabilities to manufacture.

If you had a PRO-92, that $70 package is IMHO a fair deal. Half for
the cable, half for the software, each part is in the ballpark.

If the plans you found were for the two-transistor cable, that's
fairly easy to build. Should be easy easy if you don't insist on
getting everything inside the DB9 shell. :-)

[snip]
>into the 400MHz range. With the PRO-93 on sale ($80 bucks off) it offered
>the features I wanted for a price I could handle. BUT, even at $169 I
>really don't like buying a product that is defective (my opinion). Its not
>that I think any less of the scanner, I'm really bummed out that the unit
>has buggy firmware. However, what little money I have to spend on myself
>these days, I want something that does what its supposed to. I've waited
>this long, I can wait till I can find a PRO-93 with the newer firmware.

The sale is through March 2nd or 3rd, and (from posts on the Yahoo
group) people have been finding the updated version. Depens on how
much you enjoy scavenger hunts. :-)

Ken Plotkin

2648. Re: pro-92 spg files riverside area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 05:26:34 UTC
From: Alfredo@dontemail.me
Message-ID: <3c6c9b46.5857050@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 52116

go to yahoo.groups and look for the pro92 group.
there are some spg files in the file section.
if not, one of the members there might have one.

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 14:59:49 GMT, "Bob Masse" <kh6zv9@pe.net> wrote:

>
>
>Looking for SPG files for the Riverside California Area.
>
>Anyone know where to look?
>--
> -------------------------
> Bob Masse Kh6zv9@pe.net
> -------------------------

2649. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 05:57:35 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a4i7v1$g8m2$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

DeWyane:
I got mine basically the same time you got yours. I tried some tests with just WFM on a local FM station. I fully charged the 2xAA (NiCad) at 700 mAh and they lasted 5.5 hrs. Is this normal? My radio Serial is 04021 and it was purchased the same time as yours... I am going to send Burghardts an email as well. Seems short for 2xAAs.

I will try some new RS 1600mAh tonight.

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:k9_a8.50534$Hu6.12591583@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
>
> "dave F" <dfuk@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:a4hahg$ps8$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> >
> > DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> > news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or
so
> > on
> > > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed
> new
> > > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using
any
> > > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > > DeWayne KE9K
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I have the same problem, after 2 hours thats it!
> > May be there's a problem with a batch of R2s?
>
> I have owned five different R2's and all did fine except this new one.
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> >
> > dave F
> >
> >
>
>

2650. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 06:16:19 UTC
From: F73Yi8wT3 <AtHome@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <a4i924$fafd$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 52118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

What (or approx) is your serial number?

"dave F" <dfuk@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a4hahg$ps8$1@helle.btinternet.com...
>
> DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or so
> on
> > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed
new
> > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using any
> > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >
>
> I have the same problem, after 2 hours thats it!
> May be there's a problem with a batch of R2s?
>
> dave F
>
>

2651. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 06:59:16 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <nospambobdnj1424@aol.com>
Message-ID: <8l2b8.97750$th4.28871903@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52120

what frequencies does PASP use now. NJSP uses an 800MHz trunked system now.

2652. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 07:46:05 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27188-3C6CBCBD-51@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52122

This area in Central Penna. Dosen't have any 800mhz traffic at all. So I have no idea why the seperate receiver in his office but the Comm room is a ways away from his office.
Radio shack has the freq book with 800 mhz freq's for NJ and Penna the last few years.
All I will say is that I am from a Barracks A area. There are only designators of "A", "B" and "C" for barracks. The said Captain may be useing it to listen in to other traffic such as local and regional TX's
Who knows?
~Stan~

2653. Mod's for a sportcat150b?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 07:50:48 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27187-3C6CBDD8-126@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52121

I'd like to add to the range of my scanner and poss. pick up fed freq's.
I live in an area near a federal prision and would love to listen to US
Marshalls talk about witnesses ans stuff like that. I am a mafia buff.
Most fed freq's round up or down. Any help?
~Stan~

2654. Scannerluisteren in Belgie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 10:41:54 UTC
From: Spido2002 <spido2002@dyn.net>
Message-ID: <3c6ce5f3$0$69448@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 52123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

De meest recente Belgische scannerfrequenties kunt U vinden op
http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/
Deze frequentiepagina wordt samengesteld door leden van de Belgische
scannerclub "Belgascan", een club met meer dan 500 leden.
U kunt ook lid worden en uw steentje bijdragen aan de verdere ontwikkeling
van de site. U krijgt dan tevens de toegangscode voor de politie en
brandweer pagina's.
Het lidmaatschap is gratis en meer info kunt U ontvangen door een mailtje te
sturen aan Belgascan@hotmail.com Discretie verzekerd!

The most recent Belgian scannerfrequencies you will find on
http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/
Become a member of the Belgian scannerclub, membership is free.
More information: Belgascan@hotmail.com

2655. Re: Mod's for a sportcat150b?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 11:08:33 UTC
From: Mark <mark@webradio.com>
Message-ID: <23771313.00009ff0.046@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52126

In article <27187-3C6CBDD8-126@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Zeawolf@webtv.net says...
>
>I'd like to add to the range of my scanner and poss. pick up fed freq's.
>I live in an area near a federal prision and would love to listen to US
>Marshalls talk about witnesses ans stuff like that. I am a mafia buff.
>Most fed freq's round up or down. Any help?
>~Stan~
>

406-420 MHZ or 163-172 MHz. The only thing you will hear are boring opening and close the prisoner cells talk. Once in a while an escape may occur, but that is very rare.

--
Surf the Web on your Radio! http://www.webradio.com/
We garuntee are service is better then Webtv!

2656. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 11:23:33 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <Vc6b8.50608$Hu6.12881085@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

4138

> What (or approx) is your serial number?
>
>
> "dave F" <dfuk@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:a4hahg$ps8$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> >
> > DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> > news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour or
so
> > on
> > > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and installed
> new
> > > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using
any
> > > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > > DeWayne KE9K
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I have the same problem, after 2 hours thats it!
> > May be there's a problem with a batch of R2s?
> >
> > dave F
> >
> >
>
>

2657. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 11:24:06 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <qd6b8.50609$Hu6.12881143@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"F73Yi8wT3" <AtHome@Excite.com> wrote in message
news:a4i7v1$g8m2$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> DeWyane:
> I got mine basically the same time you got yours. I tried some tests with
> just WFM on a local FM station. I fully charged the 2xAA (NiCad) at 700
mAh
> and they lasted 5.5 hrs. Is this normal? My radio Serial is 04021 and it
was
> purchased the same time as yours... I am going to send Burghardts an email
> as well. Seems short for 2xAAs.

Sounds like yours is ok.
DeWayne

>
> I will try some new RS 1600mAh tonight.
>
>
>
>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> news:k9_a8.50534$Hu6.12591583@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> >
> > "dave F" <dfuk@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > news:a4hahg$ps8$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > >
> > > DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> > > news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour
or
> so
> > > on
> > > > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and
installed
> > new
> > > > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using
> any
> > > > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > > > DeWayne KE9K
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > I have the same problem, after 2 hours thats it!
> > > May be there's a problem with a batch of R2s?

> >
> > I have owned five different R2's and all did fine except this new one.
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> > >
> > > dave F
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2658. Re: Antenna for 108MHz - 174MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 13:25:13 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <Z_7b8.101970$th4.29493953@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52127

"Hanno" <hannoo@hotmail.com> wrote:

> My question is: what inexpensive VHF antenna can I construct or purchase
> that would suite my rig and that frequency range? I don't live in the USA
> either so that cancels out many purchasing options for me. A pity, you
> folks in the States have so many more options than myself living in a
> third-world country.
>

A simple 1/4-wave groundplane cut for the center of that range (~140 MHz)
would do an OK job. That would consist of a 20-inch vertcal element and four
20-inch horizontal (or downward sloping) radials.

It could be cheaply constructed from an SO-239 chassis mount connector and
some stiff copper wire.

Art Harris N2AH

2659. looking for WinScan 780 Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 13:49:55 UTC
From: tomek <tomek@creative.com.pl>
Message-ID: <3C6D1203.4521B37@creative.com.pl>
Source record: 52128

Hi,

I'm looking for WinScan 780 Program demo version. It's no longer
availabe on http://www.scannermaster.com/

any FTp's or someone can email me , please help me!

Best regards,

73

Tom

2660. LIVE! The Mercer County PA WebScanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 14:54:47 UTC
From: Dirk <oper@NOSPAM.infonline.net>
Message-ID: <jh7q6uc4pjqd102j9t6il412uhstp8n8jv@4ax.com>
Source record: 52129

Rebroadcasting: POLICE, FIRE, RESCUE, EMA and other public-service
stations in and around Mercer County and Trumbull County, OHIO.

http://scanner.infonline.net

Also check out our PATCH ENCYCLOPEDIA - one of the largest collections
of patch images on the web.

http://patches.infonline.net

-Infinity -=WebScanner=-

webscanner@infonline.net

2661. What mods can i do to my 245xtl???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 16:11:10 UTC
From: The GrEaT1 <smittyj77@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Tnab8.5$TP3.2231416@news.ripnet.com>
Source record: 52130

I was just wondering what type of mods i can do to my 245xtl or where can i learn how to do mods to it, like receive cell phones, better reception, can i get the ctcss squelch control in it anyway or is there any way I can monitor channels that have ctcss?????

Thanks

Matt

2662. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 18:19:45 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C6D5141.DFD36346@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52131
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

```
Smokin Cash wrote:
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
> news:s4pi6u0oejqj8icrlcct3dse98n4o35ji6@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:20:55 -0500, Dave Holford
> > <holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

> > (NO HE DIDN'T)

> > Shielding is legal - willful interference isn't.
>
> Would shielding not be willful interference
```

2663. AR8200 Flexible Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 18:48:16 UTC
From: uid0-41441-bla@catastrophe.net
Message-ID: <71f23384.0202151048.60a32f7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52132

My AR8200 is a great piece of hardware. Most of my scanning is geared towards "in the field" work where people might get nervous of seeing a scanner. With this problem, I'm required to throw an earphone on a scan more covertly.

I like the whip antenna that came with the 8200, but is there a better flexible antenna? I mainly ask as I don't want to run the risk of bending the whip antenna.

Thanks

-ǂ0

2664. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 18:57:39 UTC
From: Val <valair@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a4jln3fbm1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Hi De Wayne
Take the radio back to where you bought it from, tell them you want a
replacement. If they try and blame Icom, Point out to them that you bought
the R2 from THEM not Icom. Under the Law, the seller is responsible for the
radio.
They MUST replace the radio, it is upto THEM to contact Icom, not you.
If they still piss you about, tell them that it is against the law to trade
or sell goods that do not meet the standards to which they are intended,
i.e.. if your radio has a fault and you only just bought it, then they are
selling defective goods and that is unlawful
You are well within your rights as a consumer to DEMAND either a replacement
or full refund.
I would contact your Consumer protection dept, the number should be
available at your local library or the telephone company will have it.
--
The Sorcerer
remove Spam for reply

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:Vc6b8.50608$Hu6.12881085@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> 4138
>
> > What (or approx) is your serial number?
> >
> >
> > "dave F" <dfuk@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > news:a4hahg$ps8$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > >
> > > DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> > > news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > > > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour
or
> so
> > > on
> > > > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and
installed
> > new
> > > > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or using
> any
> > > > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > > > DeWayne KE9K
> > > >
> > > >

> > >
> > > I have the same problem, after 2 hours thats it!
> > > May be there's a problem with a batch of R2s?
> > >
> > > dave F
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 08/02/02

2665. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 19:38:09 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C6D6360.5E9F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52134
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

I wonder if DEET is oil-soluble or water-soluble. In either case,
it's an absolutely awesome mosquito repellent. I was visiting a
friend one time in Minnesota, whose back yard was right next
to the woods, and you could literally see clouds of mosquitoes.
This guy had some greasy cream that he'd got at the surplus
store, which I guess was the type used in the jungles of
Vietnam. He also had a couple of those candles, and "mosquito
coils," which seemed to have no effect at all.

Why did you apply it to the soles of your boots?

Smokin Cash wrote:
>
> I have a bottle in the closet. I can't remember exactly what all it says on
> it but, its about a 4oz spray bottle with DEET written in big brown
> lettering I think. It is 100% DEET. I came across it when I was about 14. I
> used it one time and it melted the soles on my boots. That is why it is in
> the closet. I kept it around just as a conversation piece. Then I moved and
> haven't seen it in a year or so.
>
> --
> Slower Traffic Keep Right
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
> news:81nj6ugqn243ajsqlifi8bu7u4g42367ih@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:55:48 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
> > posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
> >
> > >Bug zappers are bad, as they kill the wrong bugs. Just get some
> > >army-surplus 15% DEET. Works like a champ. :-)
> >
> > Are you talking about how it kills bugs? Or how it kills people who
> > use it? (15% is WAY above the save level.)
> >
> > But we were talking about the effect of bug zappers on electromagnetic
> > equipment, not on bugs.
> > --
> > Al - rukbat at optonline dot net
> >

--
Cheers!
Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2666. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 19:41:12 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C6D6417.399F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 52135
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Smokin Cash wrote:
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
> news:s4pi6u0oejqj8icrlcct3dse98n4o35ji6@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:20:55 -0500, Dave Holford
> > <holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
> >
> > Shielding is legal - willful interference isn't.
>
> Would shielding not be willful interference

Nah - you could turn your restaurant into a Faraday cage,
and there's nothing illegal about that at all. It's
transmitting jamming signals that's probably illegal. :-)
(The shielding merely "obstructs" the signal. The
"willful interference" is when you send a signal over
the top of theirs.)
--
Cheers!
Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2667. Re: Question re. Air Traffic Controllers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 20:17:09 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.Com>
Message-ID: <82eb8.74678$H37.10077686@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 52136

"b7spectra" <b7spectra@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:a4c4cv$5ha$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> They normally leave off the first digit - 1. So if they say "contact
ground
> on 21-9" the actually mean 121.9.
>
Interestingly in Europe they never do this. Although all civil freqs start
with a 1 and can therefore be dropped, it appears that only the North
Americans do. Listen to BA flights coming into your city and they will read
back the full frequency.

RustY

2668. Re: 50 or 75 ohm cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 20:20:33 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.Com>
Message-ID: <k5eb8.74704$H37.10080940@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 52137

"Ice" <kd5jbk@mmcable.com> wrote in message
news:0h4a8.379712$8w3.96737390@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
>
> which is better for use with a scanner? 50 or 75 ohm cable?
>
> It makes no difference to a scanner at all. You could use any wire that
comes to hand. Try it and see what works for you.

RustY

2669. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 20:24:14 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.Com>
Message-ID: <R8eb8.74734$H37.10086071@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 52139

"Greg" <greg@aiomedia.com> wrote in message
news:9p1l6ukfdfh6ctjisltn85bq7b5s67eps6@4ax.com...

> Maybe because jamming cell phones is against FCC regulations, and is a
> felony?

It depends on how you jam them. Not all forms of signal denial would be
classed as illegal.

RustY

2670. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 20:29:03 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jceb8.52048$Hu6.13187666@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Thanks for the help. Burghardt's has agreed to replace the R2. I just sent it home.
DeWayne KE9K

"Val" <valair@Spambtinternet.com> wrote in message news:a4jln3fbm1@paris.btinternet.com...
> Hi De Wayne
> Take the radio back to where you bought it from, tell them you want a
> replacement. If they try and blame Icom, Point out to them that you bought
> the R2 from THEM not Icom. Under the Law, the seller is responsible for the
> radio.
> They MUST replace the radio, it is upto THEM to contact Icom, not you.
> If they still piss you about, tell them that it is against the law to trade
> or sell goods that do not meet the standards to which they are intended,
> i.e.. if your radio has a fault and you only just bought it, then they are
> selling defective goods and that is unlawful
> You are well within your rights as a consumer to DEMAND either a replacement
> or full refund.
> I would contact your Consumer protection dept, the number should be
> available at your local library or the telephone company will have it.
> --
> ***The Sorcerer***
> remove Spam for reply
>
>
>
>
>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> news:Vc6b8.50608$Hu6.12881085@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > 4138
> >
> > > What (or approx) is your serial number?
> > >
> > >
> > > "dave F" <dfuk@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > > news:a4hahg$ps8$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > > >
> > > > DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:dF7a8.44362$Hu6.10416215@typhoon.neo.rr.com...

> > > > > I just bought a new Icom R2. Problem is that it only lasts an hour
> or
> > so
> > > > on
> > > > > any kind of batteries I've tried. I did a complete reset and
> installed
> > > new
> > > > > alkalines, same thing, lasted an hour without even scanning or
using
> > any
> > > > > audio at all, just sitting there turned on. Any ideas? Thanks!
> > > > > DeWayne KE9K
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > I have the same problem, after 2 hours thats it!
> > > > May be there's a problem with a batch of R2s?
> > > >
> > > > dave F
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 08/02/02
>
>

2671. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 20:47:57 UTC
From: Dirk <webscanner@NOSPAM.infonline.net>
Message-ID: <pirq6ugal6j1egueanfo7np6ijgmmho9dg@4ax.com>
Source record: 52140
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Personally, people - I think this is all BULLSHIT - and I'll tell you why: If these radio waves are entering MY space and going through MY body - then I should be able to do with them as I please. Is this not a violation of property? I didn't give anyone permission to shoot THOUSANDS, possibly even HUNDREDS of THOUSANDS of RF energy through my body - and I can't control the direction of radio waves (unless I build a home out of lead) and when I drive to the store, walk in the park, sit down to go fishing - whatever - there are a MAGNITUDE of unseen forces penetrating the air and the environment we live in. Studies are INCONCLUSIVE as to the harmful effects of RF radiation on the human body - look at cell phones specifically - first they are released as being "safe" then there are reports out 8~10 years later that exposure to radiation from close-range use of cell phones COULD cause brain damage. Apparently the parties responsible for doing the research were early pioneers of close-range radiation. Personally, my feelings on this are simple - if you are going to pass radiation through my body - whether directed, intentional or not - I should be able to monitor what effects my person. That being said - let's talk about realistic directions... I'm not condoning the monitoring frequencies for the intent of illegal practices. But if public transmissions ARE PRESENTin MY AIRSPACE - I feel we have a right to know what they are. I consider any UNSCRAMBLED radio waves to be PUBLIC. If you want privacy - use another medium or SCRAMBLE / ENCODE your transmission. In this type of approach, we retain the right to listen - but not the right to decipher.

And yes, I am an avid scanner buff - I'm not here to make a stink about leaglity issues - more like along the lines of MORALITY issues. The FCC doesn't want us to be able to monitor CELL frequencies - but they will charter some cellular company rights to put a cell tower in your backyard and irradiate your immediate airspace with varying amounts of RF.

So do we have the right?

-Dirk
LIVE 24/7 WebScanner for Mercer County PA / Trumbull County OHIO
http://scanner.infonline.net

On Mon, 24 Sep 2001 04:47:02 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:

>
><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
>news:p8crqt439q319mgvjs3u20qo67fgst9loc@4ax.com...

>> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 08:46:33 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
>> <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
>> >news:1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com...
>> >> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >>>>Danny wrote:
>> >> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone conversations
>in
>> >the
>> >> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block out
>> >those
>> >> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
>> >> >>EEng wrote:
>> >> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>> >>
>> >> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>> >>
>> >> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
>> >
>> >The CFR is all very well, but it's not the law that prohibits cellular
>and
>> >cordless listening in the US.
>> >
>> >You want 18 USC Sec. 2511, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act,
>which
>> >directly prohibits monitoring cellular, cordless, and some other things.
>> >
>> >See: http://uscode.house.gov/usc.htm for a searchable version of the
>USC.
>> >Search on electronic and communications and privacy and cellular, or
>just
>> >directly search for title 18, section 2511.
>> >
>> >The FCC regulations are simply implimentations of that act, to stop you
>from
>> >manufacturing a receiver that is designed to monitor cellular.
>> >
>> >Yes, the FCC has clarified that 'modifying' a scanner to receive cellular
>is
>> >'manufacturing' within this rule, and a violation.
>> >
>> If you read it carefully, you'll see that it specifically details that
>> USE of the content is illegal, not merely listening in, so I'll say
>> once more, it is not illegal to listen. Qualifying that, it IS

>> illegal to convey the content in any manner.
>>
>> EEng.
>>
>
><sigh> I've read it, which you apparently haven't, very well. I realize
>it's rather impenetrable, especially on a screen instead of printed out
>where you can follow the nested clauses, EEng, but yes, it DOES prohibit you
>monitoring, listening, intercepting, at all. First section: You are
>prohibited from INTERCEPTING, regardless of what you do with it. That only
>affects whether you get only a fine, or a fine or jail time, in the penalty
>area. If what you do meets any of a) thru e), you're in violation. They're
>all seperate violations, you don't have to do both a) and b), etc.
>------------
>(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any
>
> person who -
>
> (a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other
>person to intercept or endeavor to
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;
>
>(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other person to
>use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to
>intercept any oral communication when -
>
> (i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a signal
>through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire communication;
>or
>
> (ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or interferes
>with the transmission of such communication; or
>
> (iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such device
>or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or transported in
>interstate or foreign commerce; or
>
> (iv) such use or endeavor to use
>
> (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other commercial
>establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce;
>or
>
> (B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information relating
>to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment the
>operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or
>
> (v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth
>of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United States;

>
>(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person
>the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or
>having reason to know that the information was obtained through the
>interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of
>this subsection;
>
>(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any wire, oral,
>or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the
>information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or
>electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or
>
>(e)(i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other
>person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
>intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(a)(ii), 2511(2)(b)-(c),
>2511(2)(e), 2516, and 2518 of this chapter,
>
>(ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained
>through the interception of such a communication in connection with a
>criminal investigation,
>
>(iii) having obtained or received the information in connection with a
>criminal investigation, and
>
>(iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with a duly
>authorized criminal investigation,
>
>shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit
>as provided in subsection (5).
>
>

2672. Pro 93 Kepad trick?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 21:12:03 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c6d7981.23608387@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 52141

Is there a trick that will do the same as the 0,3 for the Pro 92?

2673. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 21:26:11 UTC
From: Smokin Cash <ira20@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <T1fb8.11971$P21.1135658@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52142
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Was just over spray. Spraying around ankles keeps ticks from climbing your
leg and we all know where a tick favorite place to latch on is.

--
Slower Traffic Keep Right
"Rich Grise" <richgrise@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3C6D6360.5E9F@earthlink.net...
> I wonder if DEET is oil-soluble or water-soluble. In either case,
> it's an absolutely awesome mosquito repellent. I was visiting a
> friend one time in Minnesota, whose back yard was right next
> to the woods, and you could literally see clouds of mosquitoes.
> This guy had some greasy cream that he'd got at the surplus
> store, which I guess was the type used in the jungles of
> Vietnam. He also had a couple of those candles, and "mosquito
> coils," which seemed to have no effect at all.
>
> Why did you apply it to the soles of your boots?
>
> Smokin Cash wrote:
> >
> > I have a bottle in the closet. I can't remember exactly what all it says
on
> > it but, its about a 4oz spray bottle with DEET written in big brown
> > lettering I think. It is 100% DEET. I came across it when I was about
14. I
> > used it one time and it melted the soles on my boots. That is why it is
in
> > the closet. I kept it around just as a conversation piece. Then I moved
and
> > haven't seen it in a year or so.
> >
> > --
> > Slower Traffic Keep Right
> > "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
> > news:81nj6ugqn243ajsqlifi8bu7u4g42367ih@4ax.com...
> > > On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:55:48 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
> > > posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
> > >
> > > >Bug zappers are bad, as they kill the wrong bugs. Just get some
> > > >army-surplus 15% DEET. Works like a champ. :-)
> > >
> > > Are you talking about how it kills bugs? Or how it kills people who
> > > use it? (15% is WAY above the save level.)

> > >
> > > But we were talking about the effect of bug zappers on electromagnetic
> > > equipment, not on bugs.
> > > --
> > > Al - rukbat at optonline dot net
> > >
>
> --
> Cheers!
> Rich
>
> "We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
> - Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's
>

2674. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 21:27:42 UTC
From: Smokin Cash <ira20@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <i3fb8.11979$P21.1137151@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52143
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

I see now Dave. Sorry

--
Slower Traffic Keep Right
"Dave Holford" <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3C6D5141.DFD36346@sympatico.ca...
>
>
> Smokin Cash wrote:
> >
> > "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
> > news:s4pi6u0oejqj8icrlcct3dse98n4o35ji6@4ax.com...
> > > On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:20:55 -0500, Dave Holford
> > > <holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
>
>
> > > (NO HE DIDN'T)
>
>
> > > Shielding is legal - willful interference isn't.
> >
> > Would shielding not be willful interference
>

2675. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 21:37:18 UTC
From: wombat <wombat@privacyx.com>
Message-ID: <u6qvsjjrc9f3a5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 52144
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

"Smokin Cash" <ira20@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:T1fb8.11971$P21.1135658@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Was just over spray. Spraying around ankles keeps ticks from climbing your
> leg and we all know where a tick favorite place to latch on is.

Your wallet?

Sorry... thought you were talking about politicks.

2676. Re: Question re. Air Traffic Controllers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 22:27:54 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C6D8B6A.112DF199@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 52145

RustY wrote:
>
> "b7spectra" <b7spectra@netscape.net> wrote in message
> news:a4c4cv$5ha$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > They normally leave off the first digit - 1. So if they say "contact
> ground
> > on 21-9" the actually mean 121.9.
> >
> Interestingly in Europe they never do this. Although all civil freqs start
> with a 1 and can therefore be dropped, it appears that only the North
> Americans do. Listen to BA flights coming into your city and they will read
> back the full frequency.
>
> RustY

I have been retired for a while, and although I am still an avid listener (Just can't get over that need to know what is happening in my airspace!), I can't really comment on the current state.

However, I cannot recall this being a common practice in U.S. or Canadian ATC units I have worked in or visited. Some, mostly smaller, airlines have shown a tendency to drop the leading one but it is not a common practice.

While operating in European airspace I cannot say I noticed it either, but that was quite some years ago.

Certainly I don't notice it being used by controllers or pilots on frequencies used by Boston, Cleveland, Toronto, Montreal, Moncton or Gander ACC's. And having flown the flight deck from coast to coast a copule of times in the last 3 years I have never noticed it from any ATC unit, Centre, Terminal, Tower or Ground; or even any aircrew.

Not to say it doesn't happen, but I know it would have been brought to my attention if I had done it, along with a statement to the effect that if I didn't take my job seriously maybe I should look for another line of work. ATC folks are not exactly renowned for their tact.

Dave Holford

2677. Re: What mods can i do to my 245xtl???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 23:32:26 UTC
From: rrs <rrs_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <23815946.0000aa1f.086@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 52146

In article <Tnab8.5$TP3.2231416@news.ripnet.com>, "The says...
>
>I was just wondering what type of mods i can do to my 245xtl or where can i
>learn how to do mods to it, like receive cell phones, better reception, can
>i get the ctcss squelch control in it anyway or is there any way I can
>monitor channels that have ctcss?????
>
>
>Thanks
>
>Matt
>
>

You can convert it into a nice door stop. There are no modifications for that
radio.

2678. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-15 23:59:55 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <%hhb8.111281$th4.30935443@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I don't wish to drag this discussion on, but thought I'd share my
experience. I have an ICOM R2, purchased it from www.kjielectronics.com
about a year ago. I've used regular alkaline AA's from and start and have
no negative report - in fact, I've been impressed at how long the batteries
have lasted. Lately, I've been using Panasonic 1600 mAh NI-MH batteries,
and these have been superb!

Also, I have beep turned off and the background lighting turned of in the R2
setup - figuring that beeps and lighting take away from the batteries.

Paul, KC2FNC

NJ

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:jceb8.52048$Hu6.13187666@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Thanks for the help. Burghardt's has agreed to replace the R2. I just sent
> it home.
> DeWayne KE9K

2679. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:03:40 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <wlhb8.111291$th4.30947417@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Before someone asks, my serial number is 05578.

Paul

"Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:%hhb8.111281$th4.30935443@news02.optonline.net...
> I don't wish to drag this discussion on, but thought I'd share my
> experience. I have an ICOM R2, purchased it from www.kjielectronics.com
> about a year ago. I've used regular alkaline AA's from and start and have
> no negative report - in fact, I've been impressed at how long the
batteries
> have lasted. Lately, I've been using Panasonic 1600 mAh NI-MH batteries,
> and these have been superb!
>
> Also, I have beep turned off and the background lighting turned of in the
R2
> setup - figuring that beeps and lighting take away from the batteries.
>
> Paul, KC2FNC
>
> NJ

2680. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <2vcq6ugs7nmm5hf4k7lh5fauepicvjivcv@4ax.com>
Source record: 52049
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 15:55:56 -0600, "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH"
<kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>More likely, you'll need to call the maker of your TV, and tell them
>you won't be buying any more of their products until they put in
>proper shielding.

If it's 120 Hz interference coming in on the power line, there's not
much filtering you can do.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2681. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <q0dq6u4dp4el6oq07qa6ha8t5qvmntel8o@4ax.com>
Source record: 52050
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 14:01:59 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>Well, I'm hard-pressed to imagine anyone admisistering CPR and talking
>on the telephone simultaneously.

Most responders like keeping a line open from the incident location to
the hospital - not from the street outside the location to the
hospital.

>(I'm not in stir at the moment, BTW - in California,
>I'm completely clean.) :-)

Narrow limit noted.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2682. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <r4dq6uoemndj9cneechajeu1b2ivcrep12@4ax.com>
Source record: 52053
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 19:10:51 GMT, "Orlando\(320th TRS\)"
<slyvp@hotmail.com> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>It's funny that many posters mentioned emergency workers, because I don't
>think those same workers wod'nt want any cellphones in thier hospitals now
>would they? There ARE places where cellphone use can be justifiably blocked.

Many hospitals USE cell phones for communications, so they wouldn't
want cell phone usage blocked - just banned.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2683. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:35 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <utcq6u0rv4bc2fom7maqg2lmpkb1urfm36@4ax.com>
Source record: 52059
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:51:40 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>I've got some neighbor with a leaky microwave oven or something,
>which almost every day, around mealtime, jams my TV, usually
>right in the middle of my favorite show. Can I call the FCC
>and ask them to investigate?

Sure. Just file an interference complaint.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2684. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <n6dq6uc4v1b868lguf5m8npf2bmmb00v9o@4ax.com>
Source record: 52051
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 21:02:56 GMT, "Orlando\(320th TRS\)"
<slyvp@hotmail.com> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>"Byron L. Hicks" <byronhicks@byronhicks.com> wrote in message
>news:a4btb9$45e$1@bubba.NMSU.Edu...

>> But, if you are trying to block cell phone signals in hospitals because the
>> signals play havoc with life support machinery, it doesn't make any sense to
>> do it with a strong enough signal to block cellphones. It just seems like
>> you would be compounding the problem.

>> But what do I know...

>Maybe if you are using the same technology that you are trying to block, but
>if you can block a cellular phone using a safe method then it WOULD make
>sense.

Since it's the RF that's the problem, you'd have to invent a totally
new physics to do that.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2685. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <j8dq6u08um66ufuu59a3855putje30re2h@4ax.com>
Source record: 52052
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 22:50:24 +0000, dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>In alt.radio.pirate Byron L. Hicks <byronhicks@byronhicks.com> wrote:

>> Maybe...

>> But, if you are trying to block cell phone signals in hospitals because the
>> signals play havoc with life support machinery, it doesn't make any sense to
>> do it with a strong enough signal to block cellphones. It just seems like
>> you would be compounding the problem.

>Remember the inverse square law? You do not need anything like as much
>power as the phone is running, as you only have to prevent the phone
>hearing the base station!

In the entire building, from INSIDE the building. Which would
probably require much more than 200 mW (depending on the particular
building).
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2686. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <3bdq6ugndthu4d7crbic93shpail9339vu@4ax.com>
Source record: 52054
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 16:02:03 -0600, "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH"
<kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 22:50:24 +0000, dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
>wrote:

>>Remember the inverse square law? You do not need anything like as much
>>power as the phone is running, as you only have to prevent the phone
>>hearing the base station!

>I'm still wondering just how sensitive the equipment has to be to be
>killed by a couple hundred mW from a cell phone, but not harmed at all
>by a ham with a 50W rig in the parking lot.

Or a KW rig in the parking lot. Or the 600 watt club repeater on the
roof of the hospital.

> Considering that we've
>provided emergency comms for hositals many times in the past, (some
>from inside, some from outside) using several VHF/UHF bands, without
>blowing anything up, there's something pretty fishy about that.

It's called CYA and wilful blindness. They don't want any
transmissions from inside the building that they aren't aware of, but
they'll put up with signals from unknown transmitters if those signals
are helping them. (My club used to be the "telephone system of last
resort" for the hospital that hosted the club repeater whenever the
phone system was undergoing repair - which was quite often at one
time.)
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2687. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <hidq6uojg7crtga35k9i3dknujitkp4k50@4ax.com>
Source record: 52056
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 00:22:29 +0000, dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>I should note that I have actually suffered embarrasment due to RF
>from a phone, I was working FOH sound at a gig and without thinking
>I had put the phone down on the dog box behind the main sound desk.
>This mixer was feeding 20KW of Nexo alpha and when someone called
>me the whole audience got the benefit of some lovely 'static'.

Sounds like a TDMA phone.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2688. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <5odq6ukvh9lusl5bi9at4lj970ufga5381@4ax.com>
Source record: 52055
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On 13 Feb 2002 05:01:17 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) posted
in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 02:55:32 GMT, Walt Bertram
><wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:

>>In most of the hospitals I've been in recently the hospital personnel
>>all carry cellphones issued by the hospital and linked to an internal
>>cell system. So I guess those workers don't mind having cell phones on
>>premises.

>Those are not normally "Cell" phones, but simply wireless phones, that
>can operate on any number of bands, and different formats.

They're quite often cell phones, operating with a local carrier.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2689. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <9rdq6uc2tls4o2q9r4f0vb2jq526qiukag@4ax.com>
Source record: 52057
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 17:13:57 +0100, "Igor Dorrestijn"
<Dorrestijn@remoove.corps.nl> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>> >Bug zappers are bad, as they kill the wrong bugs. Just get some
>> >army-surplus 15% DEET. Works like a champ. :-)

>> Are you talking about how it kills bugs? Or how it kills people who
>> use it? (15% is WAY above the save level.)

>Allthough horribly off topic, could you elaborate a bit on the dangers of
>using DEET? I still have half a bottle of 35% laying around and am rather
>curious to the effects.

There was a big stink about DEET about 2 or 3 years ago. There must
be some info on the web.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2690. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 00:52:37 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <9tdq6uo029usie1mmpf9scp4amu7rlahe0@4ax.com>
Source record: 52058
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On 13 Feb 2002 15:29:24 -0800, donniedj@hotmail.com (Donnie James)
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote in message
news:<A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>...

>People please! Focus on the scientic means and not on the legal or
>moral rights. Todd questions not on how to break laws. Todd is
>questioning on "how", not on why. I know of a great number of reason
>to jam an RF signal, each being as important as the existance of
>communication itself. I will not trouble myself with the burden of
>convincing, or supplying explanations. Lets assume inocense and work
>from there.

If you're limiting yourself to the U. S., and the device you're
jamming is licensed, the jamming is illegal. Period. No "innocence"
at all.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2691. WTB: Icom R7000 or FRG-9600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 02:08:34 UTC
From: kc7ryd@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <554961d1.0202151808.7aa368e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I would like to find a used Icom R7000 or Yaesu FRG-9600. Please send
emails to kc7ryd@yahoo.com
Thanx,
Jim

2692. Re: What mods can i do to my 245xtl???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 03:09:28 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <y3kb8.1719$17.1102183@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 52061

You are misinformed. There are two audio mods, a squelch mod, and a discriminator tap mod.

> You can convert it into a nice door stop. There are no modifications for that
> radio.

2693. p93 file difference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 03:34:25 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Jrkb8.222$nb1.131099@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, here's the deal. I'll try to keep this short but sweet. Where I live, with MY 93 file in my unit, I can only once in a while pick up traffic from a TRS (trunked radio system) in the next county to mine. Once in a while I can, usually I can't. I do get the data channels loud and clear, but I always figured I never got much traffic because no one opened up the repeaters in my area to allow traffic through them. IE: no units would come into my county enough to "drag" their TG,s with them, thus opening up my local repeaters so they can stay in contact with their little cop buddies.

Yet when I load in a 93 file from someone 3 counties south, I hear it all (everything between me and him, or what I can't hear with my file). Now, I have all the same data channels and settings, I copied them verbatim to my file to do a test with my file, as to why it only worked once in a while, and no difference.

So in a nutshell, my file is EXACTLY the same as his, the ATT is off, scan direction is the same, order is the same no typos, it's all identical, except the actual name of the file. What would cause one file to pick up traffic, when another identical file will not?????

Rob in Salt Lake

2694. Re: Pro 93 Kepad trick?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 03:34:49 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5skb8.223$nb1.131092@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52063

What does 0,3 do?

"chester" <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message
news:3c6d7981.23608387@news.teranews.com...
> Is there a trick that will do the same as the 0,3 for the Pro 92?
>

2695. Car stereo/scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 04:15:12 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C6DDCF3.149D367B@home.com>
Source record: 52064

Hi fella's

Do any of you know if there is a car stereo/scanner combo unit in existence? I have never seen or heard of one myself but it sure would save a lot of grief for me if I could find one. It might be a tall order to jam a great stereo (Alpine) and a pro 2006 grade scanner in the same box but I thought I'd ask anyway. Ya just never know. :-)

Thanks Mike Burch K8MB
Apache Junction AZ

2696. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 04:40:02 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <8mor6usbmhgn2eo38ebmota0b6m4cjro77@4ax.com>
Source record: 52065
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Fri, 15 Feb 2002 13:19:45 -0500, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>Smokin Cash wrote:

>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
>> news:s4pi6u0oejqj8icrlcct3dse98n4o35ji6@4ax.com...
>> > On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:20:55 -0500, Dave Holford
>> > <holford@sympatico.ca> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>> > (NO HE DIDN'T)

>> > Shielding is legal - willful interference isn't.

>> Would shielding not be willful interference

Not even willful shielding is.
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2697. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 04:41:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <door6ucorh999d8mspe11jgfaksbrbf761@4ax.com>
Source record: 52066
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Fri, 15 Feb 2002 19:38:09 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>I wonder if DEET is oil-soluble or water-soluble. In either case,
>it's an absolutely awesome mosquito repellent.

There's a really great one that's completely harmless to humans. It's
called Avon's Skin So Soft. (I'm not kidding.)
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2698. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 04:43:27 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org>
Message-ID: <1ror6u0s875l915bb990r6m031pguojr6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 52067
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Fri, 15 Feb 2002 21:26:11 GMT, "Smokin Cash" <ira20@earthlink.com>
posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:

>Was just over spray. Spraying around ankles keeps ticks from climbing your
>leg and we all know where a tick favorite place to latch on is.

You don't spray it on your ankles - you spray it on the tops of your
socks and the part of your pants above your socks. (You tuck your
pants into your socks, of course.)
--
Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2699. Re: Scannin' paid off today...PHX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 04:44:28 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C6DE3AC.30288C1F@analon.com>
Source record: 52068

I often hear all sorts of things on the scanner.
I always travel with TWO scanners..... on I-10, I've heard the CHP (California Highway Patrol) talk about a medical emergency. On the other radio, I monitored the local EMAS frequencies. I found out where on I-10 the incident was so I could plan accordingly and also what happened......

I also have heard chases, as well as stake outs and surveillance. If I am waiting for dinner at a restaurant, I often take a scanner and ear piece with me.. never know what I might hear....
of course If it's something that may make the news, I can share that information.. but if it's sensitive I don't

I was on my way to the gym and was passed on the left by a speeding car....then on the right by a police car..... I took the scanner with me to the gym and learned that the suspect
who nearly hit me was fleeing from a robbery.. I was stopped at a red light.. the speeding suspect crossed over into the opposing lanes to get around the slow traffic......

of course, I have heard aircraft incidents too.... sometimes airline flight crews have interesting problems..... then there are the ground crews who don't know which way is up
(one Airline in particular that has White planes)......

jr127@USA.net wrote:

> Well said Randy.
>
> "Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
>
> > Shane, I am glad you were entertained...The price of your joy could
> > have been very high.
> >
> > If you watch any of those TV shows with Cop and Robber chases, you see
> > very often wrecks that occur with innocent citizens. Sometimes you
> > even see the criminal leave his damaged car and car-jack someone
> > elses.
> >
> > You had your children in the car you you knew that trouble was heading
> > your way. Maybe I love my children too much, but I think I would have
> > headed the OTHER way lickity-split!

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting

ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

2700. Re: FS: AOR AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 04:48:11 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C6DE48B.A489FCC@analon.com>
Source record: 52069

I may be interested.....
Do you still have it..... also is this one that gets EVERYTHING.....not cell blocked ???
JOHN

I already have one 8000 and two ar-1000 units

Soozin wrote:

> I decided to sell several of my scanners, one of which is the AR8000. I
> have the box and all accessories and manual and it is in like new condition.
> I'm asking $350.00 or best reasonable offer (plus shipping from NJ).
>
> Email me at soozin@ptd.net
>
> Thank you,
> Susan

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

2701. Re: FOR SALE: SCANNER RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 04:49:04 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C6DE4C0.2FC3D145@analon.com>
Source record: 52070

do you still have the trunk tracker ??
JOHN

BobAR8 wrote:

> hello,
> i have for sale:
>
> 1. uniden bearcat 235xlt trunktracker handheld. unit is in like brand new
> condition, comes with (2) 850 ma/h batteries, charging stand, ant, original
> box, and all documentation also included is a bearcat real leather case
> thats made for the unit. $110.00
>
> 2. sony icf-sc1pc 25-1300mhz computer controlled handheld. this is the sony
> scanner everybody wants!!! like brand new condition. with box and documentation
> and everything else it came with new
> $145.00
>
> these both are non-smoker
> need to sell fast. lost my job and need the money.
> please e-mail me @ bobar8@aol.com
> or call 561-434-7994
> thanks

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

2702. Re: Arizona Agency frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 04:50:48 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C6DE528.C512CFB2@analon.com>
Source record: 52071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've got a pretty comprehensive database.... mine goes down to the farmers and ranchers... it's pulled from an FCC masterfile CD.......

I am working on a spread sheet I can keep with me in my truck or my briefcase....
the database, when listed is about 3 inches thick

Charles Simmont wrote:

> www.scannerstuff.com publishes a good frequency directory for Arizona
>
> JA Moran wrote:
>
> > anyone have a list of Arizona agencies State and Federal they went to
> > share
> >
> > I believe I found a game and fish frequency Saturday while I was
> > searching it's a 151mhz frequency.
> >
> > the active ops/security frequencies for Hoover dam and other attractions
> > too... I have a database but want to update it..... I have several
> > scanners in my truck and often listen to the scanners on long road
> > trips.....On the roof are 4 tall antennas.... I ahve difficulty
> > getting into
> > parking structures and my antennas also will hit the overhangs at some
> > fast food palces..
> >
> > JOHN
> >
> > --
> > **----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com
> > |
> > --Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is
> > OK!
> > Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is
> > Deadly!
> > --
>
> --
> Charles Simmont - ICP815 Incident Command Page of Arizona
> http://www.icp-az.org
> Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

2703. Re: How do I find trunk control channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 04:55:08 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C6DE62C.6AB8AA99@analon.com>
Source record: 52072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

trunk control channels sound like a buzz saw... continous buzz saw.

are you thinking of cellular telephone control or calling frequencies ??

Eric Cartman wrote:

> In article <d89364bd.0201310611.38118454@posting.google.com>,
> johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:
>
> > Hi,
> >
> > I have a BC 780XLT and I have Scancat SE edition software.
> >
> > I'd like to set up the scanner and/or the software to scan all the
> > bands where trunk systems might exist to learn what's out there. Is
> > there a way to set the scanner (maybe using Scancat, maybe not) to
> > scan through the bands and look for and log control channels?
> >
> > If the scanner hears a control channel can it try all the different
> > trunking modes it knows about to figure out what kind of control
> > channel it hears?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > John
>
> The best way to find control channels in your area is to use the FCC
> database at the FCC website. Search for freqs in your area, or do a
> radio service search for YB, this will yield trunks for you to try. You
> can do it the old fashioned way, by listening. By listening to control
> channels of known systems you will get an idea of what to listen for.
> You then can use the 780s control channel only feature to probe the
> system...this works for motorola only.
>
> For what you want to do you need more expensive software. They sell a
> program for around 500.00US that will do it. Depending on where you
> live this may be worth it. If you live in a city with lots of trunk
> traffic and no one has done the job for you yet, you could publish your
> findings in a scanner guide and sell it to the local stores that sell
> scanners.....I live in Northern California and have done just that.
> Over the years I have sold about 2000 copies of the guide and that buys
> a lot of radio gear. I have kept it low key but now Radio Shack is
> interested in carrying them so I may be making a lot more, which in
> turn would pay for that trunking software!
>

> Who said your hobby has to cost you money!

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

2704. Software to decode digital???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 05:35:16 UTC
From: The GrEaT1 <smittyj77@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uamb8.13$Jj4.3962893@news.ripnet.com>
Source record: 52073

My local police service is digital, is there any software that will let me decode it/??

Thanks Matt

2705. PRO-42 any good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 06:45:05 UTC
From: kEN <ezwriter@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Rdnb8.4896$Yy4.795503675@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52075

Someone just gave me a PRO 42 Radio shack scanner.
Is it good for anything? only does uhf/vhf and 10 channels.
TIA

2706. VR-5000 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 06:57:34 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <ypnb8.1919$k5.1194438@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 52074

I haven't kept current regarding the Yaesu VR-5000. Has there been any software developed for it yet?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

2707. Bearcat BC-160 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 07:35:08 UTC
From: mlpapus1@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <eq2s6uscr03tpiteu81ompsvah6vhnu8ct@4ax.com>
Source record: 52076

Anyone know where i can find anything about this scaner ???
Manual or any info on how to setup ???
thanks

2708. Re: p93 file difference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 08:28:41 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <tr5s6u80aj4va1t937c15r0h6r35v1t3jc@4ax.com>
Source record: 52077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 15 Feb 2002 20:34:25 -0700, "Rob Taylor"
<fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:

[snip]
>So in a nutshell, my file is EXACTLY the same as his, the ATT is
>off, scan direction is the same, order is the same no typos, it's
>all identical, except the actual name of the file. What would cause
>one file to pick up traffic, when another identical file will
>not?????

Are the p93 files identical? If you do a fc /b on them, does it come
up "no differences"?

If not, I can think of a couple of people who'd be interested in
seeing the files. ;-)

Ken Plotkin

2709. Re: Pro 93 Kepad trick?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 08:33:14 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <926s6u4ic17ohquuitnq6oiprmg5vnod87@4ax.com>
Source record: 52078

On Fri, 15 Feb 2002 20:34:49 -0700, "Rob Taylor"
<fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:

>What does 0,3 do?

On the 92, it changes the data displayed. While scanning, instead of
just showing the banks and the cursor under the bank being scanned, it
shows the channel frequencies as it flashes by. Other stuff appears
in more detail.

Kind of fun, but it makes me dizzy to watch it.

Ken Plotkin

2710. Re: Motorola T5200 privacy codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 09:11:51 UTC
From: Stephanie Willis <swillis@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3C6E2257.F0C99C6B@nospam.com>
Source record: 52080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Mike McCormick wrote:
>
> I'm new to FSR's. I understand that the privacy codes help with
> interference. Do they prevent others from being able to listen in on
> your conversations?
>
> It sounds to me like the privacy codes in effect give you more
> channels and due to the much higher number of potential "channels,"
> you're more likely to be on a channel no one else is using. But if
> someone is using your same channel and code, then they will be able to
> hear your conversations. Is this correct?
>
> Does anyone have concerns about people hearing conversations of
> children and being able to indentify them and where they are??? I
> would like to purchase a pair of FSR's, but am a little uncomfortable
> with others being able to hear conversations involving my children.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Mike

I have been keeping up on this subject and understand your
concerns. FRS radios are extremely dangerous for small
children (under 15) because unwanted strangers can locate
your home with a device called an "s-meter" which reads
the signal strength. This allows the listener to "zoom in"
on where the child lives. In the past year FRS radio use was
responsible for the majority of child-adults meetings/molestations
and associated problems. In our tri state area here is the list:

Number of children contacted and engaging in sexual conduct: 372

Contact means:

57% - FRS radios
27% - Internet (newsgroups, chatrooms, email, etc.)
11% - Approached in public (playgrounds, malls, streets)
03% - Introduced by another child to offender.
03% - Unknown or not listed

Don't allow your children to use FRS radios. And be careful
or avoid net use by your children. Find a good book or
allow them to watch the Disney Channel.

Please be careful.

Stephanie
Parents Against FRS

2711. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 09:15:06 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <Lopb8.60016$as2.10169510@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 52079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

for some strange reason both Icom and Yaesu seem to supply some of there scanners with the background light already on,and this wastes the batteries big time and could be the cause of the problem.

the people i work for,disable the backlight before sale,also on the R2 its set up from factory for resuming scan after 5 seconds regardless of whether transmission has finished,both these standard set ups probably account for a good percentage of extra work in convincing the R2 is a good set.

Why on earth do they supply them like that????

anyway as for having to replace the set,depends on the country,all people on this group are not from UK,and i think the original question was not from the UK,but also i have never seen anything in a warranty that allows a replacement for batteries going down quicker than expected,wher in the manual does it say how long the batteries should last for???.

Manufacturers can change specs on equipment and that can include battery consumption.

Nice they have replaced it though,and in my opinion they should if youn are not happy,but in law there are so many loopholes,i know from experiance.

i buy things from P* W**LD and D**ONS in the UK and they wrote the book on get outs,the reason i still buy is because they are in every town and easy to purchase from,but when you have a problem they come up with differant rules every time,i phone trading standards and so far they have always been legally correct.

My fault for buying from them,fool.

i know i have gone slightly off topic so i will leave it there.

regards

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:wlhb8.111291$th4.30947417@news02.optonline.net...
> Before someone asks, my serial number is 05578.
>
> Paul
>
>
>
> "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:%hhb8.111281$th4.30935443@news02.optonline.net...
> > I don't wish to drag this discussion on, but thought I'd share my
> > experience. I have an ICOM R2, purchased it from www.kjielectronics.com
> > about a year ago. I've used regular alkaline AA's from and start and
have
> > no negative report - in fact, I've been impressed at how long the
> batteries
> > have lasted. Lately, I've been using Panasonic 1600 mAh NI-MH
batteries,
> > and these have been superb!
> >
> > Also, I have beep turned off and the background lighting turned of in
the
> R2
> > setup - figuring that beeps and lighting take away from the batteries.
> >
> > Paul, KC2FNC
> >
> > NJ
>
>
>

2712. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 09:44:31 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <3Spb8.52797$Hu6.13736567@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 52081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:Lopb8.60016$as2.10169510@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>
> for some strange reason both Icom and Yaesu seem to supply some of there
> scanners with the background light already on,and this wastes the
batteries
> big time and could be the cause of the problem.
>
>
> the people i work for,disable the backlight before sale,also on the R2 its
> set up from factory for resuming scan after 5 seconds regardless of
whether
> transmission has finished,both these standard set ups probably account for
a
> good percentage of extra work in convincing the R2 is a good set.
>
> Why on earth do they supply them like that????
>
>
> anyway as for having to replace the set,depends on the country,all people
on
> this group are not from UK,and i think the original question was not from
> the UK,but also i have never seen anything in a warranty that allows a
> replacement for batteries going down quicker than expected,wher in the
> manual does it say how long the batteries should last for???.

Icom admitted my R2 was defective. "Your unit needs repair....suggest you
send in."
DeWayne KE9K

>
> Manufacturers can change specs on equipment and that can include battery
> consumption.
>
> Nice they have replaced it though,and in my opinion they should if youn
are
> not happy,but in law there are so many loopholes,i know from experiance.
>
> i buy things from P* W**LD and D**ONS in the UK and they wrote the book on
> get outs,the reason i still buy is because they are in every town and easy
> to purchase from,but when you have a problem they come up with differant
> rules every time,i phone trading standards and so far they have always
been
> legally correct.

>
>
> My fault for buying from them,fool.
>
> i know i have gone slightly off topic so i will leave it there.
>
> regards
>
>
>
>
>
> Paul Wey[PROMA1]
>
> moderator of following scanning groups
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
>
>
>
> "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:wlhb8.111291$th4.30947417@news02.optonline.net...
> > Before someone asks, my serial number is 05578.
> >
> > Paul
> >
> >
> >
> > "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:%hhb8.111281$th4.30935443@news02.optonline.net...
> > > I don't wish to drag this discussion on, but thought I'd share my
> > > experience. I have an ICOM R2, purchased it from
www.kjielectronics.com
> > > about a year ago. I've used regular alkaline AA's from and start and
> have
> > > no negative report - in fact, I've been impressed at how long the
> > batteries
> > > have lasted. Lately, I've been using Panasonic 1600 mAh NI-MH
> batteries,
> > > and these have been superb!
> > >
> > > Also, I have beep turned off and the background lighting turned of in

> the
> > R2
> > > setup - figuring that beeps and lighting take away from the batteries.
> > >
> > > Paul, KC2FNC
> > >
> > > NJ
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2713. Software connect BC895XLT into PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 10:47:55 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3c6e2bad.3523756@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 52082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dear Fellows:
I own Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT and I would like to connect on PC. So,
I would like to get freeware program to manage the frequencies. Any
good program??? I would like to thanks for any infoormation.
Vy 73 de PS7KM,

Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kml@digi.com.br
kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

2714. Car & Deep Cycle Battery Frequently Asked Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 11:08:06 UTC
From: bjb_darden@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b3f92570.0202160308.e8afa39@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52083

Latest versions of the Car and Deep Cycle Battery Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) maybe found at http://www.uuhome.de/william.darden/ Also, you will find a Battery Manufacturers and Brand Names List, Battery References and Information Links and printable version of the above.

If you have any questions or comments, please email me at bjb_darden@yahoo.com

Kindest regards,

BiLL.......

2715. Tap from BC780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 11:58:05 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a4lhchgqj1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 52084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Hello,

I'm using an BC780 to track the local police EDACS network, and an old scanner (Pro-2022) with discriminator-output and HamCom modem to decode the control channel with the programm etrunker.

No I was wondering, instead of making an RF-tap on the discriminator, wouldn't it be possible to make a data-tap in the 780? The 780 itself decodes the control-channel and decodes that information to track the network. Is the required data (equivalent to the slicer-data) produced inside of an IC or processor, or can it be tapped at some point and transported to the computer's comport so that etrunker can decode this data???

Bye, Peter Hunt.

2716. Re: Motorola T5200 privacy codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 12:22:53 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c6e4f1c$0$1611$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 52085
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner

Stephanie Willis <swillis@nospam.com> wrote in
news:3C6E2257.F0C99C6B@nospam.com:

> Mike McCormick wrote:
>>
>> I'm new to FSR's. I understand that the privacy codes help with
>> interference. Do they prevent others from being able to listen in on
>> your conversations?
>>
>> It sounds to me like the privacy codes in effect give you more
>> channels and due to the much higher number of potential "channels,"
>> you're more likely to be on a channel no one else is using. But if
>> someone is using your same channel and code, then they will be able to
>> hear your conversations. Is this correct?
>>
>> Does anyone have concerns about people hearing conversations of
>> children and being able to indentify them and where they are??? I
>> would like to purchase a pair of FSR's, but am a little uncomfortable
>> with others being able to hear conversations involving my children.
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>> Mike
>
> I have been keeping up on this subject and understand your
> concerns. FRS radios are extremely dangerous for small
> children (under 15) because unwanted strangers can locate
> your home with a device called an "s-meter" which reads
> the signal strength. This allows the listener to "zoom in"
> on where the child lives. In the past year FRS radio use was
> responsible for the majority of child-adults meetings/molestations
> and associated problems. In our tri state area here is the list:
>
> Number of children contacted and engaging in sexual conduct: 372
>
> Contact means:
>
> 57% - FRS radios
> 27% - Internet (newsgroups, chatrooms, email, etc.)
> 11% - Approached in public (playgrounds, malls, streets)
> 03% - Introduced by another child to offender.
> 03% - Unknown or not listed
>

> Don't allow your children to use FRS radios. And be careful
> or avoid net use by your children. Find a good book or
> allow them to watch the Disney Channel.
>
> Please be careful.
>
> Stephanie
> Parents Against FRS
>

suspecting a troll, stephanie, can you provide us with the tri-states
involved, and the public agency you got these figures from?

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

2717. Senss BC245 Interface Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 13:40:41 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@home.com>
Message-ID: <tjtb8.49718$X64.16952315@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 52086

Hello!

I downloaded this amazing program this morning ant it works great!

Im having one problem though that I thought you might be able to help with. When ever I try to edit the frequency directory or ID directory I get an error that says:

RUN TIME ERROR 372

Failed to load control 'MSFlexGrid' from MSFLEXGRID.OCX

It says my version of what ever this is is outdated and a newer one should have been provided with the software.

Any ideas?

Thanks
Dave Staples
dasflies1@comcast.net

2718. Re: Software connect BC895XLT into PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 14:22:05 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C6E6B0D.32C2ABD@yahoo.com>
Source record: 52087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Karl Leite wrote:
>
> Dear Fellows:
> I own Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT and I would like to connect on PC. So,
> I would like to get freeware program to manage the frequencies. Any
> good program??? I would like to thanks for any infoormation.
> Vy 73 de PS7KM,
> ------------------------------
> Karl Leite
> Natal, RN, Brasil
> eMail: kml@digi.com.br
> kl@samnet.com.br
> LightHouse PostCard Collector

Karl - try "trunk manager" and "radio manager" from the following
site:

http://www.interplaza.com/bensware/rm.htm

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½

2719. Web Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 14:52:45 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6502-3C6E723D-155@storefull-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 52092

Check out my new sit for Northumberland County, PA
Thanks

http://community.webtv.net/Zeawolf/ZeawolfsScannerPage

2720. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:03:11 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C6E74AF.9CE736C1@USA.net>
Source record: 52088

That's wonderful, "whoever". Every web site I went to is NOT available, just the pop ups.

"Email to above or see post sig for address!" wrote:

> On Fri, 15 Feb 2002 06:59:16 GMT, "Robert Dubenezic" <nospambobdnj1424@aol.com>
> wrote:
>
> >what frequencies does PASP use now. NJSP uses an 800MHz trunked system now.
> >
>
> Full information on PSP can be found on my site, URL below
> ---
> The Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
> Western PA Scanner Mailing List -
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/wpascanl.htm
> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
> http://washcodps.hypermart.net - Wash. Co. PA DPS (UNOFFICIAL)
> Spice Girls Rule! http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/spice.htm
> ---
> By U.S. Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer
> meets the definition of a telephone fax machine.
> By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is unlawful to send any unsolicited
> advertisement to such equipment.
> By Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section
> is punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or
> $500, whichever is greater, by each violation.
> ---
> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

2721. Finally - Opinion on Live Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:06:23 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C6E756F.620CB161@USA.net>
Source record: 52089

If I want live audio I will turn on a scanner, am radio, television etc...

2722. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:10:34 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3c6e764d.168256971@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 52090

Try this one:
http://wpascan.i8.com/pa/pastate.htm

-Dave, KB3FXI
www.PA-SitRep.com

On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 10:03:11 -0500, Pappy@USA.net wrote:

>That's wonderful, "whoever". Every web site I went to is NOT available, just the
>pop ups.
>
>"Email to above or see post sig for address!" wrote:
>
>> On Fri, 15 Feb 2002 06:59:16 GMT, "Robert Dubenezic" <nospambobdnj1424@aol.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >what frequencies does PASP use now. NJSP uses an 800MHz trunked system now.
>> >
>>
>> Full information on PSP can be found on my site, URL below
>> ---
>> The Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
>> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/
>> Western PA Scanner Mailing List -
>> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/wpascanl.htm
>> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
>> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/scnrng.htm
>> http://washcodps.hypermart.net - Wash. Co. PA DPS (UNOFFICIAL)
>> Spice Girls Rule! http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/spice.htm
>> ---
>> By U.S. Code Title 47, Sec.227(a)(2)(B), a computer/modem/printer
>> meets the definition of a telephone fax machine.
>> By Sec.227(b)(1)(C), it is unlawful to send any unsolicited
>> advertisement to such equipment.
>> By Sec.227(b)(3)(C), a violation of the aforementioned Section
>> is punishable by action to recover actual monetary loss, or
>> $500, whichever is greater, by each violation.
>> ---
>> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!
>

2723. Re: Finally - Opinion on Live Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:13:20 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3c6e7690.168324345@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 52091

I'm not sure where you live, but I'm in PA. I like the fact that I
can listen to Dallas or NY police traffic from here. In fact, I think
it's pretty damn cool.

-Dave, KB3FXI
www.PA-SitRep.com

On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 10:06:23 -0500, Pappy@USA.net wrote:

>If I want live audio I will turn on a scanner, am radio, television
>etc...
>
>
>

2724. por94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:21:31 UTC
From: David <dstanley23@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <%Nub8.71421$AV5.364979@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 52093

Who makes this and is it any good?
Might be ableto get one for 150$
Is this descent?

Thanks,
David

2725. Hamcomm DATA slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:26:06 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91B76A35CDDA1fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 52094
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know where I can find schematic or just a regular plan to build a data slicer? I wanna make my 2006 trunk

2726. Re: Software to decode digital???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:33:40 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91B76B7E095AEfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 52095

"The GrEaT1" <smittyj77@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:uamb8.13$Jj4.3962893@news.ripnet.com:

> My local police service is digital, is there any software that will
> let me decode it/??
>
>
> Thanks Matt
>
>

Do you mean trunking or digital?

2727. pro 94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:45:51 UTC
From: David <dstanley23@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <O8vb8.66690$fK1.4180852@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 52096

sorry 'bout the por94 typo...
Who makes this for rat shack and is it any good?
Might be able to get one for 150$ used but in new cond.
Is this descent?

Thanks,
David

2728. PA-SitRep e-mail list for PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:47:26 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3c6e79b8.169132112@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 51997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emergency Situation Report e-mail list for Pennsylvanians

PA-SitRep is a free, 100% non-commercial,
nonprofit, e-mail list and web site.

The PA-SitRep emergency traffic e-mail list is a
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State
of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism, major fires,
manhunts, and Amateur Radio Emergency Net
activations.

Subscribers are encouraged to utilize their home,
work and cell phone e-mail addresses for receiving
messages from the PA-SitRep e-mail list.
Subscribers are also encouraged to post major
emergency situation reports that directly relate to
Pennsylvania, but posts must consist of confirmed
and accurate information.

Message volume on PA-SitRep is EXTREMELY
low and users may subscribe and unsubscribe
at any time.

PA-SitRep is also building a list of PA-SitRep
Contacts, many of whom are Amateur Radio
Operators with HF capabilities.

Please visit the site to learn more about the
PA-SitRep mail list and volunteering as a
PA-SitRep Contact:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. We'll look forward to your participation.

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
PA-SitRep list owner and PA-SitRep.com Founder

2729. Re: Wanted TriBander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:49:19 UTC
From: "Chip G." <n1mie@NOSPAM.snet.net>
Message-ID: <160220021051235087%n1mie@NOSPAM.snet.net>
Source record: 51998
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <3C6C8439.8C71A6C4@optonline.net>, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:

] No, giveing bands as "wavelength, frequency, wavelength" is what was
] strange.

Actually I find it pretty normal. We refer to them in the ways they are
most often labelled. Nearly everyone I know calls 144-148 MHz by it's
wavelength as with 50-54 MHz. But .70 cm is most often referred to, at
least hearabouts, by it's frequency. So it seems perfectly normal to
me.

--
N1MIE - FN31xm

2730. Re: Motorola T5200 privacy codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 15:55:20 UTC
From: Mona <mona_ralph@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a4lvdk$17i07$1@ID-95032.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Crap

--
Laura
Queen of the Mercury Amalgam Aspartame Multiple Sclerosis Society
"Stephanie Willis" <swillis@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3C6E2257.F0C99C6B@nospam.com...
> Mike McCormick wrote:
> >
> > I'm new to FSR's. I understand that the privacy codes help with
> > interference. Do they prevent others from being able to listen in on
> > your conversations?
> >
> > It sounds to me like the privacy codes in effect give you more
> > channels and due to the much higher number of potential "channels,"
> > you're more likely to be on a channel no one else is using. But if
> > someone is using your same channel and code, then they will be able to
> > hear your conversations. Is this correct?
> >
> > Does anyone have concerns about people hearing conversations of
> > children and being able to indentify them and where they are??? I
> > would like to purchase a pair of FSR's, but am a little uncomfortable
> > with others being able to hear conversations involving my children.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Mike
>
> I have been keeping up on this subject and understand your
> concerns. FRS radios are extremely dangerous for small
> children (under 15) because unwanted strangers can locate
> your home with a device called an "s-meter" which reads
> the signal strength. This allows the listener to "zoom in"
> on where the child lives. In the past year FRS radio use was
> responsible for the majority of child-adults meetings/molestations
> and associated problems. In our tri state area here is the list:
>
> Number of children contacted and engaging in sexual conduct: 372
>
> Contact means:
>
> 57% - FRS radios
> 27% - Internet (newsgroups, chatrooms, email, etc.)

> 11% - Approached in public (playgrounds, malls, streets)
> 03% - Introduced by another child to offender.
> 03% - Unknown or not listed
>
> Don't allow your children to use FRS radios. And be careful
> or avoid net use by your children. Find a good book or
> allow them to watch the Disney Channel.
>
> Please be careful.
>
> Stephanie
> Parents Against FRS

2731. Re: Hamcomm DATA slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 16:24:57 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020216112457.03910.00000670@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 52000

One of the old Bill Cheek articles in MT had the plans to do that.

2732. Re: por94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 16:31:01 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020216113101.03910.00000671@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 52001

It's a nice scanner for my purpose. I bought one a few months ago when RS had them on sale at a considerable discount. They now show them at $249.00 on their web site but also list them as unavailable.
I like the fact that you can scan trunked and untrunked freq's at the same time and it seems quite sensitive.

Rob Mills ~~~

2733. Re: pro 94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 16:45:09 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c6e8c79.5421335@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 52002

On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 15:45:51 GMT, "David" <dstanley23@attbi.com>
wrote:

>Who makes this for rat shack and is it any good?

Uniden and it's a piece of shit. Get the Pro 93.

2734. Re: Hamcomm DATA slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 16:56:36 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <0r3t6uk8o68qctf9oj8b1ijrlmnhgiib3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 52003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

try typing " trunking data slicer " into Google and read the 147
answers before asking others to do your work for you.

a_alpha1

On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 15:26:06 -0000, William Ferguson
<fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:

>Anyone know where I can find schematic or just a regular plan to build a
>data slicer? I wanna make my 2006 trunk

2735. Re: Motorola T5200 privacy codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 16:57:27 UTC
From: Kevin <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <a4m31n$21p$4@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca>
Source record: 52005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In alt.radio.family Stephanie Willis <swillis@nospam.com> wrote:
> I have been keeping up on this subject and understand your
> concerns. FRS radios are extremely dangerous for small
> children (under 15) because unwanted strangers can locate
> your home with a device called an "s-meter" which reads
> the signal strength. This allows the listener to "zoom in"
> on where the child lives. In the past year FRS radio use was
> responsible for the majority of child-adults meetings/molestations
> and associated problems. In our tri state area here is the list:

Whoa! That sounds difficult to believe. I'd like to see some references
too.

I'm no parent, (yet ;) but I work with kids frequently in my volunteer
work (I'm on the board of directors at a local summer camp). So I take
abuse concerns to heart. I would caution kids to be careful with FRS, for
sure, but I don't think it's nearly the risk you make it out to be.

> Number of children contacted and engaging in sexual conduct: 372

> Contact means:

> 57% - FRS radios
> 27% - Internet (newsgroups, chatrooms, email, etc.)
> 11% - Approached in public (playgrounds, malls, streets)
> 03% - Introduced by another child to offender.
> 03% - Unknown or not listed

OK, you've defined contact. Now define "engaging in sexual conduct". The
predator actually, physically meets the kid? Kidnap? Abuse?
Harrassment? Maybe they talked dirty over the radio or in the chat room?

Are the numbers you cite just a subset of total abuse cases? Where's the
percentage of "contact" cases where the child already knew the attacker?
That alone is probably 90% of abuse cases that you've discounted, so this
57% claim is probably more like 57% of 5% of some non-specific group.
Statistics can say anything you want them to say.

I'm no expert on radios and I don't know what an "S-meter" is, though with
my engineering background I can guess. I can't see it being much of a
risk. You'd have to keep the child talking for quite a while in order to
pinpoint their location, and even then, it puts you in no better position
than if you had simply wandered around, stalking prey at random. So what
if an FRS conversation tells you that there's a 10-year-old girl living at
35 Spruce Lane? Couldn't you have figured that out by sitting in a car
and watching where the school bus drops her off, or watch her play in the

summer?

The vast majority of assault and abuse cases I read about in the paper are cases in which the assailant was a friend of the victim -- a priest, a babysitter, a hockey coach. You very rarely hear about complete strangers picking off a kid at random to target. I'm not saying it doesn't happen, but I don't think it happens nearly as much as you claim, and even when it does, I highly doubt your claim that FRS is frequently involved.

Besides, a predator using FRS would be putting themselves at serious risk by allowing anyone within 2 miles to eavesdrop and figure out exactly what they were trying to do. How do they know the local police or neighborhood watch aren't listening right in?

--
Kevin

2736. Re: Icom R2 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 17:09:35 UTC
From: *Sorcerer* <valair@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a4m3oercr1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Snip>>>>>>>>>>>
anyway as for having to replace the set,depends on the country,all people on
this group are not from UK,and i think the original question was not from
the UK<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

The company Burghardt's is in Watertown, South Dakota, The Laws I quoted on
this thread regarding the consumer protection apply in this state.

--
The Sorcerer
remove Spam for reply

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:Lopb8.60016$as2.10169510@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>
> for some strange reason both Icom and Yaesu seem to supply some of there
> scanners with the background light already on,and this wastes the
batteries
> big time and could be the cause of the problem.
>
>
> the people i work for,disable the backlight before sale,also on the R2 its
> set up from factory for resuming scan after 5 seconds regardless of
whether
> transmission has finished,both these standard set ups probably account for
a
> good percentage of extra work in convincing the R2 is a good set.
>
> Why on earth do they supply them like that????
>
>
> anyway as for having to replace the set,depends on the country,all people
on
> this group are not from UK,and i think the original question was not from
> the UK,but also i have never seen anything in a warranty that allows a
> replacement for batteries going down quicker than expected,wher in the
> manual does it say how long the batteries should last for???.
>
> Manufacturers can change specs on equipment and that can include battery
> consumption.
>
> Nice they have replaced it though,and in my opinion they should if youn
are
> not happy,but in law there are so many loopholes,i know from experiance.

>
> i buy things from P* W**LD and D**ONS in the UK and they wrote the book on
> get outs,the reason i still buy is because they are in every town and easy
> to purchase from,but when you have a problem they come up with differant
> rules every time,i phone trading standards and so far they have always
been
> legally correct.
>
>
> My fault for buying from them,fool.
>
> i know i have gone slightly off topic so i will leave it there.
>
> regards
>
>
>
>
>
> Paul Wey[PROMA1]
>
> moderator of following scanning groups
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
>
>
>
> "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:wlhb8.111291$th4.30947417@news02.optonline.net...
> > Before someone asks, my serial number is 05578.
> >
> > Paul
> >
> >
> >
> > "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:%hhb8.111281$th4.30935443@news02.optonline.net...
> > > I don't wish to drag this discussion on, but thought I'd share my
> > > experience. I have an ICOM R2, purchased it from
www.kjielectronics.com
> > > about a year ago. I've used regular alkaline AA's from and start and
> have

> > > no negative report - in fact, I've been impressed at how long the
> > batteries
> > > have lasted. Lately, I've been using Panasonic 1600 mAh NI-MH
> batteries,
> > > and these have been superb!
> > >
> > > Also, I have beep turned off and the background lighting turned of in
> the
> > R2
> > > setup - figuring that beeps and lighting take away from the batteries.
> > >
> > > Paul, KC2FNC
> > >
> > > NJ
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.324 / Virus Database: 181 - Release Date: 14/02/02

2737. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 17:21:08 UTC
From: OceanaRadio <223bthp@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C6E94F7.D4E3C353@home.com>
Source record: 52006
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dirk wrote:
> transmissions ARE PRESENTin MY AIRSPACE - I feel we have a right to
> know what they are. I consider any UNSCRAMBLED radio waves to be
> PUBLIC. If you want privacy - use another medium or SCRAMBLE / ENCODE
> your transmission. In this type of approach, we retain the right to
> listen - but not the right to decipher.

Dirk, there can be no legal argument that justifies criminalizing a person intercepting anything on their own property, and the format that it was transmitted in (clear or secure) has no bearing on that. Only a criminal government whose aim is to destroy the Constitution in the so-called name of socialist desires for "privacy" could attempt such an act. Disobediance of illegal acts is a duty, much much more than a right. It was your priviledge to be born free, it is your duty to die free.

--
Jack Painter
Virginia Beach, Virginia
http://members.home.net/223bthp/OceanaRadio

BC-895XLT log periodic
Pro-2005 discone
Icom R-71A high wires
Icom R-72

2738. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 18:15:16 UTC
From: cyberStephen <stephenf@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Ukxb8.89496$QG.19685392@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 52007
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
news:25hd6u4hsnjhqeiu9aqj46a894cj6mi1lp@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd
> Paulson) posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
>
> >Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
> >in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
> >so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>
> >I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
> >phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
> >Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
> >are the plans?
>
> >Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
> >phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>
> 1) There's no *legally* valid reason to violate the law.
>
> 2) Helping you, in ANY way, to violate federal law, is a felony in
> itself.
>
> 3) Asking help by admonishing those you want help from isn't likely to
> get you any help.
> --
> Al - rukbat at optonline dot net

2739. Re: Motorola T5200 privacy codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 18:26:19 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qd6z5b7w4.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 52008
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Stephanie Willis <swillis@nospam.com> writes:

Hi, Stephanie,

I have no clue whether you believe the following horse manure or not or whether you're just having some fun with us.

> I have been keeping up on this subject and understand your
> concerns. FRS radios are extremely dangerous for small
> children (under 15) because unwanted strangers can locate
> your home with a device called an "s-meter" which reads
> the signal strength.

Very interesting. Please tell us what an s-meter is. I'd be interested in the names of any manufacturers, too, so I can read their specs and see if s-meters can _do_ what you claim.

>SNIP<

> In the past year FRS radio use was
> responsible for the majority of child-adults meetings/molestations
> and associated problems.

Child-adult meetings is when you meet your own child, right? "Honey, come home right now." "Aww, Mom. Alright." So they come home and you meet them. Your terms are meaningless. And what are the "associated problems" that you refer to. And please provide the resource for your statement.

> In our tri state area here is the list:

Where is your tri state area and who compiled the list. Kindly provide a citation so that I can see the resource for myself.

>SNIP<
> Don't allow your children to use FRS radios. And be careful
> or avoid net use by your children. Find a good book or
> allow them to watch the Disney Channel.

Yeah, right. I thought all the fundamentalist Christians had decided Disney was run by perverts. I think you need to boycott the Disney Channel. And all those Harry Potter books are about <gasp> witchcraft. Don't let your children read _them_. Maybe you have a list of acceptable books -- woops -- you let your children watch the Disney Channel, so I can't trust your judgment.

>
> Please be careful.

With people like you, care should be taken.

By the way, for the rest of you, take a look at
http://www.landoverbaptist.org/news0899/jar.html
which is a spoof of people like Stephanie (it's really a spoof).

Then see
http://zapatopi.net/
for Aluminum Foil Deflector Beanies to protect yourself and your family
from mindcontrol, and
http://www.noah.org/trepan/trepan_clinic/trepan_clinic_why.html
for an explanation of why _you_ should stop putting off brain surgery and
have your cranium ventilated -- not unsightly scars or stitches. (No
appointment necessary.)

Have fun, Steph.

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

2740. Re: Software to decode digital???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 18:43:06 UTC
From: The GrEaT1 <smittyj77@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <elxb8.17$UG4.4832389@news.ripnet.com>
Source record: 52009

I am pretty sure that they are digital and from what i have heard it sound like i am going to have to wait until the digital scanner comes out... or is there any way around it?
William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message news:Xns91B76B7E095AEfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
> "The GrEaT1" <smittyj77@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:uamb8.13$Jj4.3962893@news.ripnet.com:
>
> > My local police service is digital, is there any software that will
> > let me decode it/??
> >
> >
> > Thanks Matt
> >
> >
>
> Do you mean trunking or digital?

2741. FS: Uniden Bearcat 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 18:59:20 UTC
From: droopie690@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020216135920.13798.00000424@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 52011

I have a Uniden Bearcat 9000 base scanner. Has 500 channels, alpha display, dual outputs. ctcss capabilities, can be comuter controlled, bought it new not to long ago, and i upgraded so i have no use for it. I have original manuals and box. Asking 200 negotiable
Matt

2742. Re: PRO-42 any good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 18:59:24 UTC
From: soupman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020216135924.11731.00000378@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 52010

i heard from strong signals it has very good recection

2743. Re: Hamcomm DATA slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 19:00:05 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <KYxb8.77489$TI5.3822458@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 52012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try Lindsay Blanton's site:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/digital/interface.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"William Ferguson" <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message
news:Xns91B76A35CDDA1fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
> Anyone know where I can find schematic or just a regular plan to build a
> data slicer? I wanna make my 2006 trunk

2744. Re: Motorola T5200 privacy codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 19:55:37 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3C6EB939.4910@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 52013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Stephanie Willis wrote:
>
> Mike McCormick wrote:
> >
> > I'm new to FSR's. I understand that the privacy codes help with
> > interference. Do they prevent others from being able to listen in on
> > your conversations?
> >
> > It sounds to me like the privacy codes in effect give you more
> > channels and due to the much higher number of potential "channels,"
> > you're more likely to be on a channel no one else is using. But if
> > someone is using your same channel and code, then they will be able to
> > hear your conversations. Is this correct?
> >
> > Does anyone have concerns about people hearing conversations of
> > children and being able to indentify them and where they are??? I
> > would like to purchase a pair of FSR's, but am a little uncomfortable
> > with others being able to hear conversations involving my children.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Mike
>
> I have been keeping up on this subject and understand your
> concerns. FRS radios are extremely dangerous for small
> children (under 15) because unwanted strangers can locate
> your home with a device called an "s-meter" which reads
> the signal strength. This allows the listener to "zoom in"
> on where the child lives. In the past year FRS radio use was
> responsible for the majority of child-adults meetings/molestations
> and associated problems. In our tri state area here is the list:
>
> Number of children contacted and engaging in sexual conduct: 372
>
> Contact means:
>
> 57% - FRS radios
> 27% - Internet (newsgroups, chatrooms, email, etc.)
> 11% - Approached in public (playgrounds, malls, streets)
> 03% - Introduced by another child to offender.
> 03% - Unknown or not listed
>
> Don't allow your children to use FRS radios. And be careful

> or avoid net use by your children. Find a good book or
> allow them to watch the Disney Channel.
>
> Please be careful.
>
> Stephanie
> Parents Against FRS
Troll BS.

An S-meter is not an effective way to track FM signals and with the proliferation
of FRS radios I don't think Chester the Molester is going to broadcast in the
open for every one to hear!!! This survey looks like BS and facts without
data is just opinions and yours stink!!! Carrying around a communication device
usually wards off most attackers.

Troll BS.

Martin N5PZJ

2745. Trunking Help..Anyone Live In Illinois?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 20:38:08 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020216153808.24923.00000961@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 52014

Hello,
Just wondering if anyone lives kind of near me? I live in Mundelein, IL which is in Lake County? Kinda close to Six Flags Great America. I have been a Ham for over 10 years and been scanning the airwaves alot longer. However, just been getting into truncking and all that is still a novice. I would like to find someone in the area who can teach me all the basics about Trunking and more.

Ommm if your in the area please email me and we can hookup.

Hope to hear from someone around here soon.

Jeffrey - n9mxt1@aol.com

2746. ScanPro92 Software Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 20:54:03 UTC
From: VoiceOver.Pro@verizon.net
Message-ID: <3c6ec0f7.1220430@news>
Source record: 52015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Pro 93 radio scanner. I'm able to use WIN93 to download data to the scanner, but this software does not allow editing of the Startup Welcome Message.

ScanPro92 has the ability to edit the start up message but I can't get it to work properly. Before I waste more time trying to get the software working, I'd like to know if ScanPro92 will program a Pro 93?

If ScanPro92 *will* work with a Pro 93 (for changing the welcome msg) then I need other help:

When I change the welcome msg and attempt to save the SPG File, I get this error msg:

"No SPG file was created. You may have entered invalaid information into the data fields. The previous .spg was backed up but the current data cannot be downloaded until the ASC data is corrected."

After getting this error message I have gone back and completely removed the data from the welcome message area and attempted to save the SPG file again. Unfortunately, I get the same error msg.

How can I find out what data is invalaid?

Any ideas?

Thanks,

Gordon J.
N3FLF

2747. Re: Hamcomm DATA slicer - 2 and 4 Level Data Slicer.gif (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 21:18:48 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <e2jt6u4olvere1mbghl6rtte26tru4kce9@4ax.com>
Source record: 52016

Here's one (attached)

On 16 Feb 2002 16:24:57 GMT, jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob) wrote:

>One of the old Bill Cheek articles in MT had the plans to do that.

2748. Re: Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 21:23:48 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <5cjt6uoga85saac3sjgg8djh9nlt19scra@4ax.com>
Source record: 52017

you narrow minded, backward-thinking, right wing moron

On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 15:33:28 GMT, Greg <greg@aiomedia.com> wrote:

>On Wed, 13 Feb 2002 14:37:05 GMT, X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
>wrote:
>
>>How come (most of) the people here can't just answer a simple question
>>without the need to editorialize ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?
>
>Maybe because jamming cell phones is against FCC regulations, and is a
>felony?

2749. Re: ScanPro92 Software Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 21:32:59 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <5ojt6ugcso0rpu4580pfseutkhi4pkf1qp@4ax.com>
Source record: 52022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 20:54:03 GMT, VoiceOver.Pro@verizon.net (Gordon J.) wrote:

>I have a Pro 93 radio scanner. I'm able to use WIN93 to download data
>to the scanner, but this software does not allow editing of the
>Startup Welcome Message.

The startup message inthe 93 cannot be changed. It's built in.

>>ScanPro92 has the ability to edit the start up message but I can't get
>it to work properly. Before I waste more time trying to get the
>software working, I'd like to know if ScanPro92 will program a Pro 93?

The 92 and 93 are different scanners. Software is not interchangable. Data formats and internal memory maps are different. The serial communication protocols are even different.

You'd think a professional outfit like GRE would standardize things, but they didn't.

Ken Plotkin

2750. Live Daytona 500 & Bush Class Radio Comms now playing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 21:50:32 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C6ED428.9000307@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 52018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have setup the scanner on the NASCAR freqs and NASCAR Officials.
Right now (during the Bush class race) I'm broadcasting the NASCAR
Official frequencies. Tomorrow I will be broadcasting live
Driver/Crew comms.
Check it out at http://www.MilAirComms.com

Since I live 2 miles from the track I can pickup up all the Race
communications pretty well.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

--

_____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
_____

2751. Re: ScanPro92 Software Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 21:54:49 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <zyAb8.1743$17.1118888@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 52019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Gordon J." <VoiceOver.Pro@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:3c6ec0f7.1220430@news...
> I have a Pro 93 radio scanner. I'm able to use WIN93 to download data
> to the scanner, but this software does not allow editing of the
> Startup Welcome Message.
>
> ScanPro92 has the ability to edit the start up message but I can't get
> it to work properly. Before I waste more time trying to get the
> software working, I'd like to know if ScanPro92 will program a Pro 93?

No, it programs a PRO-92 and not particularly well.

> If ScanPro92 *will* work with a Pro 93 (for changing the welcome msg)
> then I need other help:
>
> When I change the welcome msg and attempt to save the SPG File, I get
> this error msg:

You can't change the welcoming message on a PRO-93.

> "No SPG file was created. You may have entered invalaid information
> into the data fields. The previous .spg was backed up but the current
> data cannot be downloaded until the ASC data is corrected."
>
> After getting this error message I have gone back and completely
> removed the data from the welcome message area and attempted to save
> the SPG file again. Unfortunately, I get the same error msg.
>
> How can I find out what data is invalaid?

Heh, it's all invalid. The PRO-93 does not use spg files.

2752. does any 1 have codes 2 unlocksonymobiles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 22:06:36 UTC
From: A Bailey <Andreabailey@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <a4ml5b$4vu$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 52020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.talk.ulster,rec.radio.scanner

_____ william crichton ICQ#:149698990
Current ICQ status: SMS: (Send an SMS message to my ICQ): +2783142149698990
More ways to contact me: http://wwp.icq.com/149698990
_____

2753. Re: Finally - Opinion on Live Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 22:18:16 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C6EDAA8.C6934C58@USA.net>
Source record: 52021

Good point Dave. Sorry, I didn't mean to be rude on the subject. I see computers as objects of viewing information and radios for listening. But I presume you can tell I am from the old school.

I am also from PA and have no particular interest in listening to public safety out of my area.

I have tuned in Cleveland, and a few others on occasion by just turning my 2 meter 19 element stacked beams around.

Have a wonderful day.

owner@pa-sitrep.com wrote:

> I'm not sure where you live, but I'm in PA. I like the fact that I
> can listen to Dallas or NY police traffic from here. In fact, I think
> it's pretty damn cool.
>
> -Dave, KB3FXI
> www.PA-SitRep.com
>
> On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 10:06:23 -0500, Pappy@USA.net wrote:
>
> >If I want live audio I will turn on a scanner, am radio, television
> >etc...
> >
> >
> >

2754. Re: How do I find trunk control channels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 22:43:17 UTC
From: Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3C6EE085.84B72CB2@i-55.com>
Source record: 52023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The best way to get trunking information is to go look on the web
site www.trunkedradio.net

Then go in the lower right and select the state you want. Next
go select the system your interested in. Most of the work has
been done for you.

Jim

JA Moran wrote:
>
> trunk control channels sound like a buzz saw... continous buzz saw.
>
> are you thinking of cellular telephone control or calling frequencies ??
>
> Eric Cartman wrote:
>
> > In article <d89364bd.0201310611.38118454@posting.google.com>,
> > johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:
> >
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > I have a BC 780XLT and I have Scancat SE edition software.
> > >
> > > I'd like to set up the scanner and/or the software to scan all the
> > > bands where trunk systems might exist to learn what's out there. Is
> > > there a way to set the scanner (maybe using Scancat, maybe not) to
> > > scan through the bands and look for and log control channels?
> > >
> > > If the scanner hears a control channel can it try all the different
> > > trunking modes it knows about to figure out what kind of control
> > > channel it hears?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > John
> >
> > The best way to find control channels in your area is to use the FCC
> > database at the FCC website. Search for freqs in your area, or do a
> > radio service search for YB, this will yield trunks for you to try. You
> > can do it the old fashioned way, by listening. By listening to control
> > channels of known systems you will get an idea of what to listen for.
> > You then can use the 780s control channel only feature to probe the
> > system...this works for motorola only.
> >

> > For what you want to do you need more expensive software. They sell a
> > program for around 500.00US that will do it. Depending on where you
> > live this may be worth it. If you live in a city with lots of trunk
> > traffic and no one has done the job for you yet, you could publish your
> > findings in a scanner guide and sell it to the local stores that sell
> > scanners.....I live in Northern California and have done just that.
> > Over the years I have sold about 2000 copies of the guide and that buys
> > a lot of radio gear. I have kept it low key but now Radio Shack is
> > interested in carrying them so I may be making a lot more, which in
> > turn would pay for that trunking software!
> >
> > Who said your hobby has to cost you money!
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --

2755. Re: Motorola T5200 privacy codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 22:48:41 UTC
From: Dan Martin <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <01c1b754$9f557bc0$dd029ad8@default>
Source record: 52024
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

If memory serves me correct, the majority of molestations are by relatives
or closes family "friends" not frs users, I bet this anti frs person wants
to outlaw schools, cuz they are just a smorgasborg for the kidie diddler.
I agree, total bs.

Dan

Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in article
<3C6EB939.4910@mobiletel.com>...
> Stephanie Willis wrote:
> >
> > Mike McCormick wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm new to FSR's. I understand that the privacy codes help with
> > > interference. Do they prevent others from being able to listen in on
> > > your conversations?
> > >
> > > It sounds to me like the privacy codes in effect give you more
> > > channels and due to the much higher number of potential "channels,"
> > > you're more likely to be on a channel no one else is using. But if
> > > someone is using your same channel and code, then they will be able
to
> > > hear your conversations. Is this correct?
> > >
> > > Does anyone have concerns about people hearing conversations of
> > > children and being able to indentify them and where they are??? I
> > > would like to purchase a pair of FSR's, but am a little uncomfortable
> > > with others being able to hear conversations involving my children.
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > Mike
> >
> > I have been keeping up on this subject and understand your
> > concerns. FRS radios are extremely dangerous for small
> > children (under 15) because unwanted strangers can locate
> > your home with a device called an "s-meter" which reads
> > the signal strength. This allows the listener to "zoom in"
> > on where the child lives. In the past year FRS radio use was
> > responsible for the majority of child-adults meetings/molestations
> > and associated problems. In our tri state area here is the list:
> >
> > Number of children contacted and engaging in sexual conduct: 372
> >

> > Contact means:
> >
> > 57% - FRS radios
> > 27% - Internet (newsgroups, chatrooms, email, etc.)
> > 11% - Approached in public (playgrounds, malls, streets)
> > 03% - Introduced by another child to offender.
> > 03% - Unknown or not listed
> >
> > Don't allow your children to use FRS radios. And be careful
> > or avoid net use by your children. Find a good book or
> > allow them to watch the Disney Channel.
> >
> > Please be careful.
> >
> > Stephanie
> > Parents Against FRS
> Troll BS.
>
> An S-meter is not an effective way to track FM signals and with the
> proliferation
> of FRS radios I don't think Chester the Molester is going to broadcast
> in the
> open for every one to hear!!! This survey looks like BS and facts
> without
> data is just opinions and yours stink!!! Carrying around a
> communication device
> usually wards off most attackers.
>
> Troll BS.
>
>
> Martin N5PZJ
>

2756. FS: PRO-2004....best offer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 23:07:11 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zCBb8.25717$Nv5.756253@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 52025

Works perfectly! Full cellular coverage, bright backlight (original), internal power supply removed to reduce internal heat. Will supply 12VDC wall wart. Looks like new, no scratches or dents. Original box, manual, and antenna. Need cash for computer upgrades....

Best reasonable offer......

2757. ICOM RS-R8500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 23:09:51 UTC
From: n4umj@carolina.rr.com
Message-ID: <323df7e2.0202161509.30725dd7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 52026

Hello All,

Sort of off the commercial programming topic but.....

I am looking for the ICOM RS-R8500 Software. I just
did a harddrive upgrade and did not back up my original.
It was on a website but is no longer available there.

Already have the cable so I hate to have to re-buy the
ICOM Software as you have to buy both.

Thanks for any help,
Eddie
N4UMJ

n4umj@carolina.rr.com

2758. WTB: PRO-53

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-16 23:30:47 UTC
From: JohnS <w6ibl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HYBb8.15552$P21.1431440@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 52027

Want to buy old-timer 8-channel VHF crystal scanner. I might have the model number wrong...possibly PRO-52. Black plastic case with silver trim. This unit is probably 25 years old or so.

TNX

John

2759. P93 file difference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 00:08:19 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CwCb8.475$df3.92200@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 52028
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, here's the deal. I'll try to keep this short but sweet. Where I live, with MY 93 file in my unit, I can only once in a while pick up traffic from a TRS (trunked radio system) in the next county to mine. Once in a while I can, usually I can't (in that county I hear it all, at home a few miles north not all the time). I do get the data channels loud and clear, but I always figured I never got much traffic because no one opened up the repeaters in my area to allow traffic through them. IE: no units would come into my county enough to "drag" their TG,s with them, thus opening up my local repeaters so they can stay in contact with their dispatch or whatever.

Yet when I load in a 93 file from someone 3 counties south, I hear it all (everything between me and him, or what I can't hear with my file). Now, I have all the same data channels and settings, I copied them verbatim to my file to do a test with my file, as to why it only worked once in a while, and no difference.

So in a nutshell, my file is EXACTLY the same as his, the ATT is the same (off), scan direction is the same, order is the same, no typos, it's all identical, except the actual name of the file. What would cause one file to pick up traffic, when another identical file will not????? It's like my 93 doesn't like the actual name of my file so it's not letting me hear the traffic..

Rob in Salt Lake

2760. Re: pro 94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 01:59:07 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020216205907.03941.00000668@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 52030

Think I paid 139.00. It's a buy, better jump on them if you can find them.
Their web site says no longer available but some may still be in their stores.
It's a fine radio for the $. They are also closing out their Scantennas for
9.95.

Rob Mills ~~~

2761. Re: ScanPro92 Software Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 03:02:00 UTC
From: VoiceOver.Pro@verizon.net
Message-ID: <3c6f1bc7.5008198@news>
Source record: 52031

Thanks Guys. I tossed the ProScan92 software.

Win93 works real well and I have no problems loading data to my Pro 93 scanner. I am, however, extremely disappointed that the Welcome Message can not be changed. My intention was to burn my name in it for quick proof of ownership, should it be stolen. There goes *that* idea!

Thanks again for help...

2762. Re: VR-5000 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 03:39:58 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@home.com>
Message-ID: <iCFb8.97616$Zc.26576284@news2.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 52032

> I haven't kept current regarding the Yaesu VR-5000. Has there been any
> software developed for it yet?

Nope, some private people are working on it but nada so far.

Jeff

2763. Makes and models of DSSS 2.4GHz cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 03:40:07 UTC
From: rbaker <rbaker_nospam_68x@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rCFb8.1146$V81.203586@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 52033

I'm looking for makes and models of 2.4GHz Digital Spread Spectrum cordless phones. I don't want to us FHSS Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum because I run an 802.11b wireless LAN. Any makes and models appreciated.

2764. Re: Suggestions on recording scans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 03:54:47 UTC
From: Gutt Brothers Towing <guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <heau6uksn3c48avb01vl9equike6bvvhqd@4ax.com>
Source record: 52034

A really great recording program is "Scanner Recorder" and best of all
it's a freeware! I have been using this for years and have not one
complaint about it!

It can be found at:

http://www.davee.com/scanrec/index.html

- -
Visit Gutt Brothers Towing On The Web
At: http://www.geocities.com/SouthBeach/Keys/7889/

2765. Re: pro 94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 04:09:11 UTC
From: Do illigaf <dilligafdude@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a4n07g$fh9$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 52029

Pro 94 now on sale at RS for 140.00 NEW

2766. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 04:31:32 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3C6F3224.2805928A@nospam.com>
Source record: 52035
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

John P Vassel wrote:
>
> Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in
> news:a4mlo5rip1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca:
>
> > Actually, Dick, that reminds me of something I never thought of that
> > would make any concerned parent rest easy: the parent should keep a
> > radio on too, monitoring the children's frequency.
>
> The main reason we send the kids out with these, is to keep track of them.
> It also allows them the freedom to go to another friends house, and not
> have to come all the way back home to check in with us.
> We always monitor the radio, and the kids go out with fixed channel
> radios. (the older boy had the habit of 'accidently' turning the channel
> and not hearing the call to come home.)
>
> --
> John Vassel
> KC8SKE
> RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
to go through all that trouble?

Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?

Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?

Ted

2767. Re: Tap from BC780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 04:49:55 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C6F3672.519289A@amsat.org>
Source record: 52036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Peter Hunt wrote:

> Hello,
>
> I'm using an BC780 to track the local police EDACS network,
> and an old scanner (Pro-2022) with discriminator-output and
> HamCom modem to decode the control channel with the
> programm etrunker.
>
> No I was wondering, instead of making an RF-tap on the
> discriminator, wouldn't it be possible to make a data-tap in
> the 780? The 780 itself decodes the control-channel and
> decodes that information to track the network. Is the required
> data (equivalent to the slicer-data) produced inside of an IC
> or processor, or can it be tapped at some point and
> transported to the computer's comport so that etrunker
> can decode this data???
>
> Bye, Peter Hunt.

It's my understanding that a data slicer is merely a signal level
buffer for the discriminator output,
that is fed into the onboard processor for trunk tracking.
In the case of MDT decoding,
where the onboard processing capability isn't available,
this output has to be exported into a computer and the data slicer
output is already buffered for that purpose.

~Bart~

2768. Re: ScanPro92 Software Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 05:04:26 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C6F39D9.66A97A84@optonline.net>
Source record: 52037

Of course, if you could "burn" your name in, the crook could burn his
in.
Walt

"Gordon J." wrote:
>
> Thanks Guys. I tossed the ProScan92 software.
>
> Win93 works real well and I have no problems loading data to my Pro 93
> scanner. I am, however, extremely disappointed that the Welcome
> Message can not be changed. My intention was to burn my name in it for
> quick proof of ownership, should it be stolen. There goes *that* idea!
>
> Thanks again for help...

2769. Re: pro 94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 05:29:28 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <YcHb8.4980$_45.2168@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 52038

David,

They went on sale at 'Shack for $149 around the holidays. Be sure you get a Pro94 "A", as this revision allowed simultaneous trunk tracking and conventional scanning. I have one and like it very much. Very similar performance to my Uniden BC245XLT. I have added a Diamond RH77CA antenna. Only complaint is treble emphasis in the audio. The internal speaker is the culprit, since adding an external speaker cures this nicely. While portable, 'Shack's lapel speaker ($10) works great. I like to travel with the scanner and 1000 channels is terrific! Too bad no computer interface.

David <dstanley23@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:O8vb8.66690$fK1.4180852@rwcrnsc54...
> sorry 'bout the por94 typo...
> Who makes this for rat shack and is it any good?
> Might be able to get one for 150$ used but in new cond.
> Is this descent?
>
> Thanks,
> David
>
>

2770. ARNewsline 1279 - Feb 15 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 05:39:22 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020217003922.03325.00000937@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52039

Amateur Radio Newsline Report 1279 – February 15, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1279 with a release date of
Friday, February 15th, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

Ham radio helps in the face of a California wildfire and an appeals court says that pirate broadcasters cannot be banned from getting FCC licenses. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1279 coming your war right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAMS AID AT FALLBROOK CA. FIRE

Ham radio was on the scene as a wind-whipped fire raced through the remote north-western portion of Fallbrook California on Sunday February 10th. Sixteen homes were destroyed along with one sheriff's vehicle and two fire trucks. Among the houses that suffered damage was that of Grammy winner Rita Coolidge. An estimate 2600 acres were burned as this report is being prepared. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has the details:

--
According to Bob Gonsett, W6VR, Amateur radio operators were more than ready when the emergency the emergency hit. And so was their local repeater system:

--
W6VR: “We have two repeater systems that are tied together on Red Mountain that serve Fallbrook and Fallbrook is fortunately a hotbed of Amateur Radio activity. Lots of logistics communications took place in support of Red Cross activities, animal evacuations, people evacuations and it was a very, very busy repeater system which, incidentally, is backed up by emergency power.”

--

Gonsett, who is editor of the CGC Communicator broadcast industry newsletter says that hams were posted at key points in the community. This included the fire department, the sheriffs department, the hospital and the evacuation centers. Some hams provided mobile communications for animal rescue operations. Others, who specialize in evacuation matters and health and welfare traffic worked in conjunction with the American Red Cross to provide support. W6VR says that it was just about a textbook ham radio communications effort for both people and equipment.

--
W6VR: “Their performance was outstanding in every respect. The repeaters worked flawlessly. There was no jamming. The greater community pulled together on this one.”
--

Volunteers came from across the region. Bill and Wayne Dewey, WD6AHW and WD6AHX, served as the Net Control operators. A father and son team, the Dewey’s spent hours directing all radio communications on the Fallbrook Amateur Radio Club's repeaters. Another ham volunteer was Jess Mauk, KD6YEI, of San Diego. He manned a RACES van sent in from San Diego County. In all close to thirty radio amateurs served in the first wave of volunteer communicators. Gonsett says that hams were there because they wanted to help:

--
W6VR: “It was really heartwarming to find such an outpouring of devotion from the ham community in people coming up here and making their presence known and contributing as they could. They were all useful and the community leaders thanked us repeatedly for our roles.”
--

Most communications took place over the Fallbrook Amateur Radio Club’s dual redundancy repeater system This is actually two separate machines designed and built by Chris Durso, AA4CD. One outputs on 146.175 MHz and the other on 445.600 MHz. Both carry the same audio. In time of emergency anyone with a scanner radio in the Fallbrook and Temecula California area can tune to either frequency and hear all of the ham radio communications.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP.

--

One last note. According to Gonsett, one of the luckiest hams on Earth has to be Joel Brown, KE6FHS. Brown is the president of the Fallbrook Amateur Radio Club. His home survived the wildfire. Sadly, his immediate neighbor’s' house burned to the ground. (ARNewsline(tm), W6VR)

**

RADIO LAW: COURT THROWS OUR PIRATE RADIO LICENSING BAN

Just because a person one operated a pirate radio station is not enough reason for the FCC to ban him from ever getting a broadcasting permit. So says a Washington D.C. Federal Appeals court. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Paul Courson, WA3VJB, reports from the nations capitol:

--

The government is not allowed to use your past as a bootleg radio operator to block you from holding a legitimate broadcast license, according to a ruling from a federal appeals court February 8th.

But any victory might be short-lived for onetime pirate operators wanting to turn legit.

The case out of Washington DC involved Greg Ruggiero, a onetime bootleg radio station operator around New York. He challenged an FCC rule that bans those found guilty of pirate broadcasting from ever holding a license, or being formally associated with the recently-created low-power radio station service, an industry that has become known as FM microbroadcasting.

The appeals court agreed with Ruggiero's claim such a ban is unconstitutional as the law is now written. But the court also left open the possibility Congress could write a more narrow law in line with the First and Fifth Amendments. Part of the argument centered on a protest philosophy held by many unauthorized microbroadcasters, who see their bootleg ops as civil disobedience.

The appeals court, siding with the former bootlegger, said there was some evidence Congress, in writing the ban into broadcast law, meant to punish those with a protest message, without really improving future compliance with the law. They called it viewpoint discrimination, and said ... not allowed.

Communications law experts are mixed whether the ruling has implications beyond FM low-power broadcast licensing, including whether FCC can use violations in one service to administer broader punishment.

Traditionally, the FCC has kept open the option of revoking other licenses held by a violator, beyond the service where the violation took place. For example, someone in violation on the ham bands might have his commercial radiotelephone license pulled as well.

In Washington, Paul Courson, WA3VJB, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

And what might this decision mean to Amateur Radio? Especially those cases where ham licenses are challenged based on character issues. According to retired ARRL President George Wilson, W4OYI, probably very little. George, who is also an attorney, says that despite the ruling, the FCC still has the authority on a case by case basis to deny a license to a pirate broadcaster. They can also still designate for hearing the license of any station who breaks the law or violates government rules. (Published news reports)

**

RADIO LAW: FOIA BECOMING MORE DIFFICULT

Hams fighting the FCC over enforcement and other issues are finding it a lot more difficult to obtain government records under the Freedom of Information Act. This is because of an administration policy change made a month after the September 11th terrorist attacks. That’s when Attorney General John Ashcroft directed all agency leaders to be more cautious in releasing records.

Over the years, some hams who have been tagged with violations by the FCC have tried to use the federal Freedom of Information Act to try to get a line on their accusers. Most of the time the government refuses unless the person making the request can show good reason for the information to be released.

While not of a national security nature, none the less, bureaus like the FCC will most likely be heading the words of Attorney General John Ashcroft who says that agencies must carefully consider things including the effectiveness of law enforcement before releasing any documents. Ashcroft says that agencies that legitimately turn down requests made under the Freedom of Information Act will have the backing of the Justice Department in doing so. (Published news reports)

**

RAIN PROMO: HOW MANY HAMS ARE THERE IN THE USA?

I'm Greg Sperling, KB9DBC.

Just how many hams are there in the United States?

As you heard last week here on Amateur Radio Newsline, the picture is not a rosy one. You can hear Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, interview with W5YI publisher and VEC Fred Maia this week on the RAIN Report. It is accessible in both Windows Media and RealAudio at www.rainreport.com and for the technologically challenged by telephone at 847-827-7246. (RAIN)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Three Rivers Amateur Radio Club repeater serving Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: LOS ANGELES AREA PAROLED RADIO OPERATOR RE-ASSERTED FOR PROBATION VIOLATION

A Bell California man on parole for jamming police communications is now being held without bail for violating his probation and allegedly making death threats on the 2 meter band. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has the details.

--
You may remember that back on November 14, 2001, the FCC set aside an Amateur Service license and the call sign KG6IRO that it had issued to one Jack Gerritson. That grant was made only a few days earlier on November 8th. The Commission took action after it received information that Gerritsen had been arrested in 1999 and convicted in 2000 for interference to the Los Angeles Police Department radio system.

Gerritsen was out on parole after serving one year of a five year prison term on that conviction. On January 29, Los Angeles FCC agents participated in the pre-dawn re-arrest of Gerritsen after authorities received complaints of death threats allegedly made by him on several Amateur frequencies.

As Gerritsen was on parole in the earlier case, a no-bail search and arrest warrant was served. This is because the terms of his probation banned him from possessing any kind of radio transmitting equipment.

But at the time he was taken into custody Gerritsen reportedly had over twenty radios at his premises. Of these the FCC says that eight were capable of operating on frequencies in the Amateur, Marine, Land Mobile and Public Safety bands. The FCC says that Gerritsen even had a Marine radio with batteries connected to it, and a length of antenna line running outside his residence hidden in a closet.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

The FCC says that after they set aside Gerritsen license that he continued operating and causing deliberate interference to numerous Amateur radio repeaters in the Los Angeles area. It acted on these complaints. Now Gerritsen may spend the next four years in jail. (FCC release, other sources)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC ORDERS POWER COMPANY TO HELP A HAM

The FCC has told an Alabama power utility that it has to clear up interference it is causing to a local ham radio operator. Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black KB4KCH, has the latest from our South East Bureau in Birmingham:

--

For more than two and a half years, Dan Jeswald, W4NTI, says his ham radio communications have been hampered by a pesky problem: interference. Jeswald moved to Anniston, Alabama, in June, 1999. It wasn't long after that, he says, that he began experiencing interference on numerous high frequency bands. He says 6 meters became unusable because of popping sounds and other interference Jeswald says sometimes rates full scale on his equipment. He says the noise is worst during cold weather and sometimes goes on for days.

Jeswald suspected a faulty transformer or other power company equipment. He says he contacted Alabama Power. Jeswald says the power company responded and began working on the problem. But in midstream before the problems were eliminated, Jeswald says there was a change of people he dealt with at the power company. Jeswald says his efforts to get help with the problem since then
have gone nowhere.

With few options left, Jeswald contacted the ARRL, which put him in touch with the FCC. On January 30th, Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth sent a letter to Alabama Power's Chief Executive Officer. In the letter, Hollingsworth advises the utility about Commission rules governing equipment that generates unintended interference. And he informs the company of its responsibility to fix the problem, or possibly face fines if a violation is found.

A call to the power company seeking comment was not returned. Meanwhile, Dan Jeswald just hopes the airwaves clear up--and soon.

From Birmingham, Alabama, David Black, KB4KCH, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

Alabama Power was given thirty days to advise W4NTI as to what steps it was taking to solve the interference. (FCC release)

**

THE CHANGING OF THE GUARD: WAYNE WILLIAMS, K4MOB - S.K.

A radio amateur who dedicated almost a quarter of a century editing a ham radio magazine has crossed over to the other side of the Ethereal Abyss. This with word that Wayne Williams K4MOB, editor of the SouthEastern Repeater Association magazine "The SERA Repeater Journal" has passed away.

According to Gary Pearce, KN4AQ, Williams died at home early Tuesday morning, February 12th of complications from cancer. He was 65 years old.

Pearce says that Williams passion in Amateur Radio was FM and repeaters. He became active in SERA's predecessor, the Carolinas-Virginia Repeater Association, in the early 70's. This, at a time when two meter FM was just beginning to gain in popularity.

In 1977 Williams became the editor for the group's magazine, the Repeater Journal. The Journal was already a respectable size 44 page booklet, with regional advertising from local dealers and hamfests. But over the next 23 years, K4MOB built it into a quarterly magazine featuring full-color covers and both national and regional advertising.

--
Gary Pearce KN4AQ: “Through the Repeater Journal and through the coordination body Wayne helped to provide the infrastructure that we enjoy today on VHF and UHF FM. Repeaters that we can operate with a minimum of interference and maximum of range and quality of enjoyment.”
--

In parallel with his SERA activity, K4MOB also established Williams Radio. He started the business by amassing a huge inventory of crystals. In that pre-synthesizer era, two meter FM radios required a pair of crystals for each frequency used. Other crystal sources made hams wait days or weeks for delivery but Williams Radio was one of the few instant sources of crystals for almost every frequency, and for almost every radio. Later, the business added accessories and several lines of radios. It was a family affair, with Williams wife, brother and sons pitching in at hamfests across the region. K4MOB retired from Williams Radio in 1997.

Wayne Williams, K4MOB, is survived by his wife Gerry KB4SER, and two sons, Wayne Jr. and Chris. A third son, Greg, passed away in 2000. Pierce says that Wayne Williams was a very special man in ham radio. All that knew him say that he will be missed. (KN4AQ)

**

AWARDS: THE VITA WIRELESS SAMARITAN AWARDS

The Cellular Telecommunications and Internet Association along with the Cellular Telecommunications industry Association's Wireless Foundation have honored the winners of the 2001 VITA Wireless Samaritan Awards and the 2001 Wireless Industry Achievement Awards. Among the recipients are average citizens from Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Texas and South Dakota, who had the presence of mind to turn to their cellular telephones in emergency situations. The VITA Wireless Samaritan Awards are given annually to individuals from all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. (CTIA Release)

**

AWARDS: HAMAWARDS.COM

Hamawards.com is a new website that is currently under development. According to Anthony Farmer, KB3HGY, the mission of hamawards.com is to provide centralized on-line information regarding all awards that an Amateur Radio Operator can receive. The site is currently being developed. More information is at www.hamawards.com. (News release)

**

BREAK 2

Here when you need us 52 weeks a year. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM RADIO AT THE WINTER OLYMPICS: ANOTHER COMMEMORATIVE STATION

Yet another commemorative station is taking to the airwaves form near the site of the 2002 Winter Olympics. Linda Reeder, N7HVF, reports from Salt Lake City Utah.

--
Linda Reeder, N7HVF: "It will start on Friday February 22nd at 5 PM to the 24th. Our station call will be K7O on 80 through 10 meters. If you want a QSL send an self addressed stamped envelope to Mark Richardson, W7HPW, 11361 South 5825 West Payson Utah 84651-3622"
--

Again the call sign to listen for is K7O and QSL's with a SASE go to Mark Richardson, W7HPW, 11361 South 5825 West Payson Utah 84651-3622 (ARNewsline (tm), N7HVF)

**

CONVENTIONS: HAMVENTION 2002 BANQUET MASTER OF CEREMONIES NAMED
The Master of Ceremonies for the 2002 Dayton Hamvention Banquet will be Carl Nichols, N8WFQ. Nichols is the Chief Meteorologist for WDTN channel 2 News in Dayton. This is a forth return performance for Nichols who served as Hamvention banquet Master of Ceremonies since 1998. (DARA)

**

CONVENTIONS: WIA IN MELBOURNE IN MAY

The 2002 Federal Convention and Annual General Meeting of the Wireless Institute of Australia is now slated for the city of Melbourne on the 17th, 18th and 19th of May. Among the many subjects to be discussed at the meeting will be a possible restructuring of the WIA and the possibility of a Foundation class license similar to that recently introduced in the U-K. (WIA)

**
HAM RADIO IN SPACE: HAMS ASKED TO HELP NANOSAT PROJECT

Ham radio is being asked to provide technical support for an upcoming satellite project. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, says it is a bird called Nanosat:

--

John Heath, G7HIA, the Secretary of the National Space Centre Amateur Radio Society, reports that Bristol University is asking the world-wide amateur radio community to assist with data capture from their forthcoming Nano Satellite Project.

Hand-launched from the Space Shuttle during a space walk, the 6.5-kilogram satellite will send data that can be decoded with software available free from their web site. The software is not yet written because the university wants to give amateurs the chance to have input into how they would like the data stream: 1200 baud AX25 packet, 9K6 packet, Bell 202, or Soundcard etc. More information and an on-line survey form is available on the web at www.handsat.co.uk

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH

--

If you missed that website address you can find it in the print edition of this weeks amateur Radio Newsline report. (GB2RS)

**

INTERNATIONAL - ENGLAND: QUEEN ELIZABETH’S 50TH ANNIVERSARY

Thanks to the efforts of the Radio Society of Great Britain, UK Amateur Radio operators will be taking part in a very special celebration. On Wednesday the 6th of February, England’s Queen Elizabeth marked the 50th anniversary of her accession to the royal throne. The Queen's Golden Jubilee will be celebrated next June, and the RSGB has negotiated the use of a special prefix for the celebration. Starting June 1st and continuing through the 30th, UK hams will be permitted use of the 'GQ' prefix to help commemorate the event. (GB2RS)

**

DX

In DX, E20NGF says over the Internet that there is now a new Worked All Thailand Provinces award. There are currently 76 in Thailand and this particular awards requires that you contact and confirm each one. And while E20NGF calls it a great challenge to all award chasers, he also notes that its

not all that difficult to log 20 provinces these days with more than 1000 Thai hams already on H-F. Check the various DX and awards websites for full information. (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE NEW ZEALAND AREC AWARD

And finally this week, New Zealand's team of Amateur Radio Emergency Communications volunteers has received an important award. Its a special honor in recognition of their providing support of Search and Rescue efforts down-under. Amateur Radio Newslines Jim Meachen ZL2BHF in Auckland has more:

--

The inaugural presentation of the New Zealand National Search and Rescue Award for the year 2001 was made to AREC at a ceremony held in Wellington earlier this month.

The Award recognizing AREC's outstanding performance and contribution to search and rescue in New Zealand was made by Marion Hobbs MP on behalf of the Government Minister of Transport. In presenting the Awards Marion Hobbs said of AREC:

--
Sound Here
--

Brian Purdie ZL2ADL the National Director of Amateur Radio Emergency Communications accepted the Award on behalf of the AREC team and thanked the New Zealand National Search and Rescue Committee for the award.

--
Sound Here
--

The National Director of New Zealand's Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Brian Purdie ZL2ADL. Further detail of the award ceremony with photographs can be found on the NZART web site at www.nzart.org.nz.

From Auckland New Zealand, I'm Jim Meachen ZL2BHF for Newsline.

--

By the way, ZL2BHF says that he will be a flying in from Kiwi Land to take part in this years Amateur Radio Newsline sponsored live Ham Radio Town Hall meeting. It takes place at the 2002 Dayton Hamvention at 1 p.m. Eastern time on Saturday, May 18th. (ZL2BHF)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio

Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

Before we go, we want to mention that the nominating period for the 2002 amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year is now open. No significant changes from last year. Nominees must be 18 or younger. Full rules and an application is on our website at www.arnewsline.org.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm _____ saying 73, and we thank you for listening. Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

2771. Re: Hamcomm DATA slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 05:57:09 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91B89C3BE5F4fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 52040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Most of those links are dead. Try not being an asshole, asshole. If
you
don't want to help me fine, don't, but don't make a comment like that.
I
obviously tried that before otherwise I wouldn't make a post that I
have
to wait for an answer when I could just type it in, asshole.

a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote in
news:0r3t6uk8o68qctf9oj8b1ijrlmnhgiib3r@4ax.com:

> try typing " trunking data slicer " into Google and read the 147
> answers before asking others to do your work for you.
>
> a_alpha1
>
>
> On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 15:26:06 -0000, William Ferguson
> <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:
>
>>Anyone know where I can find schematic or just a regular plan to
build
>>a data slicer? I wanna make my 2006 trunk
>

2772. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 06:30:16 UTC
From: techcom <techcom@netvista.net>
Message-ID: <Y5Ib8.4506$Se.2490811@typhoon1.we.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 52041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just installed a Radio Shack Discone Antenna about a month ago and the antenna does pretty good for pulling in the reception. It"s on a 20 foot mast tied off at the side of my house. For the price I paid for it is good. My question is the same. What is the difference in both of them? Their design is the same. The construction, for the radials on the R/S you have to screw them into the apex assembly and tighten the nut. On the Diamond, there is holes on the apex assembly where you insert the radials and you tighten them down with a Allen Wrench. Maybe that's the difference. Which one out performs the other. Maybe your buying the name.

Techcom
KBCA3950

"DougSlug" <dw_firewall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bfja8.71518$th4.21715336@news02.optonline.net...
> Besides price, what are the differences between the Radio Shack discone
and
> the
> Diamond D-130J?
>
> - Doug
>
>
>

2773. Re: Makes and models of DSSS 2.4GHz cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 06:40:02 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6flb8.1546$7Q6.77817@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 52042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've got a Uniden 24-148, DSS which I'm very happy with. Got it at Costco
for $89 or so.

I've uploaded the specs page for you to:
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/retrieve.pdf

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

"rbaker" <rbaker_nospam_68x@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:rCFb8.1146$V81.203586@news3.news.adelphia.net...
> I'm looking for makes and models of 2.4GHz Digital Spread Spectrum
cordless
> phones. I don't want to us FHSS Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum because
I
> run an 802.11b wireless LAN. Any makes and models appreciated.
>
>
>

2774. FS: Motorola and Icom Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 06:45:38 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20020217014538.03329.00000851@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 52043

Portable radios for Sale: Sorry, No chargers.
Best REASONABLE Offer:

2 - MT1000 low band radios - 6 ch 42-50 with battery. Batterys seen to hold charge - I am conditioning now! Radios are MINT!! I can get programmed if needed.

3 - Icom F4S UHF portables - no addtl info known - battery condition unknown, but held a charge for a few days. radios talk to each other - thats all i know! - Radio condition: scuffed cases.

1 -P1225 UHF 450-470 portable - 16 channels - battery seems ok. Radio case very good - has # 6266 engraved on front.

1 - JT1000 UHF 450-520 - Radio battery antenna only- I dont have the programming key - missing. I know it is keypad programmable from 440-520. Excellent shape!

1 - VHF HT1000 works well, case could use replacing. battery is pretty good.

1 - GM300 UHF 25 watt 16 channel - 438-470. Radio powers up but condition unknown. Radio only - no mic or 16 pin connector.

3 - HT600 VHF portables - No batterys. antennas are good.

1 - GP350 VHF portable. 16 channel - battery condition unknown.

*** All radios were working when taken from service. I have described them as best as I can. All radios are sold AS-IS. No warrenty expressed or implied. Please email me any questions or offers. Please be reasonable with all offers.

Thanks!!
Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

(remove NOSPAM to reply)

2775. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 07:50:51 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a4nncrhdf1@63.162.246.134>
Source record: 52044
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 00:52:37 GMT, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org> wrote:

>>Those are not normally "Cell" phones, but simply wireless phones, that
>>can operate on any number of bands, and different formats.
>
>They're quite often cell phones, operating with a local carrier.
>--

Uh, yeah, sure. Hospitals love to put out money for those
minutes used, when any number of manufacturers offer mini PBX
style systems, that can also do PTT, at only a one time cost.

Sure...

I guess the ones I have sold to hospitals have been
flukes.

2776. Re: Makes and models of DSSS 2.4GHz cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 08:36:43 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <vYJb8.124529$th4.35741946@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 52045

802.11b and DSS 2.4ghz phones can coexist in peace. Just use the appropriate channel selection
on the LAN.

"rbaker" <rbaker_nospam_68x@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:rCFb8.1146$V81.203586@news3.news.adelphia.net...
> I'm looking for makes and models of 2.4GHz Digital Spread Spectrum cordless
> phones. I don't want to us FHSS Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum because I
> run an 802.11b wireless LAN. Any makes and models appreciated.
>
>
>

2777. Yupi or AOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 09:22:33 UTC
From: ary@luna.nl
Message-ID: <87tu6u8khgpj0nk75mu5k60q62dv839hvk@4ax.com>
Source record: 52046

Hello,

I want to buy a handheld receiver. Looking at several tests, the Yupiteru 7100 and AOR 8000 are good candidates but I don't know anyone who has experience with these machines. If you own a 7100 or 8000, would you be so kind to tell me your experience with these receivers?

Thanks in advance

Ary

2778. User Guide For BC100XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 10:35:14 UTC
From: derezzed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c6f874e.2453473@news.cfw.com>
Source record: 51947

Hello.

Does anyone have a scanned copy of the user manual for a Uniden BC100XLT scanner? Any format would be appreciated, as well as any information on any online sources for above manual. Thanks in advance!

2779. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 11:44:00 UTC
From: Sparks W4EAS <sparksw4eas@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3C6F9780.243D@mindspring.com>
Source record: 51949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Another reason to trim the gene pool!

2780. Re: AR8200 Flexible Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 11:51:37 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u6v6alr7be6oac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51950

There's an good review of handheld antennas at

http://www.strongsignals.net

and there are a lot of different models available.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========
"Rewt" <uid0-41441-bla@catastrophe.net> wrote in message
news:71f23384.0202151048.60a32f7@posting.google.com...
> My AR8200 is a great piece of hardware. Most of my scanning is geared
> towards "in the field" work where people might get nervous of seeing a
> scanner. With this problem, I'm required to throw an earphone on a
> scan more covertly.
>
> I like the whip antenna that came with the 8200, but is there a better
> flexible antenna? I mainly ask as I don't want to run the risk of
> bending the whip antenna.
>
> Thanks
>
> -#0

2781. Wtd: Info on Park Air Electronics commercial scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 12:01:48 UTC
From: vk2ua@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c6f9b71.47230282@news.zip.com.au>
Source record: 51951

Hi

I have a Park Air Electronics 19" rack mounted air band scanner (model 16 WSS 1) about 20 years old. It is still a great unit for scanning up to 8 channels with xtal control for each. I would like a manual (copy) or at least sufficient information so that I can calculate the xtal frequencies in the event I wish to operate the set elsewhere.

I live in Sydney and the unit has the major channels set for our international airport. The unit used to be owned by a major airline.

Emails to Park are unanswered, so I assume they have no info on this set.

Can anyone help?

Thanks

Simon B.

2782. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 12:59:26 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <a4o9eo$g41$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 51952
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"techcom" <techcom@netvista.net> wrote in message
news:Y5Ib8.4506$Se.2490811@typhoon1.we.ipsvc.net...
> I just installed a Radio Shack Discone Antenna about a month ago and the
> antenna does pretty good for pulling in the reception. It"s on a 20 foot
> mast tied off at the side of my house. For the price I paid for it is
good.
> My question is the same. What is the difference in both of them? Their
> design is the same. The construction, for the radials on the R/S you have
to
> screw them into the apex assembly and tighten the nut. On the Diamond,
there
> is holes on the apex assembly where you insert the radials and you tighten
> them down with a Allen Wrench. Maybe that's the difference. Which one out
> performs the other. Maybe your buying the name.

You are awfully late into this thread. The Radio Shack is hollow elements
that can easily break in assembly (I had one do that when hand assembling
it even with no tools) or the wind. The Diamond is solid elements and
several of us have used them in the air and wind for years.

2783. Live Scanner Broadcast Sched- Daytona 500 Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 13:03:17 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C6FAA15.7060302@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 51953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Finally getting most of the bugs worked out of my Live Scanner
Broadcasting.

As of right now I'll be broadcasting Daytona Beach Air Traffic and its
very busy due to the race. Starting a few minutes before the race
starts (about 1300 EST) I'll be switching the broadcast to the NASCAR
Driver/Crew freqs. And if possible during the last lap I'll try to lock
in on the lead car.

Once the race is over I'll switch back to Daytona Beach Air Traffic. As
stated this morning by a controller "right after the race is over is our
worst time for air traffic!".

Being that I live less than 2 miles from the airport you'll be able to
hear all the aircraft while on the ground as well as the tower/approach
side of all comms.

You can use this URL for getting to the live broadcast:
http://www.MilAirComms.com/live_nascar.html

Have Fun!
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- HF VHF UHF Miltiary Freqs, Photos & MORE

--

____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
____

2784. Re: Hamcomm DATA slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 13:12:26 UTC
From: Max Imo <not@this.com>
Message-ID: <3c6fac3b$0$15893$f5f63664@news.tdin.com>
Source record: 51954
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try seareching the SSTV sites. The older DOS programs used the data slicer
(known as a Hamcomm interface). One great place to start is K4ABT's pages.
If it isn't there it isn't anywhere.

Max

"William Ferguson" <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message
news:Xns91B89C3BE5F4fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
> Most of those links are dead. Try not being an asshole, asshole. If
> you
> don't want to help me fine, don't, but don't make a comment like that.
> I
> obviously tried that before otherwise I wouldn't make a post that I
> have
> to wait for an answer when I could just type it in, asshole.
>
> a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote in
> news:0r3t6uk8o68qctf9oj8b1ijrlmnhgiib3r@4ax.com:
>
> > try typing " trunking data slicer " into Google and read the 147
> > answers before asking others to do your work for you.
> > >
> > a_alpha1
> > >
> > >
> > On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 15:26:06 -0000, William Ferguson
> > <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:
> > >
> >>Anyone know where I can find schematic or just a regular plan to
> build
> >>a data slicer? I wanna make my 2006 trunk
> > >
>

2785. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 14:09:15 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C6FB989.5BD7FDF@optonline.net>
Source record: 51955

I keep getting the following error when trying to access the
Commonwealth of Penn. link.

Walt

404 Error -- File Not Found
The page you are looking for
(http://wpascan.i8.com/pa/staticlogo2.js) is not here.

"Email to above or see post sig for address!" wrote:
>
> On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 15:10:34 GMT, owner@pa-sitrep.com wrote:
>
> >Try this one:
> >http://wpascan.i8.com/pa/pastate.htm
>
> Correct URL is:
>
> http://www.wpascanner.com
>
> ----------
> "The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc
> ----------
> "Love is a dangerous angel"
> ----------
> AOSC#: FL-41-1184
> The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
> http://tampascan.home.dyndns.org
> Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mansotaflscan
> Tampa Bay Area Scanner List
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/tampabayscan
> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> http://wpascanner.home.dyndns.org/scnrng.htm
> ----------
> Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> ----------
> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

2786. Uniden MR8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 14:32:23 UTC
From: w8kt@qrz.com
Message-ID: <8a910216.0202170632.7f6c35e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51956

Any one use one of these? How is the reception? Are there any intermod issues?

I am considering purchase of one. if you have one for sale, please email me the details.

Thanks,

Bob

2787. Re: pro 94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 14:36:59 UTC
From: Do illigaf <dilligafdude@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a4o4jmlbp1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51948

I too feel that it is a decent radio except for the piss poor speaker.I usually hook mine up in the Jeep to a amplified speaker from Radio Shack and it sounds great.I hook it to a external speaker in the house or to the input of my computer .When hooked to the computer you can use the scanner program in the computer to record only when someone is talking and save it to listen to when you want...sluggo

2788. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 15:33:46 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy Jacobs <bljacobs2@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <3C6FEA74.9BD01841@mchsi.com>
Source record: 51957
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Parents who raise their kids to be responsible won't have to
worry about where they are. However, parents are ultimately
responsible for their kids' actions by law, and responsible
kids will make sure their parents know where they are and
with whom they are hanging around. That still doesn't mean
that the parents have to know every gritty detail of every
second. There is such a thing as moderation even in this
topic.

nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>
> John P Vassel wrote:
> >
> > Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in
> > news:a4mlo5rip1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca:
> >
> > > Actually, Dick, that reminds me of something I never thought of that
> > > would make any concerned parent rest easy: the parent should keep a
> > > radio on too, monitoring the children's frequency.
> >
> > The main reason we send the kids out with these, is to keep track of them.
> > It also allows them the freedom to go to another friends house, and not
> > have to come all the way back home to check in with us.
> > We always monitor the radio, and the kids go out with fixed channel
> > radios. (the older boy had the habit of 'accidently' turning the channel
> > and not hearing the call to come home.)
> >
> > --
> > John Vassel
> > KC8SKE
> > RTFD Volunteer Firefighter
>
> Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
> to go through all that trouble?
>
> Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
>
> Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
> and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
>
> Ted

2789. Re: Hamcomm DATA slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 15:38:32 UTC
From: Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3C6FCE78.5936E58F@i-55.com>
Source record: 51958
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try looking in the trunk tracker web site: www.trunkedradio.net

There is all sorts of info there as well as trunk system info for
a good part of the country.

Jim

William Ferguson wrote:
>
> Most of those links are dead. Try not being an asshole, asshole. If
> you
> don't want to help me fine, don't, but don't make a comment like that.
> I
> obviously tried that before otherwise I wouldn't make a post that I
> have
> to wait for an answer when I could just type it in, asshole.
>
> a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote in
> news:0r3t6uk8o68qctf9oj8b1ijrlmnhgiib3r@4ax.com:
>
> > try typing " trunking data slicer " into Google and read the 147
> > answers before asking others to do your work for you.
> > >
> > > a_alpha1
> > >
> > >
> > > On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 15:26:06 -0000, William Ferguson
> > > <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Anyone know where I can find schematic or just a regular plan to
> > build
> > >>a data slicer? I wanna make my 2006 trunk
> > >

2790. Re: Hamcomm DATA slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 15:58:25 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <41kv6us28vr5lhlu85fgcfv8dkvj23spml@4ax.com>
Source record: 51959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Obviously you didn't try very hard ..as ALL the info you need is
available within the first 5 listings and only 3 of the first 75
are dead ...! You truly ARE pathetic and lazy ..or perhaps just a
Troll judging from a Google / De-Ja search of your other posts. ALWAYS
exhaust your own searching resources before asking others to do your
work for you ...!! Yuck ....

YOU sir are the prime example of an ass. (and a
lazy one at that) A quick check (also in Google / De-Ja ,
but obviously WAY too much work for you) will reveal
that " I " have helped people for over a decade and am well
documented for it ..! YOU on the other hand have helped NO ONE
and appear to be a spamming troll , from your contributions .
(I'm NOT too lazy to look) Try to get a hint when people ARE being
nice to you. If suggesting that you have NOT tried to help yourself
adequately first , is being an asshole (as you claim , as if you had
the right to call anyone anything .... and you definitely DO NOT ..
as YOU have contributed NOTHING here) then YES ... thats
me , for sure. Wake up .... be a man. (if you are one)

a_alpha1

On Sun, 17 Feb 2002 05:57:09 -0000, William Ferguson
<fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:

>Most of those links are dead. Try not being an asshole, asshole. If
>you
>don't want to help me fine, don't, but don't make a comment like that.
>I
>obviously tried that before otherwise I wouldn't make a post that I
>have
>to wait for an answer when I could just type it in, asshole.
>
>a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote in
>news:0r3t6uk8o68qctf9oj8b1ijrlmnhgiib3r@4ax.com:
>
>> try typing " trunking data slicer " into Google and read the 147
>> answers before asking others to do your work for you.
>>
>> a_alpha1
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 15:26:06 -0000, William Ferguson
>> <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:

>>
>>>Anyone know where I can find schematic or just a regular plan to
>build
>>>a data slicer? I wanna make my 2006 trunk
>>

2791. Re: Uniden MR8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 16:03:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <BvQb8.5604$pC1.1134524@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51960

Definitely not the best scanner ever made. Although it does have some
unique qualities.

Bill Crocker

"Bob" <w8kt@qrz.com> wrote in message
news:8a910216.0202170632.7f6c35e3@posting.google.com...
> Any one use one of these? How is the reception? Are there any intermod
> issues?
>
> I am considering purchase of one. if you have one for sale, please
> email me the details.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Bob

2792. Re: Uniden MR8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 16:09:59 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <rBQb8.46026$Re7.2301211@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 51961

Bob,
I have three of them. I love them for mobile use. There is no other scanner on the market with such a large easy to read display. For mobile use its nice to glance down and see where the last audio came from. I can't say enough about the display.
The frequency range is limited to a "normal" scanner range, meaning no military or TV bands. It does all other bands. There is no search capability so you will have to program in the 100 channels you want to listen to.
Using the scanner mobile its hard to judge intermod. Sometimes the radio will hang up on a freq but again, hard to tell whats causing it while you moving.
They are readily available from Dennis on Ebay. He sells them for about 100.00 bucks plus shipping. They are obviously used and came out of CHP vehicle where they have probably been since they were manufactured in 1989 or so.
mark

"Bob" <w8kt@qrz.com> wrote in message
news:8a910216.0202170632.7f6c35e3@posting.google.com...
> Any one use one of these? How is the reception? Are there any intermod
> issues?
>
> I am considering purchase of one. if you have one for sale, please
> email me the details.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Bob

2793. Re: FS: Radio Shack Pro 2006 Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 16:20:40 UTC
From: soupman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020217112040.22716.00000502@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 51962

i hear this is the best scanner ever made minus trunkink...

2794. RCA Scanner info help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 17:12:46 UTC
From: Radioguy <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C6FE48E.4060603@dccnet.com>
Source record: 51963

Hi there,
I have an RCA RP-6120A 200 channel hand held scanner,
I believe it is made by GRE, I can not find any info on this scanner?..I
have one but no manual...are there any mods available for this scanner.
Its a great scanner, I like it better than my PRO-43.... but cant find
any other info on it?
Any help would be appreciated!
Please e-mail me directly
Thanks-Russ
rusty@dccnet.com

2795. Re: Hamcomm DATA slicer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 17:27:54 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <3lpv6usj229qgdn0jf9422e9pg3hrgs5tr@4ax.com>
Source record: 51964
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

P.S. ....

If you asked questions like ....

I've looked at the material in Google and yahoo ..but really don't
understand enough about slicers , can someone help me ...??

or

I've looked at the web info on slicers , ...2 level ..!! ..4 level
..! ... (it can be confusing to many people and is)
homemade ...!! factory made ....!! what are YOUR opinions and
experiences and what do I need to know ... ???

or any questions that show that you are infact trying to help yourself
(and NOT trolling for attention ... cuz you're mommy isn't here
dude) and in genuine need of help ..then that would be different.

Even a poorly disguised attempt would even be OK .... but YOUR
posts show NONE of this .... I'm actually giving you the benefit of
the
doubt (about not being a troll) by bothering to answer you at all
... and not just dropping you into the kill filter. I hope you don't
prove me wrong ...

a_alpha1

On Sun, 17 Feb 2002 15:58:25 GMT, a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote:

>Obviously you didn't try very hard ..as ALL the info you need is
>available within the first 5 listings and only 3 of the first 75
>are dead ...! You truly ARE pathetic and lazy ..or perhaps just a
>Troll judging from a Google / De-Ja search of your other posts. ALWAYS
>exhaust your own searching resources before asking others to do your
>work for you ...!! Yuck ....
>
> YOU sir are the prime example of an ass. (and a
>lazy one at that) A quick check (also in Google / De-Ja ,
>but obviously WAY too much work for you) will reveal
>that " I " have helped people for over a decade and am well
>documented for it ..! YOU on the other hand have helped NO ONE
>and appear to be a spamming troll , from your contributions .
>(I'm NOT too lazy to look) Try to get a hint when people ARE being
>nice to you. If suggesting that you have NOT tried to help yourself
>adequately first , is being an asshole (as you claim , as if you had
>the right to call anyone anything .... and you definitely DO NOT ..

>as YOU have contributed NOTHING here) then YES ... thats
>me , for sure. Wake up .... be a man. (if you are one)
>
>
>
>a_alpha1
>
>
>On Sun, 17 Feb 2002 05:57:09 -0000, William Ferguson
><fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:
>
>>Most of those links are dead. Try not being an asshole, asshole. If
>>you
>>don't want to help me fine, don't, but don't make a comment like that.
>>I
>>obviously tried that before otherwise I wouldn't make a post that I
>>have
>>to wait for an answer when I could just type it in, asshole.
>>
>>a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote in
>>news:0r3t6uk8o68qctf9oj8b1ijrlmnhgiib3r@4ax.com:
>>
>>> try typing " trunking data slicer " into Google and read the 147
>>> answers before asking others to do your work for you.
>>>
>>> a_alpha1
>>>
>>>
>>> On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 15:26:06 -0000, William Ferguson
>>> <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Anyone know where I can find schematic or just a regular plan to
>>build
>>>>a data slicer? I wanna make my 2006 trunk
>>>

2796. Re: AR8200 Flexible Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 17:31:35 UTC
From: uid0-41441-bla@catastrophe.net
Message-ID: <71f23384.0202170931.fd22cd8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51965

> There's an good review of handheld antennas at
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net
>
> and there are a lot of different models available.

Well I'm kinda new to this stuff- I mainly do Railroad scanning and
things under the 1000 range.

any suggestions?

thanks

-#0

2797. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 17:59:00 UTC
From: bobnevin@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22d0f242.0202170959.3a965b8d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51966
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Bruce or Tracy Jacobs <bljacobs2@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:<3C6FEA74.9BD01841@mchsi.com>...
> Parents who raise their kids to be responsible won't have to
> worry about where they are. However, parents are ultimately
> responsible for their kids' actions by law, and responsible
> kids will make sure their parents know where they are and
> with whom they are hanging around. That still doesn't mean
> that the parents have to know every gritty detail of every
> second. There is such a thing as moderation

Are we using our resources wisely as a society? In the final analysis,
law and order is a choice and we need to use our scarce, law enforcement
resources wisely. But they are wasted in this manner:

http://www.geocities.com/mobaster/victims.htm

Aren't we wasting the opportunity to enforce the law?

even in this
> topic.
>
> nospam@nospam.com wrote:
> >
> > John P Vassel wrote:
> > >
> > > Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in
> > > news:a4mlo5rip1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca:
> > >
> > > > Actually, Dick, that reminds me of something I never thought of that
> > > > would make any concerned parent rest easy: the parent should keep a
> > > > radio on too, monitoring the children's frequency.
> > >
> > > The main reason we send the kids out with these, is to keep track of them.
> > > It also allows them the freedom to go to another friends house, and not
> > > have to come all the way back home to check in with us.
> > > We always monitor the radio, and the kids go out with fixed channel
> > > radios. (the older boy had the habit of 'accidently' turning the channel
> > > and not hearing the call to come home.)
> > >
> > > --
> > > John Vassel
> > > KC8SKE
> > > RTFD Volunteer Firefighter
> >

> > Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
> > to go through all that trouble?
> >
> > Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
> >
> > Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
> > and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
> >
> > Ted

2798. F/S BC 245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 18:09:00 UTC
From: jboresta@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020217130900.18707.00000645@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 51967

F/S BC 245 300 Channel Trunktracker III. Second version without 5 second delay. Antenna, AC adapter and manual included. $ 150 including shipping in USA.

e mail jboresta@aol.com

2799. VR-5000 software found!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 18:32:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <KGSb8.5611$pC1.1145270@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51968

I found some software on the web, for the Yaesu VR-5000 communications receiver!

Anyone working with this?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

2800. Re: VR-5000 software found!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 18:36:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <zKSb8.5612$pC1.1145446@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51969

DOH! I forgot the link...hate when that happens.
http://www.gssr.de/vr5000/software.html

Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:KGSb8.5611$pC1.1145270@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I found some software on the web, for the Yaesu VR-5000 communications
> receiver!
>
> Anyone working with this?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>

2801. Is the Siemens Gigaset cordless phone DSSS or FHSS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 18:54:09 UTC
From: carl <farcaster_no_spam@onebox.com>
Message-ID: <I%Sb8.1184$V81.228331@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51970

Are the Siemens 2.4GHz Gigaset cordless phone models using Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSSS) or Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS) mode?

2802. Re: Is the Siemens Gigaset cordless phone DSSS or FHSS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 18:59:27 UTC
From: carl <farcaster_no_spam@onebox.com>
Message-ID: <j4Tb8.1185$V81.228589@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51971

I found the answer at http://www.ahernstore.com/gigaset4215.html its FHSS.
Features:
- 2.4 GHz Digital Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum

"carl" <farcaster_no_spam@onebox.com> wrote in message
news:l%Sb8.1184$V81.228331@news3.news.adelphia.net...
> Are the Siemens 2.4GHz Gigaset cordless phone models using Direct Sequence
> Spread Spectrum (DSSS) or Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS) mode?
>
>
>
>
>

2803. Re: Is the Siemens Gigaset cordless phone DSSS or FHSS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 19:01:06 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <S5Tb8.5616$pC1.1148376@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51972

WDECT. 2.4GHz Digital
Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS)

Bill Crocker

"carl" <farcaster_no_spam@onebox.com> wrote in message
news:l%Sb8.1184$V81.228331@news3.news.adelphia.net...
> Are the Siemens 2.4GHz Gigaset cordless phone models using Direct Sequence
> Spread Spectrum (DSSS) or Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS) mode?
>
>
>
>
>

2804. Re: does any 1 have codes 2 unlocksonymobiles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 19:32:33 UTC
From: marula31@hootmail.com
Message-ID: <3c6ff6f3.13415318@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 51973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.talk.ulster,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 22:06:36 +0000 (UTC), "A Bailey"
<Andreabailey@btopenworld.com> wrote:

Yes, thanks.

--
J+

2805. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 21:10:20 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91B8A497CD480fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 51974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have for sale a complete CE-232, printed circuit board, or processor?

2806. Uniden Bearcat BC 100xl Scanner 4 Sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 21:29:13 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16d9eab8301ca94a9896a7@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 51975

Uniden Bearcat BC 100xl Scanner 4 Sale.

Technical Specifications:
16 channels
Priority, Delay, Lockout features
Search for new frequencies (lower and upper search limits)
Display light
Direct frequency entry keypad

Frequency coverage:
30-50 Mhz
118-174 Mhz
406-512 Mhz

The Uniden Bearcat BC 100xl is in good condition and works fine. The purchaser will need to provide their own AA Ni-Cad batteries (6). I have the original owners manual, carrying case, antenna, and charger. The selling price of $50.00 includes shipping costs within the continental United States.

Please email me direct if you are interested. Do not reply to this message.
My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

2807. Re: ICOM RS-R8500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-17 22:10:44 UTC
From: Billy <bill@gates.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a4pbr6muj1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 51977

Use the original Icom floppies...

"Ed Bryant" <n4umj@carolina.rr.com> schreef in bericht
news:323df7e2.0202161509.30725dd7@posting.google.com...
> Hello All,
>
> Sort of off the commercial programming topic but.....
>
> I am looking for the ICOM RS-R8500 Software. I just
> did a harddrive upgrade and did not back up my original.
> It was on a website but is no longer available there.
>
> Already have the cable so I hate to have to re-buy the
> ICOM Software as you have to buy both.
>
> Thanks for any help,
> Eddie
> N4UMJ
>
> n4umj@carolina.rr.com

2808. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 00:04:53 UTC
From: "J. Denver" <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3C704525.568ECCAB@nospam.com>
Source record: 51978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

```
Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> John P Vassel <john@vassel.net> wrote in message
news:<3c6f501d$0$39532$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>...
> > nospam@nospam.com wrote in news:3C6F3224.2805928A@nospam.com:
> >
> > > Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
> > > to go through all that trouble?
> > >
> > > Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
> > >
> > > Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
> > > and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
> > >
> > > Ted
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I tend to call it responsible parenting. When I was a kid, my parents
> > always knew where I was. (even when I tried to avoid such a thing.)
> > The kids actually enjoy taking the radios with them, because they then are
> > pretty free to roam our little 4 street town, without us having to search
> > high and low to find them at supper time or homework time.
> > It's not a trouble, and it's not worry. It's communication. It works well.
>
> You live in a "little 4 street town" and you're worried about where
> your kids go? Is there anyone there that you don't personally know?
>
> When I was a kid, I was able to roam all over our 90+ acres, and go to
> neighbors' homes without my parents worrying. If you have a problem in
> your tiny little town, you really have a problem. Can the radios and
> let your kids grow up!

Amen!
```

2809. New Recordings From Newcastle Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 00:09:01 UTC
From: DJ Micky P <djmickyp@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3c70464a$0$9755$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Some new recordings have been put on
http://newcastlescan.tripod.com
look under the soundbytes page
and please sign the guest book!

Cheers
From
Mike And Garry

2810. Re: PRO-42 any good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 00:23:43 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020217192343.02468.00001580@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 51980

>Subject: PRO-42 any good
>From: "kEN" ezwriter@prodigy.net
>Date: 2/16/2002 12:45 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Rdnb8.4896$Yy4.795503675@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
>
>Someone just gave me a PRO 42 Radio shack scanner.
>Is it good for anything? only does uhf/vhf and 10 channels.
>TIA

It might be good for getting $10-20 out of somebody's pocket if you put it on Ebay (who knows, maybe more!). It would make a good radio to leave in the storm cellar. It would make a good weather service radio.
I have a couple old 10 channel base radios. I leave one bouncing between Red Cross and Nuclear/Chemical Hazard cleanup freqs for the area, another one on the ESDA freqs for this and the next county. That way I don't have to run the "good" radio 24/7 when there's really nothing going on. When the old junkers go off, I know it's time to fire up the good radio and listen to all 400 channels.
Neutrodyne

2811. CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 00:36:55 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <a4pib7$ea1$1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 51981

Does anyone know where I can get a CE-232 and associated software?

--
569@72649.266

2812. Re: Uniden MR8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 01:43:04 UTC
From: b7spectra <b7spectra@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <a4pblo$69$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51976

I owned one for several years! Programming them is a pain, the intermod in a big city (Atlanta) eats you alive! But, on the plus side, the display is great! Big knobs and buttons on the radio. If I didn't live in the Metro Atlanta area, and it would do trunking and ctcss (hint to Uniden), I'd buy another one.

--

/\/\|k.e

Webmaster
www.ScanAtlanta.com

mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@scanatlanta.com

"Bob" <w8kt@qrz.com> wrote in message
news:8a910216.0202170632.7f6c35e3@posting.google.com...
> Any one use one of these? How is the reception? Are there any intermod
> issues?
>
> I am considering purchase of one. if you have one for sale, please
> email me the details.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Bob

2813. Regency D310

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 02:19:34 UTC
From: Wormhole <wormholel8@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a4pocn$25du3$1@ID-12493.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51982

Hello all,
I have had a Regency D310 for years and have lived with its technology of "no battery backup for power drops, just a capacitor." To my knowledge, this had never worked and with the age, I hate to keep it running 24/7. The least little power drop, it goes and we reprogram.
I have the manual but it doesn't have a schematic to find this "magic capacitor." Does anyone have any info on this capacitor, where it is in the circuit or how it can be modified so that I have a backup for the frequencies that are in memory.
Any help would be appreciated.
WH

--

"If it is just us, it's a terrible waste of Space."

2814. Re: Yupi or AOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 03:26:54 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <steinman@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <u70t81hi03qs20@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51983

Check out this page. http://www.bander.com/tech/english/

The video/audio clips of the MVT9000 and AR-8200 are well done.
http://www.bander.com/tech/picture/videoclips.htm

--
Brad Steinman N8ZRP
steinman@speakeasy.net
For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
<ary@luna.nl> wrote in message
news:87tu6u8khgpj0nk75mu5k60q62dv839hvk@4ax.com...
> Hello,
>
> I want to buy a handheld receiver. Looking at several tests, the Yupiteru
7100
> and AOR 8000 are good candidates but I don't know anyone who has
experience with
> these machines. If you own a 7100 or 8000, would you be so kind to tell me
your
> experience with these receivers?
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Ary

2815. Delta Township PL tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 03:29:00 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c7074fc$0$15925$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51985

Anyone in michigan have an idea to pl tones on Delta township fires,
460.575 Freq?
Thanks..

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

2816. F/Sbc235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 03:29:15 UTC
From: bernie <catman99@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fy_b8.18336$P21.1711004@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51984

hi
Have a uniden bc235xlt ht,scanner.
this is a 12 band,300 channel,trunk tracker.
comes with 2batts,manul,box it came in new
asking $75.00 plus shiping
bernie (n8nvl)

2817. Re: CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 03:53:52 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <qou07ucleju08fgte3do92au92cetol1rb@4ax.com>
Source record: 51986

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, tucansam@whodis.org spake thusly

>Does anyone know where I can get a CE-232 and associated software?

Try http://www.comtronics.net/

The site is still up, and I believe Cindy is still selling the CE-232 kits.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2818. Wanted: RS PRO-43 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 04:09:59 UTC
From: Richard Fisher <rfisher@stx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <r8%b8.138634$Ar5.5431112@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 51987

Looking for a pre-ban service manual for the RS Pro 43.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.324 / Virus Database: 181 - Release Date: 2/14/02

2819. Re: Car stereo/scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 04:22:39 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020217222320.157a4e81.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 51988

i have the same thing and use it for the same purpose as you do :) awwwwwwwwwwesome deck.

On Sun, 17 Feb 2002 14:35:50 GMT
see@the.bottom.com (Erik) wrote:

> I've never seen one. I installed a JVC SH99 CD/MP3 player, and it has
> a line-in on the front, and a line-in pigtail on the back of the unit.
> Either is perfect for the headphone output on my scanner.

2820. Re: Delta Township PL tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 05:12:28 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c708d3c$0$35576$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51989

John P Vassel <john@vassel.net> wrote in
news:3c7074fc$0$15925$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net:

> Anyone in michigan have an idea to pl tones on Delta township fires,
> 460.575 Freq?
> Thanks..
>

Uh, nevermind, they use DCS, and I found out which code.
Thanks for reading anyways.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

2821. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 10:16:08 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <8FFDC67A172E6D0A.A259DAE3F634972B.BBD62E69528E7D77@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 51990
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 16:19:59 GMT, Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Indoor antenna. All the channels except the really high UHF
>ones. The first few times this happened, I thought it was
>the TV, so I turned it off, figuring it might be a thermal
>problem, and when I turned it back on a few minutes later,
>the interference was gone. After a few times of doing this,
>I thought, "Well, I'll just listen to the radio," and the
>same interference was on the FM band! So I did a little
>experiment - one of the times that the interference popped
>up, I turned on the radio and TV simultaneously; they both
>had the interference, and when it stopped on the TV, it
>also stopped on the radio. It's not being generated by the
>TV, as it was on the radio even with the TV off.

Are you in an apartment? Does the 'standard design' for your area
include light dimmers? If your neighbor has one in their dining room,
that would explain mealtime interference.

>If this interference was shielded against, I wouldn't be able
>to get any reception at all! Cable is available in my neighborhood,
>and would probably protect against the noise, but I'm on a really
>tight budget these days - I'm so poor I can't even pay attention.

If you're not in an apartment, an outdoor antenna would probably help
a bit. You might try wandering around the neighborhood with the radio
to see how far the interference goes in each direction. That would
give you a better idea of where it's coming from.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

2822. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 10:41:01 UTC
From: Rich Grise <richgrise@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C70DA09.6BF0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51991
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH wrote:
...
> If you're not in an apartment, an outdoor antenna would probably help
> a bit. You might try wandering around the neighborhood with the radio
> to see how far the interference goes in each direction. That would
> give you a better idea of where it's coming from.
>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH

I'm actually in a 4-plex, two up, two down, each with our own door.
The standard installation doesn't include light dimmers. It's
sort of back-to-back with another 4-plex, where I suspect that the
noise is coming from, but that whole other 4-plex is occupied
by family members, and there's this one guy who chased me away
when I simply went to ask if they were cooking something. The
other three occupants of my building, when I asked them, said
that they hadn't been cooking anything in the last few minutes.
So I want to call the FCC because the neighbors in the other
4-plex are assholes. Would the FCC send a crew out on a stake-
out? Those people also have a dog, which is against the apartment
rules, and I complained the landlady, and she claimed that she
had warned them, "Either the dog goes, or you go!" but that
damn dog is still there. I normally like dogs, but this thing
yips and yaps and howls and whines and makes all manner of
noise, which is especially disturbing in the summer, when I
like to open my windows. Maybe I should just let the stray
radiation implement The Darwin Effect on them.
--
Cheers!
Rich

"We have met the enemy, and he is us!"
- Pogo Possum, ca. 1950's

2823. Re: Yupi or AOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 10:50:57 UTC
From: Howard Fuhs <hfuhs@debitel.net>
Message-ID: <3C70DC91.8342121E@debitel.net>
Source record: 51992

Salve Ary,

ary@luna.nl wrote:

> I want to buy a handheld receiver. Looking at several tests, the Yupiteru 7100
> and AOR 8000 are good candidates but I don't know anyone who has experience with
> these machines. If you own a 7100 or 8000, would you be so kind to tell me your
> experience with these receivers?

Both are quite old and you will find these receivers only as surplus. If it has to be one of those (I had both of them) I would always go for AOR. If you have to decide between the actual models AR8200 and Yupi 9000 (I have both actually in use) also go for AOR as you will find the computer interface very valuable and in my experience the AOR beats the Yupi hands down when comes to reception quality.

Best regards
Howard

2824. FA: R-392/URR Transistorized Audio Module

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 13:10:26 UTC
From: J&S <J&Sests7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <637c8.7833$tu6.768892@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51994
Cross-posted to: alt.military.collecting,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

For auction on E-Bay now:

Transistorized Audio Module for the R-392/URR.
(OEM plug-in Module that replaces V608 in the receiver)

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1075465734

2825. Re: Wtd: Manual or info on Park Air commercial scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 13:19:58 UTC
From: Thegoons <Thegoons@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <3C70FF7E.6A35DB6D@bigpond.com>
Source record: 51993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

In Australia, M-TECH Systems are the agents for Park Air Systems. Perhaps they can point you in the right direction. Look at their website for details, http://www.mtech.com.au

When you write or call them, ask to speak to Mr. Chris King, Director.

Simon Buxton wrote:

> Hi
>
> I have a Park Air Electronics 19" rack mounted air band scanner (model
> 16 WSS 1) about 20 years old. It is still a great unit for scanning up
> to 8 channels with xtal control for each. I would like a manual (copy)
> or at least sufficient information so that I can calculate the xtal
> frequencies in the event I wish to operate the set elsewhere.
>
> I live in Sydney and the unit has the major channels set for our
> international airport. The unit used to be owned by a major airline.
>
> Emails to Park are unanswered, so I assume they have no info on this
> set.
>
> Can anyone help?
>
> Thanks
>
> Simon B.

2826. Re: FS: Radio Shack Pro 2006 Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 13:38:10 UTC
From: Arlo Janus <arlojanus@aol.com>
Message-ID: <6t7c8.3345$ro5.733055@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 51996

Don't believe everything you hear.

"SOUPMAN67" <soupman67@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020217112040.22716.00000502@mb-fx.aol.com...
> i hear this is the best scanner ever made minus trunkink...

2827. Re: FS: Radio Shack Pro 2006 Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 14:04:08 UTC
From: Gutt Brothers Towing <guttbrotherstowingNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7u127uofqrrm42uenq9e7lti5cqk8tadcs@4ax.com>
Source record: 51897

IMO The 2004, 2005, 2006 series may have been something in their time (mid 1980's). Easily modifiable, Wide range, Channel storage ability were all new to most scanner enthusiast. But as for myself I have found their sensitivity in comparison to other makers was disappointing. What's the deal with a scanner that can do all of what RS scanners can do if the sensitivity is limited?

I understand that those who swear by these models defend them by saying that they were manufactured for use in urban areas. If that's the case RS should have said that in their marketing.

I know I'm gonna catch hell for this but I'd by a Uniden over RS anyday, but as I said it's only "my" opinion!

GBT

On Mon, 18 Feb 2002 13:38:10 GMT, "Arlo Janus" <arlojanus@aol.com> wrote:

>Don't believe everything you hear.
>
>"SOUPMAN67" <soupman67@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020217112040.22716.00000502@mb-fx.aol.com...
>> i hear this is the best scanner ever made minus trunkink...
>

- -
Visit Gutt Brothers Towing On The Web
At: http://www.geocities.com/SouthBeach/Keys/7889/

2828. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 15:25:26 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C711CE6.6B42B802@optonline.net>
Source record: 51898

The link works when using IE 6.0, but not with Netscape 4.79.

Walt

"Email to above or see post sig for address!" wrote:
>
> On Sun, 17 Feb 2002 14:09:15 GMT, Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> >I keep getting the following error when trying to access the
> >Commonwealth of Penn. link.
> >
> >Walt
> >
> >404 Error -- File Not Found
> > The page you are looking for
> > (http://wpascan.i8.com/pa/staticlogo2.js) is not here.
>
> Sorry, can not duplicate the error using IE 5.0.
>
> ----------
> "The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc
> ----------
> "Love is a dangerous angel"
> ----------
> AOSC#: FL-41-1184
> The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
> http://tampascan.home.dyndns.org
> Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mansotaflscan
> Tampa Bay Area Scanner List
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/tampabayscan
> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> http://wpascanner.home.dyndns.org/scnrng.htm
> ----------
> Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> ----------
> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

2829. FS/FT VHF HT1000 16 ch w ASSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 15:59:07 UTC
From: abbylind@aol.com
Message-ID: <b1bfa7c.0202180759.3e76d81a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

HI

FS 1 HT1000 VHF 16 channel
Nice condition
Package includes Radio with antenna and assy cover, battery with belt clip, regular rate desk charger, and speaker mike
$295 + Shipping
Or trade for ? Im looking for a PRC-126
Thanks
Fowler
Abbylind@aol.com

2830. Re: Delta Township PL tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 16:06:36 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3C7127C1.501E702C@ameritech.net>
Source record: 51900

John P Vassel wrote:

> Anyone in michigan have an idea to pl tones on Delta township fires,
> 460.575 Freq?

Should be 162.2

>
> Thanks..
>
> --
> John Vassel
> KC8SKE
> RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

--
==========

Ron Wilbanks

Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"

*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***

"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
==========

2831. Re: Delta Township PL tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 17:46:26 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c713df2$0$1603$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51902

Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net> wrote in
news:3C7127C1.501E702C@ameritech.net:

>
>
> John P Vassel wrote:
>
>> Anyone in michigan have an idea to pl tones on Delta township fires,
>> 460.575 Freq?
>
> Should be 162.2
>
>>
>> Thanks..
>>
>> --
>> John Vassel
>> KC8SKE
>> RTFD Volunteer Firefighter
>
> --
>
==========
>
> Ron Wilbanks
>
> Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
> Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"
>
> *** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***
>
> "Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
> you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
> ==========
>
>
>
>

Actually Ron, while most of eaton county is 162.2 it seems this delta
freqency uses DCS of 654.
Now, I'd say maybe it's a fluke in my reciever,(I have an Ft-50 that will
scan DCS and CTCSS) but it's also the only code that will allow my Icom
F21 Fire Radio to recieve them.
Any thoughts?

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

2832. everyone gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 17:50:06 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <i9bc8.80383$fK1.4211078@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 51901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pardon my intrusion.
Is the only company left on the internet the one that sells the tiny video cameras?

2833. Selling PRO-43 200 CH.Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 17:59:13 UTC
From: Radioguy <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C7140F1.2070508@dccnet.com>
Source record: 51904

Hello,
I have reduced the price on the PRO-43 Scanner,
Beautiful condition about a 9+ on a scale of 10
200 channels including 800 Mhz, (cell blocked)
Comes with andtenna, belt clip,
$149 shipped USPS confirmation,
If interested please e-mail me directly
Thanks-Russ
rusty@dccnet.com

2834. Re: Bearcat BC-160 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 18:01:13 UTC
From: Mike W <MNMikeW@aolspam.com>
Message-ID: <3c713f36$1@MAIL.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 51903

Go to uniden.com, they have the manual there in pdf.

--
Mike Welch
RSG Roll Call http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/welchm.htm

<mlpapus1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:eq2s6uscr03tpiteu81ompsvah6vhnu8ct@4ax.com...
> Anyone know where i can find anything about this scaner ???
> Manual or any info on how to setup ???
> thanks

2835. Re: everyone gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 18:07:36 UTC
From: steve <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3C714140.E2334D20@attbi.com>
Source record: 51905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What are you looking for?

Emilio Goldstein wrote:

> Pardon my intrusion.
> Is the only company left on the internet the one that sells the tiny video
> cameras?

2836. Re: Wanted: RS PRO-43 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 18:19:40 UTC
From: crtech@hot.XXX.rr.com
Message-ID: <3c714576.586054562@news-server.hot.rr.com>
Source record: 51906

If you look on Rat Shacks site you will find a copy of it you can
download.

On Mon, 18 Feb 2002 04:09:59 GMT, "Richard Fisher"
<rfisher@stx.rr.com> wrote:

>Looking for a pre-ban service manual for the RS Pro 43.
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.324 / Virus Database: 181 - Release Date: 2/14/02
>
>

2837. Re: Yupi or AOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 18:20:36 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c714490.2534834@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51907

On Sun, 17 Feb 2002 10:22:33 +0100, ary@luna.nl wrote:

>Hello,
>
>I want to buy a handheld receiver. Looking at several tests, the Yupiteru 7100
>and AOR 8000 are good candidates but I don't know anyone who has experience with
>these machines. If you own a 7100 or 8000, would you be so kind to tell me your
>experience with these receivers?
>

Yup:

Faster scanning
Better audio
All around great performer
Sometimes open to interference by other devices in the house.
No 30 KHz steps

AOR

Muddy audio
Slow scanning speed
More menu's to play around with
Decent receiver-- May be better than Yup, but has too many faults like
scan speed and poor audio.
In search mode, it takes a block of freq. out rather than the one
freq. you want to lock out.
PC controled.

If I had to choose one, I'd probably take the YUP because I like good
audio quality.

2838. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 18:27:53 UTC
From: X-Eliminator <blalbla@aohell.com>
Message-ID: <jsh27uk6j1p6gvhfgae6unat709fubrsqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 51908

This thread has taken on a life of it's own !!!!!!!!!!!

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 20:49:11 GMT, a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote:

>... this in response to several emails I got on this post. Guess that
>the Miami beach area (south shore , coconut grove ??) is the
>cellular jammer capitol of the world ... this according to several
>replies. (easy to buy in that area too)
>
> Also ...guess another interesting use (and they are supposedly
>heavily used for this) is at giant L.A. , Texas , and N.J.
>swapmeets. Guess there are so many people at these events that
>the vendors have a hard time accessing the cellbands to verify their
>credit card purchases. They station jammers at the nearby celltowers
>and use CB to talk to the jammer operators to tell them to stop to
>allow the vendor/s use the tower for a minute. h ah ha ha ah a
>Pretty wild ..never thought of that one ....!!
>
> There is probably more of this kinda stuff , all over the place ...
>
>a_alpha1
>
>
>On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 06:27:57 GMT, a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote:
>
>>I didn't have time to read the zillion replies so I hope i'm not being
>>redundant. Most people on the cellular NGs will take offense at
>>your request , take that into consideration.
>> The Israelis make and sell tri-band jammers. They are commonly
>>available in the waterfront markets in NYC or S.F. ..! Like the
>>(also commonly found) 15 watt cordless phones , cb amplifiers ,
>>active radar / laser jammers , and other " non type approved "
>>electronics sales they aren't supposed to be available ..but are VERY
>>common. IN NYC , restaurants, churches and many other places use
>>jammers regularly to control the rude and disrespectful phone
>>users.
>> They are illegal ..they irritate people on the cellular NGs (they
>>take away the " power " they feel about pocket phones) ..
>>but they are all over the place ..in many large metro areas. They
>>are pretty kewl because most people NEVER get they are being
>>actively jammed. Lots of the NG users have had them used on
>> them and didn't have a clue.
>>
>>a_alpha1
>>

>>On Sun, 10 Feb 2002 16:14:24 GMT, todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd
>>Paulson) wrote:
>>
>>>Anyone know of any plans or kits that will jam cell phones
>>>in a short distance? There are valid reasons to do this,
>>>so no lectures about legality are needed - please.
>>>
>>>I'm told that one method is to use another modified cell
>>>phone to disrupt the control channel within a small radius.
>>>Is this true? If so, what model of cell phone, and where
>>>are the plans?
>>>
>>>Any info you can contribute about modifying existing cell
>>>phones to convert them to jammers will be appreciated.
>>>

2839. Re: FS: Radio Shack Pro 2006 Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 18:33:22 UTC
From: *Sorcerer* <valair@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a4rhdgfrt1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51909

The 2006 was brilliant in its day, but like all the older RS scanners, it is now better confined to our memories and collectors homes, some die-hards still use them, but they are easily outperformed by nearly all the latest models available, if you try and compare one with some of todays top radio's, they come a very poor second

--
The Sorcerer
remove Spam for reply

"Jon Van Allen" <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in message news:3C713F5C.E257EDF1@msn.com...
"The deal" is that Uniden scanners are so sensitive they have no selectivity. The 2004/5/6 series scanners have slightly reduced sensitivity so they didn't get blasted by intermod which has always been Uniden's big downfall. Even my two BC-780s gets blasted by intermod at my location near Salt Lake City to the point it is worthless for anything but trunktracking. Same for the BC-9000 and every other Uniden scanner I've owned in the last 30 years. No matter what the application, my GRE scanners flat outperform my Unidens. I use my Unidens only for trunking, GREs for everything else, especially searching.
Some people don't realize is the sensitivity in the Pros is plenty adequate. For every 1dB reduction in sensitivity, you realize nearly 3dB in intermod and image rejection! That's a more than fair tradeoff in my book. Take a look at the numbers, there isn't that much difference, yet the old urban myth crap still persists. I've owned nearly every GRE-made Pro series scanner and a lot of the Unidens.

A friend down the road from me lives near a cellular tower tried to use his Uniden BC245 on an external antenna. It got clobbered so bad with cellular images that it was totally useless. He tried two or three different GRE scanners and the trunked system he wanted to hear came in loud and clear with NO cell images on any of them. You tell me who has better front ends...

Jon

Gutt Brothers Towing wrote:

IMO The 2004, 2005, 2006 series may have been something in their time
(mid 1980's). Easily modifiable, Wide range, Channel storage ability
were all new to most scanner enthusiast. But as for myself I have
found their sensitivity in comparison to other makers was
disappointing. What's the deal with a scanner that can do all of what
RS scanners can do if the sensitivity is limited?
I understand that those who swear by these models defend them by
saying that they were manufactured for use in urban areas. If that's
the case RS should have said that in their marketing.

I know I'm gonna catch hell for this but I'd by a Uniden over RS
anyday, but as I said it's only "my" opinion!

GBT

On Mon, 18 Feb 2002 13:38:10 GMT, "Arlo Janus" <arlojanus@aol.com>
wrote:

>Don't believe everything you hear.
>
>"SOUPMAN67" <soupman67@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020217112040.22716.00000502@mb-fx.aol.com...
>> i hear this is the best scanner ever made minus trunkink...
>

- -
Visit Gutt Brothers Towing On The Web
At: http://www.geocities.com/SouthBeach/Keys/7889/

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.324 / Virus Database: 181 - Release Date: 14/02/02

2840. Re: does any 1 have codes 2 unlocksonymobiles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 19:52:32 UTC
From: marula31@hootmail.com
Message-ID: <3c715b55.348049@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 51910
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.talk.ulster,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 22:06:36 +0000 (UTC), "A Bailey"
<Andreabailey@btopenworld.com> wrote:

Yes, thanks.

--
J+

2841. Re: everyone gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 20:06:16 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <Y8dc8.142706$th4.40474439@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51911
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:i9bc8.80383$fK1.4211078@rwcrnsc54...
> Pardon my intrusion.
> Is the only company left on the internet the one that sells the tiny video
> cameras?
>
>

2842. Re: everyone gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 20:08:06 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <Gadc8.142739$th4.40479340@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51912
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hehe... you must be talking about X10. The KING of popup harrasment!

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:i9bc8.80383$fK1.4211078@rwcrnsc54...
> Pardon my intrusion.
> Is the only company left on the internet the one that sells the tiny video
> cameras?
>
>

2843. Re: ham radio operators excempt from scanner laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 20:09:48 UTC
From: Jeff Workman <jworkman@pimpworks.org>
Message-ID: <ajn0y6a6h8.fsf@beryllium.cary.cw.net>
Source record: 51914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.legal

"rtretretertretertertertertert" <etretretretertre@ertertetetetet.net> writes:

>
> Beats me ... the Federal FCC Ruling that prohibits States for
> passing laws interfering with the "right" of US citizens
> to receive any radio spectrum transmission from any source
> has been continually pre-empted by zealous/fascist States like
> North Carolina and Virginia ... any surprise that its the "commonweal"
> states that jack-boot this prohibition.

Can you (or somebody else) please refer me to the North Carolina statute that would make them "fascist" wrt scanner laws? The only law I'm aware of (at the state level) regarding scanners is that it's illegal to use a scanner to aid in committing a crime.

Jeff

--
Jeff Workman | jworkman@pimpworks.org | http://www.pimpworks.org

2844. Re: Senss BC245 Interface Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 20:17:09 UTC
From: frater mus <mouse.news@mousetrap.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91B991BC01EF5ssbmousetrapnet@151.164.30.44>
Source record: 51913

16 Feb 2002: "Dave Staples" <dasflies1@home.com> wrote

> I downloaded this amazing program this morning ant it works great!

Yeah, I really like it.

> Im having one problem though that I thought you might be able to help with.
> When ever I try to edit the frequency directory or ID directory I get an
> error that says:
>
> RUN TIME ERROR 372
>
> Failed to load control 'MSFlexGrid' from MSFLEXGRID.OCX
>
> It says my version of what ever this is is outdated and a newer one should
> have been provided with the software.

Are you running the latest beta? Maybe that would help:
ftp://q2.herzig.net/pub/bc245/

2.4x, I think.

--
L.V.X., brother mouse
OTR trades http://www.mousetrap.net/otr/
OTRnap http://www.mousetrap.net/OTRnap/
PGP/GPG pubkey http://www.mousetrap.net/pgp/pubkey.html 0x851E21F0

2845. Re: Senss BC245 Interface Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 20:25:30 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@home.com>
Message-ID: <_qdc8.53297$X64.18713040@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 51915

Yea im using 2.4.1

Still cant figure this out!

Dave
dasflies1@comcast.net
"frater mus" <mouse.news@mousetrap.net> wrote in message
news:Xns91B991BC01EF5ssbmousetrapnet@151.164.30.44...
> 16 Feb 2002: "Dave Staples" <dasflies1@home.com> wrote
>
> > I downloaded this amazing program this morning ant it works great!
>
> Yeah, I really like it.
>
> > Im having one problem though that I thought you might be able to help
with.
> > When ever I try to edit the frequency directory or ID directory I get an
> > error that says:
> >
> > RUN TIME ERROR 372
> >
> > Failed to load control 'MSFlexGrid' from MSFLEXGRID.OCX
> >
> > It says my version of what ever this is is outdated and a newer one
should
> > have been provided with the software.
>
> Are you running the latest beta? Maybe that would help:
> ftp://q2.herzig.net/pub/bc245/
>
> 2.4x, I think.
>
>
> --
> L.V.X., brother mouse
> OTR trades http://www.mousetrap.net/otr/
> OTRnap http://www.mousetrap.net/OTRnap/
> PGP/GPG pubkey http://www.mousetrap.net/pgp/pubkey.html 0x851E21F0

2846. Re: Portable Cell Phone Jammer Needed - no lectures please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 21:05:37 UTC
From: donniedj@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <408b1904.0202181305.24f0bae3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51916
Cross-posted to: alt.cell-phone.tech,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,sci.electronics.basics

Al Klein <rukbat@pern.org> wrote in message
news:<9tdq6uo029usie1mmpf9scp4amu7rlahe0@4ax.com>...
> On 13 Feb 2002 15:29:24 -0800, donniedj@hotmail.com (Donnie James)
> posted in alt.cell-phone.tech:
>
> >todd.paulson@metc.state.mn.us (Todd Paulson) wrote in message
news:<A%w98.2848$sg.317147@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>...
>
> >People please! Focus on the scientic means and not on the legal or
> >moral rights. Todd questions not on how to break laws. Todd is
> >questioning on "how", not on why. I know of a great number of reason
> >to jam an RF signal, each being as important as the existance of
> >communication itself. I will not trouble myself with the burden of
> >convincing, or supplying explanations. Lets assume inocense and work
> >from there.
>
> If you're limiting yourself to the U. S., and the device you're
> jamming is licensed, the jamming is illegal. Period. No "innocence"
> at all.

Stars are large. Wow who know that? If the scope of any reader's
reasoning is limited to a few conditions, then I successfully wasted 2
replies. I stood out above the 100 plus? Do not answer.

Donnie James
Guilty of pepetuation.

2847. Re: ICOM RS-R8500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 21:26:19 UTC
From: n4umj@carolina.rr.com
Message-ID: <323df7e2.0202181326.3fff3a28@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51917

> Use the original Icom floppies...

That would work but after 3 computers I have
misplaced the originals.

Most Software companies will charge you a
replacement media charge which is a fraction
of the original cost and you just have to show
proof of purchase. ICOM does not provide this
option unfortunately.

I FINALLY GOT A REPLACEMENT thanks to a
contributor out there.

Thanks for the suggestion,

Ed

2848. Re: Wanted: RS PRO-43 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 22:28:54 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <Gefc8.705$r5.386887@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 51918

Can't D/L service manuals..... Only OWNER's manuals.
The parts list that you can D/L will include the RSU# for
the Service Manual. The Service Manuals usually contain
an addendum to cover things like CPU changes etc.....

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

2849. Re: everyone gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 22:34:28 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@NOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <3C718174.2D195CD8@NOSPAM.net>
Source record: 51919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes. Annoying aren't they?
GB

Emilio Goldstein wrote:

> Pardon my intrusion.
> Is the only company left on the internet the one that sells the tiny video
> cameras?

2850. CE-232 vs. OS-456 Lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-18 23:41:00 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91B9BE250D507fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 51920
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Which of these two are better or are they both similar?

2851. Pro 92 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 00:10:49 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c7197c6.23836885@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51922

One of my battery packs disappeared and am wondering if I can buy a
replacement. If so. how much and what is the part number?
I couldn't find one on their web site.

2852. Re: everyone gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 00:55:06 UTC
From: steve <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3C71A0C4.48DDEC56@attbi.com>
Source record: 51923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

They suck on the pop ups.
If you need small video transmitters look at eletronix.com

2853. help bct 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 01:07:50 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <u7360lf2db7ob7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51921

unit is opened ,reciever in scan or manual works ,, can't get it to search..
any ideas?
thank you
wesser@hiwaay.net

2854. Re: Pro 92 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 01:15:43 UTC
From: Highlander <jsimon@trillius.com>
Message-ID: <VGhc8.1813$17.1165719@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 51924

The 2002 catalog lists the yellow rechargeable battery holder for $6.99.
Part number is 940-0898 and it will probably have to be ordered. The stores
generally do not have 940-xxxx parts numbers on the shelf.

"chester" <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message
news:3c7197c6.23836885@news.teranews.com...
> One of my battery packs disappeared and am wondering if I can buy a
> replacement. If so. how much and what is the part number?
> I couldn't find one on their web site.
>

2855. f.s. yaseu ft-411-e with ctcss board and accessories 110.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 01:28:47 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <9o7c8.5549$W7.144143@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 51995

for sale:

yaseu ft-411-e
with extended receive and transmit

receives 130 -173.995
transmits 140 -150.000

with optional ctcss board installed
with original wall charger/adapter
with original box and manual
with original rubberduck
with good battery pack
with optional leather carrying case

has a signal meter
has scan function
has search function

110.00US includes shipping with tracking number

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

2856. Re: Pro 92 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 01:36:34 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c71abf7.29006348@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51925

On Tue, 19 Feb 2002 01:15:43 GMT, "Highlander" <jsimon@trillius.com>
wrote:

>The 2002 catalog lists the yellow rechargeable battery holder for $6.99.
>Part number is 940-0898 and it will probably have to be ordered. The stores
>generally do not have 940-xxxx parts numbers on the shelf.
>

Thanks. I types that into their website and nothing was found.
What do you think? Not available anymore?

2857. Re: Delta Township PL tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 01:38:24 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <k0ic8.3195$k5.1612963@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51926

When I had my 780 I recall it being DCS as well, but with no one else on that freq never bothered entering it. I don't know what they do on fire channel 3...

- Rob

"John P Vassel" <john@vassel.net> wrote in message news:3c713df2$0$1603$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net...
> Actually Ron, while most of eaton county is 162.2 it seems this delta
> freqency uses DCS of 654.

2858. Re: CE-232 vs. OS-456 Lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 02:11:42 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-FCF335.20114218022002@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51927
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <Xns91B9BE250D507fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>,
William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:

> Which of these two are better or are they both similar?

2 totally different concepts. The CE232 loads a scanner with frequencies and is not used to control operation of the radio. The OS456 adds computer control to the radio but when not in use allows the radio to be used in the regular mode. It does not load freqs into the radio for use without the computer.

If you want a way to log hits or use the computer to control the radio then the OS456 is for you.

If you want to load up your scanner for later use without the computer then you will want the CE232.

The OS456 is a cinch to install, it can be done in 20 minutes with no soldering. The CE232 is more complicated and requires soldering etc.

2859. Pro-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 02:22:30 UTC
From: BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4291-3C71B6E6-504@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 51928

I have just aquired a Pro-25 scanner. Can anyone tell me if i got a good
deal for $50, or just taken?
Thank you in advance
Bruce

2860. Re: CE-232 vs. OS-456 Lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 02:47:04 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91B9DDB1A2753fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 51929
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in
news:Xns91B9BE250D507fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101:

> Which of these two are better or are they both similar?

Does the OS-456 load the freq into scanner memory or use the computer?
Essentially making the scanner part of it?

2861. Radio Shack UHF HTs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 02:50:23 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <P3jc8.5389$xs1.1650283@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have five UHF hts that are motorola made for Radio Shack.These are work style radios and work great.Two of them need replacement batteries which are dead.They are 7.5 volt batteries.I am asking $200.00 shiped in the USA.I also have a Motorola Radius SP-21 VHF that does multi channels.I will throw that one in also.It works great and uses the same batteries.I do have a dropin charger and two wall warts.No paperwork or boxes.
Email me or call 978-281-3359 use *82 in front of the number.I am interested in trades for particular police scanners.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2862. Yaesu HTs FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 02:52:29 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <N5jc8.5406$xs1.1651069@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale two Yaesu hts.One is the dualband FT-470 that comes with several batteries,charger,box and paperwork.This radio is in execelent shape and works flawlessly.Its a great radio for someone starting out,as its easy to program and work.I am asking $225.00 shipped in the USA.
The second is a Yaesu VX-5r triband that has box,manual and charger.This radio does mars/cap and freeband.It is a little finicky when in vfo mode but does work ok.I think it may be a bad solder joint with who ever done the mod to it.Anyway I am asking $225.00 for it shipped also.
I am looking for a Bearcat scanner,either the 3000 or the 9000 model which I would trade for one of these radios.I am also interested in the 1000 channel scanner that Radio Shack puts out.
Let me know what you may have that may interest me.(Triband mobiles etc?)
Email me or call 978-281-3359 dial *82 first.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2863. Re: Yaesu HTs FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 02:53:37 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <R6jc8.5410$xs1.1651204@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sorry for double post
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:N5jc8.5406$xs1.1651069@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I have for sale two Yaesu hts.One is the dualband FT-470 that comes with
> several batteries,charger,box and paperwork.This radio is in execelent
shape
> and works flawlessly.Its a great radio for someone starting out,as its
easy
> to program and work.I am asking $225.00 shipped in the USA.
> The second is a Yaesu VX-5r triband that has box,manual and charger.This
> radio does mars/cap and freeband.It is a little finicky when in vfo mode
but
> does work ok.I think it may be a bad solder joint with who ever done the
mod
> to it.Anyway I am asking $225.00 for it shipped also.
> I am looking for a Bearcat scanner,either the 3000 or the 9000 model which
I
> would trade for one of these radios.I am also interested in the 1000
channel
> scanner that Radio Shack puts out.
> Let me know what you may have that may interest me.(Triband mobiles etc?)
> Email me or call 978-281-3359 dial *82 first.
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2864. FS: Optoelectronics Xplorer Nearfield Receiver w/Opto DB32 Antenna $600 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 02:55:28 UTC
From: kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Message-ID: <9b093b3c.0202181855.28a2a453@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I have for sale one Optoelectronics Xplorer Nearfield Receiver. Unit is in excellent condition and has seen little use. Will include Opto DB32 "stub" antenna. With original box, manual, telescoping whip antenna, PC download cable, software, and AC adapter. This is the newer Xplorer that also decodes LTR trunking ID's. It is cellular blocked. Battery pack is in excellent condition.

Reason for selling: Seeing virtually no use, liquidating for other radio purchases.

Price: $600.00 shipped UPS ground US only.

Payment Method: Pre-pay with U.S. POSTAL SERVICE MONEY ORDER ONLY!!! No substitutions, please!

Upon receipt of US Postal Service money order I will ship unit to you and will contact you with UPS tracking number.

For more information about this device, please check this link:

http://www.optoelectronics.com/xplorer.htm

Please contact me via e-mail if interested.

Thanks for reading.

Scott
Scan Cape Cod
kc1ua@scancapecod.net
http://www.scancapecod.net

2865. For Sale: PRO-2004 with HB-232 Interface/many modifications $300 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 02:58:38 UTC
From: kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Message-ID: <9b093b3c.0202181858.43985624@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Greetings,

I have a Realistic PRO-2004 scanner for sale. The following info describes it's condition, modifications, accompanying HB232 Interface, included items, and payment method. Photos of the package are available through the link at the bottom of this message. If you are interested after reading this long-winded message, please contact me at kc1ua@scancapecod.net. Thanks.

Condition
---
1. Case is in good condition. Some minor scratches.
2. Display is in good shape. Not as bright as day #1 but doing well.
3. An analog signal meter has been mounted on the lower left front of the radio. This eliminates the earphone jack.
4. A blue keypad overlay has been placed over the original yellow keypad. This overlay shows a 400 channel plan instead of the 300 on the original yellow.
5. Radio does use a 9 volt battery as memory backup. Care must be taken to replace this battery. I would recommend twice a year.

Modifications
---
1. Full coverage restoration.
2. Removal of power transformer to reduce heat issues, facilitate HB-232 interface components, as well as toggle switches mentioned in #4 mod below.
3. 300 to 400 channel modification.
4. Modification that creates 64 independent "banks" of 400 channels each. Controlled by toggle switches on rear of radio. Diagram for operation is included. It is not possible to scan across these banks, but 64 different configurations are possible.
5. Pushbutton switch on back of radio defeats keypad beep.
6. Discriminator tap (RCA jack).
7. Analog S-Meter mounted on front lower left. Eliminates earphone jack.
8. Radio did have problems with cold solder joints, which is common in this radio. They have been repaired.

HB-232 (a.k.a CE-232) Interface
---
1. Allows for computer control of PRO-2004 through supplied DOS software. Demo of Windows software is available for download from www.steaksandwich.com.

2. HB-232 Interface is mounted in a project box, 8" wide, 6" deep, and 3" high. Short DB25 connection from box to rear of PRO-2004. DB-9 connection from interface box to computer.
3. Supplied software is able to program radio and will display a "virtual" panel that controls radio's functions. Again, it is DOS based software. I would primarily recommend using it for programming/backup of memory banks. Many scripts that can make the HB-232 perform various functions are included.
4. It IS NOT imperative that you use this interface. The radio operates just fine standalone. However, it is included as part of the package for obvious reasons.

Included Items
---
1. Original manual.
2. Service manual.
3. Power supply is NOT included. You will need a 12V positive tip wall wort type supply. I would recommend one that handles about 1 AMP just to be on the safe side. I was powering the radio from a 12V power system in my shack. Transformer was removed as outlined above, so this radio DOES NOT have 120 VAC capability. Radio did not come with a wall wort type supply.
4. HB232 software and scripts burned on CD.
5. My support for your first days of operating this radio! I won't disappear on you.

Payment Method
---
1. $300. Will ship UPS Ground USA only on receipt of US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY!
2. Shipping and insurance included in asking price.
3. I will provide you with a UPS tracking number when the radio is enroute.
4. Reason for selling - I am simply not using this setup any longer. It is sitting here doing nothing.

This is a unique setup. I would not recommend this setup for anyone looking for their first scanner, but the radio works fine as a standalone unit and/or with the HB-232 interface. Please contact me if you have any questions. Photos of the package are available at this link:

http://www.scancapecod.net/2004sale.html

Thanks for reading this saga!

Scott/KC1UA
kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Scan Cape Cod

2866. GE Super Base 3-5875A FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 03:07:46 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6kjc8.5455$xs1.1654113@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is the sideband radio that has that special boards in it and has the dual antenna inputs.This radio is in great shape and comes with the hand mike and the Turner Expander 500 desk mike.It sits here collecting dust as I turn it on about once a week.I can't stand listening to the jerks around here,so its for sale.I am asking $250.00 and a little for shipping or will be interested in a trade for a high end police scanner.
This is the GE SuperBase not the junk.Email me or call 978-281-3359 use the *82 before the number.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2867. Re: Delta Township PL tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 03:29:45 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c71c69c$0$1601$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51936

"Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote in
news:k0ic8.3195$k5.1612963@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com:

> When I had my 780 I recall it being DCS as well, but with no one else on
> that freq never bothered entering it. I don't know what they do on fire
> channel 3...
>
> - Rob
>
> "John P Vassel" <john@vassel.net> wrote in message
> news:3c713df2$0$1603$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net...
>> Actually Ron, while most of eaton county is 162.2 it seems this delta
>> freqency uses DCS of 654.
>
>
>

We're a mutual aide neighbor to Delta, so it's in our preps for mutual
aide reasons. I could have called our radio provider for the info, but
since I'm trying out some new gear from a different vendor, not so sure
they would have been real happy releasing the info.
Fortunately, my ft-50 scans the tones, once I managed to get the idea in
my head.
Thanks to all for the help

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

2868. Re: Looking at High End

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 03:32:59 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3C71C76B.416AA164@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 51937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Eric F. Richards" wrote:
> Passport a couple of years back put them [R8500 & AR5000] side-by-side in a test.
> If you are interested I can find and summarize that report...

As an R8500 owner, I'd be interested Eric. Thanks.

--
Michael Moore

2869. Re: GE Super Base 3-5875A FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 03:57:01 UTC
From: Stephen Gale <stephengale@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <L1kc8.12348$I01.995435@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 51938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

what does the *82 do
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:6kjc8.5455$xs1.1654113@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> This is the sideband radio that has that special boards in it and has the
> dual antenna inputs.This radio is in great shape and comes with the hand
> mike and the Turner Expander 500 desk mike.It sits here collecting dust as
I
> turn it on about once a week.I can't stand listening to the jerks around
> here,so its for sale.I am asking $250.00 and a little for shipping or will
> be interested in a trade for a high end police scanner.
> This is the GE SuperBase not the junk.Email me or call 978-281-3359 use
the
> *82 before the number.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002
>
>

2870. Re: CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 03:58:09 UTC
From: Darrell <darrellblack@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <l3kc8.102720$Zc.28516308@news2.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 51939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you don't find a CE-232 unit look at using the following:
http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/index.html

"William Ferguson" <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message
news:Xns91B8A497CD480fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
> Does anyone have for sale a complete CE-232, printed circuit board, or
> processor?

2871. Re: everyone gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 04:20:10 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <cck37uk05fop5cf7cumrlap2fdi31kubnh@4ax.com>
Source record: 51942
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 18 Feb 2002 17:50:06 GMT, "Emilio Goldstein"
<egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote:

>Pardon my intrusion.
>Is the only company left on the internet the one that sells the tiny video
>cameras?
>

Very close.

I received a warning from my ISP. The X-10 company complained to them
that my use of the internet was slowing down the propagation of their
pop-ups. They were particularly irate that I once let another window
cover theirs.

2872. Re: Yupi or AOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 04:27:39 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <Gukc8.3065$PS6.298573@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 51940

Wrong!!!!!

The 7100 is available brand new in numerous locations.
As a first scanner it is brilliant.

Bandercom
Javiation
Waters and Stanton
Synchro Australia
And many more
Most say that the AOR 8000 has better reception than the 8200

> Both are quite old and you will find these receivers only as surplus. If
it has to
> be one of those (I had both of them) I would always go for AOR. If you
have to
> decide between the actual models AR8200 and Yupi 9000 (I have both
actually in use)
> also go for AOR as you will find the computer interface very valuable and
in my
> experience the AOR beats the Yupi hands down when comes to reception
quality.
>
> Best regards
> Howard
>
>

2873. Re: Pro 92 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 04:47:12 UTC
From: willie <inandout23@mama.com>
Message-ID: <MDkc8.1081$ro1.42482@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 51941

call them on the 800 number. they have it and will ship it for about $3
shipping cost. Very good deal from those very good Texas people!
"chester" <df5rt@iwerts.cdc> wrote in message
news:3c71abf7.29006348@news.teranews.com...
> On Tue, 19 Feb 2002 01:15:43 GMT, "Highlander" <jsimon@trillius.com>
> wrote:
>
> >The 2002 catalog lists the yellow rechargeable battery holder for $6.99.
> >Part number is 940-0898 and it will probably have to be ordered. The
stores
> >generally do not have 940-xxxx parts numbers on the shelf.
> >
>
> Thanks. I types that into their website and nothing was found.
> What do you think? Not available anymore?
>
>

2874. modification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 05:33:30 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ptlc8.764$4g2.138816@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 51943
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Calling all tech geeks...

Go to my page and look at my mod for my headphone output, there's a picture you need to see.

http://www.favorites.com/~robtaylor/Pro-93%20Modifications.htm

The red wire that's in place is the same as shorting out R138. It's also the same as sticking a knife between the BNC connector and the outer ring on the headphone connector. All this does is really boost the headphone jack output. You can actually hear an external speaker that's hooked up to it.

But will being connected to the BNC, part of the antenna, hinder the reception qualities of the radio? It's not gonna degrade the reception or anything, right? Is it an extra ground that might bugger something up? I'm not that smart with electronics, so I'm unsure. I live in a fringe area as it is, so my local trunking system is spotty. I don't need to be messing up the reception of my radio.

Thanks,

Rob in Salt Lake

2875. Yupi 7300 (was: Yupi or AOR?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 07:22:54 UTC
From: ary@luna.nl
Message-ID: <m4v37ugto3nm2satb8549tfau7383kpn3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 51944

Thanks

>The 7100 is available brand new in numerous locations.
>As a first scanner it is brilliant.

so far most reactions were in favour of the Yupi 7100. How does the Yupi 7300 perform? The 7300 has the new 8.33 step for aero stations. Switzerland already uses the 8.33 spacing and other countries are expected to follow later this year.

Ary

2876. Re: Pro-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 08:04:02 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020219030402.11719.00001184@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 51945

I think it was a good deal... I mean you can't find many scanners these days under $100. Don't know too much about the unit but did a little searching and seems like the unit has many features! So, sure.... GOOD DEAL!

2877. Re: everyone gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 08:37:22 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020219033722.11793.00003337@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51946

Prolly best thing this guy has ever posted!

>Pardon my intrusion.
>Is the only company left on the internet the one that sells the tiny video
>cameras?

2878. Question about trunked scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 08:42:13 UTC
From: jaybird <nospam@me.com>
Message-ID: <Fdoc8.150736$Ar5.5749691@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 51847
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've located a website listing all of the frequencies for the area around Williamson County, TX. There are only 11 frequencies listed, but each separate channel has a trunking ID. I haven't had any experience scanning trunked frequencies yet, and have no idea what the trunking ID is. Also, once I figure that out, how does it work entering the frequency ID's into a Rat Shack PRO-26?

Thanks,

jaybird

Your life is not my fault.

http://www.stickdeath.com/cops.html
http://www.stickdeath.com/flir.htm
http://www.stickdeath.com/k9.htm

2879. Re: Question about trunked scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 09:17:57 UTC
From: jsbray <jsbray@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <9Loc8.427$fR3.36090988@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51848
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The pro-26 is not a trunk tracking scanner.You could progam in the frequencies,but you would not be able to follow the conversation.The ID's are worthless with a pro-26 you can not enter them in.If you want to follow trunked systems I would suggest a new scanner.

jaybird <nospam@me.com> wrote in message news:Fdoc8.150736$Ar5.5749691@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> I've located a website listing all of the frequencies for the area around
> Williamson County, TX. There are only 11 frequencies listed, but each
> separate channel has a trunking ID. I haven't had any experience scanning
> trunked frequencies yet, and have no idea what the trunking ID is. Also,
> once I figure that out, how does it work entering the frequency ID's into
a
> Rat Shack PRO-26?
>
> Thanks,
>
> jaybird
>
> ---------
>
> Your life is not my fault.
>
> http://www.stickdeath.com/cops.html
> http://www.stickdeath.com/flir.htm
> http://www.stickdeath.com/k9.htm
>
>
>

2880. Re: Question about trunked scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 10:19:10 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020219042002.004089ea.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 51849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hurtin for certain

On Tue, 19 Feb 2002 09:17:57 GMT
"jsbray" <jsbray@swbell.net> wrote:

> The pro-26 is not a trunk tracking scanner.You could progam in the
> frequencies,but you would not be able to follow the conversation.The ID's
> are worthless with a pro-26 you can not enter them in.If you want to follow
> trunked systems I would suggest a new scanner.
>
>
>
> jaybird <nospam@me.com> wrote in message
> news:Fdoc8.150736$Ar5.5749691@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> > I've located a website listing all of the frequencies for the area around
> > Williamson County, TX. There are only 11 frequencies listed, but each
> > separate channel has a trunking ID. I haven't had any experience scanning
> > trunked frequencies yet, and have no idea what the trunking ID is. Also,
> > once I figure that out, how does it work entering the frequency ID's into
> a
> > Rat Shack PRO-26?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > jaybird
> >
> > ---------
> >
> > Your life is not my fault.
> >
> > http://www.stickdeath.com/cops.html
> > http://www.stickdeath.com/flir.htm
> > http://www.stickdeath.com/k9.htm
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2881. Re: Question about trunked scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 10:54:34 UTC
From: jaybird <nospam@me.com>
Message-ID: <K9qc8.53842$Re7.2807899@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 51850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

jsbray wrote in message
<9Loc8.427$fR3.36090988@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>...
>The pro-26 is not a trunk tracking scanner.You could progam in the
>frequencies,but you would not be able to follow the conversation.The ID's
>are worthless with a pro-26 you can not enter them in.If you want to follow
>trunked systems I would suggest a new scanner.

Gotcha. Any suggestions for a new one?

>
>
>
>jaybird <nospam@me.com> wrote in message
>news:Fdoc8.150736$Ar5.5749691@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
>> I've located a website listing all of the frequencies for the area around
>> Williamson County, TX. There are only 11 frequencies listed, but each
>> separate channel has a trunking ID. I haven't had any experience
scanning
>> trunked frequencies yet, and have no idea what the trunking ID is. Also,
>> once I figure that out, how does it work entering the frequency ID's into
>a
>> Rat Shack PRO-26?
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> jaybird
>>
>> ---------
>>
>> Your life is not my fault.
>>
>> http://www.stickdeath.com/cops.html
>> http://www.stickdeath.com/flir.htm
>> http://www.stickdeath.com/k9.htm
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2882. Re: CE-232 vs. OS-456 Lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 11:03:15 UTC
From: Arlo Janus <arlojanus@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Thqc8.4252$ro5.1467620@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 51852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you're asking, does it program the scanner, the answer is no.

"William Ferguson" <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message
news:Xns91B9DDB1A2753fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
> William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in
> news:Xns91B9BE250D507fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101:
>
> > Which of these two are better or are they both similar?
>
> Does the OS-456 load the freq into scanner memory or use the computer?
> Essentially making the scanner part of it?

2883. Re: Wtd: Manual or info on Park Air commercial scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 11:10:51 UTC
From: vk2ua@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c72327a.32115354@news.zip.com.au>
Source record: 51851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Thanks, I have emailed Chris.

Simon B.

On Tue, 19 Feb 2002 00:19:58 +1100, Thegoons <Thegoons@bigpond.com>
wrote:

>In Australia, M-TECH Systems are the agents for Park Air Systems. Perhaps
>they can point you in the right direction. Look at their website for
>details, http://www.mtech.com.au
>
>When you write or call them, ask to speak to Mr. Chris King, Director.
>

2884. Software connect BC895XLT into PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 13:28:48 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3c7243e4.3548412@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 51853
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dear Fellows:
I own Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT and I would like to connect on PC. So,
I would like to get freeware program to manage the frequencies. Any
good program??? I would like to thanks for any infoormation.
Vy 73 de PS7KM,

Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kml@digi.com.br
kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

2885. Re: Delta Township PL tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 13:41:54 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <CCsc8.3272$k5.1669142@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51854

What's the RT? Rives Twshp? If so -- what's the deal with "channel 3" in Jackson Co. I always here units moving there on scene but haven't the slightest idea where you're going...

- Rob

> We're a mutual aide neighbor to Delta, so it's in our preps for mutual
> aide reasons. I could have called our radio provider for the info, but
> since I'm trying out some new gear from a different vendor, not so sure
> they would have been real happy releasing the info.

2886. Re: Question about trunked scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 14:54:49 UTC
From: jsbray <jsbray@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <ZGtc8.438$xh5.41741428@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51856
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If your looking for a handheld Radio Shack has there Pro-93 on sale for $169 its a good little radio,300 channel, text tagging ,dual trunking I have the base model of this radio and am very happy with it.If you go with the Pro-93 be sure to look for a date code of at least 11A01.Here is a a web site for people by people who own these radio's.You might go here and see what others say.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-93/

jaybird <nospam@me.com> wrote in message news:K9qc8.53842$Re7.2807899@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
>
> jsbray wrote in message
> <9Loc8.427$fR3.36090988@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>...
> >The pro-26 is not a trunk tracking scanner.You could progam in the
> >frequencies,but you would not be able to follow the conversation.The ID's
> >are worthless with a pro-26 you can not enter them in.If you want to follow
> >trunked systems I would suggest a new scanner.
>
>
> Gotcha. Any suggestions for a new one?
>
> >
> >
> >
> >jaybird <nospam@me.com> wrote in message
> >news:Fdoc8.150736$Ar5.5749691@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> >> I've located a website listing all of the frequencies for the area around
> >> Williamson County, TX. There are only 11 frequencies listed, but each
> >> separate channel has a trunking ID. I haven't had any experience
> scanning
> >> trunked frequencies yet, and have no idea what the trunking ID is. Also,
> >> once I figure that out, how does it work entering the frequency ID's into
> >a
> >> Rat Shack PRO-26?
> >>
> >> Thanks,
> >>
> >> jaybird
> >>

> >> ---------
> >>
> >> Your life is not my fault.
> >>
> >> http://www.stickdeath.com/cops.html
> >> http://www.stickdeath.com/flir.htm
> >> http://www.stickdeath.com/k9.htm
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

2887. Re: Question about trunked scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 14:55:54 UTC
From: Tom <TomBeasley@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u74pkqt0bmmdfb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51855
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try the Radio Shack Pro-93. It is an excellent scanner and it is currently
on sale for $169.95. I think the sale ends on 3-3 so you need to hurry.
Tom

"jaybird" <nospam@me.com> wrote in message
news:K9qc8.53842$Re7.2807899@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
>
> jsbray wrote in message
> <9Loc8.427$fR3.36090988@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>...
> >The pro-26 is not a trunk tracking scanner.You could progam in the
> >frequencies,but you would not be able to follow the conversation.The ID's
> >are worthless with a pro-26 you can not enter them in.If you want to
follow
> >trunked systems I would suggest a new scanner.
>
>
> Gotcha. Any suggestions for a new one?

2888. Re: Delta Township PL tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 15:22:28 UTC
From: noah824@nospam.att.net
Message-ID: <U4uc8.32169$Nv5.1212355@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51857

I'm guessing Roxand Township near Grand Ledge? You're correct about Delta Fire using DCS, though I've never heard why they're the only agency in the county using it.

-Noah

In article <CCsc8.3272$k5.1669142@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote:
>What's the RT? Rives Twshp? If so -- what's the deal with "channel 3" in
>Jackson Co. I always here units moving there on scene but haven't the
>slightest idea where you're going...
>
> - Rob

2889. Yupi 7100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 15:47:28 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <ksuc8.63259$Hu6.16384658@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 51858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am considering a Yupiteru MVT-7100. I'm not interested in trunking at this time nor SSB. Is there a better choice for my interests? Is the Yupi 9000 MKII considerably better than the 7100? Do any of you find the delay a nuisance? Thanks!
DeWayne KE9K

2890. Where 2 Download Manuals for RS Scanners???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 16:21:16 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020219112116.27294.00001825@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 51859

Hi,

I'm sure you guys covered this long ago, but I missed it.

Where or what web site to I go to in order to Download Manuals for Radio Shack Scanners? I've lost mine and need one quickly.

Many thanks,

Geo.

2891. Re: Software connect BC895XLT into PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 16:24:20 UTC
From: agrumpyoldfart@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <s9v47uc6upnrjam32j86mdq23kqkcsok44@4ax.com>
Source record: 51860
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 19 Feb 2002 13:28:48 GMT, kml@digi.com.br (Karl Leite) wrote:

>>Dear Fellows:
>>I own Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT and I would like to connect on PC. So,
>>I would like to get freeware program to manage the frequencies. Any
>>good program??? I would like to thanks for any infoormation.
>>Vy 73 de PS7KM,

If you can point me at the protocols used I can write some software. I
have done stuff for Garmin GPS and I have just ordered a bc245xlt so
will write somne software instead of paying the 60-bucks being asked.

I'll do a search on protocols and see what I can find.

--

Grumpy The Third Dwarf
AKA Dave Johnson

==========
Metamucil, the ultimate load binder
==========

2892. Re: Yupi 7100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 16:35:22 UTC
From: "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
Message-ID: <3C727ECA.43F2D48C@bmas.org>
Source record: 51861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DeWayne wrote:
>
> I am considering a Yupiteru MVT-7100. I'm not interested in trunking at this
> time nor SSB. Is there a better choice for my interests? Is the Yupi 9000
> MKII considerably better than the 7100? Do any of you find the delay a
> nuisance? Thanks!
> DeWayne KE9K

Hi

I have a 7100. I like it a lot, although I wish it had a bandscope like
the 9000.

When I bought it, an article I read on the Strong Signals web site led
me to think the 7100 was a little better than the 9000 for HF. This
predates the MkII though so you might want to check again.

The audio quality is quite good for a portable with a relatively small
speaker.

The Yupi has SSB capability.

I like the leather case Javiation sells much more than the plastic one
Yupi makes for it. They were priced about the same.

Regards,

Mark

2893. Re: Software connect BC895XLT into PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 16:39:29 UTC
From: agrumpyoldfart@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <64057uode3aes4odir3l2feqnuicrfhr2l@4ax.com>
Source record: 51862
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 19 Feb 2002 13:28:48 GMT, kml@digi.com.br (Karl Leite) wrote:

>>I own Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT and I would like to connect on PC. So,
>>I would like to get freeware program to manage the frequencies. Any
>>good program??? I would like to thanks for any infoormation.

Karl, a good place to start wopuld be here...

http://home.earthlink.net/~dougfisher/scanner.html

--

Grumpy The Third Dwarf
AKA Dave Johnson

==========
Metamucil, the ultimate load binder
==========

2894. Re: Where 2 Download Manuals for RS Scanners???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 17:37:45 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <J3wc8.19625$jj4.1025878@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 51863

How about the Radio Shack website?
http://www.radioshack.com/ProdSupport/ProductSupportDrillDown.asp?OID=RSSupport-Communications#

--

_____
"LEADENWAH" <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020219112116.27294.00001825@mb-mj.aol.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm sure you guys covered this long ago, but I missed it.
>
> Where or what web site to I go to in order to Download Manuals for Radio Shack
> Scanners? I've lost mine and need one quickly.
>
> Many thanks,
>
> Geo.

2895. Trunk Tracking, why only RS or Uniden?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 17:46:31 UTC
From: ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com
Message-ID: <2d2bbca8.0202190946.106b48ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51864

Right now in the market, if you want an scanner that can follow trunked conversations you can buy a RS or Uniden scanner. Oh an a Optoelectronics one but you need a computer. Ok, as more and more systems are using trunking conversations nowadays is almost useless to do scanning without a trunk tracker scanner. So my question is, WHY does companies like AOR, ALINCO and ICOM that make professional and very high quality scanner or receivers havent offered us yet a trunk tracker radio? Why do we have to get stucked between only RS or Uniden? Why?!

2896. Re: does any 1 have codes 2 unlocksonymobiles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 18:38:22 UTC
From: *Sorcerer* <valair@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a4u62u$ak7$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51865
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.talk.ulster,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,
yes! I have codes for most of the Sony range of mobile phones that will enable you to use a different sim in the phone other than the one that it is registered with.
They are £10.00 each, if you would like to send me a cheque or IMO I shall forward one to you depending on your model of phone.

```
--
***The Sorcerer***
remove Spam for reply

"A Bailey" <Andreabailey@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
news:a4ml5b$4vu$1@paris.btinternet.com...
>
> _____________________________________ william crichton ICQ#:149698990
> Current ICQ status: SMS: (Send an SMS message to my ICQ):
+2783142149698990
> More ways to contact me: http://wwp.icq.com/149698990
> _____________________________________
>
>

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.324 / Virus Database: 181 - Release Date: 14/02/02
```

2897. Re: does any 1 have codes 2 unlocksonymobiles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 18:54:18 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwk@nospamtesco.net>
Message-ID: <zbxc8.110545$H37.14850546@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 51866
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.talk.ulster,rec.radio.scanner

"A Bailey" <Andreabailey@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
news:a4ml5b$4vu$1@paris.btinternet.com...
>
Do wot ?
Do you mean the SPunlock code for a Sony mobile phone ?
if so ? your hopelessly OT
Try http://www.dvds2000.co.uk/ top SPunlocker

and posting to uk.telecom.mobile

Steve Terry

2898. Re: Wanted: RS PRO-43 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 19:21:23 UTC
From: crtech@hot.XXX.rr.com
Message-ID: <3c72a561.676158594@news-server.hot.rr.com>
Source record: 51867

Misread the question. RS does not have the service manual online.

On Mon, 18 Feb 2002 18:19:40 GMT, crtech@hot.XXX.rr.com (Gus) wrote:

>If you look on Rat Shacks site you will find a copy of it you can
>download.

2899. Re: Yupi or AOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 19:52:50 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c72ac55.29081627@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51868

On Tue, 19 Feb 2002 17:27:39 +1300, "RayC" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
wrote:

>Wrong!!!!!
>
>The 7100 is available brand new in numerous locations.
>As a first scanner it is brilliant.
>
>Bandercom
>Javiation
>Waters and Stanton
>Synchro Australia
>And many more
>Most say that the AOR 8000 has better reception than the 8200

Bandercom is a good company. IMO. the 7100 is a better deal than the
9000. It's (9000) not as good in the 400 range and is terrible in the
800 range. The 7100 doesn't have faults like this. The AOR sucks
because the audio is muddy and it's slower. I like the 8000 though.
The global delay on the Yup radios really sucks.

2900. Re: Trunk Tracking, why only RS or Uniden?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 19:55:05 UTC
From: df5rt@iwerts.cdc
Message-ID: <3c72ad50.29331766@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51869

On 19 Feb 2002 09:46:31 -0800, ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com (Faive) wrote:

>Right now in the market, if you want an scanner that can follow >trunked conversations you can buy a RS or Uniden scanner. Oh an a >Optoelectronics one but you need a computer. Ok, as more and more >systems are using trunking conversations nowadays is almost useless to >do scanning without a trunk tracker scanner. So my question is, WHY >does companies like AOR, ALINCO and ICOM that make professional and >very high quality scanner or receivers havent offered us yet a trunk >tracker radio? Why do we have to get stucked between only RS or >Uniden? Why?!

Good question, just think how a radio like the Icom R-2 would sale if they trunked and scanned conventinal at the same time.

2901. Re: Where 2 Download Manuals for RS Scanners???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 20:07:40 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020219150740.29142.00000014@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51870

>Where or what web site to I go to in order to Download Manuals for Radio
>Shack
>Scanners? I've lost mine and need one quickly.

I hope I'm not stating the obvious, but have you visitied Radio Shack's website? http://www.radioshack.com. They usually have manuals for everything that comes with manuals.... Just type in the model number of your scanner into the search box and you should find it.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2902. Re: Yupi 7100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 20:37:34 UTC
From: mgd99@usa.net
Message-ID: <265f1f1c.0202191237.19acb6cc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:<ksuc8.63259$Hu6.16384658@typhoon.neo.rr.com>...
> I am considering a Yupiteru MVT-7100. I'm not interested in trunking at this
> time nor SSB. Is there a better choice for my interests? Is the Yupi 9000
> MKII considerably better than the 7100? Do any of you find the delay a
> nuisance? Thanks!
> DeWayne KE9K

I like the 7100. The 9000 is cool but not worth the money for most
people IMO.
The delay sucks! The bandscope is only the cool feature that the 7100
lacks. BTW, the Yup 7100 has SSB. The 7100 lacks a 30KHz step if you
like cell.
Both have good audio.

2903. Re: Where 2 Download Manuals for RS Scanners???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 20:42:56 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020219154256.24940.00001469@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 51872

Thanks very much. No, it wasn't obvious to me and that's a great help.

Geo

2904. Re: Delta Township PL tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 22:19:24 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c72cf6c$0$35574$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51873

noah824@nospam.att.net (Noah) wrote in
news:U4uc8.32169$Nv5.1212355@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

> I'm guessing Roxand Township near Grand Ledge? You're correct about
> Delta Fire using DCS, though I've never heard why they're the only
> agency in the county using it.
>
> -Noah
>
>
> In article <CCsc8.3272$k5.1669142@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Robert
> Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote:
>>What's the RT? Rives Twshp? If so -- what's the deal with "channel 3"
>>in Jackson Co. I always here units moving there on scene but haven't
>>the slightest idea where you're going...
>>
>> - Rob
>

Yes, Roxand Township...and it suprised me to find them with DCS.
Ah well, mystery solved. Off to school I go.....ciao

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

2905. Re: When is PA State Police going to use their 800f req.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 22:36:02 UTC
From: twert21@ivorycoast.zoom
Message-ID: <3c72d333.39031193@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51874

On Thu, 14 Feb 2002 02:39:11 -0500 (EST), Zeawolf@webtv.net (Stan
Self) wrote:

>sorry to differ but the captain of the local barracks was issued a basic
>trunk tracking receiver from Bearcat. I saw the box and delivery slip
>from Harrisburg on his desk while cleaning. I didn't mean too but it was
>in the way of my work. I repeat it WILL NOT be digital!
>Best Regards
>~Stan~

It's 110% digital, period!!!!!

2906. Re: Software connect BC895XLT into PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 22:40:34 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020219174034.24923.00001605@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 51875

The only one that I know of that was really free was PC895 and he seems to have pulled the plug on that unless he has just moved his web site. Radio Manager is a really good program that seemed to work much better than demos to other programs that I have tried and it is only 40.00. I intend to get it if I can ever find the time to play with my radios again.
www.dfwalmanac.com/bensware/rm.htm

Cheers,
Rob Mills ~ Tulsa, Okla.

2907. Duluth frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 23:56:39 UTC
From: Jim
Message-ID: <VCBc8.7550$S01.470121@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 51877

Will be in Duluth Mn this summer for a week and would love to be able to listen to the boat traffic. Can anyone give me the ones I need?

Thanks

2908. F/S Vintage Mint Robyn HL 8+8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-19 23:56:49 UTC
From: "T.L.Wilson" <k9xyz@kiva.net>
Message-ID: <a4uokdfma1@topsy.kiva.net>
Source record: 51876

I have a vintage Robyn HL 8+8 scanner with original box and packing for sale. It is in mint condition for age, also includes the d/c cord and original manual, etc.
For info e-mail me. Thanks, T. Wilson
k9xyz@kiva.net

2909. Re: Trunk Tracking, why only RS or Uniden?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 00:43:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <FiCc8.7391$pC1.1322094@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51878

Probably due to legal, financial issues, regarding patents, licenses, etc.

Bill Crocker

"Faive" <ottobonilla@contratacionprivada.com> wrote in message
news:2d2bbca8.0202190946.106b48ef@posting.google.com...
> Right now in the market, if you want an scanner that can follow
> trunked conversations you can buy a RS or Uniden scanner. Oh an a
> Optoelectronics one but you need a computer. Ok, as more and more
> systems are using trunking conversations nowadays is almost useless to
> do scanning without a trunk tracker scanner. So my question is, WHY
> does companies like AOR, ALINCO and ICOM that make professional and
> very high quality scanner or receivers havent offered us yet a trunk
> tracker radio? Why do we have to get stucked between only RS or
> Uniden? Why?!

2910. Re: Pro-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 01:07:55 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3c72f7fb_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 51879

$50.00 is a really good deal I purchased a used one a few months ago for $125.00 As long as it works.You can receive interesting things on the Pro 25 if you do a search between 868.000 mhz and 918.000 mhz.
"Bruce L" <BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net> wrote in message news:4291-3C71B6E6-504@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I have just aquired a Pro-25 scanner. Can anyone tell me if i got a good
> deal for $50, or just taken?
> Thank you in advance
> Bruce
>

2911. Re: Software to decode digital???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 01:18:03 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3c72fa5b_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 51880

If it is Digital Voice Privacy there is NO way to decode it. The code
changes so fast and constantly that unless you have the computer out of the
local police station you are out of luck.
"The GrEaT1" <smittyj77@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:eIxb8.17$UG4.4832389@news.ripnet.com...
> I am pretty sure that they are digital and from what i have heard it sound
> like i am going to have to wait until the digital scanner comes out... or
is
> there any way around it?
> William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns91B76B7E095AEfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
> > "The GrEaT1" <smittyj77@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:uamb8.13$Jj4.3962893@news.ripnet.com:
> >
> > > My local police service is digital, is there any software that will
> > > let me decode it/??
> > >
> > >
> > > Thanks Matt
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Do you mean trunking or digital?
>
>

2912. Re: Pro-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 01:20:31 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <u75ufv27ebd268@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51881

> I have just aquired a Pro-25 scanner. Can anyone tell me if i got a good
> deal for $50, or just taken?
> Thank you in advance

I used to have a Pro-25 and liked it very much. Sold it about a year ago.
Enjoyed that radio, and yes, you got a very good deal.

2913. Uniden BC-3000 FS $170

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 02:00:39 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0202191800.225f1d88@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51882

Nice 3000, works great. All functions work perfect. Has OEM battery pak but ni-cads will need replacement (recommend Nickle Metal Hydride insert)
Slight wear on the BC-3000 logo on top of scanner. With AC charger/Adaptor.

$170 shipped

NEEDS:

New battery insert for exsisting pak ($26) various sources
Antenna, (OEM Uniden is marginal at best)
Manual-Downloadable from Uniden website.

Price is firm.

2914. Re: Duluth frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 02:53:41 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8dEc8.43342$Wf1.8296943@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 51883

Your best bet is to simply scan the marine frequencies. The pleasusre boats as well as the big ships use those frequencies when they are so close to the harbor.
I have done that many times and it can be interesting. If you hit a busy weekend day you will get alot of pleasure boat traffic.

Greg
"Jim jdc503news@attbi.com>" <<nospam> wrote in message news:VCBc8.7550$S01.470121@sccrnsc02...
> Will be in Duluth Mn this summer for a week and would love to be able to
> listen to the boat traffic. Can anyone give me the ones I need?
>
> Thanks
>
>

2915. FS: Pro-39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 02:59:53 UTC
From: TechHead <harothxberg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <JiEc8.16559$rs6.8992783@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 51884

Radio Shack Pro-39 scanner for sale:
Excellent condition, no scratches on case or LCD. Has full 800 Mhz
coverage, no other mods. Includes: rubber duck antenna, AC adapter/charger,
manual, 6 GE Ni-Cad batteries.
Asking $100.00 or best offer.

Email: brothberg@carolina.rr.com

Thanks!
Alan, KU4GO

2916. Re: Software to decode digital???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 03:02:29 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91BAE03ACE047fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 51885

>
>

Do you think when the new APCO scanners come out it will be possible to get alogrithem from those and make a program?

2917. Uniden Bearcat 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 03:04:06 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91BAE080F5C88fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 51886
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ebay 1014503713

2918. For Sale: Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 04:04:18 UTC
From: David Tharrett <davidthNS@NOterraworld.SPAMnet>
Message-ID: <6fFc8.51307$Mv4.3810700398@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51887
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We have reduced the price to $199 !!! Get one while they last.

We have a supply of Optoelectronics 3000A Plus Counters. A very special purchase allows us to offer Optoelectronics 3000APlus top of the line portable frequency counters that are absolutely brand new, complete with accessories, still in the original factory boxes!. See more details at the ebay auction link below. Go to this link for details on purchasing one - http://www.datadeliverydevices.com/opto.htm or you can email me (remove all CAPS from the email address)

The Optoelectronics website has all specs, details
and the pdf manual at http://www.optoelectronics.com/3000a.htm

2919. Re: For Sale: Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 04:50:38 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c732b08$0$18470$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51888
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Go get one. They are super effective, and the 3000A Plus murders my Scout.
What a bargain, even at twice the price (which is what I paid; AUS$1=US$2).

Ruff

"David Tharrett" <davidthNS@NOterraworld.SPAMnet> wrote in message
news:6fFc8.51307$Mv4.3810700398@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
We have reduced the price to $199 !!! Get one while they last.
We have a supply of Optoelectronics 3000A Plus Counters. A very special
purchase allows us to offer Optoelectronics 3000APlus top of the line
portable frequency counters that are absolutely brand new, complete with
accessories, still in the original factory boxes!. See more details at the
ebay auction link below. Go to this link for details on purchasing one -

http://www.datadeliverydevices.com/opto.htm or you can email me (remove all
CAPS from the email address)

The Optoelectronics website has all specs, details and the pdf manual at
http://www.optoelectronics.com/3000a.htm

2920. Sony Wavehawk Scanner Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 05:14:38 UTC
From: Radioguy <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C7330BE.3010205@dccnet.com>
Source record: 51889

Hi there,
Can anyone give me any info on the none PC controlled scanner?...
Is it any good?..
How is it to use?..
What size in comparison with Radio Shack or Bearcat scanners (Pro-43) or a (BC-200XLT)is it?..
I cant seem to find a lot of info on them on the net?..is there any info on the web anywhere?.
Thanks for any help!
-Russ

2921. WANTED: Optolinx, CT17, CT-17, CIV, CI-V, ICOM MANUALS IC-R7100,ICR7100, IC7100, R7100, 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 05:43:44 UTC
From: ddmga@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <296ea75a.0202192143.3c633735@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51890
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur

WANTED: Optolinx, CT17, CT-17, CIV, CI-V, ICOM MANUALS IC-R7100, ICR7100, IC7100, R7100, 7100

DDMGA@YAHOO.COM

2922. OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 06:17:11 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <HbHc8.6335$IG.1845@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51891
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was just wondering if anyone knew of where I can download a free email spider program that I can collect email address from targeting certain types of websites. I would like to use this only for the purpose of promoting my yahoogroups that I moderate.

I do not intend to use this program for monetary gain or commercial advertising.

Randy
Ottawa

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.324 / Virus Database: 181 - Release Date: 2/15/2002

2923. Re: For Sale: Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 08:00:13 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C73578D.581AA954@amsat.org>
Source record: 51892
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

roughplanet wrote:

> AUS$1=US$2.

You wish....

Live Rates as of 2002.02.20 07:55:02 GMT.
1.00 AUD = 0.518030 USD
Australia Dollars United States Dollars

1 AUD = 0.518030 USD
1 USD = 1.93039 AUD

http://www.xe.com/ucc/

~Bart~

2924. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 08:03:33 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C735854.22EC68B8@amsat.org>
Source record: 51893
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Champ wrote:

> I was just wondering if anyone knew of where I can download a free email
> spider program that I can collect email address from targeting certain types
> of websites. I would like to use this only for the purpose of promoting my
> yahoogroups that I moderate.
>
> I do not intend to use this program for monetary gain or commercial
> advertising.
>
> Randy

Whether you intend to make any money or not is irrelevant,
you're just looking to become another spamming asshole,
that the internet would be better off without.

FOAD

~Bart~

2925. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 08:35:42 UTC
From: Sam@Nospam.com
Message-ID: <vmn67u02umilopnmkdrr9jp8a762b3o94u@4ax.com>
Source record: 51894
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try the spamming NGs. Maybe they'll show you the best way to steal
other peoples' email addresses, like mine was.

Hope you don't mind me putting your own email into text for others to
steal Champ@Rogers.com.

You're welcome.

On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 06:17:11 GMT, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
wrote:

>I was just wondering if anyone knew of where I can download a free email
>spider program that I can collect email address from targeting certain types
>of websites. I would like to use this only for the purpose of promoting my
>yahoogroups that I moderate.
>
>I do not intend to use this program for monetary gain or commercial
>advertising.
>
>Randy
>Ottawa
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.324 / Virus Database: 181 - Release Date: 2/15/2002
>

2926. Re: For Sale: Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 08:41:40 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c73612d$0$18468$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51895
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry Bart...a slip of keyboard. Sorry it upset you so much. . I guess you must have bought US$500,000 worth of gold futures based on my mistake. Sue me Yank.

Ruff

<f@l.se> wrote in message news:3C73578D.581AA954@amsat.org...
roughplanet wrote:

AUS$1=US$2.

You wish....

Live Rates as of 2002.02.20 07:55:02 GMT.
1.00 AUD = 0.518030 USD
Australia Dollars United States Dollars

1 AUD = 0.518030 USD
1 USD = 1.93039 AUD

http://www.xe.com/ucc/

~Bart~

2927. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 09:06:11 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <7GJc8.6400$IG.523@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51896
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No Need, that ain't my email address. So, so much for that poor bastard
then I geuess eh.

<Sam@Nospam.com> wrote in message
news:vmn67u02umilopnmkdrr9jp8a762b3o94u@4ax.com...
> Try the spamming NGs. Maybe they'll show you the best way to steal
> other peoples' email addresses, like mine was.
>
> Hope you don't mind me putting your own email into text for others to
> steal Champ@Rogers.com.
>
> You're welcome.
>
> On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 06:17:11 GMT, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I was just wondering if anyone knew of where I can download a free email
> >spider program that I can collect email address from targeting certain
types
> >of websites. I would like to use this only for the purpose of promoting
my
> >yahoogroups that I moderate.
> >
> >I do not intend to use this program for monetary gain or commercial
> >advertising.
> >
> >Randy
> >Ottawa
> >
> >
> >---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.324 / Virus Database: 181 - Release Date: 2/15/2002
> >
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.324 / Virus Database: 181 - Release Date: 2/14/2002

2928. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 12:01:14 UTC
From: Richard Troha <devnull@nwsup.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16dd5098684461959896a0@mail.nwsup.com>
Source record: 51797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <HbHc8.6335$lG.1845@news2.bloor.is>, Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com
says...
> I was just wondering if anyone knew of where I can download a free email
> spider program that I can collect email address from targeting certain types
> of websites. I would like to use this only for the purpose of promoting my
> yahoogroups that I moderate.
>
> I do not intend to use this program for monetary gain or commercial
> advertising.
>
> Randy
> Ottawa

Try the newsgroup news.admin.net-abuse.email. They'll be happy
to help you.

2929. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 12:06:50 UTC
From: Tim <Nightwatch@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3C739167.9D42DA86@comcast.net>
Source record: 51798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Chump wrote:
>
> I was just wondering if anyone knew of where I can download a free email
> spider program that I can collect email address from targeting certain types
> of websites. I would like to use this only for the purpose of promoting my
> yahoogroups that I moderate.
>
> I do not intend to use this program for monetary gain or commercial
> advertising.

If you're rude & stupid enough to post your off-topic question here,
you're rude & stupid enough to abuse the software.

2930. I need a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 13:16:24 UTC
From: joe <gotnitromethane@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c744ad1$0$485$4c41069e@reader1.ash.ops.us.uu.net>
Source record: 51820
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, a buddy of mine wants to know where he can buy a scanner that can pick up cell phones. he now has a bearcat twin turbo
sc 150y. but he wants another one. can you tell me where he can get one to pick up phones and if anyone has one and what models will do it. Thanks

2931. Is Panasonic 900MHz SST insecure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 13:23:15 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0202200523.320378c4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51799
Cross-posted to: misc.consumers,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I've heard that the 2.4GHz GigaRange models use an analog 900MHz
transmitter in the handset.

What about the straight 900MHz models? Are they analog also?

I'd like to buy KX-TC1851B for about $80. Do you recommend something
else?

Thanks,
John

2932. Re: Sony Wavehawk Scanner Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 14:57:33 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nospam.iname.com>
Message-ID: <l6e77us97kno423uo9k9dbilgi2jaiqg67@4ax.com>
Source record: 51800

On Tue, 19 Feb 2002 21:14:38 -0800, Radioguy <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote:

>Hi there,
>Can anyone give me any info on the none PC controlled scanner?...
>Is it any good?..
>How is it to use?..
>What size in comparison with Radio Shack or Bearcat scanners (Pro-43) or
>a (BC-200XLT)is it?..
>I cant seem to find a lot of info on them on the net?..is there any info
>on the web anywhere?.
>Thanks for any help!
>-Russ

The Wavehawk became popular about 2 years ago when it went on
clearance for $89-$99. Many people including myself bought them up.
The radio is an excellent performer. It is also about the size of a
brick. Here is a review of the PC version, which is basically the same
radio with PC control.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=fi&class=recv&num=009

2933. Re: Senss BC245 Interface Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 15:41:50 UTC
From: frater mus <mouse.news@mousetrap.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91BB637B72EE7ssbmousetrapnet@192.168.1.102>
Source record: 51801

18 Feb 2002: "Dave Staples" <dasflies1@home.com> wrote

> Yea im using 2.4.1
>
> Still cant figure this out!

Might want to drop a note on bc245int@yahoogroups.com (I think that's it).
The authors hang out there and usually will respond in a day or two.

--
L.V.X., brother mouse
OTR trades http://www.mousetrap.net/otr/
OTRnap http://www.mousetrap.net/OTRnap/
PGP/GPG pubkey http://www.mousetrap.net/pgp/pubkey.html 0x851E21F0

2934. Re: Sony Wavehawk Scanner Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 15:58:52 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0JPc8.1629$lm1.110744@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51802

If the innards are the same as the PC1, it is the best scanner I have owned.
Very sensitive, NO Intermod in NYC that I can find, great audio.
VERY hard to program, the PC version makes life a lot easier.
"Radioguy" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:3C7330BE.3010205@dccnet.com...
> Hi there,
> Can anyone give me any info on the none PC controlled scanner?...
> Is it any good?..
> How is it to use?..
> What size in comparison with Radio Shack or Bearcat scanners (Pro-43) or
> a (BC-200XLT)is it?..
> I cant seem to find a lot of info on them on the net?..is there any info
> on the web anywhere?.
> Thanks for any help!
> -Russ
>

2935. Icom R2 vs Alinco X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 17:29:45 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <a50me9dom1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 51803

Hello all,

I found some discussion on this topic, but am still finding myself uncertain as to which product I want to buy. I'm looking for a very small scanner with very broad coverage. The Icom R2 and the Alinco X3 both seem to fit the bill. I just can't decide which one to buy. Does anyone have experience with both and, if so, which do you prefer and why?

Thanks.

--
569@72649.266

2936. ctcss tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 17:58:04 UTC
From: "Jim L. Brooks" <jlbrooks@yhti.net>
Message-ID: <u77otcckcbgucb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51804

Franklin county in Missouri uses the ctcss tones. How do I go about finding the ctcss tones

2937. PRO-2036 FS $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 18:03:53 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0202201003.727151b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51805

Mint, PRO-2036 (aka BC-890) AC wall transformer, box, manual, antenna.

$125 shipped

John
Boise, Idaho

2938. Re: Sony Wavehawk Scanner Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 18:24:53 UTC
From: Arlo Janus <arlojanus@aol.com>
Message-ID: <VRRc8.6188$ro5.2359634@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 51807

Yes, the PC version is easier to program than doing it manually, but the Wavehawk software is the biggest piece of crap ever cobbled together by a human.

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:0JPc8.1629$Im1.110744@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> If the innards are the same as the PC1, it is the best scanner I have owned.
> Very sensitive, NO Intermod in NYC that I can find, great audio.
> VERY hard to program, the PC version makes life a lot easier.
> "Radioguy" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3C7330BE.3010205@dccnet.com...
> > Hi there,
> > Can anyone give me any info on the none PC controlled scanner?...
> > Is it any good?..
> > How is it to use?..
> > What size in comparison with Radio Shack or Bearcat scanners (Pro-43) or
> > a (BC-200XLT)is it?..
> > I cant seem to find a lot of info on them on the net?..is there any info
> > on the web anywhere?.
> > Thanks for any help!
> > -Russ
> >
>
>

2939. WTD: Morrison County, MN Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 18:26:31 UTC
From: Mike W <MNMikeW@aolspam.com>
Message-ID: <3c73e826@MAIL.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 51806

Goin up to Mille Lacs this weekend.

2940. FS: Yupiteru MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 18:53:05 UTC
From: fcathell@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020220135305.27073.00002120@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 51808

Yupi MVT-9000 in excellent condition and hardly used. Wide range "dc-to-light" handheld receiver/scanner with AM, FM, SSB, CW modes + more. 500 kHz to 2.2 Gig continuous with cellphone range unblocked (Euro version). With original box, whip antenna, manual & accesories. $315 shipped.

Frank

2941. Re: Icom R2 vs Alinco X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 19:34:39 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a50tkr$3t5uk$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51809

Icom unit is VERY popular. I love mine.

Alinco has inversion (UK ver) if you need that. Universal Radio sells theirs (w/o the inversion) for $250.00. I think Javiation (uk ver w/inversion) should run you bout $180.00

As far as the Icom unit. Try http://www.burghardt-amateur.com/ at $129.99

<tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:a50me9dom1@reader1.panix.com...
> Hello all,
>
> I found some discussion on this topic, but am still finding myself
> uncertain as to which product I want to buy. I'm looking for a very small
> scanner with very broad coverage. The Icom R2 and the Alinco X3 both seem
> to fit the bill. I just can't decide which one to buy. Does anyone have
> experience with both and, if so, which do you prefer and why?
>
> Thanks.
>
> --
> 569@72649.266

2942. BC-3000 REDUCED to $150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 19:49:14 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0202201149.768cefe3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51810

Same radio as posted below.

$150 shipped

2943. Re: For Sale: Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 21:28:25 UTC
From: f@l.se
Message-ID: <3C7414F8.78C555A7@amsat.org>
Source record: 51811
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

roughplanet wrote:

> Sorry Bart...a slip of keyboard. Sorry it upset you so much. . I guess you
> must have bought US$500,000 worth of gold futures based on my mistake. Sue
> me Yank.
>
> Ruff

What good would it do to sue some insolvent joey jammer when the settlement
isn't worth converting.

I just love it when someone's hot button is so close to the surface.

>
>
> <f@l.se> wrote in message news:3C73578D.581AA954@amsat.org...
> roughplanet wrote:
>
> AUS$1=US$2.
>
> You wish....
>
> Live Rates as of 2002.02.20 07:55:02 GMT.
> 1.00 AUD = 0.518030 USD
> Australia Dollars United States Dollars
>
> 1 AUD = 0.518030 USD
> 1 USD = 1.93039 AUD
>
> http://www.xe.com/ucc/
>
> ~Bart~

~Bart~

2944. Icom R2 US Version VS Unblocked Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 21:35:10 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <iEUc8.73242$Hu6.17358630@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 51812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I recently received the following info in an email. Can anyone confirm this info?

"However - a real good reason to buy the unblocked version is sensitivity in the 400 Mhz band. The US cell blocked version has been desensitised in the 400 Mhz band to reduce the intermod. Comparing a Canadian and two US versions side-by-side - same frequency (~490 Mhz WFM TV signal), same antenna, same location, same time shows the Canadian version to be far more sensitive. This is pretty common - Radio Shack did this to the later model PRO-26's when buyers found cell images in the 300 Mhz range. Then buyers figured out how to restore that sensitivity. I suspect that could be done on the US version of the R2..."

DeWayne KE9K

2945. Programme NRD 545

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 21:44:58 UTC
From: Stuart Meredith <Smartmarks@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <a515cqoqb1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51813
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Can anybody tell me how to Programme a number of channels in the 545 using
the pc.

I have NRDWIN

Thanks
Stuart

2946. Sean, of Warren, Michigan?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 21:47:30 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <SPUc8.7681$pC1.1371455@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51814

Hey Sean, if you're still lurking in this group, please send me your e-mail address...I lost it during my move.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

2947. Re: Icom R2 vs Alinco X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 22:01:46 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <a516cajgj1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 51815

: Icom unit is VERY popular. I love mine.

: Alinco has inversion (UK ver) if you need that. Universal Radio sells theirs
: (w/o the inversion) for $250.00. I think Javiation (uk ver w/inversion)
: should run you bout $180.00

Thanks very much for the information and the site. I will be buying from them when it comes time. I
read a little it about inversion and was wondering just how useful it would actually be. The two
scanners are very close in size and in feature set, and in price. This makes it very hard to decide,
heh. They also both have gotten great review, further complicating the matter.

2948. WTB:Scancat Gold for WinXP or copy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 22:46:23 UTC
From: None
Message-ID: <4l987uk62rv5tn4e45e3k092b909oeac65@4ax.com>
Source record: 51816

[No readable article body recovered]

2949. FS: Optoelectronic Scout 40 make me an Offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-20 23:09:37 UTC
From: "Richard A. Tyszka" <rtyszka@snet.net>
Message-ID: <R0Wc8.3084$C66.560719944@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51817
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Basically new in box Scout 40 with the reaction tune cable for the AOR 8200 have , DB32 antenna, 2 AC adapters, box, cable and Manual. Has less that 4 hours total use.

2950. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 00:34:09 UTC
From: richard54@email.com
Message-ID: <3da73545.0202201634.5b2ffde0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

bobnevin@yahoo.com (Bob Nevin) wrote in message
news:<22d0f242.0202170959.3a965b8d@posting.google.com>...
> Bruce or Tracy Jacobs <bljacobs2@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:<3C6FEA74.9BD01841@mchsi.com>...
> > Parents who raise their kids to be responsible won't have to
> > worry about where they are. However, parents are ultimately
> > responsible for their kids' actions by law, and responsible
> > kids will make sure their parents know where they are and
> > with whom they are hanging around. That still doesn't mean
> > that the parents have to know every gritty detail of every
> > second. There is such a thing as moderation
>
> Are we using our resources wisely as a society? In the final analysis,
> law and order is a choice and we need to use our scarce, law enforcement
> resources wisely. But they are wasted in this manner:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/mobaster/victims.htm
>
> Aren't we wasting the opportunity to enforce the law?
>
>
> even in this
> > topic.
> >
> > nospam@nospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > John P Vassel wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in
> > > > news:a4mlo5rip1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca:
> > > >
> > > > > Actually, Dick, that reminds me of something I never thought of that
> > > > > would make any concerned parent rest easy: the parent should keep a
> > > > > radio on too, monitoring the children's frequency.
> > > >
> > > > The main reason we send the kids out with these, is to keep track of them.
> > > > It also allows them the freedom to go to another friends house, and not
> > > > have to come all the way back home to check in with us.
> > > > We always monitor the radio, and the kids go out with fixed channel
> > > > radios. (the older boy had the habit of 'accidently' turning the channel
> > > > and not hearing the call to come home.)
> > > >
> > > > --

> > > > John Vassel
> > > > KC8SKE
> > > > RTFD Volunteer Firefighter
> > >
> > > Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
> > > to go through all that trouble?
> > >
> > > Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
> > >
> > > Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
> > > and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?

absolutely, it's some of their parents...

> > >
> > > Ted

2951. Re: Software to decode digital???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 00:37:05 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <RiXc8.3669$k5.1795973@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51819

> Do you think when the new APCO scanners come out it will be possible to
get
> alogrithem from those and make a program?

No.

2952. Re: BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 01:51:10 UTC
From: chuck_@_latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3c74521f.77911034@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51821

I wouldn't say "none whatsoever" but in my case it's barely noticeable. I haven't bothered to correct it because I hardly ever notice it. The only time I can really hear it is when listening to aircraft or other AM freq's.

On Tue, 5 Feb 2002 17:51:20 -0800, "Randy Larke" <kb9pkg@directvinternet.com> wrote:

>Think of buying one of these. How do they work mobile as far as engine >whine.
>

2953. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 02:30:07 UTC
From: Champ227@rogers.com
Message-ID: <3c745a54.47271016@news.ica.net>
Source record: 51822
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 09:06:11 GMT, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
wrote:

>No Need, that ain't my email address. So, so much for that poor bastard
>then I geuess eh.

No kidding. How about Champ227@rogers.com ??

That better?

Bloody spammers...

Champ227@rogers.com
Champ227@rogers.com
Champ227@rogers.com
Champ227@rogers.com

That should do it.

2954. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 02:47:52 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <sdZc8.7612$lG.2223@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51823
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know what this person is trying to prove here, but, I did not post this part. I know what the proper info is, and the following is not. I don't know why you people go out to try an hurt people, but your just shit.

Anyhow, Like I was saying, all I wanted to do was to collect email addresses to try an promote things, I guess I'll just go back to the usual way an harder way an that is to find the proper style of web sites I am looking for and go to each one of them and invite the webmaster of those sites. I ain't out to cause shit here people, I was just looking for a little input.

Randy.

BTW, here is all the info. pertaining to the following post, in which case, this person just switched there email address with mine, now here is there info, but with my email address. How do I go about reporting them about abusing my email address: The NNTP Posting Host in the following, is not mine.

Randy
AKA "Champ"

Path:
news2.bloor.is!news03.bloor.is!teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!new
s-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.uunet.ca
!nnrp1.uunet.ca.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Champ227@rogers.com
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: OT: Email Spders
Message-ID: <3c745a54.47271016@news.ica.net>
References: <HbHc8.6335$lG.1845@news2.bloor.is>
<vmn67u02umilopnmkdrr9jp8a762b3o94u@4ax.com>
<7GJc8.6400$lG.523@news2.bloor.is>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 18
Date: Thu, 21 Feb 2002 02:30:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.151.131.39
X-Trace: nnrp1.uunet.ca 1014258792 209.151.131.39 (Wed, 20 Feb 2002 21:33:12
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Feb 2002 21:33:12 EST
Organization: UUNET Canada News Reader Service
Xref: news03.bloor.is alt.radio.scanner:4075 rec.radio.scanner:4509
X-Received-Date: Wed, 20 Feb 2002 21:33:12 EST (news2.bloor.is)

<Champ227@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:3c745a54.47271016@news.ica.net...
> On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 09:06:11 GMT, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
> wrote:
>
> >No Need, that ain't my email address. So, so much for that poor bastard
> >then I geuess eh.
>
> No kidding. How about Champ227@rogers.com ??
>
> That better?
>
> Bloody spammers...
>
> Champ227@rogers.com
> Champ227@rogers.com
> Champ227@rogers.com
> Champ227@rogers.com
>
> That should do it.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002

2955. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 02:50:16 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <IfZc8.7615$lG.226@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51824
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Atleast I know that this person I believe is in Canada:

ICA Canada On-Line Inc. (NETBLK-ICA-NET)
2601 Matheson Blvd. E. #29
Mississauga, ON L4W 5A8
CA

Netname: ICA-NET
Netblock: 209.151.128.0 - 209.151.143.255
Maintainer: ICAC

Coordinator:
Dabrowski, Mark (MD710-ARIN) mark@ICA.NET
(905) 624-8566 ext. 5067 (FAX) +1-905-624-5397

Domain System inverse mapping provided by:

THUNDER.ICA.NET 209.151.129.1
HURRICANE.ICA.NET 209.151.129.142

ADDRESSES WITHIN THIS BLOCK ARE NON-PORTABLE

Record last updated on 07-Jul-1998.
Database last updated on 19-Feb-2002 19:57:50 EDT.

"Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote in message
news:sdZc8.7612$lG.2223@news2.bloor.is...
> I don't know what this person is trying to prove here, but, I did not post
> this part. I know what the proper info is, and the following is not. I
> don't know why you people go out to try an hurt people, but your just
shit.
>
> Anyhow, Like I was saying, all I wanted to do was to collect email
> addresses to try an promote things, I guess I'll just go back to the
usual
> way an harder way an that is to find the proper style of web sites I am
> looking for and go to each one of them and invite the webmaster of those
> sites. I ain't out to cause shit here people, I was just looking for a
> little input.
>
> Randy.
>
> BTW, here is all the info. pertaining to the following post, in which
case,
> this person just switched there email address with mine, now here is
there

> info, but with my email address. How do I go about reporting them about
> abusing my email address: The NNTP Posting Host in the following, is not
> mine.
>
> Randy
> AKA "Champ"
>
> Path:
>
news2.bloor.is!news03.bloor.is!teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!new
>
s-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.uunet.ca
> !nnrp1.uunet.ca.POSTED!not-for-mail
> From: Champ227@rogers.com
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
> Subject: Re: OT: Email Spders
> Message-ID: <3c745a54.47271016@news.ica.net>
> References: <HbHc8.6335$lG.1845@news2.bloor.is>
> <vmn67u02umilopnmkdrr9jp8a762b3o94u@4ax.com>
> <7GJc8.6400$lG.523@news2.bloor.is>
> X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
> Lines: 18
> Date: Thu, 21 Feb 2002 02:30:07 GMT
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.151.131.39
> X-Trace: nnrp1.uunet.ca 1014258792 209.151.131.39 (Wed, 20 Feb 2002
21:33:12
> EST)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 20 Feb 2002 21:33:12 EST
> Organization: UUNET Canada News Reader Service
> Xref: news03.bloor.is alt.radio.scanner:4075 rec.radio.scanner:4509
> X-Received-Date: Wed, 20 Feb 2002 21:33:12 EST (news2.bloor.is)
>
>
> <Champ227@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:3c745a54.47271016@news.ica.net...
> > On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 09:06:11 GMT, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >No Need, that ain't my email address. So, so much for that poor
bastard
> > >then I geuess eh.
> >
> > No kidding. How about Champ227@rogers.com ??
> >
> > That better?
> >
> > Bloody spammers...
> >
> > Champ227@rogers.com
> > Champ227@rogers.com

> > Champ227@rogers.com
> > Champ227@rogers.com
> >
> > That should do it.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002

2956. Athens, Ga.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 03:22:02 UTC
From: lippy <lippy4@gte.net>
Message-ID: <uJZc8.3707$ss5.2105@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have the frequencies for Athens, Ga. police and fire.

2957. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 03:27:56 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
Message-ID: <0PZc8.7634$IG.3208@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51826
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to end this whole thread right now, but I just thought I would post what I could find on this person's IP. This is what I found from the deja.com site. If anyone can shed some light as to who this person was that was using my email address that would be great. In the following It does show a name of Pete Stephenson. Is this the person that owns that IP adress.

Your help would be great.
From: Pete Stephenson (pete@heypete.com)
Subject: [email] Ok, if you didn't know about this before then you have to see it!
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.sightings
View: Complete Thread (3 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2001-05-11 14:04:57 PST

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

This spam sent to a tagged address generated specifically for a
single website advertised by spam in the past. The site,
fuckbritney.com requests confirmation for mailing lists, but mail
still is sent from them without confirmation.

In addition, it would appear that they sell their mailing lists.

- -Pete

/// Begin Spammage ///
Received: from mail.sneakemail.com (unknown [64.23.225.151])
by imta07.mta.everyone.net (Postfix) with SMTP id 5823F8A012
for <pete@heypete.com>; Fri, 11 May 2001 13:18:29 -0700 (PDT)
Received: (qmail 2215 invoked from network); 11 May 2001 20:18:24
- -0000
Received: from unknown (HELO boots) (127.0.0.1)
by 127.0.0.1 with SMTP; 11 May 2001 20:18:24 -0000
Received: (qmail 2204 invoked from network); 11 May 2001 20:18:19
- -0000
Received: from unknown (HELO hc18new.net) (209.151.131.39)
by 64.23.225.151 with SMTP; 11 May 2001 20:18:19 -0000
Message-ID: <135800721.989612304382.JavaMail.root@boots>
Date:
From: "gina@hc18new.net [heypete/fuckbritney.com]"
<ticket_2615kc5545zfi52989612301401@sneakemail.com>
To: pete@heypete.com
Subject: Ok, if you didn't know about this before then you have to see it!

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="=200105111252="
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: ticket_erp.v1vo1d2tuzcr916989612301410@sneakemail.com

- --=200105111252=
Content-Type: text/plain;charset=US-ASCII

You're not going to believe this! My boss totally busted me
looking at P0RN, today at work!

Here's how it went down:
Someone sent me an email about this website

www.hardcore18.net/quix

I made sure no one was around, I thought I locked my door (thought
being the keyword here). I got to the 1st page, and damn there was
the hottest girl on the front, she looks like she just turned 18 an
hour ago. The tightest, most perfect body, pouty lips man, no lie,
she is the hottest girl I've seen online!

You don't believe me? Check her out your self at

www.hardcore18.net/quix trust me!

Anyway, my boss walked in as I was gawking at her, then he told me
to come into his office. He proceeded to tell me that he could fire
me right there on the spot! I started to stutter through an excuse
until he interrupted me.

He said, "this time I'll let it slide, on one condition. You must
send me the website that you were looking at, because that girl was
totally amazing!" I smiled and told him, www.hardcore18.net/quix

He gave me a box of tissues and told me to start locking my door!

If you don't want to hear about the other fantastic sites we have
click here

www.hardcore18.net/remove
- --=200105111252=--
/// End Spammage ///

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP Personal Privacy 6.5.8
Comment: PGP Key: <http://www.heypete.com/pgp.txt>

iQA/AwUBOvxTsMZN/hnNBj2mEQLMzwCeOuQ7Nz+fgtvo/8roQzZ3u47x+DMAn1O0
o4NsInzW/woZTMX88HF6MiTb
=JazY
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

==
Pete Stephenson
HeyPete.com

Get 56k access to the internet for only $15/month!
See http://www.heypete.com/ for details.

--
All postings to news.admin.net-abuse.sightings are unconfirmed and
unverified unless stated otherwise by the moderators. All opinions
expressed above are considered the opinions of the original poster,
not the moderators or their respective employers.

For a copy of the guidelines to this group, see:
<URL:http://www.uiuc.edu/~tskirvin/nana/>

<Champ227@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:3c745a54.47271016@news.ica.net...
> On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 09:06:11 GMT, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com>
> wrote:
>
> >No Need, that ain't my email address. So, so much for that poor bastard
> >then I geuess eh.
>
> No kidding. How about Champ227@rogers.com ??
>
> That better?
>
> Bloody spammers...
>
> Champ227@rogers.com
> Champ227@rogers.com
> Champ227@rogers.com
> Champ227@rogers.com
>
> That should do it.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002

2958. Yupiteru 9000 MKII ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 03:47:35 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <r5_c8.74622$Hu6.17664892@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 51827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I would like to hear from Yupi 9000 MKII owners. Pros and cons please.
Thanks!
DeWayne KE9K

2959. Re: For Sale: Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 04:21:03 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c747597$0$18470$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51828
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<f@l.se> wrote in message news:3C7414F8.78C555A7@amsat.org...
roughplanet wrote:

Sorry Bart...a slip of keyboard. Sorry it upset you so much. . I guess you must have bought US$500,000 worth of gold futures based on my mistake. Sue me Yank.

Ruff

What good would it do to sue some insolvent joey jammer when the settlement isn't worth converting.
I just love it when someone's hot button is so close to the surface.

Hot button? Never knew I had one. Didn't even turn a hair when thousands like you melted on September 11. And I'm sure you'd just love it. Pity you'll never get any of it. DUH!

2960. Re: Nextel is Full of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 05:09:41 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <pi%c8.4219$ss5.725@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51829

I can not, owing to some measure of personal ignorance, comment on the total substance of this article. I can say, though, that you have beautifully captured the essence of how the world works. My compliments, indeed. It is so typical that commercial interests and technical ignorance often combine to deny us the fullest benefit of our tax dollars.

<Nextel_Watch@nextel-sucks.org> wrote in message news:24175866.0001332c.022@drn.newsguy.com...
> Public dollars and sense
>
> By Robert H. Schwaninger Jr.
>
> Mobile Radio Technology, Feb 1, 2002
>
>
> Nextel Communications has composed a plan to relocate licensees in the 800MHz
> band. The plan would result in public safety entities obtaining additional
> 800MHz spectrum in a contiguous block, away from the harmful interference
> presently suffered by public safety entities from operation of Nextel's system.
> It is also reported that APCO tacitly approves the plan. (See "Dealing with a
> Rude Neighbor," page 30.)
>
> To those who have faithfully served APCO and its membership, I must humbly and
> with the greatest of respect, inquire: Are you nuts?
>
> The above question is not intended to suggest that neither APCO nor its
> representatives are failing in any regard, but for a momentary lapse of
> perspective. With the bright, shiny prospect of additional spectrum, coupled
> with a possible solution for harmful interference, one might be drawn to this
> fix - particularly when the offer includes $500 million in relocation costs for
> public safety entities.
>
> But step away from the candy counter for a moment, and let's look at this, not
> as radio folks, but from the perspective of the politicians, economists and
> boards that will have to vote on whether public dollars would be spent in

> support of the Nextel agenda. That portion of this equation must be
considered
> if Nextel's plan is to be given a logical assessment.
>
> Say I'm a city manager, and a radio guy comes to me and says, "Guess what.
The
> FCC just ruled that we get some more 800MHz spectrum. Additionally,
Nextel's put
> up $500 mil' to relocate us off of our old 800MHz channels. Whattaya'
think?
> Cool, huh?"
>
> Here's my reply:
>
> "Charlie, we just paid to move from VHF to 800MHz about two years ago.
That move
> cost this city a fortune, especially when we decided to upgrade the
computers,
> consoles and every other darn thing. Now you're telling me that we gotta
move
> again?"
>
> "But this time Nextel's gonna pay the cost . well, part of it."
>
> "What do you mean, part of it?"
>
> "Well, Nextel's paying the first $500 million into a fund to pay for
relocating
> public safety radio systems, but that will be spread around to all of the
> relocating agencies, like us."
>
> "Wait a minute, Charlie. Isn't Nextel the outfit whose cellphones have
been
> tearing up our communications over on Dover Street and down along
Broadway?"
>
> "Yeah. Their system causes adjacent channel interference to our radios.
We've
> been after them to fix it for a while, but so far they keep blaming our
radios."
>
> "So, let me get this straight. This city goes out and spends a couple of
million
> on a new radio system and within two years after it's installed, Nextel is
> telling us that our radios are lousy? How can that be?"
>
> "Well, sir, the answer is pretty technical, but mainly it has to do with
the
> fact that their digital system is using channels along side of our analog
system

> and there is a question of whether the two systems are compatible in that
> environment."
>
> "Did we know about this possible problem before we bought our system?"
>
> "Well, technically we knew about it. But we thought Nextel would build its
> system to avoid the problem. They didn't, so there you are."
>
> "So now Nextel's answer is to move us to another spot in the 800MHz band,
using
> some portion of money in a $500 million fund, and we're supposed to live
happily
> ever after?"
>
> "That's about right."
>
> "Charlie, you've put me in a difficult position. First, I gotta tell the
city
> council that the millions I got them to spend on our present system may
not have
> been a great idea. Second, I have to tell them that Nextel is beating up
our
> system, but we aren't doing anything to make Nextel fix it and they aren't
> offering us any money directly to fix the problem. Instead, we might have
to
> move again to other frequencies and some of the cost of moving might be
offset
> from Nextel's fund, when we don't even know how much money we're talking
about
> and how many other agencies will be drawing from the fund."
>
> "That's about it, but ."
>
> "Charlie, have you seen our tax receipt numbers? Revenue to the city is
down
> during a recession and we don't have a lot of extra dough for retuning
radios.
> We already had to cancel the new high school project because the budget
can't
> take it."
>
> "I see what you mean, sir, but there is a long-term solution."
>
> "What is it?"
>
> "We just move to 700MHz when the equipment becomes available."
>
> "Charlie, with all due respect to Marconi, how am I going to sell the city
> council on the idea of one move, much less two? Let's try this. How about
we

> just get Nextel to fix the problem on the present channels? Their problem.
Let
> them fix it."
>
> "But, sir, then we don't get the additional spectrum that is part of the
deal."
>
> "Charlie, let me give you a free lesson in government. It isn't about
spectrum.
> Frequencies don't vote. It's about having a budget that's big enough to
solve
> problems, improve infrastructure and support education. John Q. Public
does not
> give a fig about . what's it? . adjacent channel interference. He wants
better
> schools, less congested roads and more parks. And without money, all of
the
> spectrum in the world doesn't mean squat."
>
> "So, what should we do?"
>
> "Tell Nextel to send us a fat check, get the hell off of the frequencies
that
> are tearing up our system and let them fix their own problems."
>
> "They say they won't do that."
>
> "Then get me Congressman Stampferd on the phone and when I'm done talking
to
> him, let me talk to Sam Jenkins at the City Gazette. Let's see who
communicates
> best in this town."
>
>
> ---

>
> Schwaninger, MRT's regulatory consultant, is the principal in the law firm
of
> Schwaninger & Associates, Washington, which is counsel to Small Business
in
> Telecommunications. Schwaninger is also a fellow of the Radio Club of
America.
> His email address is rschwaninger@sa-laywers.net.
>
>
>

2961. Re: everyone gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 05:12:39 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <bl%c8.4240$ss5.2524@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51830
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Emilio does it again! I applaud your talent, E.G., for economy of language
and effective expression! One I wish I wish I possessed myself.

Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:i9bc8.80383$fK1.4211078@rwcrnsc54...
> Pardon my intrusion.
> Is the only company left on the internet the one that sells the tiny video
> cameras?
>
>

2962. Best Software For AR3000a ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 05:42:09 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a521bh$7n8$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51831

Forgetting price for a minute, what's the best control software for the A3000a ?

If you make a recommendation, could you say whether you actually bought the software or whether you're recommending it based on indirect experience of it.

Please try to include webpage links if you know them.

Many thanks for your help.

Regards,

TM.

2963. Re: Looking at High End

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 06:38:16 UTC
From: Neil Blumenfield <nblumenfield@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <sB0d8.2764$Ls4.1789928722@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

eric,
i would defintely like to see the comparison.
neil
"Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
news:3C71C76B.416AA164@utoronto.ca...
> "Eric F. Richards" wrote:
> > Passport a couple of years back put them [R8500 & AR5000] side-by-side
in a test.
> > If you are interested I can find and summarize that report...
>
> As an R8500 owner, I'd be interested Eric. Thanks.
>
> --
> Michael Moore

2964. Re: Icom R2 vs Alinco X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 07:12:53 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a526i1$40qtd$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51833

I wish they could list scanning speeds. Both are PC programmable which is
almost neccessary in my opinion. I would try to NOT get a radio w/o it now.

<tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:a516cajgj1@reader1.panix.com...
> : Icom unit is VERY popular. I love mine.
>
> : Alinco has inversion (UK ver) if you need that. Universal Radio sells
theirs
> : (w/o the inversion) for $250.00. I think Javiation (uk ver w/inversion)
> : should run you bout $180.00
>
> Thanks very much for the information and the site. I will be buying from
them when it comes time. I
> read a little it about inversion and was wondering just how useful it
would actually be. The two
> scanners are very close in size and in feature set, and in price. This
makes it very hard to decide,
> heh. They also both have gotten great review, further complicating the
matter.

2965. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 12:56:48 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0202210456.1e8c58d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51834
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

nospam@nospam.com wrote in message news:<3C6F3224.2805928A@nospam.com>...

> Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
> to go through all that trouble?
>
> Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
>
> Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
> and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
>
> Ted

Yes, Ted, I remember when "kids used to be kids, and life was easy".

I also remember NEVER reading in the newspapers about a childs
lifeless body being found after being kidnapped. Times have changed.
You can change with the times or suffer the consequences.

2966. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 13:29:45 UTC
From: Kevin <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <a52so9$og7$1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca>
Source record: 51835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In alt.radio.family Randy A. Hefner <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote:
> I also remember NEVER reading in the newspapers about a childs
> lifeless body being found after being kidnapped. Times have changed.
> You can change with the times or suffer the consequences.

To be fair, though, I wonder if it has really increased that much, or if it only appears to have increased now that we get instant news and nobody's afraid to report the slightest thing (or benefit from a lawsuit from the slightest things)...

You gotta wonder, over the past centuries, just how many kids were molested or abused, but never reported it because they were too scared, or didn't realize it was wrong, or accepted it as part of growing up, ... stuff we'd never put up with today.

--
Kevin

2967. Re: Yupiteru 9000 MKII ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 13:52:16 UTC
From: Piotr Balcerzak <bpiotrek@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kY6d8.17332$X2.196888@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 51836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:r5_c8.74622$Hu6.17664892@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> I would like to hear from Yupi 9000 MKII owners. Pros and cons please.
> Thanks!
> DeWayne KE9K
>

Pros and cons - regarding what? SW performance?
Scanner performance? Reliability? Features?

As for SW receiver: better buy Sony SW7600G (or similar)
- much cheaper and much better performance (however
it still has the one of the best HF performance among portable
scanners).

As for portable scanner: well designed, well built, many features,
good performance (but AR8200 MkII is better on UHF), very
easy to use. But: 2 sec fixed delay (can be only changed it to 4 sec),
no PC interface, heavy, doesn't track trunking. (And eats batteries,
but most of the scanners do that.)

Piotr

2968. Re: Athens, Ga.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 14:43:17 UTC
From: Larry <YZTFEXXAQNPS@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <9l7d8.3221$lm1.245487@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51837
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.cityfreq.com:81/

"lippy" <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
news:uJZc8.3707$ss5.2105@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> Anyone have the frequencies for Athens, Ga. police and fire.
>
>

2969. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 14:54:49 UTC
From: Tom <TomBeasley@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u7a2at7q8vk2e4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51838
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

"Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote in message
news:b03b87e2.0202210456.1e8c58d3@posting.google.com...
> nospam@nospam.com wrote in message news:<3C6F3224.2805928A@nospam.com>...
>
> > Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
> > to go through all that trouble?
> >
> > Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
> >
> > Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
> > and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
> >
> > Ted
>
>
> Yes, Ted, I remember when "kids used to be kids, and life was easy".
>
> I also remember NEVER reading in the newspapers about a childs
> lifeless body being found after being kidnapped. Times have changed.
> You can change with the times or suffer the consequences.

Tom: Is that so? I guess someone should have told that to the Lindbergh's.

2970. Re: Icom R2 US Version VS Unblocked Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 17:33:14 UTC
From: twert21@ivorycoast.zoom
Message-ID: <3c752f58.11256385@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I think I remember hearing something like that before. Icom and at least one more company hurt the performence of the cell blocked receivers instead of blocking them out the "right" way. If given a chance, I'll always get the import version rather than buy the crippled american version.

>I recently received the following info in an email. Can anyone confirm this
>info?
>
>"However - a real good reason to buy the unblocked version is
>sensitivity in the 400 Mhz band. The US cell blocked version has been
>desensitised in the 400 Mhz band to reduce the intermod. Comparing a
>Canadian and two US versions side-by-side - same frequency (~490 Mhz
>WFM TV signal), same antenna, same location, same time shows the
>Canadian version to be far more sensitive. This is pretty common -
>Radio Shack did this to the later model PRO-26's when buyers found
>cell images in the 300 Mhz range. Then buyers figured out how to
>restore that sensitivity. I suspect that could be done on the US
>version of the R2..."
>
>DeWayne KE9K
>
>

2971. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 18:46:54 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0202211046.14fd2cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51840
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

"Tom" <TomBeasley@charter.net> wrote in message >
> Tom: Is that so? I guess someone should have told that to the Lindbergh's.

Next time READ the message more carefully before responding...I said
"I" and since I am not old enough to have seen the newspapers that
long ago, "I" never have read this in the newspapers. Regardless, you
seem to skate around the point. Things HAVE changed.

2972. Uniden 590XLT Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 19:14:38 UTC
From: Flingel Bunt <flingel@hotmale.com>
Message-ID: <XGbd8.9076$Ah1.686168@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 51841
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I've got an old Uniden Bearcat 590XLT, roughly 10 -12 years old.
It's lost all sound, from both internal & external speakers.
Still picking signals, just no sound.
Now not being an expert, I presume the Pot for the volume has failed.
Is replacement an option, are replacements available?
Is it worth repairing or should I just scrap it?
Could it be something else?

Cheers,
Flingel.

2973. Re: Looking at High End

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 19:32:14 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <1rfa7ug3ggc8eiudu0eiqkulegki0su9ko@4ax.com>
Source record: 51650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Neil Blumenfield" <nblumenfield@bigfoot.com> wrote:

> eric,
> i would defintely like to see the comparison.
> neil
> "Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
> news:3C71C76B.416AA164@utoronto.ca...
> > "Eric F. Richards" wrote:
> > > Passport a couple of years back put them [R8500 & AR5000] side-by-side
> in a test.
> > > If you are interested I can find and summarize that report...
> >
> > As an R8500 owner, I'd be interested Eric. Thanks.
> >
> > --
> > Michael Moore
>

Okay. I'll have to dig it up, or Larry himself can
post it. (He reads but doesn't post for some reason.)

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dim.com
"The most likely way for the world to be destroyed, most
experts agree, is by accident. That's where we come in;
we're computer professionals. We cause accidents."
- Nathaniel S. Borenstein

2974. Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 19:38:20 UTC
From: twert21@ivorycoast.zoom
Message-ID: <3c754c93.18739305@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51842

Can this be defeated and how?

2975. Re: I need a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 20:03:44 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020221150344.11244.00000056@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51843

>Subject: I need a scanner
>From: "joe" gotnitromethane@hotmail.com
>Date: 2/20/2002 7:16 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3c744ad1$0$485$4c41069e@reader1.ash.ops.us.uu.net>
>
>Hi, a buddy of mine wants to know where he can buy a scanner that can pick
>up cell phones. he now has a bearcat twin turbo
>sc 150y. but he wants another one. can you tell me where he can get one to
>pick up phones and if anyone has one and what models will do it. Thanks

Canada.

2976. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 21:13:19 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0202211313.21920abf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51846
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<a52so9$og7$1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca>...
> In alt.radio.family Randy A. Hefner <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote:
> > I also remember NEVER reading in the newspapers about a childs
> > lifeless body being found after being kidnapped. Times have changed.
> > You can change with the times or suffer the consequences.
>
> To be fair, though, I wonder if it has really increased that much, or if
> it only appears to have increased now that we get instant news and
> nobody's afraid to report the slightest thing (or benefit from a lawsuit
> from the slightest things)...

Yes, as little as 40 years ago, even the most public officials like
the President could bring whores into the White House without anybody
raising an eyebrow... now he has trouble sneaking a little consential
number from an intern in the hallway.

> You gotta wonder, over the past centuries, just how many kids were
> molested or abused, but never reported it because they were too scared, or
> didn't realize it was wrong, or accepted it as part of growing up, ...
> stuff we'd never put up with today.

Yes, I agree with these points... crime is actually down all over the
nation compared to 10 or even 50 years ago. The public has been
programmed to "FREAK OUT" over any little incident. The reason that
these events are NEWS, is because they are so rare - if they happened
all the time, nobody would report on them, because the public wouldn't
pay attention to them... because they wouldn't be "sensational"... the
public craves "sensationalism".

With instant communications and sensationalism, things aren't getting
worse - they're actually getting better... it just APPEARS that things
are getting worse.

2977. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 21:20:10 UTC
From: Tom <TomBeasley@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u7aot8465ksafb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

"Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote in message
news:b03b87e2.0202211046.14fd2cd@posting.google.com...
> "Tom" <TomBeasley@charter.net> wrote in message >
> > Tom: Is that so? I guess someone should have told that to the
Lindbergh's.
>
>
> Next time READ the message more carefully before responding...I said
> "I" and since I am not old enough to have seen the newspapers that
> long ago, "I" never have read this in the newspapers. Regardless, you
> seem to skate around the point. Things HAVE changed.

Tom: I didn't read about this either, but it happened. Did I say you read
it? I never understood why this thread was here anyway but as long as it is
you might as well put a little truth in it.

2978. Re: Pro-25 Thank you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 21:41:44 UTC
From: BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3185-3C756998-175@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 51750

Thank you all for the answers to my post. I hope it performs as well as you all said.

Bruce

2979. Re: Programme NRD 545

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 22:08:30 UTC
From: marula31@hootmail.com
Message-ID: <3c756ad1.1128463@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 51748
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 21:44:58 +0000 (UTC), "Stuart Meredith"
<Smartmarks@btopenworld.com> wrote:

> Hi,
>
>Can anybody tell me how to Programme a number of channels in the 545 using
>the pc.

I have written a program to manage memories in the '545.

Runs in a DOS box. .EXE file.

--
J+

2980. Re: General Electric Base CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 22:15:13 UTC
From: Wayne <wayneleake@wayneleake.net>
Message-ID: <u7asbhl10bp0e6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51749
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I used to work on those, under warranty.
They are not all that great a unit in actual fact.
Not bad, but far from the best of the time.
I wouldn't give more that $100.00 for one, if I wanted one.
Far better units out there now.

"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ompV7.25974$Fu6.11590678@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com...
> Saw a new in box one on eBay go big money a while ago, nearly 400, but
real
> world price for a good used example is in the 200 or thereabouts price
> range.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Dick wrote in message ...
> >I have a GE base station that is sideband and am band.This radio is the
one
> >with two antenna inputThe Model is SSB SuperBase with 80 SSB and 40 AM.
> >I do know its a collectors radio,but I am trying to find out what it is
> >worth.I was interested in selling it for the right offer.It is in great
> >shape and never been modified .It has a hand mike and a base desk mike
with
> >it.If interested,please email me as I don't watch the groups that much.
> >My email is: n1rsp@qsl.net.
> >
> >
>
>

2981. F/S Great deal on a 1 week780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 22:54:58 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <6Ved8.47$s03.13174@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Greetings. I have a 1 week old 780xlt complete in the box with receipt purchased by me via mail order. Works as new and about an hour use. I don't understand trunking and would just like a regular base scanner. I will only consider a trade on a like new 2006 in the box with original antenna and manual. No other trades or the scanner is yours for $275 including shipping UPS in the US. I accept mo/checks (clear).
Here is a link to a pic http://members.home.net/bpk8227/pics_002.jpg
Please reply to bpk8227@cox.net

2982. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 23:12:31 UTC
From: Bart Bailey <f@l.se>
Message-ID: <3C757EDF.47DF285F@amsat.org>
Source record: 51752
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Champ wrote:

> I don't know what this person is trying to prove here, but, I did not post
> this part. I know what the proper info is, and the following is not. I
> don't know why you people go out to try an hurt people, but your just shit.
>
> Anyhow, Like I was saying, all I wanted to do was to collect email
> addresses to try an promote things, I guess I'll just go back to the usual
> way an harder way an that is to find the proper style of web sites I am
> looking for and go to each one of them and invite the webmaster of those
> sites. I ain't out to cause shit here people, I was just looking for a
> little input.
>
> Randy.

A better way of promoting your site,
than email spamming a bunch of people,
is to include a meta tag with keywords into your site,
so search engines will hit on it more readily.

~Bart~

2983. FS GE SuperBase Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-21 23:15:41 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xcfd8.8031$xs1.2573223@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale or swap a GE SuperBase sidebander radio with the two antenna ports on it.I am looking for reasonable offer shipped from Mass.I am intereseted in a trade for a good police scanner.Email me if interested.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.323 / Virus Database: 180 - Release Date: 2/8/2002

2984. FCC Database?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 00:04:06 UTC
From: Just Me <NobodyzHome@here.net>
Message-ID: <UMfd8.794$2v1.49014@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51755

Can someone post the address for the FCC frequency database? My system went TU and I lost my bookmark.

Thanks in advance

2985. Re: Athens, Ga.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 00:07:38 UTC
From: b7spectra <b7spectra@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <a53nk1$o4k$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51845
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

www.ScanAtlanta.com

--

/\/\|k.e

Webmaster
www.ScanAtlanta.com

mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@scanatlanta.com

"lippy" <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
news:uJZc8.3707$ss5.2105@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> Anyone have the frequencies for Athens, Ga. police and fire.
>
>

2986. Re: Athens, Ga.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 00:08:15 UTC
From: b7spectra <b7spectra@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <a53nkt$3ak$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51844
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

www.ScanAtlanta.com

--

/\/\|k.e

Webmaster
www.ScanAtlanta.com

mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@scanatlanta.com

"lippy" <lippy4@gte.net> wrote in message
news:uJZc8.3707$ss5.2105@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> Anyone have the frequencies for Athens, Ga. police and fire.
>
>

2987. Re: FCC Database?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 00:15:10 UTC
From: Terrence <terrence@asdfasdfas.com>
Message-ID: <i4gd8.10659$S01.543490@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 51756

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

"Just Me" <NobodyzHome@here.net> wrote in message
news:UMfd8.794$2v1.49014@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Can someone post the address for the FCC frequency database? My system
went
> TU and I lost my bookmark.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
>
>

2988. Re: FCC Database?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 00:24:11 UTC
From: Just Me <NobodyzHome@here.net>
Message-ID: <K3gd8.811$2v1.53868@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51757

Thanks.

"Terrence" <terrence@asdfasdfas.com> wrote in message
news:i4gd8.10659$S01.543490@sccrnsc02...
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
>
>
> "Just Me" <NobodyzHome@here.net> wrote in message
> news:UMfd8.794$2v1.49014@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > Can someone post the address for the FCC frequency database? My system
> went
> > TU and I lost my bookmark.
> >
> > Thanks in advance
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2989. this is sold- thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 00:28:41 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Zggd8.272$s03.24131@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:6Ved8.47$s03.13174@news2.east.cox.net...
> Greetings. I have a 1 week old 780xlt complete in the box with receipt
> purchased by me via mail order. Works as new and about an hour use. I
don't
> understand trunking and would just like a regular base scanner. I will
only
> consider a trade on a like new 2006 in the box with original antenna and
> manual. No other trades or the scanner is yours for $275 including
shipping
> UPS in the US. I accept mo/checks (clear).
> Here is a link to a pic http://members.home.net/bpk8227/pics_002.jpg
> Please reply to bpk8227@cox.net
>
>

2990. J.I.L. SX-400 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 00:39:01 UTC
From: "T. Sanders" <tsanders@rtccom.net>
Message-ID: <qivd8.105$hT5.158797@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 51705

I have acquired a J.I.L. SX-400 scanner...without a power cord. Does anyone know where I can obtain one? If one is not available, are there any "fixes" I can do to power it up? Thanks for the help.
T.

2991. J.I.L. SX-400 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 00:39:01 UTC
From: "T. Sanders" <tsanders@rtccom.net>
Message-ID: <WAfd8.259$%35.226987@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 51754

I have acquired a J.I.L. SX-400 scanner...without a power cord. Does anyone know where I can obtain one? If one is not available, are there any "fixes" I can do to power it up? Thanks for the help.
T.

2992. Want Winradio Type Software For Icom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 00:51:51 UTC
From: ddmga@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <296ea75a.0202211651.72c9800d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51759
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur

I just got an Icom IC-R7100 receiver. I used to have a Winradio
Wr1000i. Is there a software package out there for the Icom that is
as good or better than the Winradio software?

Thanks for any help!

-David DDMGA@YAHOO.COM

2993. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 01:13:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0202211713.3f414131@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51760

twert21@ivorycoast.zoom (TDD) wrote in message news:<3c754c93.18739305@news.teranews.com>...
> Can this be defeated and how?

If you mean voice inversion, yes. Ramsey (in Rochester, NY) sells a kit (I think it's the SS-70) that will un-invert it. If you modify it slightly with a potentiometer, you can adjust the frequency to decypher non-standard inversions, too.

2994. Re: ham radio operators excempt from scanner laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 01:22:51 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%Ygd8.884$2v1.73015@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.legal

I live in NC, and I am NOT aware of any State statute that makes listening
to any radio comms
illegal. Except, of course, for the Federal restriction on cellular
monitoring. There are no *Local* statutes I know of, either, and it isn't
illegal for an ordinary citizen to have a scanner in
his vehicle so long as he does not use what he hears for monetary gain, nor
reveals what he hears to 3rd parties, OR to evade capture while committing a
crime.

Jerry

Jeff Workman <jworkman@pimpworks.org> wrote in message
news:ajn0y6a6h8.fsf@beryllium.cary.cw.net...
> "rtretretertretertertertertert" <etretretretertre@ertertetetetet.net>
writes:
>
>
> >
> > Beats me ... the Federal FCC Ruling that prohibits States for
> > passing laws interfering with the "right" of US citizens
> > to receive any radio spectrum transmission from any source
> > has been continually pre-empted by zealous/fascist States like
> > North Carolina and Virginia ... any surprise that its the "commonweal"
> > states that jack-boot this prohibition.
>
> Can you (or somebody else) please refer me to the North Carolina statute
that
> would make them "fascist" wrt scanner laws? The only law I'm aware of (at
> the state level) regarding scanners is that it's illegal to use a scanner
> to aid in committing a crime.
>
> Jeff
>
> --
> Jeff Workman | jworkman@pimpworks.org | http://www.pimpworks.org
>

2995. Milton Vermont hamfest Feb. 23

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 01:29:31 UTC
From: John Grow <jgrow@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <s9hd8.22243$Lc2.2627791@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 51762

Scanner Listeners, check out the radios, and oter related things at Milton, Vermont
Please see http://www.ranv.org/milton.html for more details

Please see http://www.ranv.org/milton.html for more details

2996. Amusement pks (WDW?) Rules on Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 01:34:01 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C75A009.986553D9@analon.com>
Source record: 51764

I have gone to Disneyland in Anaheim, Universal Studios (Burbank), Magic Mountain (Valencia), Knotts Berry Farm (Buena Park), Sea World (San Diego), Great America (San Jose) all with my scanner. I usually brought along an ear piece (ear phone) and either put the scanner in my pants or jacket pocket. I did not have any problem at any of the places noted.. made some interesting listing

Disneyland Security 464.325, 464.4625 (search 464.0 to 465.0)
Knotts Berry 462.1625, 151.655
Magic Mountain 154.54, 151.855, 461.4375
Sea World 156.70, 156.80, 464.4375, 464.2125, 151.515
Great America 490.1625, 466.25

Bruce or Tracy Jacobs wrote:

> Does Disney World allow scanners in the park? Do they allow
> scanners simply in the hotel room ... if they don't see it?
>
> TIA,
> Bruce

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

2997. Re: ham radio operators excempt from scanner laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 01:34:06 UTC
From: "Ray Gordon, GENIUS" <ray@cybersheet.com>
Message-ID: <a5477g$1bv$0@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 51763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.legal

> I live in NC, and I am NOT aware of any State statute that makes listening
> to any radio comms
> illegal. Except, of course, for the Federal restriction on cellular
> monitoring. There are no *Local* statutes I know of, either, and it isn't
> illegal for an ordinary citizen to have a scanner in
> his vehicle so long as he does not use what he hears for monetary gain,
nor
> reveals what he hears to 3rd parties, OR to evade capture while committing
a
> crime.

I'm sure that last section is a real deterrent!

2998. Re: FCC Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 01:36:52 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C75A0B4.64B223A3@analon.com>
Source record: 51765

I have a FCC Masterfile CD..... what do you want ???
I can look up what you are instered in, compress the file and send
it.... I use LHA for compression... easier to use than PKZIP......

jfaul@bellsouth.net wrote:

> How do you I access the frequency database for Florida. I have been
> on the fcc.gov dataabse and can't figure out how this thing works.
>
> Thanks,
>
> jfaul@bellsouth.net

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

2999. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 02:00:01 UTC
From: rstevew@deeptht.armory.com
Message-ID: <3c75a621$0$36736$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net>
Source record: 51766
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In article <b03b87e2.0202210456.1e8c58d3@posting.google.com>,
Randy A. Hefner <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote:
>nospam@nospam.com wrote in message news:<3C6F3224.2805928A@nospam.com>...
>
>> Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
>> to go through all that trouble?
>>
>> Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
>>
>> Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
>> and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
>>
>> Ted
>
>
>Yes, Ted, I remember when "kids used to be kids, and life was easy".
>
>I also remember NEVER reading in the newspapers about a childs
>lifeless body being found after being kidnapped. Times have changed.
> You can change with the times or suffer the consequences.

If you teach your kids about everything then they won't step in doo-doo.
Most people don't do this. Kids control themselves, and if told the truth
from day one they do it much better than you ever could!
Steve

3000. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 02:07:26 UTC
From: rstevew@deeptht.armory.com
Message-ID: <3c75a7dd$0$79558$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net>
Source record: 51767
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In article <d51a7u0cg2bmcf9m1lns1g80bu62289vuu@4ax.com>,
Mark <no@mail.com> wrote:
>On Sun, 17 Feb 2002 15:33:46 GMT, Bruce or Tracy Jacobs <bljacobs2@mchsi.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Parents who raise their kids to be responsible won't have to
>>worry about where they are.
>
>I don't think that was ever the question.
>
>It's worrying about who ELSE may be where their kids are. Something that
>cannot be controlled by the parents or children. Lotta sickos out there....

No, there aren't, that's mostly a television myth. But if you teach your
kids from day one the absolute truth about people and the world they will
do a much better job of taking care of themselves than you could ever do!

You teach them about sex by leaving your bedroom door open, and you explain
everything to them about what some people do and why they want to do that,
and you tell your kids how to not get fooled and dragged away, how not to
ever get taken to a second location where they get hurt or die.

Only kids whose parents don't teach them anything about sex are in danger!
Steve

3001. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 02:32:16 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <rdbb7u0a9j8pjcfr2vtbkj7o2vd895s026@4ax.com>
Source record: 51768

Or get a program FREE on the net that does the same...

On 21 Feb 2002 17:13:34 -0800, richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
wrote:

>twert21@ivorycoast.zoom (TDD) wrote in message
news:<3c754c93.18739305@news.teranews.com>...
>> Can this be defeated and how?
>
>If you mean voice inversion, yes. Ramsey (in Rochester, NY) sells a
>kit (I think it's the SS-70) that will un-invert it. If you modify it
>slightly with a potentiometer, you can adjust the frequency to
>decypher non-standard inversions, too.

3002. Re: CE-232 vs. OS-456 Lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 02:35:21 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@sympNOCRAPatico.ca>
Message-ID: <f7bb7u863aqb6p2i40m1fua87nuncl1kq8@4ax.com>
Source record: 51769
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well RIch you have it all wrong the CE-232 does not only PROGRAM the scanner, but it gives you full computer control of the scanner and the memory that are in it, something the os456 will NOT DO!!!

I think you have the CE-232 mixed up with the Programmit, all it does is program the scanner and nothing else, thing is if you are going to modify the scanner for the programmit interface why not spend a little extra time and add the few more wires for the CE-232 and have a real computer controlled scanner!!!!!!!!!!

If you have the regular 400 channel scanner it will allow you to program all 400 channels, if you have upgraded it to 6,400 or 25,000 channels it will program all of them!!!!!
CE-232 will allow you to log and search and store.

For more info on what can be done with the CE-232 look here

http://www3.sympatico.ca/len.c/

Cheers

Len

On Mon, 18 Feb 2002 20:11:42 -0600, "Rich Carlson, N9JIG"
<rich@n9jig.com> wrote:

>In article <Xns91B9BE250D507fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>,
> William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:
>
>> Which of these two are better or are they both similar?
>
>2 totally different concepts. The CE232 loads a scanner with frequencies
>and is not used to control operation of the radio. The OS456 adds
>computer control to the radio but when not in use allows the radio to be
>used in the regular mode. It does not load freqs into the radio for use
>without the computer.
>
>If you want a way to log hits or use the computer to control the radio
>then the OS456 is for you.
>
>If you want to load up your scanner for later use without the computer
>then you will want the CE232.
>
>The OS456 is a cinch to install, it can be done in 20 minutes with no
>soldering. The CE232 is more complicated and requires soldering etc.

REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

3003. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 02:40:05 UTC
From: Peter Gozinya <Peter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <210220022040050237%Peter@hotmail.com>
Source record: 51770

In article <3c754c93.18739305@news.teranews.com>, TDD
<twert21@ivorycoast.zoom> wrote:

> Can this be defeated and how?

Disney lawyers prohibit decryption of the Donald Duckï¿½ scrambler. You
can try with a Mickey Mouse phone, but it would just sound Goofy.

3004. Re: CE-232 vs. OS-456 Lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 02:50:03 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@sympNOCRAPatico.ca>
Message-ID: <bbcb7uggno5kj8p4e36tnro1fjn198ttng@4ax.com>
Source record: 51771
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you use the os456 you have no control over your scanner or its memory, once the computer is disconnected you loose all channels that you had programmed, in fact when you shut down the software it reverts back to a regular scanner and what ever was programmed into its 400, 6,400 or 25,000 channels.

On Tue, 19 Feb 2002 02:47:04 -0000, William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:

>William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in
>news:Xns91B9BE250D507fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101:
>
>> Which of these two are better or are they both similar?
>
>Does the OS-456 load the freq into scanner memory or use the computer?
>Essentially making the scanner part of it?

REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

3005. Re: Wanted: RS PRO-43 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 02:58:53 UTC
From: Lou Berry <Combatdog@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u7bcvhfkcg704d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51772

Richard,

I have one and will copy it for you. Send me your address and I'll get it off to you in a couple days.

Lou

3006. Re: Icom R2 US Version VS Unblocked Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 03:29:37 UTC
From: Melv <melv7956@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <HVid8.8050$hM6.1076649@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 51774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

If you are state side why not visit www.radioscanners.co.uk check out the UK spec shipping prices .
Melv
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UKMidlandscanner
http://www.ukmidlandscanner.co.uk

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:iEUc8.73242$Hu6.17358630@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> I recently received the following info in an email. Can anyone confirm this
> info?
>
> "However - a real good reason to buy the unblocked version is
> sensitivity in the 400 Mhz band. The US cell blocked version has been
> desensitised in the 400 Mhz band to reduce the intermod. Comparing a
> Canadian and two US versions side-by-side - same frequency (~490 Mhz
> WFM TV signal), same antenna, same location, same time shows the
> Canadian version to be far more sensitive. This is pretty common -
> Radio Shack did this to the later model PRO-26's when buyers found
> cell images in the 300 Mhz range. Then buyers figured out how to
> restore that sensitivity. I suspect that could be done on the US
> version of the R2..."
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

3007. North NJ scanning, state police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 03:30:27 UTC
From: James Lee <jwl@superlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C75BB53.3F60@superlink.net>
Source record: 51773

Hope some one can help here.

Just got my BC7800 and I have been trying to track Troop B (North) of the NJ State Police. I have the scanner working just fine. At least until the control channel heads south. I have all 12 published frequencies entered and at times there is no hint of the control channel. A little later it comes back and the trunking works just fine. With the control channel missing, as I step through the frequencies, I do hear the normal communications (mostly state police).

Anyone out side NJ, this system is the Motorola Type IIii Hybrid Smartnet.

Makes me think there is another frequency that this system is using that the control channel hops to, since I don't have it in my bank, the 780 never locks on to it.

Is it possible that if the radios out in the field loose the control channel they retain the same operating parameters??

If any one has any similar experiences or knows a fix or work around I'd appreciate anything you can send my way.

Jim

3008. BC100XLT Owners Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 03:34:32 UTC
From: wfac106@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c75bc34.19664586@news.cfw.com>
Source record: 51775

Hi.

Does anyone have this manual scanned? Or know where I can download it
off the net anywhere? Any help is appreciated.

Thanks!

3009. Re: Motorola T5200 privacy codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 03:40:00 UTC
From: Craig Davidson <nospam@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <k4jd8.6576$0C1.599825@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51776
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers,misc.kids

There are really only 14 radio channels on your FRS radio.

"Privacy codes" set the tone of a small hum sound that gets inserted with your voice when you talk on your FRS radio.

Privacy codes have been used in the professional radio industry for many years. Privacy codes are also called "sub audible tones", Continous Tone Coded Squelch System (CTCSS) and Private Line (PL).

Explained in simple terms the transmitter sends a very low frequency hum along with your voice. Each privacy code (1-38) causes a slightly different frequency of hum to be included with your voice when you talk, except for code 00 which turns the tone circuit completely off. If you set the privacy code on both your radios between 1 and 38 and listen carefully to one while transmitting with the other you should be able to hear the low hum. for you techies out there the hum frequency is between 60 and 250 Hertz (cycles per second) depending on which privacy code you set.

Your FRS radio will listen only for signals with the the correct tone for the privacy code you dialed in (except privacy code 00). If you set the radio to privacy code 00 then the hum detector is turned off and the radio will hear ALL the codes.

So... if you let your children use your FRS radios then I would recommend you pick a privacy code other than 00 for your children to use. That way other people on the channel will only have a one in thirty eight chance of being on the right privacy code to talk to your children. This is assuming that they are smart enough have their radio set to privacy code 00 so their radio is hearing everyone using any privacy code.

As was suggested in a previous post, You as the parent should also listen to your children's use of the radio, but do it using privacy code 00. You will hear your children eventhough they are on another code that you have selected but only you (not your children) will hear everyone else on the channel. If someone else tries to talk to your children you will hear it and your children most likely will not unless the stranger knows the privacy code your children are using which is not very likely. Tell your children never to announce any personal information (or the privacy code they are using) over the radio.

An S-meter is short for Signal Strength Meter which is a common circuit on professional grade radios. It gives you an approximate idea of received signal strength so, yes, as you move closer to the person who is talking the S-meter will tell you but I wouldn't worry too much. It only works while you are actually talking on the radio and when you get within about one city

block to the person talking then the signal strength becomes so strong that the s-meter usually goes "off scale high" so someone will have a difficult time pinpointing the exact house your children are in. Directional antennas can also be used to find the location of an FRS radio but they are complicated and difficult to use (and it only works while the person is actually pushing the talk button on the radio).

Hope this information helps you get more fun and enjoyment from your FRS radios.

Craig

Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in article
<3C6EB939.4910@mobiletel.com>...
> Stephanie Willis wrote:
> >
> > Mike McCormick wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm new to FSR's. I understand that the privacy codes help with
> > > interference. Do they prevent others from being able to listen in on
> > > your conversations?
> > >
> > > It sounds to me like the privacy codes in effect give you more
> > > channels and due to the much higher number of potential "channels,"
> > > you're more likely to be on a channel no one else is using. But if
> > > someone is using your same channel and code, then they will be able to
> > > hear your conversations. Is this correct?
> > >
> > > Does anyone have concerns about people hearing conversations of
> > > children and being able to indentify them and where they are??? I
> > > would like to purchase a pair of FSR's, but am a little uncomfortable
> > > with others being able to hear conversations involving my children.
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > Mike
> >
> > I have been keeping up on this subject and understand your
> > concerns. FRS radios are extremely dangerous for small
> > children (under 15) because unwanted strangers can locate
> > your home with a device called an "s-meter" which reads
> > the signal strength. This allows the listener to "zoom in"
> > on where the child lives.

3010. WTT: DX-398 for Euro VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 04:01:59 UTC
From: Chris N <frequent_listener@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kceC8W1uBHA.157@typhoon.i3s.net>
Source record: 51778

I have a DX-398 that is about six months old, padded case, original box, manuals, and power cord adapter. I would like to trade this for a euro version unblocked Yaesu VR-500. I am looking for a better scanner and hopefully you are looking for a better portable SW receiver. If you are interested email me at frequent_listener at hotmail dot com. I would also consider a BC780 with some cash from me as well.

Thanks,

Chris N

3011. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 04:09:40 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3C75C484.C8750802@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 51777

Why do you people move into places like this and the cry about it ?

Keith wrote:
>
> Background: Currently the US Congress and the FCC have laws and regulations
> that allow TV antennas at the home in neighborhoods that have CC&R's that
> prevent antennas. This law also prevents the use of the antenna for any
> other use. For example if you want to use the antenna for receiving any type
> of transmission like FM broadcasts or Shortwave broadcasts and also prevents
> the use of the antenna for legal transmissions of radio signals for the
> expression of ideas. This petition will be used to protest this law as an
> illegal act by the United States government against privacy in the home and
> free speech issues.
>
>

3012. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 04:33:28 UTC
From: Terrence <terrence@asdfasdfas.com>
Message-ID: <sSjd8.11566$2Q1.49991@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 51779

I guess if we had any idea what a CC&R was, we'd already know about the petition.

Can I send a petition over the radio through my TV antenna?
That way they'd get my gripe, and I'd get to use my antenna.

"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message news:a54bu902je@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Background: Currently the US Congress and the FCC have laws and regulations
> that allow TV antennas at the home in neighborhoods that have CC&R's that
> prevent antennas. This law also prevents the use of the antenna for any
> other use. For example if you want to use the antenna for receiving any type
> of transmission like FM broadcasts or Shortwave broadcasts and also prevents
> the use of the antenna for legal transmissions of radio signals for the
> expression of ideas. This petition will be used to protest this law as an
> illegal act by the United States government against privacy in the home and
> free speech issues.
>
>
> http://www.petitiononline.com/AL2002/petition.html
>
> To: Any Radio User or Home Owner in the USA
> I disagree with the law that says I can only use a antenna on the roof of my
> home only for TV reception in neighborhoods that have CC&R's against
> antennas. I believe that I have the right of privacy and the US government
> can not tell me not to use a antenna on my home only to receive TV
> transmissions. I want to be able to use my antenna on my home for any reason
> to receive or send any type of legal transmission. For example I should be
> allowed to use it to receive shortwave transmissions, FM broadcast
> transmissions and for the free exchange of ideas by way of radio
> transmission.
> I am asking Congress to modify this law and/or other groups to challenge
> this law in the courts as an unconstitutional violation of free speech and
> the right of privacy in my home.
>
>
>
>
>

> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ==
> My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
> The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
> Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
> ==

3013. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 04:45:36 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020221234536.13066.00000151@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 51780

>Subject: Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws
>From: Ralph Mowery rmowery@dialpoint.net
>Date: 2/21/2002 10:09 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3C75C484.C8750802@dialpoint.net>
>
>Why do you people move into places like this and the cry about it ?
>
>

No kidding. I bet he can't play his stereo as loud as he pleases either.

3014. Re: Nextel is Full of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 05:01:08 UTC
From: Terrence <terrence@asdfasdfas.com>
Message-ID: <ogkd8.3127$%D5.16052@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51781

I think you have captured the essense of Nextel.
Nextel can do that because they are Nextel.
You are not Nextel, you are sitting in your underpants typing messages to
the internet.

<Nextel_Watch@nextel-sucks.org> wrote in message
news:24175866.0001332c.022@drn.newsguy.com...
> Public dollars and sense
>
> By Robert H. Schwaninger Jr.
>
> Mobile Radio Technology, Feb 1, 2002
>
>
> Nextel Communications has composed a plan to relocate licensees in the
800MHz
> band. The plan would result in public safety entities obtaining additional
> 800MHz spectrum in a contiguous block, away from the harmful interference
> presently suffered by public safety entities from operation of Nextel's
system.
> It is also reported that APCO tacitly approves the plan. (See "Dealing
with a
> Rude Neighbor," page 30.)
>
> To those who have faithfully served APCO and its membership, I must humbly
and
> with the greatest of respect, inquire: Are you nuts?
>
> The above question is not intended to suggest that neither APCO nor its
> representatives are failing in any regard, but for a momentary lapse of
> perspective. With the bright, shiny prospect of additional spectrum,
coupled
> with a possible solution for harmful interference, one might be drawn to
this
> fix - particularly when the offer includes $500 million in relocation
costs for
> public safety entities.
>
> But step away from the candy counter for a moment, and let's look at this,
not
> as radio folks, but from the perspective of the politicians, economists
and
> boards that will have to vote on whether public dollars would be spent in
> support of the Nextel agenda. That portion of this equation must be

considered
> if Nextel's plan is to be given a logical assessment.
>
> Say I'm a city manager, and a radio guy comes to me and says, "Guess what.
The
> FCC just ruled that we get some more 800MHz spectrum. Additionally,
Nextel's put
> up $500 mil' to relocate us off of our old 800MHz channels. Whattaya'
think?
> Cool, huh?"
>
> Here's my reply:
>
> "Charlie, we just paid to move from VHF to 800MHz about two years ago.
That move
> cost this city a fortune, especially when we decided to upgrade the
computers,
> consoles and every other darn thing. Now you're telling me that we gotta
move
> again?"
>
> "But this time Nextel's gonna pay the cost . well, part of it."
>
> "What do you mean, part of it?"
>
> "Well, Nextel's paying the first $500 million into a fund to pay for
relocating
> public safety radio systems, but that will be spread around to all of the
> relocating agencies, like us."
>
> "Wait a minute, Charlie. Isn't Nextel the outfit whose cellphones have
been
> tearing up our communications over on Dover Street and down along
Broadway?"
>
> "Yeah. Their system causes adjacent channel interference to our radios.
We've
> been after them to fix it for a while, but so far they keep blaming our
radios."
>
> "So, let me get this straight. This city goes out and spends a couple of
million
> on a new radio system and within two years after it's installed, Nextel is
> telling us that our radios are lousy? How can that be?"
>
> "Well, sir, the answer is pretty technical, but mainly it has to do with
the
> fact that their digital system is using channels along side of our analog
system
> and there is a question of whether the two systems are compatible in that

> environment."
>
> "Did we know about this possible problem before we bought our system?"
>
> "Well, technically we knew about it. But we thought Nextel would build its
> system to avoid the problem. They didn't, so there you are."
>
> "So now Nextel's answer is to move us to another spot in the 800MHz band,
using
> some portion of money in a $500 million fund, and we're supposed to live
happily
> ever after?"
>
> "That's about right."
>
> "Charlie, you've put me in a difficult position. First, I gotta tell the
city
> council that the millions I got them to spend on our present system may
not have
> been a great idea. Second, I have to tell them that Nextel is beating up
our
> system, but we aren't doing anything to make Nextel fix it and they aren't
> offering us any money directly to fix the problem. Instead, we might have
to
> move again to other frequencies and some of the cost of moving might be
offset
> from Nextel's fund, when we don't even know how much money we're talking
about
> and how many other agencies will be drawing from the fund."
>
> "That's about it, but ."
>
> "Charlie, have you seen our tax receipt numbers? Revenue to the city is
down
> during a recession and we don't have a lot of extra dough for retuning
radios.
> We already had to cancel the new high school project because the budget
can't
> take it."
>
> "I see what you mean, sir, but there is a long-term solution."
>
> "What is it?"
>
> "We just move to 700MHz when the equipment becomes available."
>
> "Charlie, with all due respect to Marconi, how am I going to sell the city
> council on the idea of one move, much less two? Let's try this. How about
we
> just get Nextel to fix the problem on the present channels? Their problem.

Let
> them fix it."
>
> "But, sir, then we don't get the additional spectrum that is part of the
deal."
>
> "Charlie, let me give you a free lesson in government. It isn't about
spectrum.
> Frequencies don't vote. It's about having a budget that's big enough to
solve
> problems, improve infrastructure and support education. John Q. Public
does not
> give a fig about . what's it? . adjacent channel interference. He wants
better
> schools, less congested roads and more parks. And without money, all of
the
> spectrum in the world doesn't mean squat."
>
> "So, what should we do?"
>
> "Tell Nextel to send us a fat check, get the hell off of the frequencies
that
> are tearing up our system and let them fix their own problems."
>
> "They say they won't do that."
>
> "Then get me Congressman Stampferd on the phone and when I'm done talking
to
> him, let me talk to Sam Jenkins at the City Gazette. Let's see who
communicates
> best in this town."
>
>
> ---

>
> Schwaninger, MRT's regulatory consultant, is the principal in the law firm
of
> Schwaninger & Associates, Washington, which is counsel to Small Business
in
> Telecommunications. Schwaninger is also a fellow of the Radio Club of
America.
> His email address is rschwaninger@sa-laywers.net.
>
>
>

3015. Re: J.I.L. SX-400 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 05:20:27 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <r5lb7u4rhr4n4ckumh1aljcf5meghf75r8@4ax.com>
Source record: 51782

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "T. Sanders" <tsanders@rtccom.net> spake thusly

>I have acquired a J.I.L. SX-400 scanner...without a power cord. Does anyone
>know where I can obtain one? If one is not available, are there any "fixes"
>I can do to power it up? Thanks for the help.

I never had a 400, but I didn have several 100s and 200s.

It should have a 12 volt DC jack on the back, and might even have the polarity marked.

That's a rare beast, it may be hard to find anyone with hands-on experience with it.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3016. Pro-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 05:31:55 UTC
From: Keith Braithwaite <kbraithwaite@GCNET.NET>
Message-ID: <3C7486D7.BCC313BB@GCNET.NET>
Source record: 51783

Just bought a Pro-2052, would like to know if their are any mods or
shareware programs for it.

--

Click here for Free Video!!
http://www.gohip.com/free_video/

3017. FS RADIO SHACK PRO 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 06:13:48 UTC
From: K8CMA <k8cma@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <wkld8.112390$Pz4.520703@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51784

Here is a one month old Radio Shack PRO-2067 500 channel MULTI-SYSTEM trnking mobile scanner. I took it out of the box plugged it into power for a few hours and returned it to its box. This is a moble scanner, and comes complete with all the mounting hardware, box manual, mounting bracket. Never been installed. Looks 100% works the same. Sells new at Radio Shack for $249.99. My price is $200.00 shipped. Thanks Patrick K8CMA. k8cma@arrl.net

3018. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 06:23:43 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <ibob7uca5htm0uo0evfsjnvo2r303mf6hm@4ax.com>
Source record: 51785

On 21 Feb 2002 17:13:34 -0800, richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>twert21@ivorycoast.zoom (TDD) wrote in message news:<3c754c93.18739305@news.teranews.com>...
>> Can this be defeated and how?

>If you mean voice inversion, yes. Ramsey (in Rochester, NY) sells a
>kit (I think it's the SS-70) that will un-invert it. If you modify it
>slightly with a potentiometer, you can adjust the frequency to
>decypher non-standard inversions, too.

Ramsey's and most other units divide down something like a color burst crystal. You cannot adjust the frequency on the Ramsey unit unless they have changed it in the last year or so, which I doubt. You might get lucky, but probably not. The Ramsey and most similar units will talk to each other since they are on the same injection frequency, but it would purely be luck if any of the cheap kits decoded simple inversion transmitted from anything other than a unit identical to the one trying to decode. The kits are crystal controlled at a fixed frequency and you can't vary them enough to make a difference.

It is possible and not too difficult to make an RC oscillator so you can vary the injection frequency, but it is difficult to make them stable over long term, temperature changes, etc. It could be done with proper design, but I'd leave a pot on the front panel with a knob just to be safe.

The nicest one I've personally played with was sold by Capri, but discontinued probably fifteen years ago.

There is a fellow who posts a link on some of these scanner sites periodically about a kit or something he sells. I took a look at it once and it seemed to be a fairly decent unit. If I needed an inversion unscrambler, I'd go to him.

And I am not related to the fellow, never communicated with him, don't even have his site bookmarked. He has no idea who I am.

Another thought might be to get one of the boards from Midian or whoever (NOT Transcrypt, I think they are a joke) and use the dip switches or whatever to get as close as you can to whatever injection frequency your locals use. Typically the injection frequency is 3000-3300 cycles.

I did want to make the point which often is mentioned incorrectly, that the Ramsey inversion scrambler/unscrambler kit is crystal

controlled, not adjustable, and would be a crap shoot whether it would unscramble your local inversion encoded transmissions.

Steve WA3SWS

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

3019. Re: FS RADIO SHACK PRO 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 06:28:11 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <%xld8.1141$qQ.10@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51786

Man, that price just went down faster than driving a brand new car off the lot.

Why is everybody selling there scanners after just a few hours of getting them up and running.

Sounds fishy on some of these sales.

"K8CMA" <k8cma@attbi.com> wrote in message news:wkld8.112390$Pz4.520703@rwcrnsc53...
> Here is a one month old Radio Shack PRO-2067 500 channel MULTI-SYSTEM
> trnking mobile scanner. I took it out of the box plugged it into power for a
> few hours and returned it to its box. This is a moble scanner, and comes
> complete with all the mounting hardware, box manual, mounting bracket. Never
> been installed. Looks 100% works the same. Sells new at Radio Shack for
> $249.99. My price is $200.00 shipped. Thanks Patrick K8CMA. k8cma@arrl.net
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002

3020. Re: FS RADIO SHACK PRO 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 07:14:16 UTC
From: K8CMA <k8cma@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <cdmd8.5243$tJ2.34026@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51787

It works great!! Just excess in my shack.
"ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote in message
news:%xld8.1141$qQ.10@news2.bloor.is...
> Man, that price just went down faster than driving a brand new car off the
> lot.
>
> Why is everybody selling there scanners after just a few hours of getting
> them up and running.
>
> Sounds fishy on some of these sales.
>
>
> "K8CMA" <k8cma@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:wkld8.112390$Pz4.520703@rwcrnsc53...
> > Here is a one month old Radio Shack PRO-2067 500 channel MULTI-SYSTEM
> > trnking mobile scanner. I took it out of the box plugged it into power
for
> a
> > few hours and returned it to its box. This is a moble scanner, and comes
> > complete with all the mounting hardware, box manual, mounting bracket.
> Never
> > been installed. Looks 100% works the same. Sells new at Radio Shack for
> > $249.99. My price is $200.00 shipped. Thanks Patrick K8CMA.
> k8cma@arrl.net
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002
>
>

3021. RS Pro2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 07:39:13 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <BAmd8.1250$qQ.23@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am just wondering what the going rate would be for a Radio Shack 200Ch. Pro-2037 Base Radio to sell. I might look at possibly selling it or trading in for a better scanner prefferably one that has trunking capabilities.

The rate would have to be $$Canadian of course. Excellent radio and has done me great for a number of years, I am just at the point of stepping up a bit in scanners. I still have the box and manual that came with it when I bought it brand new. I think the price when I bought it was around $299 Canadian.

And if I did look at a possible trade, what would be a good choice to get in return that has PL/CTCSS and EDACS trunking.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002

3022. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 07:50:49 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020222025049.08583.00000134@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 51789

After actually reading the wording of the measure here, it seems to me that it was set up (and amended a number of times) to protect and preserve the consumer's right to television reception by prohibiting restrictions on antenna installation for television reception in areas previously restrictive in their allowance of such equipment.
I fail to see where this somehow changes the status of the amateur operator,SWL, or scanning enthusiast as regards the current state of CC&R's.
I personally abhor most CC&R restrictions as being unduly limiting, and therefore when purchasing a dwelling I chose to stay away from such associations... but they also serve purposes that in most cases the benefits of which cannot be ignored.
The measure allows for reception of non-video signals, such as voice and data, which is a loophole for someone worried enough about it to complain.
But antenna restrictions are essentially the same as they ever were, at least as regards homeowners associations and CC&Rs. This particular law and its set of ammendments doesn't change this status in the least, beyond prohibiting such HAs or CC&Rs from banning TV dishes and antennas.
The bottom line is, you should know going into any community what is expected and allowed, and what is not.
The concept that attaching a non-TV receiver to your otherwise legal TV antenna makes you a criminal is a bit of a stretch. Even if technically true, I fail to see how this somehow is new, or is any more of a threat to our hobby than any other set of restrictive laws which already command far more attention and place far more undue stripping of personal freedoms where radio is concerned.
I don't want to seem crude, but this type of non-enforceable ruling is a bit like the storied anti-oral sex laws... who's to know, who's to enforce, who's ever complained?
If you want to fight against the general trend toward banning certain types of radio reception, believe me I'm all for it. But battling private restrictions in the realm of private ownership and community agreement, particularly with non-verifiable names (sorry, without street addresses and/or some other verifying data the signatories have no weight or impact) is neither the most efficient nor the most effective place to start.
My opinion of course. I applaud your concern... it is only your method with which I take issue.
Linus

3023. Re: OT: Email Spders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 08:04:26 UTC
From: "D. Jacobs" <dju@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <reub7u0cgu7ndl9uv64919frfnepfk1uga@4ax.com>
Source record: 51790
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Before you go, I just wanted to warn you about putting other people's info online. An email address is one thing. Digging up personal info and posting it online is a whole different thing.

Your phone number could be posted here easily too. It ends with *2055*. You shouldn't post other people's private info here if you don't want your own phone # posted here too. Get it, spammer?

BTW: My ARIN record has a PO box and an old work phone that I no longer use. Go ahead and post it if you want, but someone might post your entire phone number if you do just to show you how it feels.

Dave

On Thu, 21 Feb 2002 03:27:56 GMT, "Champ" <Champ_Is_Not@Rogers.com> wrote:

>I would like to end this whole thread right now, but I just thought I would
><snip>

3024. Motorola trunk radio question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 08:12:43 UTC
From: RCARLYLE@YAHOO.COM
Message-ID: <ef99413b.0202220012.35536e13@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Is it possible to program a motorola radio to follow a trunks system
just like a trunktracker scanner?

Here is my situation:
i have a 800mhz visar and i also have a trunk tracker at home. I want
to program my visar so it does the same thing as my uniden scanner. i
dont want to talk on the system but just listen and follow the radio
traffic.

thanks
Robert C
USCG OEM

3025. Re: Want Winradio Type Software For Icom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 08:49:13 UTC
From: befeleme@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <3c7603d9.2004810@news-server>
Source record: 51792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,nl.radio.amateur

On 21 Feb 2002 16:51:51 -0800, ddmga@yahoo.com (David) wrote:

>I just got an Icom IC-R7100 receiver. I used to have a Winradio
>Wr1000i. Is there a software package out there for the Icom that is
>as good or better than the Winradio software?
>
>Thanks for any help!
>
>-David DDMGA@YAHOO.COM

Not that I know of. There are some third party scanning packages here
and there (not sure if there is one for the R7100), but nowhere near
as powerful. WinRadio rules. IMHO, Icom should license their software
from WinRadio. (Or just buy the company ;-).

Frank
(WR-1550e)

3026. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 09:29:24 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020222042924.15353.00000274@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 51793

I am very concerned about this. Cable is a rippoff here. THEY CAN HAVE MY RABBIT EARS WHEN THEY PRY THEM OUT OF MY COLD, DEAD FINGERS!

Also, I like CCR; Good stuff, specially that one "Fortunate Son".

Mattster.

>Background: Currently the US Congress and the FCC have laws and regulations
>that allow TV antennas at the home in neighborhoods that have CC&R's that
>prevent antennas. This law also prevents the use of the antenna for any
>other use.

3027. UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 10:12:30 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <iQod8.79826$Hu6.18707332@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 51794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I am also considering a UBC 3000xlt. I notice the battery pack can be expensive. Any of you have experience with this radio. Is it worth $260 new? I notice it's extremely fast compared to my Icom R2. What else is worth considering? Thanks!
DeWayne KE9K

3028. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 10:48:41 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <718c7u0oe7t0dh3k867iq05qjc5ho8i93h@4ax.com>
Source record: 51795

On Thu, 21 Feb 2002 20:50:35 -0800, Keith <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
wrote:

> CC&R's are contracts in neighborhood associations. The US Congress passed a
>law that stated you can put a antenna to receive TV transmissions on your
>home in CC&R's that prevent antennas. The problem is that if you use this TV
>antenna for reception or transmission of other radio signals it is not
>allowed. I believe the problem with this law is that it is a violation of
>property rights and free speech. If you use your antenna also for FM Stereo
[snip]

So you feel this law interferes with the property rights of the CC&Rs
and their members? And the law should be repealed? So that we will
no longer have the right to install TV antennas?

Do you understand what this law is for? It's to ensure that cable TV
companies do not wind up with monopolies in neighborhoods with
restrictive covenants or landlords. Without this law, if you wanted
TV in such places you could get only cable. No local broadcast,
unless rabbit ears were enough. No satellite. Or (and I've had this
happen personally) no antenna of your own, but you can pay the
landlord monthly to hook up to his.

Ken Plotkin

3029. Re: ctcss tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 11:54:24 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C763170.D62A5C84@mitre.org>
Source record: 51796

The ICOM IC R-2 will slowly search and display the CTCSS, but dose not do Digital tone
coded squelch. The BC 780 searches for both type tone codes slowly. RS PRO 92 PRO 2067 search and display both CTCSS and Digital tone coded squelch codes instantaneously. But
only allows 50 CTCSS tagged channels and 150 for Digital tone codes out of 500 CHs.
The RELM HS 200 MS 200 and Racing Electronics HS 2000 radios use both CTCSS and Digital tone coded squelch BUT will not search them out, If you do not know them they have to be sampled manually until the correct tone(can do all channels). opens squelch. They will all let the radio us tone codes or clear squelch (i.e. a channel with out a tone code enabled) the Uniden 760 with the tone board installed will not let you use
clear squelch when the tone board is engaged. The ARO Mkr 8200 may also have a add on
tone squelch board.
Better frequency directories and publications will have the tone code when know for

the frequencies listed.
The BC 780 and RS PRO 92 PRO 2067 are the way to go to find ALL the tone codes or if

they aren't using any codes or non standard codes. The PR- 92 and PRO- 2067 may display non standard tone codes and be able to use them!
Jim
"Email to above or see post sig for address!" wrote:

> On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 11:58:04 -0600, "Jim L. Brooks" <jlbrooks@yhti.net> wrote:
>
> > Franklin county in Missouri uses the ctcss tones. How do I go about
> >finding the ctcss tones
>
> If you have a Uniden 780, 895 or Radio Shack Pro 92 or 2067 they will display
> the PL tone in use on each frequency as it comes active.
>
> On the older 760/960/905 (all the same radio really) with the PL add on you have
> to MANUALLY go thru all the 38 tones or get it from some place ahead of time.
>
> ----------
> "The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc
> ----------
> "Love is a dangerous angel"
> ----------
> AOSC#: FL-41-1184
> The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
> http://tampascan.home.dyndns.org

> Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mansotaflscan
> Tampa Bay Area Scanner List
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/tampabayscan
> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> http://wpascanner.home.dyndns.org/scnrng.htm
> ---
> Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> ---
> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

3030. Re: FS RADIO SHACK PRO 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 12:26:01 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020222072601.05418.00000153@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 51697

TAKE IT BACK AND GET 249.95 FOR IT ??????????

3031. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 13:11:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0202220511.71d71523@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51698

Peter Gozinya <Peter@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<21022002204005023 7%Peter@hotmail.com>...
> In article <3c754c93.18739305@news.teranews.com>, TDD
> <twert21@ivorycoast.zoom> wrote:
>
> > Can this be defeated and how?
>
> Disney lawyers prohibit decryption of the Donald Duckï¿½ scrambler. You
> can try with a Mickey Mouse phone, but it would just sound Goofy.

Minnie thanks for that. LOL!!

3032. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 13:14:11 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <2F807265C04F87C1.7103A2C6CDAEB0A8.48CD051D480DC39B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 51699

On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 10:12:30 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:

>I am also considering a UBC 3000xlt. I notice the battery pack can be
>expensive. Any of you have experience with this radio. Is it worth $260 new?
>I notice it's extremely fast compared to my Icom R2. What else is worth
>considering? Thanks!
>DeWayne KE9K
>

That's odd. Didn't you try to sell a UBC3000 in July 2001 as follows:

From: "DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Subject: FS: Bearcat UBC-3000XLT
Lines: 6
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <3Wi57.153011$mG4.73700177@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Date: Wed, 18 Jul 2001 16:36:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.15.216.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.mntp1.il.home.com 995474175 65.15.216.61 (Wed, 18 Jul
2001 09:36:15 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Jul 2001 09:36:15 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband
http://home.com/faster
Xref: news-f.iadfw.net rec.radio.scanner:235541
alt.radio.scanner:154620

FS: Bearcat UBC 3000XLT handheld, hardly used, bought new
this year, nice case, Watson W881 Super Gainer antenna, box,
manual, PS, like new!!! $250 + shipping.
DeWayne KE9K

3033. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 13:34:36 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <MNrd8.80172$Hu6.18784078@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 51700

"The_AntiArt" <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message
news:2F807265C04F87C1.7103A2C6CDAEB0A8.48CD051D480DC39B@lp.airnews.net...
> On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 10:12:30 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:
>
> >I am also considering a UBC 3000xlt. I notice the battery pack can be
> >expensive. Any of you have experience with this radio. Is it worth $260
new?
> >I notice it's extremely fast compared to my Icom R2. What else is worth
> >considering? Thanks!
> >DeWayne KE9K
> >
>
> That's odd. Didn't you try to sell a UBC3000 in July 2001 as follows:

Yes, I did sell it. I didn't use it at that time and didn't need it.
Actually I'm looking for comparisons with newer models as I'm not familiar
with most of them.
DeWayne

>
> From: "DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
> Subject: FS: Bearcat UBC-3000XLT
> Lines: 6
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
> Message-ID: <3Wi57.153011$mG4.73700177@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
> Date: Wed, 18 Jul 2001 16:36:15 GMT
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.15.216.61
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
> X-Trace: news1.mntp1.il.home.com 995474175 65.15.216.61 (Wed, 18 Jul
> 2001 09:36:15 PDT)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Jul 2001 09:36:15 PDT
> Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband
> http://home.com/faster
> Xref: news-f.iadfw.net rec.radio.scanner:235541
> alt.radio.scanner:154620
>
> FS: Bearcat UBC 3000XLT handheld, hardly used, bought new
> this year, nice case, Watson W881 Super Gainer antenna, box,
> manual, PS, like new!!! $250 + shipping.
> DeWayne KE9K

3034. For sale: AOR AR-3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 13:53:20 UTC
From: Percom <percom@zonnet.nl>
Message-ID: <a55n5r$3l0$6@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 51701

For Sale: professional monitor receiver AOR AR-3000A in mint condition with box and instruction manual.
100 kHz - 2036 MHz, 400 memories, modes USB, LSB, CW, AM, NFM en WFM with discriminatorconnection for POCSAG, ERMES etc.
EURO 725,-.

3035. FS: Storner PR-10 radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 15:24:01 UTC
From: Robert Lonn <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <lotd8.66242$dG.27368873@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 51702

This is a very neat looking radio and a bit on the Rare side. I am looking
for the best offer over $250.00 It is a STONER PR-10 transceiver that works
on 10-11 meters. I was amazed how sensitive this radio was. The stations
just
boomed in. It has been checked out on both receive and transmit and is fully
operational. AM-USB-LSB multi speed scan, RIT control and a neat looking
digital display. I don't have any DC power cord or operating instructions
for this radio. The wood case is in good condition. Each side has a small
screw hole from a mobile mount. The up-down microphone works great! I would
rate this radio an 8 on a scale of 1-10. Please look at the pictures below.
Questions can be sent to roblonn@cox.net.

http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st1.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st2.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st3.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st4.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st5.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st6.jpg

3036. Re: J.I.L. SX-400 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 17:01:12 UTC
From: ted hay <tedh1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C767958.F90C3639@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 51704

If yours is the same as mine the pinout is clearly marked on the rear. Should make it pretty easy to rig something up to power it.

regards Ted

"T. Sanders" wrote:

> I have acquired a J.I.L. SX-400 scanner...without a power cord. Does anyone
> know where I can obtain one? If one is not available, are there any "fixes"
> I can do to power it up? Thanks for the help.
> T.

3037. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 17:06:12 UTC
From: ted hay <tedh1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C767A84.DCBBAA4A@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 51703

I have had luck with a receiver that has SSB and a fine tuning pitch to make it perfectly understandable although not a normal pitch to the voice.

Ted

TDD wrote:

> Can this be defeated and how?

3038. Re: Nextel is Full of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 17:59:11 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C7686EE.3DDFA163@optonline.net>
Source record: 51706

Underpants??? Try pajamas!

Terrence wrote:
> I think you have captured the essense of Nextel.
> Nextel can do that because they are Nextel.
> You are not Nextel, you are sitting in your underpants typing messages to
> the internet.
>
> <Nextel_Watch@nextel-sucks.org> wrote in message
> news:24175866.0001332c.022@drn.newsguy.com...
> > Public dollars and sense
> >
> > By Robert H. Schwaninger Jr.
> >
> > Mobile Radio Technology, Feb 1, 2002
> >
> >
> > Nextel Communications has composed a plan to relocate licensees in the
> 800MHz
> > band. The plan would result in public safety entities obtaining additional
> > 800MHz spectrum in a contiguous block, away from the harmful interference
> > presently suffered by public safety entities from operation of Nextel's
> system.
> > It is also reported that APCO tacitly approves the plan. (See "Dealing
> with a
> > Rude Neighbor," page 30.)
> >
> > To those who have faithfully served APCO and its membership, I must humbly
> and
> > with the greatest of respect, inquire: Are you nuts?
> >
> > The above question is not intended to suggest that neither APCO nor its
> > representatives are failing in any regard, but for a momentary lapse of
> > perspective. With the bright, shiny prospect of additional spectrum,
> coupled
> > with a possible solution for harmful interference, one might be drawn to
> this
> > fix - particularly when the offer includes $500 million in relocation
> costs for
> > public safety entities.
> >
> > But step away from the candy counter for a moment, and let's look at this,
> not

> > as radio folks, but from the perspective of the politicians, economists
> and
> > boards that will have to vote on whether public dollars would be spent in
> > support of the Nextel agenda. That portion of this equation must be
> considered
> > if Nextel's plan is to be given a logical assessment.
> >
> > Say I'm a city manager, and a radio guy comes to me and says, "Guess what.
> The
> > FCC just ruled that we get some more 800MHz spectrum. Additionally,
> Nextel's put
> > up $500 mil' to relocate us off of our old 800MHz channels. Whattaya'
> think?
> > Cool, huh?"
> >
> > Here's my reply:
> >
> > "Charlie, we just paid to move from VHF to 800MHz about two years ago.
> That move
> > cost this city a fortune, especially when we decided to upgrade the
> computers,
> > consoles and every other darn thing. Now you're telling me that we gotta
> move
> > again?"
> >
> > "But this time Nextel's gonna pay the cost . well, part of it."
> >
> > "What do you mean, part of it?"
> >
> > "Well, Nextel's paying the first $500 million into a fund to pay for
> relocating
> > public safety radio systems, but that will be spread around to all of the
> > relocating agencies, like us."
> >
> > "Wait a minute, Charlie. Isn't Nextel the outfit whose cellphones have
> been
> > tearing up our communications over on Dover Street and down along
> Broadway?"
> >
> > "Yeah. Their system causes adjacent channel interference to our radios.
> We've
> > been after them to fix it for a while, but so far they keep blaming our
> radios."
> >
> > "So, let me get this straight. This city goes out and spends a couple of
> million
> > on a new radio system and within two years after it's installed, Nextel is
> > telling us that our radios are lousy? How can that be?"
> >
> > "Well, sir, the answer is pretty technical, but mainly it has to do with

> the
> > fact that their digital system is using channels along side of our analog
> system
> > and there is a question of whether the two systems are compatible in that
> > environment."
> >
> > "Did we know about this possible problem before we bought our system?"
> >
> > "Well, technically we knew about it. But we thought Nextel would build its
> > system to avoid the problem. They didn't, so there you are."
> >
> > "So now Nextel's answer is to move us to another spot in the 800MHz band,
> using
> > some portion of money in a $500 million fund, and we're supposed to live
> happily
> > ever after?"
> >
> > "That's about right."
> >
> > "Charlie, you've put me in a difficult position. First, I gotta tell the
> city
> > council that the millions I got them to spend on our present system may
> not have
> > been a great idea. Second, I have to tell them that Nextel is beating up
> our
> > system, but we aren't doing anything to make Nextel fix it and they aren't
> > offering us any money directly to fix the problem. Instead, we might have
> to
> > move again to other frequencies and some of the cost of moving might be
> offset
> > from Nextel's fund, when we don't even know how much money we're talking
> about
> > and how many other agencies will be drawing from the fund."
> >
> > "That's about it, but ."
> >
> > "Charlie, have you seen our tax receipt numbers? Revenue to the city is
> down
> > during a recession and we don't have a lot of extra dough for retuning
> radios.
> > We already had to cancel the new high school project because the budget
> can't
> > take it."
> >
> > "I see what you mean, sir, but there is a long-term solution."
> >
> > "What is it?"
> >
> > "We just move to 700MHz when the equipment becomes available."
> >

> > "Charlie, with all due respect to Marconi, how am I going to sell the city
> > council on the idea of one move, much less two? Let's try this. How about
> we
> > just get Nextel to fix the problem on the present channels? Their problem.
> Let
> > them fix it."
> >
> > "But, sir, then we don't get the additional spectrum that is part of the
> deal."
> >
> > "Charlie, let me give you a free lesson in government. It isn't about
> spectrum.
> > Frequencies don't vote. It's about having a budget that's big enough to
> solve
> > problems, improve infrastructure and support education. John Q. Public
> does not
> > give a fig about . what's it? . adjacent channel interference. He wants
> better
> > schools, less congested roads and more parks. And without money, all of
> the
> > spectrum in the world doesn't mean squat."
> >
> > "So, what should we do?"
> >
> > "Tell Nextel to send us a fat check, get the hell off of the frequencies
> that
> > are tearing up our system and let them fix their own problems."
> >
> > "They say they won't do that."
> >
> > "Then get me Congressman Stampferd on the phone and when I'm done talking
> to
> > him, let me talk to Sam Jenkins at the City Gazette. Let's see who
> communicates
> > best in this town."
> >
> >
> > --
> ------
> >
> > Schwaninger, MRT's regulatory consultant, is the principal in the law firm
> of
> > Schwaninger & Associates, Washington, which is counsel to Small Business
> in
> > Telecommunications. Schwaninger is also a fellow of the Radio Club of
> America.
> > His email address is rschwaninger@sa-laywers.net.
> >
> >
> >

3039. Re: ctcss tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:01:48 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C76878B.D83A9A59@optonline.net>
Source record: 51707

Jim Mac Donald wrote:

> RS PRO 92 PRO
> 2067 search and display both CTCSS and Digital tone coded squelch codes
> instantaneously. But
> only allows 50 CTCSS tagged channels and 150 for Digital tone codes out of 500
> CHs.

First I have heard this! Where is this documented?

Walt

Jim Mac Donald wrote:
>
> The ICOM IC R-2 will slowly search and display the CTCSS, but dose not do Digital
> tone
> coded squelch. The BC 780 searches for both type tone codes slowly. RS PRO 92 PRO
> 2067 search and display both CTCSS and Digital tone coded squelch codes
> instantaneously. But
> only allows 50 CTCSS tagged channels and 150 for Digital tone codes out of 500
> CHs.
> The RELM HS 200 MS 200 and Racing Electronics HS 2000 radios use both CTCSS and
> Digital tone coded squelch BUT will not search them out, If you do not know them
> they have to be sampled manually until the correct tone(can do all channels).
> opens squelch. They will all let the radio us tone codes or clear squelch (i.e. a
> channel with out a tone code enabled) the Uniden 760 with the tone board installed
> will not let you use
> clear squelch when the tone board is engaged. The ARO Mkr 8200 may also have a add
> on
> tone squelch board.
> Better frequency directories and publications will have the tone code when know for
>
> the frequencies listed.
> The BC 780 and RS PRO 92 PRO 2067 are the way to go to find ALL the tone codes or if
>
> they aren't using any codes or non standard codes. The PR- 92 and PRO- 2067 may
> display non standard tone codes and be able to use them!
> Jim
> "Email to above or see post sig for address!" wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 11:58:04 -0600, "Jim L. Brooks" <jlbrooks@yhti.net> wrote:
> >
> > > Franklin county in Missouri uses the ctcss tones. How do I go about
> > >finding the ctcss tones
> >

> > If you have a Uniden 780, 895 or Radio Shack Pro 92 or 2067 they will display
> > the PL tone in use on each frequency as it comes active.
> >
> > On the older 760/960/905 (all the same radio really) with the PL add on you have
> > to MANUALLY go thru all the 38 tones or get it from some place ahead of time.
> >
> > ---
> > "The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc
> > ---
> > "Love is a dangerous angel"
> > ---
> > AOSC#: FL-41-1184
> > The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
> > http://tampascan.home.dyndns.org
> > Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mansotaflscan
> > Tampa Bay Area Scanner List
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/tampabayscan
> > Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> > http://wpascanner.home.dyndns.org/scnrng.htm
> > ---
> > Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> > ---
> > You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

3040. Re: ham radio operators excempt from scanner laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:02:46 UTC
From: Anonymous-Remailer@See.Comment.Header
Message-ID: <JZTXAQTM37309.793587963@frog.gilgamesh.org>
Source record: 51596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.legal

In article <a5477g$1bv$0@dosa.alt.net>
"Ray Gordon, GENIUS" <ray@cybersheet.com> wrote:
>
> > I live in NC, and I am NOT aware of any State statute that makes
listening
> > to any radio comms
> > illegal. Except, of course, for the Federal restriction on cellular
> > monitoring. There are no *Local* statutes I know of, either, and it
isn't
> > illegal for an ordinary citizen to have a scanner in
> > his vehicle so long as he does not use what he hears for monetary
gain,
> nor
> > reveals what he hears to 3rd parties, OR to evade capture while
committing
> a
> > crime.
>
> I'm sure that last section is a real deterrent!

* * *

Some other quotes from "Ray Gordon":

"There was no significant loss of life in those towers... NOT A ONE"
Ray Gordon, real name: Gordon Roy Parker, September 11 2001

"A bunch of *ASSHOLE* New Yorkers died... don't grieve"
Ray Gordon, real name: Gordon Roy Parker, September 11 2001

* * *

Has Ray given you the impression that he runs a successful, profitable
business? Or that he commands expensive fees for his work? Then you may
be interested to know that he can't even afford to pay his taxes. The IRS
filed a tax lien of OVER TWELVE THOUSAND DOLLARS against him and his Mom
(whom he lives with) which they STILL haven't paid off AFTER SIX YEARS.
You can view the official document HERE: (cut and paste the ENTIRE link
onto a single line in your browser - will require two separate pastes to
get both lines onto single browser line)

http://dns2.phila.gov:8080/fjd/owa/zk_fjd_public_qry_03.zp_dktrpt_frames?
case_id=970620114

* * *

New readers are encouraged to familiarize themselves with Ray's posting history and opinions. Be sure to check out www.Ray-Gordon.com and click the "RayFaq" button on the top left. The site contains many of his archived posts. The site is NOT affiliated with "Ray", who's real name is Gordon Roy Parker, and he has made numerous threats of legal action against it.

* * *

If you believe "Ray Gordon" has made inappropriate posts you may wish to contact his ISP. For customer verification, Ray's contact information (obtained via the public Google Usenet Archive)is:

GORDON ROY PARKER
4247 LOCUST ST #806
PHILADELPHIA PA 19104
(215)386-7366

3041. Optoelectronics Frequency Finders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:03:06 UTC
From: jimdpeters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020222130306.17743.00000031@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 51708

I'm thinking of buying the "Optoelectronics Micro Counter" one of the Techtoyz. Which leads me to the question . . . . I know the SCOUT has many more features but I'm only looking for something that will get me the frequency I'm hunting for. Is there a difference between the "Micro Counter" and the "Scout" as far as distance that each one will detect a signal, or are they pretty much the same?

Thanks . . . . .

Jim Peters
Portland, Oregon

3042. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:05:40 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C768874.4C35B64C@optonline.net>
Source record: 51709

CC&R: Codes, Covenants and Restrictions imposed by a Homeowners type association.

Terrence wrote:
> I guess if we had any idea what a CC&R was, we'd already know about the
> petition.
>
> Can I send a petition over the radio through my TV antenna?
> That way they'd get my gripe, and I'd get to use my antenna.
>
> "Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:a54bu902je@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > Background: Currently the US Congress and the FCC have laws and
> regulations
> > that allow TV antennas at the home in neighborhoods that have CC&R's that
> > prevent antennas. This law also prevents the use of the antenna for any
> > other use. For example if you want to use the antenna for receiving any
> type
> > of transmission like FM broadcasts or Shortwave broadcasts and also
> prevents
> > the use of the antenna for legal transmissions of radio signals for the
> > expression of ideas. This petition will be used to protest this law as an
> > illegal act by the United States government against privacy in the home
> and
> > free speech issues.
> >
> >
> > http://www.petitiononline.com/AL2002/petition.html
> >
> > To: Any Radio User or Home Owner in the USA
> > I disagree with the law that says I can only use a antenna on the roof of
> my
> > home only for TV reception in neighborhoods that have CC&R's against
> > antennas. I believe that I have the right of privacy and the US government
> > can not tell me not to use a antenna on my home only to receive TV
> > transmissions. I want to be able to use my antenna on my home for any
> reason
> > to receive or send any type of legal transmission. For example I should be
> > allowed to use it to receive shortwave transmissions, FM broadcast
> > transmissions and for the free exchange of ideas by way of radio
> > transmission.
> > I am asking Congress to modify this law and/or other groups to challenge
> > this law in the courts as an unconstitutional violation of free speech and

> > the right of privacy in my home.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Best Regards,
> >
> > Keith
> > ==
> > My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
> > The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
> > Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
> > ==

3043. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:10:38 UTC
From: twert21@ivorycoast.zoom
Message-ID: <3c76896a.4732214@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 10:12:30 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:

>I am also considering a UBC 3000xlt. I notice the battery pack can be
>expensive. Any of you have experience with this radio. Is it worth $260 new?
>I notice it's extremely fast compared to my Icom R2. What else is worth
>considering? Thanks!
>DeWayne KE9K
>
>

I have a 2500 and it's worthless because I refuse to pay that kind of
money for a shit-ass terrible battery packs.

3044. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:12:57 UTC
From: twert21@ivorycoast.zoom
Message-ID: <3c768a0f.4897392@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51711

On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 02:32:16 GMT, David M Schertzer
<schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote:

>Or get a program FREE on the net that does the same...
>

Where? What's it called? How do you hook up the radio to the PC?

3045. Re: North NJ scanning, state police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:17:23 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C768B32.151435F9@optonline.net>
Source record: 51712

The frequencies for NJSP Troop B North, as decoded by "Trunker", are:

c v856.4625,da,ffff,f44
v856.9625,ee,ffff,2efd
v857.4625,102,ffff,127a
dv857.9625,116,ffff,85b
v858.4625,12a,ffff,1681
dv858.9625,13e,ffff,39b
v859.4625,152,ffff,1f73
dv859.9625,166,ffff,e9
v860.4625,17a,ffff,5629
dv860.9625,18e,ffff,e5
v866.5625,26e,ffff,1ac1
v867.7625,29e,ffff,1bf4

The "d" frequencies are the 4 frequencies used for data, i.e.,the
control channels.

Walt

James Lee wrote:
>
> Hope some one can help here.
>
> Just got my BC7800 and I have been trying to track Troop B (North) of
> the NJ State Police. I have the scanner working just fine. At least
> until the control channel heads south. I have all 12 published
> frequencies entered and at times there is no hint of the control
> channel. A little later it comes back and the trunking works just
> fine. With the control channel missing, as I step through the
> frequencies, I do hear the normal communications (mostly state police).
>
> Anyone out side NJ, this system is the Motorola Type IIii Hybrid
> Smartnet.
>
> Makes me think there is another frequency that this system is using that
> the control channel hops to, since I don't have it in my bank, the 780
> never locks on to it.
>
> Is it possible that if the radios out in the field loose the control
> channel they retain the same operating parameters??
>
> If any one has any similar experiences or knows a fix or work around I'd
> appreciate anything you can send my way.
>

> Jim

3046. Scancat & nrd 545

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:20:43 UTC
From: Stuart Meredith <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a5625q$rr5$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Can you programme memories from Scancat to a NRD 545 and How?

Stuart

Email info@smartmarks.co.uk

3047. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:33:20 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <Q9wd8.81572$Hu6.18946027@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 51714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"TDD" <twert21@ivorycoast.zoom> wrote in message
news:3c76896a.4732214@news.teranews.com...
> On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 10:12:30 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:
>
> >I am also considering a UBC 3000xlt. I notice the battery pack can be
> >expensive. Any of you have experience with this radio. Is it worth $260
new?
> >I notice it's extremely fast compared to my Icom R2. What else is worth
> >considering? Thanks!
> >DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >
>
> I have a 2500 and it's worthless because I refuse to pay that kind of
> money for a shit-ass terrible battery packs.

I think there was a source to buy NiMH packs but don't remember where?
DeWayne

3048. Hearing TRUNKED without any frequency book or guide? Please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 18:41:06 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0202221040.1009ac86@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51715

I know that where I live there is trunking systems BUT there is no way I can get any specific frequencies because in for my country there is no books like PoliceCall, etc,.
Ok, so, what do you suggest me? I have a Bearcat BC245XLT. While in normal mode I do a SEARCH I get a lot of frequencies, thats how I do my exploration. How can I hear all the TRUNKING CONVERSATIONS out there without having any frequency guide? Can I hear the conversation that are taking place in trunking systems while searching in normal mode or what am I supposed to do? Am I doomed? Thanks!

3049. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 20:10:22 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <OAxd8.3236$s03.87973@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

> I have a 2500 and it's worthless because I refuse to pay that kind of
> money for a shit-ass terrible battery packs.

Don't you have to love the fine, friendly folks in newsgroups??

3050. wtb: Pro 2005 or 2006, 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 20:13:54 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <6Exd8.3237$s03.88335@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51717
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for a purchase of a 2005/2006 or 9000xlt that looks great and works perfect. Must have original antenna-manual and box a plus but not necessary. Must have a valid pic of the unit and at least one with the display light on. Please email me at bpk8227@cox.net . Under $275 shipped please.

3051. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 20:14:39 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <PExd8.3238$s03.88181@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51718

Hey, you should be a detective!!
"The_AntiArt" <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message
news:2F807265C04F87C1.7103A2C6CDAEB0A8.48CD051D480DC39B@lp.airnews.net...
> On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 10:12:30 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:
>
> >I am also considering a UBC 3000xlt. I notice the battery pack can be
> >expensive. Any of you have experience with this radio. Is it worth $260
new?
> >I notice it's extremely fast compared to my Icom R2. What else is worth
> >considering? Thanks!
> >DeWayne KE9K
> >
>
> That's odd. Didn't you try to sell a UBC3000 in July 2001 as follows:
>
> From: "DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
> Subject: FS: Bearcat UBC-3000XLT
> Lines: 6
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
> Message-ID: <3Wi57.153011$mG4.73700177@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
> Date: Wed, 18 Jul 2001 16:36:15 GMT
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.15.216.61
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
> X-Trace: news1.mntp1.il.home.com 995474175 65.15.216.61 (Wed, 18 Jul
> 2001 09:36:15 PDT)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Jul 2001 09:36:15 PDT
> Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband
> http://home.com/faster
> Xref: news-f.iadfw.net rec.radio.scanner:235541
> alt.radio.scanner:154620
>
> FS: Bearcat UBC 3000XLT handheld, hardly used, bought new
> this year, nice case, Watson W881 Super Gainer antenna, box,
> manual, PS, like new!!! $250 + shipping.
> DeWayne KE9K

3052. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 20:24:21 UTC
From: twert21@ivorycoast.zoom
Message-ID: <3c76a8be.12751986@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 20:10:22 GMT, "B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote:

>> I have a 2500 and it's worthless because I refuse to pay that kind of
>> money for a shit-ass terrible battery packs.
>
>
> Don't you have to love the fine, friendly folks in newsgroups??
>
>
I'm telling you the way it is. I'm not going to pay $50 for another
crappy battery pack which is worst than the telephone battery pack the
245 uses. At least those ones are cheap enough and last longer.

3053. Re: Motorola trunk radio question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 21:03:07 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fmyd8.6384$lm1.442402@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

rob <RCARLYLE@YAHOO.COM> wrote in message
news:ef99413b.0202220012.35536e13@posting.google.com...
> Is it possible to program a motorola radio to follow a trunks system
> just like a trunktracker scanner?
>
> Here is my situation:
> i have a 800mhz visar and i also have a trunk tracker at home. I want
> to program my visar so it does the same thing as my uniden scanner. i
> dont want to talk on the system but just listen and follow the radio
> traffic.
>
> thanks
> Robert C
> USCG OEM

If the radio has scan ability then it would scan the talkgroups that are
programmed into your radio.

3054. Re: Pro 2005 or 2006, 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 21:16:42 UTC
From: jimvm111 <jimvm111@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_yyd8.9049$ZC3.709649@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I sold my Pro 2005 for $90.00 at flea market. The backlight was still
working.
I tried for years to sell this thing and finally let it go.

"B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:6Exd8.3237$s03.88335@news2.east.cox.net...
> Looking for a purchase of a 2005/2006 or 9000xlt that looks great and
works
> perfect. Must have original antenna-manual and box a plus but not
necessary.
> Must have a valid pic of the unit and at least one with the display light
> on. Please email me at bpk8227@cox.net . Under $275 shipped please.
>
>

3055. Re: Pro 2005 or 2006, 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 21:23:52 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020222162352.28049.00000083@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 51722

Want ice cream to go with those scanner request and maybe someone to come over and turn tem on and off for you?

3056. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 21:27:27 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020222162727.17546.00000045@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 51723

>Subject: Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws
>From: Keith nospamplease@newsguy.com
>Date: 2/21/2002 10:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <a54iuu01cup@enews3.newsguy.com>
>
>Neutrodyne wrote:
>
>>No kidding. I bet he can't play his stereo as loud as he pleases either.
>
> Well if I live in a CC&R neighborhood and have a TV antenna then if I hook
>the stereo up to the antenna the government says that is illegal. Do you
>think the government should be able to tell you not to hook up your stereo
>to the antenna on your home?
>
>
>
>--
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith

This is unenforceable and just a silly interpretation of the law. Nobody has time to sit outside your dwelling and try to determine what is hooked to your TV antenna (unless you are pirating FM). Now if you are trying to pass off a 20 meter beam or an 80 meter dipole as a "TV Antenna", you might be able to run afoul of this law. As far as anything hooked to an antenna that even vaguely resembles a TV antenna, you'd have to go 500 miles out of your way to get anyone to notice you were doing it, let alone to find anyone who would try and get you arrested for it.
It's still illegal for you to reveal what you hear on the scanner or the contents of a ham conversation. Unless you are using the information in the comission of a crime, a blackmail or publishing the information in a newspaper you'll never be prosecuted for it. It doesn't bother me a bit that "they" could throw me in jail for this. If the government gets to the point where they are using excuses this flimsy to arrest people, there will be plenty of company in the slammer. The fact is you can choose to not sign the agreement, not to buy in those areas.
It seems to me that if you want to have a nice big antenna farm the smart thing to do would be buy yourself a few acres in a rural setting where you won't bother anybody and they won't bother you. A lot cheaper too.
Just my 2 cents worth on why I'm not as excited about this as you are.

3057. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 21:36:32 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <EA4DA3B2D7B251AB.EDE54CD7632F2469.24EA538F147813A7@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 51724

On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 20:24:21 GMT, twert21@ivorycoast.zoom (TDD) wrote:

>On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 20:10:22 GMT, "B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote:
>

>I'm telling you the way it is. I'm not going to pay $50 for another
>crappy battery pack which is worst than the telephone battery pack the
>245 uses. At least those ones are cheap enough and last longer.

Oddly, I have a BC2500XLT also. The battery packs (BP2500) go
bad quickly because the voltage detect threshold of the radio is
too high, and it shuts down before the pack is discharged.

Repeated recharging of the pack and overcharging kill the
internal NiCad cells.

If you want to use the radio, the best solution is to replace
the internal cells with a drop-in "guts" pack with NiMH cells
that can be had for under $30 from companies like
"nicadlady.com" or "primecell.com".

Be advised though that the BC2500XLT has many performance
problems. I eventually put mine out of service and purchased a
UBC3000XLT (which peaked my interest in this thread), but
neither of these radios is very useful to me any more because
they are not trunk trackers.

3058. Re: Pro 2005 or 2006, 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 21:56:37 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <p8zd8.3282$s03.93277@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51725
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thanks. You have been a big help.
"jimvm111" <jimvm111@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:_yyd8.9049$ZC3.709649@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I sold my Pro 2005 for $90.00 at flea market. The backlight was still
> working.
> I tried for years to sell this thing and finally let it go.
>
>
> "B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:6Exd8.3237$s03.88335@news2.east.cox.net...
> > Looking for a purchase of a 2005/2006 or 9000xlt that looks great and
> works
> > perfect. Must have original antenna-manual and box a plus but not
> necessary.
> > Must have a valid pic of the unit and at least one with the display
light
> > on. Please email me at bpk8227@cox.net . Under $275 shipped please.
> >
> >
>
>

3059. Re: RS Pro2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 22:47:55 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <vUzd8.4880$4xE.4574@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 51726
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What type of price ranges do they come in new & used. $$$Canadian of course...

"Email to above or see post sig for address!" <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote in message news:ghpc7uspd39m4o87gh396v09baopq5dstn@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 07:39:13 GMT, "ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote:
>
>
> >And if I did look at a possible trade, what would be a good choice to get in
> >return that has PL/CTCSS and EDACS trunking.
>
> PL & EDACS, then your choices are limited to:
>
> Pro 92, 2067 or BC780
>
> ----------
> "The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc
> ----------
> "Love is a dangerous angel"
> ----------
> AOSC#: FL-41-1184
> The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
> http://tampascan.home.dyndns.org
> Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mansotaflscan
> Tampa Bay Area Scanner List
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/tampabayscan
> Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> http://wpascanner.home.dyndns.org/scnrng.htm
> ----------
> Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> ----------
> You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002

3060. BC-780xlt signal stength bar behavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 23:17:00 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <bsteinman@core.com>
Message-ID: <3c76d177$0$15925$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 51727

I've noticed that my BC780XLT will have the a few signal strength bars lit when sitting on various frequecies at ~155Mhz. All antennae and radio are in the same location.

Heres the situation: BC780XLT is in manual mode, tuned virtually any frequency from 25-512Mhz (. Speaker is squelched (though it doesn't have any effect on these symptoms)

Here's the facts:
1. There is no carrier on that frequency, peroid. I have verified it with other radios! Releasing squelch reveals normal static, not even a trace of carrier.
2. The number of signal strength bars varies by whichever antenna is connected to it. Results from 155.850.
a. RS version of the Grove Scantenna (20-044 - has elements for 800/900Mhz reception) - all bars lit constantly
b. RS Scanner Ground Plane (20-176) - moves between 3,4,5 bars lit, usually 4 bars
c. Homemade 1/4 wave Ground Plane inside apartment (tuned for ~850Mhz) - 4 bars
d. no antenna connected - 1 bar blinks intermittently
e. 50 ohm terminating resistor - 1 bar blinks intermittently, 2nd bars blinks even less frequently
3. Same as above except Attenuator activated.
a-c. All lose 1-2 strength bars.
d. no change.
e. normally no bars though the 1st bar flickers once every 10-30 seconds
4. I have confirmed that my residence the unhappy receipient of high RF levels of 930Mhz paging traffic. In fact, my PRO-2006, (when tuned to 159Mhz and having anything less than 3 layers of heavy aluminum foil encasing the entire unit) is overloaded by a particular transmitter on 929.6125Mhz. Of which the FCC license database has no record of within 25 miles..... I've spend quite a bit of time of this...even locating the likely location of the transmitter.
5. The signal strength bar effect only occurs on frequencies >512 Mhz. I have not found a >806Mhz frequency to exhibit this problem.
6. All of the modes NFM,FM,WFM,AM all exhibit the effect, except for in WFM you can subtract 1 bar.... to be expected.

Even though the BC780 is wisely not breaking the squelch (as there is no carrier on that frequency), I am wondering if the BC780 is somehow indicating how much 'undesirable' RF that it is currently dealing with (filtering,etc) in the IF Stages with the signal strengh bars. Or it could be a defect and/or a firmware bug. Has anyone else experienced this???????

p.s. Also, I am in the process of acquiring a Notch Filter for 930Mhz. I've found a company that will make a single cavity version for $155 (w/ 16db att) and a double cavity for $325.
http://www.microwavefilter.com/cable/narrowno.htm Anybody else have a good idea... pls drop me a line.... :)

--
Brad Steinman N8ZRP
steinman@speakeasy.net
For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu

3061. BC-3000 REDUCED to $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-22 23:34:07 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0202221534.61898910@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51728

BC-3000, Nice shape. Needs new battery pak insert ($26)

$125 shipped in US

John
Boise, Idaho

3062. Re: Hearing TRUNKED without any frequency book or guide? Please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 01:00:34 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <SQBd8.30800$co1.4922267@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51729

Since you know some of the frequencies of that particular trunked system it should
be fairly easy to find the control channel by doing a limit search a few mhz wide around
those frequencies(the control channel has a steady data flow to it).

I don't know if the 245xlt has a control channel only mode, but if it does the easiest
thing is to take advantage of that mode so the scanner details all of the voice channels for you
and bingo you are following in trinked mode.

Another option is to tap the discriminator of the radio for use with a data slicer and
a computer program like trunker to display the details of your trunked system. You can then
key the info into your scanner. You have to find the control channel for this to work.

The last option is to find as many channels as possible doing a limit search and key them
into the scanner as you find them. Of course you also need the control channel for this.

Good luck
"Faive" <faive@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:305ca694.0202221040.1009ac86@posting.google.com...
> I know that where I live there is trunking systems BUT there is no way
> I can get any specific frequencies because in for my country there is
> no books like PoliceCall, etc,.
> Ok, so, what do you suggest me? I have a Bearcat BC245XLT. While in
> normal mode I do a SEARCH I get a lot of frequencies, thats how I do
> my exploration. How can I hear all the TRUNKING CONVERSATIONS out
> there without having any frequency guide? Can I hear the conversation
> that are taking place in trunking systems while searching in normal
> mode or what am I supposed to do? Am I doomed? Thanks!

3063. Re: Uniden 590XLT Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 01:31:34 UTC
From: Snowbat <snowbat@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <lqod7uop8q6ul7m7cr9iqiikbp6i26952c@4ax.com>
Source record: 51730
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Flingel Bunt wrote in message
<XGbd8.9076$Ah1.686168@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>:

>I've got an old Uniden Bearcat 590XLT, roughly 10 -12 years old.
>It's lost all sound, from both internal & external speakers.
>Still picking signals, just no sound.
>Now not being an expert, I presume the Pot for the volume has failed.
>Is replacement an option, are replacements available?
>Is it worth repairing or should I just scrap it?
>Could it be something else?

More likely to be something else... headphone socket passthrough,
audio amp failure, squelch switching fault, discriminator failure etc.
Pots tend to go intermittant/scratchy over time and sudden failure is
rare (unless subjected to impact).

It may be worth getting a repair estimate - repair cost will depend on
the nature of the fault (and integrity of the person/outfit looking at
it).

3064. Frederick, MD Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 02:13:43 UTC
From: chrism99@adelphia.net
Message-ID: <ucud7usqv49p6uqth65q2fhldef1bgaa2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 51731

Just moved to Frederick, MD. Can't find too many frequencies to scan.
Anyone have a list of frequencies for this area? (primarily
interested in State Police frequencies and anything elsethat may be
interesting)

Thanks!
I can be emailed at chrism99@adelphia.net

3065. Re: Frederick, MD Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 02:43:42 UTC
From: Larry <YZTFEXXAQNPS@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <ylDd8.654$FE4.25010@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51732

http://www.cityfreq.com:81/

<chrism99@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:ucud7usqv49p6uqth65q2fhldef1bgaa2f@4ax.com...
> Just moved to Frederick, MD. Can't find too many frequencies to scan.
> Anyone have a list of frequencies for this area? (primarily
> interested in State Police frequencies and anything elsethat may be
> interesting)
>
> Thanks!
> I can be emailed at chrism99@adelphia.net

3066. Re: Software connect BC895XLT into PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 02:58:25 UTC
From: Scanman <dfw1417@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a575rb029nu@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 51737

Here is a great link! Online protocol guide, downloadable protocol listing in 5 formats and FREE software. http://members.ispwest.com/softrol/
ENJOY!

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message news:20020219174034.24923.00001605@mb-fj.aol.com...
> The only one that I know of that was really free was PC895 and he seems to have
> pulled the plug on that unless he has just moved his web site. Radio Manager is
> a really good program that seemed to work much better than demos to other
> programs that I have tried and it is only 40.00. I intend to get it if I can
> ever find the time to play with my radios again.
> www.dfwalmanac.com/bensware/rm.htm
>
> Cheers,
> Rob Mills ~ Tulsa, Okla.

3067. Fairmate HP-2000 dc-light scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 03:00:51 UTC
From: Chas <wg2a@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <u7e1cf7vfva18b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51733

I have for sale the Fairmate HP-2000 scanner. This is an OEM version of the AOR AR1000. This is a 1000 channel, 500khz to 1.3 ghz CONTINUOUS receiver. Receives am, nbfm & wbfm. It comes with the box, manual, Hint manual, two antennas (one for vhf\uhf, the other for low-band & sw) and a vinyl case. Radio is in very good shape, 8.5 out of 10. $175 or best offer plus shipping.
Chuck WG2A

3068. Re: BC-780xlt signal stength bar behavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 03:38:19 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C770EA7.6F063AFF@optonline.net>
Source record: 51734

What happens if you take the unit out to some isolated atea and repeat
the experiment?

Brad Steinman wrote:
>
> I've noticed that my BC780XLT will have the a few signal strength bars lit
> when sitting on various frequecies at ~155Mhz. All antennae and radio are
> in the same location.
>
> Heres the situation: BC780XLT is in manual mode, tuned virtually any
> frequency from 25-512Mhz (. Speaker is squelched (though it doesn't have any
> effect on these symptoms)
>
> Here's the facts:
> 1. There is no carrier on that frequency, peroid. I have verified it with
> other radios! Releasing squelch reveals normal static, not even a trace of
> carrier.
> 2. The number of signal strength bars varies by whichever antenna is
> connected to it. Results from 155.850.
> a. RS version of the Grove Scantenna (20-044 - has elements for
> 800/900Mhz reception) - all bars lit constantly
> b. RS Scanner Ground Plane (20-176) - moves between 3,4,5 bars lit,
> usually 4 bars
> c. Homemade 1/4 wave Ground Plane inside apartment (tuned for ~850Mhz) -
> 4 bars
> d. no antenna connected - 1 bar blinks intermittently
> e. 50 ohm terminating resistor - 1 bar blinks intermittently, 2nd bars
> blinks even less frequently
> 3. Same as above except Attenuator activated.
> a-c. All lose 1-2 strength bars.
> d. no change.
> e. normally no bars though the 1st bar flickers once every 10-30 seconds
> 4. I have confirmed that my residence the unhappy receipient of high RF
> levels of 930Mhz paging traffic. In fact, my PRO-2006, (when tuned to
> 159Mhz and having anything less than 3 layers of heavy aluminum foil
> encasing the entire unit) is overloaded by a particular transmitter on
> 929.6125Mhz. Of which the FCC license database has no record of within 25
> miles..... I've spend quite a bit of time of this...even locating the likely
> location of the transmitter.
> 5. The signal strength bar effect only occurs on frequencies >512 Mhz. I
> have not found a >806Mhz frequency to exhibit this problem.
> 6. All of the modes NFM,FM,WFM,AM all exhibit the effect, except for in WFM
> you can subtract 1 bar.... to be expected.
>

> Even though the BC780 is wisely not breaking the squelch (as there is no
> carrier on that frequency), I am wondering if the BC780 is somehow
> indicating how much 'undesirable' RF that it is currently dealing with
> (filtering,etc) in the IF Stages with the signal strengh bars. Or it could
> be a defect and/or a firmware bug. Has anyone else experienced this???????
>
> p.s. Also, I am in the process of acquiring a Notch Filter for 930Mhz. I've
> found a company that will make a single cavity version for $155 (w/ 16db
> att) and a double cavity for $325.
> http://www.microwavefilter.com/cable/narrowno.htm Anybody else have a good
> idea... pls drop me a line.... :)
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> steinman@speakeasy.net
> For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu

3069. ATTN: San Diego (Calif) County Listeners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 03:59:46 UTC
From: Otis Cribblecoblis <alaininvc@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3C7713B2.76D4F97A@netscape.net>
Source record: 51735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Stopped off at Ham Radio Outlet today. They have an previously owned, ICOM R-7000, unblocked w/ tv adapter for $675.

I looked at it and it's an 8+ in the cosmetic department. They don't have a UHF/VHF antenna up, otherwise I would have asked for a listener demo.

Just thought you'd like to know...

3070. Disneyworld / Reedy Creek Freqs / talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 04:12:04 UTC
From: ejwatts@home.com
Message-ID: <3C7714E6.95648B5B@home.com>
Source record: 51736

Is it my imagination, do I have a problem with my Trunktracker, or has Disney /Reedy Creek
moved their talkgroups around? I don't hear Reedy Creek Fire at all anymore, and the
following security talkgroups have changed:

400-1 now 58672
400-2 now 58704
400-3 now 58736
400-4 now 58768
400-5 now 58800

All the housekeeping (300) Downtown Disney (500) and Construction (600) talkgroups are still where they were. I verified the fleet map programming, and
it is matches my records as:

0 S-3 1 S-3 2 S-11 3 S-11 4 S-4 5 S-11 6 S-4
7 S-0

Can anyone help me out on this? Any idea where Reedy Creek (Fire / Ambo) went?
THANKS!

3071. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 04:56:16 UTC
From: AstroMan <dont@spam.me>
Message-ID: <u7e87hpke0n04e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51738

--

"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:a54iop01ckd@enews3.newsguy.com...
> Terrence wrote:
>
> >I guess if we had any idea what a CC&R was, we'd already know about the
> >petition.
>
> CC&R's are contracts in neighborhood associations.

NOT EVEN CLOSE! CC&R stands for Covenants, Conditions & Restrictions.
These are filed with property deeds and have nothing to do with neighborhood
associations. When you purchase property, it is up to YOU to research any
conditions by going to the courthouse where the property is defined (a
plat) and READ the deed restrictions. Anyone can enforce a CC&R, it doesn't
have to be a neighborhood association. However, neighborhood associations,
usually called Homeowners' Associations, can have their own set of rules or
"Architectural Standards" which only they can enforce.

As a homeowner, you are legally obligated to BOTH kinds of rules.

3072. Re: Scancat & nrd 545

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 05:07:23 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c772388$0$18469$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51739
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Don't bother. Scancat is a primitive piece of software that hasn't changed much since the early DOS versions in the early 90's. There are much better programs around, several of them freebies. Try

http://members.core.com/~parnass/

or look in your email for a copy of NRDWin. Hope you like it <g>.

Ruff

"Stuart Meredith" <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk> wrote in message news:a5625q$rr5$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> Hi,
>
> Can you programme memories from Scancat to a NRD 545 and How?
>
> Stuart
>
> Email info@smartmarks.co.uk
>
>

3073. Re: Software connect BC895XLT into PC...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 05:11:50 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020223001150.10836.00000174@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 51740

Yeah it is, looks interesting, I'll give it a try.
Thanks

3074. Re: BC-780xlt signal stength bar behavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 05:40:23 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <steinman@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <u7ear3m49v9t38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51741

Make that "5. The signal strength bar effect only occurs on frequencies
<512 Mhz." :)

--
Brad Steinman N8ZRP
steinman@speakeasy.net
For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
"Brad Steinman" <bsteinman@core.com> wrote in message
news:3c76d177$0$15925$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com...
> I've noticed that my BC780XLT will have the a few signal strength bars lit
> when sitting on various frequecies at ~155Mhz. All antennae and radio are
> in the same location.
>
> Heres the situation: BC780XLT is in manual mode, tuned virtually any
> frequency from 25-512Mhz (. Speaker is squelched (though it doesn't have
any
> effect on these symptoms)
>
> Here's the facts:
> 1. There is no carrier on that frequency, peroid. I have verified it with
> other radios! Releasing squelch reveals normal static, not even a trace
of
> carrier.
> 2. The number of signal strength bars varies by whichever antenna is
> connected to it. Results from 155.850.
> a. RS version of the Grove Scantenna (20-044 - has elements for
> 800/900Mhz reception) - all bars lit constantly
> b. RS Scanner Ground Plane (20-176) - moves between 3,4,5 bars lit,
> usually 4 bars
> c. Homemade 1/4 wave Ground Plane inside apartment (tuned for
~850Mhz) -
> 4 bars
> d. no antenna connected - 1 bar blinks intermittently
> e. 50 ohm terminating resistor - 1 bar blinks intermittently, 2nd bars
> blinks even less frequently
> 3. Same as above except Attenuator activated.
> a-c. All lose 1-2 strength bars.
> d. no change.
> e. normally no bars though the 1st bar flickers once every 10-30
seconds
> 4. I have confirmed that my residence the unhappy receipient of high RF
> levels of 930Mhz paging traffic. In fact, my PRO-2006, (when tuned to
> 159Mhz and having anything less than 3 layers of heavy aluminum foil
> encasing the entire unit) is overloaded by a particular transmitter on

> 929.6125Mhz. Of which the FCC license database has no record of within 25
> miles..... I've spend quite a bit of time of this...even locating the
likely
> location of the transmitter.
> 5. The signal strength bar effect only occurs on frequencies >512 Mhz. I
> have not found a >806Mhz frequency to exhibit this problem.
> 6. All of the modes NFM,FM,WFM,AM all exhibit the effect, except for in
WFM
> you can subtract 1 bar.... to be expected.
>
> Even though the BC780 is wisely not breaking the squelch (as there is no
> carrier on that frequency), I am wondering if the BC780 is somehow
> indicating how much 'undesirable' RF that it is currently dealing with
> (filtering,etc) in the IF Stages with the signal strengh bars. Or it
could
> be a defect and/or a firmware bug. Has anyone else experienced
this???????
>
> p.s. Also, I am in the process of acquiring a Notch Filter for 930Mhz.
I've
> found a company that will make a single cavity version for $155 (w/ 16db
> att) and a double cavity for $325.
> http://www.microwavefilter.com/cable/narrowno.htm Anybody else have a
good
> idea... pls drop me a line.... :)
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> steinman@speakeasy.net
> For secure comms-PublicPGP Key avail at pgpkeys.mit.edu
>
>

3075. Calgary, Alberta freqs Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 06:39:36 UTC
From: Peter Baran <pbaran@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <u7ee08d8bb1m38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51742

Looking for anybody in the Calgary Alberta area that can help me out with some freqs for that city. I have a TrunkTracker, so trunked freqs are welcome as well.
I have already found some trunked freqs at:

http://trunktracker.com/states/canada.html#ab

&
http://home.att.net/~wwhitby/canada.htm

I have also been to the Canadian TAFL listings for any offerings there.

I am aware that Calgary City Police are using a digital system, therefore not listenable.
I am interested in any other freqs that you may have to offer.

Thanks in Advance
Peter

3076. Re: Pro 2005 or 2006, 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 07:02:20 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <08Hd8.3429$s03.126894@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51743

Like your spell check?
"Farmandjr69" <farmandjr69@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20020222162352.28049.00000083@mb-mm.news.cs.com...
> Want ice cream to go with those scanner request and maybe someone to come
over
> and turn tem on and off for you?

3077. Any Advice?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 07:02:43 UTC
From: Zwarte10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15422-3C773E93-157@storefull-2254.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 51745

i have a uniden bearcat bc245xlt scanner was wondering if there is anything i could do to improve on it.im new to this so im kinda lost on improvements.also i have been picking up corless phones and cell phones around903 to 908 mhz i thought this couldnt be done with a scannner like mine any help or advice would help thanks.

3078. Whats The Best PRO-2067 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 07:12:24 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020223021224.16967.00000140@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 51744

Hello,
I own the PRO-2067 and was wondering what the best software on the market
for programming it is? I am currently using SCANPRO now but would love to know
if this is the only and best software to use?

Please let me know...

Thanks - Jeffrey (n9mxt1@aol.com)

3079. Re: <_=La Raza Donkeys Assault "White Guys"=_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 08:24:42 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <elId8.1322$FE4.69946@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Ah, comes the weekend and the resident troll suffers from krauesening poisoning and vents himself of some incredible piece of racist horseshit crossposted to a bunch of groups with nothing in common.

Well, it must be Friday nite.

"Eric Lai" <laie@LaRazaDonkeys.com> wrote in message news:MPG.16e0d86b62871bc19896dd@24.2.2.74...
> ::
>
> La Raza (means "blood of donkeys" in meztizo) go looking for white blood.
>
> Friday, February 22, 2002 - 12:00 a.m. Pacific
>
> Hate crime suspected in attacks in Ballard: 4 men crashed party, beat up
> 'white guys'
>
> By Ian Ith
> Seattle Times staff reporter
>
>
> Seattle police are trying to track down four Hispanic men for possible
> hate-crime charges after they crashed a house party in Ballard early
> yesterday and trounced several white guests because they felt like "beating
> up some white guys," police said.
>
> A 17-year-old Crown Hill boy suffered head and body injuries and remained in
> serious condition at Harborview Medical Center yesterday.
>
> Partygoers at the house in the 1500 block of Northwest 60th Street told
> police that the four, in their 20s, showed up uninvited about 12:30 a.m. All
> were dressed alike in blue FUBU-brand jackets and black pants.
>
> A teenage girl was holding the party in her home because her mother was out
> of town, police said. No one knew the four men.
>
> One of the men asked a partygoer, a 21-year-old black man, "Do you feel like

> beating some white guys?" according to a police report.
>
> The black man said no and stepped out back to smoke. When he came back
> inside, he told police, he saw the men jump the white Crown Hill teen,
knock
> him to the floor and punch and kick him repeatedly.
>
> Another partygoer tried to help the teen, but the attackers punched him
in
> the face several times, police said. Several other guests also were
punched,
> police said.
>
> The attackers left the Crown Hill teen lying on the front steps and ran
to a
> red or maroon Toyota Corolla, witnesses said. Then two came back and
kicked
> the teen in the head a few more times. Then they all sped off in the
car.
>
> Police say the girl who hosted the party could be charged with alcohol
> possession. After sending everyone home, officers collected about 50
beer
> and liquor bottles from the house.
>
>
> Copyright © 2002 The Seattle Times Company
>
> http://seattletimes.nwsource.com/html/localnews/134409056_attack22m.html

3080. Anybody try to track police MDTs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 09:04:55 UTC
From: Nathan Going <nathangoing@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XWId8.211693$Gb1.31664593@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 51647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am sure it can be done, but how?

3081. Re: Anybody try to track police MDTs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 12:45:32 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a582pj$5bl8b$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://trunkedradio.net/digital.htm

http://trunkedradio.net/digital/interface.htm

"Nathan Going" <nathangoing@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:XWId8.211693$Gb1.31664593@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I am sure it can be done, but how?
>
>

3082. Re: help bct 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 13:03:37 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <u7f1euqegftfa0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51648

located problen, repaired same
carl
****
"Wesser" <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:u7360lf2db7ob7@corp.supernews.com...
> unit is opened ,reciever in scan or manual works ,, can't get it to
search..
> any ideas?
> thank you
> wesser@hiwaay.net
>
>

3083. Re: Anybody try to track police MDTs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 13:25:56 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ELMd8.5535$dK1.15580@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 51651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Nathan Going wrote:

> I am sure it can be done, but how?
>
>

This question appears here often. There is a program called *MDTMON* or something similar which is supposed to work on some *old* MDT systems. Recent MDT systems appearently are encrytped and can't be decoded.

This info comes from the collected "wisdom" of the news groups, so take it for what that's worth. I think those of us who want to monitor MDT are out of luck. :(.

--
**
** This space for hire
**

3084. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 13:40:18 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C779BC2.CA9C4464@USA.net>
Source record: 51652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Yep, "IF" they didn't have anything to bitch about they wouldn't have
anything to say.

Point: When you buy those over priced scanners always expect to pay big
for accessories. If they don't get you now, they WILL get you later.

B wrote:

> > I have a 2500 and it's worthless because I refuse to pay that kind of
> > money for a shit-ass terrible battery packs.
>
> Don't you have to love the fine, friendly folks in newsgroups??

3085. PRO-2006 with the OS456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 13:42:23 UTC
From: Skyhawk <sky444@mint.net>
Message-ID: <3%Md8.10524$xs1.3090260@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51653

PRO-2006 with the OS456 Opto Board.8 on a 10 scale with all docs,boxes,etc.
and so on...make offer

3086. Re: BC-3000 REDUCED to $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 13:52:17 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C779E91.2F7818E6@USA.net>
Source record: 51654

Put it on ebay John. Some of those people pay BIG bucks for an item not worth a cent. You will sell it there and for more than your asking here.

John Miller wrote:

> BC-3000, Nice shape. Needs new battery pak insert ($26)
>
> $125 shipped in US
>
> John
> Boise, Idaho

3087. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 14:13:01 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C77A36D.40403@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 51655

Neutrodyne wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws
>>From: Keith nospamplease@newsguy.com
>>Date: 2/21/2002 10:53 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <a54iuu01cup@enews3.newsguy.com>
>>
>>Neutrodyne wrote:
>>
>>
>>>No kidding. I bet he can't play his stereo as loud as he pleases either.
>>>
>>Well if I live in a CC&R neighborhood and have a TV antenna then if I hook
>>the stereo up to the antenna the government says that is illegal. Do you
>>think the government should be able to tell you not to hook up your stereo
>>to the antenna on your home?
>>

Unless you tell someone what is hooked to the antenna, how would you get
in trouble? Heck I could have a scanner hooked to the TV antenna and
who would know? Damn, I hope the antenna police don't come knocking at
my door..
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3088. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 14:34:18 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C77A86A.1A8FE811@yahoo.com>
Source record: 51656

TDD wrote:
>
> On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 02:32:16 GMT, David M Schertzer
> <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> >Or get a program FREE on the net that does the same...
> >
>
> Where? What's it called? How do you hook up the radio to the PC?

You know, there are these magical things called search engines. ;-)

I put "invert.zip" into yahoo's search window and found (after a
bit of surfing) this:

http://krasnodar.online.ru/hamradio/rw6at/1998/invert.zip

Hooking up to the PC is just as easy. Run a line from the scanner's
external speaker jack to your soundcard's line input. You will probably
have to build an attenuator to cut the signal down.
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½

3089. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 15:10:33 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020223101033.10622.00000100@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 51657

>Subject: Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws
>From: GeorgeF av8tor@licensed4fun.com
>Date: 2/23/2002 8:13 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3C77A36D.40403@licensed4fun.com>
>
>
>
>Neutrodyne wrote:
>
>>>Subject: Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws
>>>From: Keith nospamplease@newsguy.com
>>>Date: 2/21/2002 10:53 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <a54iuu01cup@enews3.newsguy.com>
>>>
>>>Neutrodyne wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>No kidding. I bet he can't play his stereo as loud as he pleases either.
>>>>
>>>Well if I live in a CC&R neighborhood and have a TV antenna then if I hook
>>>the stereo up to the antenna the government says that is illegal. Do you
>>>think the government should be able to tell you not to hook up your stereo
>>>to the antenna on your home?
>>>
>
>Unless you tell someone what is hooked to the antenna, how would you get
>in trouble? Heck I could have a scanner hooked to the TV antenna and
>who would know? Damn, I hope the antenna police don't come knocking at
>my door..
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>

Just for the record, it isn't very clear, but the only part of any of the
above that I wrote was the one sentence about playing the stereo loud.
while the FCC is pretty dilligent on sending a crew right out to
investigate when it gets a complaint from a commercial broadcaster that a 1/4
watt pirate FM is stealing the commercial station's audience, they have no
staff for much of anything else.
Look what gets by on the CB and certain parts of the ham bands these
days. I'd tell you all about it but that would be a violation of the
Communications Act of 1934.
Neutrodyne

"My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20, 2002

3090. Re: <_=La Raza Donkeys Assault "White Guys"=_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 15:24:58 UTC
From: Hamaholic <hamaholic@msn.comm>
Message-ID: <evOd8.63608$X64.22541903@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 51658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Who is the resdident troll ? this guy has never posted here before unless
it is scott69
Better clean off those bi focals

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:elId8.1322$FE4.69946@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Ah, comes the weekend and the resident troll suffers from krauesening
> poisoning and vents himself of some incredible piece of racist horseshit
> crossposted to a bunch of groups with nothing in common.
>
> Well, it must be Friday nite.
>
> "Eric Lai" <laie@LaRazaDonkeys.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.16e0d86b62871bc19896dd@24.2.2.74...
> > ::
> >
> > La Raza (means "blood of donkeys" in meztizo) go looking for white
> blood.
> >
> > Friday, February 22, 2002 - 12:00 a.m. Pacific
> >
> > Hate crime suspected in attacks in Ballard: 4 men crashed party, beat up
> > 'white guys'
> >
> > By Ian Ith
> > Seattle Times staff reporter
> >
> >
> > Seattle police are trying to track down four Hispanic men for possible
> > hate-crime charges after they crashed a house party in Ballard early
> > yesterday and trounced several white guests because they felt like
> "beating
> > up some white guys," police said.
> >
> > A 17-year-old Crown Hill boy suffered head and body injuries and
> remained in
> > serious condition at Harborview Medical Center yesterday.
> >
> > Partygoers at the house in the 1500 block of Northwest 60th Street told
> > police that the four, in their 20s, showed up uninvited about 12:30 a.m.
> All
> > were dressed alike in blue FUBU-brand jackets and black pants.
> >

> > A teenage girl was holding the party in her home because her mother was
> out
> > of town, police said. No one knew the four men.
> >
> > One of the men asked a partygoer, a 21-year-old black man, "Do you feel
> like
> > beating some white guys?" according to a police report.
> >
> > The black man said no and stepped out back to smoke. When he came back
> > inside, he told police, he saw the men jump the white Crown Hill teen,
> knock
> > him to the floor and punch and kick him repeatedly.
> >
> > Another partygoer tried to help the teen, but the attackers punched him
> in
> > the face several times, police said. Several other guests also were
> punched,
> > police said.
> >
> > The attackers left the Crown Hill teen lying on the front steps and ran
> to a
> > red or maroon Toyota Corolla, witnesses said. Then two came back and
> kicked
> > the teen in the head a few more times. Then they all sped off in the
> car.
> >
> > Police say the girl who hosted the party could be charged with alcohol
> > possession. After sending everyone home, officers collected about 50
> beer
> > and liquor bottles from the house.
> >
> >
> > Copyright © 2002 The Seattle Times Company
> >
> > http://seattletimes.nwsource.com/html/localnews/134409056_attack22m.html
>
>

3091. Re: Whats The Best PRO-2067 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 15:32:23 UTC
From: David B <Davejb@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <bCOd8.3750$Hg1.116@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51659

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win92/

--

_____
"N9mxt1" <n9mxt1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020223021224.16967.00000140@mb-bg.aol.com...
> Hello,
> I own the PRO-2067 and was wondering what the best software on the market
> for programming it is? I am currently using SCANPRO now but would love to know
> if this is the only and best software to use?
>
> Please let me know...
>
> Thanks - Jeffrey (n9mxt1@aol.com)

3092. Re: Motorola trunk radio question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 16:28:31 UTC
From: Mitchell Enterprises <sales@kenmitchell.com>
Message-ID: <3C77C32F.200D8C3B@kenmitchell.com>
Source record: 51660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

You'll probably won't have a problem in locking on an active channel in the system but it would be very difficult to follow the same conversation (one Talk Group) if the system is busy with multiple active talk groups.

rob wrote:
>
> Is it possible to program a motorola radio to follow a trunks system
> just like a trunktracker scanner?
>
> Here is my situation:
> i have a 800mhz visar and i also have a trunk tracker at home. I want
> to program my visar so it does the same thing as my uniden scanner. i
> dont want to talk on the system but just listen and follow the radio
> traffic.
>
> thanks
> Robert C
> USCG OEM

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
Mitchell Enterprises
mailto:sales@kenmitchell.com
http://www.kenmitchell.com
(916) 772-1400
(916) 772-1401 (Fax)

3093. Re: help bct 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 16:30:29 UTC
From: davidm3123@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020223113029.09741.00000247@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51661

Care to share what the problem was? And how you repaired it?

In case my 200 starts acting the same.

thanks

dm

3094. Re: Anybody try to track police MDTs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 16:47:35 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <hhhf7ugphr26bukljvp6c95qhe34d1al7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 51662
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It is best to find out what kind of MDT encoding is used on the system
you are going to try to monitor. It's WAY best to find out first
before knocking yourself out trying , only to waste 100s on manhours
for nothing.
Only MDTs with 4800 type encoding can still be monitored with
software available on the web. Most metro areas abandoned
this type of encoding years ago. There still are ,however , a few
remaining areas where it is used. (not L.A. , S.F. , NYC, Atlanta ,
Miami , Chicago, Denver , K.C. , and most large metro areas)
Occasionally you can find suitable equipment on eBay that will
do many modern types ... it often sells for the $1100 to $1800 range
used. (if it's less ..it's NOT going to do what you want.)
Be smart ... sniff around ..ask others ...find out first ...
you'll be glad you did.

K ....

On Sat, 23 Feb 2002 09:04:55 GMT, "Nathan Going"
<nathangoing@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I am sure it can be done, but how?
>

3095. Re: WTD: Morrison County, MN Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 17:27:08 UTC
From: ZZZmark@whiskey-creek.net
Message-ID: <3c77d0c6.11060655@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 51663

On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 12:26:31 -0600, "Mike W" <MNMikeW@aolspam.com>
wrote:

>Goin up to Mille Lacs this weekend.
>
Then why Morrison County?

Mille Lacs County, Aitken County would seem more appropriate.

Mark

whiskey-creek.net

The truth as I perceive it to be.
Your perception may be different.

Triple Z is spam control

3096. Re: Motorola trunk radio question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 18:46:02 UTC
From: JB <ac6tk@nospamcybertime.net>
Message-ID: <a58mst$1v71$1@madmax.keyway.net>
Source record: 51664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Possibly yes, but it is difficult. System information is
confidentially maintained by Motorola for it's customers.
This information along with specific information on
your Serial numbered Visar (with appropriate options) is
assembled into a special programming file that is given only
to your Motorola dealer assigned to program only your radio.
Of course you must be authorized by the firm whose fleet
you want to listen to before this effort may be put into
motion.

In my experience, it is fairly intensive for all parties
concerned for just one radio. We have cracked jokes
about the cost of cracking such a file, because it would
far cheaper to hire someone to carry your scanner for you.

This kind of operation is considerably easier for Nextels,
but still requires fleet owner's authorization for billing on
your unit.

Jim, ac6tk

"rob" <RCARLYLE@YAHOO.COM> wrote in message
news:ef99413b.0202220012.35536e13@posting.google.com...
: Is it possible to program a motorola radio to follow a trunks system
: just like a trunktracker scanner?
:
: Here is my situation:
: i have a 800mhz visar and i also have a trunk tracker at home. I want
: to program my visar so it does the same thing as my uniden scanner. i
: dont want to talk on the system but just listen and follow the radio
: traffic.
:
: thanks
: Robert C
: USCG OEM

3097. PRO2066 for $39.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 19:30:48 UTC
From: NJSCAN <njscan@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <I5Sd8.43147$co1.7632099@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51665

I just discovered that Radio Shack slashed the price on their first trunking scanner, the PRO-2066 (20-195) to $39.99
Here in NJ, I had to call stores in 5 different districts and have them search the other stores on their computer. I finally found what appears to be the last one in NJ in the Englewood store. If you are reading this from the NYC metro area you are probably out of luck. RS stores in more rural areas may still have some.

Good luck!

NJSCAN @altavista.com

3098. FS: Icom computer interface cable and software for IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 19:46:20 UTC
From: wil_gg@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <46a5ffb8.0202231146.f1194c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51666

I have an icom computer interface cable and programming software for IC-R2.
Asking $20 shipped in usa only.

if interested, reply directly to me.
wil_gg@yahoo.com

do not post here.

3099. Anyone with Information on a Pro 2030 Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 19:48:48 UTC
From: Patrick Dickey <pdickey43@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u7fsdic1pfsa6b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51667

hey there everyone,
I have an old Realistic Pro 2030 scanner, and am curious about how to modify it.. I'm not so much interested in getting the "cellular Frequencies" (realize that's a shock), but I do want to open it up between 174 and 380MHZ.. Currently, it has all of the channels between 30 and 174 (minus the FM radio stations), and then 380 to 512, and the 800 and 900 MHZ.. I want to get the areas that I'm missing out on if possible..
Any information will be greatly appreciated, and I do have a copy of the technical manual, so I can find the areas that you will be addressing..
Thanks again.
pat.

3100. FA**Regency 8ch Base Police/Fire Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 20:28:16 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020223152816.03081.00000278@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 51668

Item name: Regency 8ch Base Police/Fire Scanner
Item number: 1335057878
Minimum bid: $5.00
Reserve price: N/A
Quantity: 1
End date: Saturday, Mar 02, 2002 at 12:10:49 PST

To view your item, click here:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1335057878&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

3101. *FA* Regency 8ch Base Police/Fire Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 20:34:25 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020223153425.03081.00000281@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 51669

Item name: Regency 8ch Base Police/Fire Scanner
Item number: 1335057878
Minimum bid: $5.00
Reserve price: N/A
Quantity: 1
End date: Saturday, Mar 02, 2002 at 12:10:49 PST

To view your item, click here:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1335057878

3102. FS: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 20:37:42 UTC
From: Bob Schoenleber <bobs711@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0avf7u01ef6qng171f1318lkmkqu3g7pdg@4ax.com>
Source record: 51670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is a very nice handheld scanner with excellent sensitivity. The scanner is in excellent condition and comes with the manual, AC Adaptor, Antenna and optional carrying case.
400 Channel Continuous Band Scanner. 25 MHz-1.3GHz. FEATURES: 400 Channel, High Performance Continuous Band Scanner, 20 Banks, 10 Priority Channels, Frequency Transfer, Automatic Store, Automatic Sorting, Speed Key, Delay Key, Channel Lockout
Key, and more. Step Key, Turbo Frequency Search, BRAND NEW BP-2500 1000mAh NiMH Rechargeable Battery Pack. (Excludes Cellular Frequencies.)

$250 including shipping to CONUS

Bob

3103. FS: Stoner Radio, Model PR-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 20:46:07 UTC
From: Robert Lonn <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <jcTd8.66748$dG.27784449@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 51671

This is a very neat looking radio and a bit on the Rare side. I am looking for the best offer over $200.00 It is a STONER PR-10 transceiver that works on 10 meters. I was amazed how sensitive this radio was. The stations just boomed in. It has been checked out on both receive and transmit and is fully operational. AM-USB-LSB multi speed scan, RIT control and a neat looking digital display. I don't have any DC power cord or operating instructions for this radio. The wood case is in good condition. Each side has a small screw hole from a mobile mount. The up-down microphone works great! I would rate this radio an 8 on a scale of 1-10. Please look at the pictures below. Questions can be sent to roblonn@cox.net.

http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st1.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st2.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st3.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st4.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st5.jpg
http://members.cox.net/roblonn/net/st6.jpg

3104. Re: RS Discone vs. Diamond D-130J?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 21:42:56 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C780CDF.2F91C919@erols.com>
Source record: 51672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi David...Additionally, the RS discone received poor performance reviews in the 30-50 mhz. range. The Diamond discone is a better product.
It is similar to the ICOM in construction and performance.

"David L. Wilson" wrote:

> "techcom" <techcom@netvista.net> wrote in message
> news:Y5Ib8.4506$Se.2490811@typhoon1.we.ipsvc.net...
> > I just installed a Radio Shack Discone Antenna about a month ago and the
> > antenna does pretty good for pulling in the reception. It"s on a 20 foot
> > mast tied off at the side of my house. For the price I paid for it is
> good.
> > My question is the same. What is the difference in both of them? Their
> > design is the same. The construction, for the radials on the R/S you have
> to
> > screw them into the apex assembly and tighten the nut. On the Diamond,
> there
> > is holes on the apex assembly where you insert the radials and you tighten
> > them down with a Allen Wrench. Maybe that's the difference. Which one out
> > performs the other. Maybe your buying the name.
>
> You are awfully late into this thread. The Radio Shack is hollow elements
> that can easily break in assembly (I had one do that when hand assembling
> it even with no tools) or the wind. The Diamond is solid elements and
> several of us have used them in the air and wind for years.

3105. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 21:51:20 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C780ED7.7C45EDA6@erols.com>
Source record: 51673
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A correction...it is not the FCC that wants or does not want you to monitor cellular transmissions. It is the cellular phone lobby that was successful in lobbying Congress to pass the legislation. The FCC then takes whatever actions based upon federal law. We have the best money Congress can buy. Begin first by blaming the idiot voters who keep returning some of these jerks to Congress term after term.

Dirk wrote:

> Personally, people - I think this is all BULLSHIT - and I'll tell you
> why: If these radio waves are entering MY space and going through MY
> body - then I should be able to do with them as I please. Is this not
> a violation of property? I didn't give anyone permission to shoot
> THOUSANDS, possibly even HUNDREDS of THOUSANDS of RF energy through my
> body - and I can't control the direction of radio waves (unless I
> build a home out of lead) and when I drive to the store, walk in the
> park, sit down to go fishing - whatever - there are a MAGNITUDE of
> unseen forces penetrating the air and the environment we live in.
> Studies are INCONCLUSIVE as to the harmful effects of RF radiation on
> the human body - look at cell phones specifically - first they are
> released as being "safe" then there are reports out 8~10 years later
> that exposure to radiation from close-range use of cell phones COULD
> cause brain damage. Apparently the parties responsible for doing the
> research were early pioneers of close-range radiation. Personally, my
> feelings on this are simple - if you are going to pass radiation
> through my body - whether directed, intentional or not - I should be
> able to monitor what effects my person. That being said - let's talk
> about realistic directions... I'm not condoning the monitoring
> frequencies for the intent of illegal practices. But if public
> transmissions ARE PRESENTin MY AIRSPACE - I feel we have a right to
> know what they are. I consider any UNSCRAMBLED radio waves to be
> PUBLIC. If you want privacy - use another medium or SCRAMBLE / ENCODE
> your transmission. In this type of approach, we retain the right to
> listen - but not the right to decipher.
>
> And yes, I am an avid scanner buff - I'm not here to make a stink
> about leaglity issues - more like along the lines of MORALITY issues.
> The FCC doesn't want us to be able to monitor CELL frequencies - but
> they will charter some cellular company rights to put a cell tower in
> your backyard and irradiate your immediate airspace with varying
> amounts of RF.
>
> So do we have the right?
>

> -Dirk
> LIVE 24/7 WebScanner for Mercer County PA / Trumbull County OHIO
> http://scanner.infonline.net
>
> On Mon, 24 Sep 2001 04:47:02 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> >news:p8crqt439q319mgvjs3u20qo67fgst9loc@4ax.com...
> >> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 08:46:33 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> >> <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com...
> >> >> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >>>>Danny wrote:
> >> >> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone conversations
> >in
> >> >the
> >> >> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block out
> >> >those
> >> >> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> >> >> >>EEng wrote:
> >> >> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> >> >>
> >> >> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> >> >>
> >> >> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
> >> >
> >> >The CFR is all very well, but it's not the law that prohibits cellular
> >and
> >> >cordless listening in the US.
> >> >
> >> >You want 18 USC Sec. 2511, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act,
> >which
> >> >directly prohibits monitoring cellular, cordless, and some other things.
> >> >
> >> >See: http://uscode.house.gov/usc.htm for a searchable version of the
> >USC.
> >> >Search on electronic and communications and privacy and cellular, or
> >just
> >> >directly search for title 18, section 2511.
> >> >
> >> >The FCC regulations are simply implimentations of that act, to stop you

> >from
> >> >manufacturing a receiver that is designed to monitor cellular.
> >> >
> >> >Yes, the FCC has clarified that 'modifying' a scanner to receive cellular
> >is
> >> >'manufacturing' within this rule, and a violation.
> >> >
> >> If you read it carefully, you'll see that it specifically details that
> >> USE of the content is illegal, not merely listening in, so I'll say
> >> once more, it is not illegal to listen. Qualifying that, it IS
> >> illegal to convey the content in any manner.
> >>
> >> EEng.
> >>
> >
> ><sigh> I've read it, which you apparently haven't, very well. I realize
> >it's rather impenetrable, especially on a screen instead of printed out
> >where you can follow the nested clauses, EEng, but yes, it DOES prohibit you
> >monitoring, listening, intercepting, at all. First section: You are
> >prohibited from INTERCEPTING, regardless of what you do with it. That only
> >affects whether you get only a fine, or a fine or jail time, in the penalty
> >area. If what you do meets any of a) thru e), you're in violation. They're
> >all seperate violations, you don't have to do both a) and b), etc.
> >------------
> >(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any
> >
> > person who -
> >
> > (a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other
> >person to intercept or endeavor to
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;
> >
> >(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other person to
> >use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to
> >intercept any oral communication when -
> >
> > (i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a signal
> >through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire communication;
> >or
> >
> > (ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or interferes
> >with the transmission of such communication; or
> >
> > (iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such device
> >or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or transported in
> >interstate or foreign commerce; or
> >
> > (iv) such use or endeavor to use
> >

> > (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other commercial
> >establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce;
> >or
> >
> > (B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information relating
> >to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment the
> >operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or
> >
> > (v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth
> >of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United States;
> >
> >(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person
> >the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or
> >having reason to know that the information was obtained through the
> >interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of
> >this subsection;
> >
> >(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any wire, oral,
> >or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the
> >information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or
> >electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or
> >
> >(e)(i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other
> >person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
> >intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(a)(ii), 2511(2)(b)-(c),
> >2511(2)(e), 2516, and 2518 of this chapter,
> >
> >(ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained
> >through the interception of such a communication in connection with a
> >criminal investigation,
> >
> >(iii) having obtained or received the information in connection with a
> >criminal investigation, and
> >
> >(iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with a duly
> >authorized criminal investigation,
> >
> >shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit
> >as provided in subsection (5).
> >
> >

3106. Re: Frederick, MD Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 22:01:53 UTC
From: Rick Hart <r3h@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3C7812EC.4D83F772@hotmail.com>
Source record: 51674

Here is a good site: http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/
Just click on MD then the county you want.
Rick

chrism99@adelphia.net wrote:

> Just moved to Frederick, MD. Can't find too many frequencies to scan.
> Anyone have a list of frequencies for this area? (primarily
> interested in State Police frequencies and anything elsethat may be
> interesting)
>
> Thanks!
> I can be emailed at chrism99@adelphia.net

--
You can't tell how deep a puddle is until you step in it.

3107. Re: <_=La Raza Donkeys Assault "White Guys"=_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 22:03:56 UTC
From: Kenneth Vaughan <kenneth.vaughan@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <glUd8.3076$FE4.160271@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

i dont know who this dumb ass is...but "la raza" simply means "the race"
meaning the mexican race of people. it is also the name of a political
organisation comprised of mexican nationals and mexican americans,dedicated
to the imposition of their particular political views.some of these views
are not necessarily in step with the best interests of america.
elpasogunslinger
Eric Lai <laie@LaRazaDonkeys.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.16e0d86b62871bc19896dd@24.2.2.74...
> ::
>
> La Raza (means "blood of donkeys" in meztizo) go looking for white blood.
>
> Friday, February 22, 2002 - 12:00 a.m. Pacific
>
> Hate crime suspected in attacks in Ballard: 4 men crashed party, beat up
> 'white guys'
>
> By Ian Ith
> Seattle Times staff reporter
>
>
> Seattle police are trying to track down four Hispanic men for possible
> hate-crime charges after they crashed a house party in Ballard early
> yesterday and trounced several white guests because they felt like
"beating
> up some white guys," police said.
>
> A 17-year-old Crown Hill boy suffered head and body injuries and remained
in
> serious condition at Harborview Medical Center yesterday.
>
> Partygoers at the house in the 1500 block of Northwest 60th Street told
> police that the four, in their 20s, showed up uninvited about 12:30 a.m.
All
> were dressed alike in blue FUBU-brand jackets and black pants.
>
> A teenage girl was holding the party in her home because her mother was
out
> of town, police said. No one knew the four men.
>
> One of the men asked a partygoer, a 21-year-old black man, "Do you feel
like

> beating some white guys?" according to a police report.
>
> The black man said no and stepped out back to smoke. When he came back
> inside, he told police, he saw the men jump the white Crown Hill teen,
knock
> him to the floor and punch and kick him repeatedly.
>
> Another partygoer tried to help the teen, but the attackers punched him in
> the face several times, police said. Several other guests also were
punched,
> police said.
>
> The attackers left the Crown Hill teen lying on the front steps and ran to
a
> red or maroon Toyota Corolla, witnesses said. Then two came back and
kicked
> the teen in the head a few more times. Then they all sped off in the car.
>
> Police say the girl who hosted the party could be charged with alcohol
> possession. After sending everyone home, officers collected about 50 beer
> and liquor bottles from the house.
>
>
> Copyright © 2002 The Seattle Times Company
>
> http://seattletimes.nwsource.com/html/localnews/134409056_attack22m.html

3108. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 22:20:35 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C7815B3.525E734E@erols.com>
Source record: 51676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You are correct. One of the major problems I have found with the search engines is that except two or three, the other search options basically are useless. Additionally, in my state, there are independent cities. The FCC does not recognize independent cities. It assumes that every city is located within a county. The FCC refuses to revise their data query searches to allow searching on city, city & frequency, etc. But they do introduce new often worthless search features. Additionally, sometimes a user found on one search option does not appear on a corresponding related search such as callsign to state and county, etc. Further, they cannot seem to standardize a format. Why are 2 to whatever submenu levels required to obtain detailed frequency information? Everything could be on one page.

Select the "IBFS" option and for EVERY frequency something like AT&T Violet appears. It is useless and serves no purchase except to confuse. Their servers also have a habit of crashing all too frequently. Finally, when the west coast users hit in the morning their time, you can see the longer query seek times when it is around noon on the east coast.

"Rafmanï¿½" wrote:

> Wrong on both accounts. Not to look up frequencies! They have also fixed the
> compatibility problems with IE. I use it all the time to look up frequencies
> & have used it to file ULS updates. I reluctantly use IE since it was
> bundled in this machine & I don't want to separate the Siamese twins of IE &
> MicroShaft WinDoze!
>
> RM
>
> Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com> wrote in message news:3C5D4D9A.A86DD933@i-55.com...
> > The problem with the FCC database site is two fold. First, in
> > order to use it, you need to have Netscape 4.7. It will not work
> > with anything else, or a newer version. It will not work with
> > Internet Explorer.
> > Next, the first time you use it, you need to shut off all your
> > virus protection. The site will download several files that the
> > virus programs stop. After the first time you use the site, you
> > can turn the virus protection back on.
> >
> > Hope this helps. I had to call the commission the first time I
> > tried to use it.
> >
> > Jim
> >
> >

> >
> > John wrote:
> > >
> > > Do you have a URL? I've never had much success navigating the FCC site.
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > > "Michael Smith" <wsmith7@satx.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:<39g58.30040$Ar5.1539313@typhoon.austin.rr.com>...
> > > > You can always go to the FCC web site and look under who is assigned
> the
> > > > freq.
> > > > "John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> > > > > Hi,
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on
> a
> > > > > particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> > > > > that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> > > > > transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be
> able
> > > > > to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all
> their
> > > > > frequencies.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> > > > > Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks!
> > > > > John
> > >

--
==========
Interesting Items on my web page:http://users.erols.com/jwilson9
(INMARSAT,GOES/Polar Wefax,Radio Frequencies,Metal Detecting)
(C/Ku Band/MPEG2-FTA Satellite Reception,FM-SCPC,Amateur Radio)
John Wilson(W4UVV) email: jwilson9@erols.com
6413 Bull Hill Road, Prince George, Virginia, USA, 23875
Tel: 804-862-1262
==========

3109. Re: Can I get a CDROM of FCC Licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 22:20:50 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C7815C2.2E2CAD76@erols.com>
Source record: 51677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You are correct. One of the major problems I have found with the search engines is that except two or three, the other search options basically are useless. Additionally, in my state, there are independent cities. The FCC does not recognize independent cities. It assumes that every city is located within a county. The FCC refuses to revise their data query searches to allow searching on city, city & frequency, etc. But they do introduce new often worthless search features. Additionally, sometimes a user found on one search option does not appear on a corresponding related search such as callsign to state and county, etc. Further, they cannot seem to standardize a format. Why are 2 to whatever submenu levels required to obtain detailed frequency information? Everything could be on one page.

Select the "IBFS" option and for EVERY frequency something like AT&T Violet appears. It is useless and serves no purchase except to confuse. Their servers also have a habit of crashing all too frequently. Finally, when the west coast users hit in the morning their time, you can see the longer query seek times when it is around noon on the east coast.

"Rafmanï¿½" wrote:

> Wrong on both accounts. Not to look up frequencies! They have also fixed the
> compatibility problems with IE. I use it all the time to look up frequencies
> & have used it to file ULS updates. I reluctantly use IE since it was
> bundled in this machine & I don't want to separate the Siamese twins of IE &
> MicroShaft WinDoze!
>
> RM
>
> Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com> wrote in message news:3C5D4D9A.A86DD933@i-55.com...
> > The problem with the FCC database site is two fold. First, in
> > order to use it, you need to have Netscape 4.7. It will not work
> > with anything else, or a newer version. It will not work with
> > Internet Explorer.
> > Next, the first time you use it, you need to shut off all your
> > virus protection. The site will download several files that the
> > virus programs stop. After the first time you use the site, you
> > can turn the virus protection back on.
> >
> > Hope this helps. I had to call the commission the first time I
> > tried to use it.
> >
> > Jim
> >
> >

> >
> > John wrote:
> > >
> > > Do you have a URL? I've never had much success navigating the FCC site.
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > > "Michael Smith" <wsmith7@satx.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:<39g58.30040$Ar5.1539313@typhoon.austin.rr.com>...
> > > > You can always go to the FCC web site and look under who is assigned
> the
> > > > freq.
> > > > "John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:d89364bd.0201251124.3458b993@posting.google.com...
> > > > > Hi,
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm tired of searching the web trying to find who is transmitting on
> a
> > > > > particular frequency. Does someone make a CDROM (or multiple CDROMs)
> > > > > that have all the licensed broadcasters listed for my area?
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd like to be able to enter a frequency and get back a callsign,
> > > > > transmitter location, license name, etc. It'd be cool to also be
> able
> > > > > to type in a name (like Baltimore Police Department) and see all
> their
> > > > > frequencies.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd prefer not to spend more than a few hundred dollars. I'm in the
> > > > > Baltimore / Washington D.C. area.
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks!
> > > > > John
> > >

--

3110. W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 22:37:56 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C7819C4.584E1C0A@erols.com>
Source record: 51678

Repost...ISP email server problems. Attached text file is virus free.

The W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory 2002 edition is in its' 16th year of publication and now is available on CD ROM. The master file currently contains approximately, 101,000 listings for both private sector and government users. The directory does not exclude any "sensitive" frequencies such as surveillance, etc. Major services include but are not limited to: Public Service(Police, Fire, Rescue), Aviation, Business, Federal, Marine, Military, Press and Utilities. Among many past users have been three TV station news departments that use it as a "Bible" frequency/user reference some commercial two way radio businesses. The directory covers the frequency range 25-2,500 mhz. with some additional frequencies of interest below and above that frequency range. Some additional adjacent state frequency information such as federal and military and Press users in the DC/Maryland area are included. The directory, where known, also provides information as to the location of the control station for a remote site transmitter.

The W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory was reviewed in the October, 2001 issue of Monitoring Times magazine under "Product Review".

File data record fields and information contained where known are: FREQ1(T or R or S or X(trunked output)), FREQ2(T or R or S or Y(trunked input)), SV(Service), CALL(Callsign), USER, CITY, COUNTY (or Independent City), LAT(Latitude), LON(Longitude), TAH(Total Antenna Height), R(Repeater),B(Base), M(Mobile), P(Portable), PL(CTSSC analog or digital) and CH(Channel). Master file sort sequence ascending: FREQ1(Major), FREQ2,(Intermediate 1), SV,(Intermediate 2), USER (Intermediate 3), CITY (Intermediate 4), COUNTY (Intermediate 4), LAT, (Intermediate 5), LON (Intermediate 5) and CH (Minor).

For MS DOS users, the Master File and file extract files Aviation, Business, Federal, Marine, Military, Press and Utilities are in DBASE3/DBASE4 format. Also in "dbf" format are file extracts for every county and independent city in Virginia. This new feature allows the user to customize a "local" frequency file for monitoring selected counties or cities. The "local" file can further be customized, for example, to delete paging or broadcast or other services or frequencies of non-interest.

For Win 95/98 and ME users, the aforementioned files, excluding the county/independent city extract files, are available in MS EXCEL and text formats. MS EXCEL can import DBASE3/DBASE4 format files for record data processing, editing and printing. Because MS EXCEL does not support front/back page printing but only one side printing, the use of a separate software file print program called CLICKBOOK2000 is highly recommended. Files are also provided in CLICKBOOK2000 format.

CLICKBOOK2000 is reasonably priced and available at <www.bluesquirrel.com>. Printed at 4 font, 160 records per page, the master file is approximately 1,120 pages. It is huge!

Now a few comments about the FCC database. News flash! It is not 100% accurate. Where errors are obvious, entries on the W4UVV VFD have been corrected. Additionaly, it does NOT allow the user to search by the name of an independent city except by a radius of entered latitude and longitude option. I have discussed this problem on more than one occasion with the FCC reps. Their response were arguments as to why they couldn't (read wouldn't} change the database query programs. The FCC ASSUMES that every city in the county is located within a county. This is not the situation in Virginia. This independent city status causes problems for license application filing. Often an independent city is listed in an adjoining county and this is not correct. To further muddy up things, actual off air monitoring of a number of licensees has disclosed that some are not using the frequency assigned for output, but as input. Some are licensed for repeater operation, but operate simplex. Others are operating on frequencies for which they were never licensed and some still operating without renewing their license.

In our opinion, the W4UVV VFD has no significant competition because no publication or frequency source comes close in providing the scope and detail of information provided in the W4UVV VFD. Did you say Police Call? Please! Police Call basically extracts FCC database information and depends upon individuals to submit corrections. Except rarely, does it tell you who the user is of a specific frequency? Does it tell you the analog or digital CTCSS tone? Does it tell you the Fire/Rescue station ids? Does it give you the latitude, longitude and total antenna height of the structure? Does it tell you where the remote transmitter and/or control site is located for an licensee? Can you tell who the users are of the "PW" bundled frequency assignments? Is it Fire? Rescue? Police? Utilities? Animal Control? Where known, the W4UVV VFD identifies them. You know the answers to those questions and it is "NO".

Within the past 3 months, off air monitoring has discovered two new analog in the clear federal users 24/7 on high VHF in state. Recently a surveillance body mike has been monitored on high VHF.

The W4UVV VFD is a dynamic document. Literally hundreds of hours have been spent updating this latest edition. The CD ROM files size is approximately 640 mbs! That is almost a FULL CD ROM of information! If you spent 10 seconds sight checking each record on the database currently at approximately 101,000 records, it would take you approximately 280 hours or 35 eight hour days or approximately one month and one week or approximately five weeks to view the entire file.

The W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory is available for $75.00 which includes shipping via USPS. The CD ROM is shipped within 24 hours of order receipt of a cashier's check or USPS money order. Sorry no CODs or credit card purchases or refunds. Allow 5 work days for personal checks to clear. Include legibly the name and mailing address and telephone an/or or email

address in your order in case we need to contact you.

If you wish to purchase a copy of the W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory, send an email to: <jwilson9@erols.com> for specific mail ordering information. Upon request, a copy of the "Readme1st.doc", "User's.doc" and "DBASE3instructions.doc" files will be emailed for your review prior to purchase.

3111. Re: BC-3000 REDUCED to $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 22:56:05 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <96Vd8.10835$xs1.3223829@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51679

I will offer $80.00 shipped to Mass.

"John Miller" <bfdp1@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1235373c.0202221534.61898910@posting.google.com...
> BC-3000, Nice shape. Needs new battery pak insert ($26)
>
>
> $125 shipped in US
>
> John
> Boise, Idaho

3112. Re: BC-780xlt signal stength bar behavior -- How to adjust

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-23 23:33:52 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <AFVd8.3225$FE4.170528@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51680

Brad Steinman <bsteinman@core.com> wrote in message
news:3c76d177$0$15925$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com...
> I've noticed that my BC780XLT will have the a few signal strength bars lit
> when sitting on various frequecies at ~155Mhz. All antennae and radio are
> in the same location.
>
> Heres the situation: BC780XLT is in manual mode, tuned virtually any
> frequency from 25-512Mhz (. Speaker is squelched (though it doesn't have
any
> effect on these symptoms)
>
> Here's the facts:
> 1. There is no carrier on that frequency, peroid. I have verified it with
> other radios! Releasing squelch reveals normal static, not even a trace
of
> carrier.

This is a repost of how to adjust the S-Meter to a better response.

Hi,

A few days ago I asked for info on how to adjust the s-meter
senesitivity on my BC780XLT but go no response. I just dug
in and figured it out for myself and am sharing it with you. I found that
the way the s-meter was adjusted at the factory a bit
off. Any signal of any strength at all was full scale. Even when that signal
was far from full quieting. I felt that that made
the s-meter about worthless so went about finding how to adjust it.

To adjust the s-meter you need the following. 1) A phillips
screwdriver. 2) A small jewlers flat blade screwdriver. 3) A
constant carrier signal (I used a NOAA weather channel.) To begin, remove
the 4 screws holding the bottom cover. The speaker
wires are attached to the BACK of the cover so flip the cover over towards
the rear of the radio being careful not to break the
wires. Look towards the center of the circuit board for two large shielded
enclosures. At the junction of these enclosures are
two tiny pots labeled RT1 and RT4. RT1 adjusts the s-meter for narrow FM and
RT4 adjusts the meter for wide (broadcast) FM. Tune
your scanner to the STRONGEST weather broadcast in your area. Carefully
adjust RT1 so that the s-meter JUST reaches full scale.
Be careful to adjust the pot so that it JUST gives you a full scale reading
on a very strong signal. This will give you the most
usable range from the meter. That's it! You should find that the meter now
gives more meaningful readings!

One final note. The pots RT1 and RT4 are EXTREMELY TINY AND FRAGILE!
Use extreme care when adjusting them so you do not
break them.

3113. FCC databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 00:01:13 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6205-3C782D49-174@storefull-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 51681

Has the FCC database changed the ability of getting Police Frequencies?
The service code of PL is also missing. Local PD Freq's were easy to get
months ago. Any info?

http://community.webtv.net/Zeawolf/ZeawolfsScannerPage

3114. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 01:08:39 UTC
From: Satnik <satnik@blahblah.com>
Message-ID: <r2Xd8.18698$%D5.48238@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51682
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Actually, the Congressmen and Senators just don't want anyone eavesdropping
as they call their mistresses and hookers on cell phones.
"John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3C780ED7.7C45EDA6@erols.com...
> A correction...it is not the FCC that wants or does not want you to
monitor
> cellular transmissions. It is the cellular phone lobby that
> was successful in lobbying Congress to pass the legislation. The FCC then
takes
> whatever actions based upon federal law. We have the best money Congress
can
> buy. Begin first by blaming the idiot voters who keep returning some of
these
> jerks to Congress term after term.
>
>
>
> Dirk wrote:
>
> > Personally, people - I think this is all BULLSHIT - and I'll tell you
> > why: If these radio waves are entering MY space and going through MY
> > body - then I should be able to do with them as I please. Is this not
> > a violation of property? I didn't give anyone permission to shoot
> > THOUSANDS, possibly even HUNDREDS of THOUSANDS of RF energy through my
> > body - and I can't control the direction of radio waves (unless I
> > build a home out of lead) and when I drive to the store, walk in the
> > park, sit down to go fishing - whatever - there are a MAGNITUDE of
> > unseen forces penetrating the air and the environment we live in.
> > Studies are INCONCLUSIVE as to the harmful effects of RF radiation on
> > the human body - look at cell phones specifically - first they are
> > released as being "safe" then there are reports out 8~10 years later
> > that exposure to radiation from close-range use of cell phones COULD
> > cause brain damage. Apparently the parties responsible for doing the
> > research were early pioneers of close-range radiation. Personally, my
> > feelings on this are simple - if you are going to pass radiation
> > through my body - whether directed, intentional or not - I should be
> > able to monitor what effects my person. That being said - let's talk
> > about realistic directions... I'm not condoning the monitoring
> > frequencies for the intent of illegal practices. But if public
> > transmissions ARE PRESENTin MY AIRSPACE - I feel we have a right to
> > know what they are. I consider any UNSCRAMBLED radio waves to be
> > PUBLIC. If you want privacy - use another medium or SCRAMBLE / ENCODE
> > your transmission. In this type of approach, we retain the right to

> > listen - but not the right to decipher.
> >
> > And yes, I am an avid scanner buff - I'm not here to make a stink
> > about leaglity issues - more like along the lines of MORALITY issues.
> > The FCC doesn't want us to be able to monitor CELL frequencies - but
> > they will charter some cellular company rights to put a cell tower in
> > your backyard and irradiate your immediate airspace with varying
> > amounts of RF.
> >
> > So do we have the right?
> >
> > -Dirk
> > LIVE 24/7 WebScanner for Mercer County PA / Trumbull County OHIO
> > http://scanner.infonline.net
> >
> > On Mon, 24 Sep 2001 04:47:02 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> > <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> > >news:p8crqt439q319mgvjs3u20qo67fgst9loc@4ax.com...
> > >> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 08:46:33 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> > >> <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >
> > >> ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> > >> >news:1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com...
> > >> >> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
> > >> >>
> > >> >> >>>>Danny wrote:
> > >> >> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone
conversations
> > >in
> > >> >the
> > >> >> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block
out
> > >those
> > >> >> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> > >> >> >>EEng wrote:
> > >> >> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
> > >> >>
> > >> >>
> > >> >> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> > >> >>
> > >> >> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> > >> >>
> > >> >> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
> > >> >
> > >> >The CFR is all very well, but it's not the law that prohibits
cellular

> > >and
> > >> >cordless listening in the US.
> > >> >
> > >> >You want 18 USC Sec. 2511, the Electronic Communications Privacy
Act,
> > >which
> > >> >directly prohibits monitoring cellular, cordless, and some other
things.
> > >> >
> > >> >See: http://uscode.house.gov/usc.htm for a searchable version of
the
> > >USC.
> > >> >Search on electronic and communications and privacy and cellular,
or
> > >just
> > >> >directly search for title 18, section 2511.
> > >> >
> > >> >The FCC regulations are simply implimentations of that act, to stop
you
> > >from
> > >> >manufacturing a receiver that is designed to monitor cellular.
> > >> >
> > >> >Yes, the FCC has clarified that 'modifying' a scanner to receive
cellular
> > >is
> > >> >'manufacturing' within this rule, and a violation.
> > >> >
> > >> If you read it carefully, you'll see that it specifically details
that
> > >> USE of the content is illegal, not merely listening in, so I'll say
> > >> once more, it is not illegal to listen. Qualifying that, it IS
> > >> illegal to convey the content in any manner.
> > >>
> > >> EEng.
> > >>
> > >
> > ><sigh> I've read it, which you apparently haven't, very well. I
realize
> > >it's rather impenetrable, especially on a screen instead of printed out
> > >where you can follow the nested clauses, EEng, but yes, it DOES
prohibit you
> > >monitoring, listening, intercepting, at all. First section: You are
> > >prohibited from INTERCEPTING, regardless of what you do with it. That
only
> > >affects whether you get only a fine, or a fine or jail time, in the
penalty
> > >area. If what you do meets any of a) thru e), you're in violation.
They're
> > >all seperate violations, you don't have to do both a) and b), etc.
> > >------------

```
> > >(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any
> > >
> > > person who -
> > >
> > > (a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any
other
> > >person to intercept or endeavor to
> > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > > intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;
> > >
> > >(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other person
to
> > >use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to
> > >intercept any oral communication when -
> > >
> > > (i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a
signal
> > >through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire
communication;
> > >or
> > >
> > > (ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or
interferes
> > >with the transmission of such communication; or
> > >
> > > (iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such
device
> > >or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or transported
in
> > >interstate or foreign commerce; or
> > >
> > > (iv) such use or endeavor to use
> > >
> > > (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other
commercial
> > >establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign
commerce;
> > >or
> > >
> > > (B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information
relating
> > >to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment the
> > >operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or
> > >
> > > (v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the
Commonwealth
> > >of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United States;
> > >
> > >(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other
person
```

> > >the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or
> > >having reason to know that the information was obtained through the
> > >interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation
of
> > >this subsection;
> > >
> > >(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any wire,
oral,
> > >or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the
> > >information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or
> > >electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or
> > >
> > >(e)(i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other
> > >person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
> > >intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(a)(ii),
2511(2)(b)-(c),
> > >2511(2)(e), 2516, and 2518 of this chapter,
> > >
> > >(ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained
> > >through the interception of such a communication in connection with a
> > >criminal investigation,
> > >
> > >(iii) having obtained or received the information in connection with a
> > >criminal investigation, and
> > >
> > >(iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with a
duly
> > >authorized criminal investigation,
> > >
> > >shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to
suit
> > >as provided in subsection (5).
> > >
> > >
>

3115. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 03:13:03 UTC
From: Gusto <gusto@hawaii.com>
Message-ID: <3TYd8.15121$DS6.383259@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 51683
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In Canada, as far as I know, it is still legal to listen in on cell,
cordless, etc as long as you don't tell another person what you heard.

"Satnik" <satnik@blahblah.com> wrote in message
news:r2Xd8.18698$%D5.48238@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Actually, the Congressmen and Senators just don't want anyone
eavesdropping
> as they call their mistresses and hookers on cell phones.
> "John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:3C780ED7.7C45EDA6@erols.com...
> > A correction...it is not the FCC that wants or does not want you to
> monitor
> > cellular transmissions. It is the cellular phone lobby that
> > was successful in lobbying Congress to pass the legislation. The FCC
then
> takes
> > whatever actions based upon federal law. We have the best money
Congress
> can
> > buy. Begin first by blaming the idiot voters who keep returning some of
> these
> > jerks to Congress term after term.
> >
> >
> >
> > Dirk wrote:
> >
> > > Personally, people - I think this is all BULLSHIT - and I'll tell you
> > > why: If these radio waves are entering MY space and going through MY
> > > body - then I should be able to do with them as I please. Is this not
> > > a violation of property? I didn't give anyone permission to shoot
> > > THOUSANDS, possibly even HUNDREDS of THOUSANDS of RF energy through my
> > > body - and I can't control the direction of radio waves (unless I
> > > build a home out of lead) and when I drive to the store, walk in the
> > > park, sit down to go fishing - whatever - there are a MAGNITUDE of
> > > unseen forces penetrating the air and the environment we live in.
> > > Studies are INCONCLUSIVE as to the harmful effects of RF radiation on
> > > the human body - look at cell phones specifically - first they are
> > > released as being "safe" then there are reports out 8~10 years later
> > > that exposure to radiation from close-range use of cell phones COULD
> > > cause brain damage. Apparently the parties responsible for doing the
> > > research were early pioneers of close-range radiation. Personally, my
> > > feelings on this are simple - if you are going to pass radiation

> > > through my body - whether directed, intentional or not - I should be
> > > able to monitor what effects my person. That being said - let's talk
> > > about realistic directions... I'm not condoning the monitoring
> > > frequencies for the intent of illegal practices. But if public
> > > transmissions ARE PRESENTin MY AIRSPACE - I feel we have a right to
> > > know what they are. I consider any UNSCRAMBLED radio waves to be
> > > PUBLIC. If you want privacy - use another medium or SCRAMBLE / ENCODE
> > > your transmission. In this type of approach, we retain the right to
> > > listen - but not the right to decipher.
> > >
> > > And yes, I am an avid scanner buff - I'm not here to make a stink
> > > about leaglity issues - more like along the lines of MORALITY issues.
> > > The FCC doesn't want us to be able to monitor CELL frequencies - but
> > > they will charter some cellular company rights to put a cell tower in
> > > your backyard and irradiate your immediate airspace with varying
> > > amounts of RF.
> > >
> > > So do we have the right?
> > >
> > > -Dirk
> > > LIVE 24/7 WebScanner for Mercer County PA / Trumbull County OHIO
> > > http://scanner.infonline.net
> > >
> > > On Mon, 24 Sep 2001 04:47:02 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> > > <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> > > >news:p8crqt439q319mgvjs3u20qo67fgst9loc@4ax.com...
> > > >> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 08:46:33 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> > > >> <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> > > >> >news:1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com...
> > > >> >> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> >>>>Danny wrote:
> > > >> >> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone
> conversations
> > > >> >in
> > > >> >> >the
> > > >> >> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd
block
> out
> > > >> >> >those
> > > >> >> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> > > >> >> >>EEng wrote:
> > > >> >> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
> > > >> >>

> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
> > > >> >
> > > >> >The CFR is all very well, but it's not the law that prohibits
> cellular
> > > >and
> > > >> >cordless listening in the US.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >You want 18 USC Sec. 2511, the Electronic Communications Privacy
> Act,
> > > >which
> > > >> >directly prohibits monitoring cellular, cordless, and some other
> things.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >See: http://uscode.house.gov/usc.htm for a searchable version of
> the
> > > >USC.
> > > >> >Search on electronic and communications and privacy and cellular,
> or
> > > >just
> > > >> >directly search for title 18, section 2511.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >The FCC regulations are simply implimentations of that act, to
stop
> you
> > > >from
> > > >> >manufacturing a receiver that is designed to monitor cellular.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Yes, the FCC has clarified that 'modifying' a scanner to receive
> cellular
> > > >is
> > > >> >'manufacturing' within this rule, and a violation.
> > > >> >
> > > >> If you read it carefully, you'll see that it specifically details
> that
> > > >> USE of the content is illegal, not merely listening in, so I'll say
> > > >> once more, it is not illegal to listen. Qualifying that, it IS
> > > >> illegal to convey the content in any manner.
> > > >>
> > > >> EEng.
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > ><sigh> I've read it, which you apparently haven't, very well. I
> realize
> > > >it's rather impenetrable, especially on a screen instead of printed
out

> > > >where you can follow the nested clauses, EEng, but yes, it DOES
> prohibit you
> > > >monitoring, listening, intercepting, at all. First section: You are
> > > >prohibited from INTERCEPTING, regardless of what you do with it.
That
> only
> > > >affects whether you get only a fine, or a fine or jail time, in the
> penalty
> > > >area. If what you do meets any of a) thru e), you're in violation.
> They're
> > > >all seperate violations, you don't have to do both a) and b), etc.
> > > >------------
> > > >(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any
> > > >
> > > > person who -
> > > >
> > > > (a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures
any
> other
> > > >person to intercept or endeavor to
> > > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > > > intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;
> > > >
> > > >(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other
person
> to
> > > >use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to
> > > >intercept any oral communication when -
> > > >
> > > > (i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a
> signal
> > > >through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire
> communication;
> > > >or
> > > >
> > > > (ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or
> interferes
> > > >with the transmission of such communication; or
> > > >
> > > > (iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such
> device
> > > >or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or
transported
> in
> > > >interstate or foreign commerce; or
> > > >
> > > > (iv) such use or endeavor to use
> > > >
> > > > (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other
> commercial

> > > >establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign
> commerce;
> > > >or
> > > >
> > > > (B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information
> relating
> > > >to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment
the
> > > >operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or
> > > >
> > > > (v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the
> Commonwealth
> > > >of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United States;
> > > >
> > > >(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other
> person
> > > >the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing
or
> > > >having reason to know that the information was obtained through the
> > > >interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in
violation
> of
> > > >this subsection;
> > > >
> > > >(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any
wire,
> oral,
> > > >or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that
the
> > > >information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or
> > > >electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or
> > > >
> > > >(e)(i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any
other
> > > >person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
> > > >intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(a)(ii),
> 2511(2)(b)-(c),
> > > >2511(2)(e), 2516, and 2518 of this chapter,
> > > >
> > > >(ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was
obtained
> > > >through the interception of such a communication in connection with a
> > > >criminal investigation,
> > > >
> > > >(iii) having obtained or received the information in connection with
a
> > > >criminal investigation, and
> > > >
> > > >(iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with a
> duly

> > > >authorized criminal investigation,
> > > >
> > > >shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject
to
> suit
> > > >as provided in subsection (5).
> > > >
> > > >
> >
>
>

3116. FS: Icom computer interface cable and software for IC-R2 and IC-R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 04:32:24 UTC
From: wil_gg@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <46a5ffb8.0202232032.264f404b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51684

I have a new icom computer interface cable and windows programming
software for IC-R2 and IC-R10 (CSWHHRX).
Asking $20 plus $4 US priority mail shipping in usa only.
if interested, please reply directly to me.
wil_gg@yahoo.com

do not post here.

3117. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 04:48:40 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <ccrg7u4m2gat8tertro1d1plugpgh56o80@4ax.com>
Source record: 51688

On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 23:56:16 -0500, "AstroMan" <dont@spam.me> wrote:

[snip]
>As a homeowner, you are legally obligated to BOTH kinds of rules.

It's a civil matter, not criminal.

And the covenants and homeowner's association rules have to be legal. There were (maybe still are) neighborhoods with covenants that specified racial restrictions on home ownership. Those rules are, of course, not enforcable.

The law allowing TV antennas simply outlaws rules that prohibit them. It's not a law prohibiting radio antennas: it's a law allowing the kind of antennas the purchasers of that law have an interest in.

Perhaps we need a petition for a law outlawing CC&R bans on other kinds of antennas. But I doubt if the monitoring and amateur radio community has the same resources (i.e., purchase & ownership of politicians) that the broadcast and satellite TV industries do.

Ken Plotkin

3118. Re: Whats The Best PRO-2067 Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 04:52:14 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <h4sg7u4742eglb5knea38k45l9u6ud25dm@4ax.com>
Source record: 51687

On 23 Feb 2002 07:12:24 GMT, n9mxt1@aol.com (N9mxt1) wrote:

>Hello,
> I own the PRO-2067 and was wondering what the best software on the market
>for programming it is? I am currently using SCANPRO now but would love to know
>if this is the only and best software to use?

It's the worst. You've been pointed to Win92, which is by far the
best. You might take a look at the software and cable pages at
www.pro-92.com (the hyphen in the URL is important) for more info.

Ken Plotkin

3119. Re: GE Super Base 3-5875A FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 05:17:45 UTC
From: BKT <bthomas1@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZH_d8.2237$Lc.1577120@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 51685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What do you expect on Channel 19!!!! Da.

"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:6kjc8.5455$xs1.1654113@news1.news.adelphia.net...

> I can't stand listening to the jerks around
> here,so its for sale.

3120. Re: FS: Radio Shack Pro 2006 Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 05:18:59 UTC
From: BKT <bthomas1@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7J_d8.2238$Lc.1578114@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 51686

Minus search lockouts!!

"SOUPMAN67" <soupman67@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020217112040.22716.00000502@mb-fx.aol.com...
> i hear this is the best scanner ever made minus trunkink...

3121. Re: Amusement pks (WDW?) Rules on Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 05:49:13 UTC
From: dimoz <toasted@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <t9%d8.111415$Zc.32222107@news2.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 51689

i can't see why they wouldn't... all radio waves are public domain, unless
encoded.
"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3C75A009.986553D9@analon.com...
> I have gone to Disneyland in Anaheim, Universal Studios (Burbank), Magic
> Mountain (Valencia), Knotts Berry Farm (Buena Park), Sea World (San
> Diego), Great America (San Jose) all with my scanner. I usually brought
> along an ear piece (ear phone) and either put the scanner in my pants or
> jacket pocket. I did not have any problem at any of the places
> noted.. made some interesting listing
>
> Disneyland Security 464.325, 464.4625 (search 464.0 to 465.0)
> Knotts Berry 462.1625, 151.655
> Magic Mountain 154.54, 151.855, 461.4375
> Sea World 156.70, 156.80, 464.4375, 464.2125, 151.515
> Great America 490.1625, 466.25
>
>
> Bruce or Tracy Jacobs wrote:
>
> > Does Disney World allow scanners in the park? Do they allow
> > scanners simply in the hotel room ... if they don't see it?
> >
> > TIA,
> > Bruce
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --
>
>

3122. Telescoping Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 06:34:14 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <GP%d8.85982$Hu6.20350451@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 51690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone have any recommendations on buying a telescoping antenna for a handheld scanner? I have an Icom R2 and may soon buy a larger HH. Thanks!
DeWayne KE9K

3123. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 07:31:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a5a4sg$5ecaq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.legal

"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:a59v5n030dp@enews1.newsguy.com...
> http://www.shoa.de/pictures/p_joseph_goebbels.jpg

> Today the US Government has attempted a similar tactic with the TV
> reception antenna law in deed restricted neighborhoods. You can put up a
> antenna, but if you listen to Radio Moscow on it you have violated the
law.

Methinks you have blown this out of purportion a bit. Can you cite the
proposed law and exactly where in that law does it state that you can only
attach certain types of receivers to certain antennas?

Personally, I'd never want to hook up a SW receiver to a TV antenna, but
that's just me.

Tom

3124. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 07:52:42 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020224025242.29929.00000242@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 51692

>Why can't a person put up an antenna for any purpose, instead of TV
>only?

Maybe because in SOME situations it's not allowed by the deed restrictions, and you should have known that going into it.
In other deeded communities, it may be PERFECTLY OKAY to put up other types of antennas. This law says NOTHING about the different kinds/levels of CC&R restrictions. You're REALLY confusing the intent to protect TV viewers, with some kind of 'banning' of non-TV reception.
PLEASE read the laws and see where you're not understanding the wording. I PROMISE you it's not the fascist law you're making it out to be.
Linus

3125. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 07:57:19 UTC
From: -Your Friend Bill- <none@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3C789CDF.6ED183B1@coqui.net>
Source record: 51693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.legal

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:a59v5n030dp@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > http://www.shoa.de/pictures/p_joseph_goebbels.jpg
>
> > Today the US Government has attempted a similar tactic with the TV
> > reception antenna law in deed restricted neighborhoods. You can put up a
> > antenna, but if you listen to Radio Moscow on it you have violated the
> law.
>
> Methinks you have blown this out of purportion a bit. Can you cite the
> proposed law and exactly where in that law does it state that you can only
> attach certain types of receivers to certain antennas?
>
> Personally, I'd never want to hook up a SW receiver to a TV antenna, but
> that's just me.
>
> Tom

I know of no one who has been forced to live in a deed restricted, CC&R
environment. If you are a radio buff you should consider these things
before buying just as you would select carpet or bathroom fixtures.
-Bill

3126. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 11:00:22 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6205-3C78D5D6-213@storefull-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 51694

sounds to me like single use property restriction from the major commreical coprorations in america. The phone company wanted to raise rates dramaticlly when the internet started gaining force but the government and indipendent lobbist saw that it was too late to do that so now the phine comp. will add "special" high spped line for a cost, raise all phone line costs...Or maybe it could be likened to the water rights laws ot west . yoc an use it for that but it will cost you. Just a thought.
~Z~

http://community.webtv.net/Zeawolf/ZeawolfsScannerPage

3127. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 12:30:26 UTC
From: helmsman <>
Message-ID: <tpmh7ukhsue4khb3ep3nmrevcf331guljb@4ax.com>
Source record: 51696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.legal

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message
>news:a59v5n030dp@enews1.newsguy.com...
>> http://www.shoa.de/pictures/p_joseph_goebbels.jpg
>
>> Today the US Government has attempted a similar tactic with the TV
>> reception antenna law in deed restricted neighborhoods. You can put up a
>> antenna, but if you listen to Radio Moscow on it you have violated the
>law.
>
>Methinks you have blown this out of purportion a bit.

It's just another step in making listening to certian frequencies
illegal. Joe Goebbels would love the cell Fone laws (radio).
Do I listen? No. Do I have the equipment to listen, you bet. But the
law itself is anti-American. It is abhorent that an American can't
listen to the radio! The politicans who were bribed to pass these laws
should be stripped of there jobs.

>Can you cite the
>proposed law and exactly where in that law does it state that you can only
>attach certain types of receivers to certain antennas?
>
>Personally, I'd never want to hook up a SW receiver to a TV antenna, but
>that's just me.
>
>Tom
>

.
.
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman

3128. Oklahoma City Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 12:33:01 UTC
From: "NOSPAM @NOSPAM.COM" <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <jfmh7u8mbl80226p7v923qt47cn5reabbn@4ax.com>
Source record: 51695

Can anyone offer comments on the following Oklahoma City area
frequencies:

OKLAHOMA CITY, CITY OF
155.1900
155.6700
37.2600
158.7600
158.8050
453.4750/167.9 (repeater)
453.3750
453.5000/167.9 (simplex)
453.9750
154.0250
453.7500
155.8350
150.9950
153.5900
159.0600
451.2000

OKLAHOMA COUNTY GOVERNMENT:
460.4000
460.4750
460.3750
453.4000
453.5750
460.2000
460.2500
460.5000
460.5500

3129. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 12:37:25 UTC
From: Don Priebe <priebe@iname.com>
Message-ID: <985e8.124204$QG.29037412@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 51597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.legal

> Today the US Government has attempted a similar tactic with the TV
> reception antenna law in deed restricted neighborhoods. You can put up a
> antenna, but if you listen to Radio Moscow on it you have violated the
law.

Probably referring to the federal preemption over existing CC&Rs that prohibit the installation of outdoor antennas. Yes, the preemption only applies to antennas designed to receive TV programming (satellite or direct) and internet data. It does not apply to antennas designed to receive AM broadcasting, FM broadcasting, short-wave, ham radio, or other services. It does not discriminate on the nature of the station received -- a short-wave antenna is not covered for either Radio Moscow or the Voice of America.

Note that the law prohibits your local zoning board or homeowners association from prohibiting you from installing such an antenna. It does not regulate YOUR conduct. If you do install your (non-covered) antenna you may be in violation of the local zoning law or homeowners CC&Rs, but you are not in any way in violation of the federal preemption law.

[I have mixed feelings on this subject. I have been a ham radio operator for almost 50 years, and would love to put up an effective outdoor antenna. But I live in a CC&R controlled area that prohibits it, and was at one time the (paid) enforcer of those CC&Rs. But I certainly wouldn't want my neighbor to put up a tri-band quad on a 75 foot tower.]
--
Don in Upstate NY

3130. Re: BC-3000 REDUCED to $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 13:33:07 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <67999693DF54D8E7.A9EF270446283F3F.1E16A4EBA9DE0B1E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 51598

On Sat, 23 Feb 2002 22:56:05 GMT, "Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:

>I will offer $80.00 shipped to Mass.
>

Offering the guy eighty dollars for a
great scanner like the BC3000XLT to "kindly"
take it off his hands??

Guess we know why they call you "Dick"!!!

3131. WTB CB HAND HELD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 13:46:15 UTC
From: TRC <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <H86e8.393$1h5.193@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51599

I am looking to purchase a HAND HELD SCANNER

EMAIL ME BACK with price..

TORONTO - ONLY

3132. Speech inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 14:12:31 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020224091231.09738.00000358@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 51600

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

3133. Looking for BC560xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 14:18:04 UTC
From: 19 <fyr19@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <u7htisag91pr10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51602

new/used, with accessories.

lmk
Dave

3134. Tecra 500 CDT FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 14:18:45 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9D6e8.11430$xs1.3445747@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51601
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Have for sale a nice compact little unit with Windows 98SE and 32 megs ram with a 1.2 gig Hard Drive.Also have 2 extra batteries,CD Rom,and Floppy drive with a carry bag.This unit runs nice but can use a little more memory.I am asking $300.00 or best resonable offer plus shipping.Great starter laptop.CPU speed is 133 MHz.Great sound for listening to your CDs.Email me if any interest or call:978-281-3359

3135. Telescoping Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 14:25:05 UTC
From: Danny Brown <Irish_Shergar@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <a5at40$69b$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radio Shack do a good Telescopic antenna which is good for RX 25 through to 1300MHz and for TX it is good for 144MHz, 220MHz and 440MHz... I picked up several on ebay for under £10... it is center loaded and you extend the sections according to which bands you're listening to... I have found it a good antenna as I live quite a bit outside any urban development and its working well in that its allowing me to hear all sorts of radio comms from the city itself. The Catalogue number us 20-006A, Hope you find this useful.

Best of luck to you and yours and good listening.

Regards
Danny
--
http://www.btinternet.com/~irish_shergar/scan.html

3136. Stoner PR-10 Sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 14:39:31 UTC
From: Robert Lonn <roblonn@cox.net>
Message-ID: <DW6e8.67276$dG.27967090@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 51604

This radio is sold.

3137. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 15:44:16 UTC
From: Uncle Peter <radioconnectionNO@cox.netSPAM>
Message-ID: <kT7e8.5971$jC3.247291@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.legal

I'd agree, except if it was the only option open. Obvious a stock TV
antenna is
going to offer poor performance "as-is" for SW reception... But, if
someone were
to use the feedline (common mode) and antenna as a long-wire antenna it
might be
much better than an indoor wire antenna. Also, it would be fairly easy to
incorporate
a small active amplifier with a 36" element so the TV antenna could support
an active
antenna for BCB or SWL listening.

Peter

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a5a4sg$5ecaq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> " > Personally, I'd never want to hook up a SW receiver to a TV antenna,
but
> that's just me.
>
> Tom
>
>

3138. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 17:44:40 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <f39i7us16cglq0hg3hehemg09hr17i42nb@4ax.com>
Source record: 51606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.legal

On Sun, 24 Feb 2002 15:44:16 GMT, " Uncle Peter"
<radioconnectionNO@cox.netSPAM> wrote:

>I'd agree, except if it was the only option open. Obvious a stock TV
>antenna is
>going to offer poor performance "as-is" for SW reception... But, if
>someone were
>to use the feedline (common mode) and antenna as a long-wire antenna it
>might be
>much better than an indoor wire antenna. Also, it would be fairly easy to
>incorporate
>a small active amplifier with a 36" element so the TV antenna could support
>an active
>antenna for BCB or SWL listening.

I did a stint as chairman of the architectural committee in my
neighborhood.

There was one dimbulb who insisted that TV antennas were not allowed,
even though the architectural rules specified that they required
approval - just like fences, decks, etc. Unlike outdoor clothes
dryers, which were prohibited.

(I'm still trying to figure out how banning clotheslines was a
totalitarian plot. But that's another story.)

Had anyone asked permission for a SW or amteur or scanner antenna, I
planned to advise them that they phrase the request as being for a
special TV antenna. Sorry to hear that the law has been tweaked so as
to close that loophole.

Ken Plotkin

3139. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 17:58:25 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <4k9i7ukjpqkpmo51gj8u266t4mfd2ah8qg@4ax.com>
Source record: 51607

On Sat, 23 Feb 2002 21:30:23 -0800, Keith <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
wrote:

> It is fine for the government to allow me an antenna for reception of radio
>transmissions in CC&R neighborhoods, but it is not ok for the government to
>tell me what I can receive on that antenna.

It's actually not the government. It's the builder of your
neighborhood, with enforcement by your neighbors. It amounts to a
contract you agreed to when you moved in.

The government has stepped in and said they can't ban TV antennas.
That law was bought and paid for by the broadcast and satellite TV
interests.

When you buy a house, you need to check out things like covenents and
arhitectural rules at the same time you're checking the schools,
neighborhood, airport flight tracks, etc. Just part of taking
responsibility for your own path through life.

Ken Plotkin

3140. Re: Oklahoma City Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 18:13:04 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <Q2ae8.754$1h5.613@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51608

They don't do me much good, as I'm not in Oklahoma
City.....

"NOSPAM @NOSPAM.COM" <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM> wrote in message
news:jfmh7u8mbl80226p7v923qt47cn5reabbn@4ax.com...
> Can anyone offer comments on the following Oklahoma City area
> frequencies:
>
> OKLAHOMA CITY, CITY OF
> 155.1900
> 155.6700
> 37.2600
> 158.7600
> 158.8050
> 453.4750/167.9 (repeater)
> 453.3750
> 453.5000/167.9 (simplex)
> 453.9750
> 154.0250
> 453.7500
> 155.8350
> 150.9950
> 153.5900
> 159.0600
> 451.2000
>
> OKLAHOMA COUNTY GOVERNMENT:
> 460.4000
> 460.4750
> 460.3750
> 453.4000
> 453.5750
> 460.2000
> 460.2500
> 460.5000
> 460.5500

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002

3141. Re: Telescoping Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 18:29:29 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <3CDC25A78D2FBA45.567393690D5330BA.58154F7F684F96B6@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 51609

On Sun, 24 Feb 2002 14:25:05 +0000 (UTC), "Danny Brown"
<Irish_Shergar@btopenworld.com> wrote:

>Radio Shack do a good Telescopic antenna which is good for RX 25 through to
>1300MHz and for TX it is good for 144MHz, 220MHz and 440MHz... I picked up
>several on ebay for under ?10... it is center loaded and you extend the
>sections according to which bands you're listening to... I have found it a
>good antenna as I live quite a bit outside any urban development and its
>working well in that its allowing me to hear all sorts of radio comms from
>the city itself. The Catalogue number us 20-006A, Hope you find this useful.
>
>Best of luck to you and yours and good listening.
>
>Regards
>Danny

Your local Radio Shack should have it for $10.

I agree that it works quite well. Obviously a bit
more clunky to use then one of the "super
duckies", but for the money it's fine.

3142. EMS frequency for East Cleveland?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 18:44:28 UTC
From: chief3055@juno.com
Message-ID: <1f9fb7dd.0202241044.236cad2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51610

Anyone know the EMS frequency for East Cleveland, Ohio?

Thanks in Advance!

Dave

3143. Re: Telescoping Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 19:52:02 UTC
From: impact@snet.net
Message-ID: <3c794526.24756850@news>
Source record: 51611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

try Smiley Antenna in CA they work great
Theyy have a web site

http://www.htantennas.com/

Regards,
Bill

On Sun, 24 Feb 2002 06:34:14 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:

>Anyone have any recommendations on buying a telescoping antenna for a
>handheld scanner? I have an Icom R2 and may soon buy a larger HH. Thanks!
>DeWayne KE9K
>
>

3144. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 20:10:16 UTC
From: OceanaRadio <223bthp@home.com>
Message-ID: <3C7A99F0.2D48DFDC@home.com>
Source record: 51612

Most, not all of you, have missed the boat entirely on the what a diversion from the antennas that Congress PROTECTED you to install in a CC&R would entail. You dummies who think it is "illegal" ie: "criminal" there is no hope for you, and it's a good thing that public defenders are around to defend nincompoops like you when you REALLY break a law defined under a US, State or municipal CRIMINAL CODE.

If a tenant or owner subject to a CC&R varied from the antennas protected by Congress (TV only) then the tenant or owner would be in violation of the CC&R and nothing else. That could allow the association charged with enforcing the CC&R to take leagl action (to the dummies, that does not mean criminal) to compel compliance, up to and sometimes including a court order to have the offending antenna(s) removed and lien against the tenant or owner to pay for same.

The only reasonable resolution of a tenant or owner wanting special relief from the bounds of this protection would be to ask for a waiver of the CC&R in their specific case, in a request made before the homeowner's association. That's called getting along with your neighbors, and a reasonable person usually succeeds there. If that doesn't make your boat float, tough, you don't belong around people and should move to your own private idaho.
--
Jack Painter
Virginia Beach, Virginia
http://members.home.net/223bthp/OceanaRadio

BC-895XLT log periodic
Pro-2005 discone
Icom R-71A high wires
Icom R-72

3145. Re: Where 2 Download Manuals for RS Scanners???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 20:27:20 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <61ji7ukonpjfoi46j2s5jsgvj2i9ajkn4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 51613

On 19 Feb 2002 20:42:56 GMT, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) wrote:

>Thanks very much. No, it wasn't obvious to me and that's a great help.
>
>Geo

And you admit it?

Even if the website were www.dfuhdufhudgfhduf.com it would still be
easy to find with a search engine.

3146. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 20:35:33 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020224153533.01350.00000244@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 51614

>Subject: Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America
>From: Keith nospamplease@newsguy.com
>Date: 2/24/2002 7:29 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <a5aptj01hun@enews4.newsguy.com>
>
>Don Priebe wrote:
>
>>[I have mixed feelings on this subject. I have been a ham radio operator
>>for almost 50 years, and would love to put up an effective outdoor antenna.
>>But I live in a CC&R controlled area that prohibits it, and was at one time
>>the (paid) enforcer of those CC&Rs. But I certainly wouldn't want my
>>neighbor to put up a tri-band quad on a 75 foot tower.]
>
> I only support a law that says preemption yes, but for any radio service.
>For example it is very easy to use a TV antenna for ham radio, SW, Scanner,
>or AM/FM broadcast. I think that the .gov could say you can have ONE antenna
>for any purpose in a CC&R/ deed antenna restricted neighborhood no longer
>then 6 feet and no higher then 12 feet. The association could say only a
>antenna like the common TV antennas and must be kept in brand new condition
>and whatever conditions they want to set. The .gov has already preempted the
>CC&R/deed restrictions for certain people to use antennas, I just don't like
>.gov telling the homeowner not to listen to 90.3 MHz on that same antenna.
> I don't support the 35-100 foot tower with a huge beam either.
>
>
>
>
>--
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith
>==
>My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
>The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
>Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
>==

They aren't saying you are breaking a federal law by listening to FM with
the TV antenna. They are only saying they won't come in and defend you from
someone enforcing a perfectly legal contract which you signed yourself. If you
were coerced into signing the contract, sue for that reason.
If you were honest in the way you word your original posts, you wouldn't
get so much arguement. You are misrepresenting the facts by suggesting the
Federal Government will arrest anyone or even search out violators under this

law. The burden of proving you are in violation of the CC&R's is entirely a civil matter and between you and the people whose contract you signed of your own free will.
The fact the government might, in the public interest, decide to lend aid to people who will fill out a form and say they are using their TV antenna for TV reception, that does not in any way shape or form constitute any penalty against anyone who would choose to say they were using their TV antenna for something else and therefore not gain the aid from the government.
This would be something like saying that because the government gives food stamps to people who will fill out a form showing their income is below a certain figure, that it is unfair that the government won't give food stamps to anyone who will NOT make such a representation about their income level. The government treats water wells differently from oil or gas wells. This is not a basis for a claim of discrimination simply because they treat different wells differently.
The fact is you are breaking no law with anything you do in violation of CC&R's, you are breaching a private contract you yourself signed. The law may intervene in aid to the holder of the contract enforcing it's terms, but any lawbreaking would be related to any resistance you raised against the peace officers involved in paper service, etc.
More power to you, I wish you good luck, but trying to claim the government has made anything illegal that wasn't illegal before is dishonest. Comparing it to Nazi radio regulations is downright silly.

3147. Re: Calgary, Alberta freqs Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 21:10:59 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b77caedf.0202241310.40283684@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51615

"Peter Baran" <pbaran@sk.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:<u7ee08d8bb1m38@corp.supernews.com>...
> Looking for anybody in the Calgary Alberta area that can help me out with
> some freqs for that city. I have a TrunkTracker, so trunked freqs are
> welcome as well.
> I have already found some trunked freqs at:
>
> http://trunktracker.com/states/canada.html#ab
>
> &
> http://home.att.net/~wwhitby/canada.htm
>
> I have also been to the Canadian TAFL listings for any offerings there.
>
> I am aware that Calgary City Police are using a digital system, therefore
> not listenable.
> I am interested in any other freqs that you may have to offer.
>
> Thanks in Advance
> Peter

Hi Peter, send me your E-mail address that I can attach a file called SCANAL10.zip
it has mant frequencies that you may be interested in, for Calgary and area.
Fred Burgess

3148. AOR AR3000 Owners.....New Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 23:00:02 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a5br9i$2co$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51616

Hi Guys,
Just to let you know there's a new website out and it's dedicated to owners (or potential owners) of the AR3000/a.

There are a couple of pages to finish off yet, but the bulk is ready for viewing. I would welcome any constructive criticism. If you have anything to add to the site, please drop a line to tom@ar3000.info and I'll include your submission on the site (as long as it benefits the community).

The URL is : www.ar3000.info

Hope you enjoy!

3149. Re: Where 2 Download Manuals for RS Scanners???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-24 23:32:05 UTC
From: a <a@aol.com>
Message-ID: <SJee8.79$k54.95285@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 51617

that url didn't work
"Rocinante" <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:61ji7ukonpjfoi46j2s5jsgvj2i9ajkn4i@4ax.com...
> On 19 Feb 2002 20:42:56 GMT, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) wrote:
>
> >Thanks very much. No, it wasn't obvious to me and that's a great help.
> >
> >Geo
>
>
> And you admit it?
>
> Even if the website were www.dfuhdufhudgfhduf.com it would still be
> easy to find with a search engine.
>
>

3150. Re: Telescoping Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 01:22:24 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <klge8.12368$rz.1616980030@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I use the RatShack antenna on my VR-500 and have picked up WWVH and RNZI inside a building with a wooden roof, here in Northern California.

3151. wanted Pro 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 01:49:41 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VKge8.13081$xs1.3596744@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51619

I am looking for a Pro 2042 Scanner.I have some hts that am willing to trade for one.I have five RS UHF radios a a Motorola SP-21 VHF radio.I also have a Yaesu VX-5 that is a bit flaky that I want to get rid of.This has the temp and barometer in it also.Email me if you got one.I am in Mass.

3152. Scanner Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 02:10:54 UTC
From: Terry Deslippe <t.deslippe@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C799D2E.78340645@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 51620

Looking for Scanner Mods for Realistic Pro2036/Pro25 If anybody has any
please E-mail me.
Thanks.

3153. Re: Where 2 Download Manuals for RS Scanners???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 02:14:09 UTC
From: Joe <n2ioz@mcgsurge.com>
Message-ID: <a5c71l$66a$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51622

radioshack has a website for that

you got questions?
you got problems!

i love there products, i hate there staff from hell!

"LEADENWAH" <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020219112116.27294.00001825@mb-mj.aol.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm sure you guys covered this long ago, but I missed it.
>
> Where or what web site to I go to in order to Download Manuals for Radio
Shack
> Scanners? I've lost mine and need one quickly.
>
> Many thanks,
>
> Geo.

3154. freqs for races

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 02:14:30 UTC
From: Ted <NOSPAM-miamited@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%1he8.216007$TI5.9304119@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51621

I am looking for current freqs for nascar, f1, etc and miami-homestead speedway.

Tahnks

3155. Re: La Raza Donkeys Assault <_=**White Guys**=_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 03:03:37 UTC
From: Adam Clymer <Adam_Clymer44NO@SPAM_hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dQhe8.31426$GL6.4431410@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Eric Lai" <laie@LaRazaDonkeys.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.16e1967325cfa7169896f5@news-server.kscable.com...
> --==.....-----=--..
..--
>
> La Raza (means "blood of donkeys" in mestizo) go looking for white blood.
>
> Friday, February 22, 2002 - 12:00 a.m. Pacific
>
> Hate crime suspected in attacks in Ballard: 4 men crashed party, beat up
> 'white guys'
>
> By Ian Ith
> Seattle Times staff reporter
>
>
> Seattle police are trying to track down four Hispanic men for possible
> hate-crime charges after they crashed a house party in Ballard early
> yesterday and trounced several white guests because they felt like "beating
> up some white guys," police said.
>
> A 17-year-old Crown Hill boy suffered head and body injuries and remained in
> serious condition at Harborview Medical Center yesterday.
>
> Partygoers at the house in the 1500 block of Northwest 60th Street told
> police that the four, in their 20s, showed up uninvited about 12:30 a.m. All
> were dressed alike in blue FUBU-brand jackets and black pants.
>
> A teenage girl was holding the party in her home because her mother was out
> of town, police said. No one knew the four men.
>
> One of the men asked a partygoer, a 21-year-old black man, "Do you feel like
> beating some white guys?" according to a police report.
>
> The black man said no and stepped out back to smoke. When he came back
> inside, he told police, he saw the men jump the white Crown Hill teen, knock
> him to the floor and punch and kick him repeatedly.
>
> Another partygoer tried to help the teen, but the attackers punched him in
> the face several times, police said. Several other guests also were punched,
> police said.
>

> The attackers left the Crown Hill teen lying on the front steps and ran to a
> red or maroon Toyota Corolla, witnesses said. Then two came back and kicked
> the teen in the head a few more times. Then they all sped off in the car.
>
> Police say the girl who hosted the party could be charged with alcohol
> possession. After sending everyone home, officers collected about 50 beer
> and liquor bottles from the house.
>
>
> Copyright © 2002 The Seattle Times Company
>
> http://seattletimes.nwsource.com/html/localnews/134409056_attack22m.html

Southwest shall secede
from U.S., prof predicts
By Frank Zoretich
Tribune reporter

Charles Truxillo, a professor of Chicano studies at the University of New Mexico, suggests "Republica del Norte" would be a good name for a new, sovereign Hispanic nation he foresees straddling the current border between the United States and Mexico.

The Republic of the North -- he predicts its creation as "an inevitability" -- would include all of the present U.S. states of California, Arizona, New Mexico, Texas, plus southern Colorado."

Stretching from the Pacific to the Gulf of Mexico, it would also include the northern tier of current Mexican states: Baja California, Sonora, Chihuahua, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon and Tamaulipas.
Its capital would probably be Los Angeles.

Truxillo, 47, has said the new country should be brought into being "by any means necessary."
But in a recent interview at a coffee shop near the UNM campus, Truxillo said it was "unlikely" civil war would attend its birth.

Instead, he said, the creation of the Republic of the North will be accomplished by political process, by the "electoral pressure" of the future majority Hispanic population throughout the region rather than by violence.

"Not within the next 20 years but within 80 years," he said. "I may not live to see the Hispanic homeland, but by the end of the century my students' kids will live in it, sovereign and free."

Truxillo said it's his task to help develop a "cadre of intellectuals" to begin thinking about the practicalities of how the Republic of the North can become a reality.

In the past, of course, wars have erupted when states seceded from either parent nation -- including the U.S. Civil War to keep the South in the Union and, in Truxillo's quick description, "the Alamo and all that" when Texas declared itself independent of Mexico.

Truxillo said the U.S. Civil War settled the question of secession militarily but not in a legal sense. States do have the right to secede, he maintained, if -- as was untrue in the 1860s -- the rest of the country is willing to let them go.

Professors asked for comment in other departments at UNM were skeptical that politics alone would find a way to make his proposed new nation possible. But, they said, given 100 more years -- well, who can say for sure?

"How realistic is it? That's one of the key issues," Truxillo said. "It's not unfeasible as a premise -- and a realistic possibility when you consider global geopolitical trends. It could happen with the support of the U.S. government."

He listed a number of international developments that he said would have seemed "far-fetched in the 1950s," including the breakup of the Soviet Union, the breakup of Yugoslavia, the apparently imminent creation of an independent West Bank Palestinian state agreed to by Israel, and ballot-box separatist movements aimed at achieving a Quebec independent of Canada.

The "tide of history" is moving the U.S.-Mexico border region toward political autonomy, Truxillo said.

Why does he think there should be a new Hispanic republic?

It's an idea that has been suggested before. In the 1960s, during the height of Chicano activism, something similar -- a sovereign Hispanic homeland to be called Aztlan -- was proposed by Rudolfo Gonzales and others.

When Truxillo was 14, he first met Reies Lopez Tijerina, leader of a group of New Mexicans who seized the courthouse in Tierra Amarilla, the Rio Arriba county seat, in 1967. It was a protest against Spanish land grants being taken by the federal government and set aside for national forests.

In October, Truxillo was a speaker during a ceremony at UNM's Zimmerman Library honoring Tijerina when he contributed his personal archives to the library's Center for Southwest Research.

At the event attended by about 300 people, Truxillo said it was from Tijerina that he had learned "that I was a member of a people with a country that had been taken from them by war, a land that was our own by treaty."

He listed a number of Spanish and Mexican treaties dating back to 1494 and ending with the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo in 1848, by which Mexico granted to the United States -- after the Mexican-American War -- possession of parts of what are now California, Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Colorado, Utah and Wyoming.

"None of the rights of the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo were fulfilled," he told Tijerina. "None of the obligations were upheld. You told us this was our country, our patria, and that we should fight for our rights, that all colonized and exploited peoples should rise up in struggle for independence.

"We will one day be a majority and reclaim our birthright by any means necessary -- and we shouldn't shy away."

Truxillo's tone was more scholarly during the coffee-shop interview. He said New Mexico is the first "minority-majority state" in which Hispanics and Indians and other

minorities on a national level outnumber non-Hispanic whites.

(U.S. census estimates of New Mexico's 1998 population: 52 percent Hispanic, Indian, Black and Asian; 48 percent non-Hispanic white. The Hispanic population alone was estimated at 40.3 percent. The 2000 census is expected to provide more precise figures.)

Texas is likely to become the next minority-majority state, Truxillo said, adding Hispanics are already in the majority in the border regions of all the Southwest states, largely because of a long and continuing immigration from Mexico.

The "overwhelming bulk of Mexican immigrants are attracted by the American economic way of life," Truxillo said. "Not as attractive to them is the American cultural way of life, but they are willing to make the exchange of economic security for cultural anarchy."

Hispanics in the American Southwest "have been ruled by three empires, Spain, Mexico and the United States," Truxillo said. "Under all three systems, we have failed to achieve self-determination.

"Among native-born American Hispanics, there is the feeling that we are strangers in our own land," he continued. "We remain subordinated. We have a negative image of our own culture, created by the media. Self-loathing is a terrible form of oppression. The long history of oppression and subordination has to end. There has to be an alternative."

Truxillo, who describes himself as a Chicano, said he was born in Albuquerque. He attended public schools in Albuquerque and earned his undergraduate and graduate degrees from UNM, majoring in Latin American, borderlands and Asian history.

He was an assistant professor of history at New Mexico Highlands University from 1992 to 1997, but after being denied tenure there, he began teaching in the Chicano Studies Program at UNM where he is now a visiting professor on a year-to-year contract.

Truxillo said Hispanics who have achieved some positions of power or who are otherwise "enjoying the benefits of assimilation" are most likely to be in the vanguard of opposition to his concept of the Republic of the North.

"There will be the negative reaction, the tortured response of someone who thinks, 'Give me a break. I just want to go to Wal-Mart.' But the idea will seep into their consciousness, and cause an internal crisis, a pain of conscience, an internal dialogue as they ask themselves: 'Who am I in this system?'"

Along both sides of the U.S.-Mexico border "there is a growing fusion, a reviving of connections," Truxillo said. "Southwest Chicanos and Norte&#65533;o Mexicanos are becoming one people again."

http://www.abqtrib.com/archives/news/013100_trux.shtml

3156. Re: La Raza Donkeys Assault <_=**White Guys**=_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 03:09:09 UTC
From: Spoon Man <Stan@NeverFalls.com>
Message-ID: <MUhe8.131548$n73.4176496@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Adam Clymer" <Adam_Clymer44NO@SPAM_hotmail.com> wrote [KILO-SNIP!]

Who *DID* you steal *that* pseudo from?

3157. FS: Universal M-400 Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 04:24:53 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <L0je8.3427$N7.873369@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 51625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

The Universal M-400 is a self contained unit that can plug into the
speaker port of your radio or take a discriminator tap plug in. It decodes
the following modes.
Baudot, ASCII, Autor (sitor A and B), FEC-A, Swed-ARQ, FAX, ACARS, POCSAG,
Golay, CTCSS, DCS and DTMP.

It is in excellent cosmetic condition and works just fine.

Comes with the unit, power supply (a wall wart) and the manual

The firmware shows ver 2.01

$170 OBO shipped USPS CONUS

Greg

3158. DB9 to USB w/ IC-PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 05:35:14 UTC
From: J <dataless@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <m2ke8.60830$pZ5.331169932@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51626
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone one know a DB9 to USB adapter will work with the Icom IC-PCR100 using talkpcr? I would appreciate any type of feedback. Please email me

kd5qac@swbell.net

Joe
KD5QAC

3159. Re: FCC databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 05:52:57 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <Zike8.8445$jC3.304937@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51627

Gosh yes, quite a while back. All the former P~ groups have been lumped into the PW - Public safety pool; so far, most new licenses are remaining in the old sub-bands (PP, PL, PF, PP, PH...).

The industrial freqs have been similarly consolidated into in "IG" Industrial Pool.

there are also a couple new search sites,
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
and http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts (an alternate to the old & overworked gullfoss site)

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Stan Self" <Zeawolf@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6205-3C782D49-174@storefull-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Has the FCC database changed the ability of getting Police Frequencies?
> The service code of PL is also missing. Local PD Freq's were easy to get
> months ago. Any info?
>
> http://community.webtv.net/Zeawolf/ZeawolfsScannerPage
>

3160. pro93 scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 06:06:02 UTC
From: Richard Haugen <rhaugen@mlgc.com>
Message-ID: <6c084ce4cc2f2667434fa46d79f33a33.72723@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 51628

I purchased a pro93 scanner from radio shack and SCANNER DATA LOADING
SOFTWARE. When I gonfigure my software it don't show the pro93. It only
shows the 76, 79, 89, 2016, 2017. So it don't do anything for me. Is
ther an upgrade or did I just get ripped off?

--
Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

3161. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 06:44:29 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a5cmfv$694e8$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.legal

<helmsman> wrote in message
news:tpmh7ukhsue4khb3ep3nmrevcf331guljb@4ax.com...

> It's just another step in making listening to certian frequencies
> illegal. Joe Goebbels would love the cell Fone laws (radio).
> Do I listen? No. Do I have the equipment to listen, you bet. But the
> law itself is anti-American. It is abhorent that an American can't
> listen to the radio! The politicans who were bribed to pass these laws
> should be stripped of there jobs.

I have no argument that banning the listening of certain radio frequencies
is wrong, but the original argument was that this new law would prohibit you
from attaching a shortwave receiver to a TV antenna. I doubt such a
proposed law exists.

Tom

3162. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 07:04:50 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a5cnm4$65vem$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.legal

" Uncle Peter" <radioconnectionNO@cox.netSPAM> wrote in message
news:kT7e8.5971$jC3.247291@news1.east.cox.net...
> I'd agree, except if it was the only option open. Obvious a stock TV
> antenna is
> going to offer poor performance "as-is" for SW reception... But, if
> someone were
> to use the feedline (common mode) and antenna as a long-wire antenna it
> might be
> much better than an indoor wire antenna.

Yes, I'm sure it would, but I seriously doubt that the government will be beating down your door to see if you have a SW receiver hooked up to that antenna as opposed to a TV set. The original poster who started this thread has yet to give a cite or quote the passage in the proposed law that states that this is prohibited.

Tom

3163. Re: pro93 scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 07:08:24 UTC
From: Terrence <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om>
Message-ID: <Iple8.21901$vP.76612@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51631

ripped off!

there are only two programs now.

see www.pro93.com for software

NEVER beleive what the radio shack people tell you

"Richard Haugen" <rhaugen@mlgc.com> wrote in message
news:6c084ce4cc2f2667434fa46d79f33a33.72723@mygate.mailgate.org...
> I purchased a pro93 scanner from radio shack and SCANNER DATA LOADING
> SOFTWARE. When I gonfigure my software it don't show the pro93. It only
> shows the 76, 79, 89, 2016, 2017. So it don't do anything for me. Is
> ther an upgrade or did I just get ripped off?
>
>
> --
> Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

3164. Re: pro93 scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 07:27:55 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a5cp1u$69h4s$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51632

Simply take it back. It is not designed for the Pro-93.

Get Win93 from: http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html
Get a cable from Ebay by searching for: FA-126. It is a Casio Boss cable but works great with the Pro-93 and a few RS adapters.

The Win93 program is free courtesy of Don Starr. I gave him a donation for his efforts and urge anyone using it to do the same.
The cable via Ebay was $10.00 delivered.

"Richard Haugen" <rhaugen@mlgc.com> wrote in message news:6c084ce4cc2f2667434fa46d79f33a33.72723@mygate.mailgate.org...
> I purchased a pro93 scanner from radio shack and SCANNER DATA LOADING
> SOFTWARE. When I gonfigure my software it don't show the pro93. It only
> shows the 76, 79, 89, 2016, 2017. So it don't do anything for me. Is
> ther an upgrade or did I just get ripped off?
>
>
> --
> Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

3165. Re: The Goebbels Radio Law Comes to America

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 07:49:28 UTC
From: "Arthur L. Rubin" <216-5888@mcimail.com>
Message-ID: <3C78D2A1.5912@mcimail.com>
Source record: 51633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,misc.legal

Keith wrote:
>
> http://www.shoa.de/pictures/p_joseph_goebbels.jpg
>
> Joe Goebbels was in charge of propaganda for the Nazi regime of Germany in
> the 30's and 40's. One of the laws he created was the Radio Traitor law. If
> you were caught listening to the wrong radio transmission you would be
> hanged.
> Today the US Government has attempted a similar tactic with the TV
> reception antenna law in deed restricted neighborhoods. You can put up a
> antenna, but if you listen to Radio Moscow on it you have violated the law.

WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT. The law is that you can put up an
antenna designed for TV reception regardless of whether it
violates the CC&Rs. Once you put up a TV antenna, you can use it for
whatever purpose you want -- subject to FCC regulations on interference.

--
Arthur L. Rubin 216-5888@mcimail.com

3166. in need of mainboard. for aor1000/fairmate.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 12:09:12 UTC
From: Jim <diane.ryan@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <tPpe8.45391$Ah1.4878579@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 51634

Hi i am in the UK and i am in need of a aor1000/fairmate mainboard from a damaged scanner, please let me know if you have one for sale and would be prepared to send to the uk.
Thanks

3167. Buying scanner (Want to buy)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 13:35:19 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C7A3D97.4E3F5DEC@analon.com>
Source record: 51635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for a "trunk tracker" scanner if anyone has one for sale.

I prefer news groups to E-Bay because I won't be sending any money to a middle man such as E-Bay..... let me know what you have for sale..... I seem to collect radios.... My truck (Ford F-150) has 4 antennas on the roof

JOHN

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

3168. how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 13:37:41 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com>
Source record: 51636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??

My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4 radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that ar e radios too!! <VBG>

JOHN

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

3169. Re: Buying scanner (Want to buy)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 14:05:56 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <8xre8.25532$jj4.1794911@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 51637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You don't send any money to eBay, you send it to the auction seller directly.

--

_____
"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message news:3C7A3D97.4E3F5DEC@analon.com...
> I am looking for a "trunk tracker" scanner if anyone has one for sale.
>
> I prefer news groups to E-Bay because I won't be sending any money to a
> middle man such as E-Bay..... let me know what you have for
> sale..... I seem to collect radios.... My truck (Ford F-150) has 4
> antennas on the roof
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --
>
>

3170. Re: Buying scanner (Want to buy)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 14:06:46 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <Wxre8.25533$jj4.1794208@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 51638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Oops, forgot about your actual question, check out the RS Pro 2067

"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message news:3C7A3D97.4E3F5DEC@analon.com...
> I am looking for a "trunk tracker" scanner if anyone has one for sale.
>
> I prefer news groups to E-Bay because I won't be sending any money to a
> middle man such as E-Bay..... let me know what you have for
> sale..... I seem to collect radios.... My truck (Ford F-150) has 4
> antennas on the roof
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --
>
>

3171. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 14:26:05 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u7ki9ql9jje2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Just starting out myself... Have me 2 in addition to the "factory"
antenna...

PH

"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>
> My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> ar e radios too!! <VBG>
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --
>
>

3172. Re: Where 2 Download Manuals for RS Scanners???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 14:48:33 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u7kjjvkep2pe3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51640

Gee, I wonder why?

"a" <a@aol.com> wrote in message news:SJee8.79$k54.95285@news.uswest.net...
> that url didn't work
> "Rocinante" <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:61ji7ukonpjfoi46j2s5jsgvj2i9ajkn4i@4ax.com...
> > On 19 Feb 2002 20:42:56 GMT, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) wrote:
> >
> > >Thanks very much. No, it wasn't obvious to me and that's a great help.
> > >
> > >Geo
> >
> >
> > And you admit it?
> >
> > Even if the website were www.dfuhdufhudgfhduf.com it would still be
> > easy to find with a search engine.
> >
> >
>
>

3173. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 14:48:53 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c7a4ed5$0$98142$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com:

> HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>
> My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> ar e radios too!! <VBG>
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --
>
>
>

Gee, just two here. One for the am/fm, one for the vhf/uhf dualband rig.
Oh wait, there's still the bottom end of a k-40 on the trunk too, should I
snap the antenna back on??..lol
On a side note, anyone ever check the resonance of a k-40 on 70cm?.. might
be my experiment for the day.
--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

3174. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 14:58:18 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u7kk6j2v0hd656@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51642

There are three Speech inversion programs floating around out there that I know of, and I have all three in my possession. If you are interested in any, I can email them to you if you have a mailbox that can hold the load. One is 2MB, one is 1.76MB, and the other is 262K. Sizes will be slightly larger do to the email encoding, but not by much...

Let me know either way...

PH

PLEASE NOTE: Email in this posting is invalid! Do not try to reply...

"TDD" <twert21@ivorycoast.zoom> wrote in message news:3c768a0f.4897392@news.teranews.com...
> On Fri, 22 Feb 2002 02:32:16 GMT, David M Schertzer
> <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> >Or get a program FREE on the net that does the same...
> >
>
> Where? What's it called? How do you hook up the radio to the PC?
>

3175. Re: pro93 scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 15:05:55 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <7akk7ukorm4rui5s5tvb9otogci3h1nlgb@4ax.com>
Source record: 51548

On Mon, 25 Feb 2002 07:08:24 GMT, "Terrence"
<Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om> wrote:

>see www.pro93.com for software

I wouldn't recommend that. It's a combination of a developmental
version of Radio Shack's software (nobody knows who released it) and a
mediocre download program. The good stuff is

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html

which is very well written and well supported.

The correct cable for the 93 is the same as for the 92. Go to

http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcable.htm

for the whole rundown on cables. The Casio cable from Purple
Computing is the one to get if you don't want to build your own.

Ken Plotkin

3176. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 15:36:00 UTC
From: Carl <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ARse8.393$vj1.565@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Only 14. Includes 4-ham, commercial vhf-lo, commercial vhf-hi, commercial uhf, gmrs, 2 scanners, ELT tracking antenna, DGPS, GPS, commercial am/fm.

Carl.

JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>
> My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> ar e radios too!! <VBG>
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --
>
>

3177. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 16:07:34 UTC
From: kh6zv9@pe.net
Message-ID: <9jte8.91$yk32.12386379@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 51645

Power House <Power_House@privatebox.net> wrote:
: There are three Speech inversion programs floating around out there that I
: know of, and I have all three in my possession. If you are interested in
: any, I can email them to you if you have a mailbox that can hold the load.
: One is 2MB, one is 1.76MB, and the other is 262K. Sizes will be slightly
: larger do to the email encoding, but not by much...

: Let me know either way...

Could you post those to a binary newsgroup? I would like to get those
programs also.

Bob Masse' kh6zv9@PE.NET

3178. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 16:28:09 UTC
From: DP <jettaway21@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tCte8.835$a04.5148@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 51646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sounds like you guys would make most of the warships in the navy look like
toys ;-)

"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>
> My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> ar e radios too!! <VBG>
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --
>
>

3179. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 16:31:23 UTC
From: DP <jettaway21@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vFte8.837$a04.5210@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 51547
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That is correct.

"Gusto" <gusto@hawaii.com> wrote in message
news:3TYd8.15121$DS6.383259@news2.telusplanet.net...
> In Canada, as far as I know, it is still legal to listen in on cell,
> cordless, etc as long as you don't tell another person what you heard.
>
>
> "Satnik" <satnik@blahblah.com> wrote in message
> news:r2Xd8.18698$%D5.48238@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Actually, the Congressmen and Senators just don't want anyone
> eavesdropping
> > as they call their mistresses and hookers on cell phones.
> > "John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C780ED7.7C45EDA6@erols.com...
> > > A correction...it is not the FCC that wants or does not want you to
> > monitor
> > > cellular transmissions. It is the cellular phone lobby that
> > > was successful in lobbying Congress to pass the legislation. The FCC
> then
> > takes
> > > whatever actions based upon federal law. We have the best money
> Congress
> > can
> > > buy. Begin first by blaming the idiot voters who keep returning some
of
> > these
> > > jerks to Congress term after term.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Dirk wrote:
> > >
> > > > Personally, people - I think this is all BULLSHIT - and I'll tell
you
> > > > why: If these radio waves are entering MY space and going through
MY
> > > > body - then I should be able to do with them as I please. Is this
not
> > > > a violation of property? I didn't give anyone permission to shoot
> > > > THOUSANDS, possibly even HUNDREDS of THOUSANDS of RF energy through
my
> > > > body - and I can't control the direction of radio waves (unless I

> > > > build a home out of lead) and when I drive to the store, walk in the
> > > > park, sit down to go fishing - whatever - there are a MAGNITUDE of
> > > > unseen forces penetrating the air and the environment we live in.
> > > > Studies are INCONCLUSIVE as to the harmful effects of RF radiation
on
> > > > the human body - look at cell phones specifically - first they are
> > > > released as being "safe" then there are reports out 8~10 years later
> > > > that exposure to radiation from close-range use of cell phones COULD
> > > > cause brain damage. Apparently the parties responsible for doing
the
> > > > research were early pioneers of close-range radiation. Personally,
my
> > > > feelings on this are simple - if you are going to pass radiation
> > > > through my body - whether directed, intentional or not - I should be
> > > > able to monitor what effects my person. That being said - let's
talk
> > > > about realistic directions... I'm not condoning the monitoring
> > > > frequencies for the intent of illegal practices. But if public
> > > > transmissions ARE PRESENTin MY AIRSPACE - I feel we have a right to
> > > > know what they are. I consider any UNSCRAMBLED radio waves to be
> > > > PUBLIC. If you want privacy - use another medium or SCRAMBLE /
ENCODE
> > > > your transmission. In this type of approach, we retain the right to
> > > > listen - but not the right to decipher.
> > > >
> > > > And yes, I am an avid scanner buff - I'm not here to make a stink
> > > > about leaglity issues - more like along the lines of MORALITY
issues.
> > > > The FCC doesn't want us to be able to monitor CELL frequencies - but
> > > > they will charter some cellular company rights to put a cell tower
in
> > > > your backyard and irradiate your immediate airspace with varying
> > > > amounts of RF.
> > > >
> > > > So do we have the right?
> > > >
> > > > -Dirk
> > > > LIVE 24/7 WebScanner for Mercer County PA / Trumbull County OHIO
> > > > http://scanner.infonline.net
> > > >
> > > > On Mon, 24 Sep 2001 04:47:02 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> > > > <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> > > > >news:p8crqt439q319mgvjs3u20qo67fgst9loc@4ax.com...
> > > > >> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 08:46:33 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> > > > >> <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >> >

> > > > >> ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> > > > >> >news:1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com...
> > > > >> >> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
> > > > >> >>
> > > > >> >> >>>>Danny wrote:
> > > > >> >> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone
> > conversations
> > > > > >in
> > > > >> >the
> > > > >> >> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd
> block
> > out
> > > > >> >those
> > > > >> >> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> > > > >> >> >>EEng wrote:
> > > > >> >> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless
service.
> > > > >> >>
> > > > >> >>
> > > > >> >> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> > > > >> >>
> > > > >> >> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> > > > >> >>
> > > > >> >> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >The CFR is all very well, but it's not the law that prohibits
> > cellular
> > > > > >and
> > > > >> >cordless listening in the US.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >You want 18 USC Sec. 2511, the Electronic Communications Privacy
> > Act,
> > > > > >which
> > > > >> >directly prohibits monitoring cellular, cordless, and some other
> > things.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >See: http://uscode.house.gov/usc.htm for a searchable version
of
> > the
> > > > > >USC.
> > > > >> >Search on electronic and communications and privacy and
cellular,
> > or
> > > > > >just
> > > > >> >directly search for title 18, section 2511.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >The FCC regulations are simply implimentations of that act, to
> stop
> > you
> > > > > >from

> > > > >> >manufacturing a receiver that is designed to monitor cellular.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >Yes, the FCC has clarified that 'modifying' a scanner to receive
> > cellular
> > > > >is
> > > > >> >'manufacturing' within this rule, and a violation.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> If you read it carefully, you'll see that it specifically details
> > that
> > > > >> USE of the content is illegal, not merely listening in, so I'll
say
> > > > >> once more, it is not illegal to listen. Qualifying that, it IS
> > > > >> illegal to convey the content in any manner.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> EEng.
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > ><sigh> I've read it, which you apparently haven't, very well. I
> > realize
> > > > >it's rather impenetrable, especially on a screen instead of printed
> out
> > > > >where you can follow the nested clauses, EEng, but yes, it DOES
> > prohibit you
> > > > >monitoring, listening, intercepting, at all. First section: You
are
> > > > >prohibited from INTERCEPTING, regardless of what you do with it.
> That
> > only
> > > > >affects whether you get only a fine, or a fine or jail time, in the
> > penalty
> > > > >area. If what you do meets any of a) thru e), you're in violation.
> > They're
> > > > >all seperate violations, you don't have to do both a) and b), etc.
> > > > >------------
> > > > >(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any
> > > > >
> > > > > person who -
> > > > >
> > > > > (a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures
> any
> > other
> > > > >person to intercept or endeavor to
> > > > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > > > > intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;
> > > > >
> > > > >(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other
> person
> > to
> > > > >use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device
to

> > > > >intercept any oral communication when -
> > > > >
> > > > > (i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a
> > signal
> > > > >through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire
> > communication;
> > > > >or
> > > > >
> > > > > (ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or
> > interferes
> > > > >with the transmission of such communication; or
> > > > >
> > > > > (iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such
> > device
> > > > >or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or
> transported
> > in
> > > > >interstate or foreign commerce; or
> > > > >
> > > > > (iv) such use or endeavor to use
> > > > >
> > > > > (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other
> > commercial
> > > > >establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign
> > commerce;
> > > > >or
> > > > >
> > > > > (B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information
> > relating
> > > > >to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment
> the
> > > > >operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or
> > > > >
> > > > > (v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the
> > Commonwealth
> > > > >of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United
States;
> > > > >
> > > > >(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other
> > person
> > > > >the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
knowing
> or
> > > > >having reason to know that the information was obtained through the
> > > > >interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in
> violation
> > of
> > > > >this subsection;
> > > > >
> > > > >(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any

> wire,
> > oral,
> > > > >or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that
> the
> > > > >information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral,
or
> > > > >electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or
> > > > > >
> > > > >(e)(i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any
> other
> > > > >person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
> > > > >intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(a)(ii),
> > 2511(2)(b)-(c),
> > > > >2511(2)(e), 2516, and 2518 of this chapter,
> > > > > >
> > > > >(ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was
> obtained
> > > > >through the interception of such a communication in connection with
a
> > > > >criminal investigation,
> > > > > >
> > > > >(iii) having obtained or received the information in connection
with
> a
> > > > >criminal investigation, and
> > > > > >
> > > > >(iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with
a
> > duly
> > > > >authorized criminal investigation,
> > > > > >
> > > > >shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject
> to
> > suit
> > > > >as provided in subsection (5).
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
>
>

3180. BC 210XL Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 16:44:43 UTC
From: eg9k@aol.com
Message-ID: <1508acc5.0202250844.63f433@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51549

Howdy, Have been trying to locate a " service " manual for a Bearcat
BC 210XL scanner for quite awhile with no luck. Would like to buy,
borrow or copy a manual, any help would be appreciated. Thanks
Harold

3181. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 17:23:03 UTC
From: N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <a5dkuh$6fv42$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I currently have 6 on my Nissan Pick'em up.. One on the front for the am/fm radio, one on the back bumper for my CB (hardly ever use it, put it on long before I got into ham). 4 on top, one a 2m whip, one a 10m hamstick, one 2m/440 antenna for my HT, and one for my scanner.

I also put my call sign on the rear sides of my camper shell and back bumper in 3 inch high stick on letters (sold for address markers in hardware stores).

Makes for some interesting conversations, and lets me talk about Ham to interested folks. Sort of a rolling advertisement.

73's,

Robert / N4IXT

"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>
> My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> ar e radios too!! <VBG>
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --
>
>

3182. PRO-2006 control software needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 17:54:06 UTC
From: mailto.steveh@mmi.net
Message-ID: <3c7a7a35$0$32129$c0ee3426@news1.midco.net>
Source record: 51550

I have a PRO-2006 scanner, with a CE-232 interface installed. I am looking for software to use with it. Can anyone suggest any?

Thanks!

3183. Re: BC-3000 REDUCED to $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 18:25:51 UTC
From: Smorg@ert.con
Message-ID: <3c7a8194.8874370@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51551

On Sun, 24 Feb 2002 08:33:07 -0500, The_AntiArt
<The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote:

>On Sat, 23 Feb 2002 22:56:05 GMT, "Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>>I will offer $80.00 shipped to Mass.
>>
>
>Offering the guy eighty dollars for a
>great scanner like the BC3000XLT to "kindly"
>take it off his hands??
>
>Guess we know why they call you "Dick"!!!

Two new BP2500 would run $100 if they're bad.

3184. Uniden BC700A 4 sale (pre-ban) $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 18:34:26 UTC
From: None <none@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Ssve8.23981$dK1.94026@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 51552

email arcticat@hotmail.com

Yes, cell phone frequencies are included (not blocked) on this scanner!!!!

3185. Wireless Computer Networks and Radio Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 18:46:29 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C7A8685.4040707@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 51553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm thinking of hooking up all 5 of my home computers together via a
Wireless Network. Wondering if anyone who has done this has noticed
the network causing Interference to HF, VHF or UHF?

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and MORE

3186. Re: BC100XLT Owners Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 18:59:16 UTC
From: Mike W <MNMikeW@aolspam.com>
Message-ID: <3c7a8752$1@MAIL.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 51554

You can d/l it from uniden.com

<wfac106@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3c75bc34.19664586@news.cfw.com...
> Hi.
>
> Does anyone have this manual scanned? Or know where I can download it
> off the net anywhere? Any help is appreciated.
>
> Thanks!
>

3187. Salt Lake Olympic frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 19:08:52 UTC
From: Markus Meier <donald3001@gmx.de>
Message-ID: <a5e1vl$303$1@news-01.m-online.net>
Source record: 51555

Hello,

can you tell me, where i can find some overviews,
which frequ&#65533;s were used there? (and are still in
use, while paralympics)

thank you!

mark

3188. Radios Ski-Alpin Worldcup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 19:10:09 UTC
From: Markus Meier <donald3001@gmx.de>
Message-ID: <a5e221$308$1@news-01.m-online.net>
Source record: 51556

Hello again,

can you tell me also the known frequ&#65533;s which are used at ski-world-cup-races? It seems, that they are in 2 m-Band.

Where can i find further information?

thank you!

Mark

3189. Re: pro93 scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 19:10:51 UTC
From: Terrence <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om>
Message-ID: <%_ve8.24087$dK1.94217@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 51558

sounds like you already got the cable

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a5cp1u$69h4s$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Simply take it back. It is not designed for the Pro-93.
>
> Get Win93 from: http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html
> Get a cable from Ebay by searching for: FA-126. It is a Casio Boss cable
but
> works great with the Pro-93 and a few RS adapters.
>
> The Win93 program is free courtesy of Don Starr. I gave him a donation for
> his efforts and urge anyone using it to do the same.
> The cable via Ebay was $10.00 delivered.
>
>
>
> "Richard Haugen" <rhaugen@mlgc.com> wrote in message
> news:6c084ce4cc2f2667434fa46d79f33a33.72723@mygate.mailgate.org...
> > I purchased a pro93 scanner from radio shack and SCANNER DATA LOADING
> > SOFTWARE. When I gonfigure my software it don't show the pro93. It only
> > shows the 76, 79, 89, 2016, 2017. So it don't do anything for me. Is
> > ther an upgrade or did I just get ripped off?
> >
> >
> > --
> > Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
>
>

3190. Re: pro93 scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 19:10:52 UTC
From: Terrence <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om>
Message-ID: <0%ve8.25519$vP.95288@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51557

Not that the program you are recommending isn't good, but I like the other much better.

It's laid out in a more organized manner in my opinion. Especially when it comnes to talkgroups.

Talkgroups have plenty of room. They are not stuck down in the corner as in the other version

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message news:a5cp1u$69h4s$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Simply take it back. It is not designed for the Pro-93.
>
> Get Win93 from: http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html
> Get a cable from Ebay by searching for: FA-126. It is a Casio Boss cable but
> works great with the Pro-93 and a few RS adapters.
>
> The Win93 program is free courtesy of Don Starr. I gave him a donation for
> his efforts and urge anyone using it to do the same.
> The cable via Ebay was $10.00 delivered.
>
>
>
> "Richard Haugen" <rhaugen@mlgc.com> wrote in message
> news:6c084ce4cc2f2667434fa46d79f33a33.72723@mygate.mailgate.org...
> > I purchased a pro93 scanner from radio shack and SCANNER DATA LOADING
> > SOFTWARE. When I gonfigure my software it don't show the pro93. It only
> > shows the 76, 79, 89, 2016, 2017. So it don't do anything for me. Is
> > ther an upgrade or did I just get ripped off?
> >
> >
> > --
> > Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
>
>

3191. PRO2022 LOW AUDIO PROB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 19:12:12 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <e03l7ukm2uq3j0kfcc61536nnlqhg5pc09@4ax.com>
Source record: 51559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Even to Vol level #10 sounds like Vol level #1????
Cant seem to get any LOUD audio??? What's the prob?

a. cheaked connection good
b. sprk good
c. ext sprk (low)
d.
e.
f.
g.

What next???? Plse any advise...

3192. Re: Wireless Computer Networks and Radio Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 19:59:14 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a5e4um$6gcre$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wireless is 2.4 spread spectrum.

I use a Lucent 128 bit wireless card with my PCR-1000 both connected to a notebook - Inspiron 8000. This then connects to an Airport base station modified with a gold card as well. That plugs into my hub. I have not noticed any interference but to say there is none is crazy, I just don't notice. I have 2 possibilities of interference, the PC and the cards. The PCR-1000 range is up to 1300 MHz.

IMHO of using ALL wireless. Just do wireless where you have to, do roaming laptops and where you are unable to install ethernet cable. 10/100 cards are much nicer to move large files around. Leave at least one port open to plug in a laptop for file maintenance and/or backup. I have everthing centered around a switch instead of a hub but I dont get much traffic on my network ;)

Time was terriblly long to xfer a large directory of MP3s wirelessly. I stopped the process and used the 10/100 port in the laptop finally. Hard wired is cheaper as well, but wireless has come way down. I bought moderately early in its introduction. I use the wireless cards strictly for watching TV and playing on the laptops at the same time. Using 10/100 hardwired is much better for LAN parties!

Look ma! No wires.

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3C7A8685.4040707@licensed4fun.com...
> I'm thinking of hooking up all 5 of my home computers together via a
> Wireless Network. Wondering if anyone who has done this has noticed
> the network causing Interference to HF, VHF or UHF?
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and MORE
>
>

3193. Re: pro93 scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 20:06:01 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a5e5bd$6o1b6$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51561

Thinking about it you have a point. The talkgroups ARE a major feature of the radio/programming.

I just like Win93 myself. Its easy for me to use. The author is VERY active in the yahoo group. He also makes modifications after listening to bug complaint or simply for better user interfacing. He is a great guy.

I don't talk this good about my brother!

"Terrence" <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om> wrote in message
news:0%ve8.25519$vP.95288@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Not that the program you are recommending isn't good, but I like the other
> much better.
>
> It's laid out in a more organized manner in my opinion. Especially when it
> comnes to talkgroups.
>
> Talkgroups have plenty of room. They are not stuck down in the corner as
in
> the other version
>
>
> "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
> news:a5cp1u$69h4s$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > Simply take it back. It is not designed for the Pro-93.
> >
> > Get Win93 from: http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html
> > Get a cable from Ebay by searching for: FA-126. It is a Casio Boss cable
> but
> > works great with the Pro-93 and a few RS adapters.
> >
> > The Win93 program is free courtesy of Don Starr. I gave him a donation
for
> > his efforts and urge anyone using it to do the same.
> > The cable via Ebay was $10.00 delivered.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Richard Haugen" <rhaugen@mlgc.com> wrote in message
> > news:6c084ce4cc2f2667434fa46d79f33a33.72723@mygate.mailgate.org...
> > > I purchased a pro93 scanner from radio shack and SCANNER DATA LOADING
> > > SOFTWARE. When I gonfigure my software it don't show the pro93. It
only
> > > shows the 76, 79, 89, 2016, 2017. So it don't do anything for me. Is
> > > ther an upgrade or did I just get ripped off?

> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
> >
> >
>
>

3194. Re: pro93 scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 20:08:17 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a5e5fk$6ofrl$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51562

Yep, worked right off the bat with the usual RS adapters. It has a 25 and 9 pin connector in the pc connector. Seems weird but I have yet to use the 25 pin side so no big deal. $10 with shipping and you can not beat that, unless you homeroll.

"Terrence" <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om> wrote in message
news:%_ve8.24087$dK1.94217@rwcrnsc54...
> sounds like you already got the cable
>
>
> "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
> news:a5cp1u$69h4s$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > Simply take it back. It is not designed for the Pro-93.
> >
> > Get Win93 from: http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html
> > Get a cable from Ebay by searching for: FA-126. It is a Casio Boss cable
> but
> > works great with the Pro-93 and a few RS adapters.
> >
> > The Win93 program is free courtesy of Don Starr. I gave him a donation
for
> > his efforts and urge anyone using it to do the same.
> > The cable via Ebay was $10.00 delivered.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Richard Haugen" <rhaugen@mlgc.com> wrote in message
> > news:6c084ce4cc2f2667434fa46d79f33a33.72723@mygate.mailgate.org...
> > > I purchased a pro93 scanner from radio shack and SCANNER DATA LOADING
> > > SOFTWARE. When I gonfigure my software it don't show the pro93. It
only
> > > shows the 76, 79, 89, 2016, 2017. So it don't do anything for me. Is
> > > ther an upgrade or did I just get ripped off?
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
> >
> >
>
>

3195. Re: BC-3000 REDUCED to $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 20:24:49 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <l4xe8.65$Sj7.53506@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51563

John
Go ahead and put it on Ebay and see if you get a better offer.If you don't,I will take the scanner for what we agreed on.After all I don't want to be taking advantage as some other people think on here.
So let me know if you don't sell it.You got my email.
"John Miller" <bfdp1@msn.com> wrote in message news:1235373c.0202221534.61898910@posting.google.com...
> BC-3000, Nice shape. Needs new battery pak insert ($26)
>
>
> $125 shipped in US
>
> John
> Boise, Idaho

3196. Re: Radios Ski-Alpin Worldcup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 20:48:08 UTC
From: Terrence <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om>
Message-ID: <cqxe8.25799$vP.95549@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51564

can you do trunking?

"Markus Meier" <donald3001@gmx.de> wrote in message
news:a5e221$308$1@news-01.m-online.net...
> Hello again,
>
> can you tell me also the known frequ&#65533;s which are
> used at ski-world-cup-races? It seems, that they
> are in 2 m-Band.
>
> Where can i find further information?
>
> thank you!
>
> Mark
>
>
>

3197. Re: BC 210XL Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 22:10:50 UTC
From: JS <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <KDye8.13053$FI3.1694761017@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51565

TRY: www.sarrio.com/sarrio/rsman1.html

ALSO TRY: www.w7fg.com

They both have the service manual for BC-210, (i don't know if that is the same as BC-210XL)

Harold Howe wrote in message <1508acc5.0202250844.63f433@posting.google.com>...
>Howdy, Have been trying to locate a " service " manual for a Bearcat
>BC 210XL scanner for quite awhile with no luck. Would like to buy,
>borrow or copy a manual, any help would be appreciated. Thanks
>Harold

3198. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 22:16:53 UTC
From: rsdalton1 <rsdalton1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pJye8.15910$0C1.1378198@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

So many antennas so many radios!
Well ok! I can't imagine spending that much time in my car,going from rig to
rig :-)
"N4IXT" <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:a5dkuh$6fv42$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> I currently have 6 on my Nissan Pick'em up.. One on the front for the
am/fm
> radio, one on the back bumper for my CB (hardly ever use it, put it on
long
> before I got into ham). 4 on top, one a 2m whip, one a 10m hamstick, one
> 2m/440 antenna for my HT, and one for my scanner.
>
> I also put my call sign on the rear sides of my camper shell and back
bumper
> in 3 inch high stick on letters (sold for address markers in hardware
> stores).
>
> Makes for some interesting conversations, and lets me talk about Ham to
> interested folks. Sort of a rolling advertisement.
>
> 73's,
>
> Robert / N4IXT
>
> "JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> > HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> >
> > My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> > them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> > radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> > thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> > ar e radios too!! <VBG>
> >
> > JOHN
> >
> > --
> > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > --
> >

> >
>
>
>

3199. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 23:01:05 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a5ellrqvr2@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"rsdalton1" <rsdalton1@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:pJye8.15910$0C1.1378198@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So many antennas so many radios!
> Well ok! I can't imagine spending that much time in my car,going from rig
to
> rig :-)

I wonder if his vehicle looks like a porcupine with all those antennas? ;)

> "N4IXT" <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:a5dkuh$6fv42$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> > I currently have 6 on my Nissan Pick'em up.. One on the front for the
> am/fm
> > radio, one on the back bumper for my CB (hardly ever use it, put it on
> long
> > before I got into ham). 4 on top, one a 2m whip, one a 10m hamstick, one
> > 2m/440 antenna for my HT, and one for my scanner.
> >
> > I also put my call sign on the rear sides of my camper shell and back
> bumper
> > in 3 inch high stick on letters (sold for address markers in hardware
> > stores).
> >
> > Makes for some interesting conversations, and lets me talk about Ham to
> > interested folks. Sort of a rolling advertisement.
> >
> > 73's,
> >
> > Robert / N4IXT
> >
> > "JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> > > HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> > >
> > > My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> > > them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> > > radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> > > thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things
that
> > > ar e radios too!! <VBG>
> > >
> > > JOHN
> > >
> > > --

> > > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and
Consulting
> > > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3200. Baseband Audio StaboXR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 23:12:04 UTC
From: Johann Leinweber <johann_leinweber@gmx.at>
Message-ID: <3c7ac476$0$21322$5039e797@newsreader01.highway.telekom.at>
Source record: 51567

Hello!

I need your help!
I cant find anything about adding a baseband output to my XR100 from Stabo
(same like Yupiteru mv7100).

Thanks Huky

3201. Re: Radios Ski-Alpin Worldcup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 23:14:45 UTC
From: Markus Meier <donald3001@gmx.de>
Message-ID: <a5egcl$3jc$1@news-01.m-online.net>
Source record: 51568

Hello Terrence,

why do i have to do trunking?

I think i&#65533;m able receive analog trunked systems.

But it seems that the athlets ever use VHF-simplex-
radio&#65533;s.

Do you know more?

Mark

"Terrence" <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om> schrieb im Newsbeitrag
news:cqxe8.25799$vP.95549@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> can you do trunking?
>
> "Markus Meier" <donald3001@gmx.de> wrote in message
> news:a5e221$308$1@news-01.m-online.net...
> > Hello again,
> >
> > can you tell me also the known frequ&#65533;s which are
> > used at ski-world-cup-races? It seems, that they
> > are in 2 m-Band.
> >
> > Where can i find further information?
> >
> > thank you!
> >
> > Mark
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3202. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 23:52:23 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <X6Ae8.14536$lm1.975535@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>
> My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> ar e radios too!! <VBG>
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --

I only got 2. 1 for the AMU (I like that name -- cool) and 1 for a pro-2066
scanner.

3203. WTB: Uniden Bearcat BC002 CTCSS Tone Board for BC760XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-25 23:56:35 UTC
From: J&DSchnoor <jimdianes@sysmatrix.net>
Message-ID: <3c7acf04$1_1@news.vic.com>
Source record: 51570

Please e-mail me if you have one available.

3204. NEW Connecticut Scanner Frequency Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 00:26:21 UTC
From: b <123@abc.com>
Message-ID: <NCAe8.1427$Dv1.4719@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51571

Hi,

Just put up a new webpage for Connecticut Scanner Frequencies! http://ctscanner.tripod.com

Check it out! Please feel free to leave comments if any at scannerbill47@hotmail.com

Thanks for checking it out.

-Bill

3205. Re: Wireless Computer Networks and Radio Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 01:04:59 UTC
From: Terrence <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om>
Message-ID: <%aBe8.8337$bs1.187308@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 51573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

what's to stop me from picking up my neighbor's wireless traffic.

"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
news:a5e4um$6gcre$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Wireless is 2.4 spread spectrum.
>
> I use a Lucent 128 bit wireless card with my PCR-1000 both connected to a
> notebook - Inspiron 8000. This then connects to an Airport base station
> modified with a gold card as well. That plugs into my hub. I have not
> noticed any interference but to say there is none is crazy, I just don't
> notice. I have 2 possibilities of interference, the PC and the cards. The
> PCR-1000 range is up to 1300 MHz.
>
> IMHO of using ALL wireless. Just do wireless where you have to, do roaming
> laptops and where you are unable to install ethernet cable. 10/100 cards
are
> much nicer to move large files around. Leave at least one port open to
plug
> in a laptop for file maintenance and/or backup. I have everthing centered
> around a switch instead of a hub but I dont get much traffic on my network
> ;)
>
> Time was terriblly long to xfer a large directory of MP3s wirelessly. I
> stopped the process and used the 10/100 port in the laptop finally. Hard
> wired is cheaper as well, but wireless has come way down. I bought
> moderately early in its introduction. I use the wireless cards strictly
for
> watching TV and playing on the laptops at the same time. Using 10/100
> hardwired is much better for LAN parties!
>
> Look ma! No wires.
>
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3C7A8685.4040707@licensed4fun.com...
> > I'm thinking of hooking up all 5 of my home computers together via a
> > Wireless Network. Wondering if anyone who has done this has noticed
> > the network causing Interference to HF, VHF or UHF?
> >
> > George
> > http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and MORE
> >
> >

>
>

3206. PRO2022 Schematic? or Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 01:07:28 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <0tnl7ug0t6glqvls70ln8uun02pg047trp@4ax.com>
Source record: 51574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Anyplace on the "net"..or need a schematic copy only...thanks

3207. Re: Wireless Computer Networks and Radio Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 01:42:45 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a5ep2p$6mh4p$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You can sniff with a linux box, but it takes about 4 GB of data (in some cases) to find the encryption key. I have my setup locked for MAC and a 128 bit key. Plus it is setup for only 2 IPs, mine and my wifes and I switch it on only when I use the laptops for roaming. There was a problem with 1st Gen of Airports having the caps drying out. So far for me no problem but then again I keep them off unless in use.

You can do it but it takes a little effort. ;)

"Terrence" <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om> wrote in message
news:%aBe8.8337$bs1.187308@sccrnsc01...
> what's to stop me from picking up my neighbor's wireless traffic.
>
> "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
> news:a5e4um$6gcre$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > Wireless is 2.4 spread spectrum.
> >
> > I use a Lucent 128 bit wireless card with my PCR-1000 both connected to
a
> > notebook - Inspiron 8000. This then connects to an Airport base station
> > modified with a gold card as well. That plugs into my hub. I have not
> > noticed any interference but to say there is none is crazy, I just don't
> > notice. I have 2 possibilities of interference, the PC and the cards.
The
> > PCR-1000 range is up to 1300 MHz.
> >
> > IMHO of using ALL wireless. Just do wireless where you have to, do
roaming
> > laptops and where you are unable to install ethernet cable. 10/100 cards
> are
> > much nicer to move large files around. Leave at least one port open to
> plug
> > in a laptop for file maintenance and/or backup. I have everthing
centered
> > around a switch instead of a hub but I dont get much traffic on my
network
> > ;)
> >
> > Time was terriblly long to xfer a large directory of MP3s wirelessly. I
> > stopped the process and used the 10/100 port in the laptop finally. Hard
> > wired is cheaper as well, but wireless has come way down. I bought
> > moderately early in its introduction. I use the wireless cards strictly
> for
> > watching TV and playing on the laptops at the same time. Using 10/100

> > hardwired is much better for LAN parties!
> >
> > Look ma! No wires.
> >
> >
> > "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C7A8685.4040707@licensed4fun.com...
> > > I'm thinking of hooking up all 5 of my home computers together via a
> > > Wireless Network. Wondering if anyone who has done this has noticed
> > > the network causing Interference to HF, VHF or UHF?
> > >
> > > George
> > > http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and
MORE
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3208. VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 01:44:08 UTC
From: Graeme <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c7ae867$0$16638$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51576

I'm looking for a copy of ADMS 3 software, it appears not to be available in Australia

3209. Re: Oklahoma City Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 02:15:21 UTC
From: strider@bree.com
Message-ID: <3c7c3b65.36243549@news.dallas.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 51577

On Sun, 24 Feb 2002 12:33:01 GMT, "NOSPAM @NOSPAM.COM"
<NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM> wrote:

>Can anyone offer comments on the following Oklahoma City area
>frequencies:
>
>OKLAHOMA CITY, CITY OF
>155.1900
>155.6700
> 37.2600
>158.7600
>158.8050
>453.4750/167.9 (repeater)
>453.3750
>453.5000/167.9 (simplex)
>453.9750
>154.0250
>453.7500
>155.8350
>150.9950
>153.5900
>159.0600
>451.2000
>
>OKLAHOMA COUNTY GOVERNMENT:
>460.4000
>460.4750
>460.3750
>453.4000
>453.5750
>460.2000
>460.2500
>460.5000
>460.5500

155.1900...??
155.670..police mutual aid..never hear traffic
32.260.......??
158.760..Detectives..digital encrypted
158.805.....??
453.XXXXXX nothing
154.0250.....????
155.835....????
150.995....??
153.590...??

159.060..???
451.200...??

.....
.....
460.400 Oklahoma County eastern net. County dispatching for the small
communities east of Oklahoma City that do not have their own
dispatch.
460.475 Oklahoma County Sherif channel #3
460.375 Oklahoma County Sherif channel #2 used mostly for TAC teams
453.400..????
453.575...??
460.200... Was used(still assigned) to the town of edmond before they
went 800
460.500...???
460.550...??

disharp@swbell.net

3210. CE-232 Motorola Chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 02:17:49 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91C0D8B4AC78CfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 51578
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi I remember talking w/ someone who said that they still have these chips available for sale. If anyone out there still has them I'd like to know.

3211. Re: DB9 to USB w/ IC-PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 02:25:48 UTC
From: Less Then none <lessthennone@smaller.com>
Message-ID: <MmCe8.1084$604.968@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51579
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

maybe this url will help

http://www.greatcables.com/dept.asp?dept_id=199

http://www.cablesnmor.com/usb-serial.html

"J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:m2ke8.60830$pZ5.331169932@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyone one know a DB9 to USB adapter will work with the Icom IC-PCR100
using
> talkpcr? I would appreciate any type of feedback. Please email me
>
> kd5qac@swbell.net
>
> Joe
> KD5QAC
>
>

3212. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 02:43:58 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <ODCe8.48083$GL6.7370742@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FREAK
"Carl" <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:ARse8.393$vj1.565@rwcrnsc53...
> Only 14. Includes 4-ham, commercial vhf-lo, commercial vhf-hi, commercial
> uhf, gmrs, 2 scanners, ELT tracking antenna, DGPS, GPS, commercial am/fm.
>
> Carl.
>
> JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> > HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> >
> > My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> > them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> > radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> > thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> > ar e radios too!! <VBG>
> >
> > JOHN
> >
> > --
> > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > --
> >
> >
>
>

3213. Alinco DJ-X3 PC clone cable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 02:51:34 UTC
From: Wayne SIKORSKI <wsikorski@snip.net>
Message-ID: <3c7af80a$1_2@news7.fast.net>
Source record: 51581

Does anyone have the wiring diagram for the pc clone cable for the DJ-X3 scanner? I ordered the scanner but forgot to inquire about the cable.

Wayne

3214. Alinco DJ-X10T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 03:03:29 UTC
From: Bill Wyatt <bwyatt@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <5WCe8.60970$cC7.435128478@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51586

Hello to the group,

I have an Alinco DJ-X10 and it does a very good job for me however, I never see any comments on the groups about them. Also, I don't see any software for them. Is there a reason for this?

Bill, N5WO

3215. Re: Wireless Computer Networks and Radio Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 03:23:48 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16e4c9cd1d06b1699896e6@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 51582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <%aBe8.8337$bs1.187308@sccrnsc01>, Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om
says...
> what's to stop me from picking up my neighbor's wireless traffic.
>

Two things in my case.

First, 128 bit encryption of all traffic. This has no effect on the
already sluggish 5.5 Mbit/s unidirectional speed of 802.11b - no loss
due to time to process and encrypt the traffic.

Second, I block all mac addresses except for wireless adapters that I
own at my wireless access point.

I don't share! ;)

--
John LeMay
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC

Sent with MicroPlanet Gravity 2.5

3216. Re: Amusement pks (WDW?) Rules on Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 03:28:18 UTC
From: NJSCAN <njscan@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <mhDe8.48289$GL6.7510729@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51583

RULE # 1
Ask forgiveness - NOT permission!
As long as you are descrete you should not have any problems. BTW, most of the traffic is now on the 800 trunked system.. moreso in FL at WDW.

"dimoz" <toasted@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:t9%d8.111415$Zc.32222107@news2.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> i can't see why they wouldn't... all radio waves are public domain, unless
> encoded.
> "JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3C75A009.986553D9@analon.com...
> > I have gone to Disneyland in Anaheim, Universal Studios (Burbank), Magic
> > Mountain (Valencia), Knotts Berry Farm (Buena Park), Sea World (San
> > Diego), Great America (San Jose) all with my scanner. I usually brought
> > along an ear piece (ear phone) and either put the scanner in my pants or
> > jacket pocket. I did not have any problem at any of the places
> > noted.. made some interesting listing
> >
> > Disneyland Security 464.325, 464.4625 (search 464.0 to 465.0)
> > Knotts Berry 462.1625, 151.655
> > Magic Mountain 154.54, 151.855, 461.4375
> > Sea World 156.70, 156.80, 464.4375, 464.2125, 151.515
> > Great America 490.1625, 466.25
> >
> >
> > Bruce or Tracy Jacobs wrote:
> >
> > > Does Disney World allow scanners in the park? Do they allow
> > > scanners simply in the hotel room ... if they don't see it?
> > >
> > > TIA,
> > > Bruce
> >
> > --
> > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > --
> >
> >
>
>

3217. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 04:00:17 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <nIDe8.48767$2v1.1621475@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hmm,

You must be in CAP????

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Carl <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:ARse8.393$vj1.565@rwcrnsc53...
> Only 14. Includes 4-ham, commercial vhf-lo, commercial vhf-hi, commercial
> uhf, gmrs, 2 scanners, ELT tracking antenna, DGPS, GPS, commercial am/fm.
>
> Carl.
>
> JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> > HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> >
> > My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> > them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> > radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> > thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> > ar e radios too!! <VBG>
> >
> > JOHN
> >
> > --
> > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > --
> >
> >
>
>

3218. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 04:06:45 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c7b0a14$0$26165$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51585

Have you tried Dick Smith? They used to stock it.
Try the online store - www.dse.com.au

"Graeme" <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c7ae867$0$16638$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> I'm looking for a copy of ADMS 3 software, it appears not to be available
in
> Australia
>
>

3219. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 04:21:49 UTC
From: Carl <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <x3Ee8.2902$Dv1.11867@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Volunteer Search and Rescue and related emergency management functions,
yes, CAP no.

Carl

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:nIDe8.48767$2v1.1621475@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Hmm,
>
> You must be in CAP????
>
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
>
>
> Carl <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:ARse8.393$vj1.565@rwcrnsc53...
> > Only 14. Includes 4-ham, commercial vhf-lo, commercial vhf-hi,
commercial
> > uhf, gmrs, 2 scanners, ELT tracking antenna, DGPS, GPS, commercial
am/fm.
> >
> > Carl.
> >
> > JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> > > HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> > >
> > > My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> > > them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> > > radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> > > thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things
that
> > > ar e radios too!! <VBG>
> > >
> > > JOHN
> > >
> > > --
> > > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and

Consulting
> > > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3220. Re: DB9 to USB w/ IC-PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 04:26:22 UTC
From: Neil Blumenfield <nblumenfield@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <O7Ee8.5977$7j7.3169444965@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51588
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Cyberguys also has DB9 to USB adapters. However, getting the software to recognize your radio on the USB port, rather than on the serial is a challenge. I have tried that with my Bearcat 780XLT, using Trunkstar and have had no success.
neil

"Less Then none" <lessthennone@smaller.com> wrote in message news:MmCe8.1084$604.968@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> maybe this url will help
>
>
> http://www.greatcables.com/dept.asp?dept_id=199
>
>
> http://www.cablesnmor.com/usb-serial.html
>
>
>
> "J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:m2ke8.60830$pZ5.331169932@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> > Anyone one know a DB9 to USB adapter will work with the Icom IC-PCR100
> using
> > talkpcr? I would appreciate any type of feedback. Please email me
> >
> > kd5qac@swbell.net
> >
> > Joe
> > KD5QAC
> >
> >
>
>

3221. Winscan and BC-895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 04:52:18 UTC
From: fendelhessi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <99d181e3.0202252052.61701d6c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello,

Anyone using Winscan V2.10 with their BC-895? The ads and reviews sound good. I would like a user's opinion.

Thanks,

Jim....

3222. PRO 2067 OFFER or TRADE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 04:58:12 UTC
From: K8CMA <k8cma@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <EBEe8.3089$Dv1.13844@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51590

Here is a one month old Radio Shack PRO-2067 500 channel MULTI-SYSTEM trnking mobile scanner. I took it out of the box plugged it into power for a few hours and returned it to its box. This is a moble scanner, and comes complete with all the mounting hardware, box manual, mounting bracket. Never been installed. Looks 100% works the same. Sells new at Radio Shack for $249.99. Make offer, or what you have to trade. Thanks Patrick K8CMA. k8cma@arrl.net

3223. Re: Winscan and BC-895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 05:09:13 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020226000913.13697.00000509@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 51591

> I would like a user's opinion.

Not a user but I tried their demo 6 -7 months ago and it kept locking my pentium lll 750 with win98 up. I noticed that they no longer offer demo downloads.
It looks great and it could be that the demo it's self had problems.

3224. FS:FARINON SS 900 WD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 05:38:45 UTC
From: awfqisto@ica.net
Message-ID: <3C7B1F65.D77893AD@ica.net>
Source record: 51592

FOR SALE OR TRADE

FARINON SS 900 WD TRANSMITER (930.82 MHZ)

FARINON SS 900 WD RECEIVER (892.02 MHZ)

VE3AWF
TORONTO, CANADA

3225. FS: BC-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 05:39:34 UTC
From: juafi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c7b1f8d.11287181@news1.coam.net>
Source record: 51593

You know what it is. In brand new condition with charger and antenna.
I lost the manual but you can find it on the net.

Price: $175 obo shipped COD or Prepay from Las Vegas

3226. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 07:46:03 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh-rrs@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <6E118FB1E8BC003E.2ED253AC788C5769.6C98DB854C9D7094@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 51594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 25 Feb 2002 18:01:05 -0500, "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:

>I wonder if his vehicle looks like a porcupine with all those antennas? ;)

I saw one with six on the centerline; my first thought was that it
looked like a porcupine with a mohawk.

I just have the one permanently mounted (NMO deadcenter in the roof)
for the dualband rig, plus a trunk mount for the scanner or HT; it's
there maybe 50% of the time. On the rare occasions when I want both
of those in addition to the mobile rig, I also have an MFJ 5"
magmount.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

3227. P.C Based Doppler Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 10:34:17 UTC
From: loksim <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <a5fobf$4c$1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 51595

hello

has anobody a Charles's G4GUO software called DopplerDF ?

regards
loksim

3228. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 11:42:41 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a5fsq9$6pj$3@dosa.alt.net>
Source record: 51497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh-rrs@kd5nrh.net> wrote in message
news:6E118FB1E8BC003E.2ED253AC788C5769.6C98DB854C9D7094@lp.airnews.net...
> On Mon, 25 Feb 2002 18:01:05 -0500, "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
>
> >I wonder if his vehicle looks like a porcupine with all those antennas?
;)
>
> I saw one with six on the centerline; my first thought was that it
> looked like a porcupine with a mohawk.
>
> I just have the one permanently mounted (NMO deadcenter in the roof)
> for the dualband rig, plus a trunk mount for the scanner or HT; it's
> there maybe 50% of the time. On the rare occasions when I want both
> of those in addition to the mobile rig, I also have an MFJ 5"
> magmount.
>
>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
> kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
> http://www.kd5nrh.net

LOL!!!!!!!! The only mobile I have set up right now is a 2/440 antenna. I
gotta try operating HF mobile, it sounds like fun!

3229. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 12:33:40 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <3C7B80A4.B738F84C@pitt.edu>
Source record: 51498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cool thread !! How about pictures ?? I currently have three permanetly mounted on the Tacoma but can go as high as six using mag mounts when needed.

--Dan- KE3UC

JA Moran wrote:

> HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>
> My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> ar e radios too!! <VBG>
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --

3230. NAS OCEANA AIR COMMS LIVE ONLINE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 12:57:24 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@cox.net>
Message-ID: <UCLe8.23150$BW5.9639653@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 51499

http://members.cox.net/heatherandgreg/scanner.htm

3231. Re: PRO2022 LOW AUDIO PROB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 12:58:29 UTC
From: HeadRush <hr@hr.com>
Message-ID: <3c7b8731$0$18471$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a PRO2022 and just had a look at the PC board around the audio amp stage. Assuming it is the audio stage at fault, I would check the brown wire running between CN2 and the volume control. Check for dry joints and the soldering at the volume pot and the base of CN2.

Check the power amp chip. It's a TDA1905. Gently push down on the board and see if there is an improvement. If so, this could mean a dry joint somewhere. Check the voltage rails on the chip. There is a lot of info on this chip available on the net, just do a search. It is a common audio amp, so it's cheap and easy to replace.

Good Luck!
HR

David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote in message news:e03l7ukm2uq3j0kfcc61536nnlqhg5pc09@4ax.com...
> Even to Vol level #10 sounds like Vol level #1????
> Cant seem to get any LOUD audio??? What's the prob?
>
> a. cheaked connection good
> b. sprk good
> c. ext sprk (low)
> d.
> e.
> f.
> g.
>
> What next???? Plse any advise...

3232. Re: Amusement pks (WDW?) Rules on Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 14:10:52 UTC
From: Zeawolf@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6620-3C7B976C-454@storefull-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 51501

The Frequencies may be free to listen to, But the ground is Private Property. Discretion is best. They prob have anti-scanner rules because of the usual reasons.
~Z~

http://community.webtv.net/Zeawolf/ZeawolfsScannerPage

3233. Re: P.C Based Doppler Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 15:04:26 UTC
From: dj <dj-nospam-@look.ca>
Message-ID: <u7n8srr21lhd8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51502

Yup... good ol Google.com

Wealth of info.. did a search and found the gentlemans web site.

http://www.chbrain.dircon.co.uk/

Enjoy.

"loksim" <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl> wrote in message
news:a5fobf$4c$1@news.onet.pl...
> hello
>
> has anobody a Charles's G4GUO software called DopplerDF ?
>
> regards
> loksim
>
>

3234. Pro-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 16:02:41 UTC
From: Jack <jack@ncia.net>
Message-ID: <3C7BB1A1.77127C6@ncia.net>
Source record: 51503

For sale, Pro 34 Hand held scanner 200 Channels, I'll ship in the USA ONLY.....$125.00 Thanks.

3235. FS OptoCom scanning receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 16:14:12 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nmar@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C7BB444.9AA336C9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 51504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I am selling my OptoCom scanner, manufactured by GRE for Opto, 100 channel.

I have the original manual and software. The receiver is a 9.5 out of 10, works beautiful. Do not have the original box. It is the latest revision firmware with bit banger. I think I also have Probe Version 5 that I can include, if I can find it.

I will sell for $375 shipped in the US or possibly swap to a medium format camera.

reply to nmar@earthlink.net, I don't spend much time in the newsgroups now.

73
Nick
WB4SQI

3236. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 16:41:32 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <a5gdrs$d2$1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 51510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

:>HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??

Wow. In reading all the followups, I see that I am not insane. I was thinking about putting four
antennas on my vehicle. Well, *in* my vehicle, actually. Which is why I posted this followup... Do
you folks have alarms? Isn't ten antennas on your roof the same as blasting your $10,000 stereo in a
bad neighborhood? I am concerned that having antennas on my car advertises the contents and exposes
me to theft. Since I'm too cheap to put an alarm on a 87 Lebaron with a blue book of less than a
Whopper with cheese, I figured I'd mount the antennas I need inside the vehicle (behind tint) and
stash a magmount in the trunk for when I really need the height.

3237. Re: pro93 scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 16:48:15 UTC
From: Don Starr <don2@starrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <416f73347c6bfe28e73b10001f09159a.73224@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 51505

I chose the layout of Win93 because the talkgroups for a
bank logically belong with the frequencies for that bank.
As with the pro93.exe app, Win93 displays one "sub-bank"
of talkgroups at a time. Win93 displays exactly the same
info for talkgroups as the other app, it just does it in
a smaller area.

If you expand the main window size, you can see all 20
talkgroup IDs for the current bank/sub-bank at once. "Stuck
down in the corner" or not, it's the same info as pro93.exe.

As far as "organized" goes, I really dislike pro93.exe's
display of the settings / lockouts for all of the search
ranges. It puts the lockouts on one page, with a jumble
of Atten, Delay, and Group On/Off settings on another.

Try turning on Weather Priority in your scanner, then
download to the PC (oh - you need a separate app for that!).
Load the resulting P93 file into pro93.exe :)

On my Windows 2000 machine, Task Manager tells me that
Win93 is using 2788 kB of memory, while pro93.exe is using
8168.

-Don

"Terrence" <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om> wrote in message
news:0%ve8.25519$vP.95288@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...

> Not that the program you are recommending isn't good, but I like the other
> much better.
>
> It's laid out in a more organized manner in my opinion. Especially when it
> comnes to talkgroups.
>
> Talkgroups have plenty of room. They are not stuck down in the corner as in
> the other version
>

--
Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

3238. Re: DB9 to USB w/ IC-PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 17:14:50 UTC
From: Johnny Melvin <johnny@melvin.com>
Message-ID: <a5gfqb$61b$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 51506
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:m2ke8.60830$pZ5.331169932@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyone one know a DB9 to USB adapter will work with the Icom IC-PCR100
using
> talkpcr? I would appreciate any type of feedback. Please email me
>
> kd5qac@swbell.net
>
> Joe
> KD5QAC
>
>
Hi Guys,
Not sure what you are wanting.
I supply a cable that plugs into USB and becomes a COM port.
Its for laptops with NO coms ports more to run PSK.
The coms port seems to be missing on newer range of laptops.
Is that what you are discussing. Maybe its not what I am thinking.
See www.g3liv.co.uk

byeeeeeee,de johnny g3liv
johnny@melvin.com

3239. Re: ICOM RS-R8500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 17:32:18 UTC
From: Huub Roem <huub.roem@hetnetniet.nl>
Message-ID: <3c7bc670$0$220$4d4ebb8e@read.news.nl.uu.net>
Source record: 51507

Made by Bob Parnass software for NRD-545 en de IC-R8500.
Freeware for Windows, Linux and more.....

http://members.core.com/~parnass

Cheers!

Huub Roem

And for Dutch AirMil freqs for R-8500 Icom tool see Scannerdata

http://home.hetnet.nl/~hmroem

"Ed Bryant" <n4umj@carolina.rr.com> schreef in bericht
news:323df7e2.0202161509.30725dd7@posting.google.com...
> Hello All,
>
> Sort of off the commercial programming topic but.....
>
> I am looking for the ICOM RS-R8500 Software. I just
> did a harddrive upgrade and did not back up my original.
> It was on a website but is no longer available there.
>
> Already have the cable so I hate to have to re-buy the
> ICOM Software as you have to buy both.
>
> Thanks for any help,
> Eddie
> N4UMJ
>
> n4umj@carolina.rr.com

3240. Re: Best Software For AR3000a ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 17:34:00 UTC
From: Huub Roem <huub.roem@hetnetniet.nl>
Message-ID: <3c7bc6d5$0$229$4d4ebb8e@read.news.nl.uu.net>
Source record: 51508

Made by Willem Spaans, site unavailable, but you can find a copy of the FREE
software at my site (see scanner data)

Cheers

Huub Roem

http://home.hetnet.nl/~hmroem

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> schreef in bericht
news:a521bh$7n8$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> Forgetting price for a minute, what's the best control software for the
> A3000a ?
>
> If you make a recommendation, could you say whether you actually bought
the
> software or whether you're recommending it based on indirect experience of
> it.
>
> Please try to include webpage links if you know them.
>
> Many thanks for your help.
>
> Regards,
>
> TM.
>
>
>
>

3241. Scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 17:42:01 UTC
From: fcathell@aol.com
Message-ID: <899b053e.0202260942.84d2bd9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51509

I need to pick up a used basic scanner (under $100). Which is a better receiver, the Pro-2026, the Pro-2030 or the Uniden BC350A? Any comments on other scanners appreciated. Please E-mail me directly. Thanks.

Frank

3242. Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 18:34:58 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020226133458.21351.00000624@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 51511

Hello. My county has a 500mhz trunked system in use, but my RS Trunktracker/Pro-90 only does 800mhz trunking (and doesn't allow you to select the trunking method). Can anyone suggest a scanner that does both, with the ability to select multiple trunking methods?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3243. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 20:03:45 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <NMRe8.204$%z.212035@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 51512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Try getting into severe wx spotting/observations (AKA "Storm Chasing", but it isn't, really) My next vehicle, a late model Chev. Ext. Cab SB (I'd have it now, but came down with the flu over the weekend) will have the following:

1 Dualband 144/440 ham antenna
2 Single band 144 ham antenna (for APRS)
3 Active GPS antenna
4 Commercial dual band antenna feeding VHF and UHF radios through a duplexor
5 1/4 wave antenna for NOAA weather radio
6 PCS (cellular) antenna
7 CB antenna
8 Austin Spectra antenna for scanner
9 Mobile TV antenna (looks like a flying saucer)

In addition, I may add a second 2M ham rig/antenna. And this doesn't count the broadcast AM/FM radio!

Mark - K5MAR
AEC, Payne Cty, Okla. ARES

"rsdalton1" <rsdalton1@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:pJye8.15910$0C1.1378198@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So many antennas so many radios!
> Well ok! I can't imagine spending that much time in my car,going from rig to
> rig :-)

> "N4IXT" <n4ixt@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:a5dkuh$6fv42$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de...
> > I currently have 6 on my Nissan Pick'em up.. One on the front for the
> am/fm
> > radio, one on the back bumper for my CB (hardly ever use it, put it on
> long
> > before I got into ham). 4 on top, one a 2m whip, one a 10m hamstick, one
> > 2m/440 antenna for my HT, and one for my scanner.
> >
> > I also put my call sign on the rear sides of my camper shell and back
> bumper
> > in 3 inch high stick on letters (sold for address markers in hardware
> > stores).
> >
> > Makes for some interesting conversations, and lets me talk about Ham to
> > interested folks. Sort of a rolling advertisement.

> >
> > 73's,
> >
> > Robert / N4IXT
> >
> > "JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> > > HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> > >
> > > My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> > > them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> > > radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> > > thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things
that
> > > ar e radios too!! <VBG>
> > >
> > > JOHN
> > >
> > > --
> > > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and
Consulting
> > > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3244. Desktop scanner w/trunking, computer programing and Alpha-tags?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 20:18:42 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020226151842.21359.00000473@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 51513

Can anyone recommend a desktop scanner that has at least 800mhz trunking (400/500mhz trunking would be nice too) with multiple trunking types, ability to be programmed by computer and can display alpha-numeric characters? The number of channels is not important, as long as there's at least 50 or so.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3245. Re: Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 20:19:36 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16e5b7e6b5ce458c989689@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 51514

In article <20020226133458.21351.00000624@mb-ml.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...
> Hello. My county has a 500mhz trunked system in use, but my RS
> Trunktracker/Pro-90 only does 800mhz trunking (and doesn't allow you to select
> the trunking method). Can anyone suggest a scanner that does both, with the
> ability to select multiple trunking methods?
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww

Pro 93

3246. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 20:29:53 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u7ns0871t31de0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51515

Does your news service have alt.binaries.radio-scanner ? If so, look for them within the next day or two... I won't have time to do it today (Tuesday), but will get them up shortly... If you don't have that group, I'll see what I can do about posting in an alternate group....

PH

<kh6zv9@pe.net> wrote in message news:9jte8.91$yk32.12386379@news2.randori.com...
> Power House <Power_House@privatebox.net> wrote:
> : There are three Speech inversion programs floating around out there that I
> : know of, and I have all three in my possession. If you are interested in
> : any, I can email them to you if you have a mailbox that can hold the load.
> : One is 2MB, one is 1.76MB, and the other is 262K. Sizes will be slightly
> : larger do to the email encoding, but not by much...
>
> : Let me know either way...
>
>
>
> Could you post those to a binary newsgroup? I would like to get those
> programs also.
>
> --------------------------------
> Bob Masse' kh6zv9@PE.NET
> --------------------------------
>
>
>
>
>

3247. Need a scanner for Taxicab channels... help with suggestions pls.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 20:34:45 UTC
From: Lon Ponschock <lon@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <a5grip$7bg6c$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51516

I am new to this group and have a specific application for a used or refurbed scanner: to listen to taxicab calls from the various companies in a specific locale.

Are these frequencies pretty much standard?

Can they be programmed into an inexpensive used/refurbed unit?

Any suggestions on source of supply besides Uniden refurbs and eBay for-sales?

cc: lon@athenet.net and I'll check back here shortly too.

3248. Re: Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 21:03:48 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020226160348.21319.00000493@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 51517

>Pro 93

ooookay.... the rest of your post must have been cut off. All that was posted
was Pro 93.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3249. Re: Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 21:05:42 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020226160542.21319.00000494@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 51518

Checked out the Pro-93 and it says 400mhz trunking.. what about 500mhz? Any others that fit the bill, preferably one with alpha-numeric ability.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3250. Re: Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 21:18:38 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16e5c5bc30d243898968a@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 51519

In article <20020226160542.21319.00000494@mb-ml.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...
> Checked out the Pro-93 and it says 400mhz trunking.. what about 500mhz?

The Pro 93 receives UHF-Lo band (406-512) Motorola trunk systems, it
will receive the Ocean County Sherrif's Dept. just fine...

3251. Re: Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 21:31:20 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020226163120.21269.00000615@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 51520

>The Pro 93 receives UHF-Lo band (406-512) Motorola trunk systems, it
>will receive the Ocean County Sherrif's Dept. just fine...

Thanks for the info. I've been doing some searching on the net and found that
the Pro-2053 looks pretty nice with alpha-tags and computer programing. Any
opinions on this one?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3252. Re: Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 21:49:27 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16e5ccf19a4a260898968b@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 51521

In article <20020226163120.21269.00000615@mb-ml.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...
> Thanks for the info. I've been doing some searching on the net and found that
> the Pro-2053 looks pretty nice with alpha-tags and computer programing. Any
> opinions on this one?

It's the same radio - the base version of the Pro 93 HH. IIRC the Pro 93
is on sale only until 3/2 for 169.00, while the 2053 goes foraround
250.00.

3253. Re: Desktop scanner w/trunking, computer programing and Alpha-tags?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 22:00:05 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FzTe8.985$106.53672@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51522

VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020226151842.21359.00000473@mb-ml.aol.com...
> Can anyone recommend a desktop scanner that has at least 800mhz trunking
> (400/500mhz trunking would be nice too) with multiple trunking types,
ability
> to be programmed by computer and can display alpha-numeric characters? The
> number of channels is not important, as long as there's at least 50 or so.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

You can get the RS Pro-2053 for $250. Motorola 1/2/hybrid, Edacs trunking.
RS has not released software for it yet and does not come with programming
cable either. You can get freeware off the internet and i think the pro-92
cable. 300 channels, alpha tags.
Freq range: 25-54, 108-174, 216-225, 406-512, 806-960 minus cell, 1240-1300.

Or get the Uniden BC780XLT for $350. Mot 1/2/hy, Edacs, LTR. 400/500/800/900
mhz trunking. It comes with programming cable but not software which you can
get off net. 500 channels, alpha tags. Private call receive capability
(Motorola and Edacs).
Freq range: 25-512, 806-956 minus cell, 1240-1300.

3254. apartment scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 22:08:51 UTC
From: themik111@aol.comxxx
Message-ID: <20020226170851.11519.00000959@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 51523

What is the best antenna out there for apartment use?? Are they any available i
can hang out the window?

3255. Re: Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 22:22:09 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <IUTe8.4362$k5.2165639@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51524

The PRO-2053 looks better than it works.

Bill Crocker

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020226163120.21269.00000615@mb-ml.aol.com...
> >The Pro 93 receives UHF-Lo band (406-512) Motorola trunk systems, it
> >will receive the Ocean County Sherrif's Dept. just fine...
>
> Thanks for the info. I've been doing some searching on the net and found
that
> the Pro-2053 looks pretty nice with alpha-tags and computer programing.
Any
> opinions on this one?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

3256. Re: apartment scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 22:26:38 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020226172638.24776.00000495@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 51525

>What is the best antenna out there for apartment use?? Are they any available
>i
>can hang out the window?

Depends on what you want to listen to. For local police/fire/EMS, an antenna on the scanner itself should be fine, be it a handheld with rubber duck or a base with screw in telescoping antenna.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3257. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 22:50:27 UTC
From: Graeme <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c7c1130$0$16634$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51526

Dick Smith does not have it in stick anymore and can not say if they will be stocking it again

"Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message news:3c7b0a14$0$26165$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Have you tried Dick Smith? They used to stock it.
> Try the online store - www.dse.com.au
>
>
> "Graeme" <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3c7ae867$0$16638$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > I'm looking for a copy of ADMS 3 software, it appears not to be available
> in
> > Australia
> >
> >
>
>

3258. Re: PRO-2006 control software needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 22:57:20 UTC
From: sweeneyj@voicenet.com
Message-ID: <3c7c12ae.31613176@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 51527

.On Mon, 25 Feb 2002 17:54:06 GMT, mailto.steveh@mmi.net (Steve)
wrote:

>I have a PRO-2006 scanner, with a CE-232 interface installed. I am looking
>for software to use with it. Can anyone suggest any?
>
>Thanks!
>
Take a look at "Radio Manager". Jim Sweeney

3259. Re: apartment scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 23:40:16 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <A1Ve8.2998$rG.1789@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Now all though, I live on the second floor of my apt. I tend to switch around from time to time with my antenna's. First off, I use the telescopic one, works fairly decent, I just have to move the scanner around in different spots sometimes, to pull in certain ch's.

Second, I tend to like the Cellular lookalike antenna from Radio Shack. Stick that on the window, close to your scanner or scanner room, and it works pretty decent. Actually I'm using that right now, and am pulling in stuff I didn't get with the telescopic. But then again, it's outside.

The third, is a small magnet mount on my window sill. Works decent, but probably will stick with the cellular lookalike.

The reason I like the cellular like antenna is the fact that it's small, it can stay in one place, and it's out of the way.

If you do use a magnet antenna, I would suggest putting it on your balcony. If you have one.

Now, if you're in a basement apt. and using a magnet mount, just stick it outside the basement window, so atleast it's outside. Another trick I tried before, when I lived in a basement apt. was to put the magnet mount on top of the fridge.

I hope this helps you out. This is all I can think of for now.

"TheMik111" <themik111@aol.comxxx> wrote in message
news:20020226170851.11519.00000959@mb-dh.aol.com...
> What is the best antenna out there for apartment use?? Are they any
available i
> can hang out the window?

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.325 / Virus Database: 182 - Release Date: 2/19/2002

3260. Re: FS: Uniden Bearcat 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-26 23:43:57 UTC
From: gjf920@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020226184357.20722.00000828@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 51529

Do you still have the 9000 xlt Uniden.Let me know. Glen

3261. Re: apartment scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 00:18:10 UTC
From: Brandon <brandon@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <6BVe8.14796$jC3.446540@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51530

I live in an apartment and currently use a Scantenna mounted in the attic. For 800 mhz, the Max800 works really good. You can find it on Grove. I also experimented around with the Radioshack telescoping antenna and wrapped some antenna wire around it and strung it across the ceiling and had pretty good results.

"TheMik111" <themik111@aol.comxxx> wrote in message news:20020226170851.11519.00000959@mb-dh.aol.com...
> What is the best antenna out there for apartment use?? Are they any available i
> can hang out the window?

3262. Re: Desktop scanner w/trunking, computer programing and Alpha-tags?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 00:52:30 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C7C2DCE.34CEDB2F@optonline.net>
Source record: 51531

Or get either the PRO-92 handheld or the PRO-2067 base unit. These
radios have all the features of the PRO-93/PRO-2053, PLUS, CTCSS/PL/DPL
capability and 500 channels.

They do a fine job on Motorols UHF-LO (470-512 MHz) trunking systems.

They do not, however, receive the CB frequencies as the PRO-93 does, if
that is important to you.

Walt

Walt

Terry wrote:
>
> VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
> news:20020226151842.21359.00000473@mb-ml.aol.com...
> > Can anyone recommend a desktop scanner that has at least 800mhz trunking
> > (400/500mhz trunking would be nice too) with multiple trunking types,
> ability
> > to be programmed by computer and can display alpha-numeric characters? The
> > number of channels is not important, as long as there's at least 50 or so.
> > =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> > 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> > Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> > http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> > http://edfas.tripod.com
>
> You can get the RS Pro-2053 for $250. Motorola 1/2/hybrid, Edacs trunking.
> RS has not released software for it yet and does not come with programming
> cable either. You can get freeware off the internet and i think the pro-92
> cable. 300 channels, alpha tags.
> Freq range: 25-54, 108-174, 216-225, 406-512, 806-960 minus cell, 1240-1300.
>
> Or get the Uniden BC780XLT for $350. Mot 1/2/hy, Edacs, LTR. 400/500/800/900
> mhz trunking. It comes with programming cable but not software which you can
> get off net. 500 channels, alpha tags. Private call receive capability
> (Motorola and Edacs).
> Freq range: 25-512, 806-956 minus cell, 1240-1300.

3263. Re: Need a scanner for Taxicab channels... help with suggestions pls.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 01:08:47 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nlWe8.1278$pR3.283019@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 51532

Hahaha, are you going to steal fares??

"Lon Ponschock" <lon@execpc.com> wrote in message
news:a5grip$7bg6c$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de...
>
>
> I am new to this group and have a specific application for a used or
> refurbed scanner: to listen to taxicab calls from the various
> companies in a specific locale.
>
>
> Are these frequencies pretty much standard?
>
>
> Can they be programmed into an inexpensive used/refurbed unit?
>
>
> Any suggestions on source of supply besides Uniden refurbs and eBay
> for-sales?
>
>
> cc: lon@athenet.net and I'll check back here shortly too.
>
>

3264. Re: Newbie needs help on a product selection... Taxicab channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 01:30:45 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <9FWe8.15268$jC3.452135@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51533
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just about ANY scanner will pick up the "traditional" taxicab frequencies in the VHF (152 & 157 MHz) and UHF (452/457 Mhz) bands; many cab companies, especially in large cities have gone to Nextel, which no scanner can receive, or to 800-band trunking, for which you would need a trunk-tracking scanner, such as the Uniden BC245, BC780XLT and several Radio Shack models.

I would strongly suggest a handheld model if you'll be using it while driving.

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Lon Ponschock" <lon@execpc.com> wrote in message news:a5gr40$79fqm$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de...
>
>
> So far I have checked at the Uniden site for refurbed scanners
> but am completely new to the product.
>
> I have one application:
> To be able to listen to taxicab calls in various locales.
>
>
> I know that a local Radio Shack will probably have channel lists
> for these calls.
>
>
> My question for the group here is what is the minimum product to
> use for this activity? I am a driver myself and am planning to move to
> a new area.
>
>
> Is there a scanner product from Uniden/Bearcat or at auction that can
> perform this function in all areas of the country?
>
> cc: lon@athenet.net and I'll check back here i a bit.
>
>
>
>
>

3265. Re: Need a scanner for Taxicab channels... help with suggestions pls.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 02:16:22 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <WjXe8.15523$jC3.455064@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51534

Just about ANY scanner will pick up the "traditional" taxicab frequencies in the VHF (152 & 157 MHz) and UHF (452/457 Mhz) bands; many cab companies, especially in large cities have gone to Nextel, which no scanner can receive, or to 800-band trunking, for which you would need a trunk-tracking scanner, such as the Uniden BC245, BC780XLT and several Radio Shack models.

I would strongly suggest a handheld model if you'll be using it while driving.

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Lon Ponschock" <lon@execpc.com> wrote in message news:a5grip$7bg6c$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de...
>
>
> I am new to this group and have a specific application for a used or
> refurbed scanner: to listen to taxicab calls from the various
> companies in a specific locale.
>
>
> Are these frequencies pretty much standard?
>
>
> Can they be programmed into an inexpensive used/refurbed unit?
>
>
> Any suggestions on source of supply besides Uniden refurbs and eBay
> for-sales?
>
>
> cc: lon@athenet.net and I'll check back here shortly too.
>
>

3266. Re: Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 02:53:32 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020226215332.21262.00000722@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 51535

>The PRO-2053 looks better than it works.
>
>Bill Crocker

Why do you say that? Besides scanning standard public safety freqs in the 150's and 400's, I'd want to to trunk tracking for 500 mhz and 800 mhz systems locally. Any problems in these areas?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3267. Re: Desktop scanner w/trunking, computer programing and Alpha-tags?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 02:57:23 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020226215723.21262.00000723@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 51536

>Or get either the PRO-92 handheld or the PRO-2067 base unit. These
>radios have all the features of the PRO-93/PRO-2053, PLUS, CTCSS/PL/DPL
>capability and 500 channels.
>
>They do a fine job on Motorols UHF-LO (470-512 MHz) trunking systems.
>
>They do not, however, receive the CB frequencies as the PRO-93 does, if
>that is important to you.
>
>Walt

Well, I'd like a base unit to replace my Pro-90. PL really isn't important to me as I would probably just use the included telescoping antenna, so I wouldn't have to worry about receiving far off signals when conditions were enhanced. 500 channels is a bit excessive for me. My current 300 channel scanner probably isn't even half filled. What sort of price difference is there between the 2053 and 2067? How's the performance between the two?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3268. Reduced!! Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 03:29:08 UTC
From: David Tharrett <davidthNS@NOterraworld.SPAMnet>
Message-ID: <8oYe8.7691$cm4.908484744@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51537
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We have reduced the price to $199 !!! Get one while they last.

We have a supply of Optoelectronics 3000A Plus Counters. A very special purchase allows us to offer Optoelectronics 3000APlus top of the line portable frequency counters that are absolutely brand new, complete with accessories, still in the original factory boxes!. See more details at the ebay auction link below. Go to this link for details on purchasing one - http://www.datadeliverydevices.com/opto.htm or you can email me (remove all CAPS from the email address)

The Optoelectronics website has all specs, details
and the pdf manual at http://www.optoelectronics.com/3000a.htm

3269. Digital Scanners and PDA's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 03:38:47 UTC
From: "N. Hall" <no-email@no-email.com>
Message-ID: <bxYe8.5300$r5.889213@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 51538

From what I have read, the cost of digital scanners will be quite high by the time you buy the scanner and then the add-on card. I was wondering if anyone has seen or heard any consideration being given to produce a "Scanner Card" be it Compact Flash, PCMCIA, etc. for use in a Windows CE / Pocket PC based PDA such as a Compaq IPAQ or HP Jornada.

These PDA's can take expansion cards, and already have the necessary sound capabilities. I would think that someone could produce a card at a relatively low cost, and have all of the necessary Digital Support, Trunk-Tracking, Frequency Storage, etc. could be handled inexpensively by software running on the PDA. Not to mention the ease at which users could download updates for whatever the future of digital and trunked radio brings next.

Since the future of Hand-Held PC's is obviously looking very bright and probably within a few years everyone who has a PC today will have one of them, it seems like this would be a good thing for the scanner maufacturers to look at.

There is of course the other major benefit - instead of running around looking like a dork with a scanner, you will look like a cool dude with your handheld computer and earphone and nobody will know what you are listening to.

3270. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 03:55:13 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <RGYe8.465$%z.357491@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 51539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

An alarm, and I live in a good neighborhood in a small city in Oklahoma, where we still know how to deal with "criminal offenders", AKA THIEVES. The last time I had anything stolen from my car(s) was in '76. When I mentioned to the deputy sheriff what a pain this was, his reply to me was "You still have your .357?". Case closed. I've since traded my .357 for a (barely) legal 12 GA, and my alarm activates my pager 30 seconds before it sounds an audible alarm.

As for antennas inside the car, apparently you don't need much range. Again, here in Oklahoma, I routinely have to monitor and/or communicate with stations 50 miles or more away

Mark

<tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message news:a5gdrs$d2$1@reader2.panix.com...
> :>HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>
> Wow. In reading all the followups, I see that I am not insane. I was thinking about putting four
> antennas on my vehicle. Well, *in* my vehicle, actually. Which is why I posted this followup... Do
> you folks have alarms? Isn't ten antennas on your roof the same as blasting your $10,000 stereo in a
> bad neighborhood? I am concerned that having antennas on my car advertises the contents and exposes
> me to theft. Since I'm too cheap to put an alarm on a 87 Lebaron with a blue book of less than a
> Whopper with cheese, I figured I'd mount the antennas I need inside the vehicle (behind tint) and
> stash a magmount in the trunk for when I really need the height.

3271. Re: Donald Duck Encryption

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 04:20:01 UTC
From: kh6zv9@pe.net
Message-ID: <Q7Ze8.88$7272.4259888@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 51540

Power House <Power_House@privatebox.net> wrote:
: Does your news service have alt.binaries.radio-scanner ? If so, look for
: them within the next day or two... I won't have time to do it today
: (Tuesday), but will get them up shortly... If you don't have that group,
: I'll see what I can do about posting in an alternate group....

: PH

I found the group. Send them up when you find the time. Thanks

---
Bob Masse' kh6zv9@PE.NET

3272. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 05:15:22 UTC
From: gary.croll@ucr.edu
Message-ID: <d22f8aff.0202262115.653f6da2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am concerned that having antennas on my car advertises the contents
and exposes
> me to theft. Since I'm too cheap to put an alarm on a 87 Lebaron with a blue book of less
than a
> Whopper with cheese, I figured I'd mount the antennas I need inside the vehicle (behind
tint) and
> stash a magmount in the trunk for when I really need the height.

This works quite well for me. My wife and I are both hams and we work
undercover for the sheriff's department using our POV. I drive a Kia
Sportage with 2 dual-band antennas and a cell, (for the 800 2-way)
mounted in the inside rear hidden by the tinted glass. With hideaway
strobes, nothing shows :-)

KE6GHS

3273. Re: Digital Scanners and PDA's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 05:29:44 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g9_e8.143$Li7.145818@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 51542

Engineer it and make a million or 20...

"N. Hall" <no-email@no-email.com> wrote in message
news:bxYe8.5300$r5.889213@news1.epix.net...
> From what I have read, the cost of digital scanners will be quite high by
> the time you buy the scanner and then the add-on card. I was wondering if
> anyone has seen or heard any consideration being given to produce a
"Scanner
> Card" be it Compact Flash, PCMCIA, etc. for use in a Windows CE / Pocket
PC
> based PDA such as a Compaq IPAQ or HP Jornada.
>
> These PDA's can take expansion cards, and already have the necessary sound
> capabilities. I would think that someone could produce a card at a
> relatively low cost, and have all of the necessary Digital Support,
> Trunk-Tracking, Frequency Storage, etc. could be handled inexpensively by
> software running on the PDA. Not to mention the ease at which users could
> download updates for whatever the future of digital and trunked radio
brings
> next.
>
> Since the future of Hand-Held PC's is obviously looking very bright and
> probably within a few years everyone who has a PC today will have one of
> them, it seems like this would be a good thing for the scanner
maufacturers
> to look at.
>
> There is of course the other major benefit - instead of running around
> looking like a dork with a scanner, you will look like a cool dude with
your
> handheld computer and earphone and nobody will know what you are listening
> to.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3274. Re: Icom R2 US Version VS Unblocked Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 05:31:56 UTC
From: Devin <a@a.a>
Message-ID: <gb_e8.6159$kb.400947@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

hmm. I remember this on the group dewayne. As I mentioned before. My canadian model. I have not had any Cell images on my 400 - 500 Mhz band. My 2045 does. But this R2 doesn't. I know it has rf problems in a RF probed town. But Never had the Cell images yet on it. where I live now. with a external and a preamp. I tried to get the images. This didn't work. I dunno if they changed the sensitivity on it or what. but my other radios have that image problem. but not the canadian R2.

Dev

"Melv" <melv7956@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:HVid8.8050$hM6.1076649@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> If you are state side why not visit www.radioscanners.co.uk check out the
UK
> spec shipping prices .
> Melv
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UKMidlandscanner
> http://www.ukmidlandscanner.co.uk
>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> news:iEUc8.73242$Hu6.17358630@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > I recently received the following info in an email. Can anyone confirm
> this
> > info?
> >
> > "However - a real good reason to buy the unblocked version is
> > sensitivity in the 400 Mhz band. The US cell blocked version has been
> > desensitised in the 400 Mhz band to reduce the intermod. Comparing a
> > Canadian and two US versions side-by-side - same frequency (~490 Mhz
> > WFM TV signal), same antenna, same location, same time shows the
> > Canadian version to be far more sensitive. This is pretty common -
> > Radio Shack did this to the later model PRO-26's when buyers found
> > cell images in the 300 Mhz range. Then buyers figured out how to
> > restore that sensitivity. I suspect that could be done on the US
> > version of the R2..."
> >
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >
>
>

3275. Re: Digital Scanners and PDA's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 05:57:14 UTC
From: Terrence <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om>
Message-ID: <_y_e8.35636$vP.139667@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51544

That's a great idea!
They really ought, as well, to make scanners more like PDAs. NO more hold button 3 and 8 down while turning on the scanner, Just pick an option from the menu on screen. Who want to remember the instruction book. Just have it all on screen including a nice help file.

I think your idea is great I am dying for a Handspring.

"N. Hall" <no-email@no-email.com> wrote in message news:bxYe8.5300$r5.889213@news1.epix.net...
> From what I have read, the cost of digital scanners will be quite high by
> the time you buy the scanner and then the add-on card. I was wondering if
> anyone has seen or heard any consideration being given to produce a "Scanner
> Card" be it Compact Flash, PCMCIA, etc. for use in a Windows CE / Pocket PC
> based PDA such as a Compaq IPAQ or HP Jornada.
>
> These PDA's can take expansion cards, and already have the necessary sound
> capabilities. I would think that someone could produce a card at a
> relatively low cost, and have all of the necessary Digital Support,
> Trunk-Tracking, Frequency Storage, etc. could be handled inexpensively by
> software running on the PDA. Not to mention the ease at which users could
> download updates for whatever the future of digital and trunked radio brings
> next.
>
> Since the future of Hand-Held PC's is obviously looking very bright and
> probably within a few years everyone who has a PC today will have one of
> them, it seems like this would be a good thing for the scanner maufacturers
> to look at.
>
> There is of course the other major benefit - instead of running around
> looking like a dork with a scanner, you will look like a cool dude with your
> handheld computer and earphone and nobody will know what you are listening
> to.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

3276. Smart Cutie Pretty re: fwd: Check out this site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 06:47:40 UTC
From: shandi19790516@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ff68600e.0202262247.2b4055ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51545

Really cute ...

>Alan woods wrote:
>
>She is cute.
>
>
>>Hey everyone,
>>
>> I am looking for some friends, I am cute, friendly and kind. If
you
>> are interested, you can find out more about me at:
>>
>> http://www.friendplay.com/cgi-bin/fp/myfriendplay.cgi?ac=profile&username=cutecutegirl
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>>~ Amanda :-)

3277. Re: BC-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 07:36:44 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <nospambobdnj57@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <g00f8.438$lu2.236946@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51546

$50

3278. Re: Icom R2 US Version VS Unblocked Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 09:57:33 UTC
From: Sabrina_Frederick@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <23e8cbcf.0202270157.31c2c80b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I purchased my R2 from Atlantic Ham Radio in Toronto almost 3-years ago. It has worked great with no problems ever since. No problems at the border, via regular mail, but that was before 9/11, and the cellphone band works awesome. I can pick up signals on the R2 that the modified Pro-43 doesn't even see. I have noticed some intermod problems in the 450-460mhz range but I'm in an area that has an abundance of signals in that range. Once I get out of my area, there doesn't appear to be any problems. Once you get used to its odd function buttons, its a very neat receiver. I also got the computer program so I can program the channels with my keyboard. Sure makes entering channels and banks a lot easier.

3279. Re: por94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 11:52:07 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C7CC867.533C1F7C@mitre.org>
Source record: 51455

Only the PRO-94A will do both trunked and conventional at the same time.
Look for an"'A"
at the end of the catalog number printed on the FCC plate. This is a
version of Uniden's BC 245 XLT.The early PRO-94s will not do
conventional and trunk ant same time.
Jim
David wrote:

> Who makes this and is it any good?
> Might be ableto get one for 150$
> Is this descent?
>
> Thanks,
> David

3280. FS: ALL MOTOROLA AND GE BATTERIES BRAND NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 11:55:52 UTC
From: S Ecurity Unlimited <securit1951@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cP3f8.19652$0C1.1737010@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello group i have a whole bunch of brand new batteries and accesories for motorola and ge if interested please reply via private e-mail
also if you require a different brand than the above please e-mail with request.

3281. Bearcat BC-278clt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 12:22:05 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Nb4f8.12262$Dv1.47928@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51449

i have a very nice Bearcat BC-278clt,with box,manual,power supply
excellent condition

75.00 shipped

monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

3282. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 12:26:17 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a5ireg$i7e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu> wrote in message
news:3C7B80A4.B738F84C@pitt.edu...
> Cool thread !! How about pictures ?? I currently have three permanetly
> mounted on the Tacoma but can go as high as six using mag mounts when
> needed.
>
> --Dan- KE3UC

Do you get funny looks going down the highway? Don't you just need one for
HF and one for VHF/UHF?

> JA Moran wrote:
>
> > HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> >
> > My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> > them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> > radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> > thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> > ar e radios too!! <VBG>
> >
> > JOHN
> >
> > --
> > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > --
>

3283. Re: Amusement pks (WDW?) Rules on Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 13:13:50 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <iY4f8.125$Of.55373@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 51450

Now this begs the question of what applies to the Ham operator since alot of VHF/UHF HT's are wide-band recieve and are getting more and more memory channels?

I wonder how that would apply to them, or even FRS/MURS/GMRS/CB users?

Just some thoughts...

Ryan KC8PMX

"Stan Self" <Zeawolf@webtv.net> wrote in message news:6620-3C7B976C-454@storefull-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> The Frequencies may be free to listen to, But the ground is Private
> Property. Discretion is best. They prob have anti-scanner rules because
> of the usual reasons.
> ~Z~
>
> http://community.webtv.net/Zeawolf/ZeawolfsScannerPage
>

3284. WTB: Max 800 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 14:21:05 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <lX5f8.6895$mG.34777@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 51451

i am looking for a Max 800 scanner antenna

Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

3285. Re: Desktop scanner w/trunking, computer programing and Alpha-tags?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 14:49:52 UTC
From: cqdxer2@aol.comjunkzapp
Message-ID: <20020227094952.00969.00000012@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 51453

In article <20020226151842.21359.00000473@mb-ml.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) writes:

>Can anyone recommend a desktop scanner that has at least 800mhz trunking
>(400/500mhz trunking would be nice too) with multiple trunking types, ability
>to be programmed by computer and can display alpha-numeric characters? The
>number of channels is not important, as long as there's at least 50 or so.

Andy,

If you want to go top of the line, get the Uniden BC780. It does it all. I use
a RS Pro-2052. 1000 channels, follows Mot 800(Type 1 &2) MOT UHF and EDACS.
Alpha tags w/computer control software. I got the 2052 on sale for $169.00 I
didn't want to get the 780 because Toms River will be going digital soon so I
wanted
to wait for a digital scanner before going top of the line. Good Luck.

3286. Re: Donald Duck Encryption <-- Files Posted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 15:12:12 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u7pua060pi9f45@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51454

The files have been posted to alt.binaries.radio-scanner

PH

<kh6zv9@pe.net> wrote in message
news:Q7Ze8.88$7272.4259888@news2.randori.com...
> Power House <Power_House@privatebox.net> wrote:
> : Does your news service have alt.binaries.radio-scanner ? If so, look
for
> : them within the next day or two... I won't have time to do it today
> : (Tuesday), but will get them up shortly... If you don't have that
group,
> : I'll see what I can do about posting in an alternate group....
>
> : PH
>
>
> I found the group. Send them up when you find the time. Thanks

3287. FS: Optoelectronics Xplorer Nearfield Receiver w/DB32 Antenna Reduced $520 shipped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 16:52:39 UTC
From: kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Message-ID: <9b093b3c.0202270852.706c9ad9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Judging by what I've seen around the 'net I originally overpriced this, so I'm re-issuing it at a reduced rate.

I have for sale one Optoelectronics Xplorer Nearfield Receiver. Unit is in excellent condition and has seen little use. Will include Opto DB32 "stub" antenna. With original box, manual, telescoping whip antenna, PC download cable, software, and AC adapter. This is the newer Xplorer that also decodes LTR trunking ID's. It is cellular blocked. Battery pack is in excellent condition.

Reason for selling: Seeing virtually no use, liquidating for other radio purchases.

Price: $520.00 shipped UPS ground US only.

Payment Method: Pre-pay with U.S. POSTAL SERVICE MONEY ORDER ONLY!!! No substitutions, please!

Upon receipt of US Postal Service money order I will ship unit to you and will contact you with UPS tracking number.

For more information about this device, please click on the link below. Please contact me via e-mail if interested.

http://www.optoelectronics.com/xplorer.htm

Thanks for reading.

Scott
Scan Cape Cod
http://www.scancapecod.net

3288. FS: PRO-2004/HB232 package Reduced to $260.00 shipped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 16:55:29 UTC
From: kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Message-ID: <9b093b3c.0202270855.294f88c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Greetings,

I can't move this package, so I've reduced the price to $260 shipped.

I have a Realistic PRO-2004 scanner for sale. The following info describes it's condition, modifications, accompanying HB232 Interface, included items, and payment method. Photos of the package are available through the link at the bottom of this message. If you are interested after reading this long-winded message, please contact me at kc1ua@scancapecod.net. Thanks.

Condition
---
1. Case is in good condition. Some minor scratches. Top of case has tape marks from a
2. Display is in good shape. Not as bright as day #1 but doing well.
3. An analog signal meter has been mounted on the lower left front of the radio. This eliminates the earphone jack.
4. A blue keypad overlay has been placed over the original yellow keypad. This overlay shows a 400 channel plan instead of the 300 on the original yellow.
5. Radio does use a 9 volt battery as memory backup. Care must be taken to replace this battery. I would recommend twice a year.

Modifications
---
1. Full coverage restoration.
2. Removal of power transformer to reduce heat issues, facilitate HB-232 interface components, as well as toggle switches mentioned in #4 mod below.
3. 300 to 400 channel modification.
4. Modification that creates 64 independent "banks" of 400 channels each. Controlled by toggle switches on rear of radio. Diagram for operation is included. It is not possible to scan across these banks, but 64 different configurations are possible.
5. Pushbutton switch on back of radio defeats keypad beep.
6. Discriminator tap (RCA jack).
7. Analog S-Meter mounted on front lower left. Eliminates earphone jack.
8. Radio did have problems with cold solder joints, which is common in this radio. They have been repaired.

HB-232 (a.k.a CE-232) Interface
---
1. Allows for computer control of PRO-2004 through supplied DOS

software. Demo of Windows software is available for download from www.steaksandwich.com.
2. HB-232 Interface is mounted in a project box, 8" wide, 6" deep, and 3" high. Short DB25 connection from box to rear of PRO-2004. DB-9 connection from interface box to computer.
3. Supplied software is able to program radio and will display a "virtual" panel that controls radio's functions. Again, it is DOS based software. I would primarily recommend using it for programming/backup of memory banks. Many scripts that can make the HB-232 perform various functions are included.
4. It IS NOT imperative that you use this interface. The radio operates just fine standalone. However, it is included as part of the package for obvious reasons.

Included Items
---
1. Original manual.
2. Service manual.
3. Power supply is NOT included. You will need a 12V positive tip wall wort type supply. I would recommend one that handles about 1 AMP just to be on the safe side. I was powering the radio from a 12V power system in my shack. Transformer was removed as outlined above, so this radio DOES NOT have 120 VAC capability. Radio did not come with a wall wort type supply.
4. HB232 software and scripts on disk.
5. My e-mail support for your first days of operating this radio! I won't disappear on you.

Payment Method
---
1. $260. Will ship UPS Ground USA only on receipt of US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY!
2. Shipping and insurance included in asking price.
3. I will provide you with a UPS tracking number when the radio is enroute.
4. Reason for selling - I am simply not using this setup any longer. It is sitting here doing nothing.

This is a unique setup. I would not recommend this setup for anyone looking for their first scanner, but the radio works fine as a standalone unit and/or with the HB-232 interface. Please contact me if you have any questions. Photos of the package are available at the link below.

http://www.scancapecod.net/2004pics.html

Thanks for reading this saga!

Scott/KC1UA
kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Scan Cape Cod

3289. Re: Need a scanner for Taxicab channels... help with suggestions pls.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 17:26:04 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020227122604.15638.00000907@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51458

>Subject: Need a scanner for Taxicab channels... help with suggestions pls.
>From: "Lon Ponschock" lon@execpc.com
>Date: 2/26/2002 2:34 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <a5grip$7bg6c$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de>
>
>
>
> I am new to this group and have a specific application for a used or
> refurbed scanner: to listen to taxicab calls from the various
>companies in a specific locale.
>
>
> Are these frequencies pretty much standard?
>
>
> Can they be programmed into an inexpensive used/refurbed unit?
>
>
>Any suggestions on source of supply besides Uniden refurbs and eBay
>for-sales?
>
>
>cc: lon@athenet.net and I'll check back here shortly too.
>

The scanner's not hard, about anything less than 30 years old will do . You'll find cabs anywhere from low band to UHF conventional, to trunking & Nextel, in the ham & marine bands or FRS/LMRS freqs. It's the "encryption" that's hard to beat. How many languages do you speak? In a city of any size you'll find a dozen different languages in use by cab drivers & their dispatchers.
Neutrodyne

"My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20, 2002

3290. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 18:22:43 UTC
From: Russ Freeman <kf4zfs@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Tt9f8.2102$gK2.204116@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

OK, you win...

"Carl" <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:ARse8.393$vj1.565@rwcrnsc53...
> Only 14. Includes 4-ham, commercial vhf-lo, commercial vhf-hi, commercial
> uhf, gmrs, 2 scanners, ELT tracking antenna, DGPS, GPS, commercial am/fm.
>
> Carl.
>
> JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> > HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> >
> > My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> > them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> > radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> > thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things that
> > ar e radios too!! <VBG>
> >
> > JOHN
> >
> > --
> > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > --
> >
> >
>
>

3291. What is needed to control my pro 2006 by computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 18:45:21 UTC
From: inkaddict@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020227134521.17710.00000938@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 51460

What hardware and software is needed to control my 2006 by my computer. Where can I find what is needed?
Thanks
Bob

3292. Re: What is needed to control my pro 2006 by computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 19:00:47 UTC
From: Norm Melick <henmel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3C7D2D06.2BA8145F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51461

Ink addict wrote:
>
> What hardware and software is needed to control my 2006 by my computer. Where
> can I find what is needed?
> Thanks
> Bob

Start here: http://yourpage.blazenet.net/pro2006/
and here: http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/index.html

3293. Re: apartment scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 19:07:34 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <W7af8.2611$T_.26600@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 51462

TheMik111 <themik111@aol.comxxx> wrote:
> What is the best antenna out there for apartment use?? Are they any available i
> can hang out the window?

You could try a mobile mag mount on an air conditioner, radiator, or
refrigerator. Or tape a wire dipole onto a window pane. Some folks have
used amplified "rabbit ears" designed for TVs.

Art Harris N2AH

3294. if you want a laugh,,look here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 19:52:23 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <rogqmail@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a5jddm$7ji$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51463

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1335695711

--
Sorcerer
remove Spam to reply

.

3295. Re: I need a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 20:23:44 UTC
From: Devin <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <kfbf8.159892$A44.9232118@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

lol. any european or canada or austrailian model will pick up analog cells.
pretty boring though.

Dev

"joe" <gotnitromethane@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c744ad1$0$485$4c41069e@reader1.ash.ops.us.uu.net...
> Hi, a buddy of mine wants to know where he can buy a scanner that can pick
> up cell phones. he now has a bearcat twin turbo
> sc 150y. but he wants another one. can you tell me where he can get one
to
> pick up phones and if anyone has one and what models will do it. Thanks
>
>
>

3296. Re: if you want a laugh,,look here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 20:31:47 UTC
From: Terrence <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om>
Message-ID: <Tmbf8.40110$vP.152160@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51465

214.52 US dollars
good luck getting ⍰18

"**Sorcerer**" <rogqmail@Spambtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a5jddm$7ji$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1335695711
>
> --
> **Sorcerer**
> remove Spam to reply
>
>
>
> .
>
>
>
>

3297. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 20:33:22 UTC
From: Devin <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <mobf8.159941$A44.9234034@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51466
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yep. Us Canadians have true free speech and true free listening power.
except encrypted stuff. But, Don't live in BC right now with the way the
damn liberals are changing laws.

Dev

"Gusto" <gusto@hawaii.com> wrote in message
news:3TYd8.15121$DS6.383259@news2.telusplanet.net...
> In Canada, as far as I know, it is still legal to listen in on cell,
> cordless, etc as long as you don't tell another person what you heard.
>
>
> "Satnik" <satnik@blahblah.com> wrote in message
> news:r2Xd8.18698$%D5.48238@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Actually, the Congressmen and Senators just don't want anyone
> eavesdropping
> > as they call their mistresses and hookers on cell phones.
> > "John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C780ED7.7C45EDA6@erols.com...
> > > A correction...it is not the FCC that wants or does not want you to
> > monitor
> > > cellular transmissions. It is the cellular phone lobby that
> > > was successful in lobbying Congress to pass the legislation. The FCC
> then
> > takes
> > > whatever actions based upon federal law. We have the best money
> Congress
> > can
> > > buy. Begin first by blaming the idiot voters who keep returning some
of
> > these
> > > jerks to Congress term after term.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Dirk wrote:
> > >
> > > > Personally, people - I think this is all BULLSHIT - and I'll tell
you
> > > > why: If these radio waves are entering MY space and going through
MY
> > > > body - then I should be able to do with them as I please. Is this
not
> > > > a violation of property? I didn't give anyone permission to shoot

> > > > THOUSANDS, possibly even HUNDREDS of THOUSANDS of RF energy through
my
> > > > body - and I can't control the direction of radio waves (unless I
> > > > build a home out of lead) and when I drive to the store, walk in the
> > > > park, sit down to go fishing - whatever - there are a MAGNITUDE of
> > > > unseen forces penetrating the air and the environment we live in.
> > > > Studies are INCONCLUSIVE as to the harmful effects of RF radiation
on
> > > > the human body - look at cell phones specifically - first they are
> > > > released as being "safe" then there are reports out 8~10 years later
> > > > that exposure to radiation from close-range use of cell phones COULD
> > > > cause brain damage. Apparently the parties responsible for doing
the
> > > > research were early pioneers of close-range radiation. Personally,
my
> > > > feelings on this are simple - if you are going to pass radiation
> > > > through my body - whether directed, intentional or not - I should be
> > > > able to monitor what effects my person. That being said - let's
talk
> > > > about realistic directions... I'm not condoning the monitoring
> > > > frequencies for the intent of illegal practices. But if public
> > > > transmissions ARE PRESENTin MY AIRSPACE - I feel we have a right to
> > > > know what they are. I consider any UNSCRAMBLED radio waves to be
> > > > PUBLIC. If you want privacy - use another medium or SCRAMBLE /
ENCODE
> > > > your transmission. In this type of approach, we retain the right to
> > > > listen - but not the right to decipher.
> > > >
> > > > And yes, I am an avid scanner buff - I'm not here to make a stink
> > > > about leaglity issues - more like along the lines of MORALITY
issues.
> > > > The FCC doesn't want us to be able to monitor CELL frequencies - but
> > > > they will charter some cellular company rights to put a cell tower
in
> > > > your backyard and irradiate your immediate airspace with varying
> > > > amounts of RF.
> > > >
> > > > So do we have the right?
> > > >
> > > > -Dirk
> > > > LIVE 24/7 WebScanner for Mercer County PA / Trumbull County OHIO
> > > > http://scanner.infonline.net
> > > >
> > > > On Mon, 24 Sep 2001 04:47:02 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> > > > <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> > > > >news:p8crqt439q319mgvjs3u20qo67fgst9loc@4ax.com...
> > > > >> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 08:46:33 GMT, "Skip Sanders"

> > > > >> <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > > >
> > > > > >><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> > > > > >>news:1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com...
> > > > > >> >> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >> >>>>Danny wrote:
> > > > > >> >> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone
> > conversations
> > > > > >in
> > > > > >> >the
> > > > > >> >> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd
> block
> > out
> > > > > >> >those
> > > > > >> >> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> > > > > >> >> >>EEng wrote:
> > > > > >> >> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless
service.
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> >The CFR is all very well, but it's not the law that prohibits
> > cellular
> > > > > >and
> > > > > >> >cordless listening in the US.
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> >You want 18 USC Sec. 2511, the Electronic Communications Privacy
> > Act,
> > > > > >which
> > > > > >> >directly prohibits monitoring cellular, cordless, and some other
> > things.
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> >See: http://uscode.house.gov/usc.htm for a searchable version
of
> > the
> > > > > >USC.
> > > > > >> >Search on electronic and communications and privacy and
cellular,
> > or
> > > > > >just
> > > > > >> >directly search for title 18, section 2511.
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> >The FCC regulations are simply implimentations of that act, to

> stop
> > you
> > > > >from
> > > > > >> >manufacturing a receiver that is designed to monitor cellular.
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> >Yes, the FCC has clarified that 'modifying' a scanner to receive
> > cellular
> > > > > >is
> > > > > >> >'manufacturing' within this rule, and a violation.
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> If you read it carefully, you'll see that it specifically details
> > that
> > > > > >> USE of the content is illegal, not merely listening in, so I'll
say
> > > > > >> once more, it is not illegal to listen. Qualifying that, it IS
> > > > > >> illegal to convey the content in any manner.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> EEng.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >
> > > > > ><sigh> I've read it, which you apparently haven't, very well. I
> > realize
> > > > > >it's rather impenetrable, especially on a screen instead of printed
> out
> > > > > >where you can follow the nested clauses, EEng, but yes, it DOES
> > prohibit you
> > > > > >monitoring, listening, intercepting, at all. First section: You
are
> > > > > >prohibited from INTERCEPTING, regardless of what you do with it.
> That
> > only
> > > > > >affects whether you get only a fine, or a fine or jail time, in the
> > penalty
> > > > > >area. If what you do meets any of a) thru e), you're in violation.
> > They're
> > > > > >all seperate violations, you don't have to do both a) and b), etc.
> > > > > >------------
> > > > > >(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any
> > > > > >
> > > > > > person who -
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures
> any
> > other
> > > > > >person to intercept or endeavor to
> > > > > > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > > > > > intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;
> > > > > >
> > > > > >(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other
> person

> > to
> > > > >use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device
to
> > > > >intercept any oral communication when -
> > > > >
> > > > > (i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a
> > signal
> > > > >through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire
> > communication;
> > > > >or
> > > > >
> > > > > (ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or
> > interferes
> > > > >with the transmission of such communication; or
> > > > >
> > > > > (iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such
> > device
> > > > >or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or
> transported
> > in
> > > > >interstate or foreign commerce; or
> > > > >
> > > > > (iv) such use or endeavor to use
> > > > >
> > > > > (A) takes place on the premises of any business or other
> > commercial
> > > > >establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign
> > commerce;
> > > > >or
> > > > >
> > > > > (B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information
> > relating
> > > > >to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment
> the
> > > > >operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or
> > > > >
> > > > > (v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the
> > Commonwealth
> > > > >of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United
States;
> > > > >
> > > > >(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other
> > person
> > > > >the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
knowing
> or
> > > > >having reason to know that the information was obtained through the
> > > > >interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in
> violation
> > of

> > > > >this subsection;
> > > > >
> > > > >(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any
> wire,
> > oral,
> > > > >or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that
> the
> > > > >information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral,
or
> > > > >electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or
> > > > >
> > > > >(e)(i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any
> other
> > > > >person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication,
> > > > >intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(a)(ii),
> > 2511(2)(b)-(c),
> > > > >2511(2)(e), 2516, and 2518 of this chapter,
> > > > >
> > > > >(ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was
> obtained
> > > > >through the interception of such a communication in connection with
a
> > > > >criminal investigation,
> > > > >
> > > > >(iii) having obtained or received the information in connection
with
> a
> > > > >criminal investigation, and
> > > > >
> > > > >(iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with
a
> > duly
> > > > >authorized criminal investigation,
> > > > >
> > > > >shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject
> to
> > suit
> > > > >as provided in subsection (5).
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

3298. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 20:40:04 UTC
From: Devin <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <Eubf8.159982$A44.9235045@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

alarms have dropped lots. If you install it yourself. Way cheaper again. Fairly basic to install too. If you have patience of drilling small holes and such.

Dev

<tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message news:a5gdrs$d2$1@reader2.panix.com...
> :>HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>
> Wow. In reading all the followups, I see that I am not insane. I was thinking about putting four
> antennas on my vehicle. Well, *in* my vehicle, actually. Which is why I posted this followup... Do
> you folks have alarms? Isn't ten antennas on your roof the same as blasting your $10,000 stereo in a
> bad neighborhood? I am concerned that having antennas on my car advertises the contents and exposes
> me to theft. Since I'm too cheap to put an alarm on a 87 Lebaron with a blue book of less than a
> Whopper with cheese, I figured I'd mount the antennas I need inside the vehicle (behind tint) and
> stash a magmount in the trunk for when I really need the height.

3299. Re: CE-232 Motorola Chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 20:47:40 UTC
From: Devin <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <MBbf8.160022$A44.9236381@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hmm, I haven't seen ce-232 chips lately is it a chip so you can interface a computer comport to a radio or anything else external. I know of a ce-232 interface. only 232's I have seen lately are MAX232 chips. but. I probably wrong that they are what you are looking for. I will look further. I am stocked on the MAX232 type chips. but. I have to find out if the CE-232's are the same.

Dev

"William Ferguson" <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message news:Xns91C0D8B4AC78CfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
> Hi I remember talking w/ someone who said that they still have these
> chips available for sale. If anyone out there still has them I'd like to
> know.

3300. FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 21:01:06 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0202271301.2cc607e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51469

Scantenna scanner antenna for auction:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1336009467

Thanks for looking.

3301. Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 22:46:40 UTC
From: Scott <scott5mi@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <u7qoepdukbv19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51470

As a realitive newcomer to this hobby, any assistance provided from you good folks would be appreciated.

I recently purchased a Radio Shack Pro-93 scanner, and I am confused as to what cable to use to interface the scanner with my PC. I have seen conflicting accounts on various websites, and I thought I would go here and ask the real experts.

Once again, any help is greatly appreciated.

3302. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 23:22:13 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16e734321c0bc9e598968c@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 51471

In article <u7qoepdukbv19@corp.supernews.com>, scott5mi@cox-internet.com says...

(...)
> I recently purchased a Radio Shack Pro-93 scanner, and I am confused as to
> what cable to use to interface the scanner with my PC.
(...)

http://www.pro93.com/

Consider the two way cable which allows uploads/downloads,and the correct adapter is included with the purchase.

3303. Help selecting scanner for very specific purpose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 23:32:34 UTC
From: duworm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020227183234.11544.00001192@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 51472

Thanks for responding,

I am a newbie with a special problem. I fly remote controlled sailplanes. Some, including the radio equipment cost over $2500.00. Lately, planes at our flying field are getting glitched, swamped by outside interference. So far, none of the crashes have hurt anyone. (Except for their pocketbook.)

The interference is sporatic and intermittent. Finding the culprit is going to be difficult. Obviously, I need a scanner, one that will scan the Remote control frequencies. Because of the nature of the problem I also need a scanner that will keep a history and allow me to view the history in a graph showing the full spectrum of remote control frequencies and their signal strength. I've already figured that I need a computer controlled scanner. I already have a laptop. I need help selecting a scanner and software. Any suggestions?

Thanks I advance for your response.

Sherman Knight
duworm@aol.com
425-576-4028 wk pacific std time

3304. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 23:42:44 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <csqq7ugv7hkcgg0jgoq67k48n9gedo4gm0@4ax.com>
Source record: 51473

On Wed, 27 Feb 2002 16:46:40 -0600, "Scott"
<scott5mi@cox-internet.com> wrote:

>I recently purchased a Radio Shack Pro-93 scanner, and I am confused as to
>what cable to use to interface the scanner with my PC. I have seen
>conflicting accounts on various websites, and I thought I would go here and
>ask the real experts.

The cable for the PRO-93 is exactly the same as the cable for the
PRO-92.

http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcable.htm

has information on buying or building a cable. Skip the GRE cable,
since that's part of a bundle with software for the 92.

For software for the PRO-93, get Don Starr's "Win93" from

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html

Anything that Don has on his page about software or cables for the 93,
or posts in the Yahoo discussion board :

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-93

should be taken as gospel.

Ken Plotkin

3305. Software Downloads-Page updated.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-27 23:45:58 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a5jr3lken1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51474

ar3000.info have updated their "Downloads" Page.
(Latest software at the bottom of page)

Resource Center For AR3000 Owners & SWL's
www.ar3000.info

3306. FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 00:19:46 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0202271619.10284831@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51475

Wow! The first antenna went FAST! I have another one, though.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1336041860

Thanks.

3307. Re: PRO2022 LOW AUDIO PROB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 00:51:38 UTC
From: Marc <marc_k@NoSpamreva.sixgirls.org>
Message-ID: <u7qvoqo8nngr78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In rec.radio.scanner David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote:
> Even to Vol level #10 sounds like Vol level #1????
> Cant seem to get any LOUD audio??? What's the prob?

> a. cheaked connection good
> b. sprk good
> c. ext sprk (low)
> d.
> e.
> f.
> g.

> What next???? Plse any advise...

Probably a dried out electrolytic capacitor somewhere-try bridging each one(one at a time with a good one(it does not have to be the correct value-but use common sense)-the voltage needs to be the same or higher though.
start around the volume control, and work from there.
As old as the 2022 is, odds are that you will find a capacitor, that when bridged, will make the scanner much louder...when you find it, replace it with the right value.
NOTE:these are the capacitors that look like little metal cans with plastic jackets on them.
The other types of capacitors in the unit are unlikely to be bad.
Also note that the capacitors that you are checking have a polarity-if you reverse them, they short and fail.
So make sure when bridging them, make sure that you match the polarity.

3308. Re: PRO2022 LOW AUDIO PROB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 00:59:38 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <i70r7uo4i17246c2s9sb79a6s1qluhhmhl@4ax.com>
Source record: 51477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

thanks alto....

On Thu, 28 Feb 2002 00:51:38 -0000, Marc
<marc_k@NoSpamreva.sixgirls.org> wrote:

>In rec.radio.scanner David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote:
>> Even to Vol level #10 sounds like Vol level #1????
>> Cant seem to get any LOUD audio??? What's the prob?
>
>> a. cheaked connection good
>> b. sprk good
>> c. ext sprk (low)
>> d.
>> e.
>> f.
>> g.
>
>> What next???? Plse any advise...
>
>Probably a dried out electrolytic capacitor somewhere-try bridging each one(one
>at a time with a good one(it does not have to be the correct value-but use common
>sense)-the voltage needs to be the same or higher though.
>start around the volume control, and work from there.
>As old as the 2022 is, odds are that you will find a capacitor, that when
>bridged, will make the scanner much louder...when you find it, replace it with
>the right value.
>NOTE:these are the capacitors that look like little metal cans with plastic
>jackets on them.
>The other types of capacitors in the unit are unlikely to be bad.
>Also note that the capacitors that you are checking have a polarity-if you
>reverse them, they short and fail.
>So make sure when bridging them, make sure that you match the polarity.
>

3309. Re: ctcss tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 01:33:23 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C7D88E3.6EA2A66E@mitre.org>
Source record: 51478

Hears where I herd of this PRO-92 tone code programming limit :

<http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=fi&class=recv&num=018#PL>

I may have misinterpreted what is meant I. E. there are 50 PL and 100 (150) DPL tones available for programing
and what is not mentioned is programmed in ANY of THE 500 channels in conventional mode. If you can program all of the 500 channels in a PRO-92 and PRP-2067 with tone codes, then I stand corrected, and am glade to know this!
Jim
Walt Bertram wrote:

> Jim Mac Donald wrote:
>
> > RS PRO 92 PRO
> > 2067 search and display both CTCSS and Digital tone coded squelch codes
> > instantaneously. But
> > only allows 50 CTCSS tagged channels and 150 for Digital tone codes out of 500
> > CHs.
>
> First I have heard this! Where is this documented?
>
> Walt
>
> Jim Mac Donald wrote:
> >
> > The ICOM IC R-2 will slowly search and display the CTCSS, but dose not do Digital
> > tone
> > coded squelch. The BC 780 searches for both type tone codes slowly. RS PRO 92 PRO
> > 2067 search and display both CTCSS and Digital tone coded squelch codes
> > instantaneously. But
> > only allows 50 CTCSS tagged channels and 150 for Digital tone codes out of 500
> > CHs.
> > The RELM HS 200 MS 200 and Racing Electronics HS 2000 radios use both CTCSS and
> > Digital tone coded squelch BUT will not search them out, If you do not know them
> > they have to be sampled manually until the correct tone(can do all channels).
> > opens squelch. They will all let the radio us tone codes or clear squelch (i.e. a
> > channel with out a tone code enabled) the Uniden 760 with the tone board installed
> > will not let you use
> > clear squelch when the tone board is engaged. The ARO Mkr 8200 may also have a add
> > on
> > tone squelch board.
> > Better frequency directories and publications will have the tone code when know for
> >

> > the frequencies listed.
> > The BC 780 and RS PRO 92 PRO 2067 are the way to go to find ALL the tone codes or if
> >
> > they aren't using any codes or non standard codes. The PR- 92 and PRO- 2067 may
> > display non standard tone codes and be able to use them!
> > Jim
> > "Email to above or see post sig for address!" wrote:
> >
> > > On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 11:58:04 -0600, "Jim L. Brooks" <jlbrooks@yhti.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Franklin county in Missouri uses the ctcss tones. How do I go about
> > > >finding the ctcss tones
> > >
> > > If you have a Uniden 780, 895 or Radio Shack Pro 92 or 2067 they will display
> > > the PL tone in use on each frequency as it comes active.
> > >
> > > On the older 760/960/905 (all the same radio really) with the PL add on you have
> > > to MANUALLY go thru all the 38 tones or get it from some place ahead of time.
> > >
> > > ----------
> > > "The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc
> > > ----------
> > > "Love is a dangerous angel"
> > > ----------
> > > AOSC#: FL-41-1184
> > > The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
> > > http://tampascan.home.dyndns.org
> > > Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mansotaflscan
> > > Tampa Bay Area Scanner List
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/tampabayscan
> > > Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> > > http://wpascanner.home.dyndns.org/scnrng.htm
> > > ----------
> > > Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> > > ----------
> > > You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

3310. Re: EMS frequency for East Cleveland?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 02:14:56 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20020227211456.28737.00001761@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 51479

I THINK ITS 154.190 MHZ,,,,THAT FREQUENCY IS SHARED WITH A LOT OF EASTERN
SUBURBS..
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

3311. Re: ctcss tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 02:46:29 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C7D9A04.F9BC1FB3@optonline.net>
Source record: 51480

The PRO-92/2067 allow any one of the 50 PL and 100 DPL codes to be
programmed in any or all of the 500 channels.

Walt

Jim Mac Donald wrote:
>
> Hears where I herd of this PRO-92 tone code programming limit :
>
> <http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=fi&class=rec
v&num=018#PL>
>
> I may have misinterpreted what is meant I. E. there are 50 PL and 100 (150) DPL tones
> available for programing
> and what is not mentioned is programmed in ANY of THE 500 channels in conventional mode.
> If you can program all of the 500 channels in a PRO-92 and PRP-2067 with tone codes, then
> I stand corrected, and am glade to know this!
> Jim
> Walt Bertram wrote:
>
> > Jim Mac Donald wrote:
> >
> > > RS PRO 92 PRO
> > > 2067 search and display both CTCSS and Digital tone coded squelch codes
> > > instantaneously. But
> > > only allows 50 CTCSS tagged channels and 150 for Digital tone codes out of 500
> > > CHs.
> >
> > First I have heard this! Where is this documented?
> >
> > Walt
> >
> > Jim Mac Donald wrote:
> > >
> > > The ICOM IC R-2 will slowly search and display the CTCSS, but dose not do Digital
> > > tone
> > > coded squelch. The BC 780 searches for both type tone codes slowly. RS PRO 92 PRO
> > > 2067 search and display both CTCSS and Digital tone coded squelch codes
> > > instantaneously. But
> > > only allows 50 CTCSS tagged channels and 150 for Digital tone codes out of 500
> > > CHs.
> > > The RELM HS 200 MS 200 and Racing Electronics HS 2000 radios use both CTCSS and
> > > Digital tone coded squelch BUT will not search them out, If you do not know them
> > > they have to be sampled manually until the correct tone(can do all channels).
> > > opens squelch. They will all let the radio us tone codes or clear squelch (i.e. a

> > > channel with out a tone code enabled) the Uniden 760 with the tone board installed
> > > will not let you use
> > > clear squelch when the tone board is engaged. The ARO Mkr 8200 may also have a add
> > > on
> > > tone squelch board.
> > > Better frequency directories and publications will have the tone code when know for
> > >
> > > the frequencies listed.
> > > The BC 780 and RS PRO 92 PRO 2067 are the way to go to find ALL the tone codes or if
> > >
> > > they aren't using any codes or non standard codes. The PR- 92 and PRO- 2067 may
> > > display non standard tone codes and be able to use them!
> > > Jim
> > > "Email to above or see post sig for address!" wrote:
> > >
> > > > On Wed, 20 Feb 2002 11:58:04 -0600, "Jim L. Brooks" <jlbrooks@yhti.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Franklin county in Missouri uses the ctcss tones. How do I go about
> > > > >finding the ctcss tones
> > > >
> > > > If you have a Uniden 780, 895 or Radio Shack Pro 92 or 2067 they will display
> > > > the PL tone in use on each frequency as it comes active.
> > > >
> > > > On the older 760/960/905 (all the same radio really) with the PL add on you have
> > > > to MANUALLY go thru all the 38 tones or get it from some place ahead of time.
> > > >
> > > > ----------
> > > > "The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc
> > > > ----------
> > > > "Love is a dangerous angel"
> > > > ----------
> > > > AOSC#: FL-41-1184
> > > > The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
> > > > http://tampascan.home.dyndns.org
> > > > Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
> > > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mansotaflscan
> > > > Tampa Bay Area Scanner List
> > > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/tampabayscan
> > > > Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
> > > > http://wpascanner.home.dyndns.org/scnrng.htm
> > > > ----------
> > > > Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
> > > > ----------
> > > > You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

3312. Re: Amusement pks (WDW?) Rules on Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 03:09:54 UTC
From: NJSCAN <njscan@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <6chf8.5314$lu2.2563923@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51481

As an avid scanner enthusiast and amateur radio operator I've had many
radios in WDW. I've never been challanged, though I've always been discrete.
I can tell you that FRS radios are very common so as long as you don't
flaunt the fact that you are listening to them I suspect you'll have no
problems.

I can tell you that Knottsberry Farm in CA has a very agressive "no scanner"
policy.

Good luck!
"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:iY4f8.125$Of.55373@monger.newsread.com...
> Now this begs the question of what applies to the Ham operator since alot
of
> VHF/UHF HT's are wide-band recieve and are getting more and more memory
> channels?
>
> I wonder how that would apply to them, or even FRS/MURS/GMRS/CB users?
>
> Just some thoughts...
>
> Ryan KC8PMX
>
> "Stan Self" <Zeawolf@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:6620-3C7B976C-454@storefull-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > The Frequencies may be free to listen to, But the ground is Private
> > Property. Discretion is best. They prob have anti-scanner rules because
> > of the usual reasons.
> > ~Z~
> >
> > http://community.webtv.net/Zeawolf/ZeawolfsScannerPage
> >
>
>

3313. Re: apartment scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 04:29:27 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <Hmif8.5364$r5.945031@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 51482

For high band you could use a ground-plane
hung in the closet. RatShak has one cut for
high band. Cat# 20-176 I think. It's less than
$20. You have to bend the radials down to
a 45deg angle to get it to load up on the 2meter
band. I have also used a regular pair of "back of set"
TV rabbit ears. The kind that fit into a hole in the back
of small 13" TV's work best. Just remove the twin-lead
and solder on some RG-8X and you have an adjustable
dipole. Just mount it vertically.

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

3314. scanner freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 04:50:56 UTC
From: nomad101@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3c7db537.909270528@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51483

Hello all. Is there anybody out there that has the freqs. for the Sams
Town 300 and the UAW-Daimler Chrysler 400 being held here in Vegas
this coming weekend. Please post or e-mail me.
Thanks in advance.
nomad101@earthlink.net

3315. Surgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 05:03:15 UTC
From: "Denis M. Coan" <dmcoan@qis.net>
Message-ID: <3C7DBA13.1060004@qis.net>
Source record: 51484

Dear Linda and Daryl,

Just got off the phone with Aunt Patty and everything went very well. The surgery took a little over an hour and she was back home by late afternoon. The doctor told her the worst part will be getting the muscles back in shape when the cast comes off. She has a full cast, up to her knee so that foot will be immobile for eight more weeks, plus the tendon snapped the day before Thanksgiving so the muscles are pretty well atrophied.

Her only request is that you two don't feel compelled to get one of your feet in a cast so that we can take another family photo of "The Cast Bunch".. I agree.

Love,

Dad

3316. Re: Surgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 05:27:27 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <3djf8.10390$pC1.1894300@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51486

What frequencies do they use at the hospital ;> ?

"Denis M. Coan" <dmcoan@qis.net> wrote in message
news:3C7DBA13.1060004@qis.net...
> Dear Linda and Daryl,
>
> Just got off the phone with Aunt Patty and everything went very
> well. The surgery took a little over an hour and she was back home by

3317. Re: Help selecting scanner for very specific purpose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 05:27:49 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <pdjf8.19629$jC3.543705@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51485

Hi, Sherman -

I've forwarded your question to a couple scanner and ham email groups. What frequency range are we talking about? Many RC radios operate as "secondary" users who must accept co-channel interference. But perhaps intentional interference would be prohibited if that's what you're thinking.

You might take a look at this site "while you wait":

http://www.clede.com/Articles/Police/radioint.htm

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"DUWorm" <duworm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020227183234.11544.00001192@mb-dh.aol.com...
> Thanks for responding,
>
> I am a newbie with a special problem. I fly remote controlled sailplanes.
> Some, including the radio equipment cost over $2500.00. Lately, planes at
our
> flying field are getting glitched, swamped by outside interference. So
far,
> none of the crashes have hurt anyone. (Except for their pocketbook.)
>
> The interference is sporatic and intermittent. Finding the culprit is
going to
> be difficult. Obviously, I need a scanner, one that will scan the Remote
> control frequencies. Because of the nature of the problem I also need a
> scanner that will keep a history and allow me to view the history in a
graph
> showing the full spectrum of remote control frequencies and their signal
> strength. I've already figured that I need a computer controlled scanner.
I
> already have a laptop. I need help selecting a scanner and software. Any
> suggestions?
>
> Thanks I advance for your response.
>
> Sherman Knight
> duworm@aol.com
> 425-576-4028 wk pacific std time
>

3318. Re: Help selecting scanner for very specific purpose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 05:40:53 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a5kft8$8109i$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51487

You need a scanner that may have something like a bandscope showing surrounding freq activity. This would show a general amount of RF emissions surrounding the bands you use for RC freqs. How far apart (Mhz) are the different channels of the radios you use. I used to have a Great Planes Spirit but I haven't had that thing out in quite awhile...

I forgot the exact freqs, can you post them?

I use a Icom PCR-1000 with my laptop and a program called TalkPCR. It shows a moderate amount of surrounding freqencies but if it is stepped similar to cordless phone, that bandscope does not visually display enough to cover all the possible channels. I am suspecting an outside 'source' such as some kid playing and changing crystals untill he finds the one you are using during flight. You may even be able to 'hear' it is you program in the exact frequencies into a scanner and have it simply scan those frequencies and you would be able to tell activity. I would be suprised as well thinking that all he has to do is look at the channel flag on your antenna and simply plug that crystal into his radio. I figure that you do NOT fly near power lines or antennas so stray RF may NOT be a culprit. I would be more willing to say someone is transmitting on the RC freq and causing mayhem to your aircraft. I would do that first before looking for stray RF emission near the airfield. Is this area a park where FRS radios are being used?

"DUWorm" <duworm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020227183234.11544.00001192@mb-dh.aol.com...
> Thanks for responding,
>
> I am a newbie with a special problem. I fly remote controlled sailplanes.
> Some, including the radio equipment cost over $2500.00. Lately, planes at
our
> flying field are getting glitched, swamped by outside interference. So
far,
> none of the crashes have hurt anyone. (Except for their pocketbook.)
>
> The interference is sporatic and intermittent. Finding the culprit is
going to
> be difficult. Obviously, I need a scanner, one that will scan the Remote
> control frequencies. Because of the nature of the problem I also need a
> scanner that will keep a history and allow me to view the history in a
graph
> showing the full spectrum of remote control frequencies and their signal
> strength. I've already figured that I need a computer controlled scanner.
I
> already have a laptop. I need help selecting a scanner and software. Any
> suggestions?

>
> Thanks I advance for your response.
>
> Sherman Knight
> duworm@aol.com
> 425-576-4028 wk pacific std time
>

3319. Re: Surgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 07:19:00 UTC
From: jptriad <jptriad@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <dUkf8.81462$uz6.779738@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 51488

Opps, LOL I think someones pushed the wrong button

cheers

"Denis M. Coan" <dmcoan@qis.net> wrote in message
news:3C7DBA13.1060004@qis.net...
> Dear Linda and Daryl,
>
> Just got off the phone with Aunt Patty and everything went very
> well. The surgery took a little over an hour and she was back home by
> late afternoon. The doctor told her the worst part will be getting the
> muscles back in shape when the cast comes off. She has a full cast, up
> to her knee so that foot will be immobile for eight more weeks, plus the
> tendon snapped the day before Thanksgiving so the muscles are pretty
> well atrophied.
>
> Her only request is that you two don't feel compelled to get one
> of your feet in a cast so that we can take another family photo of "The
> Cast Bunch".. I agree.
>
> Love,
>
> Dad
>

3320. Re: Help selecting scanner for very specific purpose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 07:30:24 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <k0lf8.19758$jC3.550630@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51489

From: "silbernagelmark" <marks@pacifier.com>
To: <nwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2002 23:25
Subject: [nwscan] Re: Fw: Help selecting scanner for very specific purpose

> Don't forget to look at multiples of your frequency for the bandit.
> The frequencies you mention are interestingly close to the 2M ham
> bands (2X the 72.XMhz). 2M uses 144-146Mhz. The Civil Air Patrol uses
> freqs just below this.
>
> One ham with decent power and a good antenna (maybe a Beam) could
> produce a high enough ERP to cause nasty things to happen from fairly
> far off, you suppose?
>
> Also look at 1/2f +- 10.7Mhz (the IF frequency) of many receivers.
>
> Don't the RC radios use tones? Since the Hams are operating FM, you'd
> be getting the audio freqs of the voice range sliding across your RC
> channels if what I'm describing is the problem.
>
> And then, the fire/police are just a bit higher (the old VHF stuff)..
>
> Just a thought.
>
> Mark
>

3321. Re: Surgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 10:17:11 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Hsnf8.15861$s03.561487@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51490

The cb band.
"Robert Dale" <rdale@skywatch.org> wrote in message
news:3djf8.10390$pC1.1894300@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> What frequencies do they use at the hospital ;> ?
>
> "Denis M. Coan" <dmcoan@qis.net> wrote in message
> news:3C7DBA13.1060004@qis.net...
> > Dear Linda and Daryl,
> >
> > Just got off the phone with Aunt Patty and everything went very
> > well. The surgery took a little over an hour and she was back home by
>
>

3322. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 10:38:04 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u7tcc9pu6hif49@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I had 10 on my truck:Ham 6m,2m,70cm;NOAA weather radio, scanner, 2 FM/AM,
CB, ATV, cell phone.
"Russ Freeman" <kf4zfs@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Tt9f8.2102$gK2.204116@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> OK, you win...
>
> "Carl" <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:ARse8.393$vj1.565@rwcrnsc53...
> > Only 14. Includes 4-ham, commercial vhf-lo, commercial vhf-hi,
commercial
> > uhf, gmrs, 2 scanners, ELT tracking antenna, DGPS, GPS, commercial
am/fm.
> >
> > Carl.
> >
> > JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C7A3E25.A35701C6@analon.com...
> > > HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> > >
> > > My Ford F-150 has 4 antennas on the roof... Often people comment about
> > > them and ask me if I have that many radios.. yup.. 4 antennas and 4
> > > radios... well 5 if you count the AMU (Automotive Music Unit or AM/FM
> > > thing) I tend to call it an AMU since I have so many other things
that
> > > ar e radios too!! <VBG>
> > >
> > > JOHN
> > >
> > > --
> > > * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> > > JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> > > http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and
Consulting
> > > ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3323. Re: Help selecting scanner for very specific purpose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 11:39:18 UTC
From: Barry <balsapilot@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C7E1701.5F1C52A8@yahoo.com>
Source record: 51491

DUWorm wrote:
>
> Thanks for responding,
>
> I am a newbie with a special problem. I fly remote controlled sailplanes.
> Some, including the radio equipment cost over $2500.00. Lately, planes at our
> flying field are getting glitched, swamped by outside interference. So far,
> none of the crashes have hurt anyone. (Except for their pocketbook.)
>
> The interference is sporatic and intermittent. Finding the culprit is going to
> be difficult. Obviously, I need a scanner, one that will scan the Remote
> control frequencies. Because of the nature of the problem I also need a
> scanner that will keep a history and allow me to view the history in a graph
> showing the full spectrum of remote control frequencies and their signal
> strength. I've already figured that I need a computer controlled scanner. I
> already have a laptop. I need help selecting a scanner and software. Any
> suggestions?

Contact the AMA. Each district has Icom scanner(s) available for loan,
with complete instructions on how to use them to locate R/C
interference.

Barry

3324. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 12:42:06 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <3C7E259E.CD3DD7F4@pitt.edu>
Source record: 51492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KC8JBO wrote:

> "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu> wrote in message
> news:3C7B80A4.B738F84C@pitt.edu...
> > Cool thread !! How about pictures ?? I currently have three permanetly
> > mounted on the Tacoma but can go as high as six using mag mounts when
> > needed.
> >
> > --Dan- KE3UC
>
> Do you get funny looks going down the highway?

Sometimes I get looks, and somtimes I get questions.

> Don't you just need one for
> HF and one for VHF/UHF?

True, but then there is the scanner, cell phone, GPS, commercial UHF for company and GMRS use and the six meter. It all depends on what you're into and how you want to mount/display it.

--Dan-- KE3UC

>
>

3325. Re: Surgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 14:27:09 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020228092709.03106.00001090@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 51493

>Opps, LOL I think someones pushed the wrong button

Maybe we should send flowers.

RM ~

3326. WTB:800mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 15:38:47 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <basf8.1$sx.3603@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 51494

im looking for a scanner that will receive 800MHZ public service,

monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

3327. Uniden 12-band 800 Mhz CELL NOT BLOCKED scanner BC700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 17:48:35 UTC
From: arcticat@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <5c294786.0202280948.4e3960de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51495

Uniden 12-band 800 Mhz CELL NOT BLOCKED scanner model BC700A. This looks IDENTICAL to the BC350A scanner, but it has one more band, the 800/900 Mhz band that includes the cell phone freq's because it's a PRE-BAN scanner.

First $100 takes it.

Scott
arcticat@hotmail.com

3328. FS:Kenwood R-5000 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 18:14:12 UTC
From: Bob Stamper <rstamp@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Uruf8.5748$106.357979@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

My Kenwood R-5000 communications receiver is available again.This receiver is in excellent condition and works perfectly.It does have a couple marks on the top.It covers from 100 kHz to 30 MHz in AM/USB/LSB/CW/FSK modes.It comes with the manual and the AC power cord.

Regards
Bob Stamper

3329. Re: if you want a laugh,,look here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 19:07:49 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <rogqmail@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a5lv64$n0r$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51397

Hi, it started of at £175.00, buy it now, the price has been altered.

--
Sorcerer
remove Spam to reply

.

"Jon Van Allen" <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in message news:3C7E6F97.7D98A382@msn.com...
Check our math. The buy it now price of 75 Pounds is $106.10 USD.

Terrence wrote:

214.52 US dollars
good luck getting £18
"**Sorcerer**" <rogqmail@Spambtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a5jddm$7ji$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1335695711
>
> --
> **Sorcerer**
> remove Spam to reply
>
>
>
> .
>
>
>
>

3330. Re: Scanner w/500mhz trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 19:17:20 UTC
From: Smorg@ert.con
Message-ID: <3c7e822e.6592779@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 51399

On Tue, 26 Feb 2002 22:22:09 GMT, "Bill Crocker"
<billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote:

>The PRO-2053 looks better than it works.
>
>Bill Crocker
>

How so or you some kind of fanyboy?

3331. Motorola Radius GP300 Help Required.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 19:24:20 UTC
From: Bry <Bry@home.com>
Message-ID: <u7t0egpsdt4763@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51398

Hi there,
I hope I'm on the correct NG for this question, if I am not I apologize.
I recently inherited a few bits and bobs from a family friend who died.
Among them was a "Motorola Radius GP300". I presume it's a TX\RX walkie
talkie.
I have searched the internet for info on it but I have not found anything of
any real help.

I would really appreciate some information on this radio, such as
frequencies, channels, controls (i.e. which button/dial does what), range
etc.
Or a website that might have this sort of info.

As I only have one I suppose it isn't much use at the moment but if it turns
out to be any good then I will buy another one.

I would very much appreciate any help at all.
Thanks
Bry

3332. Am I missing a lot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 19:46:28 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0202281146.4d2a9e47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51400

I recently bought a BearCat BC245XLT. I dont like its cheap construction and feeling. The only reason I bought it was because of its multitrunking capabilities. I love this hobby so that its why everything I can hear I like it (from taxis to airplanes from police patrols to 900 mhz cordless phones). Im my country there is no restriction to hear anything you want.

I have seen in the market other scanners such as ALINCO (DJ-X3T, DJ-X10T, DJ-X2000T), AOR (AR8200), ICOM (R-2, R-10), YAESU (VR-500) but alas, they dont have trunk trackers capabilities.

Am I missing a lot not having those equipments and having a bc245xlt? Better reception, more sensitivity, more chances to explore (for instance, in 900mHz the bc2454xlt ONLY ALLOW 12.5 mhz steps), more features, more options?

What can you tell me please? I dont know if I should buy of these and sell the bc245xlt to my sister cos she want it!

Thanks!

3333. Re: if you want a laugh,,look here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 19:58:50 UTC
From: Terrence <Terrence@asdfasdfasfdc.om>
Message-ID: <_Zvf8.17307$mG.68378@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 51401

yah
"Jon Van Allen" <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in message news:3C7E6F97.7D98A382@msn.com...
Check our math. The buy it now price of 75 Pounds is $106.10 USD.

Terrence wrote:

214.52 US dollars
good luck getting £18
"**Sorcerer**" <rogqmail@Spambtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a5jddm$7ji$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1335695711
>
> --
> **Sorcerer**
> remove Spam to reply
>
>
>
> .
>
>
>
>

3334. Re: CE-232 Motorola Chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 20:06:31 UTC
From: ted hay <tedh1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C7E8DC7.FEF45C23@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 51402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Uses a Motorola 68HC11 microcontroller Try Digikey (www.digikey.com)
they
sell some of the 68HC11 family.

Ted

Devin wrote:

> hmm, I haven't seen ce-232 chips lately is it a chip so you can interface a
> computer comport to a radio or anything else external. I know of a ce-232
> interface. only 232's I have seen lately are MAX232 chips. but. I probably
> wrong that they are what you are looking for. I will look further. I am
> stocked on the MAX232 type chips. but. I have to find out if the CE-232's
> are the same.
>
> Dev
>
> "William Ferguson" <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns91C0D8B4AC78CfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
> > Hi I remember talking w/ someone who said that they still have these
> > chips available for sale. If anyone out there still has them I'd like to
> > know.

3335. Re: Motorola Radius GP300 Help Required.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 20:41:25 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c7e95f5$0$1600$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51403

"Bry" <Bry@home.com> wrote in news:u7t0egpsdt4763@corp.supernews.com:

> Hi there,
> I hope I'm on the correct NG for this question, if I am not I
> apologize. I recently inherited a few bits and bobs from a family
> friend who died. Among them was a "Motorola Radius GP300". I presume
> it's a TX\RX walkie talkie.
> I have searched the internet for info on it but I have not found
> anything of any real help.
>
> I would really appreciate some information on this radio, such as
> frequencies, channels, controls (i.e. which button/dial does what),
> range etc.
> Or a website that might have this sort of info.
>
> As I only have one I suppose it isn't much use at the moment but if it
> turns out to be any good then I will buy another one.
>
> I would very much appreciate any help at all.
> Thanks
> Bry
>
>
>

This is a business band walkie talkie. Not familiar with this specific
model, but most likely will reside in only one of the following bands,
vhf-lo, vhf-high, or uhf. It's programmable (through a dealer or serious
hobbyist) and there's probably little chance of telling exactly what's in
it from just looking.
If it has a model number, (look on the back under the belt clip or
battery)..some may be able to give you more specific facts.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

3336. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 20:46:51 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a5m91tvnt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 51408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu> wrote in message
news:3C7E259E.CD3DD7F4@pitt.edu...
>
>
> KC8JBO wrote:
>
> > "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu> wrote in message
> > news:3C7B80A4.B738F84C@pitt.edu...
> > > Cool thread !! How about pictures ?? I currently have three permanetly
> > > mounted on the Tacoma but can go as high as six using mag mounts when
> > > needed.
> > >
> > > --Dan- KE3UC
> >
> > Do you get funny looks going down the highway?
>
> Sometimes I get looks, and somtimes I get questions.
>
> > Don't you just need one for
> > HF and one for VHF/UHF?
>
> True, but then there is the scanner, cell phone, GPS, commercial UHF for
company
> and GMRS use and the six meter. It all depends on what you're into and how
you
> want to mount/display it.
>
> --Dan-- KE3UC

Yeah, you got something there, Dan. LOL!

--
73 de Roger /AB8MQ

3337. BC 210 XL and BC 210 XW Service Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 20:50:42 UTC
From: eg9k@aol.com
Message-ID: <1508acc5.0202281250.4c61664c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51404

I posted this about a week ago and received one reply (Thanks) That had neg. results, and then for some reason my posting disappeared. So here's my request again ---- looking for the "SERVICE" Mamuals for one or both the 210XL & the 210XW. Bearcat Uniden doesn't have them and neither does a half dozen other places I've checked, so if someone has one or both I'll gladly buy them, copy or pay to have copied or what ever(there are limits) Thank You Harold

3338. Kennedy Space Center LIVE Comms & MilAirComms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 20:58:04 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C7E99DC.9090103@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 51405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

LIVE AUDIO! MilAir and Kennedy Space Center
Since the Police Comms are boring today I've switched live audio to
Florida MilAir freqs and Kennedy Space Center comms.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3339. Info: Any Mods for Pro-44?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 21:01:14 UTC
From: Anonymous <Anonymous~@Canada.com>
Message-ID: <qUwf8.21039$it5.2842679@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 51406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

[No readable article body recovered]

3340. The best telescopic antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 21:25:22 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0202281325.629178ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51407

I know there is no "BEST ANTENNA" out there, BUT into the category of TELESCOPIC ANTENNAS which is the BEST and where can I buy it? I hear all frequencies and would like and overall improvement BUT would appreciate it more if there was a BIG improvement in the 900-956 mHZ band.
I use a Uniden BC245xlt with the stock rubber antenna.

Any help would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks.

3341. (*) Bearcat 120xlt for sale! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 22:16:23 UTC
From: Pastor Brian <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <X_xf8.8356$j47.3853201@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 51409

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1336205073

--
Will you be in heaven?
http://www.salvation.com/si/

3342. (*) Mods Webpage! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 22:21:30 UTC
From: Pastor Brian <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <K3yf8.8373$j47.3854550@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 51410

http://liun.hektik.org/scanner/radio_shack/mods.html

--
Will you be in heaven?
http://www.salvation.com/si/

3343. Re: Scanner Mods (pro-2036 mod)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 22:22:18 UTC
From: Pastor Brian <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <u4yf8.8376$j47.3854909@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 51411

"Terry Deslippe" <t.deslippe@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3C799D2E.78340645@sympatico.ca...
> Looking for Scanner Mods for Realistic Pro2036/Pro25 If anybody has any
> please E-mail me.
> Thanks.
>

From Pro43@aol.com Fri Jul 11 05:30:42 1997
Return-Path: Pro43@aol.com
Received: from emout11.mail.aol.com (emout11.mx.aol.com [198.81.11.26]) by
w5gb.nmsu.edu (8.8.5/8.6.9) with ESMTP id FAA05692 for
<kc5kto@w5gb.nmsu.edu>; Fri, 11 Jul 1997 05:30:41 -0600
From: Pro43@aol.com
Received: (from root@localhost)
by emout11.mail.aol.com (8.7.6/8.7.3/AOL-2.0.0)
id HAA25364 for kc5kto@w5gb.nmsu.edu;
Fri, 11 Jul 1997 07:30:36 -0400 (EDT)
Date: Fri, 11 Jul 1997 07:30:36 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <970711073034_881325254@emout11.mail.aol.com>
To: kc5kto@w5gb.nmsu.edu
Subject: 2036 VD cell mod
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="PART.BOUNDARY.0.23569.emout11.mail.aol.com.868620634"
Status: RO

--PART.BOUNDARY.0.23569.emout11.mail.aol.com.868620634
Content-ID: <0_23569_868620634@emout11.mail.aol.com.1845>
Content-type: text/plain

Here is the virtual downconverter mod for the 2036.

--PART.BOUNDARY.0.23569.emout11.mail.aol.com.868620634
Content-ID: <0_23569_868620634@emout11.mail.aol.com.1846>
Content-type: text/plain;
name="MOD2036"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Pro 2036 can pick up cell in the 400-512 range with this mod. Find IC 11,
locate it on the bottom of the main board(where its pins come through).
Cut the trace between pin 7 and the base of Q17 and solder a wire to pin7
and base of Q17. Cut the trace between pin9 and the base of Q18. Solder a
wire to pin9 and the base of Q18. Then solder one more wire to pin8(ground).
You now need a dual pole dual throw switch (6 leads on the underside)
Solder the free wire ends in this order.

pin9 o o base of Q17

base Q18 o o pin7

N/C o o pin 8 (ground)

BACK OF SWITCH

o = switch legs
N/C=no connection

When the switch is connecting the top leads to the center leads all is normal.When you switch to the opposite connection or center leads to bottom leads the 800 BPF is hotwired on.When you search between 400-512 with the switch in the latter position the radio picks up cell.The step is off a little but alot is on there.

Do this at your own risk.I did it last night and listened for awhile to make sure it wasnt a fluke.There is about a 435 MHZ spread between what you hear and what the display reads.Enjoy
If you have concerns or questions e-mail me here on AOL.Ira

--PART.BOUNDARY.0.23569.emout11.mail.aol.com.868620634--

3344. Re: FCC databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 22:50:18 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C7EB42A.E19A3D3C@erols.com>
Source record: 51413

That's not been my experience. It is just the opposite. New public service licensees are lumped under "PW".

Harry Marnell wrote:

> Gosh yes, quite a while back. All the former P~ groups have been lumped
> into the PW - Public safety pool; so far, most new licenses are remaining in
> the old sub-bands (PP, PL, PF, PP, PH...).
>
> The industrial freqs have been similarly consolidated into in "IG"
> Industrial Pool.
>
> there are also a couple new search sites,
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
> and http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts (an alternate
> to the old & overworked gullfoss site)
>
> Harry Marnell
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> History
>
> "Stan Self" <Zeawolf@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:6205-3C782D49-174@storefull-133.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > Has the FCC database changed the ability of getting Police Frequencies?
> > The service code of PL is also missing. Local PD Freq's were easy to get
> > months ago. Any info?
> >
> > http://community.webtv.net/Zeawolf/ZeawolfsScannerPage
> >

--

3345. Help needed with NRDWIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 22:54:24 UTC
From: Stuart Meredith <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a5mcev$n0f$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I have a nrd 545 and the nrdwin software which controls the radio.

How do you load a number of memories from NRDWIN to the radio?

Thanks
Stuart

Email: info@smartmarks.co.uk

3346. Re: Petition to Repeal or Change TV only Antenna Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 23:06:36 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C7EB7FC.E10CFE95@erols.com>
Source record: 51415

For ego, image, and to "maintain thier property value". I have no sympathy for them. They knew before they bought the whatever. If they didn't they are damned fool for not checking any covenants or ordinances before the fact.

Ralph Mowery wrote:

> Why do you people move into places like this and the cry about it ?
>
> Keith wrote:
> >
> > Background: Currently the US Congress and the FCC have laws and regulations
> > that allow TV antennas at the home in neighborhoods that have CC&R's that
> > prevent antennas. This law also prevents the use of the antenna for any
> > other use. For example if you want to use the antenna for receiving any type
> > of transmission like FM broadcasts or Shortwave broadcasts and also prevents
> > the use of the antenna for legal transmissions of radio signals for the
> > expression of ideas. This petition will be used to protest this law as an
> > illegal act by the United States government against privacy in the home and
> > free speech issues.
> >
> >

3347. Re: FCC databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-02-28 23:07:02 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <qKyf8.21344$jC3.593120@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51416

Oops, I didn't say what I intended, did I. What I meant was that new licensees still seem to be getting FREQUENCIES that correspond to the old service groups. FDs are generally getting traditional fire freqs, PDs getting what were PP freqs, etc.
--
Harry
http://www.qsl.net/n6uru/lafire.htm <~~ Fire Communications, Links and News

From: "John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
To: "Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Sent: Thursday, February 28, 2002 14:50
Subject: Re: FCC databases

> That's not been my experience. It is just the opposite. New public service
> licensees are lumped under "PW".

> Gosh yes, quite a while back. All the former P~ groups have been lumped
> into the PW - Public safety pool; so far, most new licenses are remaining in
> the old sub-bands (PP, PL, PF, PP, PH...).
>
> The industrial freqs have been similarly consolidated into in "IG"
> Industrial Pool.